## Susceptibility Testing of *Malassezia* Species Using the Urea Broth Microdilution Method

YUKA NAKAMURA,  $^{1,2\ast}$  RUI KANO,  $^3$  TAE MURAI,  $^1$  SHINICHI WATANABE,  $^1$  and ATSUHIKO HASEGAWA  $^3$ 

Department of Dermatology, Teikyo University School of Medicine, Tokyo,<sup>1</sup> and Department of Veterinary Internal Medicine<sup>2</sup> and Department of Pathobiology,<sup>3</sup> Nihon University School of Veterinary Medicine, Kanagawa, Japan

Received 20 January 2000/Returned for modification 28 March 2000/Accepted 26 April 2000

## A urea broth microdilution method to assay the susceptibilities of seven *Malassezia* species was developed. This method indicated the same sensitivities as the agar plate dilution method for isolates of *Malassezia furfur*, *M. pachydermatis*, *M. slooffiae*, and *M. sympodialis*.

Malassezia species may be etiological agents of systemic infection as well as of skin disorders such as pityriasis versicolor and Malassezia folliculitis (2, 8, 14). The genus Malassezia has been recently revised to include seven species, Malassezia furfur, M. pachydermatis, M. sympodialis, M. globosa, M. obtusa, M. restricta, and M. slooffiae (5, 6). Numerous susceptibility testing methods for determination of the MICs against Candida spp. and other yeast isolates have been developed (1, 3, 4). In 1992, the National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards recommended a broth microdilution method for susceptibility testing of yeasts (11). However, this method was not applicable to Malassezia species other than M. pachydermatis, because the organism could not develop without lipoidal substances in the medium (5, 8). Previously, we devised a urea broth microdilution method for antifungal testing with Cryptococcus neoformans (10). Malassezia species were confirmed to be urease positive, as is C. neoformans (5, 13). We attempted to develop a practical method of susceptibility testing to obtain reliable information on the antifungal activities of various drugs against seven Malassezia species which possesses urease activity.

Forty-two isolates of *Malassezia* (13 isolates of *M. furfur*, 1 isolate of *M. globosa*, 6 isolates of *M. obtusa*, 1 isolate of *M. restricta*, 2 isolates of *M. slooffiae*, 7 isolates of *M. sympodialis*, and 12 isolates of *M. pachydermatis*) were used in this study. Clinical isolates of *M. furfur*, *M. obtusa*, *M. sympodialis*, and *M. pachydermatis* from humans and animals were identified by a

conventional method (5) and molecular analysis (7). These isolates were maintained by culturing on modified Dixon agar (5) at 30°C and were subcultured every week more than three times before use. The ivory colonies grown on modified Dixon agar were collected by scraping and were suspended with 0.04% Tween 80 in distilled water (pH 7.4) with a glass homogenizer, and the suspension was adjusted to an absorbance (optical density [OD] at 660 nm) of 1.0 ( $2.5 \times 10^6$  cells/ml) with an automatic absorbance meter (UV-160A; Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan). The antifungal drugs tested were bifonazole, itraconazole, amorolfine, and terbinafine. Every drug was dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide solution and gradually diluted with distilled water. The highest test concentration of the drug was 50 µg/ml, as recommended in previous reports for the other yeasts (1, 3, 4, 15). The urea broth microdilution method of susceptibility testing (urease method) was performed in 96well microtiter plates. To these wells were added modified Christensen's urea broth (100 µl), fungal suspension (50 µl), and the test drugs (50 µl). The 96-well microtiter plates were arranged in twofold dilution from left to right and in triplicate. They were incubated at 30°C for 48 h. The results were measured by an automatic microtiter plate reader (AUTO READER II; Sanko Junyaku, Tokyo, Japan) at 545 nm (10). The final mean OD obtained for each antifungal concentration was expressed as a percentage of the control growth. The agar plate dilution method for determining colony development was

TABLE 1. Antifungal activities against Malassezia species by the urease method

Species (no. of isolates)	MIC (µg/ml) <sup>a</sup>									
	Bifonazole		Itraconazole		Amorolfine		Terbinafine			
	Mean	Range	Mean	Range	Mean	Range	Mean	Range		
M. furfur (13)	12.5	6.3–25	6.3	3.2–25	12.5	3.2–25	12.5	3.2-50		
M. globosa (1)	1.6	0.8-6.3*	1.6	0.8-6.3*	6.3	3.2-6.3*	1.6	0.8-6.3*		
M. obtusa (6)	0.4	0.1-3.2	0.4	0.1 - 1.6	6.3	3.2-12.5	1.6	0.8-6.3		
M. pachydermatis (12)	6.3	3.2-25	1.6	0.8-6.3	25	3.2-50	6.3	3.2-25		
M. restricta (1)	0.4	$0.1 - 0.8^*$	6.3	1.6-6.3*	12.5	6.3-25*	12.5	6.3-25*		
M. slooffiae (2)	0.4	0.1 - 0.8*	0.4	$0.4 - 0.8^*$	3.2	0.8-3.2*	0.2	0.1-0.8*		
M. sympodialis (7)	0.1	0.1-0.2	0.05	0.025-0.1	1.6	0.2-6.3	0.2	0.05 - 0.8		

<sup>*a*</sup> \*, results from isolates cultured more than three times.

\* Corresponding author. Mailing address: Department of Veterinary Internal Medicine, Nihon University School of Veterinary Medicine, 1866 Kameino, Fujisawa, Kanagawa 252-8510, Japan. Phone and fax: 81-4-6684-3647. E-mail: yuka@brs.nihon-u.ac.jp.

Species (no. of isolates)	MIC $(\mu g/ml)^a$									
	Bifonazole		Itraconazole		Amorolfine		Terbinafine			
	Mean	Range	Mean	Range	Mean	Range	Mean	Range		
M. furfur (13)	25	6.3–50	6.3	0.8-12.5	50	6.3–50	25	3.2-50		
M. pachydermatis (12)	12.5	3.2-25	3.2	0.4-6.3	50	6.3-50	12.5	3.2-50		
M. slooffiae (2)	1.6	0.8-6.3*	0.8	$0.4 - 0.8^*$	12.5	3.2-50*	6.3	1.6-25*		
M. sympodialis (7)	0.4	0.1 - 1.6	0.1	0.025-0.2	3.2	0.8-12.5	1.6	0.1-6.3		

TABLE 2. Antifungal activities against Malassezia species by the agar plate dilution method

 $a^{a}$  \*, results from isolates cultured more than three times.

also performed as described in previous reports (9, 12), and the MIC results were compared with those by the urease method. The plates were incubated at 30°C for 4 days.

All *Malassezia* species were positive for urease activity when modified Christensen's urea broth was used. A good correlation between the number of fungal cells and ODs was shown. It was confirmed in these experiments that the urease activity could be measured instead of counting viable cells of *Malassezia*. Phenol red used as an indicator was very sensitive to fungal cell activity. The antifungal activities of the four drugs are shown in Tables 1 and 2. Every result obtained using this urease method demonstrated good reproducibility.

The National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards has recommended a broth microdilution method for the susceptibility testing of yeasts. However, this method was not suitable for testing the susceptibilities of *Malassezia* species because they were lipophilic except for *M. pachydermatis*. Previous reports suggested that *Malassezia* species were urease positive (5, 13). Therefore, we confirmed that all seven species of *Malassezia* were positive for urease activity by a method using Christensen's urea broth modified to grow these lipophilic yeast-like fungi by the addition of Tween 40 (0.5%) and Tween 80 (0.1%).

Seven Malassezia species examined were susceptible to four antifungal drugs (bifonazole, itraconazole, amorolfine, and terbinafine) in the following decreasing order: M. sympodialis, M. slooffiae, M. obtusa, M. globosa, M. pachydermatis, M. restricta, and M. furfur. Moreover, they were divided into two groups according to their susceptibilities to the antifungal drugs: M. sympodialis, M. slooffiae, and M. obtusa were included in the more-susceptible group, and M. globosa, M. pachydermatis, M. restricta, and M. furfur composed the less-susceptible group. In addition, both azole derivatives were found to be more active than amorolfine and terbinafine against each Malassezia isolate. The most susceptible isolate was an isolate of M. sympodialis, and the least susceptible isolate was an isolate of M. pachydermatis. The urease method was also able to assess the antifungal activity at each concentration of the drug, even at a concentration lower than the MIC, because the inhibition, expressed as a percentage of the control, was determined at each drug dilution step.

The antifungal activities of amorolfine and terbinafine measured using the urease method were higher than those measured using the agar plate dilution method against *M. slooffiae* and *M. sympodialis*. This higher sensitivity of the urease method might have been obtained as a result of measuring a single metabolic activity instead of the number of cells developed.

Furthermore, this urea broth microdilution method should be evaluated for the antifungal testing of drugs against other urease-positive fungi.

## REFERENCES

- Anaissie, E. J., Y. Paetznick, and G. P. Bodey. 1991. Fluconazole susceptibility testing of *Candida albicans*: microtiter method that is dependent of inoculum size, temperature, and time of reading. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 35:1641–1646.
- Chang, H. J., H. L. Miller, N. Watkins, M. J. Arduino, D. A. Ashford, G. Midgley, S. M. Aguero, R. Pinto-Powell, C. F. von Reyn, W. Edwards, M. M. McNeil, and W. R. Jarvis. 1998. An epidemic of *Malassezia pachydermatis* in an intensive care nursery associated with colonization of health care workers' pet dogs. N. Engl. J. Med. 338:706–711.
- Espinel-Ingroff, A., M. Pfaller, S. A. Messer, C. C. Knapp, S. Killian, H. A. Norris, and M. A. Ghannoum. 1999. Multicenter comparison of the Sensititre YeastOne Colorimetric Antifungal Panel with the National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards M27-A reference method for testing clinical isolates of common and emerging *Candida* spp., *Cryptococcus* spp., and other yeasts and yeast-like organisms. J. Clin. Microbiol. 37:591–595.
- Fromtling, R. A., J. N. Galgiani, M. A. Pfaller, A. Espinel-Ingroff, K. F. Bartizal, M. S. Bartlett, B. A. Body, C. Frey, G. Hall, G. D. Robert, F. B. Nolte, F. C. Odds, M. G. Rinaldi, A. M. Sugar, and K. Villarea. 1993. Multicenter evaluation of a broth macrodilution antifungal susceptibility test for yeasts. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 37:39–45.
- Guého, E., G. Midgley, and J. Guilot. 1996. The *Malassezia* with description of four new species. Antonie Leeuwenhoek. 69:337–355.
- Guilot, J., and E. Guého. 1995. The diversity of *Malassezia* yeast confirmed by rRNA sequences and nuclear DNA comparisons. Antonie Leeuwenhoek. 67:297–314.
- Kano, R., T. Aizawa, Y. Nakamura, S. Watanabe, and A. Hasegawa. 1999. Phylogenetic analysis of *Malassezia* species by chitin synthase 2 gene sequence. Microbiol. Immunol. 43:813–815.
- Kwon-Chung, K. J., and J. E. Bennett. 1992. Medical mycology, p. 170–182. Lea & Febiger, Philadelphia, London.
- Leeming, J. P., J. E. Sansom, and J. L. Burton. 1997. Susceptibility of Malassezia furfur subgroups to terbinafine. Br. J. Dermatol. 137:764–767.
- Nakamura, Y., R. Kano, S. Watanabe, H. Takahashi, and A. Hasegawa. 1998. Susceptibility testing of *Cryptococcus neoformans* using the urea broth microdilution method. Mycoses 41:41–44.
- National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards. 1992. Reference method for broth microdilution antifungal susceptibility testing for yeasts. Proposed standard M27-P. National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards, Villanova, Pa.
- Nenoff, P., U. F. Haustein, and C. Münzberger. 1995. In vitro activity of lithium succinate against Malassezia furfur. Dermatology, 190:48–50.
- Senczek, D., K. Krüger, H. Böhm, and U. Siesenop. 1999. Characterization of 220 Malassezia spp. isolated from animals. Mycoses 42:211–212.
- van Belkum, A., T. Boekhout, and R. Bosboom. 1994. Monitoring spread of Malassezia infections in a neonatal intensive care unit by PCR-mediated genetic typing. J. Clin. Microbiol. 32:2528–2532.
- Yamaguchi, H., K. Uchida, H. Kume, T. Shinoda, I. Watanabe, T. Kusunoki, M. Hiruma, and H. Ishizaki. 1995. Report of the committee of clinical laboratory standards—1994. Jpn. J. Med. Mycol. 36:61–86. (In Japanese.)