

**Cochrane** Database of Systematic Reviews

## Interventions to reduce haemorrhage during myomectomy for fibroids (Review)

Kongnyuy EJ, Wiysonge CS

Kongnyuy EJ, Wiysonge CS. Interventions to reduce haemorrhage during myomectomy for fibroids. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2014, Issue 8. Art. No.: CD005355. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD005355.pub5.

www.cochranelibrary.com



### TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	1
PLAIN LANGUAGE SUMMARY	2
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS	3
BACKGROUND	12
OBJECTIVES	13
METHODS	13
RESULTS	16
Figure 1	16
Figure 2	17
Figure 3	18
DISCUSSION	23
AUTHORS' CONCLUSIONS	25
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	25
REFERENCES	26
CHARACTERISTICS OF STUDIES	30
DATA AND ANALYSES	52
Analysis 1.1. Comparison 1 Misoprostol versus placebo, Outcome 1 Blood loss (ml).	53
Analysis 1.2. Comparison 1 Misoprostol versus placebo, Outcome 2 Need for blood transfusion.	53
Analysis 1.3. Comparison 1 Misoprostol versus placebo, Outcome 3 Duration of surgery (min).	54
Analysis 1.4. Comparison 1 Misoprostol versus placebo, Outcome 4 Postoperative haemoglobin (g/dl).	54
Analysis 1.5. Comparison 1 Misoprostol versus placebo, Outcome 5 Duration of hospital stay (days).	54
Analysis 1.6. Comparison 1 Misoprostol versus placebo. Outcome 6 Postoperative haemoglobin drop (g/dl).	54
Analysis 1.7. Comparison 1 Misoprostol versus placebo, Outcome 7 Postoperative complications.	55
Analysis 2.1. Comparison 2 Vasopressin versus placebo. Outcome 1 Blood loss (ml).	56
Analysis 2.2. Comparison 2 Vasopressin versus placebo. Outcome 2 Need for blood transfusion.	56
Analysis 2.3. Comparison 2 Vasopressin versus placebo. Outcome 3 Duration of surgery (min).	57
Analysis 2.4. Comparison 2 Vasopressin versus placebo. Outcome 4 Duration of hospital stay (days).	57
Analysis 2.5. Comparison 2 Vasopressin versus placebo, Outcome 5 Postoperative complications.	57
Analysis 2.6. Comparison 2 Vasopressin versus placebo, Outcome 6 Pregnancy after myomectomy.	58
Analysis 2.7. Comparison 2 Vasopressin versus placebo, Outcome 7 Conversion of Japaroscopy to Japarotomy.	58
Analysis 3.1. Comparison 3 Bupivicaine plus epinephrine versus placebo. Outcome 1 Blood loss (ml).	59
Analysis 3.2. Comparison 3 Bupivicaine plus epinephrine versus placebo, Outcome 2 Duration of surgery (min).	59
Analysis 4.1. Comparison 4 Peri-cervical tourniquet versus no treatment. Outcome 1 Blood loss (ml).	60
Analysis 4.2. Comparison 4 Peri-cervical tourniquet versus no treatment, Outcome 2 Need for blood transfusion.	60
Analysis 4.3. Comparison 4 Peri-cervical tourniquet versus no treatment. Outcome 3 Duration of surgery (min)	61
Analysis 4.4. Comparison 4 Peri-cervical tourniquet versus no treatment. Outcome 4 Postoperative complications	61
Analysis 1.1. comparison 7 cm cervical complexitor of a callend, outcome 1 Plood loss (ml)	63
Analysis 5.2. Comparison 5 Oxytocin versus placebo, Outcome 2 Need for blood transfusion	63
Analysis 5.2. Comparison 5 Oxytocin versus placebo, Outcome 2 Need for Stood dansation.	63
Analysis 5.4. Comparison 5 Oxytocin versus placebo, Outcome 4 Postoperative complications	63
Analysis 5.1. comparison 5 Oxytocin versus placebo, Outcome 5 Duration of hospital stay (days)	64
Analysis 6.1 Comparison 6 Chemical dissection with mesna versus placebo. Outcome 1 Duration of surgery (min)	64
Analysis 6.2. Comparison 6 Chemical dissection with mesna versus placebo, Outcome 2 Duration of bospital stay (days)	65
Analysis 6.2. Comparison 6 Chemical dissection with mesna versus placebo, outcome 3 Postonerative haemoglobin ( $\sigma/dl$ )	65
Analysis 6.4. Comparison 6 Chemical dissection with mesna versus placebo, Outcome 4 Postoperative haematocrit	65
Analysis 6.5. Comparison 6 Chemical dissection with mesna versus placebo, Outcome 5 Postoperative complications	65
Analysis 7.1 Comparison 7 Myoma morcellation versus standard technique of enucleation (no treatment). Outcome 1 Blood	20 AA
loss (ml).	00
Analysis 7.2. Comparison 7 Myoma morcellation versus standard technique of enucleation (no treatment), Outcome 2 Duration	66
of surgery (min).	
Analysis 7.3. Comparison 7 Myoma morcellation versus standard technique of enucleation (no treatment), Outcome 3 Duration	66
of hospital stay (days).	



Analysis 8.1. Comparison 8 Intravenous injection of tranexamic acid versus placebo, Outcome 1 Blood loss (ml).67Analysis 8.2. Comparison 8 Intravenous injection of tranexamic acid versus placebo, Outcome 2 Need for blood transfusion.67Analysis 8.3. Comparison 8 Intravenous injection of tranexamic acid versus placebo, Outcome 2 Need for blood transfusion.67Analysis 8.3. Comparison 8 Intravenous injection of tranexamic acid versus placebo, Outcome 3 Duration of surgery (min).68Analysis 8.4. Comparison 8 Intravenous injection of tranexamic acid versus placebo, Outcome 4 Postoperative haemoglobin68(g/dl)
Analysis 8.5. Comparison 8 Intravenous injection of tranexamic acid versus placebo, Outcome 5 Postoperative haematocrit.68Analysis 9.1. Comparison 9 Gelatin-thrombin matrix versus placebo or no treatment, Outcome 1 Blood loss (ml).69Analysis 9.2. Comparison 9 Gelatin-thrombin matrix versus placebo or no treatment, Outcome 2 Need for blood transfusion.69
Analysis 9.3. Comparison 9 Gelatin-thrombin matrix versus placebo or no treatment, Outcome 3 Postoperative vaginal blood 69 loss (ml).
Analysis 9.4. Comparison 9 Gelatin-thrombin matrix versus placebo or no treatment, Outcome 4 Duration of surgery (min) 70 Analysis 9.5. Comparison 9 Gelatin-thrombin matrix versus placebo or no treatment, Outcome 5 Postoperative haemoglobin 70 drop (g (d))
Analysis 9.6. Comparison 9 Gelatin-thrombin matrix versus placebo or no treatment, Outcome 6 Duration of hospital stay (days).
Analysis 9.7. Comparison 9 Gelatin-thrombin matrix versus placebo or no treatment, Outcome 7 Postoperative fever
Analysis 10.1. Comparison 10 Ascorbic acid versus placebo or no treatment, Outcome 1 Blood loss (ml)
Analysis 10.2. Comparison 10 Ascorbic acid versus placebo or no treatment, Outcome 2 Need for blood transfusion
Analysis 10.3. Comparison 10 Ascorbic acid versus placebo or no treatment, Outcome 3 Duration of surgery (min)
Analysis 10.4. Comparison 10 Ascorbic acid versus placebo or no treatment, Outcome 4 Duration of hospital stay (days) 72
Analysis 10.5. Comparison 10 Ascorbic acid versus placebo or no treatment, Outcome 5 Posoperative haemoglobin drop (g/ dl).
Analysis 10.6. Comparison 10 Ascorbic acid versus placebo or no treatment, Outcome 6 Pospoerative hematocrit drop (%) 73
Analysis 10.7. Comparison 10 Ascorbic acid versus placebo or no treatment, Outcome 7 Posoperative complications
Analysis 11.1. Comparison 11 Dinoprostone versus placebo, Outcome 1 Blood loss (ml)
Analysis 11.2. Comparison 11 Dinoprostone versus placebo, Outcome 2 Need for blood transfusion
Analysis 11.3. Comparison 11 Dinoprostone versus placebo, Outcome 3 Duration of surgery (min)
Analysis 11.4. Comparison 11 Dinoprostone versus placebo, Outcome 4 Duration of hospital stay (days)
Analysis 11.5. Comparison 11 Dinoprostone versus placebo, Outcome 5 Postoperative haemoglobin (g/dl)
Analysis 11.6. Comparison 11 Dinoprostone versus placebo, Outcome 6 Postoperative haemoglobin drop (g/dl)
Analysis 11.7. Comparison 11 Dinoprostone versus placebo, Outcome 7 Postoperative complications
Analysis 12.1. Comparison 12 Loop ligation of myoma pseudocapsule plus vasopressin versus no treatment, Outcome 1 Blood       76         loss (ml).
Analysis 12.2. Comparison 12 Loop ligation of myoma pseudocapsule plus vasopressin versus no treatment, Outcome 2 Need       77         for blood transfusion.
Analysis 12.3. Comparison 12 Loop ligation of myoma pseudocapsule plus vasopressin versus no treatment, Outcome 3 77 Duration of surgery (min).
Analysis 12.4. Comparison 12 Loop ligation of myoma pseudocapsule plus vasopressin versus no treatment, Outcome 4 77 Duration of hospital stay (days).
Analysis 13.1. Comparison 13 Temporary clipping of uterine artery versus no treatment, Outcome 1 Need for blood 78 transfusion.
Analysis 13.2. Comparison 13 Temporary clipping of uterine artery versus no treatment, Outcome 2 Duration of surgery (min). 79
Analysis 13.3. Comparison 13 Temporary clipping of uterine artery versus no treatment, Outcome 3 Postoperative haemoglobin 79 dron (g/dl)
Analysis 13.4. Comparison 13 Temporary clipping of uterine artery versus no treatment, Outcome 4 Duration of hospital stay (days).
Analysis 13.5. Comparison 13 Temporary clipping of uterine artery versus no treatment, Outcome 5 Postoperative 79 complications.
Analysis 14.1. Comparison 14 Fibrin sealant patch versus no treatment, Outcome 1 Blood loss (ml)
Analysis 14.2. Comparison 14 Fibrin sealant patch versus no treatment, Outcome 2 Postoperative blood loss in drainage bag 82
Analysis 14.3. Comparison 14 Fibrin sealant patch versus no treatment, Outcome 3 Need for blood transfusion
Analysis 14.4. Comparison 14 Fibrin sealant patch versus no treatment, Outcome 4 Duration of surgery (min)
Analysis 14.5. Comparison 14 Fibrin sealant patch versus no treatment, Outcome 5 Duration of hospital stay (days)
Analysis 14.6. Comparison 14 Fibrin sealant patch versus no treatment, Outcome 6 Conception after surgery



APPENDICES	83
FEEDBACK	89
WHAT'S NEW	90
HISTORY	90
CONTRIBUTIONS OF AUTHORS	91
DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST	91
SOURCES OF SUPPORT	91
DIFFERENCES BETWEEN PROTOCOL AND REVIEW	91
INDEX TERMS	91



### [Intervention Review]

### Interventions to reduce haemorrhage during myomectomy for fibroids

Eugene J Kongnyuy<sup>1</sup>, Charles Shey Wiysonge<sup>2,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Reproductive Health Solutions, Salisbury, UK. <sup>2</sup>Centre for Evidence-based Health Care, Stellenbosch University, Cape Town, South Africa. <sup>3</sup>South African Cochrane Centre, South African Medical Research Council, Cape Town, South Africa

Contact: Eugene J Kongnyuy, Reproductive Health Solutions, 43 Fowler's Rd, Salisbury, SP1 2QP, UK. kongnyuy73@yahoo.com.

**Editorial group:** Cochrane Gynaecology and Fertility Group. **Publication status and date:** Edited (no change to conclusions), comment added to review, published in Issue 7, 2015.

**Citation:** Kongnyuy EJ, Wiysonge CS. Interventions to reduce haemorrhage during myomectomy for fibroids. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2014, Issue 8. Art. No.: CD005355. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD005355.pub5.

Copyright © 2015 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

### ABSTRACT

### Background

Benign smooth muscle tumours of the uterus, known as fibroids or myomas, are often symptomless. However, about one-third of women with fibroids will present with symptoms that are severe enough to warrant treatment. The standard treatment of symptomatic fibroids is hysterectomy (that is surgical removal of the uterus) for women who have completed childbearing, and myomectomy for women who desire future childbearing or simply want to preserve their uterus. Myomectomy, the surgical removal of myomas, can be associated with life-threatening bleeding. Excessive bleeding can necessitate emergency blood transfusion. Knowledge of the effectiveness of the interventions to reduce bleeding during myomectomy is essential to enable evidence-based clinical decisions. This is an update of the review published in *The Cochrane Library* (2011, Issue 11).

### Objectives

To assess the effectiveness, safety, tolerability and costs of interventions to reduce blood loss during myomectomy.

### Search methods

In June 2014, we conducted electronic searches in the Cochrane Menstrual Disorders and Subfertility Group Specialised Register, the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL), MEDLINE, EMBASE, CINAHL and PsycINFO, and trial registers for ongoing and registered trials.

### **Selection criteria**

We selected randomised controlled trials (RCTs) that compared potential interventions to reduce blood loss during myomectomy to placebo or no treatment.

### Data collection and analysis

The two authors independently selected RCTs for inclusion, assessed the risk of bias and extracted data from the included RCTs. The primary review outcomes were blood loss and need for blood transfusion. We expressed study results as mean differences (MD) for continuous data and odds ratios for dichotomous data, with 95% confidence intervals (CI). We assessed the quality of evidence using GRADE methods.

### Main results

Eighteen RCTs with 1250 participants met our inclusion criteria. The studies were conducted in hospital settings in low, middle and high income countries.

Blood loss We found significant reductions in blood loss with the following interventions:



vaginal misoprostol (2 RCTs, 89 women: MD -97.88 ml, 95% CI -125.52 to -70.24; I<sup>2</sup> = 43%; moderate-quality evidence); intramyometrial vasopressin (3 RCTs, 128 women: MD -245.87 ml, 95% CI -434.58 to -57.16; I<sup>2</sup> = 98%; moderate-quality evidence); intramyometrial bupivacaine plus epinephrine (1 RCT, 60 women: MD -68.60 ml, 95% CI -93.69 to -43.51; low-quality evidence); intravenous tranexamic acid (1 RCT, 100 women: MD -243 ml, 95% CI -460.02 to -25.98; low-quality evidence); gelatin-thrombin matrix (1 RCT, 50 women: MD -545.00 ml, 95% CI -593.26 to -496.74; low-quality evidence); intravenous ascorbic acid (1 RCT, 102 women: MD -411.46 ml, 95% CI -502.58 to -320.34; low-quality evidence); vaginal dinoprostone (1 RCT, 108 women: MD -131.60 ml, 95% CI -253.42 to -9.78; low-quality evidence); loop ligation of the myoma pseudocapsule (1 RCT, 70 women: MD -305.01 ml, 95% CI -354.83 to -255.19; low-quality evidence); a fibrin sealant patch (1 RCT, 70 women: MD -26.50 ml, 95% CI -44.47 to -8.53; low-quality evidence), a Foley catheter tied around the cervix (1 RCT, 93 women: MD -240.70 ml, 95% CI -359.61 to -121.79; low-quality evidence), and a polyglactin suture round both cervix and infundibulopelvic ligament (1 RCT, 28 women: MD -1870.0 ml, 95% CI -2547.16 to 1192.84; low-quality evidence). There was no good evidence of an effect on blood loss with oxytocin, morcellation or clipping of the uterine artery.

### Need for blood transfusion

We found significant reductions in the need for blood transfusion with vasopressin (2 RCTs, 90 women: OR 0.15, 95% Cl 0.03 to 0.74; l<sup>2</sup> = 0%; moderate-quality evidence); tourniquet tied round the cervix (1 RCT, 98 women: OR 0.22, 95% Cl 0.09 to 0.55; low-quality evidence); tourniquet tied round both cervix and infundibulopelvic ligament (1 RCT, 28 women: OR 0.02, 95% Cl 0.00 to 0.23; low-quality evidence); gelatin-thrombin matrix (1 RCT, 100 women: OR 0.01, 95% Cl 0.00 to 0.10; low-quality evidence) and dinoprostone (1 RCT, 108 women: OR 0.17, 95% Cl 0.04 to 0.81; low-quality evidence), but no evidence of effect on the need for blood transfusion with misoprostol, oxytocin, tranexamic acid, ascorbic acid, loop ligation of the myoma pseudocapsule and a fibrin sealant patch.

There were insufficient data on the adverse effects and costs of the different interventions.

### **Authors' conclusions**

At present there is moderate-quality evidence that misoprostol or vasopressin may reduce bleeding during myomectomy, and low-quality evidence that bupivacaine plus epinephrine, tranexamic acid, gelatin-thrombin matrix, ascorbic acid, dinoprostone, loop ligation, a fibrin sealant patch, a peri-cervical tourniquet or a tourniquet tied round both cervix and infundibulopelvic ligament may reduce bleeding during myomectomy. There is no evidence that oxytocin, morcellation and temporary clipping of the uterine artery reduce blood loss. Further well designed studies are required to establish the effectiveness, safety and costs of different interventions for reducing blood loss during myomectomy.

### PLAIN LANGUAGE SUMMARY

### Interventions to reduce haemorrhage during myomectomy for treating fibroids

### Background

Some women have non-cancerous growths of the uterus, called fibroids. In a third of cases the fibroids produce symptoms, such as vaginal bleeding, that warrant treatment. The surgical removal of the fibroids, called myomectomy, is one of the treatment options for fibroids. It can be accomplished by either laparotomy (through an incision into the abdomen) or laparoscopy (keyhole surgery). The procedure is associated with heavy bleeding. Many interventions have been used by doctors to reduce bleeding during an operation for removing fibroids but it is unclear whether or not the interventions are effective.

### Study characteristics

The evidence is current to June 2014. The review included 18 studies with a total of 1250 women who had myomectomy for uterine fibroids. All studies compared an intervention to reduce bleeding during myomectomy with either a placebo or no such treatment.

### **Key results**

The data available suggest that vaginal insertion of misoprostol and infiltration of vasopressin into the uterine muscle are effective in reducing bleeding during myomectomy. Limited data available also suggest that chemical dissection (such as with mesna), vaginal insertion of dinoprostone, a gelatin-thrombin matrix, tranexamic acid, infusion of vitamin C (ascorbic acid) during surgery, infiltration of a mixture of bupivacaine and epinephrine into the uterine muscles, the use of fibrin sealant patch (a surgical patch that improves blood clotting) or a tourniquet around the cervix or around both the cervix and the infundibulopelvic ligamentmay be effective in reducing bleeding during myomectomy. We found limited information on the harms (adverse effects) of the different interventions.

### **Quality of the evidence**

There is moderate-quality evidence that misoprostol reduces blood loss by between 70.24 ml and 125.52 ml; with a laparotomy vasopressin reduces blood loss by between 392.51 and 507.49 ml during myomectomy, and by between 121.73 ml and 172.17 ml during laparoscopic myomectomy. There is low-quality evidence for the rest of the interventions (chemical dissection, dinoprostone, gelatin-thrombin matrix, tranexamic acid, vitamin C, mixture of bupivacaine and epinephrine, a fibrin sealant patch and the two types of tourniquet).

# Interventions to reduce haemorrhage during myomectomy for fibroids (Review) Copyright © 2015 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Summary of findings for the main comparison. Interventions to reduce blood loss during myomectomy for fibroids compared to placebo or no treatment

Interventions to reduce blood loss during myomectomy for fibroids compared to placebo or no treatment

**Population:** Women with fibroids

**Settings:** Various settings in low income, middle income, and high income countries

Intervention: Diverse interventions

**Comparison:** Placebo or no treatment

Intervention	Illustrative comparative risks (95% CI) on blood loss		Relative effect No of partici- (95% Cl) pants (studies)		Quality of the evidence (GRADE)	Comments
	Placebo or no treatment	Interventions		(studies)		
Misoprostol in abdominal my- omectomy	Mean blood loss with placebo was <b>621 ml</b>	Mean blood loss with miso- prostol was <b>149.00 ml lower</b> (229.24 to 68.76 lower)	<b>MD -149.00</b> (-229.24 to -68.76)	25 (1 study)	⊕⊕⊕⊝ moderate	We rated down the quality of evidence (by 1) because the data were derived from one small study
Misoprostol in laparoscopic myomectomy	Mean blood loss with placebo was <b>322.39 ml</b>	Mean blood loss with miso- prostol was <b>91.00 ml lower</b> (120.44 to 61.56 lower)	<b>MD -91.00</b> (-120.44 to -61.56)	64 (1 study)	⊕⊕⊕⊝ moderate	We rated down the quality of evidence (by 1) because the data were derived from one small study
Vasopressin	Mean blood loss with placebo was <b>483.09 ml</b>	Mean blood loss with vaso- pressin was <b>245.87 ml lower</b> (434.58 to 57.16 lower)	<b>MD -245.87</b> (-434.58 to -57.16)	128 (3 studies)	⊕⊕⊕⊝ moderate	We rated down the quality of evidence (by 1) because the data were derived from three small studies
Bupivicaine plus epineph- rine	Mean blood loss with placebo was <b>212.5 ml</b>	Mean blood loss with bupivicaine-epinephrine was <b>68.6 ml lower</b> (93.69 to 43.51 lower)	<b>MD -68.60</b> (-93.69, -43.51)	60 (1 study)	⊕⊕⊝⊝ low	We rated down the quality of evidence (by 2) because the data were derived from one small study, with a high risk of attri- tion bias (2 patients in each arm did not receive assigned intervention because of concomitant disease)
Intravenous injection of tranexamic acid	Mean blood loss with placebo was <b>1047 ml</b>	Mean blood loss with tranexamic was <b>243 ml lower</b> (460.02 to 25.98 lower)	<b>MD -243.00</b> (-460.02 to -25.980	100 (1 study)	000 low	We rated down the quality of evidence (by 2) because the data were derived from one small study and the pooled effect es- timate was imprecise

Gelatin-throm- bin matrix	Mean blood loss with placebo was <b>625 ml</b>	Mean blood loss with Gelatin-thrombin was <b>545 ml lower</b> (593.26 to 496.74 lower)	<b>MD -545.00</b> (-593.26 to -496.74)	50 (1 study)	⊕⊕⊙⊝ low	We rated down the quality of evidence (by 2) because the data were derived from one small study, and it is unclear if out- come assessors were blind
Ascorbic acid	Mean blood loss with no treat- ment was <b>932.9 ml</b>	Mean blood loss with ascor- bic acid was <b>411.46 ml lower</b> (502.58 to 320.34 lower)	<b>MD -411.46</b> (-502.58 to -320.34)	102 (1 study)	000 low	We rated down the quality of evidence (by 2) because the data were derived from one small study, and it is unclear how allo- cation concealment was done
Dinoprostone (prostaglandin E2 analogue)	Mean blood loss with placebo was <b>485.7 ml</b>	Mean blood loss with dino- prostone was <b>131.6 ml lower</b> (253.42 to 9.78 lower)	<b>MD -131.60</b> (-253.42 to -9.78)	108 (1 study)	000 low	We rated down the quality of evidence (by 2) because the data were derived from one small study, and the effect estimate has wide confidence intervals
Loop ligation of myoma pseudocap- sule plus vaso- pressin	Mean blood loss with no treat- ment was <b>363.68 ml</b>	Mean blood loss with loop ligation was <b>305.01 lower</b> (354.83 to 255.19 lower)	<b>MD -305.01</b> (-354.83 to -255.19)	70 (1 study)	000 low	We rated down the quality of evidence (by 2) because the data were derived from one small study, and it is unclear how allo- cation concealment was done
Fibrin sealant patch (collagen sponge with thrombin and fibrinogen)	Mean blood loss with no treat- ment was <b>151.1 ml</b>	Mean blood loss with tachosil was <b>26.5 ml lower</b> (44.47 to 8.53 lower)	<b>MD -26.50</b> (-44.47 to -8.53)	70 (1 study)	000 low	We rated down the quality of evidence (by 2) because the data were derived from one small study, and the effect estimate has wide confidence intervals

**CI:** Confidence interval; **MD:** mean difference

GRADE Working Group grades of evidence

High quality: Further research is very unlikely to change our confidence in the estimate of effect.

**Moderate quality:** Further research is likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and may change the estimate. **Low quality:** Further research is very likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and is likely to change the estimate. **Very low quality:** We are very uncertain about the estimate.

### Summary of findings 2. Misoprostol compared to placebo to reduce blood loss during myomectomy for fibroids

Misoprostol compared to placebo to reduce blood loss during myomectomy for fibroids

Patient or population: Women with fibroids Settings: Middle and high income countries Intervention: Misoprostol

Interventions to reduce haemorrhage during myomectomy for fibroids (Review) Copyright © 2015 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews

	cebo						
Outcomes	Illustrative comparative risks (95% CI)		Relative effect	No of partici-	Quality of the	Comments	
	Placebo	Misoprostol		(studies)	(GRADE)		
<b>Blood loss (ml)</b> Estimated blood loss dur- ing myomecto- my	The mean blood loss in placebo group was <b>322.39 ml</b>	The mean blood loss in misoprostol group was <b>97.88 ml lower</b> (125.52 to 70.24 lower)	<b>MD -97.88</b> (-125.52 to -70.24)	89 (2 studies)	⊕⊕⊕⊝ moderate <sup>1</sup>	We rated down the quality of evidence (by 1) because the data were derived from two small studies and we could not rule out the possibili- ty of publication bias	
Need for blood transfusion Number of par- ticipants who received blood transfusion	87 per 1000	<b>31 per 1000</b> (4 to 217)	<b>OR 0.36</b> (0.05 to 2.5)	89 (2 studies)	⊕⊕©© low <sup>1</sup>	We rated down the quality of evidence (by 2) because (i) we could not conclusively rule out the possibility of publication bias and (ii) the pooled effect had wide confidence intervals	
Duration of surgery (min) Operative time	The mean dura- tion of surgery in placebo group was <b>69.57 min</b> for abdominal my- omectomy <b>and</b> <b>77 min</b> for la- paroscopic my- omectomy	The mean duration of surgery in misoprostol group was <b>9.50 min lower</b> (15.90 lower to 3.10 low- er) in abdominal my- omectomy and 9 min higher (1.63 lower to 19.63 higher) in laparo- scopic myomectomy	MD -9.50 (-15.90 to -3.10) for abdominal myomectomy & MD 9.00 (-1.63 to 19.63) for laparoscop- ic myomectomy	25 (1 study) for abdominal my- omectomy & 64 (1 study) for la- paroscopic my- omectomy	⊕⊕⊝⊝ <b>low</b> 1	We rated down the quality of evidence (by 2) because (i) we could not conclusively rule out the possibility of publication bias and (ii) the pooled effect had wide confidence intervals We did not rate down the evidence due to het- erogeneity because this could be explained by the type of myomectomy (laparoscopy versus laparotomy)	

CI: Confidence interval; MD: mean difference; OR: Odds ratio

GRADE Working Group grades of evidence

High quality: Further research is very unlikely to change our confidence in the estimate of effect.

Moderate quality: Further research is likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and may change the estimate.

Low quality: Further research is very likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and is likely to change the estimate.

Very low quality: We are very uncertain about the estimate.

<sup>1</sup>In one trial the method of allocation concealment was not reported and in the other trial, allocation concealment was achieved using sequentially numbered opaque sealed envelopes.

Comparison: Placebo

Cochrane Library

### Summary of findings 3. Vasopressin versus placebo to reduce blood loss during myomectomy for fibroids



Patient or population: Women with fibroids

Settings: Middle and low income countries

Intervention: Vasopressin

Comparison: Placebo

Outcomes	Illustrative comparative risks (95% CI)		Relative effect (95% CI)	No of partici- pants (studies)	Quality of the evidence (GRADE)	Comments	
	Placebo	Vasopressin		(studies)			
<b>Blood loss (ml)</b> Estimated blood loss dur- ing myomecto- my	The mean blood loss in the placebo groups was <b>483.09 ml</b>	The mean blood loss in the vaso- pressin groups was <b>245.87 ml lower</b> (434.58 to 57.16 lower)	<b>MD -245.87</b> (-434.58 to -57.16)	128 (3 studies)	⊕⊕⊕⊝ moderate <sup>1</sup>	<ul> <li>We rated down the quality of evidence (by 1) because the data were derived from three small studies and we could not rule out the possibility of publication bias</li> <li>We did not rate down the evidence due to heterogeneity because this could be explained by the fact that in one study women had laparoscopic myomectomy and two other studies, women has open abdominal myomectomy</li> </ul>	
Need for blood transfusion Participants who received blood transfu- sion	222 per 1000	<b>33 per 1000</b> (7 to 164)	<b>OR 0.15</b> (0.03 to 0.74)	90 (2 studies)	⊕⊕⊕⊙ moderate <sup>1</sup>	We rated down the quality of evidence (by 1) be- cause the data were derived from two small studies and we could not rule out the possibility of publica- tion bias	
Duration of surgery Operative time	The mean dura- tion of surgery in the placebo groups was <b>111.45 min</b>	The mean duration of surgery in the vasopressin groups was <b>27.72 min lower</b> (35.82 to 19.61 lower)	<b>MD -27.72</b> (-35.82 to -19.61)	108 (2 studies)	⊕⊕⊕⊝ moderate <sup>1</sup>	We rated down the quality of evidence (by 1) be- cause the data were derived from two small studies and we could not rule out the possibility of publica- tion bias	

**CI:** Confidence interval; MD: mean difference; **OR:** Odds ratio

GRADE Working Group grades of evidence

High quality: Further research is very unlikely to change our confidence in the estimate of effect.

Moderate quality: Further research is likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and may change the estimate.

Low quality: Further research is very likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and is likely to change the estimate.

6

Cochrane Library

<sup>1</sup>In all the trials, allocation concealment was unclear.

Summary of findings 4. Bupivicaine plus epinephrine compared to placebo to reduce blood loss during myomectomy for fibroids

Bupivicaine plus epinephrine compared to placebo to reduce blood loss during myomectomy for fibroids

Patient or population: Women with fibroids Settings: University hospital in Italy Intervention: Bupivicaine plus epinephrine Comparison: Placebo

Outcomes	Illustrative comp	oarative risks (95% CI)	tive risks (95% CI) (95% CI) Relative effect No of partici-		Quality of the evidence	Comments	
	Placebo	Bupivicaine plus epi- nephrine	(3376 61)	(studies)	(GRADE)		
Blood loss (ml) Estimated blood loss during my- omectomy	The mean blood loss in the placebo group was <b>212.5 ml</b>	The mean blood loss in the bupivicaine-epineph- rine group was <b>68.6 ml lower</b> (93.69 to 43.51 lower)	<b>MD -68.60</b> (-93.69 to -43.51)	60 (1 study)	⊕⊕⊝⊝ low <sup>1</sup>	We rated down the quality of evidence (by 2) because the data were derived from one small study, with a high risk of attrition bias (2 patients in each arm did not receive as- signed intervention because of concomitant disease)	
Need for blood transfusion	Outcome not repo	orted by investigators					
Participants who received blood transfusion							
Duration of surgery (min) Operative time	The mean dura- tion of surgery in the placebo group was <b>109.2 min</b>	The mean duration of surgery in the bupivi- caine-epinephrine group was <b>30.50 min lower</b> (37.68 to 23.32 lower)	<b>MD -30.50</b> (-37.68 to -23.32)	60 (1 study)	⊕⊕⊙© low <sup>1</sup>	We rated down the quality of evidence (by 2) because the data were derived from one small study, with a high risk of attrition bias (2 patients in each arm did not receive as- signed intervention because of concomitant disease)	
<b>CI:</b> Confidence inter	rval; <b>MD:</b> mean diffe	erence					

GRADE Working Group grades of evidence

High quality: Further research is very unlikely to change our confidence in the estimate of effect.

Moderate quality: Further research is likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and may change the estimate.



Low quality: Further research is very likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and is likely to change the estimate. Very low quality: We are very uncertain about the estimate.

<sup>1</sup>The allocation concealment was achieved by envelopes containing computer-generated random numbers.

### Summary of findings 5. Peri-cervical tourniquet compared to no treatment to reduce blood loss during myomectomy for fibroids

### Peri-cervical tourniquet compared to no treatment to reduce blood loss during myomectomy for fibroids

### Patient or population: Women with fibroids

Settings: Low and high income countries

Intervention: Tourniquet around the cervix only, or around both the cervix and the infundibulopelvic ligament

Comparison: No treatment

Outcomes	Illustrative compara	ative risks (95% CI)	Relative effect	No of partici- nants	Quality of the	Comments
	No treatment	Peri-cervical tourniquet		(studies)	(GRADE)	
<b>Blood loss (ml)</b> Estimated blood loss dur- ing myomecto- my	The mean blood loss in the control groups was <b>756.4 ml</b> (for cer- vical tourniquet) &2359.0 ml (for cervical plus in- fundibulopelvic lig- ament tourniquet)	The mean blood loss in the intervention groups was <b>240.70 ml lower</b> (359.61 ml lower to 121.79 ml lower) for the cervical tourniquet study & <b>1870</b> <b>ml lower</b> (2547.16 ml low- er to 1192.84 ml lower) for the cervical plus in- fundibulopelvic ligament tourniquet study	<b>MD -240.70</b> (-359.61 to -121.79) for cervical touni- quet study & <b>-1870</b> (-2547.16 to -1192.84) for the cervical plus in- fundibulopelvic lig- ament tourniquet study	121 (2 studies)	⊕⊕⊙© low <sup>1</sup>	We rated down the quality of evidence (by 2) because the data were derived from two small studies that were not pooled together due to significant clin- ical and statistical heterogeneity. One study used polyglactin suture round both the cervix and infundibu- lopelvic ligament, while the other used a Foley catheter round the cervix.
Need for blood transfusion Participants who received blood transfu- sion	<b>539 per 1000</b> for cervical tourni- quet study & <b>786</b> <b>per 1000</b> for cervi- cal plus infundibu- lopelvic ligament study	<b>204 per 1000</b> for cervical tourniquet study & <b>71 per 1000</b> for cervical plus infundibu- lopelvic ligament study	OR 0.22 (0.09 to 0.55) or cervical tourniquet study & OR 0.02 (0.00 to 0.23) for cervical plus in- fundibulopelvic lig- ament study	121 (2 studies)	⊕⊕⊝⊝ low <sup>1</sup>	We rated down the quality of evidence (by 2) because the data were derived from two small studies which were not pooled together because of significant heterogeneity. One study used polyglactin suture round both the cervix and infundibu- lopelvic ligament, while the other used a Foley catheter round the cervix.

<b>Duration of surgery (min)</b> Operative time	The mean duration of surgery in the control groups was <b>118 min</b>	The mean duration of surgery in the interventi groups was <b>4 min lower</b> (29.28 lower to 21.28 higher)	MD -4.00 on (-29.28 to 21	28 (1 study 1.28)	⊕000 /) very lov	We rated down the quality of evidence (by 3) because the data were derived from one small study and the effect es- timate was imprecise
CI: Confidence int GRADE Working Gi High quality: Furt	erval; <b>MD:</b> mean diffe roup grades of evider ther research is very u	rence; <b>OR:</b> Odds ratio Ice Inlikely to change our confi	dence in the estim	nate of effect.		
Moderate quality Low quality: Furth Very low quality:	: Further research is her research is very li We are very uncertai	ikely to have an important kely to have an important in n about the estimate.	impact on our cor mpact on our conf	nfidence in the esti fidence in the estin	mate of effect and n nate of effect and is	hay change the estimate. likely to change the estimate.
n one trial the allo omputer-generated	cation concealment d random numbers.	was unclear and in the othe	r trial allocation c	oncealment was ad	chieved by sealed se	quentially-numbered opaque envelopes containing
ummary of find	ings 6. Gelatin-th	rombin matrix compar	ed to placebo or	r no treatment t	o reduce blood lo	oss during myomectomy for fibroids
ummary of find Gelatin-thrombin Patient or popula Settings: Universi Intervention: Gela Comparison: Plac	ings 6. Gelatin-th matrix compared t ntion: Women with fil ty teaching hospital i atin-thrombin matrix ebo or no treatment	rombin matrix compar o placebo or no treatment proids n Spain	ed to placebo of	r no treatment t loss during myon	o reduce blood lo	ss during myomectomy for fibroids
ummary of find Gelatin-thrombin Patient or popula Settings: Universi Intervention: Gel Comparison: Plac Outcomes	ings 6. Gelatin-th matrix compared t ntion: Women with fil ty teaching hospital i atin-thrombin matrix sebo or no treatment Illustrative comp Placebo	rombin matrix compar- o placebo or no treatment proids n Spain arative risks (95% CI) Gelatin-thrombin ma-	ed to placebo o t to reduce blood Relative effect (95% CI)	r no treatment t loss during myon No of partici- pants (studies)	Quality of the evidence (GRADE)	ss during myomectomy for fibroids
ummary of find Gelatin-thrombin Patient or popula Settings: Universi Intervention: Gela Comparison: Plac Outcomes	ings 6. Gelatin-th matrix compared t ntion: Women with fil ty teaching hospital i atin-thrombin matrix ebo or no treatment Illustrative comp Placebo	rombin matrix compar- o placebo or no treatment proids n Spain arative risks (95% CI) Gelatin-thrombin ma- trix	ed to placebo o to reduce blood Relative effect (95% CI)	r no treatment t loss during myon No of partici- pants (studies)	Quality of the evidence (GRADE)	ss during myomectomy for fibroids
ummary of find Gelatin-thrombin Patient or popula Settings: Universi Intervention: Gel Comparison: Plac Outcomes Blood loss (ml) Estimated blood loss during my- omectomy	ings 6. Gelatin-the matrix compared to a matrix compared to the matrix compared to the matrix we be and the matrix matrin-thrombin matrix ebo or no treatment illustrative comp Placebo The mean blood loss in placebo groups was 625 ml	rombin matrix compar- poplacebo or no treatment proids n Spain arative risks (95% Cl) Gelatin-thrombin ma- trix The mean blood loss in intervention groups was 545 ml lower (593.26 to 496.74 low- er)	ed to placebo or to reduce blood Relative effect (95% CI) MD -545.00 (-593.26 to -496.74)	r no treatment t loss during myon No of partici- pants (studies) 50 (1 study)	Coreduce blood lo nectomy for fibroid Quality of the evidence (GRADE) ⊕⊕⊝© low 1	s Comments We rated down the quality of evidence (by 2) because the data were derived from one small study, and it is unclear if outcome assessors were blind

Patient or popula Settings: Tertiary Intervention: Asco Comparison: No t Outcomes Blood loss (ml) Estimated blood loss during my- omectomy	tion: Women with f hospital in Iran orbic acid reatment Illustrative com No treatment The mean blood loss in the control group was 932.9 ml	ibroids parative risks (95% CI) Ascorbic acid The mean blood loss in the intervention group was 411.46 ml lower (502.58 to 320.34 low- er)	Relative effect (95% CI)           MD -411.46           (-502.58 to -320.34)	No of participants (studies) 102 (1 study)	Quality of the evidence (GRADE) ⊕⊕⊝⊝ low <sup>1</sup>	Comments We rated down the quality of evidence (by 2) because the data were derived from one small study, and it is unclear how allocation conceal ment was done
Patient or popula Settings: Tertiary Intervention: Asc Comparison: No t Outcomes	tion: Women with f hospital in Iran orbic acid reatment Illustrative com No treatment	ibroids parative risks (95% CI) Ascorbic acid	Relative effect 95% CI)	No of partici- pants (studies)	Quality of the evidence (GRADE)	Comments
Patient or popula Settings: Tertiary Intervention: Asc Comparison: No t Outcomes	tion: Women with f hospital in Iran orbic acid reatment Illustrative com	ibroids parative risks (95% CI)	Relative effect - (95% CI)	No of partici- pants	Quality of the evidence	Comments
Patient or popula Settings: Tertiary Intervention: Asco Comparison: No t	<b>tion:</b> Women with f hospital in Iran orbic acid reatment	ibroids				
Allocation conceali	nent not reported. ings 7. Ascorbic	acid compared to no tre	eatment to redu blood loss during	ce blood loss du	ring myomectom	y for fibroids
GRADE Working Gr High quality: Furt Moderate quality Low quality: Furth Very low quality:	oup grades of evide her research is very Further research is her research is very l We are very uncerta	nce unlikely to change our con likely to have an importan likely to have an important in about the estimate.	fidence in the estin t impact on our cor impact on our con	nate of effect. nfidence in the esti fidence in the estir	mate of effect and r nate of effect and is	nay change the estimate. likely to change the estimate.
CI: Confidence inte	erval; <b>MD</b> : Mean diffe	erence; <b>OR:</b> Odds ratio				
<b>surgery (min)</b> Operative time	The mean dura- tion of surgery in placebo group was <b>60 min</b>	The mean duration of surgery in intervention group was <b>5.00 min higher</b> (1.29 to 8.71 higher)	<b>MD 5.00</b> (1.29 to 8.71)	50 (1 study)	⊕⊕⊝⊝ low <sup>1</sup>	We rated down the quality of evidence (by 2) because the data were derived from one small study, it is unclear if outcome assessors were blind, and the effect estimate was imprecise
Duration of						

Cochrane Library

Participants who received blood transfusion						study, it is unclear how allocation concealment was done, and the estimate was imprecise	
<b>Duration of</b> surgery (min) Operative time	The mean dura- tion of surgery in the control group was <b>68 min</b>	The mean duration of surgery in the inter- vention group was <b>26.00 min lower</b> (33.1 to 18.9 lower)	<b>MD -26.00</b> (-33.10 to -18.90)	102 (1 study)	⊕⊕⊙© low <sup>1</sup>	We rated down the quality of evidence (by 2) because the data were derived from one small study, and it is unclear how allocation conceal- ment was done	Cochrane Library
CI: Confidence inte GRADE Working Gri High quality: Furth Moderate quality: Low quality: Furth Very low quality: N <sup>1</sup> Allocation concealr	erval; <b>MD</b> : Mean difference oup grades of evide her research is very Further research is ler research is very l We are very uncerta nent not reported.	erence; <b>OR:</b> Odds ratio nce unlikely to change our con likely to have an importan likely to have an important in about the estimate.	fidence in the est t impact on our c impact on our cc	imate of effect. confidence in the est onfidence in the est	stimate of effect a timate of effect ar	and may change the estimate. nd is likely to change the estimate.	Trusted evidence. Informed decisions. Better health.

### BACKGROUND

### **Description of the condition**

Uterine leiomyomas (fibroids or myomas) are benign, smooth muscle tumours of the human uterus. Most myomas are asymptomatic (symptomless) and are discovered incidentally during a routine pelvic examination or imaging studies (Vollenhoven 1990). However, about 20% to 50% of women with myomas will present with symptoms severe enough to warrant treatment (Vercellini 1993). Myomas are three times more prevalent in black women, who tend to have larger, more numerous myomas, than their white counterparts (Jacoby 2010).

The standard treatment of symptomatic leiomyomas is hysterectomy for women who have completed childbearing, and myomectomy for women who wish to preserve fertility. Hysterectomy, the surgical removal of the uterus, eliminates both the symptoms and the chance of recurrence. However, many women who suffer from myomas desire future childbearing or want to preserve their uterus. For these women myomectomy, the surgical removal of the myomas with reconstruction and preservation of the uterus, is an important option. Myomectomy can be accomplished by laparotomy, laparoscopy or hysteroscopy. Myomectomy by laparotomy involves the surgical removal of the fibroids through an incision in the abdominal wall. Where there are a small number of subserous or intramural myomas and the uterine size is less than that of 16 weeks gestation, laparoscopic myomectomy may be an option (Hurst 2005). The laparoscopic approach is a minimal access technique (keyhole surgery) developed to minimize insult to the abdominal wall and to ensure quick recovery of the patient following surgery (Hasson 1992). For women with submucous myomas, transcervical hysteroscopic resection is a good option both for the gynaecologic surgeons (Derman 1991) and their patients.

Myomectomy can lead to both short and long-term complications. Complications of hysteroscopic myomectomy include haemorrhage, uterine perforation, cervical damage and metabolic disturbances from excessive absorption of the distension medium, such as glycine (Cooper 2000). Laparoscopic myomectomy is associated with the usual risks of laparoscopy, particularly accidents during trocar (a surgical instrument) placement; and, additionally, excessive uncontrolled haemorrhage with the need to convert to a laparotomy and the risk of uterine rupture in subsequent pregnancies (Dubuisson 1997). Short-term complications of abdominal myomectomy include bleeding, fever, infection, visceral damage and thromboembolism (LaMote 1993). A requirement for transfusion in up to 20% of cases following abdominal myomectomy has been reported in the literature (LaMote 1993). Patients undergoing myomectomy have an unusually high incidence of fever occurring in the first 48 hours following surgery (Iverson 1999). The incidence of postoperative fever following myomectomy has been reported to be as high as 36% (Celik 2003). The cause is unknown but it is believed that 'myomectomy fever' is due to the release of pyrogenic (feverinducing) factors during myoma dissection or to haematomas (blood clots) forming in defects left by the removed myomas. In 2% of cases there is a need for conversion of myomectomy to hysterectomy (Aubuchon 2002). Long-term complications of abdominal myomectomy include pelvic adhesions in 59% of women after two years (Frederick 2002) and recurrent fibroids in 46.0% of women after one year (Nishiyama 2006). The risk of uterine

rupture in subsequent pregnancies varies between 0% and 1% (Garnet 1964; Tulandi 1993; Fedele 1995; Somigliana 2008).

Blood loss during myomectomy can be intraoperative or postoperative and with haematoma formation. Massive blood loss associated with the dissection of huge fibroids renders myomectomy a more technically challenging procedure than hysterectomy. Sometimes myomectomy is converted to hysterectomy intraoperatively when bleeding becomes heavy and uncontrollable or when it is impossible to reconstruct the uterus because of the many defects left by the removal of multiple myomas (Iverson 1996). Excessive bleeding can necessitate emergency blood transfusion.

### **Description of the intervention**

Many interventions have been performed to reduce bleeding during myomectomy. Four categories of interventions can be identified:

- interventions on uterine arteries such as laparoscopic uterine artery dissection, uterine artery embolization, peri-cervical mechanical tourniquet, vasopressin (natural or synthetic), a vasoconstrictive solution of bupivacaine plus epinephrine, and temporary clipping of the uterine artery;
- utero-tonics such as ergometrine, oxytocin, misoprostol, and sulprostone;
- myoma dissection techniques which include myoma enucleation by morcellation and the use of laser and chemical dissectors such as sodium-2-mercaptoethane sulphonate (mesna);
- pharmacologic manipulation of the coagulation cascade with antifibrinolytic agents such as tranexamic acid, aprotinin, aminocaproic acid, recombinant factor VIIa (Koh 2003), and gelatin-thrombin haemostatic sealant.

In developed countries, gonadotrophin-releasing hormone (GnRH) analogues (GnRHa) have been used prior to myomectomy. There is now clear evidence that the use of GnRH analogues reduces uterine volume and fibroid size and may reduce blood loss and operating time during myomectomy (Lethaby 2001). Although the use of preoperative GnRHa leads to less frequent vertical incisions in the case of myomectomy, a review of the cost-effectiveness of GnRHa found that the costs outweigh its benefits (Farquhar 2002). In addition, uterine artery embolization (UAE) has been used as an alternative to myomectomy (Lumsden 2002) and to prevent haemorrhage during myomectomy (Ngeh 2004). However, there are currently no randomised trials comparing UAE with a placebo or no treatment with regard to blood loss during myomectomy. In low and middle income countries, however, the cost of using GnRH analogues and UAE may be prohibitive (especially where there is an out-of-pocket payment) and the necessary technology may not be available.

### How the intervention might work

The mechanical tourniquet has been used during myomectomy to reduce intraoperative blood loss (Hutchins 1996). However, the pressure exerted by the tourniquet may cause damage to the uterine artery and its branches, and mask inadequate haemostasis (arrest of bleeding), which only becomes apparent once the tourniquet is removed. Uterine artery ligation can reduce both intraoperative and postoperative haemorrhage (Sapmaz 2003B).



Hormonal tourniquets such as natural or synthetic vasopressin act on vasopressin V1a receptors, ubiquitously expressed in the myometrium, to reduce blood loss (Fletcher 1996; Dimitrov 1999; Kimura 2002). Hormonal tourniquets act as peri-cervical tourniquets when administered in the cervix but act as uterotonics when administered in the myometrium. Preoperative UEA is a technique for the treatment of myomas which reduces uterine size and controls symptoms (Ravina 1995). It may also be a useful adjunct to surgery in women with massive fibroids (Ngeh 2004). Laparoscopic dissection of uterine vessels (LDUV) using ultrasonically activated shears for the treatment of fibroids has been reported to reduce uterine volume and symptoms (Holub 2003). Laparoscopic bipolar coagulation of the uterine blood vessels has recently been described as an alternative to UAE but a high degree of laparoscopic skill is required to isolate the uterine artery without causing damage to the ureters or blood vessels (Liu 2001).

Utero-tonics such as ergometrine, oxytocin, misoprostol and sulprostone cause myometrial contraction and, therefore, potentially reduce blood loss during myomectomy leading to better anatomical reconstruction of the uterus (Baldoni 1995). Ergometrine may raise blood pressure while misoprostol may cause fever after its administration.

Myoma dissection techniques include the use of carbon dioxide laser and mesna for chemical dissection (McLaughin 1985). These procedures have the potential to minimize uterine defects from fibroid removal thereby facilitating uterine reconstruction, although they may be time consuming.

The coagulation cascade can be modified with the use of pharmacologic agents such as tranexamic acid, aprotinin, aminocaproic acid, recombinant factor VIIa and gelatin-thrombin haemostatic sealant. These agents interfere with one or more stages of the coagulation cascade, from activation of coagulation to stabilisation of the fibrin clot. The end result is the formation of a stable clot that stops or prevents bleeding.

### Why it is important to do this review

Excessive haemorrhage during myomectomy remains a major challenge to gynaecologic surgeons despite the many procedures that have been described to reduce intraoperative blood loss. The effects of these procedures on blood loss during myomectomy, as reported by previous non-randomised studies, have been inconsistent. Moreover, the types of interventions are so varied that there is a need to identify the most effective procedures with minimal adverse effects to help the gynaecologic surgeon to make a correct choice.

The aim of this review was to establish which are the most effective interventions with the fewest adverse effects. The use of preoperative GnRHa was not considered in this review because their effectiveness has been examined in a separate Cochrane review (Lethaby 2001).

### OBJECTIVES

To assess the effectiveness, safety, tolerability and costs of interventions to reduce blood loss during myomectomy.

### METHODS

### Criteria for considering studies for this review

### **Types of studies**

Published and unpublished randomised controlled trials (RCTs) were eligible for inclusion. We excluded non-randomised studies (for example studies with evidence of inadequate sequence generation, such as patient numbers and alternate days) as they are associated with a high risk of bias.

### **Types of participants**

Premenopausal women undergoing myomectomy (laparotomy, laparoscopy or hysteroscopy) for uterine fibroids, for any reason, were eligible for inclusion. Women who had previously had a myomectomy were also included.

### **Types of interventions**

Trials comparing any intervention used to reduce blood loss during myomectomy versus either placebo or no treatment were eligible for inclusion. Only interventions performed during surgery, immediately before surgery, or within the 24 hour period prior to surgery were considered for this review. Interventions that involved GnRHa were excluded because their effectiveness has been examined in a separate Cochrane review (Lethaby 2001). The interventions, compared to placebo or no treatment, that were considered in this review were:

- utero-tonics (such as ergometrine, oxytocin, misoprostol, and sulprostone);
- vasopressin (natural or synthetic);
- uterine artery dissection and ligation;
- peri-cervical mechanical tourniquet;
- uterine artery embolization (UAE);
- laser dissection;
- myoma enucleation by morcellation;
- chemical dissection (such as sodium-2-mercaptoethane sulphonate (mesna));
- antifibrinolytic agents (such as tranexamic acid);
- temporary clipping of the uterine artery;
- use of a gelatin-thrombin haemostatic sealant.

### Types of outcome measures

Trials with at least one of the following outcomes were eligible for inclusion.

### **Primary outcomes**

- Estimated blood loss in millilitres (ml)
- Need for blood transfusion (as defined by trial authors)

### Secondary outcomes

- 1. Effectiveness outcomes:
- a) postoperative haemoglobin and haematocrit;

b) postoperative recurrence of myomas;

c) pregnancy (if pregnancy desired).

2. Safety outcomes:

a) duration of operation;

b) intraoperative hysterectomy;

c) conversion from laparoscopy to laparotomy;

d) other intraoperative complications (e.g. perforation, cervical damage);

e) duration of hospital stay in days;

f) postoperative morbidity (i.e. complications such as pyrexia, infection, thromboembolism, haematoma formation, and postoperative adhesions) as defined by the trial authors;

g) abdominal revisions for haemoperitoneum or pelvic haematoma;

h) treatment adherence (i.e. the proportion of patients who continued with the allocated treatment);

i) tolerability to the intervention, as defined by trial authors.

3. Cost outcomes:

a) cost of intervention;

b) total cost.

### Search methods for identification of studies

We searched for all published and unpublished RCTs of myomectomy, without language restrictions and in consultation with the Menstrual Disorders and Subfertility Group (MDSG) Trials Search Co-ordinator.

### **Electronic searches**

The original searches were performed in March 2006 and subsequent searches were in September 2008, February 2011, October 2013 and 17 June 2014. In June 2014, we searched the following databases, trial registers and websites.

(1) The Cochrane Menstrual Disorders and Subfertility Group Specialised Register, Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL), MEDLINE, EMBASE, CINAHL and PsycINFO.

(2) Other electronic sources of trials included the following.

- Trial registers for ongoing and registered trials:
  - http://www.clinicaltrials.gov (a service of the US National Institutes of Health);
  - http://www.who.int/trialssearch/Default.aspx (World Health Organization International Clinical Trials Registry Platform search portal).
- PubMed (for recent trials not yet indexed in MEDLINE).
- DARE (Database of Abstracts of Reviews of Effects) in *The Cochrane Library* at http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/o/cochrane/ cochrane\_cldare\_articles\_fs.html (for reference lists from relevant non-Cochrane reviews).

### Searching other resources

We handsearched reference lists of identified trials and relevant review articles, specialist journals, conference abstracts. In addition, we contacted experts in the field to obtain additional data.

### Data collection and analysis

### **Selection of studies**

The Trials Search Co-ordinator of the Cochrane Menstrual Disorders and Subfertility Group and the lead author (EJK) conducted the literature search. After an initial screen of the titles and abstracts retrieved by the search, conducted by EJK, the full texts of all potentially eligible studies were retrieved. Both authors (EJK and CSW) independently examined these full text articles for compliance with the inclusion criteria and selected studies eligible for inclusion in the review. We also contacted the study investigators, as required, to clarify study eligibility. Any disagreements as to the study eligibility were resolved by discussion and consensus. The selection process was documented in a PRISMA flow chart.

### Data extraction and management

The two authors (one a topic area specialist and one a methodologist) independently extracted the data from the eligible trials using a data extraction form designed and pilot-tested by the authors. We then compared the results and any disagreements were resolved by discussion. The following information was extracted from each of the included studies.

Characteristics of trials:

- power calculation;
- method of randomisation;
- blinding of patients, caregivers, outcome assessors, and investigators to treatment allocation;
- quality of allocation concealment;
- number of patients randomised, excluded, and lost to follow up;
- handling in the analysis of losses to follow up and lack of compliance with the intervention;
- duration, timing, and location of the study.

Characteristics of the study participants:

- age, ethnic background, and any recorded characteristics of the study participants such as size of fibroids and reason for myomectomy;
- other inclusion criteria;
- exclusion criteria.

Interventions:

- types of interventions;
- dose, timing, duration, and route of administration of the treatment;
- technique of myomectomy (abdominal, laparoscopic, or hysteroscopic).

### Outcomes:

- types of outcomes measured or reported;
- methods used to assess outcome measures.

Where studies had multiple publications, the main trial report was used as the reference and additional details were derived from secondary articles. We contacted the study investigators for further data on methods and results, as required. Cochrane Library

Trusted evidence. Informed decisions. Better health.

### Assessment of risk of bias in included studies

The two authors independently assessed the risk of bias in the included trials by addressing the seven specific domains of the Cochrane risk of bias assessment tool (www.cochranehandbook.org): selection (random sequence generation and allocation concealment); performance (blinding of participants and personnel); detection (blinding of outcome assessors); attrition (incomplete outcome data); reporting (selective outcome reporting); and other bias, as outlined in the Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions (Higgins 2011). For risk of bias assessment we were not blinded to the names of the trial investigators, their institutions, journals of publication, nor results of the study. For each included trial we described all judgments fully and presented the conclusions in the risk of bias tables. The risk of bias was incorporated into the interpretation of the review findings by means of a sensitivity analysis. We searched for within-trial selective reporting, such as trials failing to report obvious outcomes or reporting them in insufficient detail to allow for inclusion.

### **Measures of treatment effect**

We performed statistical analysis using RevMan 5.2. For dichotomous data, we used numbers of events in the control and intervention groups of each study to calculate the Mantel-Haenzel odds ratios (OR) with 95% confidence intervals (CI). For continuous data, if all studies reported exactly the same outcomes we calculated the mean difference (MD) between the groups. If they reported similar outcomes, we recorded the means and their standard deviations for each arm of the trial and expressed study results as mean difference (MD) with a 95% CI. We would have used standardised mean difference (SMD) if similar outcomes were reported on different scales across studies. If data to calculate the ORs and MDs were not available, we would have used the most detailed numerical data available that might have facilitated similar analyses of included studies (for example P values). We compared the magnitude and direction of effect reported by the studies with how they were presented in the review, taking account of legitimate differences.

### Unit of analysis issues

The primary analysis was performed per woman randomised. We planned to check for 'unit-of-analysis error' in cluster-randomised controlled trials. Unit-of-analysis error occurs if individuals in a cluster-randomised trial are assumed to be independent and clustering is ignored during the analysis. We planned to handle these issues according to the *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions* (Higgins 2011).

### Dealing with missing data

Data were analysed on an intention-to-treat basis as far as possible. Where data were missing we contacted the study investigators and requested the missing data. Where only the median was reported we assumed that the mean was equal to the median and imputed the standard deviation from trials with similar values and sample sizes. Where similar trials were not available, we discussed the results of the study in a narrative format. If studies reported sufficient detail to calculate the mean differences but had no information on the associated standard deviation (SD), the outcome was assumed to have a SD equal to the highest SD from other studies within the same analysis.

### Assessment of heterogeneity

We considered whether the clinical and methodological characteristics of the included trials were sufficiently similar for meta-analysis to provide a clinically meaningful summary. We assessed statistical heterogeneity by the I<sup>2</sup> statistic. An I<sup>2</sup> value greater than 50% was taken to indicate substantial heterogeneity (Higgins 2011).

### Assessment of reporting biases

We planned to assess publication bias by looking for funnel plot asymmetry if there were 10 or more studies in an analysis. In view of the difficulty of detecting and correcting for publication bias and other reporting biases, attempts were made to reduce reporting bias by searching multiple sources of electronic databases and additional sources for both published and unpublished articles.

### **Data synthesis**

If the studies were sufficiently similar, we carried out a metaanalysis of results from trials using the fixed-effect model (DeMets 1987). However, when significant heterogeneity was found we reported the results of the random-effects model (DerSimonian 1986) and explored potential sources of heterogeneity. We analysed trial participants in the groups to which they were randomised, regardless of whether they actually received the assigned treatment.

Higher values of postoperative haemoglobin and haematocrit, pregnancy after myomectomy, and treatment adherence were considered a benefit rather than adverse consequences of treatment, so the presence of the effect estimates (MD, OR) and CIs to the right side of the forest plots (rather than to the left as with blood loss and other outcomes) was considered to show a benefit of treatment.

### Subgroup analysis and investigation of heterogeneity

We planned to perform subgroup analyses based on the technique of myomectomy (laparotomy, laparoscopy, or hysteroscopy), and type of comparison group (placebo or no treatment).

### Sensitivity analysis

We planned to perform a sensitivity analysis by considering whether the review conclusions would have differed if:

- 1. studies with high risk of bias had been excluded;
- 2. a random-effects model had been adopted;
- the summary effect measure was risk ratio rather than odds ratio (OR);
- 4. we excluded studies with imputed data.

### Overall quality of the body of evidence: summary of findings table

We generated summary of findings tables using the GRADEPRO software. These tables present the overall quality of the body of evidence for main review outcomes (that is blood loss, need for blood transfusion, and duration of surgery) taking into consideration study limitations (that is risk of bias), consistency of effect, imprecision, indirectness, and publication bias. Judgments about evidence quality (high, moderate or low) was justified, documented, and incorporated into reporting of results for each



outcome (Summary of findings for the main comparison; Summary of findings 2; Summary of findings 3; Summary of findings 4; Summary of findings 5; Summary of findings 6; Summary of findings 7).

RESULTS

### **Description of studies**

### **Results of the search**

The search retrieved 623 records. Forty-one studies were potentially eligible and were retrieved in full text. Eighteen studies

met our inclusion criteria. Twenty-three studies were excluded and two are ongoing.

The October 2013 search identified six additional trials (Kalogiannidis 2011; Leone Maggiore 2011; Zhao 2011; Pourmatroud 2012; Vercellino 2012; Shokeir 2013) to the 12 trials that were included in the previous version of the review (Kongnyuy 2011). See study tables: Characteristics of included studies; Characteristics of excluded studies; and Characteristics of ongoing studies. The search and selection processes for the review are shown in Figure 1.

### Figure 1. Flow diagram showing screening of search outputs and study selection.



### **Included studies**

### Study design and setting

Eighteen parallel-design randomised controlled trials (RCTs) were included in the review. The studies were conducted in hospital settings in low, middle and high income countries. All studies were carried out in one centre except for two studies (Taylor 2005; Vercellino 2012) that were conducted in two or more centres.

The studies included 633 women in the intervention groups and 617 in the control groups. All were women of reproductive age with uterine myomas and wished to preserve their uteri. Most women had symptomatic fibroids. One trial included only infertile women (Assaf 1999). There were no significant differences between the treatment and control groups at baseline in all trials but one (Taylor 2005), in which there was a significant difference in the mean age:



39.5 years in the control group and 42.6 years in the treatment group.

### Interventions

- 3/18 studies compared vasopressin versus placebo
- 1/18 studies compared bupivacaine combined with epinephrine versus placebo
- 1/18 studies compared peri-cervical tourniquet versus no treatment
- 1/18 studies compared a tourniquet round both cervix and infundibulopelvic ligament versus no treatment
- 2/18 studies compared oxytocin versus placebo
- 2/18 studies compared misoprostol versus placebo
- 1/18 studies compared chemical dissection with mesna versus placebo
- 1/18 studies compared morcellation while attached to the uterus versus no treatment
- 1/18 studies compared gelatin-thrombin matrix versus placebo
- 1/18 studies compared ascorbic acid versus no treatment
- 1/18 studies compared dinoprostone versus placebo
- 1/18 studies compared loop ligation of myoma pseudocapsule combined with vasopressin versus no treatment
- 1/18 studies compared temporary clipping of uterine artery versus no treatment
- 1/18 studies compared fibrin sealant patch (surgical collagen patch coated with thrombin and fibrinogen) versus no treatment

Eight of the trials included in this review used laparoscopic myomectomy (Assaf 1999; Zullo 2004; Sinha 2005; Wang 2007; Kalogiannidis 2011; Leone Maggiore 2011; Zhao 2011; Vercellino 2012), one trial included cases that had myomectomy by either the vaginal route or laparotomy (Agostini 2005) and the rest of the trials used myomectomy by laparotomy only.

### Outcomes

- 16/18 studies reported blood loss (ml) during myomectomy
- 13/18 studies reported need for blood transfusion
- 16/18 studies reported duration of surgery
- 11/18 studies reported duration of hospital stay (days)
- 5/18 studies reported postoperative haemoglobin
- 5/18 studies reported postoperative haemoglobin drop
- 8/18 reported postoperative morbidity
- 1/18 reported pregnancy rate following surgery
- 0/18 reported cost of intervention

### **Excluded studies**

Twenty-three studies were excluded from the review, for the following reasons:

- 10/23 were not RCTs;
- 13/23 had ineligible control groups.

### **Risk of bias in included studies**

We have summarised our risk of bias assessments in Figure 2 and Figure 3.



### Figure 2.



Figure 3.





### Allocation

Ten studies were at low risk of selection bias related to sequence generation as they used computer randomisation or a random numbers table. The other eight studies did not describe the method used and were at unclear risk of this bias. Ten studies were at low risk of selection bias related to allocation concealment as they used adequate allocation concealment methods such as sequentially numbered opaque sealed envelopes. The other eight studies did not describe the method used and were at unclear risk of this bias.

### Blinding

### Blinding of participants and personnel

We did not consider that blinding was likely to influence findings for the primary review outcome (blood loss and need for blood transfusion). However, for secondary outcomes such as operative difficulties, postoperative morbidity including subjective outcomes such as severe pain, as well as tolerability and safety, blinding status could potentially affect the findings. Twelve studies described the use of a double-dummy placebo that was identical to the intervention and were thus deemed to be at low risk of performance bias. The other six studies were considered to be at high risk of performance bias because either the care givers and patients were not blinded or because there were no placebo control groups.

### Blinding of outcome assessors

Nine studies described blinding of outcome assessors and we judged them to be at low risk of detection bias. Two studies were judged to be at high risk of detection bias because the outcome assessors were not blinded. The other seven studies did not describe the blinding of assessors and were at unclear risk of this bias.

### Incomplete outcome data

Sixteen studies analysed all or most (> 95%) of the women that were randomised and we judged them to be at low risk of bias. Two studies were considered to be at high risk of attrition bias: in both studies some participants (6.7% in one study and 8.3% in the other) were excluded after randomisation.

### **Selective reporting**

We did not have access to the study protocols and therefore could not judge whether the studies reported all planned outcomes. The trial on mesna versus placebo did not report blood loss. The trials on bupivacaine plus epinephrine, chemical dissection with mesna, myoma morcellation, temporary clipping of the uterine artery and fibrin sealant patch did not report the need for blood transfusion. Trials on bupivacaine plus epinephrine, mesna, myoma morcellation, temporary clipping of uterine artery and fibrin sealant patch did not report on the adverse effects.

### Other potential sources of bias

In one study (Taylor 2005) there was a substantial baseline difference in age between the two groups and the risk of bias was deemed high. We found no potential sources of within-study bias in the other 17 studies.

### **Effects of interventions**

See: Summary of findings for the main comparison Interventions to reduce blood loss during myomectomy for fibroids compared

to placebo or no treatment; **Summary of findings 2** Misoprostol compared to placebo to reduce blood loss during myomectomy for fibroids; **Summary of findings 3** Vasopressin versus placebo to reduce blood loss during myomectomy for fibroids; **Summary of findings 4** Bupivicaine plus epinephrine compared to placebo to reduce blood loss during myomectomy for fibroids; **Summary of findings 5** Peri-cervical tourniquet compared to no treatment to reduce blood loss during myomectomy for fibroids; **Summary of findings 6** Gelatin-thrombin matrix compared to placebo or no treatment to reduce blood loss during myomectomy for fibroids; **Summary of findings 7** Ascorbic acid compared to no treatment to reduce blood loss during myomectomy for fibroids

Summary of findings for the main comparison presents the effects of different interventions on blood loss during myomectomy.

### 1. Comparision of misoprostol versus placebo

### **Primary outcomes**

### 1.1. Blood loss

Misoprostol was associated with significant reduction in blood loss compared to placebo (2 RCTs, 89 women: MD -97.88 ml, 95% CI -125.52 to -70.24;  $I^2$  = 43%; moderate-quality evidence; Analysis 1.1; Summary of findings 2).

A subgroup analysis showed a significant reduction in blood loss in both the abdominal myomectomy group (1 RCT, 25 women: MD -149.00 ml, 95% CI -229.24 to - 68.76) and the laparoscopic myomectomy group (1 RCT, 64 women: MD -91.00 ml, 95% CI -120.44 to -61.56).

### 1.2. Need for blood transfusion

One trial involving 25 women found no evidence of effect of misoprostol on the need for blood transfusion (OR 0.36, 95% CI 0.05 to 2.50), and no woman needed a blood transfusion in the second trial involving 64 women (Analysis 1.2). We judged the quality of the evidence on the effect of misoprostol on the need for blood transfusion as low (Summary of findings 2).

### Secondary outcomes

### 1.3. Postoperative haemoglobin

Misoprostol was associated with higher postoperative haemoglobin compared to placebo (2 RCTs, 89 women: MD 0.69 g/ dl, 95 Cl 0.37 to 1.02;  $l^2 = 0\%$ ; Analysis 1.4).

### 1.4. Duration of surgery

There was no evidence that the use of misoprostol changed the duration of surgery (Summary of findings 2). The results were not pooled as pooling led to substantial heterogeneity ( $I^2 = 88\%$ ), which could be explained by the fact that in one study myomectomy was achieved by laparotomy and in the other study myomectomy was performed by laparoscopy.

A subgroup analysis showed a significant reduction of blood loss in the abdominal myomectomy group (1 RCT, 25 women: OR -9.50, 95% CI -15.90 to -3.10) but not in the laparoscopic myomectomy group (1 RCT, 64 women: OR 9.00 min, -1.63 to 19.63).



There was no evidence of effect on the duration of hospital stay (1 RCT, 25 women: MD 0.00 days, 95% CI -0.82 to 0.82; Analysis 1.5).

### 1.6. Postoperative morbidity

There was no evidence of effect on febrile morbidity compared to placebo (2 RCTs, 89 women: OR 0.94, 95% CI 0.23 to 3.88;  $I^2 = 0\%$ ; Analysis 1.7).

### 2. Vasopressin versus placebo

### **Primary outcomes**

### 2.1. Blood loss

There was significant heterogeneity between the studies that assessed the effect of vasopressin. The heterogeneity between studies could be explained by the fact that in one study women had laparoscopic myomectomy and in two other studies the women had open abdominal myomectomy.

A subgroup analysis revealed that when compared to placebo, vasopressin was associated with significant reduction in blood loss during abdominal myomectomy (1 RCT, 20 women: MD -450 ml, 95% CI -507.49 to -392.51) as well as during laparoscopic myomectomy (2 RCTs, 108 women: MD -147.95 ml, 95% CI -174.17 to -121.73;  $I^2 = 0\%$ ; Analysis 2.1).

### 2.2. Need for blood transfusion

Vasopressin was associated with a reduction in the need for blood transfusion compared to placebo (2 RCTs, 90 women: OR 0.15, 95% CI 0.03 to 0.74;  $I^2 = 0\%$ ; moderate-quality evidence; Analysis 2.2) (Summary of findings 3).

A subgroup analysis showed no significant reduction in the need for blood transfusion in both the abdominal myomectomy group (1 RCT, 20 women: OR 0.11, 95% CI 0.01 to 1.24) and laparoscopic myomectomy group (1 RCT, 70 women: OR 0.18, 95% CI 0.02 to 1.60).

### Secondary outcomes

### 2.3. Pregnancy (if desired)

There was no evidence of a difference in pregnancy one year after myomectomy between vasopressin and placebo (1 RCT, 38 women: OR 0.64, 95% CI 0.18 to 2.31; Analysis 2.6).

### 2.4. Duration of surgery

Vasopressin was associated with a reduction in the operating time compared to placebo (2 RCTs, 108 women: MD -27.72 min, 95% CI -35.82 to -19.61;  $I^2 = 0\%$ ; moderate-quality evidence; Analysis 2.3) (Summary of findings 3).

### 2.5. Length of hospital stay

There was no evidence of a difference in the length of hospital stay between vasopressin and placebo (2 RCTs, 108 women: MD 0.11 days, 95% CI -0.69 to 0.91; P = 0.96; I<sup>2</sup> = 75%; Analysis 2.4).

### 2.6. Conversion of laparoscopy to laparotomy

Compared to placebo, there was no evidence of an effect of vasopressin on conversion of laparoscopy to laparotomy (1 RCT, 70 women: OR 7.65, 95% CI 0.38 to 153.75; Analysis 2.7).

### 2.7. Postoperative adhesions

Compared to placebo, there was no evidence of an effect of vasopressin on postoperative adhesions (1 RCT, 38 women: OR 2.02, 95% CI 0.54 to 7.49; Analysis 2.5).

### 3. Bupivacaine plus epinephrine versus placebo

### **Primary outcomes**

### 3.1. Blood loss

Compared to placebo, bupivacaine plus epinephrine significantly reduced blood loss (1 RCT, 60 women: MD -68.6 ml, 95% CI -93.69 to -43.51; low-quality evidence; Analysis 3.1) (Summary of findings 4).

### 3.2. Need for blood transfusion

The need for blood transfusion was not reported by investigators.

### Secondary outcomes

### 3.3. Duration of surgery

Bupivicaine plus epinephrine was associated with a reduction in the operating time compared to placebo (1 RCT, 60 women: MD -30.50 min, 95% CI -37.68 to -23.32; low-quality evidence; Analysis 3.2) (Summary of findings 4).

### 3.4. Other secondary outcomes

Other secondary outcomes were not reported by investigators.

### 4. Peri-cervical tourniquet versus no treatment

### **Primary outcomes**

### 4.1. Blood loss

There was significant heterogeneity between studies that evaluated the effect of a peri-cervical tourniquet (I<sup>2</sup> = 95%; Analysis 4.1). Due to the significant heterogeneity between the studies, the studies were not combined. We attributed the heterogeneity between studies to the different methods used for the peri-cervical tourniquet. One study (Taylor 2005) used a polyglactin suture tied around the cervix to occlude the uterine artery and left there after surgery, plus a polythene tourniquet tied round the infundibulopelvic ligament and removed after the operation. This study found a significant effect in blood loss, favouring the use of the tourniquet (1 RCT, 28 women: MD -1870.0, 95% CI -2547.16 to -1192.84; low-quality evidence ). The other study (Ikechebelu 2010) used a Foley catheter that was tied round the base of the uterus and was released intermittently during surgery; and also revealed evidence that a peri-cervical tourniquet reduced blood loss compared to no treatment (1 RCT, 93 women: MD -240.70, 95% CI -359.61 to -121.79; low-quality evidence).

### 4.2. Need for blood transfusion

Peri-cervical tourniquet was associated with a significantly reduced need for blood transfusion compared to placebo (1 RCT, 98 women: OR 0.22, 95% CI 0.09 to 0.55; low-quality evidence). The use of a tourniquet around both the cervix and the infundibulopelvic ligament also significantly reduced blood loss (1 RCT, 28 women: OR 0.02, 95% CI 0.00 to 0.23; low-quality evidence).



### Secondary outcomes

### 4.3. Duration of surgery

There was no evidence of an effect on operating time with a pericervical tourniquet compared to no treatment (1 RCT, 28 women: MD -4.00 min, 95% CI -29.28 to 21.28; low quality evidence; Analysis 4.3) (Summary of findings 5).

### 4.4. Postoperative morbidity

Peri-cervical tourniquet had no evidence of an effect on postoperative morbidity (Analysis 4.4): fever (1 RCT, 93 women: OR 1.09, 95% CI 0.46 to 2.59; Analysis 4.4), anaemia (1RCT, 93 women: OR 1.09, 95% CI 0.46 to 2.59), urinary tract infection (1 RCT, 93 women: OR 0.71, 95% CI 0.13 to 3.70), prolonged vaginal bleeding (1 RCT, 93 women: OR 2.21, 95% CI 0.09 to 55.82), pelvic abscess (1 RCT, 93 women: OR 2.21, 95% CI 0.09 to 55.82), and intestinal obstructions (1 RCT, 93 women: OR 2.21, 95% CI 0.09 to 55.82).

### 5. Oxytocin versus placebo

### Primary outcomes

### 5.1. Blood loss

The effect of oxytocin on blood loss compared to placebo was inconsistent with significant heterogeneity across studies. There was no obvious explanation for the heterogeneity. A subgroup analysis revealed a reduction in blood loss when oxytocin was used in laparoscopic myomectomy (1 RCT, 60 women: MD -175.50 ml, 95% CI -301.01 to -49.93) but not open abdominal myomectomy (1 RCT, 94 women: MD 57.00 ml, 95% CI -129.22 to 243.22; Analysis 5.1).

### 5.2. Need for blood transfusion

Oxytocin did not appear to have an effect on the need for blood transfusion (2 RCTs, 154 women: OR 0.54, 95% CI 0.03 to 8.51;  $I^2 = 89\%$ ; Analysis 5.2).

### Secondary outcomes

### 5.3. Duration of surgery

Oxytocin had no significant effect on the operating time (2 RCTs, 154 women: MD 3.5 min, 95% Cl -1.88 to 8.88;  $l^2 = 0\%$ ; Analysis 5.3).

### 5.4. Postoperative hospital stay

Compared to placebo, oxytocin significantly reduced the duration of postoperative hospital stay (1 RCT, 60 women: MD -0.60 days, 95% CI -1.19 to -0.01; Analysis 5.5).

### 5.5. Postoperative morbidity

There was no evidence of an effect on postoperative morbidity by oxytocin compared to placebo (1 RCT, 60 women: OR 1.00, 95% CI 0.06 to 16.76; Analysis 5.5).

### 6. Mesna versus placebo

### **Primary outcomes**

### 6.1. Blood loss

Blood loss was not reported by the investigators (Benassi 2000).

### 6.2. Need for blood transfusion

The need for blood transfusion was not reported by the investigators (Benassi 2000).

Postoperative haemoglobin (1 RCT, 58 women: MD 0.50 g/dl, 95%

6.3. Postoperative haemoglobin and haematocrit

CI 0.42 to 0.58; Analysis 6.3) and haematocrit (1 RCT, 58 women: MD 1.90%, 95% CI 1.30 to 2.50; Analysis 6.4) were significantly higher with mesna compared to placebo.

### 6.4. Duration of surgery

Secondary outcomes

Chemical dissection with mesna was associated with a reduction in the operating time compared to placebo (1 RCT, 58 women: MD -20 min, 95% CI -28.64 to -11.36; Analysis 6.1).

### 6.4. Postoperative morbidity

There was no evidence of an effect on the incidence of postoperative fever by mesna compared to placebo (1 RCT, 58 women: OR 0.14, 95% CI 0.02 to 1.22; Analysis 6.5).

### 6.5. Length of hospital stay

Mesna was associated with a reduction in length of hospital stay compared to placebo (1 RCT, 58 women: MD - 1.00 day, 95% CI -1.12 to -0.88; Analysis 6.2).

### 7. Myoma enucleation by morcellation versus no treatment

### **Primary outcomes**

### 7.1. Blood loss

Myoma enucleation by morcellation did not have a significant effect on blood loss during laparoscopic myomectomy (1 RCT, 48 women: MD 65.40 ml, 95% CI -36.47 to 167.27; Analysis 7.1).

### 7.2. Need for blood transfusion

The need for blood transfusion was not reported by the investigators (Sinha 2005).

### Secondary outcomes

### 7.3. Duration of surgery

Myoma morcellation was associated with a reduction in the operating time compared to placebo (1 RCT, 48 women: MD -25.30 min, 95% Cl -44.23 to -6.37; Analysis 8.3).

### 7.4. Length of hospital stay

Myoma morcellation did not show a significant effect on the length of hospital stay (1 RCT, 48 women: MD -0.07 days, 95% CI -0.18 to 0.04; Analysis 7.3).

### 8. Tranexamic acid versus placebo

### **Primary outcomes**

### 8.1. Blood loss

Intravenous tranexamic acid reduced blood loss during myomectomy compared to placebo (1 RCT, 100 women: MD -243 ml, 95% CI -460.02 to -25.98; Analysis 8.1).

### 8.2. Need for blood transfusion

Tranexamic acid did not have a significant effect on the need for blood transfusion (1 RCT, 100 women: OR 1.71, 95% CI 0.63 to 4.30; Analysis 8.2).

Interventions to reduce haemorrhage during myomectomy for fibroids (Review) Copyright © 2015 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. 21



### Secondary outcomes

### 8.3. Postoperative haemoglobin and haematocrit

There was no evidence of effect by tranexamic acid compared to placebo on postoperative haemoglobin (1 RCT, 100 women: MD 0.21 g/dl, 95% CI -0.36 to 0.78; Analysis 8.4) and haematocrit (1 RCT, 100 women: MD 1.00%, 95% CI -0.43 to 2.43; Analysis 8.5).

### 8.4 Duration of surgery

Tranexamic acid was associated with a reduction in the operating time compared to placebo (1 RCT, 100 women: MD -11 min, 95% CI -21.09 to -0.91; Analysis 8.3).

### 9. Gelatin-thrombin matrix versus placebo or no treatment

### **Primary outcomes**

### 9.1. Blood loss

Compared to no treatment, the application of a gelatin-thrombin matrix on the uterine incision reduced blood loss during myomectomy (1 RCT, 50 women: MD -545.00 ml, 95% CI -593.26 to -496.74; low-quality evidence; Analysis 9.1) (Summary of findings 6) and postoperative vaginal blood loss (1 RCT, 50 women: MD -225.00 ml, 95% CI -254.46 to -195.54; Analysis 9.3).

### 9.2. Need for blood transfusion

Gelatin-thrombin matrix reduced the need for blood transfusion compared to no treatment (1 RCT, 100 women: OR 0.01, 95% CI 0.00 to 0.10; low-quality evidence; Analysis 9.2) (Summary of findings 6).

### Secondary outcomes

### 9.3. Postoperative haemoglobin and haematocrit

Gelatin-thrombin matrix reduced the drop in haemoglobin after surgery compared to no treatment (1 RCT, 50 women: MD -2.30 g/ dl, 95% CI -2.66 to -1.94; Analysis 9.5).

### 9.4. Duration of surgery

Gelatin-thrombin was associated with an increase in the operating time compared to no treatment (1 RCT, 50 women: MD 5.00 min, 95% CI 1.29 to 8.71; low-quality evidence; Analysis 9.4) (Summary of findings 6).

### 9.4. Length of hospital stay

Gelatin-thrombin matrix reduced the duration of hospital stay compared to no treatment (1 RCT, 50 women: MD -2.00 days, 95% CI -2.69 to -1.31; Analysis 9.6).

### 9.5. Postoperative morbidity

There was no evidence of an effect on postoperative fever by gelatin-thrombin matrix compared to no treatment (1 RCT, 50 women: OR 0.32, 95% CI 0.01 to 8.25; Analysis 9.7).

### 10. Ascorbic acid versus no treatment

### **Primary outcomes**

### 10.1. Blood loss

Compared to no treatment, the administration of ascorbic acid during myomectomy reduced blood loss (1 RCT, 102 women: MD -411.46 ml, 95% CI -502.58 to -320.34; P < 0.00001; low-quality evidence; Analysis 10.1) (Summary of findings 7).

### 10.2. Need for blood transfusion

There was no evidence that ascorbic acid had an effect on the need for blood transfusion compared to no treatment (1 RCT, 102 women: OR 0.38, 95% CI 0.11 to 1.32; P = 0.13; very low-quality evidence).

### Secondary outcomes

### 10.3. Postoperative haemoglobin and haematocrit

There was evidence that, compared to no treatment, ascorbic acid reduced the drop in postoperative haematocrit (1 RCT, 102 women: MD -0.70%, 95% CI -1.34 to -0.06; P = 0.03) but not the drop in postoperative haemoglobin (1 RCT, 102 women: MD 0.14 g/dl, 95% CI -0.22 to 0.50; P = 0.44).

### 10.4. Duration of surgery

Ascorbic acid reduced the operating time compared to no treatment (1 RCT, 102 women: MD -26.00 min, 95% CI -33.10 to -18.90; P < 0.00001; low-quality evidence).

### 10.5. Duration of hospital stay

Compared to no treatment, there was evidence that ascorbic acid reduced the duration of hospital stay (1 RCT, 102 women: MD -0.4 days, 95% CI -0.65 to -0.15; P = 0.002).

### 10.6. Postoperative morbidity

Overall, there was no evidence of an effect on postoperative morbidity by ascorbic acid compared to no treatment (1 RCT, 102 women: OR 1.64, 95% CI 0.71 to 3.82; P = 0.25), which included postoperative fever (OR 1.88, 95% CI 0.58 to 6.07; P = 0.29), vomiting (OR 1.96, 95% CI 0.17 to 22.32; P = 0.59), constipation (OR 0.31, 95% CI 0.01 to 7.90; P = 0.48) and severe pain (OR 2.00, 95 CI 0.36 to 11.44; P = 0.44).

### 11. Dinoprostone versus placebo or no treatment

### **Primary outcomes**

### 11.1. Blood loss

Compared to placebo, a dinoprostone vaginal suppository administered before myomectomy reduced blood loss (1 RCT, 108 women: MD -131.60 ml, 95% CI -253.42 to -9.78; P = 0.03; Analysis 11.1).

### 11.2. Need for blood transfusion

Diniprostone reduced the need for blood transfusion compared to placebo (1 RCT, 108 women: OR 0.17, 95% CI 0.04 to 0.81; P = 0.61; low-quality evidence).

#### Secondary outcomes

### 11.3. Postoperative haemoglobin and haematocrit

Compared to a placebo, dinoprostone reduced the drop in postoperative haemoglobin (1 RCT, 108 women: MD -0.50, 95% CI -0.88 to -0.12; P = 0.009), but had no effect on postoperative haematocrit (1 RCT, 108 women: MD 0.10, 95% CI -0.39 to 0.59; P = 0.69).

### 11.4. Duration of surgery

Compared to placebo, dinoprostone had no effect on the operating time (1 RCT, 108 women: MD -2.60 min, 95% CI -12.55 to 7.35; P = 0.25).



### 11.5. Length of hospital stay

There was no evidence of an effect on the duration of hospital stay by dinoprostone compared to no treatment (1 RCT, 108 women: MD 0.30 days, 95% Cl -0.22 to 0.82; P = 0.25).

### 11.6. Postoperative morbidity

There was no evidence of an effect on postoperative fever by dinoprostone compared to no treatment (OR 1.53, 95% CI 0.25 to 9.54; P = 0.65).

### 12. Loop ligation of myoma pseudocapsule combined with vasopressin versus no treatment

### 12.1. Blood loss

Compared to no treatment, loop ligation of the myoma pseudocapsule during myomectomy reduced blood loss (1 RCT, 70 women: MD -305.01 ml, 95% CI -354.83 to -255.19; P < 0.00001; Analysis 12.1).

### 12.2. Need for blood transfusion

Compared to no treatment, loop ligation of the myoma pseudocapsule had no effect on the need for blood transfusion (1 RCT, 70 women: OR 0.08, 95% Cl 0.00 to 1.47; P = 0.09).

### Secondary outcomes

### 12.3. Duration of surgery

Loop ligation of the myoma pseudocapsule significantly reduced the operating time (1 RCT, 70 women: MD -32.76 min, 95% CI -40.81 to -24.71; P < 0.00001).

### 12.4. Length of hospital stay

Compared to no treatment, loop ligation of the myoma pseudocapsule significantly reduced the duration of hospital stay (1 RCT, 70 women: MD -1.46 days, 95% Cl -1.85 to -1.07; P < 0.00001).

### 13. Temporary clipping of uterine artery versus no treatment

### 13.1. Blood loss

This outcome was not reported by the investigators.

### 13.2. Need for blood transfusion

No patient required blood transfusion.

### Secondary outcomes

### 13.3. Postoperative haemoglobin and haematocrit

We found no evidence of an effect on postoperative haemoglobin by the temporary clipping of the uterine artery compared to no treatment (1 RCT, 166 women: MD -2.25 g/dl, 95% CI -0.61 to 0.11; P = 0.17).

### 13.4. Duration of surgery

The temporary clipping of the uterine artery significantly increased the operating time (1 RCT, 166 women: MD 40.00 min, 95% CI 30.01 to 49.99; P < 0.00001).

### 13.5. Length of hospital stay

We found no evidence of an effect on the duration of hospital stay by the temporary clipping of the uterine artery compared to no treatment (1 RCT, 70 women: MD 0.00 days, 95% CI -0.15 to 0.15; P = 1.00).

### 13.6. Postoperative morbidity

We found no evidence of an effect on postoperative morbidity by the temporary clipping of the uterine artery compared to no treatment (1 RCT, 166 women: OR 1.95, 95% CI 0.79 to 4.79; P = 0.14).

### 14. Fibrin sealant patch versus no treatment

### 14.1. Blood loss

Compared to no treatment, the application of a fibrin sealant patch (surgical collagen patch or sponge coated with thrombin and fibrinogen to stop local bleeding) on the uterus reduced blood loss during myomectomy (1 RCT, 70 women: MD -26.50 ml, 95% CI -44.46 to -8.53; P = 0.004; Analysis 14.1) and postoperative blood loss in the drainage bag (1 RCT, 70 women: MD -44.60 ml, 95% CI -65.06 to -24.14; P < 0.0001).

### 14.2. Need for blood transfusion

No patient required blood transfusion.

### Secondary outcomes

### 14.3. Pregnancy (if desired)

We found no evidence of an effect on conception after myomectomy by the fibrin sealant patch compared to no treatment (1 RCT, 70 women: OR 3.16, 95% CI 0.76 to 13.11; P = 0.11).

### 14.4. Duration of surgery

We found no evidence of an effect on the operating time by fibrin sealant patch compared to no treatment (1 RCT, 70 women: MD -0.4 min, 95% Cl -4.47 to 3.67; P = 0.85).

### 14.5. Length of hospital stay

We found no effect of the fibrin sealant patch on the duration of hospital stay (1 RCT, 70 women: MD 0.00 days, 95% CI -0.09 to 0.09; P = 1.00).

### 15. Other analysis

Where data were available, we performed subgroup analyses based on the technique of myomectomy (Analysis 1.1; Analysis 1.3; Analysis 2.1; Analysis 2.2). The use of a random-effects model compared to a fixed-effect model made no difference. All other planned subgroup and sensitivity analyses were not conducted due to insufficient data.

### DISCUSSION

### Summary of main results

This review has evaluated the effect of different interventions on blood loss during myomectomy for uterine fibroids. There are a few well designed randomised trials that have assessed the effect of each intervention on blood loss. Compared to placebo or no treatment, misoprostol, vasopressin, bupivacaine plus epinephrine, tranexamic acid, gelatin-thrombin matrix, peri-cervical tourniquet, tourniquet round both cervix and infundibulopelvic ligament, mesna, ascorbic acid, dinoprostone, loop ligation of the myoma pseudocapsule and a fibrin sealant patch (collagen sponge with thrombin and fibrinogen) were found to significantly reduce bleeding during myomectomy. In

contrast, oxytocin, myoma morcellation and temporary clipping of the uterine artery did not significantly reduce blood loss when compared to placebo or no treatment.

### **Utero-tonics**

Some interventions have shown promising effects on reducing blood loss during myomectomy. Both misoprostol (MD -97.88 ml, 95% CI -125.52 to -70.24) and dinoprostone (MD -131.60 ml, 95% CI -253.42 to -9.78), prostaglandin E2 analogues, were shown to significantly reduce blood loss, probably by causing uterine contraction and reducing uterine blood flow. The trials on oxytocin, a known utero-tonic agent, showed no statistically significant effect on blood loss during myomectomy.

### Pharmacologic manipulation of the coagulation cascade

Similarly to prostaglandin E2 analogues, tranexamic acid (MD -243 ml, 95% CI -460.02 to -25.98) was found to significantly reduce blood loss during myomectomy. Tranexamic acid is an antifibrinolytic agent that acts by blocking the lysine-binding site on plasmin thereby inhibiting fibrinolysis, the process wherein a fibrin clot, the product of coagulation, is broken down. Tranexamic acid has been used in clinical settings since the 1960s and has been found to reduce blood loss and the need for blood transfusion in cardiac surgery, liver transplantation and orthopaedic surgery (Dunn 1999).

The application of gelatin-thrombin haemostatic sealant (MD -545.00 ml, 95% CI -593.26 to -496.74), a matrix of cross-linked bovine-derived gelatin granules and a bovine-derived thrombin component, on the site of uterine incision significantly reduced blood loss during surgery and the need for blood transfusion. The gelatin matrix is hydrophilic and therefore adheres very well to wet tissue. The matrix activates the coagulation cascade and causes haemostasis.

The application of a fibrin sealant patch (MD -26.50 ml, 95% CI -44.47 to -8.53), which is a surgical patch coated with human coagulation factors fibrinogen and thrombin, significantly reduced blood loss during myomectomy.

Ascorbic acid (MD -411.46 ml, 95% CI -502.58 to -320.34) significantly reduced blood loss during myomectomy. Ascorbic acid (vitamin C) has important functions in platelets. During the first phase of haemostasis platelets are activated and aggregate to form a haemostasis plug. Ascorbic acid is water-soluble and is not stored in the body, and when depleted the bleeding tendency increases due to dysfunctional connective tissue production in the blood vessel wall.

### Interventions on the uterine artery

We found a significant reduction in intraoperative blood loss when vasopressin (MD -245.87 ml, 95% CI -434.58 to -57.16) is injected into the uterine muscles overlying the myoma during myomectomy. The injection of bupivacaine plus epinephrine into the myometrium overlying the myoma was evaluated in one study and the result showed evidence of a reduction in blood loss, although this might not be clinically significant (68.6 ml). Both vasopressin and bupivacaine plus epinephrine are known local vasoconstrictors and may reduce local blood flow when injected around the myoma.

A peri-cervical tourniquet and use of a tourniquet round both the cervix and the infundibulopelvic ligament also significantly reduced blood loss during myomectomy, as anticipated. The uterus

receives its blood supply primarily from the uterine artery and the occlusion of the uterine artery is expected to reduce blood loss by reducing blood flow to the uterus. However, there is no evidence that temporary clipping of the uterine artery reduce blood loss.

### Chemical dissection of myoma

The study on the effect of chemical dissection of the myoma with mesna did not directly evaluate blood loss but showed a significant gain in postoperative haemoglobin levels. Mesna is a lytic agent that can disrupt connections between tissue layers (Denaro 2001) and may thus facilitate myoma enucleation. Similarly, we found that loop ligation of the myoma pseudocapsule during laparoscopic myomectomy significantly reduced blood loss.

### **Other outcomes**

One way of evaluating the difficulties encountered during myomectomy was by measuring the operating time. Trials on vasopressin, bupivacaine plus epinephrine, mesna, tranexamic acid, ascorbic acid, myoma enucleation by morcellation, and loop ligation of the myoma pseudocapsule recorded a significant reduction in operating time. The use of misoprostol, oxytocin, peri-cervical tourniquet, dinoprostone and a fibrin sealant patch showed no evidence of effect on the duration of surgery. The use of a gelatin-thrombin matrix and the temporary clipping of the uterine artery increased the operating time.

Postoperative outcome was assessed by the duration of hospitalisation. A few trials included the duration of hospital stay in their evaluations. The trials on mesna, gelatin-thrombin matrix, ascorbic acid and loop ligation of the myoma pseudocapsule recorded a significant decrease in the duration of hospital stay. No other trials found a significant effect on the duration of hospitalisation.

### Overall completeness and applicability of evidence

Most comparisons included few trials with small sample sizes and insufficient power to detect moderate differences in blood loss. This review assessed only the effects of interventions versus placebo or no treatment. Head-to-head comparisons were not assessed by the review.

There are insufficient data on the adverse effects and costs of the different interventions. The trials on the gelatin-thrombin matrix and ascorbic acid showed no significant difference in adverse effects between the intervention and the control groups. Most trials that commented on the adverse effects simply stated that there were no such effects recorded in the trial. Knowledge of these adverse events and the tolerability of the interventions is important because we have to make a trade off between the estimated benefits and the harms and costs before making any appropriate decisions about use or non-use of any intervention. Nevertheless, evidence from clinical practice has shown that mesna is well tolerated and can be taken orally (Burkert 1983).

### **Quality of the evidence**

The methodological quality of the included studies was generally good. The trials had small sample sizes (and, therefore, the effect sizes had large confidence intervals) and there was heterogeneity of effect between the included trials with several of the interventions. Despite the small sample sizes and heterogeneity of effect, there

was a significant reduction in blood loss during myomectomy with 10 interventions when compared to placebo or no treatment.

- Misoprostol and vasopressin: we rated each as moderate quality evidence because with both interventions the data were derived from two small studies and we could not rule out the possibility of publication bias.
- Tranexamic acid, fibrin sealant patch, dinoprostone, pericervical tourniquet and use of a tourniquet round both the cervix and the infundibulopelvic ligament : we rated each as low quality evidence because the data from each of the interventions were derived from one or two small studies and the pooled effect estimate was imprecise.
- Ascorbic acid and loop ligation of myoma pseudocapsule: we rated each as low quality of evidence because with both interventions the data were derived from one small study and it was unclear how allocation concealment was done.
- Bupivacaine plus epinephrine: we rated this as low quality evidence because the data were derived from one small study with a high risk of attrition bias (two patients in each arm did not receive the assigned intervention because of concomitant disease).
- Gelatin-thrombin matrix: we rated this as low quality evidence because the data were derived from one small study and it was unclear if the outcome assessors were blind.

### Potential biases in the review process

Publication and selection biases are potential threats to all systematic reviews. We are confident that we have identified the existing clinical trials relevant to our question but cannot rule out the possibility that there are additional trials that are unpublished or published in sources not accessible to our search.

### Agreements and disagreements with other studies or reviews

We are not aware of any reviews that have assessed the effectiveness of interventions to reduce haemorrhage during myomectomy. Evidence from this review supports findings previously reported in non-randomised studies (McLaughin 1985; Baldoni 1995; Hutchins 1996; Dimitrov 1999).

The use of oxytocin showed no evidence of an effect on blood loss during myomectomy. This is consistent with other evidence that the myometrial concentration of oxytocin receptors is very low in non-pregnant uteri (Fuchs 1984).

### AUTHORS' CONCLUSIONS

### Implications for practice

Currently, there is moderate-quality evidence that misoprostol (MD -97.88 ml, 95% CI -125.52 to -70.24) and vasopressin (MD

-245.87 ml, 95% CI -434.58 to -57.16), and low-quality evidence that bupivacaine plus epinephrine (MD -68.60 ml, 95% CI -93.69 to -43.51), gelatin-thrombin matrix (MD -545.00 ml, 95% CI -593.26 to -496.74), tranexamic acid (MD -243 ml, 95% CI -460.02 to -25.98), peri-cervical tourniquet (MD -240.70 ml. 95% CI -359.61 to 121.7), tourniquet round both the cervix and the infundibulopelvic ligament (MD 1870.00 ml, 95% CI -2547.16, to -1192.84), ascorbic acid (MD -411.46 ml, 95% CI -502.58 to -320.34), dinoprostone (MD -131.60 ml, 95% CI -253.42 to -9.78), loop ligation of the myoma pseudocapsule (MD -305.01 ml, 95% CI -354.83 to -255) and a fibrin sealant patch (MD -26.50, 95%CI -44.47 to -8.53) may reduce blood loss during myomectomy. However, since we did not include trials with head-to-head comparisons, we cannot draw any conclusions about the superiority of one intervention over the other. Due to the small reduction in blood loss (< 70 ml), the use of bupivacaine plus epinephrine and a fibrin sealant patch have limited clinical importance. At present there is no evidence that oxytocin, myoma enucleation by morcellation, and the temporary clipping of uterine arteries during myomectomy have an effect on intraoperative blood loss.

### **Implications for research**

There is a need for well designed randomised controlled trials to shed more light on the effectiveness of different interventions in reducing blood loss during myomectomy. To date, a few randomised trials, often will small sample sizes, have addressed this issue and so there is a need to fill the current gap of knowledge which has very important practical implications. Apart from the effectiveness, data on the cost-effectiveness, future pregnancy (if desired), and adverse effects of different interventions are lacking. This is important for clinical decision making since such decisions are based on trade offs between benefits on the one hand and costs and adverse events on the other.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We wish to thank Professor Justus Hofmeyr (Effective Care Research Unit, East London, South Africa) for the training course he organised in July 2004 on randomised controlled trials, systematic reviews, and the use of Revman. The course, attended by the lead author of this review, has been instrumental in developing this systematic review. We also wish to thank Professor Cindy Farquhar, Anne Lyddiatt, Anne Lethaby, Michael Mara, and Roger Hart whose comments helped in the completion of the original version of this review as well as the update. Last but not the least, we wish to acknowledge Josie Rishworth for translating one article included in the review from Italian to English.

### REFERENCES

### References to studies included in this review

### Agostini 2005 {published data only}

\* Agostini A, Ronda I, Franchi F, Bretelle F, Roger V, Cravello L, et al. Oxytocin during myomectomy: a randomized study. *European Journal of Obstetrics, Gynecology, and Reproductive Biology* 2005;**118**:235-8.

### Assaf 1999 {published data only}

\* Assaf A. Adhesions after laparoscopic myomectomy: effect of the technique used. *Gynaecological Endoscopy* 1999;**8**:225-9.

### **Benassi 2000** {published data only}

\* Benassi L, Lopopolo G, Pazzoni F, Ricci L, Kaihura C, Piazza F, et al. Chemically assisted dissection of tissues: an interesting support in abdominal myomectomy. *Journal of the American College of Surgeons* 2000;**191**:65-9.

### Caglar 2008 {published data only}

\* Caglar GS, Tasci Y, Kayikcioglu F, Haberal A. Intravenous tranexamic acid use in myomectomy: a prospective randomized double-blind placebo controlled study. *European Journal of Obstetrics, Gynecology, and Reproductive Biology* 2008;**137**(2):227-31.

### Celik 2003 {published data only}

\* Celik H, Sapmaz E. Use of a single preoperative dose of misoprostol is efficacious for patients who undergo abdominal myomectomy. *Fertility and Sterility* 2003;**79**:1207-10.

### Frederick 1994 {published data only}

\* Frederick J, Fletcher H, Simeon D, Mullings A, Hardie M. Intramyometrial vasopressin as a haemostatic agent during myomectomy. *British Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology* 1994;**101**:435-7.

### **Ikechebelu 2010** {published data only}

Ikechebelu JI, Ezeama CO, Obiechina NJ. The use of torniquet to reduce blood loss at myomectomy. *Nigerian Journal of Clinical Practice* 2010;**13**(2):154-8.

### Kalogiannidis 2011 {published data only}

Kalogiannidis I, Xiromeritis P, Prapas N, Prapas Y. Intravaginal misoprostol reduces intraoperative blood loss in minimally invasive myomectomy: a randomized clinical trial. *Clinical and Experimental Obstetrics and Gynecology* 2011;**38**(1):46-9.

### Leone Maggiore 2011 {published data only}

Maggiore Leone Roberti U, Alessandri F, Ferrero S. Tachosil application after laparoscopic myomectomy: A prospective randomized trial [Applicazione di Tachosil dopo miomectomia laparoscopica: studio prospettico randomizzato]. *Italian Journal* of Gynaecology and Obstetrics 2011;**23**(4):147-54.

### **Pourmatroud 2012** {*published data only*}

Pourmatroud E, Hormozi L, Masoud H, Golshahi R. Intravenous ascobi acid (vitamin C) administration in myomectomy: a prospective, randomized, clinical trial. *Archives of Gynecology and Obstetrics* 2012;**285**:111-5.

### **Raga 2009** {*published data only*}

Raga F, Sanz-Cortes M, Bonilla F, Casan EM, Bonilla-Musoles F. Reducing blood loss at myomectomy with use of a gelatinthrombin matrix hemostatic sealant. *Fertility and Sterility* 2009;**92**(1):356-60.

### Shokeir 2013 {published data only}

Shokeir T, Shalaby H, Nabil H, Barakat R. Reducing blood loss at abdominal myomectomy with preoperative use of dinoprostone intravaginal suppository: a randomized placebo-controlled pilot study. *European Journal of Obstetrics, Gynecology, and Reproductive Biology* 2013;**166**:61-4.

### Sinha 2005 {published data only}

\* Sinha R, Hedge A, Warty N, Mahajan C. Laparoscopic myomectomy: Enucleation of the myoma by morcellation while attached to the uterus. *Journal of Minimally Invasive Gynecology* 2005;**12**:284-9.

### Taylor 2005 {published data only}

\* Taylor A, Sharma M, Tsirkas P, Di Spiezio Sardo A, Setchell M, Magos A. Reducing blood loss at open myomectomy using triple tourniquets: a randomized controlled trial. *International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology* 2005;**112**:340-5.

### Vercellino 2012 {published data only}

Vercellino G, Erdemoglu E, Joe A, Hopfenmueller W, Holthaus B, Kohler C, Schneider A, Hasenbein K, Chiantera V. Laparoscopic temporary clipping of uterine artery during laparoscopic myomectomy. *Arch Gynecol Obstet* 2012;**286**(5):1181-1186.

### Wang 2007 {published data only}

\* Wang CJ, Lee CL, Yuen LT, Kay N, Han CM, Soong YK. Oxytocin infusion in laparoscopic myomectomy may decrease operative blood loss. *Journal of Minimally Invasive Gynecology* 2007;**14**(2):184-8.

### Zhao 2011 {published data only}

Zhao F, Jiao Y, Guo Z, Hou R, Wang M. Evaluation of loop ligation of larger myoma pseudocapsule combined with vasopressin on laparoscopic myomectomy. *Fertility and Sterility* 2011;**95**(2):762-6.

### Zullo 2004 {published data only}

\* Zullo F, Palomba S, Corea D, Pellicano M, Russo T, Falbo A, et al. Bupivacaine plus epinephrine for laparoscopic myomectomy: a randomized placebo-controlled trial. *Obstetrics and Gynecology* 2004;**104**:243-9.

### References to studies excluded from this review

### **Alborzi 2009** {published data only (unpublished sought but not used)}

Alborzi S, Ghannadan E, Alborzi S, Alborzi M. A comparison of combined laparoscopic uterine artery ligation and myomectomy versus laparoscopic myomectomy in treatment of symptomatic myoma. *Fertility and Sterility* 2009;**92**(2):742-7.



### Alessandri 2006 {published data only}

Alessandri F, Lijoi D, Mistrangelo E, Ferrero S, Ragni N. Randomized study of laparoscopic versus minilaparotomic myomectomy for uterine myomas. *Journal of Minimally Invasive Gynecology* 2006;**13**(2):92-7.

### Alessandri 2010 {published data only}

Alessandri F, Remorgida V, Venturini PL, Ferrero S. Unidirectional barbed suture versus continuous suture with intracorporeal knots in laparoscopic myomectomy: a randomized study. *Journal of Minimally Invasive Gynecology* 2010;**17**(6):725-9.

### Al-Shabibi 2010 {published data only}

Al-Shabibi N, Korkontzelos I, Gkioulekas N, Stamatopoulos C, Tsibanakos I, Magos A. Cable ties as tourniquets at open myomectomy. *International Journal of Gynecology and Obstetrics* 2010;**110**(3):265-6.

### Chang 2009 {published data only}

Chang WC, Huang SC, Sheu BC, Shih JC, Hsu WC, Chen SY, Chang DY. Changes in uterine blood flow following laparoscopic myomectomy with or without uterine artery ligation on twoand three-dimensional power Doppler ultrasound. *Ultrasound in Obstetrics and Gynaecology* 2009;**33**(2):221-7.

### Chang 2011 {published data only}

Chang WC, Chou LY, Chang DY, Huang PS, Huang SC, Chen SY, Sheu BC. Simultaneous laparoscopic uterine artery ligation and laparoscopic myomectomy for symptomatic uterine myomas with and without in situ morcellation. *Human Reproduction* 2011;**26**(7):1735-40.

### Chang 2012 {published data only}

Chang WC, Huang PS, Wang PH, Chang DY, Huang SC, Chen SY, et al. Comparison of laparoscopic myomectomy using in situ morcellation with and without uterine artery ligation for treatment of symptomatic myomas. *Journal of Minimally Invasive Surgery* 2012;**19**(6):715-21.

### Fletcher 1996 {published data only}

Fletcher H, Frederick J, Hardie M, Simeon D. A randomized comparison of vasopressin and tourniquet as hemostatic agents during myomectomy. *Obstetrics and Gynecology* 1996;**87**:1014-8.

### Frederick 2013 {published data only}

Frederick S, Frederick J, Fletcher H, Reid M, Hardie M, Gardner W. A trial comparing the use of rectal misoprostol plus perivascular vasopressin with perivascular vasopressin alone to decrease myometrial bleeding at the time of abdominal myomectomy. *Fertility and Sterility* 2013;**100**(4):1044-9.

### Ginsburg 1993 {published data only}

Ginsburg ES, Benson CB, Garfield JM, Gleason RE, Friedman AJ. The effect of operative technique and uterine size on blood loss during myomectomy: a prospective randomized study. *Fertility and Sterility* 1993;**60**:956-62.

### Kathiresan 2011 {published data only}

Kathiresan AS, Brookfield KF, Gonzalez-quintero VH, Verma U. Vasopressin versus a combination of vasopressin and tourniquets: a comparison of blood loss in patients undergoing abdominal myomectomies. *Australian & New Zealand Journal of Obstetrics & Gynaecology* 2011;**51**(1):79-83.

### Kimura 2002 {published data only}

Kimura T, Kusui, Matsumura Y, Ogita K, Isaka S, Nakajima A, et al. Effectiveness of hormonal tourniquet by vasopressin during myomectomy through vasopressin V1a receptor ubiquitously expressed in the myometrium. *Gynecologic and Obstetric Investigation* 2002;**54**:125-31.

### Lee 2009 {published data only}

Lee KH, Park LH. Rapid and secure laparoscopic myomectomy: laparoscopic myomectomy with morcellation and suture by ultraminilaparotomy – a prospective study. Molecular Human Reproduction: 25th Annual Meeting of the European Society of Human Reproduction and Embryology, ESHRE Amsterdam Netherlands. Oxford: Oxford University Press, June 2009:i235.

### Lin 2008 {published data only}

Lin XN, Zhang SY, Fang SH, Wang MZ, Lou HY. Assessment of different homeostatic methods used in laparoscopic intramural myomectomy. *Zhonghua Yi Xue Za Zhi* 2008;**88**(13):905-8.

### Liu 2004 {published data only}

Liu W, Tang W, Wang I, Tzeng C. Combined laparoscopic uterine artery occlusion and mini-laparotomy in treating recurrent uterine myomas. 34th Global Congress on Minimally Invasive Gynecology. Chicago, USA, 9-12 November 2005.

Liu W, Tzeng C, Yi-Jen C, Wang P. Combining the uterine depletion procedure and myomectomy may be useful for treating symptomatic fibroids. *Fertility and Sterility* 2004;**82**:205-10.

### Morita 2004 {published data only}

Morita M, Asakawa Y, Uchiide I, Nakakuma M, Kubo H. Surgery results using different uterine wall incision directions in laparoscopic myomectomy of the intramural myoma. *Reproductive Medicine and Biology* 2004;**3**:33-7.

### Mousa 2012 {published data only}

Mousa SA, Yassen AM, Alhadary HS, Sadek EES, Abdel-Hady E-S. Hematological profile and transfusion requirement during hysteroscopic myomectomy: A comparative study between oxytocin and tranexamic acid infusion. *Egyptian Journal of Anaesthesia* 2012;**28**(2):125-32.

### Narin 2007 {published data only}

Narin MA, Caliskan E, Kayikcioglu F, Haberal A, Meydanli MM. Blood loss and power Doppler ultrasound characteristics of uterine artery blood flow after 2 levels of bilateral internal iliac artery ligation before extensive myomectomies. *The Journal of Reproductive Medicine* 2007;**52**(8):696-702.



### Ngeh 2004 {published data only}

Ngeh N, Belli A, Morgan R, Manyonda I. Pre-myomectomy uterine embolization minimizes operative blood loss. *British Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology* 2004;**111**:1139-40.

### Rossetti 1999 {published data only}

Rossetti A, Paccosi M, Sizzi O, Zulli S, Mancuso S, Lanzone A. Dilute ornitin vasopressin and a myoma drill for laparoscopic myomectomy. *The Journal of the American Association of Gynecologic Laparoscopists* 1999;**6**:189-93.

### Sapmaz 2003B {published data only}

Sapmaz E, Celik H, Altungil A. Bilateral ascending uterine artery ligation vs tourniquet use for hemostasis in cesarian myomectomy. A comparison. *The Journal of Reproductive Medicine* 2003;**48**:950-4.

### Sapmaz 2003C {published data only}

Sapmaz E, Celik H. Comparison of the effects of the ligation of ascending branches of bilateral arteria uteria with tourniquet method on the intra-operative and post-operative hemorrhage in abdominal myomectomy cases. *European Journal of Obstetrics, Gynecology, and Reproductive Biology* 2003;**11**:74-7.

### Wang 2011 {published data only}

Wang JJ, Yang F, Gao T, Li L, Xia H, Li HF. Gasless laparoscopy versus conventional laparoscopy in uterine myomectomy: a single-centre randomized trial. *The Journal of International Medical Research* 2011;**39**:172-8.

### **References to ongoing studies**

### Atashkhoei 2012 {unpublished data only}

Atashkhoei S. Effect of oxytocin infusion on reducing operative blood loss during abdominal myomectomy. WHO International Trials Registry Platform 2012.

### Behdad 2013 {unpublished data only}

Behdad S. The effect of infusion of vitamin C during myomectomy on the blood loss during the procedure and postoperative pain. WHO International Trials Registry Platform 2013.

### **Additional references**

### Aubuchon 2002

Aubuchon M, Pinto AB, Williams DB. Treatment of uterine fibroids. *Primary Care Update for Ob/Gyns* 2002;**9**:231-7.

### Baldoni 1995

Baldoni A, Moscioni P, Colonnelli M, Affronti G, Garardi G. The possibility of using sulprostone during laparoscopic myomectomy in uterine fibromyomatosis. Preminary studies. *Minerva Ginecologica* 1995;**47**:341-6.

### Burkert 1983

Burkert H. Clinical overview of mesna. *Cancer Treatment Review* 1983;**10 Suppl A**:175-87.

### Cooper 2000

Cooper JM, Brady RM. Intraoperative and early postoperative complications of operative hysteroscopy. *Obstetrics and Gynecology Clinics of North America* 2000;**27**:347-66.

### DeMets 1987

DeMets DL. Practical aspects in monitoring: a brief review. *Statistics in Medicine* 1987;**6**:753-60.

### Denaro 2001

Denaro V, Forriol F, Di Martino A, Denaro L, Papalia R, Caione G. Effect of a mucolytic agent on collagen fibres. An optical and polarized light histology study. *European Journal of Orthopaedic Surgery & Traumatology* 2001;**11**:209-12.

### Derman 1991

Derman G, Rehnstrm J, Neuwirth S. The long-term effectiveness of hysteroscopic treatment of menorrhagia and leiomyomas. *Obstetrics and Gynecology* 1991;**77**:591-4.

### **DerSimonian 1986**

DerSimonian R, Laird N. Meta-analysis in clinical trials. *Controlled Clinical Trials* 1986;**7**:177-88.

### Dimitrov 1999

Dimitrov A. The use of the vasoconstrictor hemostatic Remestyp in surgical obstetrics. *Akusherstvo i Ginekologiia (Sofia)* 1999;**38**:58-60.

### **Dubuisson 1997**

Dubuisson JB, Chapron C, Fauconnier A, Kreiker G. Laparoscopic myomectomy and myolysys. *Current Opinion in Obstetrics & Gynecology* 1997;**9**:233-8.

### Dunn 1999

Dunn CJ, Goa KL. Tranexamic acid: a review of its use in surgery and other indications. *Drugs* 1999;**57**(6):1005-32.

### Farquhar 2002

Farquhar CM, Brown PM, Furness S. Cost-effectiveness of preoperative gonadotrophin releasing analogues for women with uterine fibroids undergoing hysterectomy or myomectomy. *British Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology* 2002;**109**:1273-80.

### Fedele 1995

Fedele L, Parazzini F, Luchini L, Mezzopane R, Tozzi L, Villa L. Recurrence of fibroids after myomectomy: a transvaginal ultrasonographic study. *Human Reproduction* 1995;**10**:1795-6.

### Frederick 2002

Frederick J, Hardie M, Reid M, Fletcher H, Wynter S, Frederick C. Operative morbidity and reproductive outcomes in secondary myomectomy: a prospective cohort. *Human Reproduction* 2002;**17**:2967-71.

### Fuchs 1984

Fuchs AR, Fuchs F, Husslein P, Soloff MS. Oxytocin receptors in the human uterus during pregnancy and parturition. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology* 1984;**150**:734-41.



### Garnet 1964

Garnet JD. Uterine rupture during pregnancy. *Obstetrics and Gynecology* 1964;**23**:898-905.

### Hasson 1992

Hasson HM, Rotman C, Rana N. Laparoscopic myomectomy. *Obstetrics and Gynecology* 1992;**80**:884-8.

### Higgins 2011

Higgins JPT, Green S, editors. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions Version 5.1.0 [updated March 2011]. http://www.cochrane.org/training/cochranehandbook/ (accessed 30th March 2011).

### Holub 2003

Hulob Z, Lukac J, Kliment L, Urbanet S. Short-term results from laparoscopic dissection of uterine vessels in women with symptomatic fibroids. *European Journal of Obstetrics, Gynecology, and Reproductive Biology* 2003;**110**(1):94-8.

### Hurst 2005

Hurst BS, Mathews ML, Marshburn PB. Laparoscopic myomectomy for symptomatic uterine myomas. *Fertility and Sterility* 2005;**83**(1):1-23.

### Hutchins 1996

Hutchins FL Jr. A randomized comparison of vasopressin and tourniquet as hemostatic agents during myomectomy. *Obstetrics and Gynecology* 1996;**88**:639-40.

### lverson 1996

Iverson RE Jr, Chelmow D, Strohbehn K, Waldman L, Evanstash EG. Relative morbidity of abdominal hysterectomy and myomectomy for the management of uterine leiomyomas. *Obstetrics and Gynecology* 1996;**88**:415-9.

### lverson 1999

Iverson RE Jr, Chelmow D, Strohbehn K. Myomectomy fever: testing the dogma. *Fertility and Sterility* 1999;**72**:104-8.

### Jacoby 2010

Jacoby VL, Fujimoto VY, Giudice LC, Kuppermann M, Washington E. Racial and ethnic disparities in benign gynecologic conditions and associated surgeries. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology* 2010;**202**(6):514-21.

### Koh 2003

Koh MBC, Hunt BJ. The management of perioperative bleeding. *Blood Reviews* 2003;**17**:179-85.

### LaMote 1993

LaMote AI, Lalwani S, Diamond MP. Morbidity associated with abdominal myomectomy. *Obstetrics and Gynecology* 1993;**82**:897-900.

### Lethaby 2001

Lethaby A, Vollenhoven B, Sowter M. Pre-operative GnRH analogue therapy before hysterectomy or myomectomy for uterine fibroids. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2001, Issue 2. [DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD000547]

### Liu 2001

Liu WM, Ng HT, Wu YC, Yen YK, Yuan CC. Laparoscopic bipolar coagulation of uterine vessels: a new method for treating symptomatic fibroids. *Fertility and Sterility* 2001;**75**:417-22.

### Lumsden 2002

Lumsden MA. Embolization versus myomectomy versus hysterectomy: which is best, when?. *Human Reproduction* 2002;**17**:253-9.

### McLaughin 1985

McLaughin DS. Metroplasty and myomectomy with CO<sub>2</sub> laser for maximizing the preservation of normal tissue and minimizing blood loss. *The Journal of Reproductive Medicine* 1985;**30**:1-9.

### Nishiyama 2006

Nishiyama S, Saito M, Sato K, Kurishito M, Itasaka T, Shioda K. High recurrence rate of uterine fibroids on transvaginal ultrasound after abdominal myomectomy in Japanese women. *Gynecologic and Obstetric Investigation* 2006;**61**:155-9.

### Ravina 1995

Ravina JH, Herbreteau D, Ciraru-Vigneron N, et al. Arterial embolisation to treat uterine myomata. *Lancet* 1995;**346**:671-72.

### Somigliana 2008

Somigliana E, Vercellini P, Benaglia L, Abbiati A, Barbara G, Fedele L. The role of myomectomy in fertility enhancement. *Current Opinion in Obstetrics & Gynecology* 2008;**20**(4):379-85.

### Tulandi 1993

Tulandi T, Murray C, Gualnick M. Adhesion formation and reproductive outcome after myomectomy and second-look laparoscopy. *Obstetrics and Gynecology* 1993;**82**:213-5.

### Vercellini 1993

Vercellini P, Vendola N, Ragni G, Trespidi L, Oldani S, Crosignani PG. Abnormal uterine bleeding associated with irondeficiency anaemia: Etiology and role of hysteroscopy. *The Journal of Reproductive Medicine* 1993;**38**:502-4.

### Vollenhoven 1990

Vollenhoven BJ, Lawrence AS, Healey DL. Uterine fibroids. A clinical review. *British Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology* 1990;**97**:285-98.

### References to other published versions of this review

### Kongnyuy 2007

Kongnyuy EJ, Wiysonge CS. Interventions to reduce haemorrhage during myomectomy for fibroids. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2007, Issue 1. [DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD005355.pub3]

### Kongnyuy 2008

Kongnyuy EJ, van den Broek N, Wiysonge CS. A systematic review of randomised controlled trials to reduce hemorrhage during myomectomy for uterine fibroids. *International Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics* 2008;**100**(1):4-9.



### Kongnyuy 2009

Kongnyuy EJ, Wiysonge CS. Interventions to reduce haemorrhage during myomectomy for fibroids. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2009, Issue 3. [DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD005355.pub3]

### Kongnyuy 2011

Kongnyuy EJ, Wiysonge CS. Interventions to reduce haemorrhage during myomectomy for fibroids. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2011, Issue 11. [DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD005355.pub4]

\* Indicates the major publication for the study

### CHARACTERISTICS OF STUDIES

**Characteristics of included studies** [ordered by study ID]

### Agostini 2005

0	
Methods	Single centre study. Described as randomised, but no details on how patients were allocated to trial in- terventions Patients, care providers, and outcome assessors were blinded to treatment allocation Power calculation performed No losses to follow up, and all patients were analysed in groups to which they were assigned Source of funding not mentioned
Participants	Setting: university hospital in Marseille, France Inclusion criteria: patients with uterine myoma who required abdominal or vaginal myomectomy Exclusion criteria: preoperative embolisation and preoperative administration of any GnRh analogue n = 94 Mean age: 40 years (SD 5.2) in the treatment group and 39 years (SD 4.3) in the placebo group Ethnicity: not described Sizes of the fibroids: no significant difference in the weight and number of myomas between the treat- ment and control groups
Interventions	Treatment arm (n = 47): 15 IU oxytocin administered by an IV infusion of 125cm <sup>3</sup> of physiological serum over 30 min, beginning at the start of uterine incision Control group (n = 47): physiological serum (placebo) administered in place of oxytocin Type of operation: laparotomy or vaginal route
Outcomes	Perioperative blood loss, blood transfusion, and duration of surgery Blood loss was estimated using both the blood aspirated into the canisters, minus the irrigant, and the blood absorbed in the lap sponges
Notes	Indications for myomectomy: bleeding, pelvic pain, and fertility Authors not contacted
<b>D</b> : 1 - (1): -	

### Risk of bias

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence genera- tion (selection bias)	Unclear risk	Described as randomised, but no details on how patients were allocated to tri- al interventions
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Unclear risk	Unclear
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	Low risk	No incomplete outcome data

#### Cochrane Library Trusted evidence. Informed decisions. Better health.

### Agostini 2005 (Continued)

Selective reporting (re- porting bias)	Unclear risk	We do not have access to the study protocol
Other bias	Low risk	No other sources of bias identified
Blinding of participants and personnel (perfor- mance bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Both participants and care providers were blinded to treatment allocation
Blinding of outcome as- sessment (detection bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Outcome assessors were blinded to treatment allocation

### Assaf 1999

Methods	Single centre study. Pa scription is given of ho Neither patients nor ca Power calculation: not No losses to follow up, Source of funding: not	tients allocated to trial interventions using a random numbers table (but no de- w the table was generated) re providers were blinded done and all patients were analysed in groups to which they were assigned mentioned	
Participants	Setting: university hospital in Cairo, Egypt Inclusion criteria: infertile patients with fewer than 3 myomas, the largest of which was less than 7 cm, myoma on the anterior wall, subserous-interstitial myoma, and no procedure other than myomectomy required Exclusion criteria: posterior myoma, subserous myoma only, myoma smaller than 3 cm, operative pro- cedures in addition to myomectomy n = 38 Mean age: 33.4 years (SD 2.2) in both groups Ethnicity: not described Myoma sizes: 30-70 mm		
Interventions	Treatment arm (n = 21): ornipressin injection (5IU) diluted in 100 ml saline was injected to form 2 to 3 weals in the base of the myomas, immediately prior to incision of the uterine capsule Control group (n = 17): no treatment offered All patients had second-look laparoscopy 1 month after the original procedure and were then followed up for 1 year Type of operation: laparotomy		
Outcomes	Perioperative blood loss, operation time, hospital stay, adhesions, and pregnancy outcome		
Notes	Indications for myomectomy: infertility after excluding all other causes except fibroid Authors not contacted		
Risk of bias			
Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement	
Random sequence genera- tion (selection bias)	Unclear risk	Patients allocated to trial interventions using a random numbers table (but no description is given of how the table was generated)	

### Cochrane Library

Trusted evidence. Informed decisions. Better health.

### Assaf 1999 (Continued)

Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Unclear risk	Unclear
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	Low risk	No incomplete outcome data
Selective reporting (re- porting bias)	Unclear risk	We do not have access to the study protocol
Other bias	Low risk	No other sources of bias identified
Blinding of participants and personnel (perfor- mance bias) All outcomes	High risk	Neither patients nor care providers were blinded
Blinding of outcome as- sessment (detection bias) All outcomes	Unclear risk	Unblinded

### Benassi 2000

Bias	Authors' judgement Support for judgement
Risk of bias	
	Authors not contacted
Notes	Indications for myomectomy: menorrhagia, pelvic pain, and compression
Outcomes	Postoperative haemoglobin and haematocrit, duration of operation, hospital stay, and postoperative complications
Interventions	Treatment group (n = 29): use of sodium-2-mercaptoethane sulfonate (mesna) for chemical dissection (removal of fibroids with the aid of a chemical substance that breaks down tissues) of myoma during myomectomy Control group (n = 29): use of saline for dissection of myomas Type of operation: laparotomy
Participants	Setting: university hospital, Parma, Italy Inclusion criteria: patients with symptomatic fibroids (menorrhagia, pelvic pain, and compression) Exclusion criteria: use of hormonal substances within 6 months proceeding myomectomy, previous uterine surgery, pelvic inflammatory diseases n = 58 Age range: 25-45 years Ethnicity not described Size of myomas: 2-17 cm
Methods	Single centre study Allocation to interventions using computer-generated random numbers Patients blinded, but assessors unblinded Power calculation: not done No losses to follow up, and all patients were analysed in groups to which they were assigned Source of funding: not mentioned
# Cochrane Library

Trusted evidence. Informed decisions. Better health.

#### Benassi 2000 (Continued)

Random sequence genera- tion (selection bias)	Low risk	Allocation to interventions using computer-generated random numbers
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Low risk	Adequate
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	Low risk	No incomplete outcome data
Selective reporting (re- porting bias)	Unclear risk	We do not have access to the study protocol
Other bias	Low risk	No other sources of bias identified
Blinding of participants and personnel (perfor- mance bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Patients blinded
Blinding of outcome as- sessment (detection bias) All outcomes	High risk	Outcome assessors unblinded

# Caglar 2008

Methods	Single centre study
	Patients were randomised according to a computer-generated sequence
	Participants allocated into groups by sequentially numbered drug containers of identical appearance
	Patients, surgeons and anaesthesiologists were blinded
	Power calculation: performed
	No losses to follow up and all patients were analysed in the group in which they were allocated
	No details given about the source of funding
Participants	Setting: Ankara Etlik Maternity and Women's Health Teaching Research Hospital, Ankara, Turkey
	Inclusion criteria: participants were women scheduled for myomectomy due to myoma uteri
	Exclusion criteria: patients with malignancy, history of thromboembolic disease, ischaemic heart dis- ease, subarachnoidal bleeding, hematuria, and body mass index >30 were excluded
	n = 100
	Age: mean age of the patients was 35.3 $\pm$ 5 years (range 23 to 40)
	Ethnicity: not described
	Total volume of myomas: 457cm <sup>3</sup> (SD = 669) in the intervention group and 286cm <sup>3</sup> (SD = 259) in the control group
Interventions	Treatment arm (n = 50): a bolus intravenous injection of tranexamic acid (a medication that prevents bleeding by inhibiting the breakdown of blood clots) 10mg/kg (maximum 1g) 15 min before incision followed by continuous infusion of 1mg/kg/h dissolved in 1 L of saline for 10 h (maximum 1 g/10 h)

Caglar 2008 (Continued)	Control arm (n = 50): a bolus injection of placebo (saline of similar volume to tranexamic acid) 15 min before incision followed by continuous infusion of 1 L of saline for 10 h Type of operation: laparotomy
Outcomes	Perioperative blood loss, postoperative blood loss, total blood loss, duration of surgery, postoperative haemoglobin, postoperative haematocrit, blood transfusion requirements on ward
Notes	Authors contacted No significant difference in the baseline characteristics such as age, body mass index, bleeding time, coagulation time, prothrombin time, the number and volume of myomas removed between the inter- vention and control groups

Risk of bias		
Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence genera- tion (selection bias)	Low risk	Patients were randomised according to a computer-generated sequence
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Low risk	Participants allocated into groups by sequentially numbered drug containers of identical appearance
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	Low risk	No incomplete outcome data
Selective reporting (re- porting bias)	Unclear risk	We do not have access to the study protocol
Other bias	Low risk	No other sources of bias identified
Blinding of participants and personnel (perfor- mance bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Participants and personnel were blinded
Blinding of outcome as- sessment (detection bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Outcome assessors were blinded

#### Celik 2003

Methods	Single centre study
	Described as randomised, but no details on how patients were allocated to trial interventions Both patients, care providers, and outcome assessors were blinded to treatment allocation Power calculation: performed No losses to follow up, and all patients were analysed in groups to which they were assigned Source of funding: not mentioned
Participants	Setting: university hospital, Elazig, Turkey Inclusion criteria: symptomatic uterine fibroids scheduled for myomectomy n = 25 Mean age: 31.7 years (SD 4.4) in the treatment arm and 32.2 years (SD 2.9) in the placebo group Ethnicity: not mentioned



Celik 2003 (Continued)	
Interventions	Treatment arm (n = 13): 400 uG misoprostol administered vaginally 1 hour before surgery Control arm (n = 12): placebo of identical shape and colour Sizes of fibroids: mean diameter of myomas 150.7 mm (SD 28.6) in the treatment arm and 154.2 mm (SD 24.7) in the control arm Type of operation: laparotomy
Outcomes	Perioperative blood loss, postoperative haemoglobin, operation time, blood transfusion, hospital stay, and postoperative morbidity
	Blood loss determined by aspiration equipment during the operation
Notes	Indication for myomectomy: symptomatic uterine fibroid
	Authors not contacted

#### **Risk of bias**

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence genera- tion (selection bias)	Unclear risk	Described as randomised, but no details on how patients were allocated to tri- al interventions
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Unclear risk	Unclear
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	Low risk	No incomplete outcome data
Selective reporting (re- porting bias)	Unclear risk	We do not have access to the study protocol
Other bias	Low risk	No other sources of bias identified
Blinding of participants and personnel (perfor- mance bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Both participants and personnel were blinded to treatment allocation
Blinding of outcome as- sessment (detection bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Outcome assessors were blinded to treatment allocation

Frederick 1994		
Methods	Single centre study Randomisation by drawing numbers from a box. The numbers ranged from 1 to 20: when an odd num ber was drawn the patient received vasopressin, whereas an even number resulted in placebo being given Patients, care providers, and outcome assessors were blinded Power calculation: performed but study stopped half-way and the code broken because of heavy bleeding in 10 patients No losses to follow up, and all patients were analysed in groups to which they were assigned Source of funding: not mentioned	1-
Participants	Setting: university hospital, Kingston, Jamaica Inclusion criteria: symptomatic uterine myoma at least size of 14 weeks gestation	
Interventions to reduce	haemorrhage during myomectomy for fibroids (Review)	35

Copyright @ 2015 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.



Frederick 1994 (Continued)	Exclusion criteria: contraindication to vasopressin (notably cardiovascular and respiratory diseases) n = 20 Median age: 32 years (range 24-40) Ethnicity: not described Sizes of fibroids: 5.3-14.0 cm
Interventions	Treatment (n = 10): injection into the broad ligaments of 20 units/ml of vasopressin (i.e. 1ml diluted in 19 ml saline) made up at the time of surgery Control: n = 10, Injection of placebo (20 ml normal saline) Type of operation: laparotomy
Outcomes	Perioperative blood loss, blood transfusion
Notes	Indications for myomectomy: menorrhagia, recurrent miscarriage, subfertility Authors not contacted

#### Risk of bias

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence genera- tion (selection bias)	Unclear risk	Randomisation by drawing numbers from a box
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Unclear risk	Unclear
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	Low risk	No incomplete outcome data
Selective reporting (re- porting bias)	Unclear risk	We do not have access to the study protocol
Other bias	Low risk	No other sources of bias identified
Blinding of participants and personnel (perfor- mance bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Participants and personnel were blinded
Blinding of outcome as- sessment (detection bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Outcome assessors were blinded

Ikechebelu 2010	
Methods	Single study centre. Patients were randomly allocated to either the control group (n = 39), or the in- tervention group (n = 54). The method of randomisation is not mentioned. Informed consent was ob- tained in all cases before the procedure
	Power calculation: Not done
	Loss to follow up: There was no loss to follow up and all patients were analysed in the group in which they were allocated
Participants	Setting: Tertiary University Teaching hospital in Nigeria

incentebeta 2010 (continuea)	Inclusion criteria: Not s	tated
	Exclusion criteria: Not s	stated
	Mean age: The mean ag similar in the two group	ge of patients was 35 years (standard deviation 6.1 years). The mean age was os
	Ethnicity: Not mention	ed
	Myoma: 83.9% were int nificant difference with	rramural, 53.8% were subserous and 33.0% were submucous. There was no sig- regards to fibroid location
Interventions	Treatment group (tourniquet): Foley catheter was used as an improvised tourniquet applied to the base of the uterus close to the insertion of the uterosacral ligaments. The Fallopian tubes and the ovaries were carefully excluded from the line of the tourniquet to avoid direct compression and necrosis. The tourniquet was released intermittently (at about 30 minutes interval) during the surgery and finally removed after the repair of the uterus	
	Control group (no tourn improvised tourniquet	niquet): Myomectomy was performed without the use of any uterine clamp or
	Type of operation: Lapa	arotomy
Outcomes	Blood loss, transfusion	rate, and postoperative complications
Notes	Authors not contacted	
Risk of bias		
Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence genera- tion (selection bias)	Unclear risk	It is unclear how the authors generated the random sequence
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Unclear risk	Allocation concealment is not mentioned
Allocation concealment (selection bias) Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	Unclear risk Low risk	Allocation concealment is not mentioned There was no incomplete outcome data
Allocation concealment (selection bias) Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes Selective reporting (re- porting bias)	Unclear risk Low risk Unclear risk	Allocation concealment is not mentioned There was no incomplete outcome data We do not have access to the study protocol
Allocation concealment (selection bias) Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes Selective reporting (re- porting bias) Other bias	Unclear risk Low risk Unclear risk Low risk	Allocation concealment is not mentioned There was no incomplete outcome data We do not have access to the study protocol No other sources of bias identified
Allocation concealment (selection bias) Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes Selective reporting (re- porting bias) Other bias Blinding of participants and personnel (perfor- mance bias) All outcomes	Unclear risk Low risk Unclear risk Low risk High risk	Allocation concealment is not mentioned There was no incomplete outcome data We do not have access to the study protocol No other sources of bias identified The control group receive no treatment, rather than placebo

Kalogiannidis 2011		
Methods	Randomised controllect ipants assigned to grou	l trial with 30 participants assigned to group Ι (misoprostol group) and 34 partic- ιp ΙΙ (placebo group)
	Prospective randomise 2009	d trial performed among women scheduled from February 2007 and February
	Setting: Hospital in Gre	ece
	Power calculation perfe	ormed
	No loss to follow up	
Participants	Inclusion criteria: mens ing between 30mm and masses were excluded	struating women aged ≤ 45 years, with three or less myomas of diameter rang- d 90mm. Patients with cervical or endometrial pathology as well as with adnexal
	Intervention group: me 4.6)	ean age of 37.2 years (SD = 6.5) and control group: mean age of 34.8 years (SD =
	Ethnicity not reported	
	Intervention group: n =	30
	Control group: n = 34	
Interventions	Intervention group rece received intravaginal p prostol. All patients had	eived 400mg intravaginal misoprostol 1hr before surgery and the control group lacebo 1hr before surgery. The intravaginal placebo was 'similar' to the miso- d laparoscopic myomectomy
Outcomes	Outcomes measured in of the operation, side-e irrigated and aspirated	Included postoperative Hb, postoperative anaemia, blood transfusion, duration Effects, and blood loss. Blood loss was estimated as the difference between the liquid from the peritoneal cavity during the operation (no gauze was used)
Notes		
Risk of bias		
Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence genera- tion (selection bias)	Unclear risk	Participants described as 'randomly' allocated to the intervention and control groups, but no details given
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Low risk	Allocation concealment was achieved using sequentially numbered opaque sealed envelopes
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	Low risk	No incomplete data reported
Selective reporting (re- porting bias)	Unclear risk	We do not have access to the study protocol
Other bias	Low risk	No other sources of bias identified
Blinding of participants and personnel (perfor- mance bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Both participants and health personnel were blinded



# Kalogiannidis 2011 (Continued)

Blinding of outcome as-	Low risk	Outcome assessors were blinded
sessment (detection bias)		
All outcomes		

Leone Maggiore 2011			
Methods	Randomised controlled trial with 70 participants randomised: 35 participants to Group A (Ta group) and 35 participants to Group B (no treatment group)		
	Setting: Italy		
Participants	Patients of reproductiv	/e age with intramural uterine fibroids	
	Inclusion criteria: only between the deepest p	patients with diagnosis of intramural fibroids via ultrasound where the distance part of the fibroid and the endometrium is ≥0.5cm	
	Exclusion criteria: won use of hormonal treatr quiring further surgica any women with a psy	nen with >3 fibroids, subserosal or submucosal fibroids, fibroid diameter >10cm, nents in the 6 months before surgery, previous uterine surgery, any pathology re- l treatment, BMI ≥ 29, women with contraindications to general anaesthesia, or chiatric condition that prevents informed consent	
	Ethinicity not reported		
Interventions	All myomectomies we	re done laparoscopically	
	Participants of the inte gen sponge with thron The control group rece Time required to apply	ervention group were administered fibrin sealant patch called Tachosil i.e. colla- nbin and fibrinogen used to stop local bleeding on internal organs (n=35) eived no additional treatment (n=35) v the Tachosil was measured	
	The median number of	f Tachosil used on each patient was 1 with a range of 1-3	
Outcomes	The outcomes measured included the postoperative complications, volume of blood collected intraop- eratively, volume of blood in drainage bag, No. of days with drainage bag and No. of days of hospitalisa- tion		
Notes			
Risk of bias			
Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement	
Random sequence genera- tion (selection bias)	Low risk	Randomisation by a "computer-generated list"	
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Low risk	A statistician with no role in the study assigned the groups into sealed, opaque envelopes in sequential order	
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	Low risk	There was no loss to follow up	
Selective reporting (re- porting bias)	Unclear risk	We do not have access to the study protocol	
Other bias	Low risk	No other sources of bias identified	



#### Leone Maggiore 2011 (Continued)

Blinding of participants and personnel (perfor- mance bias) All outcomes	High risk	Control group had no treatment rather than a placebo
Blinding of outcome as- sessment (detection bias) All outcomes	Low risk	A researcher who did not know to which group the patients were randomised, recorded the post-op complications, volume of blood in the drainage bag, and the no. of days the drainage bag was used

Pourmatroud 2012	
Methods	Randomised controlled trial involving 102 participants: 52 participants in received ascorbic acid and 50 participants received no treatment
	Setting: Tertiary hospital in Ahvaz, Iran
	From October 2009 to August 2010, women (n = 102) of reproductive age who were admitted for an ab- dominal myomectomy were randomly assigned to receive either ascorbic acid to no treatment
	No power calculation performed
Participants	At least one non-cavitary fibroma ≥ 40 mm <sup>2</sup> in size; a normal coagulation profile; non-smoker; no his- tory of chronic illness (renal failure, drug abuse, haemoglobinopathy or respiratory, liver or heart dis- ease); no history of recent hospitalization; regular consumption of fresh fruit or vegetables at least once a day and no history of glucose 6-phophatase dehydrogenase deficiency. Baseline characteristics were similar in both groups
	Ethnicity not described
Interventions	Intervention group received ascorbic acid 500mg in 15ml normal saline at a rate of 50ug/min, first am- poule of 500mg ascorbic acid given after reaching the uterus and before myomectomy, the second ad- ministered during myoma enucleation and the third during wound closure. After the operation, two ad- ditional ascorbic acid ampoules were infused at a rate of 20ug/min over the first 12h. Control group re- ceived no treatment. In both groups, myomectomy was performed by laparotomy
Outcomes	The outcomes measured were the size and number of myoma, duration of the operation, blood loss, haemoglobin and haematocrit 6h after the operation, blood transfusion, and other complications. Blood loss was measured by adding the blood collected via suction with the number of soaked surgical 4X4 gauze (each one assigned a value of 5 ml blood) and towels (each one was assigned a value of 50 ml blood)

Notes

**Risk of bias** 

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence genera- tion (selection bias)	Unclear risk	Participants described as 'randomly assigned' to the intervention and control groups. No details given on the method of random sequence generation
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Unclear risk	No details given on the method of allocation concealment
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	Low risk	No incomplete outcome data

#### Pourmatroud 2012 (Continued)

Selective reporting (re- porting bias)	Unclear risk	We do not have access to the study protocol
Other bias	Low risk	No other sources of bias identified
Blinding of participants and personnel (perfor- mance bias) All outcomes	High risk	It is described that the surgical team was blinded, but no information given on the blinding of study participants. However the control group did not receive an identical placebo
Blinding of outcome as- sessment (detection bias) All outcomes	Unclear risk	No information provided

Raga 2009	
Methods	Single study centre. Patients were randomly allocated to either the control group (n = 25), or the inter- vention group (n = 25) according to a computer-generated sequence. Informed consent was obtained in all cases according to the local ethics committee
	Power calculation: not done
	Loss of follow up: there was no loss to follow up and all patients were analysed in the group in which they were allocated
Participants	Setting: Tertiary University Teaching Hospital in Spain
	Inclusion criteria: symptomatic fibroids with uterine size greater or equal to 16 weeks gestation, and a request to retain their uterus
	Exclusion criteria: history of bleeding disorder, concurrent anticoagulant therapy, a haemoglobin level less than 10g/dl at the time of surgery, and pre-malignant endometrial histologic findings
	Mean age: the mean age of patients was 32 years (range 24-35 years)
	Ethnicity: not mentioned
	Myoma: mean number of fibroids per patient was 3.1 in the control and 3.2 in the intervention group. The mean uterine size was 17.9cm in the control group and 18.2cm in the intervention group
Interventions	Treatment group: gelatin-thrombin matrix (Floseal Matrix, Baxter: a substance that activates the clot- ting process and stops bleeding) was delivered to the site of the uterine bleeding (wound) via a sin- gle-barrel syringe and a special applicator tip according to the manufacturers instruction, before clo- sure
	Control group: isotonic sodium chloride solution was placed in the uterine bleeding site before closure
	Diluted vasopressin (1:60) was injected into the myometrium around the myoma and into the myoma tissue in both groups
	Type of operation: Laparotomy
Outcomes	Operative time, blood loss, intraoperative and postoperative complications, and duration of hospital stay
Notes	Authors not contacted
Risk of bias	



#### Raga 2009 (Continued)

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence genera- tion (selection bias)	Low risk	Patients were randomly allocated according to a computer generated se- quence
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Unclear risk	Allocation concealment is not mentioned
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	Low risk	No incomplete outcome data
Selective reporting (re- porting bias)	Unclear risk	We do not have access to the study protocol
Other bias	Low risk	No other sources of bias identified
Blinding of participants and personnel (perfor- mance bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Isotonic sodium chloride solution as placebo was placed in the uterine bleed- ing site before closure
Blinding of outcome as- sessment (detection bias) All outcomes	Unclear risk	Information on blinding of outcome assessment not provided

Shokeir 2013	
Methods	Randomised controlled trial with 54 participants assigned to receive a single dose of intravaginal 20mg dinoprostone and 54 participants received a placebo
	Setting: Mansoura University Hospital in Egypt
	Power calculation performed
Participants	Women with uterine leiomyomas. Exclusion criteria were any contraindication to dinoprostone, a known history of pelvic/ovarian endometriosis, a known history or active medical disease, a known history of previous myomectomy, women who had preoperative mifepristone, GnRH analogue or oral contraceptive pills, women with mental impairment or incompetent in giving consent and women who did not wish to participate in the study
	Ethnicity not reported
Interventions	The intervention group received 20mg dinoprostone (prostaglandin E2 analogue, medications that ar- rest bleeding by causing contraction of muscles of the uterus) vaginal suppository before the opera- tion. The control group received intravaginal placebo tablet of the same size and shape as dinopros- tone. All patients had open abdominal myomectomy
Outcomes	The primary outcome was blood loss and the secondary outcome measures were blood transfusion, change in Hb level, and incidence of side effects. Blood loss was estimated by measuring the amount of blood accumulated in the aspiration equipment and the amount of blood on the surgical gauze using alkaline hematin technique
Notes	

**Risk of bias** 



#### Shokeir 2013 (Continued)

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence genera- tion (selection bias)	Low risk	Patients were assigned serial numbers and were allocated through a stratified randomisation according to the surgeons. Random sequence was generated by computer in blocks of four
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Low risk	Group assignment was put into sealed, opaque envelopes. After recruitment the envelope was opened by the research nurse not involved in the project
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	Low risk	There were no incomplete data; No loss of follow up
Selective reporting (re- porting bias)	Unclear risk	We do not have access to the study protocol
Other bias	Low risk	No other sources of bias identified
Blinding of participants and personnel (perfor- mance bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Participants and the personnel were blinded
Blinding of outcome as- sessment (detection bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Outcome assessors were blinded

#### Sinha 2005

Methods	Single centre study Allocation by computer-generated random numbers Unblinded Power calculation: not performed 8.3% (4/48) patients excluded because of difficulties which led to them receiving the treatment of the intervention arm and not the control arm as randomised Intention-to-treat analysis: not performed Source of funding: not mentioned
Participants	Setting: private endoscopy centre, Mumbai, India Inclusion criteria: uterus larger than 12 weeks on bimanual examination, ultrasound confirmation of presence of at least one myoma 7 cm or greater and/or presence of three or more myomas greater than 5 cm in size Exclusion criteria: submucosal myoma, associated ovarian lesions or other pathology discovered by ul- trasound exam, a history of surgery n = 48 Mean age: 32.95 years (SD 4.65) in treatment arm and 33.8 years (SD 6.35) in control arm Ethnicity: not described Sizes of myomas: the mean size of the myoma was 7.6 cm (SD 4.2) in treatment arm and 7.6 cm (SD 4. 5) in the control arm
Interventions	Treatment arm (n = 24): enucleation of myoma by morcellation while still attached to uterus Control arm (n = 20): conventional technique of complete enucleation followed by morcellation Type of operation: laparoscopy
Outcomes	Perioperative blood loss, hospital stay, and length of surgery



#### Sinha 2005 (Continued)

Perioperative blood loss estimated by consistently sucking the blood into a suction bottle without any irrigation until the intracorporeal suturing was completed and the haemostasis confirmed

	ingution until the inter	
Notes	Indications for myomectomy: symptomatic myomas	
	Authors not contacted	
Risk of bias		
Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence genera- tion (selection bias)	Low risk	Allocation by computer-generated random numbers
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Low risk	Adequate
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	High risk	8.3% (4/48) patients excluded because of difficulties which led to them re- ceiving the treatment of the intervention arm and not the control arm as ran- domised.

Selective reporting (re- porting bias)	Unclear risk	We do not have access to the study protocol
Other bias	Low risk	No other sources of bias identified
Blinding of participants and personnel (perfor- mance bias) All outcomes	High risk	Unblinded
Blinding of outcome as- sessment (detection bias) All outcomes	High risk	Unblinded

#### Taylor 2005

Methods	Two centre study Allocation by sealed sequentially numbered opaque envelopes containing computer-generated ran- dom numbers Patients blinded but care providers not blinded Power calculation: performed No losses to follow up, and all patients were analysed in groups to which they were assigned Source of funding: not mentioned
Participants	Setting: two university hospitals, London, UK Inclusion criteria: symptomatic fibroids, uterine size at least 14 weeks, and patient request for my- omectomy Exclusion criteria: history of bleeding disorder, concurrent anti-coagulant therapy, haemoglobin less than 10.5g/dl at the time of surgery, pre-malignant endometrial histology n = 28 Mean age: 39.5 years (SD 4.7) in control arm and 42.6 years (SD 6.7) in treatment arm Ethnicity: not described Number of fibroids: range 1-34



Taylor 2005 (Continued)	
Interventions	Treatment arm (n = 14): a number one polyglactin suture tied around the cervix to occlude uterine artery and left there after surgery, plus a polythene tourniquet tied round the infundibulopelvic liga- ment and removed after the operation Control arm (n = 14): no treatment added to the normal myomectomy procedure Type of operation: laparotomy
Outcomes	Perioperative blood loss, need for blood transfusion, operative morbidity Blood loss was assessed by weighing swabs and measuring blood collected by suction
Notes	Indications for myomectomy: symptomatic uterine fibroids Preoperative GnRH agonists prescribed to anaemic patients to increase preoperative haemoglobin to more than 10.5g/dl Authors contacted, July 2015.

#### **Risk of bias**

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence genera- tion (selection bias)	Low risk	Allocation by sealed sequentially-numbered opaque envelopes containing computer-generated random numbers
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Low risk	Adequate
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	Low risk	No incomplete outcome data
Selective reporting (re- porting bias)	Unclear risk	We do not have access to the study protocol
Other bias	High risk	Statistically significant difference in mean age of the two groups
Blinding of participants and personnel (perfor- mance bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Patients blinded but care providers not blinded
Blinding of outcome as- sessment (detection bias) All outcomes	Unclear risk	Unclear if outcome assessment blinded

Vercellino 2012	
Methods	Randomised controlled trial with 80 participants assigned to receive laparoscopic uterine artery clip- ping and myomectomy, while 86 participants received laparoscopic myomectomy only
	Study conducted in three centres in Germany from January 2007 to December 2009
	Power calculation performed
Participants	Women between the ages of 18 and 50 years with the diagnosis symptomatic uterine myomas and who had a combined diameter of

Vercellino 2012 (Continued)	≥ 4cm were included into the study. Patients with severe accompanying medical problems, or psychi- atric illnesses, which jeopardize participation, or undergoing treatment affecting coagulation and/or hematopoiesis, and patients with suspected malignancy were excluded Ethnicity not described
Interventions	All patients had laparoscopic myomectomy. The intervention group (Group A) had temporary bilater- al clipping of uterine arteries during myomectomy using Yasargil vascular clips and the control group (Group B) received no additional treatment
Outcomes	Outcomes measured were haemoglobin drop, duration of surgery, duration of hospital stay, need for blood transfusion and complications
Notes	

#### **Risk of bias**

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence genera- tion (selection bias)	Low risk	Random sequence generation by computer-generated random numbers
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Low risk	Patients allocated to study group temporary clipping of bilateral uterine arter- ies, Group A) and control (Group B) by means of sealed sequentially numbered brown envelopes containing computer-generated random numbers
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	Low risk	No incomplete outcome data
Selective reporting (re- porting bias)	Unclear risk	We do not have access to the study protocol
Other bias	Low risk	No other sources of bias identified
Blinding of participants and personnel (perfor- mance bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Randomisation was done the day before the surgery and patients were blind- ed
Blinding of outcome as- sessment (detection bias) All outcomes	Low risk	No information

#### Wang 2007

Single centre study
Participants were randomly allocated to either intervention or control group according to a comput- er-generated sequence
Allocation using preprinted slips on sealed envelopes that had been prepared before the started of the study with a computer-generated sequence
Information not given about blinding
Power calculation: performed



Wang 2007 (Continued)	No losses to follow up a	and all patients were analysed in the group in which they were allocated	
	Funding from the Medical Research Project, Chang Gung Memorial Hospital		
Participants	Setting: Chang Gung Memorial Hospital, Taiwan		
	Inclusion criteria: 60 women who had clinical diagnosis of symptomatic leiomyoma (at least an intra- mural myoma that measured 5cm or more). Diagnosis of leiomyomata was made by pelvic examina- tion and ultrasound scanning in all patients		
	Exclusion criteria: patie	ents with intrauterine lesions were excluded	
	n = 60		
	Age: mean age of women in the intervention group was 38.1 years (SD = 6.8) and of the control group was 35.8 years (SD =6.1)		
	Ethnicity: not describe	d	
	Mean fibroid size: 7.6 c	m (SD = 2.2) in the treatment group and 7.5cm (SD = 1.9) in the control group	
Interventions	Treatment arm (n = 30) The administration of o was stopped immediat	: oxytocin (10 u/mL/amp) in 1000 ml normal saline and run at a rate of 120 mL/h. oxytocin was started after the anaesthesia was completed. Intravenous oxytocin ely after the end of the surgery	
	Control arm (n = 30): normal saline without oxytocin run at a rate of 120 mL/h		
	All patients had bowel preparation the morning of surgery and intravenous cephalosporin prophylaxis was given just before surgery commenced		
	Type of operation: laparoscopy		
Outcomes	Number of fibroids removed, operation time, blood loss, hospital day (days), blood transfusion, and complications		
Notes	Authors contacted		
	Fibroid size and numbe	er removed were similar in both groups	
Risk of bias			
Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement	
Random sequence genera- tion (selection bias)	Low risk	Participants were randomly allocated to either intervention or control group according to a computer-generated sequence	
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Low risk	Adequate (allocation using preprinted slips on sealed envelopes that had been prepared before the start of the study with a computer-generated sequence)	
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	Low risk	No incomplete outcome data	
Selective reporting (re- porting bias)	Unclear risk	We do not have access to the study protocol	
Other bias	Low risk	No other sources of bias identified	
Blinding of participants and personnel (perfor- mance bias)	Low risk	Normal saline without oxytocin was administered to control group as placebo	



#### Wang 2007 (Continued) All outcomes

Blinding of outcome as- Unclear risk Information sessment (detection bias) All outcomes	n not given on blinding
---	-------------------------

Zhao 2011	
Methods	Single centre randomised controlled trial in which 35 participants received loop ligation combined with vasopressin, 35 participants received vasopressin alone and 35 participants received neither loop ligation nor vasopressin
	From January 2006 to January 2008
	No power calculation performed
Participants	Setting: Sheng Jing Hospital, China Medical University
	Women with symptomatic myomas (diameter ≥ 6cm) needing surgical treatment
	Ethnicity not reported
	Age ranges from 22 to 49 years
Interventions	Group A: loop ligation of larger myoma pseudocapsules combined with vasopressin; group B: vaso- pressin injection; and group C: neither loop ligation nor vasopressin injection. Vasopressin injection consisted of 6U diluted with 20ml of normal saline and injected into the myometrium and myoma. All patients had laparoscopic myomectomy. Blood loss was measured by suction irrigator through sub- tracting the fluid used from the total measured loss
Outcomes	The outcomes measured were blood loss, operating time, postoperative stay, blood transfusions, con- version to laparotomy
Notes	
Risk of bias	
Bias	Authors' judgement Support for judgement

	······ ,	
Random sequence genera- tion (selection bias)	Unclear risk	It is stated that patients were randomly divided into three groups by 'simple random method'. No details are given to explain the simple random method
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Unclear risk	The randomisation was concealed from the surgeon until before the start of the operation. No further details are given
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	Low risk	No incomplete outcome data
Selective reporting (re- porting bias)	Unclear risk	We do not have access to the study protocol
Other bias	Low risk	No other sources of bias identified
Blinding of participants and personnel (perfor- mance bias)	High risk	The control group receive no treatment rather than an identical placebo



#### Zhao 2011 (Continued) All outcomes

Blinding of outcome as-	Unclear risk	No information
sessment (detection bias)		
All outcomes		

Zullo 2004	
Methods	Single centre study Allocation by envelopes containing computer-generated random numbers Patients and care providers were blinded Power calculation: performed 4 (6.7%) patients (2 in each arm ) did not receive the assigned intervention because of concomitant dis- ease (endometriosis) Withdrawals were excluded from the analysis
Participants	Setting: university hospital in Naples, Italy Inclusion criteria: symptomatic fibroids Exclusion criteria: liver disease, angina or ischaemic heart disease, chronic obstructive pulmonary dis- ease, dyslipidaemia, diabetes, acute or recent vascular thrombosis, malignancy, intramural largest my- oma less than 3 cm, or more than 5 cm, more than 2 myomas, calcification or hypoechoic leiomyoma, submucosal fibroids, cytologic evidence of endometrial atypia, abnormal Pap smear, or positive urine pregnancy test n = 60 Mean age: 28.2 years (SD 3.1) for treatment arm and 27.1 years (SD 2.9) for control arm Ethnicity: not described Number of myomas: mean 1.3 (SD 0.4) in treatment arm and 1.2 (SD 0.3) in control arm
Interventions	Treatment arm (n = 30): 50 ml of bupivacaine cloridrate 0.25% + 0.5 ml of epinephrine infiltrated into the serosa or myometrium overlying and just around the myoma before incision Control (n = 30): infiltration of normal saline Type of operation: laparoscopy
Outcomes	Perioperative blood loss, and operation time Blood loss was estimated from the balance between the aspirated and the irrigated liquid
Notes	Indications for myomectomy: history of infertility for more than 3 years, recurrent abortions during first trimester, increased vaginal bleeding, pelvic pressure and pain, urinary frequency, and constipation Author not contacted
Risk of bias	
Bias	Authors' judgement Support for judgement

Random sequence genera- tion (selection bias)	Low risk	Allocation by envelopes containing computer-generated random numbers
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Low risk	Adequate
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	High risk	4 (6.7%) patients (2 in each arm ) did not receive the assigned intervention be- cause of concomitant disease (endometriosis)

#### Zullo 2004 (Continued)

Selective reporting (re- porting bias)	Unclear risk	We do not have access to the study protocol		
Other bias	Low risk	No other sources of bias identified		
Blinding of participants and personnel (perfor- mance bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Patients and care providers were blinded		
Blinding of outcome as- sessment (detection bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Outcome assessors were blinded		

# Characteristics of excluded studies [ordered by study ID]

Study	Reason for exclusion
Al-Shabibi 2010	No randomisation was performed
Alborzi 2009	No randomisation was performed
Alessandri 2006	The control group did not receive a placebo or not treatment. The trial compared two different techniques
Alessandri 2010	The control group did not receive a placebo or not treatment. The trial compared two different techniques
Chang 2009	No randomisation was performed
Chang 2011	No randomisation was performed
Chang 2012	No randomisation was performed
Fletcher 1996	The control group was not a placebo or no treatment group but rather another intervention
Frederick 2013	The control group was not a placebo or no treatment
Ginsburg 1993	The control group was not a placebo or no treatment group but rather another intervention
Kathiresan 2011	The control group was not a placebo or no treatment group but rather another intervention
Kimura 2002	The study compared blood loss when vertical and transverse incisions were performed during laparoscopic myomectomy. Thus there was no distinction between the treatment and control groups
Lee 2009	No randomisation was performed
Lin 2008	The control group was not a placebo or no treatment
Liu 2004	No randomisation was performed
Morita 2004	No randomisation was performed



Study	Reason for exclusion
Mousa 2012	Control group received another intervention rather than a placebo or no treatment
Narin 2007	Control group received another intervention rather than a placebo or no treatment
Ngeh 2004	No randomisation was performed
Rossetti 1999	No randomisation was performed
Sapmaz 2003B	The control group was not a placebo or no treatment group but rather another intervention
Sapmaz 2003C	The control group was not a placebo or no treatment group, but rather another intervention
Wang 2011	The control group did not receive a placebo or not treatment. The trial compared two different techniques

# Characteristics of ongoing studies [ordered by study ID]

Atashkhoei 2012	
Trial name or title	Effect of oxytocin infusion on reducing operative blood loss during abdominal myomectomy
Methods	Randomised placebo controlled clinical trial
Participants	Inclusion criteria: Including criteria: Women undergoing abdominal myomectomy; over 35 years of age
	Excluding criteria: Patients candidate for hysteroscopic myomectomy; Patients with preoperative GnRH agonist consumption; Patients with history of cardiopulmonary disease
Interventions	Intervention 1: In the study group (n=40) oxytocin 30 u into 1000 ml normal saline will be infused during myomectomy. Intervention 2: In the placebo group (n=40) normal saline alone 1000 ml will be infused during myomectomy
Outcomes	Primary Outcome(s):
	The amount of bleeding. Timepoint: During myomectomy. Method of measurement: Count sponges and the amount of blood collected in the suction device - according to ml
	Secondary Outcome(s):
	Hb and HcT values. Timepoint: Prior to operation and 24 h after operation. Method of measure- ment: Elyza method-CBC - Hb(g/dl), HcT(%)
	The amount of blood transfusion. Timepoint: Intra and postoperative. Method of measurement: Observation, Physical examination- Blood unit according to ml
Starting date	November 2012
Contact information	Dr Simin Atashkhoei, Al Zahra hospital, Artesh Jonoubi Ave, Tabriz, Iran
Notes	

#### Behdad 2013

Cochrane

Library

Trusted evidence.

Better health.

Informed decisions.

Trial name or title	The effect of infusion of vitamin C during myomectomy on the blood loss during the procedure and postoperative pain
Methods	Randomised placebo controlled clinical trial
Participants	Inclusion criteria: women with American Society of Anesthesia (ASA) physical status I or II who are candidate for elective abdominal myomectomy, age 25-40 years old, BMI <35
	Exclusion criteria: patients with mental impairment, chronic pain, BMI>35, a history of coagulopa- thy, psychiatric disease, addiction were excluded
Interventions	Intervention 1: Infusion of 3 gr vitamin C in 500 ml normal saline during the surgery
	Intervention 2: Infusion of 500 ml normal saline without vitamin C during the surgery
Outcomes	Primary Outcome(s): uterine bleeding. Timepoint: during the surgery. Method of measurement: ml blood loss
	Secondary Outcome(s): postoperative pain. Timepoint: 1, 6, 12 and 24 hours after the operation. Method of measurement: VAS Score
Starting date	October 2012
Contact information	Dr Shekoufeh Behdad, Shahid Sadoughi Hospital Yazd, Iran
Notes	

# DATA AND ANALYSES

# Comparison 1. Misoprostol versus placebo

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 Blood loss (ml)	2	89	89 Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	
1.1 Abdominal myomectomy	1	25	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-149.0 [-229.24, -68.76]
1.2 Laparoscopic myomecto- my	1	64	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-91.0 [-120.44, -61.56]
2 Need for blood transfusion	2		Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
3 Duration of surgery (min)	2		Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	
3.1 Abdominal myomectomy	1	25	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	-9.5 [-15.90, -3.10]
3.2 Laparoscopic myomecto- my	1	64	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	9.0 [-1.63, 19.63]



Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	No. of partici- Statistical method pants	
4 Postoperative haemoglobin (g/dl)	2	89 Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)		0.69 [0.37, 1.02]
5 Duration of hospital stay (days)	1	25	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	
6 Postoperative haemoglobin drop (g/dl)	1	67	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-0.60 [-0.78, -0.42]
7 Postoperative complica- tions	2		Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
7.1 Postoperative fever	2	89	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.94 [0.23, 3.88]

# Analysis 1.1. Comparison 1 Misoprostol versus placebo, Outcome 1 Blood loss (ml).

Study or subgroup	Mise	oprostol	Р	lacebo	Mean Difference	Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)	Fixed, 95% CI		Fixed, 95% CI
1.1.1 Abdominal myomectomy							
Celik 2003	13	472 (77)	12	621 (121)		11.86%	-149[-229.24,-68.76]
Subtotal ***	13		12			11.86%	-149[-229.24,-68.76]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable							
Test for overall effect: Z=3.64(P=0)							
1.1.2 Laparoscopic myomectomy							
Kalogiannidis 2011	30	126 (44)	34	217 (74)	<b></b>	88.14%	-91[-120.44,-61.56]
Subtotal ***	30		34		◆	88.14%	-91[-120.44,-61.56]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable							
Test for overall effect: Z=6.06(P<0.000	1)						
Total ***	43		46		•	100%	-97.88[-125.52,-70.24]
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =0; Chi <sup>2</sup> =1.77, df=	1(P=0.18	3); I <sup>2</sup> =43.47%					
Test for overall effect: Z=6.94(P<0.000	1)						
Test for subgroup differences: Chi <sup>2</sup> =1.	77, df=1	(P=0.18), I <sup>2</sup> =43.4	17%				
			Favour	s misoprostol	-200 -100 0 100 200	Favours pla	acebo

#### Analysis 1.2. Comparison 1 Misoprostol versus placebo, Outcome 2 Need for blood transfusion.

Study or subgroup	Misoprostol	Placebo	Odds Ratio						Weight	Odds Ratio
	n/N	n/N		M-	H, Fixe	d, 95%	CI			M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Celik 2003	2/13	4/12							0%	0.36[0.05,2.5]
Kalogiannidis 2011	0/30	0/34								Not estimable
	Favo	ours misoprostol	0.05	0.2		1	5	20	Favours placebo	

#### Analysis 1.3. Comparison 1 Misoprostol versus placebo, Outcome 3 Duration of surgery (min).

Study or subgroup	Misc	prostol	P	lacebo	Mean Difference	Weight	Mean Difference
	N	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)	Random, 95% Cl		Random, 95% Cl
1.3.1 Abdominal myomectomy							
Celik 2003	13	48.5 (7.4)	12	58 (8.8)	——————————————————————————————————————	100%	-9.5[-15.9,-3.1]
Subtotal ***	13		12			100%	-9.5[-15.9,-3.1]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable							
Test for overall effect: Z=2.91(P=0)							
1.3.2 Laparoscopic myomectomy							
Kalogiannidis 2011	30	86 (23)	34	77 (20)	+ <b></b> -	100%	9[-1.63,19.63]
Subtotal ***	30		34			100%	9[-1.63,19.63]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable							
Test for overall effect: Z=1.66(P=0.1)							
Test for subgroup differences: Chi <sup>2</sup> =8.	54, df=1	(P=0), I <sup>2</sup> =88.29%		_			
			Favours	s misoprostol	-20 -10 0 10 20	Favours place	bo

#### Analysis 1.4. Comparison 1 Misoprostol versus placebo, Outcome 4 Postoperative haemoglobin (g/dl).

Study or subgroup	Mis	oprostol	Placebo		Mean Difference				Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Fi	xed, 95% CI			Fixed, 95% CI
Celik 2003	13	9.7 (0.7)	12	8.9 (0.5)			<b></b>		46.53%	0.8[0.33,1.27]
Kalogiannidis 2011	30	11.6 (1.1)	34	11 (0.6)					53.47%	0.6[0.16,1.04]
Total ***	43		46				-		100%	0.69[0.37,1.02]
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =0; Chi <sup>2</sup> =0.37, o	df=1(P=0.55	5); I <sup>2</sup> =0%								
Test for overall effect: Z=4.2(P<0.00	001)									
			Fav	ours placebo	-2	-1	0 1	2	Favours mis	oprostol

#### Analysis 1.5. Comparison 1 Misoprostol versus placebo, Outcome 5 Duration of hospital stay (days).

Study or subgroup	Mis	oprostol	Placebo		Mean Difference		Weight		Weight M	lean Difference			
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)			I	ixed, 95%	CI				Fixed, 95% CI
Celik 2003	13	4.2 (0.4)	12	4.2 (1.4)			_					100%	0[-0.82,0.82]
Total ***	13		12									100%	0[-0.82,0.82]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable													
Test for overall effect: Not applicable													
			Favour	s misoprostol	-2		-1	0	1	L 2	2	Favours placebo	

#### Analysis 1.6. Comparison 1 Misoprostol versus placebo, Outcome 6 Postoperative haemoglobin drop (g/dl).

Study or subgroup	Mis	oprostol	Placebo		Mean Difference				Weight	Mean Difference		
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)	Fixed, 95% CI		Fixed, 95% CI				Fixed, 95% CI	
Kalogiannidis 2011	33	1 (0.3)	34	1.6 (0.4)	1		-+				100%	-0.6[-0.78,-0.42]
			Favour	s misoprostol	-2	-1		)	1	2	Favours placeb	0



Study or subgroup	Mis	oprostol	Pla	cebo		Mean Difference		Mean Difference		Mean Difference			Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	N	Mean(SD)		Fixed, 95% CI				Fixed, 95% CI				
Total ***	33		34			•	•			100%	-0.6[-0.78,-0.42]			
Heterogeneity: Not applicable														
Test for overall effect: Z=6.42(P<0.00	001)													
		Fa	avours	nisoprostol	-2	-1	0		1 2	Favours placel	00			

# Analysis 1.7. Comparison 1 Misoprostol versus placebo, Outcome 7 Postoperative complications.

Study or subgroup	Misoprostol	Placebo			Odds Ratio			Weight	Odds Ratio
	n/N	n/N		M-	H, Fixed, 95%	6 CI			M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
1.7.1 Postoperative fever									
Celik 2003	5/13	4/12			—— <mark>—</mark> —			64.87%	1.25[0.24,6.44]
Kalogiannidis 2011	0/30	1/34			•			35.13%	0.37[0.01,9.33]
Subtotal (95% CI)	43	46			$ \rightarrow $			100%	0.94[0.23,3.88]
Total events: 5 (Misoprostol), 5 (Pl	acebo)								
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =0; Chi <sup>2</sup> =0.44,	df=1(P=0.51); I <sup>2</sup> =0%								
Test for overall effect: Z=0.09(P=0.9	93)								
	Fav	ours misoprostol	0.01	0.1	1	10	100	Favours placebo	

# Comparison 2. Vasopressin versus placebo

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 Blood loss (ml)	3	128	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-199.97 [-223.83, -176.12]
1.1 Abdominal myomectomy	1	20	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-450.00 [-507.49, -392.51]
1.2 Laparoscopic myomecto- my	2	108	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-147.95 [-174.17, -121.73]
2 Need for blood transfusion	2		Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
2.1 Abdominal myomectomy	1	20	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.11 [0.01, 1.24]
2.2 Laparoscopic myomecto- my	1	70	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.18 [0.02, 1.60]
3 Duration of surgery (min)	2	108	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-27.72 [-35.82, -19.61]
4 Duration of hospital stay (days)	2	108	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	0.11 [-0.69, 0.91]
5 Postoperative complica- tions	1		Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	Subtotals only



Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
5.1 Adhesions to bowel and/ or omentum	1	38	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	2.02 [0.54, 7.49]
5.2 Adnexal adhesions	1	38	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.87 [0.39, 8.93]
6 Pregnancy after myomecto- my	1	38	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.64 [0.18, 2.31]
7 Conversion of laparoscopy to laparotomy	1	70	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	7.65 [0.38, 153.75]

# Analysis 2.1. Comparison 2 Vasopressin versus placebo, Outcome 1 Blood loss (ml).

Study or subgroup	Vaso	pressin	P	acebo		Mean Diff	erence		Weight	Mean Difference
	N	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Fixed, 9	5% CI			Fixed, 95% CI
2.1.1 Abdominal myomectomy										
Frederick 1994	10	225 (59.4)	10	675 (71.3)	-+-				17.22%	-450[-507.49,-392.51]
Subtotal ***	10		10		•				17.22%	-450[-507.49,-392.51]
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =0; Chi <sup>2</sup> =0, df=0(P	<0.0001)	; I <sup>2</sup> =100%								
Test for overall effect: Z=15.34(P<0.00	01)									
2.1.2 Laparoscopic myomectomy										
Assaf 1999	21	220.6 (50)	17	370.2 (40)		+			69.5%	-149.6[-178.22,-120.98]
Zhao 2011	35	224.4	35	363.7		- <b>-</b>			13.28%	-139.33[-204.81,-73.85]
		(131.2)		(147.8)		•				
Subtotal ***	56		52			•			82.78%	-147.95[-174.17,-121.73]
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =0; Chi <sup>2</sup> =0.08, df=	L(P=0.78	); I <sup>2</sup> =0%								
Test for overall effect: Z=11.06(P<0.00	01)									
Total ***	66		62			•			100%	-199.97[-223.83,-176.12]
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =0; Chi <sup>2</sup> =87.87, df	=2(P<0.0	001); l <sup>2</sup> =97.72%								
Test for overall effect: Z=16.43(P<0.00	01)									
Test for subgroup differences: Chi <sup>2</sup> =87	.79, df=1	L (P<0.0001), I <sup>2</sup> =9	8.86%							
			Favour	s vasopressin	-500 -	250 0	250	500	Favours p	lacebo

# Analysis 2.2. Comparison 2 Vasopressin versus placebo, Outcome 2 Need for blood transfusion.

Study or subgroup	Favours va- sopressin	Placebo	Odds Ratio			Weight	Odds Ratio		
	n/N	n/N		M-H, F	ixed, 95%	CI			M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
2.2.1 Abdominal myomectomy									
Frederick 1994	1/10	5/10						100%	0.11[0.01,1.24]
Subtotal (95% CI)	10	10						100%	0.11[0.01,1.24]
Total events: 1 (Favours vasopressin),	5 (Placebo)								
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Z=1.79(P=0.07)									
	Fav	ours vasopressin	0.005	0.1	1	10	200	Favours pacebo	



Study or subgroup	Favours va- sopressin	Placebo	Odds Ratio			Weight	Odds Ratio		
	n/N	n/N		м-н,	Fixed, 95%	CI			M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
2.2.2 Laparoscopic myomectom	у								
Zhao 2011	1/35	5/35						100%	0.18[0.02,1.6]
Subtotal (95% CI)	35	35	-					100%	0.18[0.02,1.6]
Total events: 1 (Favours vasopress	sin), 5 (Placebo)								
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Z=1.54(P=0.	12)								
Test for subgroup differences: Chi <sup>4</sup>	<sup>2</sup> =0.08, df=1 (P=0.78), l <sup>2</sup> =0	%							
	Favo	urs vasopressin	0.005	0.1	1	10	200	Favours pacebo	

# Analysis 2.3. Comparison 2 Vasopressin versus placebo, Outcome 3 Duration of surgery (min).

Study or subgroup	Vas	opressin	Placebo		Mean Difference			Weight	Mean Difference	
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Fixe	d, 95% CI			Fixed, 95% CI
Assaf 1999	21	97.8 (40)	17	126.3 (60)		+	+		5.94%	-28.5[-61.76,4.76]
Zhao 2011	35	76.5 (17.1)	35	104.2 (18.5)		-+			94.06%	-27.67[-36.03,-19.31]
Total ***	56		52			•			100%	-27.72[-35.82,-19.61]
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =0; Chi <sup>2</sup> =0, df=1(	P=0.96); I	<sup>2</sup> =0%								
Test for overall effect: Z=6.7(P<0.000	1)									
			Favour	rs vasopressin	-100	-50	0 5	0 100	Favours p	acebo

# Analysis 2.4. Comparison 2 Vasopressin versus placebo, Outcome 4 Duration of hospital stay (days).

Study or subgroup	Vasopressin		Placebo			Mean Difference			Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Ran	dom, 95% CI			Random, 95% CI
Assaf 1999	21	1.8 (1.2)	17	1.2 (0.9)					46.01%	0.55[-0.1,1.2]
Zhao 2011	35	4.3 (0.9)	35	4.6 (1.1)					53.99%	-0.27[-0.74,0.2]
Total ***	56		52			-			100%	0.11[-0.69,0.91]
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =0.25; Chi <sup>2</sup> =4.01	df=1(P=	0.05); l <sup>2</sup> =75.07%								
Test for overall effect: Z=0.26(P=0.79	)									
			Favour	s vasopressin	-2	-1	0	1 2	Favours placeb	0

# Analysis 2.5. Comparison 2 Vasopressin versus placebo, Outcome 5 Postoperative complications.

Study or subgroup	Vsaopressin	Placebo	Odds Ratio				Weight	Odds Ratio	
	n/N	n/N	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl					M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	
2.5.1 Adhesions to bowel and/or om	entum								
Assaf 1999	11/21	6/17				+		100%	2.02[0.54,7.49]
Subtotal (95% CI)	21	17						100%	2.02[0.54,7.49]
Total events: 11 (Vsaopressin), 6 (Plac	ebo)								
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Z=1.05(P=0.29)				1					
	Favo	ours vasopressin	0.1 0.2	0.5	1	2	5 10	Favours placebo	



Study or subgroup	Vsaopressin n/N	Placebo n/N	О М-Н,	dds Ratio Fixed, 95% C	I	Weight	Odds Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
2.5.2 Adnexal adnesions							
Assaf 1999	6/21	3/17				100%	1.87[0.39,8.93]
Subtotal (95% CI)	21	17				100%	1.87[0.39,8.93]
Total events: 6 (Vsaopressin), 3 (Place	bo)						
Heterogeneity: Not applicable							
Test for overall effect: Z=0.78(P=0.43)							
	Fav	ours vasopressin	0.1 0.2 0.5	1 2	5 10	Favours placebo	

### Analysis 2.6. Comparison 2 Vasopressin versus placebo, Outcome 6 Pregnancy after myomectomy.

Study or subgroup	Favours placebo	Placebo		Odds Ratio				Weight	Odds Ratio
	n/N	n/N		M-H, Fiz	xed, 95% (	CI			M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Assaf 1999	10/21	10/17			+			100%	0.64[0.18,2.31]
Total (95% CI)	21	17						100%	0.64[0.18,2.31]
Total events: 10 (Favours placebo), 10	(Placebo)								
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Z=0.69(P=0.49)									
		Favours placebo	0.05	0.2	1	5	20	Favours vasopressin	

#### Analysis 2.7. Comparison 2 Vasopressin versus placebo, Outcome 7 Conversion of laparoscopy to laparotomy.

Study or subgroup	Vasopressin	Placebo		Odds Ratio			Weight	Odds Ratio	
	n/N	n/N		M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl					M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Zhao 2011	3/35	0/35				-		100%	7.65[0.38,153.75]
Total (95% CI)	35	35						100%	7.65[0.38,153.75]
Total events: 3 (Vasopressin), 0 (Placeb	o)								
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Z=1.33(P=0.18)						i.			
	Fav	ours vasopressin	0.005	0.1	1	10	200	Favours placebo	

# Comparison 3. Bupivicaine plus epinephrine versus placebo

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 Blood loss (ml)	1	60	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-68.6 [-93.69, -43.51]
2 Duration of surgery (min)	1	60	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-30.5 [-37.68, -23.32]

Study or subgroup	Bup	oivacaine	acaine Placebo		Mean Difference					Weight	Mean Difference	
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)			Fixed, 9	95% CI				Fixed, 95% CI
Zullo 2004	30	143.9 (48.1)	30	212.5 (51)		-					100%	-68.6[-93.69,-43.51]
Total ***	30		30								100%	-68.6[-93.69,-43.51]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable												
Test for overall effect: Z=5.36(P<0.000	1)				1							
			Favours	Bupivacaine	-100	-50	0	)	50	100	Favours place	00

# Analysis 3.1. Comparison 3 Bupivicaine plus epinephrine versus placebo, Outcome 1 Blood loss (ml).

# Analysis 3.2. Comparison 3 Bupivicaine plus epinephrine versus placebo, Outcome 2 Duration of surgery (min).

Study or subgroup	Bup	oivacaine	Placebo		Mean Difference						Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)			Fixed,	95% CI				Fixed, 95% CI
Zullo 2004	30	78.7 (13.1)	30	109.2 (15.2)							100%	-30.5[-37.68,-23.32]
Total ***	30		30			•					100%	-30.5[-37.68,-23.32]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable												
Test for overall effect: Z=8.33(P<0.000	1)					i.			i			
			Favour	s Bupivacaine	-50	-25	(	)	25	50	Favours place	ebo

# Comparison 4. Peri-cervical tourniquet versus no treatment

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 Blood loss (ml)	2		Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
1.1 Blood loss - cervical tourniquet (ml)	1	93	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-240.70 [-359.61, -121.79]
1.2 Blood loss - cervical & in- fundibulopelvic ligament tourni- quet	1	28	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-1870.0 [-2547.16, -1192.84]
2 Need for blood transfusion	2		Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
2.1 Need for blood transfusion - cervical tourniquet	1	93	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.22 [0.09, 0.55]
2.2 Need for blood transfusion - cervical & infundibulopelvic liga- ment tourniquet	1	28	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.02 [0.00, 0.23]
3 Duration of surgery (min)	1	28	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-4.0 [-29.28, 21.28]
4 Postoperative complications	1		Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	Subtotals only



Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
4.1 Postoperative fever	1	93	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.09 [0.46, 2.59]
4.2 Postoperative anaemia	1	93	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.09 [0.46, 2.59]
4.3 Urinary tract infection	1	93	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl)	0.71 [0.13, 3.70]
4.4 Prolonged vaginal bleeding	1	93	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	2.21 [0.09, 55.82]
4.5 Pelvic abscess	1	93	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	2.21 [0.09, 55.82]
4.6 Intestinal obstruction	1	93	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	2.21 [0.09, 55.82]
4.7 Bladder injury	1	93	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.24 [0.01, 5.94]

### Analysis 4.1. Comparison 4 Peri-cervical tourniquet versus no treatment, Outcome 1 Blood loss (ml).

Study or subgroup	Tou	rniquet	No treatment			Mean D	ifference		Weight	Mean Difference
	N	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Fixed,	, 95% CI			Fixed, 95% CI
4.1.1 Blood loss - cervical tournique	t (ml)									
Ikechebelu 2010	54	515.7 (292.8)	39	756.4 (285.7)					100%	-240.7[-359.61,-121.79]
Subtotal ***	54		39			•			100%	-240.7[-359.61,-121.79]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable										
Test for overall effect: Z=3.97(P<0.000)	1)									
4.1.2 Blood loss - cervical & infundit	oulopelv	vic ligament tour	niquet							
Taylor 2005	14	489 (362)	14	2359 (1241)	•				100%	-1870[-2547.16,-1192.84]
Subtotal ***	14		14						100%	-1870[-2547.16,-1192.84]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable										
Test for overall effect: Z=5.41(P<0.000)	1)									
Test for subgroup differences: Chi <sup>2</sup> =21	57, df=1	L (P<0.0001), I <sup>2</sup> =9	5.36%			1				
			Favou	rs tourniquet	-1000	-500	0 500	1000	Favours n	o treatment

# Analysis 4.2. Comparison 4 Peri-cervical tourniquet versus no treatment, Outcome 2 Need for blood transfusion.

Study or subgroup	Tourniquet	No treatment		Odds Ratio			Weight	Odds Ratio
	n/N	n/N		M-H, Fixe	d, 95% CI			M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
4.2.1 Need for blood transfus	ion - cervical tourniquet							
Ikechebelu 2010	11/54	21/39					100%	0.22[0.09,0.55]
Subtotal (95% CI)	54	39		<b>•</b>	1		100%	0.22[0.09,0.55]
	F	avours tourniquet	0.001	0.1 1	. 10	1000	Favours no treatment	



Study or subgroup	Tourniquet	No treatment		0	dds Ra	tio		Weight	Odds Ratio
	n/N	n/N		М-Н, Г	ixed, s	95% CI			M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Total events: 11 (Tourniquet), 21 (No	treatment)								
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Z=3.25(P=0)									
4.2.2 Need for blood transfusion - o ment tourniquet	ervical & infundit	oulopelvic liga-							
Taylor 2005	1/14	11/14		+				100%	0.02[0,0.23]
Subtotal (95% CI)	14	14						100%	0.02[0,0.23]
Total events: 1 (Tourniquet), 11 (No t	reatment)								
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Z=3.15(P=0)									
Test for subgroup differences: Chi <sup>2</sup> =3	.2, df=1 (P=0.07), I <sup>2</sup>	2=68.8%							
		Favours tourniquet	0.001	0.1	1	10	1000	Favours no treatment	

# Analysis 4.3. Comparison 4 Peri-cervical tourniquet versus no treatment, Outcome 3 Duration of surgery (min).

Study or subgroup	Τοι	ırniquet	No treatment		Mean Difference		ce		Weight	Mean Difference	
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Fi	xed, 95% C				Fixed, 95% CI
Taylor 2005	14	114 (27)	14	118 (40)						100%	-4[-29.28,21.28]
Total ***	14		14							100%	-4[-29.28,21.28]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=0.31(P=0.76)											
			Favou	rs tourniquet	-50	-25	0	25	50	Favours no	treatment

# Analysis 4.4. Comparison 4 Peri-cervical tourniquet versus no treatment, Outcome 4 Postoperative complications.

Study or subgroup	Peri-cervical tourniquet	No treatment		Odds Ratio			Weight	Odds Ratio
	n/N	n/N		M-H, Fixed, 95%	CI			M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
4.4.1 Postoperative fever								
Ikechebelu 2010	19/54	13/39					100%	1.09[0.46,2.59]
Subtotal (95% CI)	54	39		-			100%	1.09[0.46,2.59]
Total events: 19 (Peri-cervical tourniq	uet), 13 (No treatm	ent)						
Heterogeneity: Not applicable								
Test for overall effect: Z=0.19(P=0.85)								
4.4.2 Postoperative anaemia								
Ikechebelu 2010	19/54	13/39					100%	1.09[0.46,2.59]
Subtotal (95% CI)	54	39		-			100%	1.09[0.46,2.59]
Total events: 19 (Peri-cervical tourniq	uet), 13 (No treatm	ent)						
Heterogeneity: Not applicable								
Test for overall effect: Z=0.19(P=0.85)								
4.4.3 Urinary tract infection								
Ikechebelu 2010	3/54	3/39					100%	0.71[0.13,3.7]
Subtotal (95% CI)	54	39					100%	0.71[0.13,3.7]
	F	avours tourniquet	0.01 0.	1 1	10	100	Favours no treatment	



Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews

Study or subgroup	Peri-cervical	No treatment	Odds Ratio	Weight	Odds Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI		M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Total events: 3 (Peri-cervical tournique	uet), 3 (No treatmen	nt)			
Heterogeneity: Not applicable					
Test for overall effect: Z=0.41(P=0.68)	)				
4.4.4 Prolonged vaginal bleeding					
Ikechebelu 2010	1/54	0/39		100%	2.21[0.09,55.82]
Subtotal (95% CI)	54	39		100%	2.21[0.09,55.82]
Total events: 1 (Peri-cervical tournique	uet), 0 (No treatmen	nt)			
Heterogeneity: Not applicable					
Test for overall effect: Z=0.48(P=0.63)	)				
4.4.5 Pelvic abscess					
Ikechebelu 2010	1/54	0/39		100%	2.21[0.09,55.82]
Subtotal (95% CI)	54	39		100%	2.21[0.09,55.82]
Total events: 1 (Peri-cervical tournique	uet), 0 (No treatmen	nt)			
Heterogeneity: Not applicable					
Test for overall effect: Z=0.48(P=0.63)	1				
4.4.6 Intestinal obstruction					
Ikechebelu 2010	1/54	0/39		100%	2.21[0.09,55.82]
Subtotal (95% CI)	54	39		100%	2.21[0.09,55.82]
Total events: 1 (Peri-cervical tournique	uet), 0 (No treatmen	nt)			
Heterogeneity: Not applicable					
Test for overall effect: Z=0.48(P=0.63)	)				
4.4.7 Bladder injury					
Ikechebelu 2010	0/54	1/39		100%	0.24[0.01,5.94]
Subtotal (95% CI)	54	39		100%	0.24[0.01,5.94]
Total events: 0 (Peri-cervical tournique and the second seco	uet), 1 (No treatmen	nt)			
Heterogeneity: Not applicable					
Test for overall effect: Z=0.88(P=0.38)	)				
	F	Favours tourniquet	0.01 0.1 1 10 100	Favours no treatmer	t

# Comparison 5. Oxytocin versus placebo

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 Blood loss (ml)	2		Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
2 Need for blood transfusion	2	154	Odds Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.54 [0.03, 8.51]
3 Duration of surgery (min)	2	154	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	3.50 [-1.88, 8.88]
4 Postoperative complications	1	60	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.0 [0.06, 16.76]
5 Duration of hospital stay (days)	1	60	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-0.60 [-1.19, -0.01]



#### Analysis 5.1. Comparison 5 Oxytocin versus placebo, Outcome 1 Blood loss (ml).

Study or subgroup	0	cytocin	Placebo		Mean Difference			Weight	Mean Difference		
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Rane	dom, 95%	6 CI			Random, 95% Cl
Agostini 2005	47	508 (558)	47	451 (336)		_				0%	57[-129.22,243.22]
Wang 2007	30	269.5 (225.8)	30	445 (268.6)			-			0%	-175.5[-301.07,-49.93]
			Fav	ours oxytocin	-500	-250	0	250	500	Favours plac	ebo

# Analysis 5.2. Comparison 5 Oxytocin versus placebo, Outcome 2 Need for blood transfusion.

Study or subgroup	Oxytocin	Placebo		Odds Ratio		Weight		Odds Ratio	
	n/N	n/N		M-H, Rand	lom, 95% C	1			M-H, Random, 95% Cl
Agostini 2005	26/47	18/47						53.17%	1.99[0.88,4.54]
Wang 2007	2/30	11/30		-				46.83%	0.12[0.02,0.62]
Total (95% CI)	77	77				_		100%	0.54[0.03,8.51]
Total events: 28 (Oxytocin), 29 (Placeb	o)								
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =3.54; Chi <sup>2</sup> =9.27, d	f=1(P=0); I <sup>2</sup> =89.21%								
Test for overall effect: Z=0.44(P=0.66)									
	F	avours oxytocin	0.02	0.1	1	10	50	Favours placebo	

#### Analysis 5.3. Comparison 5 Oxytocin versus placebo, Outcome 3 Duration of surgery (min).

Study or subgroup	Oxytocin		Placebo		Mean Difference				Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Fix	ed, 95% CI			Fixed, 95% CI
Agostini 2005	47	90 (12)	47	86 (15)					95.84%	4[-1.49,9.49]
Wang 2007	30	119.2 (55.3)	30	127.2 (48.7)		+			4.16%	-8[-34.37,18.37]
Total ***	77		77				•		100%	3.5[-1.88,8.88]
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =0; Chi <sup>2</sup> =0.76, df	=1(P=0.3	8); I <sup>2</sup> =0%								
Test for overall effect: Z=1.28(P=0.2)										
			Fav	ours oxytocin	-40	-20	0 20	40	Favours placeb	

# Analysis 5.4. Comparison 5 Oxytocin versus placebo, Outcome 4 Postoperative complications.

Study or subgroup	Oxytocin	Placebo		0	dds Ratio			Weight	Odds Ratio
	n/N	n/N		М-Н,	Fixed, 95%	∕₀ CI			M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Wang 2007	1/30	1/30						100%	1[0.06,16.76]
Total (95% CI)	30	30						100%	1[0.06,16.76]
Total events: 1 (Oxytocin), 1 (Placebo)									
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Not applicable									
		Favours oxytocin	0.05	0.2	1	5	20	Favours placebo	

Study or subgroup	0>	ytocin	P	lacebo		Mean	Diffe	rence		Weight M	ean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Fixe	ed, 95	% CI			Fixed, 95% CI
Wang 2007	30	2.7 (0.7)	30	3.3 (1.5)		-	_			100%	-0.6[-1.19,-0.01]
Total ***	30		30				-			100%	-0.6[-1.19,-0.01]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=1.99(P=0.05)											
			Fav	ours oxytocin	-1	-0.5	0	0.5	1	Favours placebo	

#### Analysis 5.5. Comparison 5 Oxytocin versus placebo, Outcome 5 Duration of hospital stay (days).

### Comparison 6. Chemical dissection with mesna versus placebo

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 Duration of surgery (min)	1	58	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-20.0 [-28.64, -11.36]
2 Duration of hospital stay (days)	1	58	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-1.0 [-1.12, -0.88]
3 Postoperative haemoglobin (g/dl)	1	58	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.5 [0.42, 0.58]
4 Postoperative haematocrit	1	58	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.90 [1.30, 2.50]
5 Postoperative complications	1		Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
5.1 Postoperative fever	1	58	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.14 [0.02, 1.22]

# Analysis 6.1. Comparison 6 Chemical dissection with mesna versus placebo, Outcome 1 Duration of surgery (min).

Study or subgroup	I	Mesna	Placebo		Me	an Difference	Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)	F	ixed, 95% CI		Fixed, 95% CI
Benassi 2000	29	70 (14.3)	29	90 (19)			100%	-20[-28.64,-11.36]
Total ***	29		29				100%	-20[-28.64,-11.36]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable								
Test for overall effect: Z=4.53(P<0.000	1)							
			Fa	wours mesna	-20 -10	0 10	20 Favours p	lacebo

# Analysis 6.2. Comparison 6 Chemical dissection with mesna versus placebo, Outcome 2 Duration of hospital stay (days).

Study or subgroup	I	Mesna	Р	lacebo	м	lean Differenc	e	Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Fixed, 95% CI			Fixed, 95% CI
Benassi 2000	29	2 (0.2)	29	3 (0.2)	-+			100%	-1[-1.12,-0.88]
Total ***	29		29		•			100%	-1[-1.12,-0.88]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Z=15.87(P<0.0	001)								
			Fa	avours mesna	-1 -0.	.5 0 0.	5 1	Favours placeb	)

# Analysis 6.3. Comparison 6 Chemical dissection with mesna versus placebo, Outcome 3 Postoperative haemoglobin (g/dl).

Study or subgroup	I	Mesna	P	lacebo		Mean Difference		an Difference		fference		Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Fixed, 95% Cl					Fixed, 95% CI		
Benassi 2000	29	10.3 (0.2)	29	9.8 (0.1)				-+		100%	0.5[0.42,0.58]		
Total ***	29		29					•		100%	0.5[0.42,0.58]		
Heterogeneity: Not applicable													
Test for overall effect: Z=12.23(P<0.0	001)												
			Fav	ours placebo	-1	-0.5	0	0.5	1	Favours mesna			

# Analysis 6.4. Comparison 6 Chemical dissection with mesna versus placebo, Outcome 4 Postoperative haematocrit.

Study or subgroup	Mesna		Placebo			Mean	Difference	Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Fixed	i, 95% CI		Fixed, 95% CI
Benassi 2000	29	34.4 (1.3)	29	32.5 (1.1)				100%	1.9[1.3,2.5]
Total ***	29		29				-	100%	1.9[1.3,2.5]
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =0; Chi <sup>2</sup> =0, df=0(I	P<0.0001	L); I <sup>2</sup> =100%							
Test for overall effect: Z=6.19(P<0.000	01)								
			Fav	ours placebo	-2	-1	0 1 2	Favours mesna	

# Analysis 6.5. Comparison 6 Chemical dissection with mesna versus placebo, Outcome 5 Postoperative complications.

Study or subgroup	Mesna	Placebo			Odds Ratio			Weight	Odds Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H, Fixed, 95			6 CI			M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
6.5.1 Postoperative fever									
Benassi 2000	1/29	6/29		-				100%	0.14[0.02,1.22]
Subtotal (95% CI)	29	29						100%	0.14[0.02,1.22]
Total events: 1 (Mesna), 6 (Placebo)									
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Z=1.78(P=0.07)									
		Favours mesna	0.01	0.1	1	10	100	Favours placebo	

.ibrarv

#### Comparison 7. Myoma morcellation versus standard technique of enucleation (no treatment)

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 Blood loss (ml)	1	48	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	65.40 [-36.47, 167.27]
2 Duration of surgery (min)	1	48	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-25.30 [-44.23, -6.37]
3 Duration of hospital stay (days)	1	48	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-0.07 [-0.18, 0.04]

### Analysis 7.1. Comparison 7 Myoma morcellation versus standard technique of enucleation (no treatment), Outcome 1 Blood loss (ml).

Study or subgroup	Mor	cellation	No treatment		Mean Difference			Weight	Mean Difference		
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		F	ixed, 95% CI				Fixed, 95% CI
Sinha 2005	24	283.9 (229.3)	24	218.5 (110.7)					-	100%	65.4[-36.47,167.27]
Total ***	24		24						-	100%	65.4[-36.47,167.27]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=1.26(P=0.21)											
			Favours	morcellation	-200	-100	0	100	200	Favours no	treatment

### Analysis 7.2. Comparison 7 Myoma morcellation versus standard technique of enucleation (no treatment), Outcome 2 Duration of surgery (min).

Study or subgroup	Myoma mor- cellation		No treatment		Mean Difference					Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Fiz	xed, 95% C	:1			Fixed, 95% CI
Sinha 2005	24	97.7 (27.1)	24	123 (38.8)		-	-			100%	-25.3[-44.23,-6.37]
Total ***	24		24				-			100%	-25.3[-44.23,-6.37]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=2.62(P=0.01)											
			Favours	morcellation	-50	-25	0	25	50	Favours no	treatment

Analysis 7.3. Comparison 7 Myoma morcellation versus standard technique of enucleation (no treatment), Outcome 3 Duration of hospital stay (days).

Study or subgroup	Myo ce	ma mor- llation	No t	reatment		Меа	n Differe	ence		Weight	Mean Difference
	N	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Fix	ed, 95%	CI			Fixed, 95% CI
Sinha 2005	24	1.6 (0.2)	24	1.7 (0.2)		_				100%	-0.07[-0.18,0.04]
			Favours	morcellation	-0.2	-0.1	0	0.1	0.2	Favours no t	reatment



Study or subgroup	Myoma mor- cellation		No treatment			Mea	n Differ	ence		Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Fix	ed, 95%	5 CI			Fixed, 95% CI
Total ***	24		24		-					100%	-0.07[-0.18,0.04]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=1.25(P=0.21)											
			Favours	morcellation	-0.2	-0.1	0	0.1	0.2	Eavours no t	reatment

#### Comparison 8. Intravenous injection of tranexamic acid versus placebo

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 Blood loss (ml)	1	100	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-243.0 [-460.02, -25.98]
2 Need for blood transfusion	1	100	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.71 [0.68, 4.30]
3 Duration of surgery (min)	1	100	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-11.0 [-21.09, -0.91]
4 Postoperative haemoglobin (g/dl)	1	100	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.21 [-0.36, 0.78]
5 Postoperative haematocrit	1	100	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.0 [-0.43, 2.43]

#### Analysis 8.1. Comparison 8 Intravenous injection of tranexamic acid versus placebo, Outcome 1 Blood loss (ml).

Study or subgroup	Tra	nexamic	P	lacebo	Mean Differenc			ence		Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)	Fixed, 95% CI			CI			Fixed, 95% CI
Caglar 2008	50	804 (482)	50	1047 (617)			-			100%	-243[-460.02,-25.98]
Total ***	50		50				-			100%	-243[-460.02,-25.98]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=2.19(P=0.03)											
			Favou	rs tranovamic	-500	-250	0	250	500	Eavours pl	acebo

Favours tranexamic

Favours placebo

# Analysis 8.2. Comparison 8 Intravenous injection of tranexamic acid versus placebo, Outcome 2 Need for blood transfusion.

Study or subgroup	Tranexamic	Placebo		Odds Ratio		Weight	Odds Ratio
	n/N	n/N	N	1-H, Fixed, 95% C	I		M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Caglar 2008	15/50	10/50				100%	1.71[0.68,4.3]
Total (95% CI)	50	50				100%	1.71[0.68,4.3]
Total events: 15 (Tranexamic), 10 (Pla	cebo)						
Heterogeneity: Not applicable							
Test for overall effect: Z=1.15(P=0.25)					1 1		
	Fav	ours tranexamic	0.2 0.5	5 1	2 5	Favours placebo	

# Analysis 8.3. Comparison 8 Intravenous injection of tranexamic acid versus placebo, Outcome 3 Duration of surgery (min).

Study or subgroup	Tra	nexamic	P	lacebo		Mea	n Differ	ence		Weight I	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Fix	ed, 95%	6 CI			Fixed, 95% CI
Caglar 2008	50	73 (22)	50	84 (29)			_			100%	-11[-21.09,-0.91]
Total ***	50		50							100%	-11[-21.09,-0.91]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=2.14(P=0.03)											
			Favou	rs tranexamic	-20	-10	0	10	20	- Favours placebo	

# Analysis 8.4. Comparison 8 Intravenous injection of tranexamic acid versus placebo, Outcome 4 Postoperative haemoglobin (g/dl).

Study or subgroup	Tra	nexamic	Placebo		Mean Difference			Weight	Mean Difference	
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Fi	xed, 95% CI			Fixed, 95% CI
Caglar 2008	50	10 (1.5)	50	9.8 (1.4)					100%	0.21[-0.36,0.78]
Total ***	50		50						100%	0.21[-0.36,0.78]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable										
Test for overall effect: Z=0.72(P=0.47)					1					
			Favou	rs tranexamic	-1	-0.5	0 0.5	1	Favours placebo	)

# Analysis 8.5. Comparison 8 Intravenous injection of tranexamic acid versus placebo, Outcome 5 Postoperative haematocrit.

Study or subgroup	Tra	nexamic	Placebo			Mean Difference			Weight	Mean Difference	
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Fix	(ed, 95% Cl				Fixed, 95% CI
Caglar 2008	50	31.7 (3.9)	50	30.7 (3.4)				ł		100%	1[-0.43,2.43]
Total ***	50		50							100%	1[-0.43,2.43]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=1.37(P=0.17)				_							
			Favou	rs tranexamic	-2	-1	0	1	2	Favours placebo	)

# Comparison 9. Gelatin-thrombin matrix versus placebo or no treatment

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 Blood loss (ml)	1	50	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-545.0 [-593.26, -496.74]
2 Need for blood transfusion	1	50	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.01 [0.00, 0.10]


Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
3 Postoperative vaginal blood loss (ml)	1	50	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-225.0 [-254.46, -195.54]
4 Duration of surgery (min)	1	50	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	5.0 [1.29, 8.71]
5 Postoperative haemoglobin drop (g/dl)	1	50	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-2.3 [-2.66, -1.94]
6 Duration of hospital stay (days)	1	50	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-2.0 [-2.69, -1.31]
7 Postoperative fever	1	50	Odds Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.32 [0.01, 8.25]

### Analysis 9.1. Comparison 9 Gelatin-thrombin matrix versus placebo or no treatment, Outcome 1 Blood loss (ml).

Study or subgroup	Gelati	n-thrombin	No treatment		Mean D	ifference	Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)	Fixed,	, 95% CI		Fixed, 95% CI
Raga 2009	25	80 (25.2)	25	625 (120.5)	+		100%	-545[-593.26,-496.74]
Total ***	25		25		<b>♦</b>		100%	-545[-593.26,-496.74]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable								
Test for overall effect: Z=22.14(P<0	.0001)				1			
		-			1000 500	0 500	1000 -	

Favours gelatin-thrombin <sup>-1000</sup> -500 0

#### 0 500 1000 Favours no treatment

# Analysis 9.2. Comparison 9 Gelatin-thrombin matrix versus placebo or no treatment, Outcome 2 Need for blood transfusion.

Study or subgroup	Gelatin- thrombin	No treatment	Odds Ratio				Weight	Odds Ratio	
	n/N	n/N		M-H, Fi	ixed, 9	5% CI			M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Raga 2009	0/25	20/25	<b>4</b>					100%	0.01[0,0.1]
Total (95% CI)	25	25						100%	0.01[0,0.1]
Total events: 0 (Gelatin-thrombin), 20	(No treatment)								
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Z=3.48(P=0)									
	Favours	gelatin-thrombin	0.001	0.1	1	10	1000	Favours no treatment	

### Analysis 9.3. Comparison 9 Gelatin-thrombin matrix versus placebo or no treatment, Outcome 3 Postoperative vaginal blood loss (ml).

Study or subgroup	Gelati	in-thrombin No trea		reatment		Mea	n Differei	nce		Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)	Fixed, 95% CI				Fixed, 95% CI		
Raga 2009	25	25 (5)	25	250 (75)	+				100%	-225[-254.46,-195.54]	
		Fav	avours gelatin-thrombin		-500 -250 0 250		500	Favours no t	reatment		



Study or subgroup	Gelatir	n-thrombin	No tr	eatment	Mean Diffe		n Difference			Weight	Mean Difference	
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)	Fixed,		ixed, 9	95% CI				Fixed, 95% CI
Total ***	25		25			•					100%	-225[-254.46,-195.54]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable												
Test for overall effect: Z=14.97(P<0.0	0001)											
		Favo	ours gelat	tin-thrombin	-500	-250	0		250	500	Favours no t	reatment

# Analysis 9.4. Comparison 9 Gelatin-thrombin matrix versus placebo or no treatment, Outcome 4 Duration of surgery (min).

Study or subgroup	Gelati	n-thrombin	No treatment		Mean Difference	Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)	Fixed, 95% Cl		Fixed, 95% CI
Raga 2009	25	65 (5.2)	25	60 (7.9)		100%	5[1.29,8.71]
Total ***	25		25		-	100%	5[1.29,8.71]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable							
Test for overall effect: Z=2.64(P=0.01)							
		Fav	ours gela	itin-thrombin	-10 -5 0 5 10	Favours no t	reatment

Analysis 9.5. Comparison 9 Gelatin-thrombin matrix versus placebo or no treatment, Outcome 5 Postoperative haemoglobin drop (g/dl).

Study or subgroup	Gelati	n-thrombin	No treatment Mean Diff		an Differe	ence		Weight	Mean Difference		
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Fi	xed, 95%	CI			Fixed, 95% CI
Raga 2009	25	0.5 (0.2)	25	2.8 (0.9)		+				100%	-2.3[-2.66,-1.94]
Total ***	25		25			•				100%	-2.3[-2.66,-1.94]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=12.47(P<0.0	001)										
		Fa	vours gela	itin-thrombin	-5	-2.5	0	2.5	5	Favours no	treatment

## Analysis 9.6. Comparison 9 Gelatin-thrombin matrix versus placebo or no treatment, Outcome 6 Duration of hospital stay (days).

Study or subgroup	Gelati	n-thrombin	No treatment		Mean Difference			ence		Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Fixe	ed, 95%	6 CI			Fixed, 95% CI
Raga 2009	25	2.5 (1.2)	25	4.5 (1.3)						100%	-2[-2.69,-1.31]
Total ***	25		25							100%	-2[-2.69,-1.31]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=5.65(P<0.000	01)										
		Fav	ours gela	tin-thrombin	-5	-2.5	0	2.5	5	Favours no	treatment



## Analysis 9.7. Comparison 9 Gelatin-thrombin matrix versus placebo or no treatment, Outcome 7 Postoperative fever.

Study or subgroup	Gelatin- thrombin	No treatment	Odds Ratio				Weight	Odds Ratio
	n/N	n/N		M-H, Rando	om, 95% Cl			M-H, Random, 95% CI
Raga 2009	0/25	1/25					100%	0.32[0.01,8.25]
Total (95% CI)	25	25					100%	0.32[0.01,8.25]
Total events: 0 (Gelatin-thrombin), 1 (	No treatment)							
Heterogeneity: Not applicable								
Test for overall effect: Z=0.69(P=0.49)								
	Favours	gelatin-thrombin	0.01	0.1 1	10	100	Favours no treatment	:

## Comparison 10. Ascorbic acid versus placebo or no treatment

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 Blood loss (ml)	1	102	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-411.46 [-502.58, -320.34]
2 Need for blood transfusion	1	102	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.38 [0.11, 1.32]
3 Duration of surgery (min)	1	102	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-26.0 [-33.10, -18.90]
4 Duration of hospital stay (days)	1	102	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-0.40 [-0.65, -0.15]
5 Posoperative haemoglobin drop (g/dl)	1	102	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.14 [-0.22, 0.50]
6 Pospoerative hematocrit drop (%)	1	102	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-0.70 [-1.34, -0.06]
7 Posoperative complica- tions	1		Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	Totals not selected
7.1 Postoperative fever	1		Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
7.2 Postoperative vomiting	1		Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
7.3 Postoperative constipa- tion	1		Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
7.4 Severe pain	1		Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]

Study or subgroup	Asco	orbic acid	No t	reatment Mean Di		Mean Difference		Weight	Mean Difference		
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Fi	ixed, 95% C	1			Fixed, 95% CI
Pourmatroud 2012	52	521.4 (199.2)	50	932.9 (264.4)	-	_				100%	-411.46[-502.58,-320.34]
Total ***	52		50		•	•				100%	-411.46[-502.58,-320.34]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=8.85(P<0.000)	L)										
			Favours	ascorbic acid	-500	-250	0	250	500	Favours n	o treatment

## Analysis 10.1. Comparison 10 Ascorbic acid versus placebo or no treatment, Outcome 1 Blood loss (ml).

## Analysis 10.2. Comparison 10 Ascorbic acid versus placebo or no treatment, Outcome 2 Need for blood transfusion.

Study or subgroup	Ascorbic acid	No treatment			Od	ds Ra	tio			Weight	Odds Ratio
	n/N	n/N			M-H, Fi	ixed,	95% CI				M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Pourmatroud 2012	4/52	9/50				-				100%	0.38[0.11,1.32]
Total (95% CI)	52	50				-				100%	0.38[0.11,1.32]
Total events: 4 (Ascorbic acid), 9 (No	treatment)										
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=1.52(P=0.13)	)										
	Fave	ours ascorbic acid	0.1	0.2	0.5	1	2	5	10	Favours no treatment	

### Analysis 10.3. Comparison 10 Ascorbic acid versus placebo or no treatment, Outcome 3 Duration of surgery (min).

Study or subgroup	Asco	orbic acid	No ti	reatment		Mean Di		Mean Difference			Weight	Mean Difference		
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)			Fixe	d, 9	5% CI				Fixed, 95% CI	
Pourmatroud 2012	52	42 (13.9)	50	68 (21.7)	-	+						100%	-26[-33.1,-1	8.9]
Total ***	52		50			•						100%	-26[-33.1,-1	8.9]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable														
Test for overall effect: Z=7.17(P<0.000	1)													
			Favours	ascorbic acid	-40	-2	)	0		20	40	Favours no	treatment	

## Analysis 10.4. Comparison 10 Ascorbic acid versus placebo or no treatment, Outcome 4 Duration of hospital stay (days).

Study or subgroup	Asco	orbic acid	No ti	No treatment		Mean Difference					Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Fixe	ed, 95	5% CI				Fixed, 95% CI
Pourmatroud 2012	52	2.7 (0.7)	50	3.1 (0.6)							100%	-0.4[-0.65,-0.15]
Total ***	52		50								100%	-0.4[-0.65,-0.15]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable												
Test for overall effect: Z=3.15(P=0)						1			1			
			Favours	ascorbic acid	-1	-0.5	0	(	).5	1	Favours no	o treatment

## Analysis 10.5. Comparison 10 Ascorbic acid versus placebo or no treatment, Outcome 5 Posoperative haemoglobin drop (g/dl).

Study or subgroup	Asco	orbic acid	No treatment		Mean Difference				Weight	Mean Difference	
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)			Fixed, 9	5% CI			Fixed, 95% CI
Pourmatroud 2012	52	0.9 (0.8)	50	0.8 (1)						100%	0.14[-0.22,0.5]
Total ***	52		50							100%	0.14[-0.22,0.5]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=0.77(P=0.44)											
			Favours	ascorbic acid	-1	-0.5	0	0.5	1	Favours	no treatment

# Analysis 10.6. Comparison 10 Ascorbic acid versus placebo or no treatment, Outcome 6 Pospoerative hematocrit drop (%).

Study or subgroup	Asco	orbic acid	No t	reatment	Mean Difference	Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)	Fixed, 95% Cl		Fixed, 95% CI
Pourmatroud 2012	52	-2.3 (1.6)	50	-1.6 (1.7)		100%	-0.7[-1.34,-0.06]
Total ***	52		50			100%	-0.7[-1.34,-0.06]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable							
Test for overall effect: Z=2.14(P=0.03)						1	
			Faulaura	accorbic acid	-2 -1 0 1	2 Fouriers not	reatment

Favours ascorbic acid -2 -1 0 1 2 Favours no treatment

## Analysis 10.7. Comparison 10 Ascorbic acid versus placebo or no treatment, Outcome 7 Posoperative complications.

Study or subgroup	Favours ascorbic acid	No treatment	Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
10.7.1 Postoperative fever				
Pourmatroud 2012	9/52	5/50	- <u>+</u> +	1.88[0.58,6.07]
10.7.2 Postoperative vomiting				
Pourmatroud 2012	2/52	1/50		1.96[0.17,22.32]
10.7.3 Postoperative constipation				
Pourmatroud 2012	0/52	1/50		0.31[0.01,7.9]
10.7.4 Severe pain				
Pourmatroud 2012	4/52	2/50		2[0.35,11.44]
		Favours ascorbic acid	0.01 0.1 1 10	<sup>100</sup> Favours no treatment

## Comparison 11. Dinoprostone versus placebo

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 Blood loss (ml)	1	108	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-131.60 [-253.42, -9.78]
2 Need for blood transfusion	1	108	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.17 [0.04, 0.81]
3 Duration of surgery (min)	1	108	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-2.60 [-12.55, 7.35]
4 Duration of hospital stay (days)	1	108	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.30 [-0.22, 0.82]
5 Postoperative haemoglobin (g/dl)	1	108	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.10 [-0.39, 0.59]
6 Postoperative haemoglobin drop (g/dl)	1	108	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-0.5 [-0.88, -0.12]
7 Postoperative complications	1		Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
7.1 Postoperative fever	1	108	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.53 [0.25, 9.54]

## Analysis 11.1. Comparison 11 Dinoprostone versus placebo, Outcome 1 Blood loss (ml).

Study or subgroup	Dino	prostone	Placebo		Mean Difference				Weight	Mean Difference	
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Fix	ced, 95% CI				Fixed, 95% CI
Shokeir 2013	54	354.1 (279.4)	54	485.7 (361.3)						100%	-131.6[-253.42,-9.78]
Total ***	54		54							100%	-131.6[-253.42,-9.78]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=2.12(P=0.03)											
			Favours	dinoprostone	-500	-250	0	250	500	Favours pla	cebo

## Analysis 11.2. Comparison 11 Dinoprostone versus placebo, Outcome 2 Need for blood transfusion.

Study or subgroup	Dinoprostone	Placebo		Odds Ratio			Weight	Odds Ratio	
	n/N	n/N		M-H, F	ixed, 9	5% CI			M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Shokeir 2013	2/54	10/54			-			100%	0.17[0.04,0.81]
Total (95% CI)	54	54			-			100%	0.17[0.04,0.81]
Total events: 2 (Dinoprostone), 10 (P	Placebo)								
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Z=2.22(P=0.03	;)								
	Favou	rs dinoprostone	0.01	0.1	1	10	100	Favours placebo	

Study or subgroup	Dino	prostone	ne Placebo		Mean Difference				Weight	Mean Difference	
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Fix	ed, 95% CI				Fixed, 95% CI
Shokeir 2013	54	82.8 (31.5)	54	85.4 (20)				-		100%	-2.6[-12.55,7.35]
Total ***	54		54					-		100%	-2.6[-12.55,7.35]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=0.51(P=0.61)											
			Favours	dinoprostone	-20	-10	0	10	20	Favours placebo	

## Analysis 11.3. Comparison 11 Dinoprostone versus placebo, Outcome 3 Duration of surgery (min).

### Analysis 11.4. Comparison 11 Dinoprostone versus placebo, Outcome 4 Duration of hospital stay (days).

Study or subgroup	Dino	prostone	Placebo		Mean Difference				Weight	Mean Difference	
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)			Fixed, 9	95% CI			Fixed, 95% CI
Shokeir 2013	54	3.3 (0.7)	54	3 (1.8)				-		100%	0.3[-0.22,0.82]
Total ***	54		54							100%	0.3[-0.22,0.82]
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =0; Chi <sup>2</sup> =0, df=0(P	<0.0001	); I <sup>2</sup> =100%									
Test for overall effect: Z=1.14(P=0.25)								1	i		
			Favours	dinoprostone	-1	-0.	0	0.	5 1	Favours pla	cebo

## Analysis 11.5. Comparison 11 Dinoprostone versus placebo, Outcome 5 Postoperative haemoglobin (g/dl).

Study or subgroup	Dino	prostone	P	acebo		Mean Difference		Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Fixed,	, 95% CI		Fixed, 95% CI
Shokeir 2013	54	9.5 (1.2)	54	9.4 (1.4)				100%	0.1[-0.39,0.59]
Total ***	54		54					100%	0.1[-0.39,0.59]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Z=0.4(P=0.69)					ı	1		1	
			Fav	ours placebo	-1	-0.5	0 0.5	<sup>1</sup> Favours	dinoprostone

### Analysis 11.6. Comparison 11 Dinoprostone versus placebo, Outcome 6 Postoperative haemoglobin drop (g/dl).

Study or subgroup	Dino	prostone	Р	lacebo	Mean D	Mean Difference		Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)	Fixed	, 95% CI		Fixed, 95% CI
Shokeir 2013	54	1.4 (0.2)	54	1.9 (1.4)			100%	-0.5[-0.88,-0.12]
Total ***	54		54				100%	-0.5[-0.88,-0.12]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable								
Test for overall effect: Z=2.6(P=0.01)								
			Favours	dinoprostone	-1 -0.5	0 0.5	<sup>1</sup> Favours placeb	0

Study or subgroup	Dinoprostone	Placebo		Odds Ratio				Weight	Odds Ratio			
	n/N	n/N			M-H, Fi	ixed, 9	95% CI				M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	
11.7.1 Postoperative fever												
Shokeir 2013	3/54	2/54		_			+			100%	1.53[0.25,9.54]	
Subtotal (95% CI)	54	54								100%	1.53[0.25,9.54]	
Total events: 3 (Dinoprostone), 2	(Placebo)											
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =0; Chi <sup>2</sup> =0, df	=0(P<0.0001); I <sup>2</sup> =100%											
Test for overall effect: Z=0.45(P=0	0.65)											
	Favou	rs dinoprostone	0.1	0.2	0.5	1	2	5	10	Favours placebo		-

## Analysis 11.7. Comparison 11 Dinoprostone versus placebo, Outcome 7 Postoperative complications.

## Comparison 12. Loop ligation of myoma pseudocapsule plus vasopressin versus no treatment

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 Blood loss (ml)	1	70	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-305.01 [-354.83, -255.19]
2 Need for blood transfu- sion	1	70	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.08 [0.00, 1.47]
3 Duration of surgery (min)	1	70	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-32.76 [-40.81, -24.71]
4 Duration of hospital stay (days)	1	70	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-1.46 [-1.85, -1.07]

## Analysis 12.1. Comparison 12 Loop ligation of myoma pseudocapsule plus vasopressin versus no treatment, Outcome 1 Blood loss (ml).

Study or subgroup	Liga vas	ition plus opressin	No t	reatment	Mean Difference		9		Weight	Mean Difference	
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Fixed	, 95% CI				Fixed, 95% CI
Zhao 2011	35	58.7 (27.5)	35	363.7 (147.8)	-+-					100%	-305.01[-354.83,-255.19]
Total ***	35		35		•					100%	-305.01[-354.83,-255.19]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=12(P<0.0001)					1	I					
		Favo	ours ligat	tion-vasopres	-500 -2	50	0	250	500	Favours n	o treatement

# Analysis 12.2. Comparison 12 Loop ligation of myoma pseudocapsule plus vasopressin versus no treatment, Outcome 2 Need for blood transfusion.

Study or subgroup	Ligation plus vasopressin	No treatment	Odds Ratio				Weight	Odds Ratio	
	n/N	n/N		M-H, Fiz	xed, 95	% CI			M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Zhao 2011	0/35	5/35						100%	0.08[0,1.47]
Total (95% CI)	35	35						100%	0.08[0,1.47]
Total events: 0 (Ligation plus vasopre	essin), 5 (No treatmei	nt)							
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Z=1.7(P=0.09)				1					
	Favours	ligation-vasopres	0.001	0.1	1	10	1000	Favours no treatement	

## Analysis 12.3. Comparison 12 Loop ligation of myoma pseudocapsule plus vasopressin versus no treatment, Outcome 3 Duration of surgery (min).

Study or subgroup	Liga vas	tion plus opressin	No treatment			Mean Difference	Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Fixed, 95% CI		Fixed, 95% CI
Zhao 2011	35	71.4 (15.8)	35	104.2 (18.5)			100%	-32.76[-40.81,-24.71]
Total ***	35		35		•		100%	-32.76[-40.81,-24.71]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable								
Test for overall effect: Z=7.97(P<0.000	1)				11			
		-	12		E0 26		DE EO -	

Favours ligation-vasopres -50 -25 0 25 50 Favours no treatement

# Analysis 12.4. Comparison 12 Loop ligation of myoma pseudocapsule plus vasopressin versus no treatment, Outcome 4 Duration of hospital stay (days).

Study or subgroup	Liga vas	ition plus opressin	No ti	reatment	nent Mean Dif		Difference			Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Fixe	d, 95% CI				Fixed, 95% CI
Zhao 2011	35	3.1 (0.5)	35	4.6 (1.1)		_				100%	-1.46[-1.85,-1.07]
Total ***	35		35		•	•				100%	-1.46[-1.85,-1.07]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=7.38(P<0.000	1)										
		Fa	ours ligat	ion-vasopres	-2	-1	0	1	2	Favours no t	reatement

## Comparison 13. Temporary clipping of uterine artery versus no treatment

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 Need for blood transfusion	1	166	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
2 Duration of surgery (min)	1	166	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	40.0 [30.01, 49.99]



Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
3 Postoperative haemoglobin drop (g/dl)	1	166	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-0.25 [-0.61, 0.11]
4 Duration of hospital stay (days)	1	166	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [-0.15, 0.15]
5 Postoperative complications	1		Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
5.1 Postoperative bleeding	1	166	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	2.18 [0.19, 24.51]
5.2 Uterine hematoma	1	166	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	3.31 [0.34, 32.51]
5.3 Postoperative sepsis	1	166	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	3.26 [0.13, 81.29]
5.4 Bleeding from point of tro- car insertion	1	166	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	3.26 [0.13, 81.29]
5.5 Postoperative urinary tract infection	1	166	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	3.26 [0.13, 81.29]
5.6 Trocar site hernia	1	166	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	3.26 [0.13, 81.29]
5.7 Sinus venous thrombosis	1	166	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	3.26 [0.13, 81.29]
5.8 Lung artery embolism	1	166	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.35 [0.01, 8.82]
5.9 Cardiac arrhythmia	1	166	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.35 [0.01, 8.82]

## Analysis 13.1. Comparison 13 Temporary clipping of uterine artery versus no treatment, Outcome 1 Need for blood transfusion.

Study or subgroup	Temporary clipping	No treatment		Odds Ratio				Weight	Odds Ratio
	n/N	n/N		M-H	l, Fixed, 95%	% CI			M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Vercellino 2012	0/80	0/86							Not estimable
Total (95% CI)	80	86							Not estimable
Total events: 0 (Temporary clipping),	0 (No treatment)								
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Not applicable									
		Favours clipping	0.01	0.1	1	10	100	Favours no treatment	



# Analysis 13.2. Comparison 13 Temporary clipping of uterine artery versus no treatment, Outcome 2 Duration of surgery (min).

Study or subgroup	Tempo	rary clipping	No treatment		Mean Difference				Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Fix	ed, 95% CI			Fixed, 95% CI
Vercellino 2012	80	168 (30.4)	86	128 (35.2)					- 100%	40[30.01,49.99]
Total ***	80		86					•	100%	40[30.01,49.99]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable										
Test for overall effect: Z=7.85(P<0.0	001)								1	
			Fav	ours clipping	-50	-25	0	25 5	) Favours no	treatment

## Analysis 13.3. Comparison 13 Temporary clipping of uterine artery versus no treatment, Outcome 3 Postoperative haemoglobin drop (g/dl).

Study or subgroup	Tempo	rary clipping	No treatment		Mean Difference					Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Fixed	l, 95% CI				Fixed, 95% CI
Vercellino 2012	80	1.2 (1)	86	1.5 (1.4)						100%	-0.25[-0.61,0.11]
Total ***	80		86							100%	-0.25[-0.61,0.11]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=1.37(P=0.17	7)					1					
			Fav	ours clipping	-1	-0.5	0	0.5	1	Favours no t	reatment

## Analysis 13.4. Comparison 13 Temporary clipping of uterine artery versus no treatment, Outcome 4 Duration of hospital stay (days).

Study or subgroup	Tempo	rary clipping	No treatment			Mean Difference				Weight M	ean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Fiz	xed, 95% C	I		l	Fixed, 95% CI
Vercellino 2012	80	4.8 (0.1)	86	4.8 (0.7)						100%	0[-0.15,0.15]
Total ***	80		86				-			100%	0[-0.15,0.15]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Not applicable	e				ı			1	1		
			Fav	ours clipping	-0.5	-0.25	0	0.25	0.5	Favours no treatn	nent

# Analysis 13.5. Comparison 13 Temporary clipping of uterine artery versus no treatment, Outcome 5 Postoperative complications.

Study or subgroup	Temporary clipping	No treatment		Odds Ratio				Weight	Odds Ratio
	n/N	n/N		M-H	, Fixed, 95%	6 CI			M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
13.5.1 Postoperative bleeding									
Vercellino 2012	2/80	1/86		_				100%	2.18[0.19,24.51]
Subtotal (95% CI)	80	86		_				100%	2.18[0.19,24.51]
Total events: 2 (Temporary clipping)	), 1 (No treatment)								
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
		Favours clipping	0.01	0.1	1	10	100	Favours no treatment	



Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews

Study or subgroup	Temporary	No treatment	Odds Ratio	Weight	Odds Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI		M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Test for overall effect: Z=0.63(P=0.53)					
13.5.2 Uterine hematoma					
Vercellino 2012	3/80	1/86		100%	3.31[0.34,32.51]
Subtotal (95% CI)	80	86		100%	3.31[0.34,32.51]
Total events: 3 (Temporary clipping),	1 (No treatment)				
Heterogeneity: Not applicable					
Test for overall effect: Z=1.03(P=0.3)					
13.5.3 Postoperative sepsis					
Vercellino 2012	1/80	0/86		- 100%	3.26[0.13,81.29]
Subtotal (95% CI)	80	86		100%	3.26[0.13,81.29]
Total events: 1 (Temporary clipping),	0 (No treatment)				
Heterogeneity: Not applicable					
Test for overall effect: Z=0.72(P=0.47)					
13.5.4 Bleeding from point of troca	r insertion	0/00		1000/	
Vercellino 2012	1/80	0/86		- 100%	3.26[0.13,81.29]
Total events: 1 (Temperany clipping)	0 (No troatmont)	80		100%	3.20[0.13,81.29]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable	o (No treatment)				
Test for overall effect: 7=0 72(P=0 47)					
13.5.5 Postoperative urinary tract i	nfection				
Vercellino 2012	1/80	0/86		- 100%	3.26[0.13,81.29]
Subtotal (95% CI)	80	86		100%	3.26[0.13,81.29]
Total events: 1 (Temporary clipping),	0 (No treatment)				
Heterogeneity: Not applicable					
Test for overall effect: Z=0.72(P=0.47)					
12 E 6 Trocar site hornia					
Vercellino 2012	1/80	0/86		- 100%	3 26[0 13 81 29]
Subtotal (95% CI)	1/00 80	86		- 100%	3.26[0.13.81.29]
Total events: 1 (Temporary clipping),	0 (No treatment)				[]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable					
Test for overall effect: Z=0.72(P=0.47)					
13.5.7 Sinus venous thrombosis					
Vercellino 2012	1/80	0/86		- 100%	3.26[0.13,81.29]
Subtotal (95% CI)	80	86		100%	3.26[0.13,81.29]
Total events: 1 (Temporary clipping),	0 (No treatment)				
Heterogeneity: Not applicable					
rest for overall effect: Z=0.72(P=0.47)					
13.5.8 Lung artery embolism					
Vercellino 2012	0/80	1/86 —		100%	0.35[0.01.8.82]
Subtotal (95% CI)	80	86		100%	0.35[0.01,8.82]
Total events: 0 (Temporary clipping),	1 (No treatment)				···· /···-]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable					
Test for overall effect: Z=0.63(P=0.53)					
		1			
		Favours clipping 0.01	0.1 1 10 1	<sup>00</sup> Favours no treatmen	t



Study or subgroup	Temporary clipping	No treatment	Odds Ratio				Weight	Odds Ratio	
	n/N	n/N		м-н,	Fixed, 95	% CI			M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
13.5.9 Cardiac arrhythmia									
Vercellino 2012	0/80	1/86						100%	0.35[0.01,8.82]
Subtotal (95% CI)	80	86						100%	0.35[0.01,8.82]
Total events: 0 (Temporary clipping)	, 1 (No treatment)								
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Z=0.63(P=0.53)	)								
Test for subgroup differences: Chi <sup>2</sup> =2	2.87, df=1 (P=0.94), I <sup>2</sup>	=0%							
		Favours clipping	0.01	0.1	1	10	100	Favours no treatment	

## Comparison 14. Fibrin sealant patch versus no treatment

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 Blood loss (ml)	1	70	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-26.5 [-44.47, -8.53]
2 Postoperative blood loss in drainage bag	1	70	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-44.60 [-65.06, -24.14]
3 Need for blood transfusion	1	70	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
4 Duration of surgery (min)	1	70	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-0.40 [-4.47, 3.67]
5 Duration of hospital stay (days)	1	70	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [-0.09, 0.09]
6 Conception after surgery	1	70	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	3.16 [0.76, 13.11]

## Analysis 14.1. Comparison 14 Fibrin sealant patch versus no treatment, Outcome 1 Blood loss (ml).

Study or subgroup	Та	achosil	No t	reatment		Mean Dif		Mean Difference We		Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Fixed	i, 95% CI				Fixed, 95% CI
Leone Maggiore 2011	35	124.6 (41)	35	151.1 (35.5)		+				100%	-26.5[-44.47,-8.53]
Total ***	35		35							100%	-26.5[-44.47,-8.53]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=2.89(P=0)											
			Fav	ours Tachosil	-50	-25	0	25	50	Favours no	treatment

# Analysis 14.2. Comparison 14 Fibrin sealant patch versus no treatment, Outcome 2 Postoperative blood loss in drainage bag.

Study or subgroup	т	achosil	No t	reatment		Mean Difference		Difference		Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Fixe	ed, 95% CI				Fixed, 95% CI
Leone Maggiore 2011	35	228 (41.2)	35	272.6 (46)						100%	-44.6[-65.06,-24.14]
Total ***	35		35			•				100%	-44.6[-65.06,-24.14]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=4.27(P<0.000	01)										
			Fav	ours Tachosil	-100	-50	0	50	100	Favours no	treatment

## Analysis 14.3. Comparison 14 Fibrin sealant patch versus no treatment, Outcome 3 Need for blood transfusion.

Study or subgroup	Tachosil	No treatment		Odds F		Odds Ratio		Weight	Odds Ratio
	n/N	n/N		M-H	l, Fixed, 959	% CI			M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Leone Maggiore 2011	0/35	0/35							Not estimable
Total (95% CI)	35	35							Not estimable
Total events: 0 (Tachosil), 0 (No treatme	ent)								
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Not applicable									
		Favours Tachosil	0.01	0.1	1	10	100	Favours no treatment	

### Analysis 14.4. Comparison 14 Fibrin sealant patch versus no treatment, Outcome 4 Duration of surgery (min).

Study or subgroup	Та	achosil	No treatment		Mean Difference					Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Fixed	95% CI				Fixed, 95% CI
Leone Maggiore 2011	35	98.4 (7.8)	35	98.8 (9.5)	-					100%	-0.4[-4.47,3.67]
Total ***	35		35		_					100%	-0.4[-4.47,3.67]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=0.19(P=0.85)						1		1			
			Fav	ours Tachosil	-5	-2.5	0	2.5	5	Favours no tr	eatment

### Analysis 14.5. Comparison 14 Fibrin sealant patch versus no treatment, Outcome 5 Duration of hospital stay (days).

Study or subgroup	Та	achosil	No treatment		Mean Difference				Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Fix	ed, 95% CI			Fixed, 95% CI
Leone Maggiore 2011	35	2 (0.2)	35	2 (0.2)					100%	0[-0.09,0.09]
Total ***	35		35						100%	0[-0.09,0.09]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable										
Test for overall effect: Not applicable										
			Fav	ours Tachosil	-0.2	-0.1	0 0.1	0.2	Favours no tre	atment

#### Analysis 14.6. Comparison 14 Fibrin sealant patch versus no treatment, Outcome 6 Conception after surgery.

Study or subgroup	Tachosil	No treatment		Odds Ratio				Weight	Odds Ratio		
	n/N	n/N		I	M-H, Fi	xed,	95% CI	I			M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Leone Maggiore 2011	8/35	3/35				-				100%	3.16[0.76,13.11]
Total (95% CI)	35	35				-				100%	3.16[0.76,13.11]
Total events: 8 (Tachosil), 3 (No treatme	nt)										
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=1.59(P=0.11)											
	Fav	ours No treatment	0.1	0.2	0.5	1	2	5	10	Favours Tachosil	

#### APPENDICES

#### Appendix 1. Cochrane Menstrual Disorders and Subfertility Group search strategy for this review

MDSG SS for EJK1071 17.06.14

#### Search string

Keywords CONTAINS "myomectomy" or Title CONTAINS "myomectomy"

AND

Keywords CONTAINS "misoprostol" or "sulprostone" or "tourniquet" or "uterine artery embolization" or "uterine artery ligation" or "laser" or "haemorthages" or "haemostasis" or "haemostatic factors" or "hemostasis" or "uterotonics" or "oxytocin" or "vasopressin" or "morcellation" or "morcellator" or "bupivacaine" or "Epinephrine" or "blood loss" or "Blood Loss, Surgical" or dinoprostone or "prostaglandins" or "Bleeding" or Title CONTAINS "misoprostol" or "sulprostone" or "tourniquet" or "uterine artery embolization" or "uterine artery embolization" or "uterine artery embolization" or "uterine artery embolization" or "sulprostone" or "sulprostone" or "tourniquet" or "uterine artery embolization" or "uterine artery ligation" or "laser" or "haemorthages" or "haemostasis" or "haemostasis" or "haemostasis" or "haemostasis" or "uterine artery ligation" or "uterotonics" or "oxytocin" or "sulprostone" or "bupivacaine" or "blood loss" or "Blood Loss, Surgical" or dinoprostone or "prostaglandins" or "Blood Loss, Surgical" or "bupivacaine" or "bupivacaine" or "bupivacaine" or "blood loss" or "Blood Loss, Surgical" or dinoprostone or "prostaglandins" or "Blood Loss, Surgical" or "bupivacaine" or "bupivacaine" or "blood loss" or "Blood Loss, Surgical" or dinoprostone or "prostaglandins" or "Blood Loss, Surgical" or dinoprostone or "prostaglandins" or "Blood Loss, Surgical" or "bupivacaine" or "blood loss" or "blood Loss, Surgical" or dinoprostone or "prostaglandins" or "Blood Loss, Surgical" or dinoprostone or "prostaglandins" or "Blood Loss, Surgical" or dinoprostone or "blood Loss, Surgical" or dinoprostone

#### PsycINFO <1801 to February week 03 2011> search strategy

1 exp Gynecological Disorders/ (1252) 2 myoma\$.tw. (17) 3 fibroid\$.tw. (29) 4 Leiomyoma\$.tw. (9) 5 or/1-4 (1290) 6 myomectom\$.tw. (5) 7 Laparotom\$.tw. (94) 8 laparoscop\$.tw. (185) 9 hysteroscop\$.tw. (7) 10 or/6-9 (278) 11 Misoprostol.tw. (33) 12 misoprostol.tw. (33) 13 sulprostone.tw. (4) 14 tourniquet.tw. (99) 15 uterine artery ligation\$.tw. (6) 16 uterine artery emboli\$.tw. (0) 17 UAE.tw. (117) 18 mesna.tw. (4) 19 (laser adj3 dissection).tw. (5) 20 hemorrhag\$.tw. (2585) 21 haemorrhag\$.tw. (605) 22 uterotonic\$.tw. (2) 23 ergometrin\$.tw. (21) 24 Ergonovine.tw. (9) 25 oxytocin\$.tw. (1434)



26 vasopressin\$.tw. (1976) 27 terlipressin\$.tw. (0) 28 uterine artery dissection.tw. (0) 29 morcellation.tw. (1) 30 (chemical\$ adj3 dissection).tw. (0) 31 h?emosta\$.tw. (116) 32 bupivacaine.tw. (149) 33 epinephrine.tw. (1525) 34 or/11-33 (7930) 35 5 and 10 and 34 (0)

#### **Appendix 2. MEDLINE**

Database: Ovid MEDLINE(R) In-Process & Other Non-Indexed Citations, Ovid MEDLINE(R) Daily and Ovid MEDLINE(R) <1946 to Present> Search Strategy:

1 exp Myoma/ (2048) 2 myoma\$.tw. (4499) 3 fibroid\$.tw. (4144) 4 exp Leiomyoma/ (16821) 5 leiomyoma\$.tw. (10538) 6 or/1-5 (24210) 7 myomectom\$.tw. (2224) 8 exp Uterine Myomectomy/ (111) 9 exp Laparotomy/ (15546) 10 laparotom\$.tw. (38261) 11 laparoscop\$.tw. (82709) 12 exp Laparoscopy/ or exp Hand-Assisted Laparoscopy/ (68805) 13 exp Hysteroscopy/ (3534) 14 hysteroscop\$.tw. (4718) 15 or/7-14 (135540) 16 exp Misoprostol/ (3321) 17 misoprostol.tw. (3711) 18 sulprostone.tw. (608) 19 tourniquet.tw. (4107) 20 uterine artery ligation\$.tw. (223) 21 uterine artery emboli\$.tw. (1113) 22 UAE.tw. (2160) 23 mesna.tw. (1154) 24 (laser adj3 dissection).tw. (275) 25 hemorrhag\$.tw. (145643) 26 haemorrhag\$.tw. (40098) 27 uterotonic\$.tw. (792) 28 ergometrin\$.tw. (534) 29 exp Ergonovine/ (1579) 30 Ergonovine.tw. (887) 31 oxytocin\$.tw. (17953) 32 exp Oxytocin/ (16608) 33 vasopressin\$.tw. (29732) 34 exp Vasopressins/ (33429) 35 terlipressin\$.tw. (554) 36 uterine artery dissection.tw. (6) 37 morcellation.tw. (356) 38 (chemical\$ adj3 dissection).tw. (104) 39 h?emosta\$.tw. (38396) 40 bupivacaine.tw. (10102) 41 epinephrine.tw. (28702) 42 Dinoprostone.tw. (321) 43 exp Dinoprostone/ (25030) 44 or/16-43 (344668) 45 6 and 15 and 44 (665) 46 randomised controlled trial.pt. (376290)



47 controlled clinical trial.pt. (88554) 48 randomized.ab. (296481) 49 placebo.tw. (159171) 50 clinical trials as topic.sh. (170414) 51 randomly.ab. (214512) 52 trial.ti. (127660) 53 (crossover or cross-over or cross over).tw. (60985) 54 or/46-53 (929704) 55 exp animals/ not humans.sh. (3951934) 56 54 not 55 (856541) 57 45 and 56 (95) 58 (201310\$ or 201311\$ or 201312\$).ed. (235970) 59 2014\$.ed. (458535) 60 2014\$.dp. (449300) 61 58 or 59 or 60 (1042058) 62 57 and 61 (5)

#### **Appendix 3. EMBASE**

Database: Embase <1980 to 2014 Week 24> Search Strategy:

1 exp UTERUS MYOMA/ (9594) 2 myoma\$.tw. (5831) 3 fibroid\$.tw. (6109) 4 exp LEIOMYOMA/ (13409) 5 leiomyoma\$.tw. (12331) 6 or/1-5 (29054) 7 myomectomy.tw. (3369) 8 exp MYOMECTOMY/ (3469) 9 exp LAPAROTOMY/ (51818) 10 laparotom\$.tw. (45529) 11 laparoscop\$.tw. (117162) 12 exp LAPAROSCOPY/ (99372) 13 hysteroscop\$.tw. (7419) 14 exp HYSTEROSCOPY/ (7632) 15 or/7-14 (203184) 16 misoprostol.tw. (4826) 17 sulprostone.tw. (639) 18 tourniquet.tw. (4505) 19 uterine artery ligation.tw. (332) 20 uterine artery emboli\$.tw. (1654) 21 exp uterine artery embolization/ (2021) 22 mesna.tw. (1429) 23 (laser adj3 dissection).tw. (424) 24 hemorrhag\$.tw. (171912) 25 haemorrhag\$.tw. (48032) 26 uterotonic\$.tw. (1009) 27 ergometrin\$.tw. (530) 28 oxytocin\$.tw. (18561) 29 hormon\$ tourniquet\$.tw. (1) 30 vasopressin\$.tw. (30846) 31 terlipressin\$.tw. (809) 32 uterine artery dissection.tw. (8) 33 morcellation.tw. (713) 34 (chemical\$ adj3 dissection).tw. (100) 35 h?emosta\$.tw. (50607) 36 bupivacaine.tw. (12861) 37 epinephrine.tw. (31082) 38 exp prostaglandin E2/ (40681) 39 Dinoprostone.tw. (532) 40 or/16-39 (395204)



41 6 and 15 and 40 (1343) 42 Clinical Trial/ (831601) 43 Randomized Controlled Trial/ (343448) 44 exp randomization/ (62313) 45 Single Blind Procedure/ (18367) 46 Double Blind Procedure/ (113645) 47 Crossover Procedure/ (39147) 48 Placebo/ (240637) 49 Randomi?ed controlled trial\$.tw. (98971) 50 Rct.tw. (13930) 51 random allocation.tw. (1308) 52 randomly allocated.tw. (20183) 53 allocated randomly.tw. (1921) 54 (allocated adj2 random).tw. (712) 55 Single blind\$.tw. (14252) 56 Double blind\$.tw. (140404) 57 ((treble or triple) adj blind\$).tw. (370) 58 placebo\$.tw. (197255) 59 prospective study/ (252453) 60 or/42-59 (1358985) 61 case study/ (26347) 62 case report.tw. (258214) 63 abstract report/ or letter/ (891787) 64 or/61-63 (1170729) 65 60 not 64 (1321392) 66 41 and 65 (240) 67 (201310\$ or 201311\$ or 201312\$).em. (67086) 68 2014\$.em. (812650) 69 2014\$.dp. (52878) 70 67 or 68 or 69 (881905) 71 66 and 70 (15)

### **Appendix 4. CINAHL**

### EJK1071 CINAHL 17.06.14

#	Query	Results
S56	S42 AND S54 date limited from 01.01.13 to 17.06.14	6
S55	S42 AND S54	69
S54	S43 OR S44 OR S45 OR S46 OR S47 OR S48 OR S49 OR S50 OR S51 OR S52 OR S53	895,110
S53	TX allocat* random*	3,921
S52	(MH "Quantitative Studies")	12,109
S51	(MH "Placebos")	8,760
S50	TX placebo*	31,698
S49	TX random* allocat*	3,921
S48	(MH "Random Assignment")	37,387
S47	TX randomi* control* trial*	73,723



(Continued)		
S46	TX ( (singl* n1 blind*) or (singl* n1 mask*) ) or TX ( (doubl* n1 blind*) or (dou- bl* n1 mask*) ) or TX ( (tripl* n1 blind*) or (tripl* n1 mask*) ) or TX ( (trebl* n1 blind*) or (trebl* n1 mask*) )	718,901
S45	TX clinic* n1 trial*	163,832
S44	PT Clinical trial	76,084
S43	(MH "Clinical Trials+")	175,691
S42	S6 AND S15 AND S41	291
S41	S16 OR S17 OR S18 OR S19 OR S20 OR S21 OR S22 OR S23 OR S24 OR S25 OR S26 OR S27 OR S28 OR S29 OR S30 OR S31 OR S32 OR S33 OR S34 OR S35 OR S36 OR S37 OR S38 OR S39 OR S40	47,390
S40	TX epinephrine	4,072
S39	TX bupivacaine	2,850
S38	TX haemosta*	726
S37	TX hemosta*	5,008
S36	TX (chemical* N3 dissection*)	14
S35	"(chemical\$ N3 dissection)"	0
S34	TX morcellation*	41
S33	TX uterine artery dissection*	0
S32	TX terlipressin*	99
S31	(MH "Vasopressins")	1,306
S30	TX vasopressin*	1,650
S29	(MH "Oxytocin") OR "oxytocin"	1,561
S28	(MM "Ergonovine") OR "Ergonovine"	96
S27	TX Eergometrin*	0
S26	TX uterotonic*	108
S25	TX haemorrhag*	3,146
S24	TX hemorrhag*	31,367
\$23	TX (laser N3 dissection)	14
\$22	TX mesna	58
\$21	TX UAE	550



(Continued)		
S20	TX uterine artery emboli*	442
S19	(MM "Uterine Artery Embolization") OR "uterine artery ligation"	157
S18	(MM "Tourniquets") OR "tourniquet"	672
S17	"sulprostone"	13
S16	(MM "Misoprostol")	678
S15	S7 OR S8 OR S9 OR S10 OR S11 OR S12 OR S13 OR S14	25,624
S14	(MH "Surgery, Gynecologic+") OR "gynaecologial surgery"	8,498
S13	TX Hysteroscop*	803
S12	(MH "Hysteroscopy")	632
S11	(MH "Laparoscopy") OR (MM "Surgery, Laparoscopic")	10,835
S10	TX laparoscop*	15,437
S9	TX laparotom*	3,348
S8	(MM "Laparotomy")	668
S7	TX myomectom*	302
S6	S1 OR S2 OR S3 OR S4 OR S5	2,409
S5	TX fibroid*	832
S4	TX leiomyoma*	2,044
\$3	(MM "Leiomyoma") OR "Leiomyoma"	1,970
S2	TX myoma*	282
S1	(MH "Myoma+") OR "Myoma"	258

#### **Appendix 5. CENTRAL**

3 fibroid\$.tw. (261) 4 exp Leiomyoma/ (372) 5 leiomyoma\$.tw. (209) 6 or/1-5 (649) 7 myomectom\$.tw. (221) 8 exp Uterine Myomectomy/ (7) 9 exp Laparotomy/ (558) 10 laparotom\$.tw. (1203) 11 laparoscop\$.tw. (5936)



12 exp Laparoscopy/ or exp Hand-Assisted Laparoscopy/ (3569) 13 exp Hysteroscopy/ (265) 14 hysteroscop\$.tw. (482) 15 or/7-14 (7682) 16 exp Misoprostol/ (1003) 17 misoprostol.tw. (1659) 18 sulprostone.tw. (60) 19 tourniquet.tw. (815) 20 uterine artery ligation\$.tw. (10) 21 uterine artery emboli\$.tw. (75) 22 UAE.tw. (250) 23 mesna.tw. (153) 24 (laser adj3 dissection).tw. (18) 25 hemorrhag\$.tw. (5607) 26 haemorrhag\$.tw. (1950) 27 uterotonic\$.tw. (109) 28 ergometrin\$.tw. (73) 29 exp Ergonovine/ (106) 30 Ergonovine.tw. (36) 31 oxytocin\$.tw. (1709) 32 exp Oxytocin/ (860) 33 vasopressin\$.tw. (1169) 34 exp Vasopressins/ (1036) 35 terlipressin\$.tw. (156) 36 uterine artery dissection.tw. (0) 37 morcellation.tw. (17) 38 (chemical\$ adj3 dissection).tw. (3) 39 h?emosta\$.tw. (3106) 40 bupivacaine.tw. (5715) 41 epinephrine.tw. (3448) 42 Dinoprostone.tw. (221) 43 exp Dinoprostone/ (926)

### 43 exp Dinoprostone/ (926) 44 or/16-43 (24627) 45 6 and 15 and 44 (64) 46 limit 45 to yr="2013 -Current" (4)

## FEEDBACK

### Pericervical tourniquet data

#### Summary

Feedback received from Adam Magos on 29th June 2015:

I believe that the analysis of data regarding the use of tourniquets included in this review is misleading. Two studies are included, of which I was a co-author of one (Taylor et al 2005):

1. The tourniquet in both studies was not placed only around the cervix as stated in the review. In our study, additional suture tourniquets were placed around the infundibulo-pelvic ligaments to occlude the ovarian arteries. In the study by Ikechebelu, a single Foley catheter was used around the cervix and infundiblo-pelvic ligaments, a technique which is very different and unlikely to achieve total occlusion of the uterine and ovarian vessels as does triple tourniquets (which is why we use sutures).

2. We did not release the tourniquets during the surgery, whereas Ikechebelu did so every 30 minutes. Of course, when a tourniquet is released, the patient bleeds.

These are two fundamental differences which I fear were not appreciated by the authors of this review. In my view, as the two techniques are so dissimilar, it is not valid to combine the data and then conclude that "there was no difference in blood loss between the peri-cervical tourniquet and no treatment". I hope you agree and I would be grateful if you would revisit this analysis!

PS The title of the paper by Ikechebelu was misquoted in the review - they used the word "torniquet" in the title, not "tourniquet".

### Reply

The first author of the review comments as follows: The author (Adam Magos) is right. We were aware of the differences (which we considered minor) between the two studies and that is included in the description of interventions. Given the email from the authors, I agree that we should not aggregate the data from the two studies.

The review authors have now unpooled these two studies and reported their findings separately throughout the review.



### Contributors

Adam Magos, Consultant Gynaecologist, The Royal Free Hospital, London

Review authors

## WHAT'S NEW

Date	Event	Description
23 July 2015	Feedback has been incorporated	Data from two studies on peri-cervical tourniquet were unpooled in response to feedback from one of the trial authors

## HISTORY

Protocol first published: Issue 3, 2005 Review first published: Issue 1, 2007

Date	Event	Description
5 July 2014	New search has been performed	Six additional trials have been included in the current update (Kalogiannidis 2011; Leone Maggiore 2011; Zhao 2011; Pourma- troud 2012; Vercellino 2012; Shokeir 2013). Five new interven- tions have been added to the review: ascorbic acid, dinopros- tone, loop ligation of myoma pseudocapsule, temporary clipping of uterine artery and fibrin sealant patch (surgical patch coat- ed with fibronogen and thrombin to stop bleeding of internal or- gans).
5 July 2014	New citation required and conclusions have changed	The conclusions of the review have changed.
18 July 2011	New citation required and conclusions have changed	Two additional trials have been included in this update. One of the new trials compared peri-cervical tourniquet with no treat- ment (Ikechebelu 2010). With this new trial, there are now two trials on peri-cervical tourniquet included in this review. The in- clusion of the new trial to this review has changed the conclu- sions; unlike the previous versions of the review where we con- cluded that there is limited evidence that peri-cervical tourni- quet reduce blood loss during myomectomy, the conclusion in the current updated version is that there is no evidence that peri- cervical tourniquet has an effect on blood loss. The other new trial compared the application of gelatin-throm- bin matrix to the uterine incision with normal saline (Raga 2009). There is evidence from this second trial that gelatin thrombin matrix reduced uterine bleeding. The previous versions of this re- view did not include any trial on gelatin thrombin matrix.
20 September 2010	Amended	Contact details updated.
13 February 2009	New citation required but conclusions have not changed	Two additional trials have been included in this update: one of the new trials compared intravenous oxytocin with a placebo (Wang 2007) and the other trial compared intravenous infusion of tranexamic acid with a placebo (Caglar 2008). In addition to the limited evidence from the original version of this review that misoprostol, vasopressin, bupivacaine plus epinephrine, tourni-



Date	Event	Description
		quet, and mesna may reduce bleeding during myomectomy, we found limited evidence in this updated version that tranexamic acid may also reduce bleeding. No major changes were made to the protocol.
14 November 2006	New citation required and conclusions have changed	Substantive amendment

#### **CONTRIBUTIONS OF AUTHORS**

EJK conceived the systematic review and conducted the literature search.

EJK and CSW developed the protocol, selected studies for inclusion in the review, extracted the data, performed statistical analysis and interpreted the data, and wrote the systematic review.

EJK is guarantor of the systematic review.

#### DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

None known

#### SOURCES OF SUPPORT

#### **Internal sources**

• Stellenbosch University (CSW), South Africa.

Professor CS Wiysonge was employed by the Centre for Evidence-based Health Care, Department of Interdisciplinary Health Sciences, Stellenbosch University, during the current update of the review.

- Braun School of Public Health, Hebrew University (EJK), Israel.
- University of Yaounde I (EJK), Cameroon.
- Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine (EJK), UK.

#### **External sources**

- Effective Care Research Unit, East London (EJK), South Africa.
- Wellcome Trust (CSW), UK.

CS Wiysonge receives funding from the Wellcome Trust, through the Clinical Infectious Diseases Research Initiative, University of Cape Town, South Africa

#### DIFFERENCES BETWEEN PROTOCOL AND REVIEW

There is no significant difference between this review and the original protocol.

Five new interventions have been added to the review: ascorbic acid, dinoprostone, loop ligation of myoma pseudocapsule, temporary clipping of uterine artery, and fibrin sealant patch (surgical patch coated with fibronogen and thrombin to stop bleeding of internal organs).

A proposed subgroup analysis on the basis of ethnic background has been deleted.

### INDEX TERMS

#### Medical Subject Headings (MeSH)

Blood Loss, Surgical [\*prevention & control]; Blood Transfusion [statistics & numerical data]; Hemostasis, Surgical [\*methods]; Hemostatics [\*therapeutic use]; Leiomyoma [\*surgery]; Randomized Controlled Trials as Topic; Tourniquets; Uterine Neoplasms [\*surgery]

#### **MeSH check words**

#### Female; Humans