

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript Aging Clin Exp Res. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2023 April 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Aging Clin Exp Res. 2022 April; 34(4): 837–845. doi:10.1007/s40520-021-01999-5.

Physical inactivity in older adults with cognitive impairment without dementia: room for improvement

Matthew J. Miller, DPT, PhD^{a,b}, Irena Cenzer, PhD^b, Deborah E. Barnes, PhD, MPH^{c,d,e}, Kenneth E. Covinsky, MD, MPH^{b,c}

^aDepartment of Physical Therapy and Rehabilitation Science, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA

^bDivision of Geriatrics, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA

°San Francisco VA Health Care System, San Francisco, CA, USA

^dDepartment of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA

^eDepartment of Epidemiology & Biostatistics, University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA

Abstract

Background: Persons with cognitive impairment without dementia are at high risk of adverse health outcomes. Tailored intervention targeting moderate-vigorous physical activity (MVPA) may reduce these risks.

Aims: To identify the prevalence and predictors of physical inactivity among older adults with cognitive impairment, no dementia (CIND); and estimate the proportion of inactive people with CIND who are capable of greater MVPA.

Methods: We studied 1875 community dwelling participants (over age 65) with CIND in the Health and Retirement Study. Physical inactivity was defined as MVPA 1x/week. Associations of physical inactivity with sociodemographic, health, and physical function were examined using chi-square and modified Poisson regression. We considered physically inactive participants capable of

Under no circumstances may this AM be shared or distributed under a Creative Commons or other form of open access license, nor may it be reformatted or enhanced, whether by the Author or third parties. See here for Springer Nature's terms of use for AM versions of subscription articles: https://www.springernature.com/gp/open-research/policies/accepted-manuscript-terms

Correspondance: Matthew J. Miller, PT, DPT, NCS, PhD, matthew.miller4@ucsf.edu, <u>twitter:</u> @mjmillerDPT. **Author Contributions**: All authors read and approved of the submission of this manuscript. *IS* contributed to data acquisition. *IS* and *MJM* contributed to data analysis. All authors contributed to the study concept, design, data interpretation, and manuscript draft and critical review.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This AM is a PDF file of the manuscript accepted for publication after peer review, when applicable, but does not reflect post-acceptance improvements, or any corrections. Use of this AM is subject to the publisher's embargo period and AM terms of use.

This article has not been previously published and is not being considered for publication elsewhere, except as an abstract. Portions of this data analysis were presented at the American Physical Therapy Association Combined Sections Meeting (February, 2021) and the American Geriatrics Society Annual Meeting (May, 2021)

Compliance with Ethical Standards: This study was determined to be exempt due to use of publicly available data (University of California Institutional Review Board).

greater MVPA if they reported MVPA at least 1-3x/month, no difficulty walking several blocks, or no difficulty climbing several flights of stairs.

Results: Fifty-six percent of participants with CIND were physically inactive. Variables with the highest age, sex, and race/ethnicity adjusted risk ratio (ARR) for physical inactivity were self-rated health (poor [76.9%]vs. excellent[34.2%]; ARR[95% CI]: 2.27[1.56–3.30]), difficulty walking (across the room [86.5%]vs. none[40.5%]; ARR[95% CI]:2.09[1.87–2.35]), total assets (lowest quartile [62.6%]vs. highest quartile[43.1%]; ARR[95% CI]:1.54[1.29–1.83]), and lower education attainment (less than high school [59.6%]vs college graduate[42.8%]; ARR[95% CI]:1.46[1.17–1.83]). Among physically inactive older adults with CIND, 61% were estimated to be capable of greater MVPA.

Conclusions: Although physical inactivity is prevalent among older adults with CIND, many are capable of greater MVPA. Developing tailored physical activity interventions for this vulnerable population may improve cognitive, health, and quality of life outcomes.

Keywords

cognitive impairment; physical activity; physical function; disability

Introduction

There are nearly 6 million Americans living with Alzheimer's disease, and the prevalence is expected to rise to 14 million by 2050.[1] More than 10 million older adults in the United States have cognitive impairment that is not severe enough to qualify for a diagnosis of dementia,[2] a condition generally referred to as mild cognitive impairment (MCI) in clinical settings or cognitive impairment, no dementia (CIND) in epidemiologic studies.[3,4] While an estimated 38% of these persons progress to dementia within 5 years, many have cognitive function that will remain stable or even improve.[5,6] Beyond risk of further cognitive decline, older adults with CIND are at greater risk of incident disability and mortality compared to those without CIND.[7] The absence of pharmacologic therapies that effectively reduce the risk of CIND progressing to dementia highlights the potential importance of non-pharmacologic interventions in improving health outcomes and quality of life in this vulnerable population.[8–12]

Approximately 40% of Alzheimer's disease cases may be attributable to modifiable factors like physical inactivity.[8,10,12] Physical inactivity is estimated to account for more than 20% of Alzheimer's disease cases in the United States,[8,13] and greater physical activity among older adults has beneficial effects on all-cause mortality, fall risk, physical function, maintenance of independence, and mental health.[14,15] Despite the potential benefits of regular physical activity, less than 50% of community dwelling older adults >65 years old adhere to moderate-vigorous physical activity (MVPA) guidelines.[16] Poor MVPA adherence and resulting physical inactivity among older adults is multifactorial and encompasses a wide range of individual characteristics including age, race/ethnicity, body mass index, self-rated health, mental health, and physical function.[17] Interventions tailored to individual characteristics, needs, and goals may result in greater physical activity among community dwelling older adults.[18]

Page 3

Older adults with CIND likely represent a high risk population where tailored physical activity intervention is needed to improve cognitive and health outcomes.[19] Physical activity interventions have been developed for community dwelling older adults because individual characteristics that are associated with physical inactivity have been identified for this population. Characteristics associated with physical inactivity have not specifically been investigated in older adults with CIND, therefore few interventions tailored to this specific population have been developed. Further, the size of the population of older adults with CIND who are capable of greater MVPA, and arguably most prepared for physical activity interventions that are tailored to the unique needs of older adults with CIND. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to identify the prevalence and predictors of physical inactivity among older adults with CIND. An additional purpose of this study was to estimate the proportion of people with CIND who are capable of greater MVPA.

Methods

Data sources

We used data from the 2016 wave of the Health and Retirement Study (HRS). Details of the HRS have been documented elsewhere.[20] Briefly, the HRS is a longitudinal, nationally representative cohort study of adults over 50 years old conducted by the University of Michigan and supported by the National Institute of Aging and Social Security Administration. Participants are selected using a multistage probability sampling design and trained interviewers collected data from participants in a variety of topic areas (e.g., cognition, finances, health, functional limitation) using face-to-face or telephone methods.

Participants

Community dwelling participants in the 2016 wave of HRS were included in this study if they were 65 years or older and had CIND. Participants were excluded if they were living in a nursing home or if responses were provided by proxy. Inclusion and exclusion criteria were selected achieve the study purpose and generate knowledge to support the development of interventions tailored to the unique needs of older adults with CIND.

We identified CIND using a validated method that has been used in multiple HRS studies. [21,22] We used the HRS cognitive scale (score range: 0 - 27), which consists of tests of immediate and delayed recall of ten common nouns, serial subtractions by seven, and a backward count task from 20. [21,22] Cognitive scale cutpoints for normal cognition, CIND, and Dementia were validated using data from the Aging, Demographics, and Memory Study (ADAMS). The ADAMS was designed to investigate the epidemiology of Alzheimer's disease and dementia within HRS.[22,23] Participants with a score within the range of 7 to 11 points indicated the presence of CIND, and were included in this study. Of the 19046 community-dwelling, self-respondent participants in the 2016 wave of HRS, 9959 were excluded because they were under the age of 65. Of the remaining 9087 participants, 6641 (73%) scored 12 or more on the cognitive scale and were classified as having normal cognition and 553 (6%) scored 6 or less and were classified as having dementia. The 1893 (21%) participants who scored 7-11 were classified as having CIND were potential

participants for this study. Of these, we excluded 18 participants for whom severity of walking or stair climbing difficulty could not be determined, leaving a final cohort of 1875 participants.

Measures

Physical inactivity: We used two questions to determine self-reported MVPA. Moderate physical activity was assessed by asking participants, "How often do you take part in sports or activities that are moderately energetic, such as gardening, cleaning the car, walking at a moderate pace, dancing, floor or stretching exercises?" Vigorous physical activity was assessed by asking participants: "How often do you take part in sports or activities that are vigorous, such as running or jogging, swimming, cycling, aerobics or gym workout, tennis, or digging with a spade or shovel?" For both questions, participants responded with more than once per week, once per week, one to three times per month, or never. Evidence suggests older adults who are physically inactive and/or sedentary are at greatest risk for progression of cognitive decline;[24,25] therefore, we defined physical inactivity as reporting a total of 1x/week of MVPA.

Predictor Variables: Sociodemographic variables included age, gender, race/ethnicity, living alone, marital status, education, and total assets (participants divided into quartiles).

Health variables included body mass index, presence of health conditions, self-rated health, depression, current smoking, and current alcohol drinking. The presence of health conditions was assessed by asking participants if a doctor had ever told them they have high blood pressure, diabetes, cancer, lung disease, heart disease, stroke, or arthritis. We created a count of the number of conditions participants reported. Participant self-rated health was reported as excellent, very good, good, fair, or poor. The presence of depression was indicated by a score of >2 using the short Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression (CES-D) scale. [26]

Physical function variables included the presence of significant pain, severity of walking difficulty, and severity of stair climbing difficulty. The presence of significant pain was determined by asking participants that indicated they were often troubled with pain, "How bad is the pain most of the time: mild, moderate or severe?" Participants who responded "moderate" or "severe" were classified as experiencing significant pain.[27,28] We identified severity of walking difficulty based on participant responses to three yes/no questions. Participants were asked, "Because of a health problem do you have any difficulty with walking [several blocks, one block, across the room]?" We identified severity of stair climbing difficulty based on participant responses to two yes/no questions: "Because of a health problem, do you have difficulty with [climbing several flights of stairs without resting, climbing one flight of stairs without resting]?".

Capability of greater MVPA: Among participants who were physically inactive, we identified those who were capable of greater MVPA. Infrequent MVPA is an indicator of capability for regular MVPA, but at a frequency that is too low to achieve substantial health benefits. Additionally, those with adequate levels of physical function show the capacity required to engage in MVPA. Therefore, we categorized participants as capable of greater

MVPA if they met any of the following criteria: 1) MVPA at least 1-3 times a month; 2) no difficulty walking several blocks; or 3) no difficulty climbing several flights of stairs.

Analysis

We estimated the prevalence of sociodemographic, health, and physical function variables for the full analytic sample. Next, we assessed the associations of physical inactivity with sociodemographic, health, and physical function variables using chi-square tests. We then used modified Poisson regression models to calculate age, sex, and race/ethnicity adjusted risk ratios (ARR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) for predictor variables.

Finally, we estimated the prevalence of capability for greater MVPA among older adults with CIND who were physically inactive. Predictors of physical inactivity may also influence capability; therefore, we also estimated prevalence of physically inactive participants who were capable of greater MVPA by stratifying by BMI (normal, underweight, overweight, obese), number of self-reported health conditions (0, 1 or 2, >2), depression status (no depression, depression), self-rated health (excellent, very good, good, fair, poor), and significant pain (no significant pain, significant pain). Statistical analyses accounted for the complex sampling design and differential probability of selection in HRS and were conducted using Stata software (StataCorp, College Station, version 16.1)

Results

Participants had a mean (SD) age of 77 (7.6) years, were 58.2% female, 56.7% non-Hispanic White, 25.0% Black, and 55.4% high school graduates or equivalent (Table 1). The median (Quartile 1[Q1] – Quartile 3 [Q3]) cognitive score was 10 (Q1-Q3: 8-11) and count of health conditions was 3 (Q1-Q3: 2 - 4). Prevalence of walking difficulty for several blocks was 20.4%, one block was 15.5%, and across the room was 12.6%. Prevalence of difficulty climbing several flights of stairs was 30.6% and difficulty climbing one flight was 32.7%.

Fifty six percent of people with CIND reported MVPA 1x/week and were classified as being physically inactive. Associations of physical inactivity with sociodemographic, health, and function variables are presented in Table 2. Variables with the strongest associations with being physically inactive included self-rated health (poor [76.9%] vs. excellent [34.2%]; ARR [95% CI]: 2.27 [1.56 – 3.30]), difficulty walking (across the room [86.5%] vs none [40.5%]; ARR [95% CI]: 2.09 [1.87 – 2.35]), total assets (lowest quartile [62.6%] vs highest quartile [43.1%]; ARR [95% CI]: 1.54 [1.29–1.83]), and lower education attainment (less than high school [59.6%] vs college graduate [42.8%]; ARR [95% CI]: 1.46 [1.17 – 1.83]).

Among older adults with CIND who were physically inactive, 61% were estimated to be capable of greater MVPA (Figure 1). Considering the three criteria to determine capability of greater MVPA, an estimated 41.8% reported MVPA at least 1-3x/month, 38.1% reported no difficulty with walking several blocks, and 26.9% reported no difficulty with climbing several flights of stairs. More than two-thirds of older adults were estimated to be capable if they reported no depression (68%), no significant pain (71%), no chronic health conditions (80%), 1 or 2 chronic health conditions (69%), and self-rated health of good (69%), very

good (79%), or excellent (85%). A substantial number of physically inactive older adults with CIND were capable of greater MVPA even when reporting poor self-rated health (28%), significant pain (44%), or depression (47%).

Discussion

Physical activity is a modifiable factor that may confer clinically meaningful benefits for the vulnerable population of older adults with CIND. [8,10,12] The purpose of the present study was to identify the prevalence and predictors of physical inactivity among older adults with CIND. We found that 56% percent of older adults with CIND were physically inactive and that 61% of these inactive older adults are likely capable of greater MVPA. Importantly, a large proportion of older adults with CIND who had characteristics that were associated with physical inactivity were also capable of greater MVPA. Thus, developing tailored physical activity interventions for older adults with CIND may have a significant impact on cognitive and health outcomes.

Prior research suggests that a range of sociodemographic, health, and physical function characteristics are associated with MVPA adherence in the general population of community dwelling older adults.[17] In the present study, we identified predictors of physical inactivity in the specific population of older adults with CIND. Participants who reported poor self-rated health or difficulty walking across the room were approximately twice as likely to be physically inactive compared to those with excellent self-rated health or no difficulty walking. Remaining variables with significant, yet modest, associations with physical inactivity across reference groups. For example, 43% of participants who graduated from college were physically inactive compared to 60% among those with less than high school education, resulting in an ARR (95% CI) of 1.46 (1.17 - 1.83).

In addition to identifying predictors of physical inactivity, our findings suggest many physically inactive older adults with CIND are capable of greater MVPA. Although participants who reported no chronic health conditions, excellent self-rated health, or no significant pain were more likely to report MVPA more than one time per week, 71% - 85% of physically inactive participants who reported these same characteristics were identified as being capable of greater MVPA. While those with poorer health were less likely to demonstrate capability for greater MVPA, there continued to be a substantial proportion of older adults with CIND who were capable of greater MVPA despite reporting >2 chronic conditions, depression, poor self-rated health, and significant pain.

Engaging in greater MVPA is associated with improvements in health outcomes for older adults, especially those with cognitive impairment.[15,24,29–31] Exercise, among other risk-reduction targets (e.g., vascular control, nutrition, cognitive training), is also a core component of many large-scale multidomain dementia prevention clinical trials. [32–34] Community dwelling older adults who adhere to aerobic exercise guidelines, engaging in MVPA at least three days a week, are at a 32% lower risk of incident dementia compared to older adults who do not.[35] Further, older adults who start engaging in at least one day/ week of MVPA following diagnosis of mild cognitive impairment have an estimated 11%

reduction in risk for Alzheimer's disease. [25] In addition to potential cognitive benefits, exercise has beneficial effects on physical function and quality of life among older adults with CIND.[36] The high prevalence of capability and potential benefits of any increases in MVPA suggests that physical activity promotion efforts may have significant clinical implications within this target population.

Many predictors of physical inactivity are common across the general population of older adults, yet current approaches may not address the unique contextual factors that influence engagement in exercise for older adults with CIND. For example, mobility limitations are a common barrier to physical activity among older adults;[17] yet, the reliance on a caretaker and stigma surrounding memory loss are unique to older adults with MCI or early dementia. [19] Motivation to participate in an exercise program is reduced if exercise programs do not accommodate for these unique contextual factors (e.g., memory loss, care taker).[19] Therefore, developing exercise programs and interventions that are inclusive of older adults with CIND, accommodate for the reliance on a caretaker, and use social engagement may increase motivation for adherence to MVPA in older adults with CIND. Finally, the high prevalence of self-reported physical function in this unique population is consistent with prior research,[37,38] and may highlight the role of rehabilitation professionals (e.g., physical therapists) to increase physical activity. Rehabilitation professionals can develop individualized exercise programs grounded in behavior change frameworks, target perceived barriers, and engage social support in an effort to increase MVPA and quality of life.[39]

There are limitations in the present study that should be acknowledged. This study was focused on community-dwelling older adults with CIND and may have limited generalizability to other populations (e.g., older adults with dementia). The use of selfreported physical activity may introduce recall bias in this study sample. Additionally, we were unable to estimate provide more detailed estimates of MVPA frequency due to limitations in HRS response options. Finally, regular physical activity at lower intensities may also confer benefits in older adults, but were not specifically assessed within this study.

Conclusions

Fifty-six percent of community dwelling older adults with CIND are physically inactive, reporting MVPA 1x/week. Worse health and physical function, lower socioeconomic status, and lower educational attainment were risk factors of physical inactivity. An estimated 61% of those who were physically inactive were capable of greater MVPA. The high prevalence of physical inactivity, despite capability for greater MVPA, suggests developing and testing tailored physical activity interventions for older adults with CIND may be an important avenue for improving cognitive, health, and quality of life outcomes in this vulnerable group.

Funding:

This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health [5T32 AG000212-27, P01 AG066605, P30 AG044281].

Sponsor's Role:

This study was supported by grants from the National Institutes of Health (P01 AG066605, P30 AG044281). Dr. Miller was also supported by funding from the NIH (5T32 AG000212-27). The sponsor did not have any role in the design, conduct, or reporting of this study.

Conflict of Interest:

KEC reports funding from the NIA. The other authors report no relevant disclosures.

References:

- 1. Alzheimer's Association. 2020 Alzheimer's Disease Facts and Figures. Alzheimers Dement 2020 2020; 16:391+-391+.
- Hu C, Yu D, Sun X et al. The prevalence and progression of mild cognitive impairment among clinic and community populations: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Int Psychogeriatr 2017;29:1595–608. [PubMed: 28884657]
- Winblad B, Palmer K, Kivipelto M et al. Mild cognitive impairment Beyond controversies, towards a consensus: Report of the International Working Group on Mild Cognitive Impairment. J Intern Med 2004;256:240–6. [PubMed: 15324367]
- Plassman BL, Langa KM, McCammon RJ et al. Incidence of dementia and cognitive impairment, not dementia in the United States. Ann Neurol 2011;70:418–26. [PubMed: 21425187]
- Mitchell AJ, Shiri-Feshki M. Rate of progression of mild cognitive impairment to dementia Metaanalysis of 41 robust inception cohort studies. Acta Psychiatr Scand 2009;119:252–65. [PubMed: 19236314]
- Zuliani G, Polastri M, Romagnoli T et al. Clinical and demographic parameters predict the progression from mild cognitive impairment to dementia in elderly patients. Aging Clin Exp Res 2021;33:1895–902. [PubMed: 32918697]
- Aliberti MJR, Cenzer IS, Smith AK et al. Assessing Risk for Adverse Outcomes in Older Adults: The Need to Include Both Physical Frailty and Cognition. J Am Geriatr Soc 2019;67:477–83. [PubMed: 30468258]
- 8. Norton S, Matthews FE, Barnes DE et al. Potential for primary prevention of Alzheimer's disease: An analysis of population-based data. Lancet Neurol 2014;13:788–94. [PubMed: 25030513]
- 9. Norton S, Matthews FE, Brayne C. A commentary on studies presenting projections of the future prevalence of dementia. BMC Public Health 2013;13:1–5. [PubMed: 23280303]
- Barnes DE, Yaffe K. The projected effect of risk factor reduction on Alzheimer's disease prevalence. Lancet Neurol 2011;10:819–28. [PubMed: 21775213]
- Patnode CD, Perdue LA, Rossom RC et al. Screening for Cognitive Impairment in Older Adults: An Evidence Update for the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Agency Healthc Res Qual US 2020 Report No.: 14-1.
- 12. Livingston G, Huntley J, Sommerlad A et al. Dementia prevention, intervention, and care: 2020 report of the Lancet Commission. Lancet Lond Engl 2020;396:413–46.
- Barnes DE, Whitmer RA, Yaffe K. Physical activity and dementia: The need for prevention trials. Exerc Sport Sci Rev 2007;35:24–9. [PubMed: 17211190]
- 14. World Health Organization. WHO Guidelines on Physical Activity and Sedentary Behaviour. Geneva, 2020.
- 15. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Physical Activity Guidelines for Americans, 2nd Edition. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2018.
- 16. Du Y, Liu B, Sun Y et al. Trends in Adherence to the Physical Activity Guidelines for Americans for Aerobic Activity and Time Spent on Sedentary Behavior among US Adults, 2007 to 2016. JAMA Netw Open 2019;2:1–11.
- 17. Rosenberg D, Walker R, Greenwood-Hickman MA et al. Device-assessed physical activity and sedentary behavior in a community-based cohort of older adults. BMC Public Health 2020;20:1256–1256. [PubMed: 32811454]

- Zubala A, MacGillivray S, Frost H et al. Promotion of physical activity interventions for community dwelling older adults: A systematic review of reviews. Zeeb H (ed.). PLOS ONE 2017;12:e0180902. [PubMed: 28700754]
- Hobson N, Dupuis SL, Giangregorio LM et al. Perceived facilitators and barriers to exercise among older adults with mild cognitive impairment and early dementia. J Aging Phys Act 2020;28:208– 18. [PubMed: 31621645]
- Sonnega A, Faul JD, Ofstedal MB et al. Cohort profile: The Health and Retirement Study (HRS). Int J Epidemiol 2014;43:576–85. [PubMed: 24671021]
- 21. Crimmins EM, Kim JK, Langa KM et al. Assessment of cognition using surveys and neuropsychological assessment: the Health and Retirement Study and the Aging, Demographics, and Memory Study. J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci 2011;66 Suppl 1:162–71.
- 22. Langa KM, Larson EB, Crimmins EM et al. A Comparison of the Prevalence of Dementia in the United States in 2000 and 2012. JAMA Intern Med 2017;177:51–51. [PubMed: 27893041]
- Langa KM, Plassman BL, Wallace RB et al. The aging, demographics, and memory study: Study design and methods. Neuroepidemiology 2005;25:181–91. [PubMed: 16103729]
- 24. Erickson KI, Hillman C, Stillman CM et al. Physical Activity, Cognition, and Brain Outcomes: A Review of the 2018 Physical Activity Guidelines. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2019;51:1242–51. [PubMed: 31095081]
- 25. Kim YJ, Han KD, Baek MS et al. Association between physical activity and conversion from mild cognitive impairment to dementia. Alzheimers Res Ther 2020;12:1–8.
- Turvey CL, Wallace RB, Herzog R. A Revised CES-D Measure of Depressive Symptoms and a DSM-Based Measure of Major Depressive Episodes in the Elderly. Int Psychogeriatr 1999;11:139–48. [PubMed: 11475428]
- 27. Smith AK, Cenzer IS, Knight SJ et al. The epidemiology of pain during the last 2 years of life. Ann Intern Med 2010;153:563–9. [PubMed: 21041575]
- Andrews JS, Cenzer IS, Yelin E et al. Pain as a risk factor for disability or death. J Am Geriatr Soc 2013;61:583–9. [PubMed: 23521614]
- Law CK, Lam FM, Chung RC et al. Physical exercise attenuates cognitive decline and reduces behavioural problems in people with mild cognitive impairment and dementia: a systematic review. J Physiother 2020;66:9–18. [PubMed: 31843427]
- 30. Pedrinolla A, Schena F, Venturelli M. Resilience to Alzheimer';s Disease: The Role of Physical Activity. Carr Alzheimer Res 2017;14:546–53.
- Venturelli M, Pedrinolla A, Boscolo Galazzo I et al. Impact of Nitric Oxide Bioavailability on the Progressive Cerebral and Peripheral Circulatory Impairments During Aging and Alzheimer's Disease. Front Physiol 2018;9:169. [PubMed: 29593548]
- Kivipelto M, Mangialasche F, Snyder HM et al. World-Wide FINGERS Network: A global approach to risk reduction and prevention of dementia. Alzheimers Dement 2020;16:1078–94. [PubMed: 32627328]
- 33. Stuckenschneider T, Sanders ML, Devenney KE et al. NeuroExercise: The Effect of a 12-Month Exercise Intervention on Cognition in Mild Cognitive Impairment—A Multicenter Randomized Controlled Trial. Front Aging Neurosci 2021;12:621947. [PubMed: 33519425]
- 34. Yaffe K, Barnes DE, Rosenberg D et al. Systematic Multi-Domain Alzheimer's Risk Reduction Trial (SMARRT): Study Protocol. J Alzheimers Dis 2019;70:S207–20. [PubMed: 30475764]
- 35. Larson EB, Wang L, Bowen JD et al. Exercise is associated with reduced risk for incident dementia among persons 65 years of age and older. Ann Intern Med 2006;144:73–81. [PubMed: 16418406]
- Falck RS, Davis JC, Best JR et al. Impact of exercise training on physical and cognitive function among older adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Neurobiol Aging 2019;79:119–30. [PubMed: 31051329]
- 37. Gillain S, Dramé M, Lekeu F et al. Gait speed or gait variability, which one to use as a marker of risk to develop Alzheimer disease? A pilot study. Aging Clin Exp Res 2016;28:249–55. [PubMed: 26076908]
- Pedrinolla A, Venturelli M, Tamburin S et al. Non-Aβ-Dependent Factors Associated with Global Cognitive and Physical Function in Alzheimer's Disease: A Pilot Multivariate Analysis. J Clin Med 2019;8:224.

 Lachman ME, Lipsitz L, Lubben J et al. When Adults Don't Exercise: Behavioral Strategies to Increase Physical Activity in Sedentary Middle-Aged and Older Adults. Innov Aging 2018;2:1–12. [PubMed: 30480150]

Author Manuscript

Aging Clin Exp Res. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2023 April 01.

Author Manuscript



Figure 1.

Estimated population prevalence of physically inactive people with CIND (moderatevigorous physical activity [MVPA] 1x/week) who are capable of greater MVPA. We defined capable as a report of moderate or vigorous physical activity at least 1-3x/month, or no difficulty with stair climbing, or no difficulty with walking multiple blocks. CIND: Cognitive impairment, no dementia; BMI: Normal: $18.5 - 24.9 \text{ kg/m}^2$, Underweight: < 18.5 kg/m^2 , Overweight: $25 - 9.9 \text{ kg/m}^2$, Obese: > 30 kg/m^2 ; † Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale score >2 point indicated depression Characteristics of community dwelling older adults with CIND (N = 1875).

•		N	
Age	<70	395	21.
	70-80	788	42.
	>80	692	36.
Gender	Male	784	41.
	Female	1091	58.
Ethnicity	Non-Hispanic White	1063	56.
	Black	468	25.
	Hispanic	293	15.
	Other	51	2.7
Live alone		614	32.
Married/Partnered	Yes	877	46.
	No	996	53.
Total Assets	> \$304,000	468	25.
	\$95,000 - \$304,000	469	25.
	\$10 000 - \$95,000	462	24.
	<\$10,000	476	25
Education	College graduate	177	9.
	High school graduate or equivalent	1039	55
	Less than high school	659	35
Body Mass Index *	Normal	592	31
	Underweight	44	2.
	Overweight	713	38
			20
	Obese	570	30
Self-reported health conditions	Obese High blood pressure	570 1422	75
Self-reported health conditions	Obese High blood pressure Diabetes	570 1422 665	30 75 35
Self-reported health conditions	Obese High blood pressure Diabetes Cancer	570 1422 665 394	75 35 21
Self-reported health conditions	Obese High blood pressure Diabetes Cancer Lung disease	570 1422 665 394 287	75 35 21 15
Self-reported health conditions	Obese High blood pressure Diabetes Cancer Lung disease Heart disease	570 1422 665 394 287 694	75 35 21 15 37
Self-reported health conditions	Obese High blood pressure Diabetes Cancer Lung disease Heart disease Stroke	570 1422 665 394 287 694 273	75 35 21 15 37 14
Self-reported health conditions	Obese High blood pressure Diabetes Cancer Lung disease Heart disease Stroke Arthritis	570 1422 665 394 287 694 273 1380	75 35 21 15 37 14 73
Self-reported health conditions	Obese High blood pressure Diabetes Cancer Lung disease Heart disease Stroke Arthritis 0	570 1422 665 394 287 694 273 1380 83	75 35 21 15 37 14 73 4.
Self-reported health conditions	Obese High blood pressure Diabetes Cancer Lung disease Heart disease Stroke Arthritis 0 1 or 2	570 1422 665 394 287 694 273 1380 83 744	75 35 21 15 37 14 73 4. 39
Self-reported health conditions	Obese High blood pressure Diabetes Cancer Lung disease Heart disease Stroke Arthritis 0 1 or 2 >2	570 1422 665 394 287 694 273 1380 83 744 1048	75 35 21 15 37 14 73 4. 39 55

Characteristics		Ν	%
Self-Reported Health	Excellent	91	4.9
	Very good	365	19.5
	Good	592	31.6
	Fair	611	32.6
	Poor	214	11.4
Current cigarette smoker		177	9.5
Current alcohol drinker		753	40.2
Significant pain		584	31.4
Walking difficulty	None	966	51.5
	Several blocks	382	20.4
	One block	291	15.5
	Across room	236	12.6
Stair climb difficulty	None	689	36.8
	Several flights	573	30.6
	One flight	613	32.7

CIND: Cognitive impairment no dementia;

* Normal: $18.5 - 24.9 \text{ kg/m}^2$. Underweight: < 18.5 kg/m^2 . Overweight: $25 - 9.9 \text{ kg/m}^2$. Obese: > 30 kg/m^2

 $^{\dot{7}}$ Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale score >2 point indicated depression

Table 2.

Associations of sociodemographic, health, and function variables with physical inactivity ${}^{\texttt{F}}(N = 1875)$

Variables		Physical inactivity N (%)	P-Value	Adjusted Risk Ratio [*] (95% CI)
Age	<70 years	204 (50.4)	0.02	Reference
	70-80 years	444 (56.0)		1.14 (0.98 – 1.32)
	>80 years	420 (61.0)		1.22 (1.06 – 1.42)
Gender	Men	408 (51.7)	0.003	Reference
	Women	660 (60.0)		1.16 (1.06 - 1.28)
Race/ethnicity	Non-Hispanic White	621 (56.8)	0.24	Reference
	Black	269 (59.5)		1.08 (0.94 - 1.25)
	Hispanic	152 (50.4)		0.89 (0.77 - 1.03)
	Other	26 (48.9)		0.88 (0.65 - 1.19)
Lives alone	No	715 (56.3)	0.05	Reference
	Yes	353 (56.4)		0.95 (0.85 - 1.07)
Married/Partnered	Yes	469 (53.6)	0.10	Reference
	No	598 (58.9)		1.03 (0.91 - 1.16)
Total Assets	> \$304,000	206 (43.1)	<.001	Reference
	\$95,000 - \$304,000	270 (58.1)		1.39 (1.18 - 1.63)
	\$10 000 - \$95,000	297 (64.7)		1.60 (1.35 - 1.91)
	<\$10,000	295 (62.6)		1.54 (1.29 - 1.83)
Education	College graduate	80 (42.8)	0.01	Reference
	High school graduate / equivalent	606 (57.1)		1.36 (1.07 - 1.71)
	Less than high school	382 (59.6)		1.46 (1.17 - 1.83)
Body Mass Index	Normal	315 (51.5)	0.006	Reference
	Underweight	32 (65.3)		1.28 (0.94 - 1.76)
	Overweight	394 (55.0)		1.14 (1.01 - 1.28)
	Obese	359 (63.4)		1.33 (1.17 - 1.50)
Count of health conditions	0	36 (47.9)	<.001	Reference
	1 or 2	377 (48.4)		1.00 (0.74 - 1.35)
	>2	655 (63.0)		1.28 (0.97 - 1.70)
Depression [†]	No	702 (52.0)	<.001	Reference
	Yes	365 (67.5)		1.31 (1.20 - 1.43)
Self-rated health	Excellent	32 (34.2)	<.001	Reference
	Very good	161 (41.5)		1.21 (0.80 - 1.82)
	Good	324 (56.3)		1.65 (1.14 - 2.40)
	Fair	377 (63.1)		1.88 (1.28 - 2.75)

Variables		Physical inactivity N (%)	P-Value	Adjusted Risk Ratio [*] (95% CI)
	Poor	172 (76.9)		2.27 (1.56 - 3.30)
Cigarette smoker	No	945 (55.4)	0.08	Reference
	Yes	116 (65.6)		1.26 (1.05 - 1.51)
Alcohol drinker	No	686 (61.3)	0.001	Reference
	Yes	380 (49.6)		0.82 (0.73 - 0.93)
Significant pain	No	666 (50.9)	<.001	Reference
	Yes	393 (68.4)		1.33 (1.23 - 1.44)
Walking difficulty	None	398 (40.5)	<.001	Reference
	Several blocks	243 (64.0)		1.55 (1.38 - 1.74)
	One block	230 (79.0)		1.91 (1.67 - 2.18)
	Across room	197 (86.5)		2.09 (1.87 - 2.35)
Stair climb difficulty	None	283 (40.3)	<.001	Reference
	Several flights	328 (56.5)		1.39 (1.21 - 1.60)
	One Flight	457 (75.1)		1.83 (1.63 - 2.06)

¥ Physical inactivity: Moderate Vigorous Physical Activity 1x/week

* modified Poisson regression model adjusted for age, sex, race/ethnicity

Normal: $18.5 - 24.9 \text{ kg/m}^2$. Underweight < 18.5 kg/m^2 . Overweight: $25 - 9.9 \text{ kg/m}^2$, Obese: > 30 kg/m^2

 † Center for Epidemiological Studies Depression Scale score >2 point indicated depression