RESEARCH Open Access

# Associations between the dietary inflammatory index with obesity and body fat in male adolescents

Maryam Gholamalizadeh<sup>1</sup>, Mina Ahmadzadeh<sup>2</sup>, Fatemeh BourBour<sup>2</sup>, Farhad Vahid<sup>3</sup>, Marjan Ajami<sup>4</sup>, Nazanin Majidi<sup>5</sup>, Azadeh Hajipour<sup>6</sup>, Saeid Doaei<sup>7</sup>, Naser Kalantari<sup>8</sup>, Atiyeh Alizadeh<sup>9</sup> and Alireza Mosavi Jarrahi<sup>10\*</sup>

#### **Abstract**

**Background:** Obesity and body composition may be affected by the pro-inflammatory and anti-inflammatory components of diets. The aim of this study was to investigate associations between the dietary inflammatory index (DII) and body fat percentage (BF%) in male adolescents.

**Methods:** This cross-sectional study was carried out on 535 adolescent boys in Tehran, Iran. Bio-impedance analyzer (BIA) scale was used to measure body mass index (BMI) and body composition. A validated semi-quantitative food frequency questionnaire (FFQ) was used to measure DII.

**Results:** Participants with higher BF% ( $\geq$  19.2%) had higher BMI (P<0.001), DII, and intake of saturated fatty acids (SFAs), compared with the participants with lower BF%. Participants with a lower DII had significantly higher intakes of fibers (P<0.001) and lower intakes of fats, SFAs, monounsaturated fatty acids (MUFAs), polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs), oleic acid and linoleic acid (P<0.05) compared with the participants with higher DII (P<0.01). High BF% was positively associated to DII (OR = 1.6, CI 95%: 1.1–2.3, P=0.019). Adjustments for age, BMI, physical activity, mothers' marital status and educational level and the number of siblings did not change the results.

**Conclusions:** Following a diet with a low inflammatory index score was associated to lower BF% in male adolescents. Thus, a diet rich in anti-inflammatory factors may effectively improve body composition and prevent obesity in adolescents. Further comprehensive studies are necessary to verify these findings and to identify the underlying mechanisms.

**Keywords:** Obesity, Dietary inflammatory index, Body fat, Adolescence, Body composition

### Introduction

Obesity is a multifactorial disorder characterized by excess body fat and a high body mass index (BMI) [1]. More than 18% of children and adolescents aged 5–19 years are overweight or obese worldwide, compared

to 4% of this age group in 1975, which represents rapid increases in the prevalence of obesity [2]. A meta-analysis on Iranian adolescents has reported the prevalence of obesity and overweight as 5.5 and 15.1%, respectively [3]. Improved physical activities and appropriate diets as modifiable risk factors are crucial parts of weight management interventions [4]. Dietary intake plays important roles in obesity and its complications such as insulin resistance, hypertension, dyslipidemia, non-alcoholic fatty liver, heart disease, and stroke [5, 6]. A possible

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



<sup>\*</sup>Correspondence: nokhbegaani@yahoo.com

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> School of Medicine, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

mechanism of the associations between obesity and chronic diseases relies on the theory of inflammation and inflammatory markers such as C-reactive protein (CRP), tumor necrosis factor  $\alpha$  (TNF- $\alpha$ ), and interleukin 6 (IL-6) [7]. For example, studies have reported that excess adipose tissues in obese individuals can increase leptin and cytokines production and decrease anti-inflammatory immune cells, which lead to inflammation [8, 9].

Dietary inflammatory index (DII) has been described as the assessment of the inflammatory potential of the diet [10]. This nutritional tool assessed intakes of 45 proinflammatory and anti-inflammatory dietary components [11, 12]. If the DII score is negative, diet is assumed to include anti-inflammatory effects and if this score is positive, diet is suggested to include inflammatory effects [13]. Studies have reported associations between the DII score and obesity in adults [14]. Oliveira et al. have shown that participants in the highest DII quartile are more likely to consume fats, red meats, processed foods, sugars, sweets, and calories and have a higher prevalence of obesity and overweight [14, 15]. Furthermore, DII is positively linked to adipose tissues and is negatively linked to lean tissues in Iranian women [16]. Another study has demonstrated that higher scores of DII are associated with a higher risk of obesity and overweight in childhood [17]. Ruiz-Canela et al. have shown direct associations between the DII and obesity indices, including BMI and waist circumference, and reported that diet may include roles in the development of obesity through inflammatory modulation mechanisms [5]. Since body composition is reported as a better indicator for obesity and weight assessment in adolescents [18] and a few studies have already assessed associations between the DII and body composition [17, 19], the major aim of the current study was to investigate associations between the DII and body fat percentage (BF%) in male adolescents. Diets with higher DII scores were hypnotized to increase BF%.

# **Material and methods**

Totally, 535 male students aged 12–16 years participated in this cross-sectional study, from August to December 2018. Inclusion criteria included a willingness to participate and written consent of the adolescents and their parents to participate in the study. Adolescents were excluded from the study if they had diseases affecting their diet, weight, and body composition, including diabetes, hyperlipidemia, fatty liver, and cancer. Data on the sociodemographic characteristics of the participants were collected using general questionnaires. Physical Activity (PA) Trackers Mi Band v.2 (MB; Xiaomi, Beijing, China) were used to measure the distance traveled by the participants during the day. These bracelets included

three-axis accelerometers that recorded participants' activities in three axes (horizontal, vertical, and diagonal) in meters [20].

# Body composition and anthropometric measurements

Weight was measured with light clothing using the Seca scale (Seca, Germany) with an accuracy of 100 g. Height was measured with no shoes using a tape meter attached to the wall with a precision of 0.5 cm. The BMI was calculated by dividing weight (kg) by the square of height (m). Levels of fat mass, muscle mass and basal metabolic rate (BMR) were assessed using Omron Body Composition Analyzer (Omron Model BF-511, Omron, Japan). Prerequisites for using BIA were explained to the participants, including the proper posture of the body and limbs (lying on the back, arms abducted at least 30 degrees, legs abducted at nearly 45 degrees), no consumption of alcoholic beverages for at least 12 h, fasting for at least 2 h and avoidance of physical activities for at least 12 h before measurements as well as no intake of drugs affecting body fluid and electrolyte balances [21].

# Dietary intake and dietary inflammatory index (DII) assessments

A validated 168-item semi-quantitative food frequency (FFQ) questionnaire was used to assess the dietary intake of the participants [22]. This questionnaire was completed for each participant through a face-to-face interview by a trained nutritionist. Data collected from FFQ were converted to grams using household measures and then transferred to Nutritionist IV Software for analysis. In addition, daily calorie intakes were assessed using cross-check method of FFQ and 24-h diet recalls for three randomly selected days. To estimate the inflammatory effects of the diets, world standard mean values for the intake of food items were subtracted from the actual intake values for each food item and then divided by the world standard deviation to create a z-score. This value was multiplied by the inflammatory effect score for each food parameter. Scores were summarized for all the food parameters to achieve the overall DII scores. More positive scores showed more pro-inflammatory effects and more negative scores showed more anti-inflammatory effects of the diets. In this study, the following food items were included in the calculation of DII [23]: energy, carbohydrates, proteins, total fat, saturated fatty acids (SFAs), monounsaturated fatty acids (MUFAs), polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs), cholesterol, fibers, oleic acid, linoleic acid, eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA), docosahexaenoic acid (DHA), sodium, potassium, vitamin A, beta-carotene, lutein, lycopene, vitamin C, calcium, iron, vitamin D, vitamin E, vitamin B<sub>12</sub>, thiamin, riboflavin,

**Table 1** General characteristics and distribution of the macronutrient dietary intakes based on the categories of density DII\* (n=535)

	Density DII Categories**		Total	<i>P</i> -value***	
	DII > 0.4	DII ≥ 0.4			
Age (y)	14.1 ± 1.3	13.9±0.9	14.1 ± 1.2	0.188	
Weight (kg)	$79.6 \pm 35.5$	$77.0 \pm 10.0$	$70.1 \pm 32.2$	0.463	
Height (cm)	$165.0 \pm 4.1$	$165.6 \pm 7.0$	$165.1 \pm 4.8$	0.184	
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	$28.6 \pm 2.4$	$28.0 \pm 2.9$	$28.5 \pm 2.5$	0.050	
MR (kcal/d)	$1624.7 \pm 212.8$	$1697.7 \pm 237$	$1638.9 \pm 219$	0.002	
Body muscle (%)	$38.4 \pm 3.5$	$37.4 \pm 4.2$	$38.2 \pm 3.6$	0.013	
Body fat (%)	$18.7 \pm 7.7$	$21.4 \pm 10.2$	$19.2 \pm 8.3$	< 0.001	
Physical activity (m/d)	$920.2 \pm 621.9$	$1224.0 \pm 2871$	$979.3 \pm 1384$	0.05	
Number of siblings	$2.1 \pm 0.5$	$2.2 \pm 0.7$	$2.1 \pm 0.6$	0.170	
Energy intake (kcal/d)	$2463 \pm 581.5$	$2424 \pm 816.2$	$2436 \pm 635.5$	0.045	
Parents' education (n %)				< 0.001	
Illiterate	19 (4.4%)	13 (12.5%)	32 (5.9%)		
Up to diploma	379 (87.9%)	77 (74.0%)	456 (85.2%)		
College education	33 (7.6%)	14 (13.4%)	47 (8.8%)		
Parents marital status (n %)				0.301	
Widow	1 (0.2%)	1 (0.9%)	2 (0.3%)		
Married	429 (99.6%)	102 (98.2%)	531 (99.4%)		
Divorced	1 (0.2%)	1 (0.9%)	2 (0.3%)		
Protein (g/d)	$89.3 \pm 22.6$	$91.5 \pm 41.0$	$89.7 \pm 27.1$	0.456	
Carbohydrate (g/d)	$284.0 \pm 68.0$	$280.4 \pm 99.1$	$283.3 \pm 75.0$	0.665	
Total fiber (g/d)	$19.0 \pm 6.0$	$21.3 \pm 11.8$	19.4 ± 7.5	< 0.001	
- Soluble fiber (g/d)	$0.4 \pm 0.2$	$0.4 \pm 0.4$	$0.4 \pm 0.3$	0.903	
Total fat intake (g/d)	$111.5 \pm 36.2$	$97.0 \pm 46.7$	$108.7 \pm 38.8$	< 0.001	
Cholesterol (mg/d)	$306.4 \pm 156.6$	$300.4 \pm 278.0$	$305.2 \pm 186.2$	0.768	
SFA (g/d)	$28.7 \pm 9.3$	$25.5 \pm 14.6$	$28.1 \pm 10.6$	< 0.001	
MUFA (g/d)	$42.5 \pm 15.1$ $36.5 \pm 18.9$		$41.4 \pm 16.1$	< 0.001	
PUFA (g/d)	$30.3 \pm 12.4$	$25.4 \pm 15.2$	$29.3 \pm 13.1$	< 0.001	
Oleic acid (g/d)	$39.0 \pm 15.0$	$32.5 \pm 18.1$	$37.7 \pm 15.8$	< 0.001	
Linoleic acid (mg/d)	$27.7 \pm 12.1$	$22.9 \pm 14.7$	$26.8 \pm 12.8$	< 0.001	
Linolenic acid (mg/d)	$0.9 \pm 0.7$	$0.7 \pm 0.8$	$0.9 \pm 0.7$	0.031	
EPA (g/d)	$0.01 \pm 0.07$	$0.01 \pm 0.06$	$0.01 \pm 0.07$	0.453	
DHA (g/d)	$0.06 \pm 0.23$	$0.04 \pm 0.20$	$0.05 \pm 0.22$	0.421	
Sodium (mg/d)	$2735 \pm 4755$	2735±4755 2499±1377		0.617	
Potassium (mg/d)	$2590 \pm 675.4$	2657 ± 1218	$2603 \pm 808.9$	0.446	

<sup>\*</sup> DII, adjusted for energy

BMI Body mass index, MR Metabolic rate, SFA Saturated fatty acid, MUFA Mono unsaturated fatty acid, PUFA Poly unsaturated fatty acid, EPA Eicosapentaenoic acid, DHA Docosahexaenoic acid

niacin, vitamin  $B_6$ , folate, biotin, pantothenic acid, vitamin K, magnesium, zinc, and selenium.

# Statistical analyses

Chi-square test and independent t-test were used to analyze categorical and quantitative variables, respectively. To focus on body fat as an outcome, DII was analyzed as both dichotomous (categorized based on the median

value of the DII) and continuous variables. Odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were estimated for body fat as the outcome and normal and energy-adjusted forms of DII as the independent variable using logistic regression models. A stepwise (forward) selection procedure was used for modeling and variables were selected based on significance or information criteria and background knowledge. Potential confounders were

<sup>\*\*</sup> Categorized based on the median

 $<sup>^{\</sup>ast\ast\ast}$  Independent sample t-test was used for comparison f Chi-square test was used for comparison

**Table 2** Distribution of micronutrient dietary intakes based on the categories of density DII\* (n = 535)

	Density DII category	**	Total	<i>P</i> -value ***	
	Mean ± SD		Mean ± SD		
	DII > 0.4	DII ≥ 0.4			
Vitamin A (RAE/d)	922.4 ± 2540	787.3 ± 1763	813.6 ± 1937	0.524	
Beta-carotene (mg/d)	$3004 \pm 3037$	$2441 \pm 1447$	$2550 \pm 1875$	< 0.001	
Lutein (mg/d)	$1186 \pm 1403$ $956.5 \pm 460.1$		$1001 \pm 747.3$	< 0.001	
Lycopene (mg/d)	$4499 \pm 5796$	$4609 \pm 4996$	$4588 \pm 5156$	0.845	
Vitamin C (mg/d)	$80.0 \pm 74.8$	$72.3 \pm 43.6$	$73.8 \pm 51.2$	0.176	
Calcium (mg/d)	$783.5 \pm 383.4$	$784.1 \pm 239.9$	$783.9 \pm 273.3$	0.986	
Iron (mg/d)	$16.9 \pm 6.7$	$16.2 \pm 3.8$	$16.3 \pm 4.6$	0.154	
Vitamin D (μg/d)	$1.2 \pm 1.8$	$1.3 \pm 0.9$	$1.3 \pm 1.9$	0.249	
Vitamin E (mg/d)	$31.7 \pm 22.2$	$36.2 \pm 16.9$	$35.3 \pm 18.1$	0.022	
Thiamin (mg/d)	$1.8 \pm 0.6$	$1.7 \pm 0.4$	$1.7 \pm 0.5$	0.634	
Riboflavin (mg/d)	$1.9 \pm 1.5$	$1.8 \pm 0.6$	$1.8 \pm 0.8$	0.211	
Niacin (mg/d)	$27.0 \pm 14.3$	$26.2 \pm 8.4$	$26.4 \pm 9.8$	0.441	
Vitamin B <sub>6</sub> (mg/d)	$1.8 \pm 0.9$	$1.7 \pm 0.4$	$1.8 \pm 0.5$	0.228	
Folate (µg/d)	$465.8 \pm 184.8$	$475.4 \pm 114.9$	$473.5 \pm 131.3$	0.503	
Vitamin B <sub>12</sub> (μg/d)	$9.3 \pm 25.9$	$8.3 \pm 18.0$	$8.5 \pm 19.8$	0.663	
Biotin (mg/day)	$24.4 \pm 16.5$	$25.7 \pm 10.2$	$25.5 \pm 11.7$	0.299	
Pantothenic acid (mg/d)	$5.0 \pm 2.5$	5.2 ± 1.5	5.2 ± 1.7	0.264	
Vitamin K (µg/d)	$166.7 \pm 271.1$	$143.3 \pm 116.3$	$147.8 \pm 158.6$	0.176	
Magnesium (mg/d)	$334.2 \pm 146.4$	$316.9 \pm 78.4$	$320.2 \pm 95.6$	0.098	
Zinc (mg/d)	$13.9 \pm 7.4$	$13.5 \pm 4.2$	13.6 ± 5.0	0.469	
Selenium (µg/d)	$124.2 \pm 77.8$	122.4 ± 44.7	$122.7 \pm 52.7$	0.752	

<sup>\*</sup> DII, adjusted for energy

DII Dietary inflammatory index, RAE Retinol activity equivalents

adjusted using the following models of crude (Model A), adjustments for age and BMI (Model B), and additional adjustments for physical activity, mothers' marital status and educational level, and the number of siblings (Model C). Statistical analysis was carried out using SPSS Software v.21 (IBM, Chicago, USA) and P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

# Results

General characteristics and levels of macronutrient intakes for the participants with high and low DII are presented in Table 1. Participants with a higher DII (DII  $\geq$  0.4) had higher metabolic rates (1697.7  $\pm$  237.5 against 1624.7  $\pm$  212.8) and BF% (21.4  $\pm$  10.2 against 8.7  $\pm$  7.7) and lower muscle masses (37.4  $\pm$  4.2 against 38.4  $\pm$  3.5), compared with the participants with a lower DII (DII < 0.4) (P < 0.05). Regarding dietary intakes, participants with a lower DII had significantly higher intakes of fibers (21.3  $\pm$  11.8 against 19  $\pm$  6, P < 0.001) and lower intakes of dietary fats (97.0  $\pm$  46.7 against

 $111.5 \pm 36.2$ ), SFA (25.5  $\pm 14.6$  against  $28.7 \pm 9.3$ ), MUFA  $(36.5 \pm 18.9 \text{ against } 42.5 \pm 15.1)$ , PUFA  $(25.4 \pm 15.2)$ against  $30.3\pm12.4$ ), oleic acid  $(32.5\pm18.1$  against  $39.0\pm15.0$ ) and linoleic acid ( $22.9\pm14.7$  against  $27.7 \pm 12.1$ ), compared with the participants with a higher DII (P < 0.05). No significant differences were detected in age, weight, height, BMI, physical activity, and intakes of energy, proteins, carbohydrates, cholesterol, linoleic acid, EPA, DHA, sodium, and potassium of the participants with various DII values. In terms of micronutrients intakes, participants with a lower DII had significantly higher intakes of beta-carotene (3004  $\pm$  3037 against  $2441\pm1447$ ) and lutein  $(1186\pm1403)$  against  $956.5 \pm 460.1$ ) (P < 0.001) (Table 2). Dietary macronutrient intakes of the participants based on the categories of body fat are provided in Table 3. Participants with BF%  $\geq$  19.2 had higher BMI (29.2  $\pm$  3.3 against 28.8  $\pm$  2.8) (P < 0.001), energy-adjusted DII ( $0.52 \pm 0.89$  against  $0.30 \pm 1.1$ , P = 0.013) and intake of SFA (28.8 ± 11.3) against  $26.8\pm9.3$  P=0.033), compared with the

<sup>\*\*</sup> Categorized based on the median

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> Independent sample t-test was used for mean comparison

**Table 3** General characteristics and distribution of macronutrient and electrolyte dietary intakes based on the categories of body fat (n = 535)

	Body fat categor	<i>P</i> -value**	
	Mean ± SD		
	Body fat > 19.2	Body fat ≥ 19.2	-
Age (y)	14.1 ± 1.5	14.0 ± 1.0	0.135
Weight (kg)	$78.6 \pm 9.1$	$79.4 \pm 40.7$	0.783
Height (cm)	$164.9 \pm 5.4$	$165.2 \pm 4.4$	0.573
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	$28.8 \pm 2.8$	$29.2 \pm 3.3$	< 0.001
Normal DII***	$0.05 \pm 1.26$	$0.05 \pm 0.96$	0.993
Density DII****	$0.30 \pm 1.1$	$0.52 \pm 0.89$	0.013
Total energy intake (kcal/d)	$2386 \pm 660.2$	2468±617.8	0.145
Total protein intake (g/d)	89.6 ± 31.8	$89.8 \pm 23.7$	0.954
Total carbohydrate intake (g/d)	$276.7 \pm 84.9$	$287.5 \pm 67.7$	0.103
Total fiber (g/d)	19.5 ± 8.9	$19.3 \pm 6.5$	0.758
Soluble fiber (g/d)	$0.38 \pm 0.31$	$0.41 \pm 0.30$	0.224
Total fat intake (g/d)	$106.1 \pm 37.8$	$110.4 \pm 39.5$	0.216
Cholesterol intake (mg/d)	$313.9 \pm 233.3$	$299.6 \pm 148.1$	0.385
SFA (g/d)	$26.8 \pm 9.3$	$28.8 \pm 11.3$	0.033
MUFA (g/d)	$40.4 \pm 15.5$	$42.0 \pm 16.4$	0.256
PUFA (g/d)	$29.0 \pm 13.6$	29.6 ± 12.8	0.610
Oleic acid (mg/d)	$36.7 \pm 15.4$	38.4±16.1	0.233
Linoleic acid (mg/d)	$26.4 \pm 13.1$	$27.1 \pm 12.6$	0.542
Linolenic acid (mg/d)	$0.91 \pm 0.94$	$0.90 \pm 0.56$	0.865
EPA (mg/d)	$0.01 \pm 0.08$	$0.01 \pm 0.06$	0.852
DHA (mg/d)	$0.05 \pm 0.27$	$0.06 \pm 0.19$	0.765
Sodium (mg/d)	$2468 \pm 1082$	$2831 \pm 5460$	0.342
Potassium (mg/d)	$2586 \pm 923.8$	$2641 \pm 726.4$	0.695

<sup>\*</sup> Categorized based on the median

DII Dietary inflammatory index, BMI Body mass index, SFA Saturated fatty acid, MUFA Monounsaturated fatty acid, PUFA Polyunsaturated fatty acid, EPA Eicosapentaenoic acid, DHA Docosahexaenoic acid

participants with BF% <sup><</sup> 19.2. No significant differences were seen in micronutrient intakes of the groups with various body fat values (Table 4).

Associations between the DII (normal and energy-adjusted) and body fat based on the continuous variables and cut-off points of DII are presented in Table 5. Regarding associations between the body fat and DII categories, groups with normal DII  $\geq$  -0.12 and density DII  $\geq$  0.4 were at 1.6 and 2.5 times higher odds of high body fats, compared with the groups with DII < -0.12 (OR = 1.6,

**Table 4** Distribution of the micronutrient dietary intakes based on the categories of body fat (n = 535)

	Body fat category*	<i>P</i> -value**	
	Mean ± SD		
	Body fat > 19.2 Body fat ≥ 19.2	<del>_</del>	
Vitamin A (RAE/day)	924.0 ± 2770 752.6 ± 1126	0.322	
Beta-carotene (mg/d)	$2590 \pm 1937$ $2525 \pm 1811$	0.693	
Lutein (mg/d)	$1007 \pm 688.2$ $996.8 \pm 784.2$	0.868	
Lycopene (mg/d)	4278 ± 5836 4788 ± 4663	0.265	
Vitamin C (mg/d)	$78.4 \pm 68.4  70.8 \pm 35.7$	0.095	
Calcium (mg/d)	$765.2 \pm 269.4796.1 \pm 275.5$	0.202	
Iron (mg/d)	$16.5 \pm 5.7  16.2 \pm 3.6$	0.573	
Vitamin D (μg/d)	$1.2 \pm 1.2$ $1.3 \pm 1.2$	0.391	
Vitamin E (mg/d)	$34.7 \pm 18.2  35.7 \pm 18.1$	0.513	
Thiamin (mg/d)	$1.7 \pm 0.5$ $1.7 \pm 0.4$	0.973	
Riboflavin (mg/d)	$1.8 \pm 0.9$ $1.8 \pm 0.7$	0.606	
Niacin (mg/d)	$26.3 \pm 11.6  26.3 \pm 8.5$	0.974	
Vitamin B6 (mg/d)	$1.8 \pm 0.6$ $1.7 \pm 0.5$	0.816	
Folate (µg/d)	$466.3 \pm 141.5  478.2 \pm 124.2$	0.308	
Vitamin B12 (μg/d)	$9.5 \pm 28.4  7.9 \pm 11.2$	0.365	
Biotin (mg/d)	$25.4 \pm 13.5  25.5 \pm 10.3$	0.951	
Pantothenic acid (mg/d)	$5.1 \pm 2.2$ $5.2 \pm 1.4$	0.757	
Vitamin K (μg/d)	$148.1 \pm 161.6  147.7 \pm 156.8$	0.978	
Magnesium (mg/d)	$320.5 \pm 113.6320.1 \pm 82.0$	0.966	
Zinc (mg/d)	$13.7 \pm 6.1  13.5 \pm 4.1$	0.674	
Selenium (µg/d)	$122.9 \pm 64.4  122.6 \pm 43.7$	0.948	

<sup>\*</sup> Categorized based on the median

CI 95% 1.1-2.3, P=0.019) and DII<0.4 (OR=2.5, CI 95% 1.6–3.9, P<0.001), respectively. Results were still significant after adjustments for age and BMI. Further adjustments for the mother's marital status and educational level, and the number of children (siblings) did not change the results. Results from modeling normal DII as a continuous variable showed that the participants with a higher DII had nonsignificant positive higher body fat values, compared with the participants with a lower DII. Results of the density DII as a continuous variable demonstrated that participants with a higher DII had higher body fat values, compared with the participants with a lower DII (OR = 1.2, CI 95% 1.1–1.4, P = 0.015). Results were still significant after adjusting for age and BMI (OR = 1.2, CI 95% 1.1-1.5, P = 0.021). Further adjustments for the mother's marital status and educational level, and the number of siblings did not change the results (OR = 1.2, CI 95% 1.1–1.5, P = 0.033).

<sup>\*\*</sup> Independent sample t-test was used for mean comparison

<sup>\*</sup> Normal DII, not adjusted DII

<sup>\*\*</sup> Density DII, DII adjusted for energy

<sup>\*\*</sup> Independent sample t-test was used for mean comparison

DII Dietary inflammatory index, RAE Retinol activity equivalents

**Table 5** The ORs and 95% CIs for the associations between the DII (normal and energy-adjusted) and body fat (n = 535)

	Normal DII category (OR, 95% CI)*			<i>P</i> -value	Continuous normal DII (OR, 95% CI)*	<i>P</i> -value	Continuous density DII (OR, 95% CI)**	<i>P</i> -value		
	DII>-0.12	DII ≥ -0.12		DII>0.4	DII ≥ 0.4		95% CI)		93% CI)	
Model A	1 (ref.)	1.6 (1.1–2.3)	0.019	1 (ref.)	2.5 (1.6–3.9)	< 0.001	1.0 (0.8–1.1)	0.993	1.2 (1.1–1.4)	0.015
Model B	1 (ref.)	1.7 (1.1-2.6)	0.007	1 (ref.)	2.8 (1.8-4.4)	< 0.001	0.9 (0.8-1.1)	0.856	1.2 (1.1–1.5)	0.021
Model C	1 (ref.)	1.8 (1.2–2.7)	0.006	1 (ref.)	2.8 (1.8-4.4)	< 0.001	0.9 (0.8–1.2)	0.694	1.2 (1.1–1.5)	0.033

<sup>\*</sup> Normal DII, not adjusted DII

Model A, crude ORs; Model B, age and BMI adjusted; Model C, further adjustments for physical activity, mothers' marital status and educational level and number of siblings; OR Odds ratio, CI Confidence intervals, DII Dietary inflammatory index

# **Discussion**

Results of the present study showed that the participants with a lower DII had higher intakes of total fibers, betacarotene and lutein and lower intakes of total fat, SFA, MUFA, PUFA, oleic acid and linoleic acid, compared with the participants with a higher DII. In addition, the higher DII was associated to higher body fat. Similar to these results, studies reported that higher DII scores were associated to lower healthy food intakes [24]. Andrade et al. followed up 132 obese women for six months after bariatric surgery and reported that women with a higher DII experienced smaller weight and fat mass losses [25]. Associations between dietary components and inflammation have frequently been reported. Western diets, which are rich in SFAs and total fats and low in fruits and vegetables with high DII scores, were reported to increase serum inflammatory markers, including IL-6, homocysteine, and C-reactive protein [2, 26]. However, nutrients such as omega-3, fibers, vitamin E, vitamin C, and  $\beta$ -carotene are associated with lower serum levels of the inflammatory markers [2, 3]. A recent review study has reported that Mediterranean diets, which are rich in fish, fruits, vegetables and, olive oil, may decrease inflammatory factors such as CRP, IL-6, and fibrinogen as well as risks of obesity [27].

In terms of the associations between the DII and obesity, Ruiz-Canela et al. showed that DII included significant associations with abdominal obesity; similar to that of the present study [5]. Aslani et al. reported that proinflammatory diets were linked to higher levels of obesity indices such as hip circumference, waist circumference, neck circumference, and abdominal obesity in young children and adolescents [28]. Regarding associations between the DII and body composition, Correa-Rodriguez et al. reported that DII was significantly associated with fat-free mass and weight but not with BMI and fat mass in adults [8]. These contradictory results might be due to the participants' age and DII might play significant roles in obesity and BF%, majorly in childhood and adolescence. Furthermore, results of a study by Kendal et al.

on 81 participants demonstrated that anti-inflammatory diets could be effective in obesity management and significant decreases in body weight and visceral adipose were identified in the intervention group receiving anti-inflammatory diets [7]. Ferreira et al. reported that the use of anti-inflammatory diets was an effective strategy in weight decreases and improvement of obesity-linked comorbidities in obese adolescents [25].

It is possible that associations between body fat and inflammation are mutual. Pre-inflammatory diets may increase body adipose tissues in individuals and higher body fats may increase inflammatory factors [5, 10]. The underlying mechanisms of the effects of inflammation on BMI are not clear. However, a potential mechanism of the higher effects of inflammatory components of diets on the levels of inflammatory factors in obese people includes activation of pathogen-associated molecular pathways such as toll-like and nod-like receptors after receiving pre-inflammatory diets, which induces the production of inflammatory markers in several tissues such as adipose tissues [13, 16]. The DII was reported to be associated with overweight and obesity; however, the effects of inflammatory diets in healthy adults may be negligible [29]. Underlying mechanisms; by which, DII affects BF% are also unclear; however, studies have suggested that pro-inflammatory cytokines such as IL-6, IL-1, and TNF-α can increase appetite and calorie intake in obese people [19]. Furthermore, studies have shown that diets with high DII scores increase CRP levels and thus increase risks of metabolic syndrome [30]. In people with metabolic syndrome, serum levels of circulating adipokines such as omentin-1 and chemerin are altered [31], which include essential roles in the development of obesity and regulation of adipogenesis [32, 33]. However, the results of the present study were limited due to its crosssectional design and the recall bias due to the nature of the FFQ self-report. Further studies with interventional design are needed to assess associations between dietaryinduced inflammations and obesity indices.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Density DII, DII adjusted for energy

# **Conclusion**

Results of the present study showed that lower scores of DII were associated to lower BF%. Thus, diets low in inflammatory factors might effectively improve body composition and prevent obesity in adolescents. Future comprehensive studies are necessary to verify these findings and to identify the underlying mechanisms of the associations between dietary-induced inflammation and body composition.

#### **Abbreviations**

CRP: C-reactive protein; TNF- $\alpha$ : Tumor necrosis factor  $\alpha$ ; IL- $\alpha$ : Interleukin  $\alpha$ ; BMI: Body mass index; DII: Dietary inflammatory index; BF: Body fat percentage; BIA: Bio-impedance analyzer; FFQ: Food frequency questionnaire.

#### Acknowledgements

This study is related to the project No. 1398/4209 from Student Research Committee, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran.

#### Authors' contributions

MGh, SD and FV designed the study and carried out data collection. AMJ, FB, NK, MA, AH, AA,MA and NM designed the study, analyzed data and critically reviewed the manuscript. This study was carried out in Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. All authors read and approved the manuscript.

#### **Funding**

Funding for this study was provided by Student Research Committee of Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences. This study is related to the project NO. 1398/10596 from Student Research Committee, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran.

#### Availability of data and materials

Datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable requests.

# **Declarations**

# Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study was approved by the Ethical Committee of Shahid-Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran (code: IR.SBMU.RETECH.REC.1398.781). All procedures of the studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and 1964 Declaration of Helsinki and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards. All participants signed informed consent forms Informed consent was obtained from the adolescents and their parents to participate in the study.

# Consent for publication

Not applicable.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare no competing interests.

#### **Author details**

<sup>1</sup> Students Research Committee, Cancer Research Center, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. <sup>2</sup> Department of Clinical Nutrition and Dietetics, Faculty of Nutrition and Food Technology, National Nutrition and Food Technology Research Institute, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. <sup>3</sup> Population Health Department, Nutrition and Health Research Group, Luxembourg Institute of Health, Strassen, Luxembourg. <sup>4</sup> Department of Food and Nutrition Policy and Planning, National Nutrition and Food Technology Research Institute, School of Nutrition Sciences and Food Technology, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. <sup>5</sup> Department of Nutrition, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Iran. <sup>6</sup> School of Health, Qazvin University of Medical

Sciences, Qazvin, Iran. <sup>7</sup>Research Center of Health and Environment, School of Health, Guilan University of Medical Sciences, Rasht, Iran. <sup>8</sup>Department of Community Nutrition, School of Nutrition and Food Sciences, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. <sup>9</sup>Department of Pharmacognosy, Faculty of Pharmacy, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. <sup>19</sup>School of Medicine, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran.

Received: 27 November 2021 Accepted: 23 March 2022 Published online: 02 May 2022

#### References

- Corley J, et al. Associations between dietary inflammatory index scores and inflammatory biomarkers among older adults in the Lothian birth cohort 1936 study. J Nutr Health Aging. 2019;23(7):628–36.
- Cavicchia PP, et al. A new dietary inflammatory index predicts interval changes in serum high-sensitivity C-reactive protein. J Nutr. 2009;139(12):2365–72.
- Wannamethee SG, et al. Associations of vitamin C status, fruit and vegetable intakes, and markers of inflammation and hemostasis. Am J Clin Nutr. 2006;83(3):567–74.
- 4. Hwalla N, Jaafar Z. Dietary management of obesity: a review of the evidence. Diagnostics (Basel). 2020;11(1):24.
- Ruiz-Canela M, et al. Dietary inflammatory index and anthropometric measures of obesity in a population sample at high cardiovascular risk from the PREDIMED (PREvencion con Dleta MEDiterranea) trial. Br J Nutr. 2015;113(6):984–95.
- Mehrdad M, et al. Association of FTO rs9939609 polymorphism with serum leptin, insulin, adiponectin, and lipid profile in overweight adults. Adipocyte. 2020;9(1):51–6.
- Kendel Jovanović G, et al. The efficacy of an energy-restricted anti-inflammatory diet for the management of obesity in younger adults. Nutrients. 2020;12(11):3583.
- Correa-Rodríguez M, et al. Dietary inflammatory index, bone health and body composition in a population of young adults: a cross-sectional study. Int J Food Sci Nutr. 2018;69(8):1013–9.
- 9. Doaei S, et al. The effect of omega-3 fatty acid supplementation on clinical and biochemical parameters of critically ill patients with COVID-19: a randomized clinical trial. J Transl Med. 2021;19(1):1–9.
- 10. Jin C, Flavell RA. Innate sensors of pathogen and stress: linking inflammation to obesity. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2013;132(2):287–94.
- Mehrdad M, et al. High dietary inflammatory index (DII) scores increase odds of overweight in adults with rs9939609 polymorphism of FTO gene. Clin Nutr ESPEN. 2021;42:221–6.
- Vahid F, et al. Dietary Inflammatory Index (DII®): A significant association between coronary heart disease and DII® in Armenian adults. Eur J Prev Cardiol. 2020;27(19):2235–7.
- Langefeld T, et al. Toll-like receptors and NOD-like receptors: domain architecture and cellular signalling. Target Pattern Recognition in Innate Immunity. 2009;653:48–57.
- Navarro P, et al. Predictors of the dietary inflammatory index in children and associations with childhood weight status: a longitudinal analysis in the lifeways cross-generation cohort study. Clin Nutr. 2020;39(7):2169–79.
- Oliveira TMS, et al. Dietary inflammatory index and prevalence of overweight and obesity in Brazilian graduates from the Cohort of Universities of Minas Gerais (CUME project). Nutrition. 2020;71:110635.
- Saghafi-Asl M, et al. The association of dietary patterns with dietary inflammatory index, systemic inflammation, and insulin resistance, in apparently healthy individuals with obesity. Sci Rep. 2021;11(1):1–8.
- 17. Ramallal R, et al. Inflammatory potential of diet, weight gain, and incidence of overweight/obesity: the SUN cohort. Obesity. 2017;25(6):997–1005.
- Kalantari N, et al. Indicator for success of obesity reduction programs in adolescents: body composition or body mass index? Evaluating a schoolbased health promotion project after 12 weeks of intervention. Int J Prev Med. 2017;8:73.
- Inui A, Meguid MM. Cachexia and obesity: two sides of one coin? Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care. 2003;6(4):395–9.

- 20. Tam KM, Cheung SY. Validation of electronic activity monitor devices during treadmill walking. Telemed J E Health. 2018;24(10):782–9.
- Walter-Kroker A, et al. A practical guide to bioelectrical impedance analysis using the example of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Nutr J. 2011;10:35.
- Malekshah A, et al. Validity and reliability of a new food frequency questionnaire compared to 24 h recalls and biochemical measurements: pilot phase of Golestan cohort study of esophageal cancer. Eur J Clin Nutr. 2006;60(8):971–7.
- Shivappa N, et al. Designing and developing a literature-derived, population-based dietary inflammatory index. Public Health Nutr. 2014:17(8):1689–96.
- Saghafi-Asl M, et al. The association of dietary patterns with dietary inflammatory index, systemic inflammation, and insulin resistance, in apparently healthy individuals with obesity. Sci Rep. 2021;11(1):7515.
- Ferreira YAM, et al. An interdisciplinary weight loss program improves body composition and metabolic profile in adolescents with obesity: associations with the dietary inflammatory index. Front Nutr. 2019;6:77.
- Shivappa N, et al. Associations between dietary inflammatory index and inflammatory markers in the Asklepios Study. Br J Nutr. 2015;113(4):665–71.
- Sun, R., et al., Corrigendum to "Co-delivery of all-trans-retinoic acid and doxorubicin for cancer therapy with synergistic inhibition of cancer stem cells" [Biomaterials 37 (2015) 405–414] (Biomaterials (2015) 37 (405–414), (S0142961214010655), (https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2014.10. 018)). Biomaterials, 2020. 263.
- Aslani Z, et al. Association of dietary inflammatory index with anthropometric indices in children and adolescents: the weight disorder survey of the Childhood and Adolescence Surveillance and Prevention of Adult Non-communicable Disease (CASPIAN)-IV study. Br J Nutr. 2019;121(3):340–50.
- Haslam A, et al. inflammatory potential of diet, weight gain, and incidence of overweight/obesity: the sun cohort. Public Health Nutr. 2017;20(9):1609.
- Wirth MD, et al. Association of a dietary inflammatory index with inflammatory indices and metabolic syndrome among police officers. J Occup Environ Med. 2014;56(9):986–9.
- 31. Adams-Huet B, et al. Increased adipose tissue insulin resistance in metabolic syndrome: relationship to circulating adipokines. Metab Syndr Relat Disord. 2014;12(10):503–7.
- 32 Rothermel J, et al. Link between omentin-1, obesity and insulin resistance in children: Findings from a longitudinal intervention study. Pediatr Obes. 2020;15(5):e12605.
- 33. Liu H, et al. Adipokine chemerin stimulates progression of atherosclerosis in ApoE(-/-) Mice. Biomed Res Int. 2019;2019:7157865.

#### **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

# Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

#### At BMC, research is always in progress.

**Learn more** biomedcentral.com/submissions

