

# Serum extracellular vesicles profiling is associated with COVID-19 progression and immune responses

Kevin Ho Wai Yim

Simone Borgoni 🔰 Richard Chahwan 💿

Institute of Experimental Immunology, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

SHORT COMMUNICATION

Correspondence

Richard Chahwan, Institute of Experimental Immunology, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland. Email: chahwan@immunology.uzh.ch

#### Abstract

Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) has transformed very quickly into a world pandemic with severe and unexpected consequences on human health. Concerted efforts to generate better diagnostic and prognostic tools have been ongoing. Research, thus far, has primarily focused on the virus itself or the direct immune response to it. Here, we propose extracellular vesicles (EVs) from serum liquid biopsies as a new and unique modality to unify diagnostic and prognostic tools for COVID-19 analyses. EVs are a novel player in intercellular signalling particularly influencing immune responses. We herein show that innate and adaptive immune EVs profiling, together with SARS-CoV-2 Spike S1<sup>+</sup> EVs provide a novel signature for SARS-CoV-2 infection. It also provides a unique ability to associate the co-existence of viral and host cell signatures to monitor affected tissues and severity of the disease progression. And provide a phenotypic insight into COVID-associated EVs.

#### **KEYWORDS**

clinical liquid biopsy, COVID-19, diagnosis, extracellular vesicles, SARS-CoV-2

#### **INTRODUCTION** 1

Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) was first identified in December 2019 in Wuhan, China. The disease progressed into a global pandemic with over 64 million confirmed cases and over 3.9 million confirmed deaths as of June 2021 (Dong et al., 2020). COVID-19 patients can be asymptomatic, suffer from mild symptoms such as fever, cough, and dyspnoea or develop into severe conditions characterized as acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) requiring mechanical ventilation (Wu & McGoogan, 2020). SARS-CoV-2, a positive-sense, single-stranded RNA virus, is known as the causative pathogen of COVID-19. The commonly acknowledged mechanism of SARS-CoV-2 pathology is the entry of viruses into angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) expressing host cells, with a tropism for different organs, such as the respiratory tract, kidneys, liver, heart, brain, and blood vessels (Puelles et al., 2020). SARS-CoV-2 infected cells can recruit different immune cell types and induce innate inflammatory responses as well as adaptive immune responses mediated by targeted antibodies. Sars-CoV-2 specific immunoglobulins (Ig) types M, A, and G have been used as an indicator of protective immunity in infected patients. However, such antibody responses normally emerge around 10-21 days after infection and may take even longer (4 weeks or more) in mild cases to be detected (Cervia et al., 2021; Martin et al., 2014; Sheppard et al., 2018). In general, around 5 % of COVID-19 patients develop severe conditions like ARDS, which arises around 1 week after symptom onset. Therefore, SARS-CoV-2 specific antibody titre measurement is not the best predictor of severe disease for infected patients who show mild symptoms early after infection but rapidly develop ARDS.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

<sup>© 2022</sup> The Authors. Journal of Extracellular Biology published by Wiley Periodicals, LLC on behalf of the International Society for Extracellular Vesicles.



Extracellular vesicles (EVs) are nanosized lipid-bilayer vesicles that carry nucleic acid and protein cargo. They are constitutively secreted by virtually all types of cells and circulate in most biofluids such as blood, urine, saliva, and breast milk. Since the surface markers and molecular cargo of EVs can reflect the cellular origin and activation status, they have been utilized as noninvasive biomarkers from liquid biopsies in the past decade, for diagnostic and prognostic purposes (Babatunde et al., 2020; Hettich et al., 2020; Yim et al., 2020). Thanks to the lipid-bilayer structure of EVs, they are intrinsically more stable than naked circulating molecules such as antibodies and cytokines, conferring a higher potential to provide a more robust and long-lasting effect on the host immune response. Here, we characterized serum EVs from healthy donors, early COVID-19 patients (< 13 days from symptom onset), and late COVID-19 patients with mild disease (> 13 days from symptom onset), in terms of size distribution, concentration and surface marker profile using nanoparticle flow analyser (NanoFCM) (Silva et al., 2021). Cluster analysis of different EVs subpopulations based on surface marker expression was performed to identify signatures of healthy donors, early COVID-19 patients. Lastly, COVID-19 specific SARS-CoV-2 Spike S1<sup>+</sup> serum EVs were characterized in relation to disease progression and host immune responses to determine disease severity.

#### 2 | RESULTS

## 2.1 | Multiplex profiling of serum EVs derived from mild COVID-19 patients

To explore the landscape of serum immune EVs during SARS-CoV-2 infection, we sampled a cohort of 20 mild COVID-19 patients and 17 healthy donors (Table 1). According to the WHO definition (World Health Organization, 2020), all the sampled COVID-19 patients in this study experienced mild illness with symptoms such as fever, fatigue, or dyspnoea. Serum EVs were isolated from the whole blood of donors and patients. Purified serum EVs were analysed by nanoparticle analyser to examine the approximate size distribution and concentration of different serum EVs subsets with a dedicated panel of immune markers and tetraspanins marker (Figure 1A).

According to MISEV 2018 guideline, the physical properties of EVs, such as approximate particle size distribution and concentration were analysed to ensure the reproducibility of the results Figure S1A, B). Adhering to the MIFlowCyt-EV framework (Welsh et al., 2020), removal of unbound antibodies or antibodies aggregates and antibodies specificity in our study were also assessed (Figure S2A, B, Table S1). To assess the purity of our EVs preparation with ultracentrifugation (UC), we compared the particle counts, immunofluorescence signals, and purity of EVs purified with size exclusion columns (SEC, PURE-EVs, Hansa BioMed). No significant difference in CD45 and CD63 signals was observed between UC and SEM purification methods (Figure S3). Moreover, for diagnostic interest, potential variance in particle size distribution of different serum EVs subsets between healthy controls and COVID-19 patients might provide valuable predictive information. Using a mixture of four different sizes (66, 91, 113, 155 nm) of monodisperse silica nanoparticles (refractive index = 1.461), we applied four size interval bins according to the four individual peak areas along the SSC scale. Due to the different refractive index between silica beads and biological EVs, the four SSC intervals were abbreviated as small, medium, large, extra-large EVs in accordance with the corresponding approximation of the low, medium, high, and very high- SSC distribution, respectively (Figure S1B). In COVID-19 patients, small EVs were predominant in total serum EVs, and CD66b<sup>+</sup> EVs showed an increase compared to healthy controls (P < 0.05, P < 0.01, respectively). However, extra-large EVs were reduced in CD63<sup>+</sup>, CD38<sup>+</sup>, IgA<sup>+</sup>, IgG<sup>+</sup> EVs in COVID-19 patients compared to healthy controls (P < 0.05) (Figure 1B, C, S1D).

Immune cells profiling of COVID-19 patients revealed numerous alterations in both innate and adaptive immunity. However, whether immune cells derived EVs were influenced by COVID-19 or involved in any form of disease specific responses remains unknown. Canonical leukocyte marker CD45 was first examined in patient serum EVs to gain an overview of immune EVs changes in COVID-19. Interestingly, percentage of CD45<sup>+</sup> serum EVs showed a significant reduction in mild COVID-19 patients compared to healthy control but without alterations in their size distribution, suggesting the reduction of CD45<sup>+</sup> serum EVs is not due to alterations in certain subsets of particle sizes but the overall actual abundance of CD45+ EVs within total serum EVs (Figure 1B, S1C). We next visualized the alteration of CD45<sup>+</sup> EVs levels against days post symptoms onset. Significant depletion in CD45<sup>+</sup> EVs in patients between 3 and 13 days post symptoms onset was observed compared to healthy controls (P < 0.05). Strikingly, patients after 13 days post symptoms onset displayed a recovery of CD45<sup>+</sup> EVs level comparable to healthy controls (Figure 1C, D). This finding highlighted the importance to dissect the analysis into pre- and post-13 days post symptoms onset to gain more precise perspectives in the serum EVs dynamics in COVID-19. Interestingly, depletion of CD45<sup>+</sup> EVs in the early onset of COVID-19 correlated to CD45<sup>+</sup> cells deficiency observed in severe COVID-19 patients reported by another study (Jin et al., 2020), indicating the high sensitivity and early detection capacity of EVs based diagnostics. Total EVs concentration did not show significant differences suggesting the alteration in CD45<sup>+</sup> EVs level was independent of total EVs production during SARS-CoV-2 infection (Figure 1C, D). The correlation between sizes and markers in EVs subsets between healthy controls and COVID-19 patients was visualized by Spearman's rank correlation matrix (Figure 1E). A significant correlation of large and extralarge CD31<sup>+</sup> EVs (predominantly expressed by endothelial tissues) and total EVs was observed in healthy controls and post-13 days patients but not in pre-13 days patients. There was also a strong correlation between the small CD14<sup>+</sup>, CD19<sup>+</sup>, CD56<sup>+</sup> and

TABLE 1 Demographic and clinical characteristics of the patient cohort

	Healthy	Mild COVID-19	
No. of samples	17.0000	20.0000	
Median age (years)	31.0000	34.0000	
Gender (M/F)	7/10	11/9	
Time since symptom onset (days)	-	$11 \pm 5.01996$	
Laboratories values			P value
Haemoglobin (mean $\pm$ SD, [g/l])	$143.13 \pm 11.76$	$81.35 \pm 75.79$	0.0028
Absolute platelet count (mean $\pm$ SD, [G/l])	$252 \pm 50.71$	243.25 ± 61.79	ns
Total white blood cell count mean $\pm$ SD, [G/l])	$6.06 \pm 1.73$	$5.13 \pm 1.14$	ns
Monocytes (mean $\pm$ SD, [G/l])	$0.45 \pm 0.16$	$0.46 \pm 0.1$	ns
Neutrophils (mean $\pm$ SD, [G/l])	$3.61 \pm 1.29$	$2.64 \pm 0.91$	0.0129
Eosinophils (mean $\pm$ SD, [G/l])	$0.1 \pm 0.05$	$0.12\pm0.09$	ns
Basophils (mean $\pm$ SD, [G/l])	$0.05 \pm 0.01$	$0.03 \pm 0.01$	0.0015
Lymphocytes (mean $\pm$ SD, [G/l])	$1.84 \pm 0.56$	$1.85\pm0.56$	ns
CD3- CD56bright CD16dim NK cells (mean $\pm$ SD, [cells/ul])	$18.47 \pm 5.35$	12.1 ± 5.7	ns
CD3- CD56dim CD16bright NK cells (mean ± SD, [cells/ul])	$164.59 \pm 119.87$	$248.25 \pm 131.75$	ns
$CD4+ T$ cells (mean $\pm$ SD, [cells/ul])	$376.24 \pm 468.03$	780.3 ± 290.92	0.0029
CD19+ B cells (mean $\pm$ SD, [cells/ul])	$101.41 \pm 130.44$	$189.4 \pm 103.36$	0.0282
C-reactive protein (mean ± SD, [mg/l])	$1.04 \pm 0.97$	$1.74 \pm 1.89$	ns
LDH (mean $\pm$ SD, [U/l])	$332.24 \pm 53.88$	$342.39 \pm 79.04$	ns
IL-6 (mean $\pm$ SD, [pg/ml])	$0.47 \pm 0.57$	$2.51 \pm 4.24$	ns
IL-10 (mean $\pm$ SD, [pg/ml])	$1.15 \pm 1.3$	$1.64 \pm 2.19$	ns
IFN $\gamma$ (mean ± SD, [pg/ml])	$0.88 \pm 1.68$	$1.89 \pm 2.64$	ns
$TNF\alpha$ (mean ± SD, [pg/ml])	$7.32 \pm 3.04$	8.36 ± 3.49	ns
Anti-CoV-2 IgA (mean $\pm$ SD, [ $\mu$ g/ml])	$0.34 \pm 0.18$	$4.85 \pm 7.2$	0.0144
Anti-CoV-2 IgG (mean $\pm$ SD, [ $\mu$ g/ml])	$0.27 \pm 0.15$	$1.03 \pm 0.92$	0.0019
Comorbidities			
Hypertonia - no. (%)	-	-	
Diabetes - no. (%)	-	-	
Heart disease - no. (%)	-	1 (5%)	
Lung disease - no. (%)	-	-	
Malignancy - no. (%)	-	-	
Immunosuppression - no. (%)	-	-	
Kidney disease - no. (%)	-	-	
Cerebrovascular disease - no. (%)	-	-	
M Crohn - no. (%)	-	1 (5%)	
Allergic asthma - no. (%)	-	2 (10%)	
Hypothyreose - no. (%)	1 (6%)	3 (15%)	

3 of 14

CD63<sup>+</sup> EVs in pre-13 days patients which were not present in healthy controls nor post-13 days patients. These data suggest that classical monocytes-, B cells- and natural killer cells-derived small EVs are predominantly affected in the early stage of COVID-19.

# 2.2 | Detection of SARS-CoV-2-Spike S1<sup>+</sup> serum EVs

ACE2 containing EVs have been reported to prevent infection by SARS-CoV-2 virus indicating the relevance of EVs in COVID-19 progression (Cocozza et al., 2020). Moreover, SARS-CoV-2 Spike S1 containing EVs have been shown to serve as decoys for neutralizing antibodies (Troyer et al., 2021). To explore whether SARS-CoV-2 utilizes such strategies to influence disease



**FIGURE 1** Characterization of immune serum EVs in healthy controls and mild COVID-19 patients. (A) Schematic outline of EVs profiling from denoted human samples. (B) Approximate size distribution quantification of serum EVs from denoted human samples and different EV subsets, with size reference beads with a mixture of four modal sizes of 66 nm (small), 91 nm (medium), 113 nm (large), 155 nm (extralarge). Representative side scatter histogram of size reference beads in (B) and total serum EVs from denoted human samples on the right. (C, D) Quantification of total serum EVs and CD45+ EVs in denoted human samples at days of reported symptom onset. (E) Spearman's rank correlation matrix of size distribution of serum EVs subsets between healthy donors and mild COVID-19 patients. One-way ANOVA,  $P < 0.05^*$ ,  $P < 0.01^{**}$ ,  $P < 0.005^{***}$ 

progression and interaction with the host, we attempted to detect and profile Spike S1<sup>+</sup> serum EVs in this cohort of patients. To eliminate the possibility of virus contamination in serum EVs purification, viral RNA detection by PCR was performed with patients' serum and none of the specimens tested positive. Specificity of Spike S1 antibody has been validated with negative control HEK293A and Spike S1 transfected HEK293A and their released EVs, in combination with serial titration of staining cocktail with recombinant Spike S1 proteins (Figure S4).

Spike S1<sup>+</sup> EVs were detected in a small subset of healthy controls, suggesting their serum EVs might be carrying cross-reactive epitopes that bind to Spike S1 antibodies used in the study. We, therefore, applied a cut-off of Spike S1 fluorescence signal at 1.75% of total particles to exclude all healthy controls. Five out of 16 mild COVID-19 patients (dark blue dots in plots) had > 1.75% Spike S1<sup>+</sup> serum EVs (classified as Spike S1<sup>+</sup> EVs positive), suggesting the existence of SARS-CoV-2 specific EVs in human serum which could subsequently influence disease progression (Figure 2A). To further identify the origin of Spike S1<sup>+</sup> EVs, we co-stained Spike S1 with a panel of immune and endothelial markers since SARS-CoV-2 are known to significantly affect endothelial





**FIGURE 2** Characterization of Sars-Cov-2 Spike S1+ serum EVs in healthy controls and mild COVID-19 patients. (A) Representative nanoflow histograms and dot plots of costaining of CD31 and spike S1 subunit from denoted human samples and negative control (buffer only). Quantification of Spike S1+, Spike S1+CD31+ and CD31+ serum EVs in denoted human samples, dark blue (Spike S1<sup>+</sup> EVs high). (B) Size distribution quantification of serum EVs from denoted human samples and indicated EV subsets. (C) Biplot and principal component analysis of set of serum EVs markers defining healthy and mild COVID-19 status. (D) Spearman's rank correlation matrix of serum EVs subsets between healthy donors and mild COVID-19 patients. One-way ANOVA,  $P < 0.05^*$ ,  $P < 0.01^{**}$ ,  $P < 0.005^{***}$ 

tissues in patients (Levy et al., 2021). Notably, Spike S1<sup>+</sup>CD31<sup>+</sup> EVs levels were significantly increased in pre-13 days COVID-19 group compared to healthy controls and post-13 days COVID-19, but not with the immune markers analysed (Figure S5). Elevated levels of endothelial markers including CD31 were reported in COVID-19 (Birnhuber et al., 2021), and temporary stress triggers CD31<sup>+</sup> microparticle release (Lichtenauer et al., 2015). These data suggest Spike S1<sup>+</sup> EVs likely originate from SARS-CoV-2 infected endothelial tissues. Total CD31<sup>+</sup> EVs level also showed an elevating tendency in COVID-19 patients compared to healthy controls. Reduction of small Spike S1<sup>+</sup> EVs was also observed in post-13 days patients compared to pre-13 days patients (Figure 2B).

To gain deeper insights into the relationship between serum EVs and disease status, we applied principal component analysis of serum EVs subset level across the cohort (Figure 2C). A biplot revealed the contribution of different EVs subsets as arrows showing the significant association of CD45<sup>+</sup> EVs and healthy controls. A quartile was dominated by pre-13 days patients and highly associated with Spike S1<sup>+</sup> EVs. A quartile with mostly post-13 days was defined by high levels of CD31<sup>+</sup> and CD63<sup>+</sup> EVs. In another quartile, a heterogeneous mix of predominantly healthy controls was defined by high levels of CD4<sup>+</sup>, CD14<sup>+</sup>, CD19<sup>+</sup> and CD56<sup>+</sup> EVs. To confirm the observed variances were not due to age or sex bias within the cohort, biplot cluster analysis showed insignificant variance of EVs subsets distribution between age groups and sex of donors (Figure S6). Individuals PCA clustering allowed the stratification of disease status based on frequencies of different EVs subsets across the cohort. Taken together, despite the anticipated diversity across individuals and less pronounced phenotypes in mild COVID-19 compared to severe ones, these exploratory analyses enable the usage of serum EVs to predict disease status on top of canonical clinical approaches (Figure 2D).

To further probe the alterations of different serum EVs subsets during COVID-19, we applied hierarchical clustering in a correlation map of serum EVs subsets across the cohort (Figure 2E). In the healthy control group, specialized immune cell derived EVs, CD4<sup>+</sup>, CD14<sup>+</sup>, CD19<sup>+</sup> and CD56<sup>+</sup> EVs had a strong positive correlation with each other but negatively correlate with CD45<sup>+</sup> EVs, suggesting, in healthy state, immune EVs are less likely to originate from lymphocytes nor monocytes but other types of leukocytes (i.e., neutrophils, around 60% of leukocytes in blood). However, such negative correlation did not persist in COVID-19 patients, indicating the surge of lymphocytes and monocytes driven responses during SARS-CoV-2 infection. Significant positive correlation was also observed between CD38<sup>+</sup> and IgG<sup>+</sup> EVs in healthy controls but not in any COVID-19 group. In the pre-13 days mild COVID-19 group, CD31<sup>+</sup> and CD63<sup>+</sup> EVs started to positively correlate with specialized immune EVs. Strong positive correlation of Spike S1<sup>+</sup> and Spike S1<sup>+</sup>CD31<sup>+</sup> EVs and negative correlation of CD19<sup>+</sup> and CD66b<sup>+</sup> EVs were also observed. In the post-13 days mild COVID-19 group, the strong positive correlation between specialized immune EVs was reduced as well as the correlation of Spike S1<sup>+</sup> and Spike S1<sup>+</sup>CD31<sup>+</sup> EVs. In the overall mild COVID-19 group, regardless of time after symptoms onset, specialized immune EVs positively correlate with CD31<sup>+</sup> EVs and Spike S1<sup>+</sup> EVs also positively correlate with Spike S1+CD31+ EVs. In summary, the analysis of serum EVs cluster signatures in relation to COVID-19 progression (i.e., early vs. late) and time after symptoms onset uncovered the dynamic patterns of serum EVs subset levels during COVID-19 progression.

#### 2.3 Abundance of SARS-CoV-2-Spike S1 serum EVs indicate host immunological responses

To better understand the dynamics of Spike S1<sup>+</sup> EVs during SARS-CoV-2 infection, we visualized the alteration of Spike S1<sup>+</sup> and Spike S1<sup>+</sup> CD31<sup>+</sup> EVs across the cohort against time after symptoms onset (Figure 3A). Spike S1<sup>+</sup> EVs positive patients were present mostly in pre-13 days post symptoms onset, two of which from day 10 showed high Spike S1<sup>+</sup> CD31<sup>+</sup> EVs (Figure 3A). To explore the immunological relevance of serum Spike S1<sup>+</sup> EVs during COVID-19 progression, we analysed the SARS-CoV-2 specific immunoglobulins levels across the cohort and in relation to time after symptoms onset (Figure 3B). SARS-CoV-2 specific IgA and IgG levels were below 0.6 G/l in all healthy controls and showed an increasing trend close to 10 days post symptom onset (Figure 3B and C). Two of the Spike S1<sup>+</sup> EVs positive patients at day 10 showed elevated levels of SARS-CoV-2 specific IgA and IgG (up to 24 and 3 G/l, respectively) which are predominantly observed in severe COVID-19 cases, suggesting the dynamics Spike S1<sup>+</sup> EVs levels in patients could provide sensitive detection of alternated immunological responses. Levels of immunosuppressive cytokine IL-10 (Han et al., 2020), one of the hallmarks observed in severe COVID-19 was compared across the cohort. IL-10 levels were comparable between healthy controls and COVID-19 patients, indicating cytokine secretion was not significantly affected in this cohort of mild COVID-19 patients (Figure 3D).

To further understand the relationship between Spike S1<sup>+</sup> EVs and other significantly altered factors between healthy controls and COVID-19 patients, we performed direct correlation analysis between the levels of Spike S1<sup>+</sup> EVs and Spike S1<sup>+</sup>CD31<sup>+</sup> EVs, viral specific immunoglobulins (IgG1 and IgG3) and immunosuppressive IL-10 (Figure 3E). A strong positive correlation was observed between Spike S1<sup>+</sup> EVs and Spike S1<sup>+</sup>CD31<sup>+</sup> EVs (r = 0.6, P = 0.017), suggesting the Spike S1<sup>+</sup> EVs are likely to originate from endothelial tissues. We also found a strong negative correlation between Spike S1<sup>+</sup> EVs and IgG1 (r = -0.46, P = 0.036) and IgG3 (r = -0.75, P = 0.0013). In contrast to SARS-CoV-2 specific IgA and IgG, IgG1 and IgG3 are predominantly induced by viral infection, with IgG3 appearing first during infection (Vidarsson et al., 2014). These negative correlations indicate levels of Spike S1<sup>+</sup> EVs could estimate whether the host antibody mediated responses are SARS-CoV-2 specific. Recent study showed that reduced level of IgG3 as a predictive marker in COVID-19 patients characterized with postacute coronavirus disease

ISEV



FIGURE 3 Correlation of Sars-Cov-2 Spike S1+ serum EVs with host immune responses in healthy controls and mild COVID-19 patients. (A) Quantification of Sars-Cov-2 Spike S1+ and Sars-Cov-2 Spike S1+CD31+ EVs in denoted human samples at days after reported symptom onset. (B, C) Quantification of soluble Sars-Cov-2 specific immunoglobulins in denoted human samples at days after reported symptom onset. (D) Quantification of soluble interleukin-10 levels in denoted human samples at days after reported symptom onset. (E) Spearman's correlation of level of Spike S1+ serum EVs to Spike S1+CD31+ EVs, soluble IgG1, IgG3 and interleukin-10 levels

2019 (COVID-19) syndrome (PACS) or long COVID-19. Thus, the negative correlation between IgG3 levels and Spike S1<sup>+</sup> EVs observed in our cohort holds a possibility of other post-COVID-19 conditions, independent of disease severity and sampling timepoints (Cervia et al., 2021). Another negative correlation was observed between Spike S1<sup>+</sup> EVs and immunosuppressive IL-10, suggesting patients with higher Spike S1<sup>+</sup> EVs are less prone to severe complications such as acute respiratory distress syndrome and secondary hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis (Dhar et al., 2020; Han et al., 2020; Lu et al., 2021).

#### 2.4 Mild COVID-19 patients derived serum EVs affect healthy PBMCs responses ex vivo

Immune cells derived soluble factors such as IFN- $\gamma$ , IL6, and TNF are known to cause inflammation in severe COVID-19 cases and subsequently cause complications in the disease (Dhar et al., 2020; Han et al., 2020). Since EVs have been shown to modulate immune responses in viral infection, we sought to explore the possibility of EVs mediated immune regulation in COVID-19. B cells mediated antibodies neutralization and T cells mediated cytokines production are the key drivers of host immune defences against COVID-19, understanding B and T cells responses in the presence of purified serum EVs from the cohort would enable us to better understand the immune regulatory network in COVID-19. To understand such mechanism, we activated healthy PBMC CD19<sup>+</sup> B cells (with IL-4 and IL-21) and CD3<sup>+</sup> T cells (anti-CD3/CD28 and PMA/Ionomycin) ex vivo in the presence of PBS control and purified serum EVs across the cohort. Cell viability, activation, antibodies class switching (in B cells), and cytokine production (in T cells) were measured 4 days after activation by flow cytometry (Figure 4A).

Viability of CD19<sup>+</sup> B cells was consistent across the serum EVs in the cohort. Activation marker CD86 expression was reduced in pre-13 days COVID-19 derived EVs compared to both healthy controls and post-13 days COVID-19 derived EVs, suggesting serum EVs from pre-13 days suppress B cells activation. IgM expression was similar across the serum EVs in the cohort while IgA





8 of 14

FIGURE 4 Mild COVID-19 patients derived serum EVs affect healthy PBMCs responses ex vivo (A) Schematic outline of ex vivo healthy PBMC activation in the presence of PBS control and serum EVs from healthy donors and mild COVID-19 patients. (B-D) Quantification of different subsets expansion, activation, cytokine production (T cells) (C, D) and class switch recombination (B cells) (B). One-way ANOVA, P < 0.05 \*, P < 0.01 \*\*, P < 0.005 \*\*\*

expression was significantly increased in post-13 days COVID-19 EVs, implying post-13 days COVID-19 EVs promote antibodies class switching.

Viability of CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells was reduced in pre-13 days COVID-19 derived EVs compared to post-13 days COVID-19 derived EVs but not observed in CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells. Activation marker CD69 expression in CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells was similar across the serum EVs in the cohort. Significant reduction of TNF production in CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells was observed in pre-13 days COVID-19 EVs, with a reducing tendency in post-13 days COVID-19 EVs compared to healthy controls. However, TNF production in CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells



was comparable across the serum EVs in the cohort, suggesting  $CD4^+$  T cells are more susceptible to serum EVs modulation. IFN- $\gamma$  production of  $CD4^+$  and  $CD8^+$  T cells was increased in pre-13 days COVID-19 EVs compared to both healthy controls and post-13 days COVID-19 derived EVs. Taken together, serum EVs from COVID-19 patients are capable to influence B and T cells responses in a targeted manner that possibly contribute to the immune phenotypes observed in COVID-19 patients (Figure 4B, C, D).

# 3 | DISCUSSION

Throughout the COVID-19 pandemic, immune cell-profiling studies continue showing a wide spectrum of immunological complications from mild to severe cases such as lymphopenia, hyper inflammation and series of cytokine storms. Aside from studied soluble immune factors like antibodies and cytokines, EVs are emerging as novel and potent intercellular signalling mediators. EV cargos are under study as predictive tools for COVID-19 severity (Balbi et al., 2021; Fujita et al., 2021; Mao et al., 2021). However, such recent studies required mass spectrometry and RNA-seq of EVs cargo which is not easy to convert into routine clinical diagnostics due to the tedious processing and high expertise required. Or they studied covid related EVs *ex vivo* (Troyer et al., 2021). We focus here on (i) serum immune-derived EVs because immune cells are predominantly found in the bloodstream, as are their released EVs; (ii) viral-specific EVs to gain specificity and viral tracking ability; (iii) on analysing early- and latemild covid patients to study the effect of the virus with minimal symptom complications; and (iv) attributing phenotypic functions for COVID-19 associated EVs. Therefore, our analysis has consequences that surpass the basic investigation of COVID-19 biomarkers per se and instead tries to probe the dynamic response of the virus and our body's response to it.

To harness the full potential of immune EVs in COVID-19, we applied multi-parametric EVs phenotyping to characterize total EVs and specific immune associated subsets. The high sensitivity and resolution of NanoFCM used in this study allowed the possibility of direct single EVs phenotyping. We could simultaneously measure and correlate EV size, concentration and marker subset quantification; surpassing the conventional antibody coated beads approach currently used (Tian et al., 2020). General enrichment of small EVs (~ 66 nm) in mild COVID-19 patients was observed and more evident in total serum EVs and CD66b<sup>+</sup>, CD38<sup>+</sup>, IgA<sup>+</sup>, IgG<sup>+</sup> EVs. Interestingly, such enrichment of small EVs seems to correlate with reduction in extra-large EVs (~155 nm) and is more pronounced in CD63<sup>+</sup> EVs and CD66b<sup>+</sup> EVs. Immunological studies have shown inflammatory phenotypes in granulocytes (expressing CD66b) are one of the strongest discriminators between non-infected and infected individuals as well as between severity status of COVID-19 patients (Vitte et al., 2020). The size shifts we observed correspond to the moderate enrichment of smaller EVs due to cellular stress reported by others (de Jong et al., 2012). Our results suggest that EV's biogenesis machinery in general especially granulocytes may have been altered by SARS-CoV-2 infection causing cellular stress. Although the underlying mechanism of such phenotype is yet to be determined, it is conceivable that this is partly due to the interaction between SARS-CoV-2 and the endosomal sorting complexes required for EV transport (Veettil et al., 2016). Moreover, size distribution correlation maps revealed a strong positive association between small specialized immune cells derived EVs (CD14<sup>+</sup>, CD19<sup>+</sup>, CD56<sup>+</sup>; classical monocytes, B cells, NK cells) in pre-13 days after symptoms onset, suggesting these immune compartments were potentially more stressed in the early phase of COVID-19. Another highlight in our study is the depletion of leukocyte-derived CD45<sup>+</sup> EVs in early phase of mild COVID-19 (pre-13 days). Although our EV data is supported by studies measuring CD45 cell counts (Jin et al., 2020), we also observed a recovery of CD45<sup>+</sup> EV levels in the latter phase of mild COVID-19 (post-13 days). Given the predominant 10–14 days COVID-19 isolation guidelines suggested by WHO and other studies (World Health Organization, 2020; Walsh et al., 2020), and the fact that these patients were all mildly symptomatic our data bolsters the ability of our study to understand the dynamics of COVID-19 progression based on immune EVs. This also may reflect the partial recovery of host immune systems as reflected by serum EVs markers. It is worth noting that by combining pre- and post- 13 days data these small dynamic immune changes can counterweigh each other and be lost to measurements, which suggest that future work should consider infection time or disease onset as a factor. Moreover, biplot cluster analysis showed insignificant variance of EVs subsets distribution between age groups and sex of donors (Figure <u>S6</u>).

Engineered EVs expressing SARS-CoV-2 antigens, such as ACE2 and Spike S1, have been shown to perform potent functions in inhibiting coronavirus infection and serving as decoys for neutralizing antibodies, respectively (Cocozza et al., 2020; Troyer et al., 2021). These findings not only prove the potential relevance of EVs in COVID-19 progression but also highlight the possibility of SARS-CoV-2 utilizing EVs as an intermediate driver for replication and interplay with host immune defences. Within our cohort of mild COVID-19 patients, almost one third showed significantly elevated Spike S1<sup>+</sup> EVs level in our platform when compared to healthy controls. The existence of Spike S1<sup>+</sup> EVs in patients' serum—which we show for the first time and which seems to peak 8–12 days post symptoms—strongly support the potential of SARS-CoV-2 exploiting host EVs to act as decoys for neutralizing antibodies and evade from the host immune surveillance and specific responses as anticipated in an *in vitro* study of artificial Spike S1<sup>+</sup> EVs (Troyer et al., 2021). Moreover, the possibility to detect SARS-CoV-2 Spike S1 marker from patients' serum EVs and profile their dynamics during course of infection provided a novel tool for disease specific EVs phenotyping in both research and clinical applications, especially in monitoring life-threatening severe COVID-19 progression. Throughout our panel of immune markers and endothelial marker co-stained with Spike S1 across the cohort, Spike S1<sup>+</sup> EVs levels were significantly altered

in pre-13 days COVID-19 compared to healthy controls. These data support the notion that endothelial tissues (predominantly expressing CD31) were significantly influenced by SARS-CoV-2 infection, but also suggesting a notable amount of Spike S1<sup>+</sup> EVs originate from endothelial tissues. Further studies with staining of additional markers as well as future development on high dimensional single EVs analysis shall provide a more conclusive representation of serum EVs subsets distribution not only in COVID-19, but also other viral infections and diseases.

Within our dataset, a global PCA analysis of analysed EVs subsets distribution across the cohort displayed a clear stratification between healthy controls, pre-, and post- 13 days of COVID-19. The partial overlap between pre-13 and post-13 days revealed the core serum EVs features associated with the SARS-CoV-2 infection and might be explained by the long COVID-19 observed in other follow-up studies (Bernstein et al., 2018; Merad & Martin, 2020). Despite the overlap, post-13 days COVID-19 were less separated from pre-13 days COVID-19 indicating the gradual normalization of analysed serum EVs, possibly associated with their parental cells and tissues. From the correlation clustering of EVs subsets across the cohort, we could show distinct serum EVs signatures that enable differentiation between healthy controls and COVID-19 patients as well as tracing the progression of COVID-19.

Furthermore, correlation of Spike S1<sup>+</sup> EVs and SARS-CoV-2 specific IgA, IgG, IL-10, IgG1 and IgG3 revealed the clinical implications of Spike S1<sup>+</sup> EVs levels in the context of host immune responses and disease progression. Within our dataset, Spike S1<sup>+</sup> EVs positive patients tend to show higher SARS-CoV-2 specific antibody responses and lower levels of immunosuppressive IL-10, which in theory, should result in less disease deterioration. Negative correlation between Spike S1<sup>+</sup> EVs and generic viral induced IgG1 and IgG3 responses (Vidarsson et al., 2014) implies that Spike S1<sup>+</sup> EVs levels could indicate the chances of developing SARS-CoV-2 specific responses in an early predictive manner as well as other post-COVID-19 conditions such as PACS as suggested by a recent multicentric cohort study of 212 individuals (Cervia et al., 2021), and subsequently, enable more precise and prompt treatment decision in the clinics.

Our functional assay of purified serum EVs in *ex vivo* PBMC B and T cell activation demonstrated novel perspectives of the biological relevance and influences of COVID-19 derived serum EVs. The significant increase in IgA<sup>+</sup> B cells in the presence of post-13 days COVID-19 EVs indicates certain EV subsets derived from later phase of COVID-19, are partially facilitating B cell antibody class switching during COVID-19 progression. Our dataset also aligns with the elevated IFN- $\gamma$  levels observed in severe COVID-19 patients (Galani et al., 2021), IFN- $\gamma$  enrichment in CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells in the presence of pre-13 days COVID-19 EVs. This dataset suggests that early in SARS-CoV-2 infection, infected host cells were possibly reprogrammed to produce certain EVs subsets to promote inflammatory IFN- $\gamma$  production in CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells. Interestingly, despite TNF elevation were observed in other clinical studies of severe COVID-19 EVs, suggesting serum EVs from early phase of COVID-19 are suppressive for TNF production in CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells. Taken together, our findings provide an initial stepping-stone for the field to understand EVs signalling mediated COVID-19 progression.

With the rather small cohort size and limited markers combinations, the predictive values of serum EVs could be further bolstered and fully exploited in clinical applications. Future work shall consider increasing the size of cohort with the addition of severe patients from various group cohorts including age, sex, genetic, geographical background, and treatment courses. For instance, a recent COVID-19 EVs study performed by Balbi et al. (2021) with a cohort of over 40% severe COVID-19 patients using capture beads-based analytics platform, a significant increase of CD63<sup>+</sup> EVs was observed in COVID-19 patients compared to healthy controls where no statistically significant difference was observed in our study. Worth to note that the intrinsic differences between analytic platforms (i.e., MACSPlex capture beads vs. single particle nanoflow analyser) should be taken into account, which the capture beads system does not have a confined analysis window of particle sizes (40–200 nm) as the nanoflow analyser, thus EVs outside this size range could also contribute to the MFI intensity of given marker (e.g., CD63). Nevertheless, based on the increasing tendency of total EVs concentration observed in our study (Figure 1D), it is likely the severity of samples could raise significance of such phenotypic difference. Moreover, to enhance the diagnostic and prognostic specificity of serum EVs in COVID-19, future work shall include sampling from non-SARS-CoV-2 infected individuals such as seasonal influenza patients. This approach will allow us to better stratify the EVs subsets signatures between COVID-19 and general flu-like viral infections and ultimately fortify clinical predictive value of EVs. Indeed, future optimization of high-dimensional spectral analysers for EVs characterization with the addition of more immune and viral markers will broaden our spectrum to better trace the dynamics of host immune responses as well as disease progression. In the therapeutic context, our functional assay demonstrated the feasibility of utilizing serum EVs from different disease severity to manipulate host immune responses. Nevertheless, identification of responsible EVs subsets and understanding their mode of action are essential for us to harvest their therapeutic potentials in the future.

The dynamics of serum EVs subsets distribution highlighted their predictive values in the perspectives of overall host immune responses and correlation between disease specific Spike S1<sup>+</sup> EVs and immune responses during COVID-19 progression. The study strengthens the potential of serum EVs based diagnostic and prognostic, potentially therapeutic applications in COVID-19, and easily transferred to other types of viral infections and cancers.

10 of 14

**SEV** 

# 4 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

# 4.1 | Study subjects

Peripheral blood was draw from healthy donors and COVID-19 patients recruited at the University Hospital Zurich (Switzerland) outpatient clinic. The patients were eligible if they were symptomatic at the time of inclusion, had a newly diagnosed SARS-CoV-2 infection confirmed by quantitative reverse-transcriptase polymerase chain reaction (RT-qPCR), and were over 18 years old. Healthy donors (n = 17) were recruited as controls. All participants, patients and healthy controls, signed a written informed consent. This non-interventional, observational study was approved by the Cantonal Ethics Committee of Zurich (BASEC #2016-01440) and performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. The sample size was based on availability of the samples. Investigators were blinded to disease severity, while performing experiments. While the analysis was cross-sectional, the patient outcomes were recorded prospectively after inclusion. Standard clinical laboratory data (CRP, LDH, complete blood count with differential) was collected from the first day of hospitalization. Patients were classified according to WHO guidance into mild cases (n = 20) in the same hospital laboratory. All healthy controls were tested for SARS-CoV-2 specific IgA and IgG antibodies, and all were below the diagnostic reference value. All patients received a standard clinical laboratory sampling and cytokines were measured. Furthermore, samples from 20 mild COVID-19 patients and all healthy subjects were processed for nano flow analysis and *ex vivo activation of PBMCs*. Briefly, 3–5 ml of peripheral venous blood was collected from into BD Vacutainer serum clot activator 10 ml (367896). After collection, tubes were left vertically undis-

11 of 14

turbed on the bench for 15 min to allow blood clots and followed by centrifugation at 2500 g for 10 mins at 4°C for separation of sera. The supernatant was collected into a new tube and the serum samples were stored at 4°C until use. Due to the limited number of available samples, certain serum samples did not have enough amount for all the immunostaining panels.

# 4.2 | Isolation of serum EVs for phenotyping analysis and functional assays

1 ml of serum samples were first diluted with 9 ml of PBS and concentrated using Amicon ultra-0.5 centrifugal filter devices (Millipore, Amicon Ultra 100 K device) at 3000 g for 30 mins at 4°C. Serum retentate (100  $\mu$ L per patient) was diluted with 1.4 ml of PBS and subjected to centrifugation at 10,000 g for 30 mins at 4°C. The 10k pellet was resuspended in 1.5 ml PBS and subjected ultracentrifugation at 120,000 g for 90 mins at 4°C (F50L-24 × 1.5 rotor). The pellet was resuspended in 50  $\mu$ L PBS and stored at 4°C until use.

# 4.3 | Serum EVs isolation by SEC

To assess the immunofluorescence signals and purity of serum EVs isolated by UC, SEC (Hansa BioMed PURE-EVs, #HBM-PEV-5) was used to isolate serum EVs according to manufacturer's instruction. Briefly, each column was first equilibrated with 30 ml of 0.22  $\mu$ m filtered PBS, followed by loading 500  $\mu$ L serum sample into the column. Next, fractions of 500  $\mu$ L (volume) were collected by dropwise addition of PBS into the column, EVs containing fractions (7–11) were pooled and stored at 4°C until use.

# 4.4 | Nano flow analysis of serum EVs

The configuration NanoFCM used in the study was performed as described in another study (Tian et al., 2020). Briefly, side scatter threshold level was determined by measuring buffer only (0.22  $\mu$ m filtered PBS) for 1 min to adjust the baseline of detection threshold. 10  $\mu$ L of purified serum EVs (400  $\mu$ L of SEC purified EVs) were subjected to immunofluorescence staining using antibodies at the concentration listed in Table S1 for 1 h at 4°C covered in dark. Immuno-stained serum EVs were washed by ultracentrifugation at 120,000 g for 90 mins at 4°C, pellet was resuspended in 50  $\mu$ L PBS for nano flow analysis. Monodisperse silica nanoparticles of four different sizes, with modal peak sizes of 66 nm, 91 nm, 113 nm and 155 nm were used as the size reference standard to calibrate the size distribution of EVs. Unless stated otherwise, all samples were recorded in 1 min with the range of 2000–12,000 events per minute to minimize the swarm detection effect since there is enough time/space between each particle measurement as evinced by the event burst trace which shows separate measurements of particles. The steady flow of the system allows for comparison of particle detection rate to a concentration standard (a stable 250 nm silica bead of 1.87e10/ml (batch variable)). The particle concentration is then calculated, including the dilution factor, in particles/ml.

# 4.5 | Ex vivo activation of PBMCs

12 of 14

Buffy coats were obtained from Blutspende Zurich, Schlieren, Switzerland (BASEC #2019-00837). PBMCs were isolated using Ficoll–Paque density gradient centrifugation. CD19<sup>+</sup> B cells were isolated using STEMCELL EasySep<sup>TM</sup> Release Human CD19 Positive Selection Kit according to manufacturer's instruction. CD19<sup>+</sup> B cells were activated with both human recombinant IL-4 and IL-21 at 10 ng/ml (Biolegend). Remaining cell suspension were plated in RPMI-1640 media supplemented in 10% heat inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Sigma) and 1% penicillin streptomycin/glutamine (Thermo) for 24 h at 37°C, 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. Cells were collected at 300 g for 5 mins and stimulated with anti-CD3/CD28 beads at 1 bead per cell (Thermo). 50  $\mu$ L of purified serum EVs from healthy controls, pre-13 days and post-13 days mild COVID-19 were added to cultured cells together with stimulatory agents.

# 4.6 | Cell cultures transfection and flow cytometry for SARS-CoV-2 Spike S1 staining controls

HEK293A cells were grown in 10 cm tissue culture dishes in DMEM (Sigma) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated FBS and 1% penicillin/streptomycin. To generate Spike S1 expressing HEK293A cells and EVs, we transfected HEK293A cells with pCMV14-3X-Flag-SARS-CoV-2 S plasmid (Addgene #145780) together with GFP plasmid (for transfection efficiency quantification) and EVs were collected 24 h post transfection from conditioned medium using serial centrifugation as noted above. Transfection efficiency of HEK293A was measured by flow cytometry (BD Canto II) in the FITC channel. To test the antibody binding specificity, prior to immunostaining of Spike S1 on cells and EVs, molar ratios of 0:1, 1:1, 2:1, 5:1 of recombinant Spike S1 proteins (Sino Biological, #40591-V08H) were incubated with anti-Spike S1 for 30 mins at 4°C to neutralize unbound antibodies. Resulting staining cocktail (with range of neutralizing recombinant Spike S1 proteins) were used to stain non-transfected and Spike S1 transfected HEK293A and EVs for 1 h at 4°C covered in dark. Spike S1 signals were detected by flow cytometry (HEK293A) and nano flow analyser (EVs).

# 4.7 | Data and statistical analysis

Both cells and EVs flow cytometry data were exported as FCS files and analysed using Flowjo software. Statistical analysis of clinical diagnostic and flow cytometry values were performed using Graphpad (version 9.1.1, GraphPad Software, La Jolla California USA). Ordinary One-way ANOVA, *P*-value < 0.05 was considered as statistical significance. PCA and biplot were generated with R software (version 4.0.1) using the package "factoextra" and "ggplot2." Spearman's correlation analyses were produced using the corrplot package and implemented hclust method. The correlations between different EVs subsets, and between different disease severity group were analysed using non-parametric Spearman correlations. The significance threshold was set at alpha < 0.05.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We sincerely thank Prof. Onur Boyman and Dr. Carlo Cervia for providing critical elements for this study including clinical samples, data, and insightful comments. We thank Dr. Ben Peacock for NanoFCM sample support. Richard Chahwan is supported by BBSRC (BB/N017773/2), SNF (CRSK-3\_190550; IZSEZ0\_204655), and the UZH Research Priority Program (URPP Translational Cancer Research). Kevin Ho Wai Yim is supported by a BioMedTech Entrepreneur Fellowship.

## AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Kevin Ho Wai Yim and Richard Chahwan conceived the idea and coordinated the project. Richard Chahwan secured funding and guided the work. Kevin Ho Wai Yim performed experiments and analyses. Kevin Ho Wai Yim and Simone Borgoni performed in vitro experiments and statistical data analyses. Kevin Ho Wai Yim wrote the manuscript draft, which was then finalized by Kevin Ho Wai Yim and Richard Chahwan with the assistance of Simone Borgoni.

## CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

All authors have declared that no conflict of interest exists.

#### ORCID

Richard Chahwan b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8672-7790

#### REFERENCES

Babatunde, K. A., Yesodha Subramanian, B., Ahouidi, A. D., Martinez Murillo, P., Walch, M., & Mantel, P. Y. (2020). Role of extracellular vesicles in cellular cross talk in malaria. *Frontiers in Immunology*, *11*, 22. https://doi.org/10.3389/FIMMU.2020.00022



- Balbi, C., Burrello, J., Bolis, S., Lazzarini, E., Biemmi, V., Pianezzi, E., Burrello, A., Caporali, E., Grazioli, L. G., Martinetti, G., Fusi-Schmidhauser, T., Vassalli, G., Melli, G., & Barile, L. (2021). Circulating extracellular vesicles are endowed with enhanced procoagulant activity in SARS-CoV-2 infection. *EBioMedicine*, 67, 103369.
- Bernstein, K. E., Khan, Z., Giani, J. F., Cao, D. Y., Bernstein, E. A., & Shen, X. Z. (2018). Angiotensin-converting enzyme in innate and adaptive immunity. *Nature Reviews Nephrology*, 14, 325.
- Birnhuber, A., Fliesser, E., Gorkiewicz, G., Zacharias, M., Seeliger, B., David, S., Welte, T., Schmidt, J., Olschewski, H., Wygrecka, M., & Kwapiszewska, G. (2021). Between inflammation and thrombosis: Endothelial cells in COVID-19. *European Respiratory Journal*, 2100377.
- Cervia, C., Nilsson, J., Zurbuchen, Y., Valaperti, A., Schreiner, J., Wolfensberger, A., Raeber, M. E., Adamo, S., Weigang, S., Emmenegger, M., Hasler, S., Bosshard, P. P., De Cecco, E., Bächli, E., Rudiger, A., Stüssi-Helbling, M., Huber, L. C., Zinkernagel, A. S., Schaer, D. J., ... Boyman, O. (2021). Systemic and mucosal antibody responses specific to SARS-CoV-2 during mild versus severe COVID-19. *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology*, 147, 545.
- Cervia, C., Zurbuchen, Y., Taeschler, P., Adamo, S., Hasler, S., Raeber, M. E., Bächli, E., Rudiger, A., Stüssi-Helbling, M., Huber, L. C., Held, U., Nilsson, J., & Boyman, O. (2021). Immunoglobulin signature predicts risk of post-acute covid-19 syndrome. SSRN Electron Journal, https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3850036
- Cocozza, F., Névo, N., Piovesana, E., Lahaye, X., Buchrieser, J., Schwartz, O., Manel, N., Tkach, M., Théry, C., & Martin-Jaular, L. (2020). Extracellular vesicles containing ACE2 efficiently prevent infection by SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein-containing virus. *Journal of Extracellular Vesicles*, 10(2), 12050. https://doi.org/ 10.1002/jev2.12050
- de Jong, O. G., Verhaar, M. C., Chen, Y., Vader, P., Gremmels, H., Posthuma, G., Schiffelers, R. M., Gucek, M., & van Balkom, B. W. M. (2012). Cellular stress conditions are reflected in the protein and RNA content of endothelial cell-derived exosomes. *Journal of Extracellular Vesicles, 1*, https://doi.org/10.3402/jev. vli0.18396

Dhar, S. K., Vishnupriyan, K., Damodar, S., Gujar, S., & Das, M. (2020). medRxiv, https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.08.15.20175844

- Dong, E., Du, H., & Gardner, L. (2020). An interactive web-based dashboard to track COVID-19 in real time. The Lancet Infectious Diseases, 20, 533.
- Fujita, Y., Hoshina, T., Matsuzaki, J., Yoshioka, Y., Kadota, T., Hosaka, Y., Fujimoto, S., Kawamoto, H., Watanabe, N., Sawaki, K., Sakamoto, Y., Miyajima, M., Lee, K., Nakaharai, K., Horino, T., Nakagawa, R., Araya, J., Miyato, M., Yoshida, M., Kuwano, K., & Ochiya, T. (2021). Early prediction of COVID-19 severity using extracellular vesicle COPB2. *Journal of Extracellular Vesicles*, 10, e12092.
- Galani, I. E., Rovina, N., Lampropoulou, V., Triantafyllia, V., Manioudaki, M., Pavlos, E., Koukaki, E., Fragkou, P. C., Panou, V., Rapti, V., Koltsida, O., Mentis, A., Koulouris, N., Tsiodras, S., Koutsoukou, A., & Andreakos, E. (2021). Untuned antiviral immunity in COVID-19 revealed by temporal type I/III interferon patterns and flu comparison. *Nature Immunology*, *22*, 32.
- Hettich, B. F., Ben-Yehuda Greenwald, M., Werner, S., & Leroux, J. C. (2020). Exosomes for wound healing: Purification optimization and identification of bioactive components. Advance Science (Weinheim, Baden-Wurttemberg, Ger.), 7(23), 2002596. https://doi.org/10.1002/ADVS.202002596
- Han, H., Ma, Q., Li, C., Liu, R., Zhao, L., Wang, W., Zhang, P., Liu, X., Gao, G., Liu, F., Jiang, Y., Cheng, X., Zhu, C., & Xia, Y. (2020). Profiling serum cytokines in COVID-19 patients reveals IL-6 and IL-10 are disease severity predictors. *Emerging Microbes & Infections*, 9, 1123.
- Jin, M., Shi, N., Wang, M., Shi, C., Lu, S., Chang, Q., Sha, S., Lin, Y., Chen, Y., Zhou, H., Liang, K., Huang, X., Shi, Y., & Huang, G. (2020). CD45: A critical regulator in immune cells to predict severe and non-severe COVID-19 patients. *Aging (Albany NY)*, *12*, 19867.
- Levy, J. H., Iba, T., & Gardiner, E. E. (2021). Endothelial injury in COVID-19 and acute infections. Arteriosclerosis, Thrombosis, and Vascular Biology, 41, 1774.
- Lichtenauer, M., Goebel, B., Fritzenwanger, M., Förster, M., Betge, S., Lauten, A., Figulla, H. R., & Jung, C. (2015). Simulated temporary hypoxia triggers the release of CD31+/Annexin+ endothelial microparticles: A prospective pilot study in humans. *Clinical Hemorheology and Microcirculation*, *61*, 83.
- Lu, L., Zhang, H., Dauphars, D. J., & He, Y. W. (2021). A potential role of interleukin 10 in COVID-19 pathogenesis. Trends in Immunology, 42, 3.
- Mao, K., Tan, Q., Ma, Y., Wang, S., Zhong, H., Liao, Y., Huang, Q., Xiao, W., Xia, H., Tan, X., Luo, P., Xu, J., Long, D., & Jin, Y. (2021). Proteomics of extracellular vesicles in plasma reveals the characteristics and residual traces of COVID-19 patients without underlying diseases after 3 months of recovery. *Cell Death & Disease*, 12, 541.
- Martin, A., Chahwan, R., Parsa, J. Y., & Scharff, M. D. (2015). Somatic Hypermutation: The Molecular Mechanisms Underlying the Production of Effective High-Affinity Antibodies. In F. W. Alt, T. Honjo, A. Radbruch, & M. Reth (Eds.), *Molecular Biology of B Cells* (2nd ed., pp. 363–388). Academic Press. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-397933-9.00020-5
- Merad, M., & Martin, J. C. (2020). Pathological inflammation in patients with COVID-19: A key role for monocytes and macrophages. *Nature Reviews Immunol*ogy, 20, 355.
- Puelles, V. G., Lütgehetmann, M., Lindenmeyer, M. T., Sperhake, J. P., Wong, M. N., Allweiss, L., Chilla, S., Heinemann, A., Wanner, N., Liu, S., Braun, F., Lu, S., Pfefferle, S., Schröder, A. S., Edler, C., Gross, O., Glatzel, M., Wichmann, D., Wiech, T., ... Huber, T. B. (2020). Multiorgan and renal tropism of SARS-CoV-2. New England Journal of Medicine, 383, 590.
- Robinson, P. C., Richards, D., Tanner, H. L., & Feldmann, M. (2020). Accumulating evidence suggests anti-TNF therapy needs to be given trial priority in COVID-19 treatment. *Lancet Rheumatology*, *2*, e653.
- Silva, A. M., Lázaro-Ibáñez, E., Gunnarsson, A., Dhande, A., Daaboul, G., Peacock, B., Osteikoetxea, X., Salmond, N., Friis, K. P., Shatnyeva, O., Dekker, N. (2021). Quantification of protein cargo loading into engineered extracellular vesicles at single-vesicle and single-molecule resolution. *Journal of Extracellular Vesicles*, 10(10), e12130. https://doi.org/10.1002/JEV2.12130
- Sheppard, E. C., Morrish, R. B., Dillon, M. J., Leyland, R., & Chahwan, R. (2018). Epigenomic modifications mediating antibody maturation. Frontiers in Immunology, 9, 355. https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2018.00355
- Tian, Y., Gong, M., Hu, Y., Liu, H., Zhang, W., Zhang, M., Hu, X., Aubert, D., Zhu, S., Wu, L., & Yan, X. (2020). Quality and efficiency assessment of six extracellular vesicle isolation methods by nano-flow cytometry. *Journal of Extracellular Vesicles*, 9(1), 1697028. https://doi.org/10.1080/20013078.2019.1697028
- Troyer, Z., Alhusaini, N., Tabler, C. O., Sweet, T., Carvalho, K. I. L., Schlatzer, D. M., Carias, L., King, C. L., Matreyek, K., & Tilton, J. C. (2021). Extracellular vesicles carry SARS-CoV-2 spike protein and serve as decoys for neutralizing antibodies. *Journal of Extracellular Vesicles*, *10*, e12112.
- Veettil, M. V., Kumar, B., Ansari, M. A., Dutta, D., Iqbal, J., Gjyshi, O., Bottero, V., & Chandran, B. (2016). ESCRT-0 component Hrs promotes macropinocytosis of Kaposi's sarcoma-associated herpesvirus in human dermal microvascular endothelial cells. *Journal of Virology*, *90*, 3860.
- Vidarsson, G., Dekkers, G., & Rispens, T. (2014). IgG subclasses and allotypes: From structure to effector functions. *Frontiers in Immunology*, 5, 520. https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2014.00520
- Vitte, J., Diallo, A. B., Boumaza, A., Lopez, A., Michel, M., Allardet-Servent, J., Mezouar, S., Sereme, Y., Busnel, J. M., Miloud, T., Malergue, F., Morange, P. E., Halfon, P., Olive, D., Leone, M., & Mege, J. L. (2020). *Journal of Infectious Diseases*, 222, 1985.
- Walsh, K. A., Spillane, S., Comber, L., Cardwell, K., Harrington, P., Connell, J., Teljeur, C., Broderick, N., de Gascun, C. F., Smith, S. M., Ryan, M., & O'Neill, M. (2020). The duration of infectiousness of individuals infected with SARS-CoV-2. *Journal of Infection*, *81*, 847.





- Welsh, J. A., Van Der Pol, E., Arkesteijn, G. J. A., Bremer, M., Brisson, A., Coumans, F., Dignat-George, F., Duggan, E., Ghiran, I., Giebel, B., Görgens, A., Hendrix, A., Lacroix, R., Lannigan, J., Libregts, S. F. W. M., Lozano-Andrés, E., Morales-Kastresana, A., Robert, S., De Rond, L., ... Jones, J. C. (2020). Journal of Extracellular Vesicles, 9(1), 1816641. https://doi.org/10.1002/cyto.a.20623
- World Health Organization. (2020). Clinical management of severe acute respiratory infection when novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) infection is suspected: interim guidance, 28 January 2020. World Health Organization. https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/330893
- World Health Organization. (2020). Criteria for releasing COVID-19 patients from isolation: scientific brief, 17 June 2020. World Health Organization. https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/332451
- Wu, Z., & McGoogan, J. M. (2020). Characteristics of and important lessons from the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) outbreak in China. JAMA Journal of the American Medical Association, 323, 1239.
- Yim, K. H. W., Al Hrout, A., Borgoni, S., & Chahwan, R. (2020). Extracellular vesicles orchestrate immune and tumor interaction networks. *Cancers (Basel)*, 12(12), 3969.

#### SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found in the online version of the article at the publisher's website.

How to cite this article: Yim, K. H. W., Borgoni, S., & Chahwan, R. (2022). Serum extracellular vesicles profiling is associated with COVID-19 progression and immune responses. *Journal of Extracellular Biology*, *1*, e37. https://doi.org/10.1002/jex2.37