



Zika Virus Induces Mitotic Catastrophe in Human Neural Progenitors by Triggering Unscheduled Mitotic Entry in the Presence of DNA Damage While Functionally Depleting Nuclear PNKP

Malgorzata Rychlowska,^a Abigail Agyapong,^a Michael Weinfeld,^b  Luis M. Schang^a

^aBaker Institute for Animal Health and Department of Microbiology and Immunology, College of Veterinary Medicine, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York, USA

^bDepartment of Oncology, Division of Experimental Oncology, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada

ABSTRACT Vertical transmission of Zika virus (ZIKV) leads with high frequency to congenital ZIKV syndrome (CZS), whose worst outcome is microcephaly. However, the mechanisms of congenital ZIKV neurodevelopmental pathologies, including direct cytotoxicity to neural progenitor cells (NPC), placental insufficiency, and immune responses, remain incompletely understood. At the cellular level, microcephaly typically results from death or insufficient proliferation of NPC or cortical neurons. NPC replicate fast, requiring efficient DNA damage responses to ensure genome stability. Like congenital ZIKV infection, mutations in the polynucleotide 5'-kinase 3'-phosphatase (PNKP) gene, which encodes a critical DNA damage repair enzyme, result in recessive syndromes often characterized by congenital microcephaly with seizures (MCSZ). We thus tested whether there were any links between ZIKV and PNKP. Here, we show that two PNKP phosphatase inhibitors or PNKP knockout inhibited ZIKV replication. PNKP relocated from the nucleus to the cytoplasm in infected cells, colocalizing with the marker of ZIKV replication factories (RF) NS1 and resulting in functional nuclear PNKP depletion. Although infected NPC accumulated DNA damage, they failed to activate the DNA damage checkpoint kinases Chk1 and Chk2. ZIKV also induced activation of cytoplasmic CycA/CDK1 complexes, which trigger unscheduled mitotic entry. Inhibition of CDK1 activity inhibited ZIKV replication and the formation of RF, supporting a role of cytoplasmic CycA/CDK1 in RF morphogenesis. In brief, ZIKV infection induces mitotic catastrophe resulting from unscheduled mitotic entry in the presence of DNA damage. PNKP and CycA/CDK1 are thus host factors participating in ZIKV replication in NPC, and pathogenesis to neural progenitor cells.

IMPORTANCE The 2015–2017 Zika virus (ZIKV) outbreak in Brazil and subsequent international epidemic revealed the strong association between ZIKV infection and congenital malformations, mostly neurodevelopmental defects up to microcephaly. The scale and global expansion of the epidemic, the new ZIKV outbreaks (Kerala state, India, 2021), and the potential burden of future ones pose a serious ongoing risk. However, the cellular and molecular mechanisms resulting in microcephaly remain incompletely understood. Here, we show that ZIKV infection of neuronal progenitor cells results in cytoplasmic sequestration of an essential DNA repair protein itself associated with microcephaly, with the consequent accumulation of DNA damage, together with an unscheduled activation of cytoplasmic CDK1/Cyclin A complexes in the presence of DNA damage. These alterations result in mitotic catastrophe of neuronal progenitors, which would lead to a depletion of cortical neurons during development.

KEYWORDS ZIKV, congenital Zika virus syndrome, microcephaly, mitotic catastrophe, unscheduled mitotic entry, cyclin dependent kinase 1, CDK1, DNA damage repair, polynucleotide kinase 3'-phosphatase, PNKP, microcephaly seizures and developmental

Editor Mark T. Heise, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill

Copyright © 2022 Rychlowska et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Address correspondence to Luis M. Schang, lms428@cornell.edu.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Received 24 February 2022

Accepted 21 March 2022

Published 12 April 2022

delay, MCSZ, DNA damage, DNA damage checkpoints, cell cycle, cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitors, knockout, viral pathogenesis, viral replication

Since its identification in 1947, Zika virus (ZIKV) had been associated with mostly mild and asymptomatic infections until the recent international epidemic with 245,000 confirmed, and 820,000 suspected, cases (1). It took 62 years from the first documented human infection in 1954 (2) to this international epidemic in 2015–2018 to make evident the association of ZIKV infection with the congenital neurodevelopmental pathologies currently referred to as a congenital ZIKV syndrome (CZS) (3). The most significant burden of CZS is congenital microcephaly. Infants born with microcephaly have a range of health problems and suffer lifetime consequences (4, 5). Despite the global health burden, relatively little is yet known about the mechanisms of ZIKV-induced microcephaly.

ZIKV is a unique vector-borne flavivirus in that it has two additional routes of transmission, sexual (6–8) and vertical (9–13). The risk of vertical transmission is as high as 46% in the first trimester of pregnancy, and vertically transmitted ZIKV can infect the developing fetal brain producing CZS in about 9% of babies born to mothers infected in the first trimester (14). Neither the mechanism of vertical or sexual transmission, nor the tropism for the developing fetal brain or the microcephaly mechanisms are fully understood. The fetal neurotoxicity of ZIKV is likely the result of a combination of multiple factors, including placental insufficiency and inflammatory responses, activation of innate immunity, and direct ZIKV induced cytotoxicity to neural progenitor cells (recently reviewed in [15, 16]). At the cellular level, congenital microcephaly is caused by the depletion of the neural progenitor cells (NPC), which are responsible for brain development, either by cell death or premature differentiation (17). Proliferating NPC are the primary target for ZIKV, as demonstrated in human autopsies, animal models, and *in vitro* cell culture systems. ZIKV infection of NPC leads to reduced proliferation and cell death (reviewed in references 16 and 18).

Zika virus (ZIKV) is a mosquito-borne RNA virus in the *Flavivirus* genus of the *Flaviviridae* family, which comprises several other important arthropod-borne human pathogens like dengue virus (DENV), yellow fever virus (YFV), West Nile virus (WNV), and Japanese encephalitis virus (JEV). Like all viruses in this family, ZIKV has a single-stranded, positive sense RNA (ss[+]RNA) genome encoding a single ORF, which is directly translated into a precursor polyprotein (19). The polyprotein is then proteolytically cleaved into the individual viral proteins, including the structural proteins, capsid, E and prM/M, which are incorporated into viral particles, and the nonstructural (NS) proteins, NS1, NS2A, NS2B, NS3, NS4A, NS4B and NS5, which are expressed in the infected cell and responsible for viral replication and assembly (20, 21).

Like all ss(+)RNA viruses, ZIKV has a cytoplasmic replication cycle and remodels the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) membranes to assemble the viral replication factories (RF) (22). The characteristic ER rearrangements in flavivirus infections take the shape of dilated ER cisternae containing numerous invaginated vesicles (Ve), vesicular packets (Vp), tubular network of convoluted membranes (CM), and zippered ER (zER) (22–26). These RF enable the concentration of the viral factors required for replication and assembly of progeny virions. Invaginated toward the ER lumen with a narrow opening to the cytoplasm, the Ve contain fully assembled viral replication complexes (RC). Such a semi-open structure is crucial for recruiting the cytoplasmic viral enzymes NS3 (protease and helicase) and NS5 (methyltransferase and RNA-dependent RNA polymerase), while at the same time shielding the viral genomes from recognition and degradation (22, 27). The formation of RF is thus essential for the viral replication cycle.

Several cellular and viral factors play important roles in the biogenesis of RF (recently reviewed in reference [28]). One of them is the viral nonstructural protein 1 (NS1), which localizes to the ER lumen and binds to the inner leaflet of the ER membrane via hydrophobic interactions. Free luminal NS1 also enters the secretory pathway and is secreted (28, 29). Membrane binding and dimerization or multimerization of NS1 induces membrane curvature leading to the formation of invaginations directed

toward the ER lumen, thus initiating the shaping of the Ve (25). There is also mounting evidence that flaviviral NS2A, 2B, 4A and 4B, as well as several cellular proteins, play important roles in the biogenesis of RF (30). The formation and maintenance of ZIKV RF is thus a combined effort of several NS and cellular proteins, not all of which have been identified.

ZIKV is only a minor cause of global microcephaly, with over 3,720 confirmed CZS cases worldwide (1). The vast majority of microcephalies with identified etiology result from genetic disorders, including mutations in one of the essential DNA damage repair proteins, polynucleotide kinase 3'-phosphatase (PNKP). These mutations are associated with a primary form of microcephaly named microcephaly, seizures, and developmental delay (MCSZ) (31–33).

PNKP is a DNA processing enzyme that restores canonical termini at DNA breaks through its 5' DNA kinase and 3'-phosphatase activities (34–36). Some DNA breaks have noncanonical 3'-phosphate (3'-PO) or 5'-hydroxyl (5'-OH) groups, which cannot be processed by cellular DNA ligases or polymerases. Reactive oxygen species (ROS) produce predominantly DNA breaks harboring 3'-phosphate termini (37), which are also common in DNA breaks induced by ionizing irradiation, stalled topoisomerase I (TOP1) complexes, and as intermediate products of base excision DNA repair (BER). 5'-OH termini are also common products of ionizing radiation or abortive TOP1 reactions (38–42). Although chromatin organization protects DNA from mechanical stresses, its condensation at repairing or replicating DNA sites induces sufficient mechanical stresses to result in DNA breaks (43). Therefore, physical forces are another important mechanism producing DNA breaks which need not have canonical termini. Both 5'-OH and 3'-PO DNA ends are substrates for PNKP.

PNKP deficiencies cause the expected accumulation of DNA breaks, affecting particularly neural progenitor cells, and thus leading to neurodevelopmental and neurodegenerative disorders (44–47). Experimental PNKP depletion causes embryonic death or congenital microcephaly in animal models, depending on the residual levels of enzymatic activities (44, 47). Targeted PNKP deletion restricted to the nervous system resulted in early postnatal lethality in a mouse model. Massive cell death occurred through many still proliferating regions of the developing nervous system. This cell death produced neurodevelopmental defects leading to thinning or even complete loss of the neural cortex (47).

DNA damage is detrimental to genome stability. Therefore, DNA damage signaling activates DNA damage checkpoints to stop cell cycle progression and allow for DNA repair before proceeding into genome replication (DNA synthesis, S phase) or cell division (mitosis, M phase). Cell-cycle progression is driven by the periodical activation and inactivation of the cyclin-dependent kinases (CDK). Activation of CDK1 determines the commitment of a cell to mitosis, whereas its inactivation promotes mitotic exit. Scheduled activation and inactivation of CDK1 are thus essential for successful mitosis and progression through the cell cycle (comprehensively reviewed in reference [48]). CDK activity is modulated by regulatory subunits, the cyclins, posttranslational modifications, association with other proteins, and subcellular localization. In brief, CDK activation requires binding to their regulatory cyclins, phosphorylation at the activation loop, removal of other inhibitory phosphates, and no inhibition by the CIP/KIP or INK4 CDK inhibitors (48).

Unscheduled mitotic entry in the presence of DNA damage is rare, and it occurs primarily in response to chemo- or radiotherapy in cancer cells defective in checkpoint signaling. Under these conditions, cells undergo catastrophic mitoses producing abnormal nuclear morphologies due to defective chromosome segregation (comprehensively reviewed in reference [49]).

To prevent mitotic catastrophe, mutagenesis, and other cytopathologies, mitotic entry is highly regulated. It is initiated by the threshold activation of CDK1 (recently reviewed in reference [50]), which is primarily limited by cyclin availability. CDK1 associates with two types of mitotic cyclins, A or B, which are expressed and degraded in a

highly regulated fashion. Cyclin A (CycA) starts to accumulate in S phase and first associates with CDK2, with CycA/CDK activity starting to be detected in early S phase (51). Cyclin B (CycB) starts to accumulate in G₂, with CycB/CDK1 activity first detected at mitosis. Both CycA and CycB are degraded in mitosis by regulated ubiquitination-proteasome system. CycA levels decline rapidly after the nuclear envelope breakdown, with degradation completed at prometaphase (52, 53). CycB degradation is initiated by the activated anaphase promoting complex/cyclosome (APC/C) at metaphase. Both CycA and B are thus degraded at the onset of anaphase, rendering CDK1 inactive (reviewed in references [54] and [55]), and this inactivation is required for mitotic exit. The activity of Cyclin/CDK1 is additionally controlled by inhibitory CDK1 phosphorylations at Thr14 (56–58) and Tyr15 (56, 59) and the activating phosphorylation at Thr161 (60, 61). Phosphorylation on Tyr15, in particular, prevents unscheduled mitotic entry by keeping CycA or B/CDK1 complexes inactive. The CDC25 family phosphatases activate CycB/CDK1 at the onset of mitosis by removing these inhibitory phosphate groups (62–64). Activation of the DNA damage checkpoints inactivates CDC25 phosphatases, thus preventing CDK1 activation and delaying mitotic entry until the DNA damage signaling is turned off.

Both ZIKV and PNKP deficiencies specifically affect human neural progenitor cells, leading to their massive loss during fetal development. Both induce DNA damage and produce chromosomal abnormalities leading to abnormal mitoses. We thus explored whether PNKP could be a cellular factor for ZIKV replication in human inducible pluripotent stem cells (iPSC)-derived neural progenitor cells (hiNPC) and other cells. We explored potential molecular mechanisms for the direct cytotoxicity of ZIKV to human neural progenitor cells by testing the effects of PNKP inhibition on ZIKV replication and the influence of ZIKV infection on PNKP, the DNA damage operated cell cycle checkpoints, and mitotic entry.

RESULTS

Two PNKP inhibitors, A83B4C63 and A12B4C3, inhibit ZIKV replication, as does knocking out PNKP. PNKP is an essential DNA damage repair enzyme which, like ZIKV infection, is also linked to microcephaly (31, 32). We asked whether ZIKV infection may affect, or be affected by, PNKP. To this end, we tested the effect of two PNKP phosphatase inhibitors, A83B4C63 and A12B4C3 (Fig. 1B), on ZIKV replication in hiNPC cells. We treated already infected cells with semilogarithmic dilutions of the inhibitors and titrated the cell-free infectivity at 24 h. Both inhibitors induced dose response inhibition of ZIKV replication (Fig. 1A). A83B4C63 and A12B4C3 were not cytotoxic or cytostatic at up to 30 μ M or 10 μ M, respectively, in that cells continuously doubled for at least 2 days, whereas A12B4C3 was cytostatic (but not cytotoxic) at 30 μ M (Fig. 1C). Inhibition of PNKP phosphatase activity in cells with concentrations of A12B4C3 and A83B4C63 in the same range of 1 to 10 μ M inhibits the repair of single and double-stranded DNA breaks and sensitizes cancer cells to the topoisomerase poison camptothecin and gamma irradiation (65–70).

Based on these results we proposed that PNKP phosphatase activity was required for ZIKV replication. We tested this model by evaluating ZIKV replication in a CRISPR knockout HCT 116 PNKP^{-/-} cell line (71). We infected WT and PNKP^{-/-} HCT 116 cells with ZIKV at low or high multiplicity of infection (MOI = 0.05 or 2, respectively). We harvested culture supernatants every 24 h for up to 120 h and titrated the cell free infectivity. ZIKV failed to replicate in the PNKP knockout cells infected at either low or high multiplicity. The ZIKV replication cycle had been completed in 72 or 48 h in the WT cells infected at low or high MOI, respectively (Fig. 1D), and the viral titers decreased thereafter.

PNKP is constitutively expressed, and its levels are regulated by proteasomal degradation (72). Host factors required for viral replication are often upregulated during infection. However, PNKP levels were constant during ZIKV infection and did not differ from those in mock-infected cells (Fig. 1E).




FIG 1 Two PNKP phosphatase inhibitors or PNKP genetic knockout inhibit ZIKV replication. (A) hiNPC were inoculated with ZIKV (MOI = 0.1), washed, and overlaid with media containing the PNKP inhibitors A83B4C63 or A12B4C3, or DMSO. Culture supernatants at 48 hpi were titrated; results expressed as percent PFU/cell relative to DMSO-treated infections; $n = 2$; (average \pm range). (B) Chemical structures of the PNKP phosphatase inhibitors A83B4C63 and A12B4C3. (C) hiNPC were seeded in 96-well plates to reach 25% confluence at 24 h after plating, when test dilutions of the PNKP inhibitors were added (T₀). Relative cell numbers were evaluated daily. Results show cell doublings relative to T₀, $n = 1$. (D) WT or PNKP knockout HCT 116 cells were inoculated with ZIKV (MOI = 0.05 or 2), washed, and overlaid with media. Culture supernatants were collected every 24 h and titrated on Vero cells; results expressed as PFU/cell; $n = 2$; (average \pm range). (E) hiNPC infected with ZIKV R103451 (MOI = 3) or mock infected were harvested at the indicated times after infection, lysed, and analyzed by WB (whole-cell lysates). Immunoreactive bands were visualized by fluorescently labeled secondary antibodies.

PNKP relocates to the cytoplasm, where it colocalizes with NS1 during ZIKV infection. PNKP normally localizes to the nucleus and mitochondria (73) whereas ZIKV replicates in cytoplasmic ER-derived membranous structures (26). We thus evaluated PNKP subcellular localization in ZIKV-infected cells (Fig. 2A). PNKP localized predominantly to the nucleus in mock-infected hiNPC, showing a disperse staining pattern, as expected (73). In contrast, PNKP signal was concentrated in the cytoplasm at the center of ZIKV-infected cells, whereas abnormal and often multilobular nuclei occupied the cell periphery. Cytoplasmic PNKP colocalized with NS1 (Pearson's correlation coefficient [PCC], 0.83-0.88), Fig. 2A). PNKP colocalized in the cytoplasm with NS1 in about 80–95% of cells at 24 hours postinfection (hpi). PNKP signal started to be depleted from the nucleus at 3 hpi, with a gradual increase in cytoplasmic staining observed from 6 hpi. PNKP colocalization with NS1 was obvious as early as ZIKV replication factories were detected at 12 hpi, although it was detectable as soon as 9 hpi in some infected cells staining positive for NS1 (Fig. 2C).

Colocalization of PNKP and NS1 was not unique to neural progenitor cells. Cytoplasmic PNKP accumulation was also detected in three nonneural cell lines permissive to ZIKV replication, U-2 OS, Vero, and HEK293 (Fig. 2B). Notably, however, the nuclear morphology in these nonneural progenitor cells was much closer to that of their noninfected counterparts. PNKP localization to ZIKV RF was detected using three different ZIKV-specific antibodies recognizing ZIKV NS1 or gE, or flavivirus group E antigen (Fig. 2B).




FIG 2 PNKP relocates to the cytoplasm in ZIKV-infected NPC, where it colocalizes with NS1. (A, B, E) hiNPC, Vero, U-2 OS or HEK 293 cells were infected with ZIKV R103451 (MOI = 1), fixed 24 h later, and analyzed by IF for NS1 and PNKP (A, B) or XRCC1 or XRCC4 (E). PCC between NS1 and PNKP or XRCC1 and XRCC4 signals were calculated using the colocalization finder plugin in ImageJ. (C) hiNPC infected with ZIKV R103451 (MOI = 2) were fixed at the indicated times after infection and analyzed by IF for NS1 and PNKP. (D) hiNPC were infected with ZIKV IbH-30656 (MOI = 1), and 24 h later fixed and analyzed for PNKP and NS1 (IF). Images representative of at least 2 independent experiments; scale bars, 10 μ m.

PNKP relocation was not unique to the contemporary ZIKV strain R103451 (Honduras/2016/human placenta). Cytoplasmic PNKP and abnormal nuclear morphology were equally induced in neuronal progenitor cells by historical ZIKV strain IbH-30656 (Nigeria/1968) (Fig. 2D).

ZIKV-induced PNKP relocation is independent of XRCC1 or XRCC4. PNKP is recruited to nuclear DNA damage sites by interactions with the DDR scaffold proteins XRCC1 or XRCC4 (74–76). Depending on the type of DNA damage, XRCC1 (single strand breaks) or XRCC4 (double strand breaks) organize multiprotein complexes at DNA lesions to concentrate DNA repair enzymes, including PNKP, DNA ligases, and polymerases, along with multiple regulatory and signaling proteins. We thus asked




FIG 3 ZIKV infected NPC accumulate DNA damage but fail to accumulate phosphorylated PNKP. (A, B) hiNPC infected with ZIKV (MOI = 1) were fixed at 26 hpi and analyzed by IF for NS1 and γ H2AX (A) or pPNKP (B). (C) Mock-infected cells were treated with 100 μ M H_2O_2 for 1 h, washed, overlaid with fresh complete medium, fixed 1 h later, and analyzed by IF for γ H2AX and pPNKP; scale bars, 10 μ m; images representative of 3 independent experiments.

whether PNKP was recruited to the cytoplasm by XRCC1 or XRCC4. XRCC1 and XRCC4 are normally nuclear and both remained nuclear in ZIKV-infected hiNPC. There was no colocalization of either with NS1 (PCC, 0.13-0.04) (Fig. 2E). XRCC1 or XRCC4 therefore do not account for the cytoplasmic PNKP accumulation.

ZIKV infected hiNPC accumulate DNA damage. Downregulation or inhibition of PNKP sensitizes cells to genotoxic stress and results in accumulation of unrepaired DNA (45–47, 65, 66, 68, 69). Cytoplasmic PNKP sequestration in ZIKV infection may result in depletion of functional nuclear PNKP, with the consequent accumulation of DNA damage. We used γ H2AX staining to test for DNA damage. H_2O_2 treatment of uninfected cells induced the expected canonical, bright, well defined, and isolated nuclear γ H2AX foci, detectable at 10 min post treatment (Fig. 3A and 3C and Fig. 4A and 4B). Infected cell nuclei stained positive for γ H2AX at 24 h after infection (Fig. 3A). Some baseline level of γ H2AX staining was detected also in mock-infected cells or at time zero after ZIKV infection, but the nuclear γ H2AX foci started to obviously increase in number, size, and brightness above baseline after infection (Fig. 4A), and most ZIKV-infected cells had strong nuclear γ H2AX signal at 32 and 48 h. Thus, ZIKV infection induces DNA damage that continues to accumulate during infection.

PNKP is not phosphorylated at the DNA damage sites in ZIKV-infected cells. In response to DNA damage signaling, PNKP is recruited to the DNA breaks where it is phosphorylated by ATM. Phosphorylation allows for the accumulation of PNKP at the DNA breaks, accumulation which is necessary for efficient repair (72, 77, 78). ZIKV-induced




FIG 4 ZIKV infected hiNPC accumulate DNA damage but do not activate nuclear PNKP. (A, C) hiNPC infected with ZIKV R103451, (MOI = 2), fixed at the indicated times after infection, and analyzed by IF for NS1 (GTX133324) and γ H2AX (A), or NS1 (GTX634158) and pPNKP (C). (B) Mock-infected cells were treated with 100 μ M H₂O₂ for 1h, washed, overlaid with fresh complete media, fixed after 1 h recovery and analyzed by IF for γ H2Ax and pPNKP; scale bars, 10 μ m. Images representative of 2 or more independent experiments.

accumulation of DNA damage could be the consequence of nonfunctional repair mechanisms due to the cytoplasmic PNKP localization (Fig. 2A). However, cytoplasmic PNKP could still be recruited to the nucleus, or a small population of PNKP may remain in the nucleus and be activated. We tested PNKP phosphorylation using phospho-specific PNKP antibodies (Ser114). pPNKP accumulated in scattered nuclear foci in uninfected cells treated with H₂O₂ (Fig. 3B and C), as expected. Also as expected, H₂O₂-induced PNKP foci were detected at 30 min post treatment and colocalized with γ H2AX foci (Fig. 3C and Fig. 4B). In contrast, there was no detectable pPNKP signal in ZIKV-infected cells (Fig. 3B, Fig. 4C). No pPNKP signal was detected at any time during ZIKV infection (Fig. 4C).

ZIKV infection of hiNPC induces obvious gross mitotic abnormalities. Throughout all experiments (Fig. 2A to C; 3A, B; 4A, C), we had noted that ZIKV infection consistently induced multilobed nuclei, micronuclei, and chromosomal bridges in hiNPC but not in other cells (Fig. 2B). These defects are all consistent with mitotic abnormalities. We analyzed the relative frequency of the putative mitotic aberrations in hiNPC or U-2 OS. Grossly abnormal nuclear morphologies were more frequent, and more severe, in infected hiNPC than U-2 OS (Fig. 5A, B), Vero, or HEK293 cells (Fig. 2B). These abnormal nuclei did not show the typical features of apoptosis; they rather resembled the nuclear morphology of cells undergoing mitotic catastrophe (MC) (79, 80). The morphological hallmarks of MC are micro and multinucleation with the nuclear envelope assembled around individual clusters of mis-segregated chromosomes (80, 81). Micro and multinucleation were both common features among ZIKV-infected hiNPC (Fig. 5C, D), and lamin B1 staining revealed that the individual nuclear lobules and micronuclei were indeed surrounded by nuclear envelopes (Fig. 5D). ZIKV induced obvious gross nuclear abnormalities in hiNPC from 12 hpi (Fig. 5E), and the nuclei of infected hiNPC were globally and grossly abnormal, and DNA was largely no longer replicating, at 24 hpi (Fig. 5F).

ZIKV infected human neural progenitors undergo mitotic catastrophe. MC results from unscheduled mitotic entry in the presence of DNA damage or unfinished DNA replication with the consequent incomplete decatenation. As a result, chromosomes cannot be properly segregated, and pulling by the mitotic spindle results in DNA damage and chromosomal bridges, and consequent mis-segregation of chromosomes. The end results are abnormal multilobular nuclei. As a consequence of the resulting gross genomic defects, cells die at mitosis or at subsequent cell cycles. If ZIKV-induced nuclear abnormalities are indicative of MC, then they should be prevented by blocking mitosis. To test for this possibility, we used roscovitine (Rosco), which inhibits mitosis by inhibiting CDK1, and also CDK2 (it also inhibits other protein kinases not involved in mitosis like CDK5) (82, 83). At 100 μ M (84–86), Rosco inhibited hiNPC cell doubling, which results from inhibition of mitosis, without decreasing viability (Fig. 6A). ZIKV-infected cells treated with DMSO vehicle showed morphological features characteristic of MC, as previously observed (Fig. 2A; 3A; 5C, D), whereas Rosco-treated infected cells, did not (Fig. 6B). The nuclear morphology of infected cells treated with Rosco was closer to that of mock-infected cells than to that of the untreated infected ones, with far fewer cells presenting multilobular nuclei (MLN) or chromosomal bridges (CHB) (Fig. 6C). The frequency of MLN in infected cells decreased from about 60–70% to 10–15%, and that of CHB from 35–45% to about 5%. Mock-infected cells had less than 10% MLN or less than 1% CHB regardless of treatment. Inhibiting cell duplication with an inhibitor of CDK1 (and also of other protein kinases like CDK2, CDK5 and CDK7) thus prevents the abnormal nuclear morphology induced by ZIKV. Unexpectedly, no ZIKV RF were obvious in Rosco-treated cells, as evidenced by the lack of clusters of cytoplasmic NS1 signal (Fig. 6B, lower panel). NS1 accumulation was delayed by some 6 h by Rosco, in that there was about as much NS1 at 24 hpi in the treated cells as at 18 hpi in the untreated ones (Fig. 6D).

Roscovitine inhibits ZIKV replication. Given the lack of obvious NS1 positive ZIKV RF (Fig. 6B) and delayed NS1 accumulation (Fig. 6D) in Rosco-treated infected cells, we tested the effect of Rosco added at selected times after infection on ZIKV replication.

Cells were infected with 3 PFU of ZIKV/cell. After 1 h adsorption, the inoculum was




FIG 5 ZIKV induces grossly abnormal nuclear morphologies in hiNPC, morphologies which are consistent with the hallmarks of mitotic catastrophe. (A) hiNPC or U-2 OS were infected with ZIKV R103451, (MOI = 1), fixed at 24 hpi and analyzed by IF for ZIKV NS1. (B) Cells with obvious and gross nuclear aberrations at 24 h were counted in 5 wide field confocal images. Results expressed as percentage of all cells (for mock infections) or infected cells (for ZIKV infections); $n = 2$ (average, \pm SD for each individual experiment). (C) Infected hiNPC, as identified by NS1 staining at 24 hpi and counterstained with DAPI were analyzed using high magnification confocal imaging. (D) hiNPC were infected with ZIKV R103451, (MOI = 1), fixed at 24 hpi and analyzed by IF for NS1 and lamin B. (E) hiNPC were infected with ZIKV R103451, (MOI = 3), fixed at indicated times, and analyzed by DAPI staining and IF for NS1. (F) hiNPC were infected with ZIKV R103451, (MOI = 3), or mock-infected, for 24 h were metabolically labeled for 15 min with EdU, fixed, and stained for EdU and NS1 (IF). Scale bars, 10 μ m; images representative of 2–4 independent experiments.




FIG 6 Inhibition of cell doubling with roscovitine inhibits the aberrant nuclear morphologies and ZIKV replication. (A) hiNPC were seeded in 96-well plates to reach 25% confluence at 24 h after plating, when 100 μ M Rosco or vehicle (DMSO) were added (T_0). Relative cell numbers were evaluated daily. Results show cell doublings relative to T_0 , $n = 1$. (B-E) hiNPC were infected with ZIKV R103451 (MOI = 3), or mock-infected, washed, and overlaid with media containing 100 μ M Rosco or vehicle (DMSO) at the time of inoculum removal (B, C, D) or at indicated time postinfection (E). (B) Cells were fixed at 24 hpi and analyzed by IF; images are representative of 2 independent experiments. (C) ZIKV or mock-infected cells with multilobular (≥ 3 lobules) nuclei (MLN) or chromosomal bridges (CHB), counted in the wide field confocal images from (B); results expressed as percentage of NS1-expressing (ZIKV-infected) or all (mock-infected) cells; $n = 6$ (average \pm range). (D) Cells were lysed at indicated time points and analyzed by Western blotting; images are representative of 3 independent experiments. (E) ZIKV-infected cells were treated with Rosco at the indicated times after infection. Culture supernatants at time of drug addition and at 24 hpi were titrated on Vero cells; results expressed as burst from time of treatment relative to vehicle-treated infections; $n = 2$ (average \pm range).

removed and monolayers were washed and overlaid with drug-free medium or medium containing 100 μ M Rosco. The drug-free medium from replicate infections was replaced every 3 h with medium containing 0 or 100 μ M Rosco. The infectivity released to the culture medium by Rosco- or vehicle-treated cells was sampled every 3 h from the time of compound or vehicle addition until 24 hpi. The results are expressed as virus burst from the time of Rosco addition to 24 hpi and presented as percentage of vehicle-treated controls. Addition of Rosco immediately after adsorption resulted in about 99.7% inhibition of ZIKV replication (Fig. 6E). The addition of Rosco at 3–6 h allowed only for low levels of replication, about 5–6% of those in untreated infections.

Even when Rosco was added at 9, 12 or 15 hpi, ZIKV replicated to only 12, 19 and 18% of the levels in untreated infections, respectively. Thus, Rosco inhibits ZIKV replication even when added at 15 h after infection, and with similar potency as it inhibits the nuclear replicating DNA viruses HSV-1, HCMV, EBV, or VZV (84, 87–91).

ZIKV induces cytoplasmic CDK1 accumulation. Membrane limited cytoplasmic organelles undergo rapid morphological changes in size and shape as cells enter mitosis, fragmenting and dispersing to enable their correct inheritance between the two daughter cells (reviewed in reference 92). Following the nuclear pore complex disassembly at prophase, nuclear envelope membrane proteins are released into the mitotic ER, which is remodeled from predominantly sheets in the interphase into predominantly tubules or cisternae in mitosis (93, 94). This entire process is initiated by the cytoplasmic activity of mitotic CDK1.

ZIKV induces a large remodeling of the ER to assemble cytoplasmic RF. ZIKV-remodeled ER membranes consist of mostly tubules and cisternae, globally like the mitotic ER, and Rosco, which inhibits mitosis by mostly inhibiting CDK1, and also CDK2, inhibited the formation of the RF (Fig. 6B). RF assembly may thus require CDK1 activity. We therefore asked whether ZIKV might recruit CDK1 to induce the ER membrane rearrangements required for RF assembly.

CDK1 localization varies during the cell cycle. In uninfected cells, CDK1 was dispersed throughout the cytoplasm and nucleus during interphase and started to accumulate at the nuclear periphery and centrosomes at the beginning of prophase, as expected (95). Later in mitosis, CDK1 localized around condensed chromosomes (Fig. 7A). In ZIKV-infected cells however, there was a cytoplasmic accumulation of CDK1 (Fig. 7C, upper panel) starting at 3 h after infection, independent of any mitotic changes in nuclear morphology (Fig. 7E). CDK1 signal was enriched around NS1, but CDK1 and NS1 signals did not fully colocalize (PPC, 0.62, Fig. 7C, upper panel, D, E) and were rather intermixed, in contrast to the colocalization between PNKP and NS1 (Fig. 7D).

ZIKV induces accumulation of cytoplasmic cyclin A. CDK1 activity is highly regulated at multiple levels. Binding of cyclin A or B, cellular localization of the cyclin/CDK1 holoenzymes, several activating and inhibitory phosphorylations, and binding by specific inhibitors, all regulate CDK1 activity to prevent unscheduled mitotic entry and ensure successful exit from mitosis. ZIKV infection induced MC and abnormal cytoplasmic accumulation of CDK1. We thus asked whether either of its activating cyclins had similar localization and could thus activate CDK1.

Two families of mitotic cyclins, A and B, associate with CDK1 to promote mitotic entry (reviewed in reference [54]). CDK1 tends to associate preferentially with CycA in early G2 and with CycB later.

In mock-infected hiNPC, CycA localized predominantly to the nucleus with dispersed cytoplasmic staining, as expected (95) (Fig. 7B). CycA signal was lost in late metaphase, in agreement with its known degradation initiated by Anaphase promoting complex (APC). Starting 3h after ZIKV infection, however, infected cells started to display abnormally localized cytoplasmic CycA, often in the absence of any obvious nuclear signal (Fig. 7C and F). The number of cells showing this abnormal localization increased with time, and all ZIKV-infected cells had abnormal cytoplasmic CycA at 24 hpi, when CycA was particularly enriched around NS1. Similarly to CDK1, CycA and NS1 signals were intermixed (PCC, 0.58, Fig. 7C) rather than fully colocalized as those of PNKP and NS1 (Fig. 7D). All ZIKV-infected cells expressed detectable levels of CycA at 24 hpi, as opposed to the expected degradation in a large subset of mock-infected cells (Fig. 7F).

In uninfected cells, CycB was first detected as a dispersed cytoplasmic signal, as expected. At early prophase, it started to accumulate at the nuclear periphery and at the centrosomes. Later, CycB localized around condensed chromosomes and to the mitotic spindle. CycB signal was lost in anaphase, in agreement with its regulated degradation initiated by the activation of the APC after the spindle assembly checkpoint is satisfied (Fig. 8A). CycB localization in ZIKV-infected cells was unremarkable and indistinguishable from its localization in uninfected cells (Fig. 8B). CycB accumulated and




FIG 7 ZIKV infection induces cytoplasmic accumulation of CDK1 and Cyclin A. (A, B) uninfected hiNPC were fixed 24 h post plating and analyzed by DAPI staining and IF for CDK1 (A), or CycA (B). Cells in different cell cycle phases were identified based on nuclear DNA. I, interphase; P, prophase; PM, prometaphase; M, metaphase; A, anaphase; T, telophase. (C-F) hiNPC were infected with ZIKV R103451, (MOI = 2), fixed at 24 hpi (C), or at indicated times (E, F) and analyzed by IF for NS1 and CDK1 (C, E) or CycA (C, F). Scale bars, 10 μ m. (D) hiNPC were infected with ZIKV R103451, (MOI = 2), fixed at 24 hpi and analyzed by IF for NS1 and PNKP, or CDK1, or CycA, or CycB. Pearson's colocalization coefficients between NS1 and PNKP, CDK1, CycA, or CycB calculated from single cell confocal images ($n = 18$) using the colocalization finder plugin in ImageJ. Results expressed as box plots, cross mark, mean; boxes, 25th to 75th percentile; whiskers, 5th and 95th percentiles.

degraded through the cell cycle similarly in mock- or ZIKV-infected cells. Our results thus indicate that ZIKV infection induces cytoplasmic accumulation of CycA and CDK1.

ZIKV induces unscheduled activation of CycA/CDK1. ZIKV induced accumulation of cytoplasmic CycA and CDK1, presumably to trigger ER membrane rearrangements, correlated with gross mitotic abnormalities, and Rosco, which inhibits CDK1 inhibited the formation of RF. We thus asked whether the abnormal accumulation of CycA and CDK1 during ZIKV infection resulted in active CDK1 complexes at the sites of RF assembly.

We evaluated the kinase activity of CDK1 or CycA immunoprecipitates from 3 to 24 hpi. CDK1 activity increased in ZIKV infected hiNPC cells from the time of infection. Following an initial steady-state increase for up to 12 hpi, there was an exponential increase in CDK1 activity, reaching on average about 5-fold higher than in noninfected cells at 21 hpi (Fig. 9A). CDK1 kinase activity did not change much in asynchronous control cells in the 24 h, as expected (Fig. 9A, insert). Similarly, CycA antibody co-immunoprecipitated kinase activity was elevated in ZIKV-infected cells, to about 2-fold over




FIG 8 CycB subcellular localization in mock or ZIKV-infected hiNPC. (A) uninfected hiNPC were fixed 24 h post plating and analyzed by DAPI staining and IF for CycB. Cells in different cell cycle phases were identified based on nuclear DNA. I, interphase; P, prophase; PM, prometaphase; M, metaphase; A, anaphase; T, telophase. (B) hiNPC's infected with ZIKV R103451 (MOI = 2) were fixed at indicated times after the infection and analyzed by IF for NS1 and CycB. Images are representative of 2 independent experiments. Scale bars, 10 μ m.

control cells at 21 hpi, whereas there were no major changes in noninfected cells (Fig. 9A). Our results indicate that ZIKV induces unscheduled activation of cytoplasmic CycA/CDK1 activity, activation that continuously increases until 21–24 h post ZIKV infection.




FIG 9 ZIKV infection induces unscheduled activation of cytoplasmic CycA/CDK1. (A) hiNPC were infected with ZIKV R103451, (MOI = 2), or mock-infected and lysed at indicated times. CDK1 or CycA were immunoprecipitated and kinase activity was evaluated by immuno-kinase assays; fold change over mock-infected cells; inserts, mock-infected cells, fold change over T = 0; n = 2 (average \pm range). (B) hiNPC were infected with ZIKV R103451, (MOI = 2), or mock infected, lysed at indicated times, and analyzed by Western blotting. Immuno-reactive bands were visualized by fluorescently labeled secondary antibodies. Images are representative of 3 independent experiments.

We next evaluated CycA and CDK1 levels through infection. CDK1 is constitutively expressed, and its level is constant during the cell cycle. CycA expression is tightly regulated and synchronized with cell cycle progression. Its accumulation begins in late G1, peaks in mid-S phase and declines in early mitosis (52, 53). In populations of unsynchronized uninfected cells, however, the average levels of CycA across the cell population are approximately constant, as different cells progress through the cell cycle at different times. No major differences in total CycA or CDK1 accumulation in the populations of ZIKV-infected cells were observed, and their levels were as constant as expected (Fig. 9B). Therefore, the elevated activity of CycA/CDK1 was not related to increased expression levels.

The elevated levels of CycA/CDK1 complexes in the cytoplasm thus result in increased CycA/CDK1 activity in infected cells. However, this elevated CycA/CDK1 activity is surprising in consideration of the abundant DNA damage (Fig. 3A, Fig. 4A). We thus evaluated checkpoint activation.

DNA damage-activated checkpoints are defective in ZIKV infection. As CDK1 was active in the presence of DNA damage, we tested checkpoint activation. The G2/M checkpoint inhibits CDK1 activity to prevent mitotic progression in the presence of damaged or incompletely replicated DNA. The unscheduled activation of CycA/CDK1 in the presence of DNA damage in ZIKV infection suggested dysfunctional checkpoint signaling. DNA damage activates the ATM and the ATR kinases, which in turn phosphorylate checkpoint kinases Chk1 and Chk2 to stop cell cycle progression (reviewed in references 96).

To test checkpoint signaling, we analyzed the activation of ATM, ATR, Chk1, and Chk2 with phospho-specific antibodies recognizing their activated phosphorylated forms (ATM, pS1981; ATR, pT1989; CHK1, pS345; CHK2, pT68). As expected, UV irradiation at 100 J/m² resulted in the accumulation of the DNA damage marker γ H2AX and activation of the damage sensing kinases ATM and ATR and checkpoint kinases Chk1 and Chk2, as detected by the presence of their specific phosphorylated forms at 1 h post irradiation (Fig. 10). There was also an accumulation of total γ H2AX in ZIKV-infected cells, most obvious at 21 and 24 hpi. Unlike in UV-treated cells however, there was no obvious ATM activation in infected cells. The background levels of ATR activation in hiNPC were relatively high but did not differ between infected and mock-infected cells. This basal ATR activation in hiNPC likely results from their innate replication stress (97). As expected from the lack of activation of ATM or ATR, there was no downstream activation of Chk1 or Chk2 in ZIKV infected hiNPC either.

In summary, ZIKV-infected cells failed to activate the cell cycle checkpoints despite the presence of DNA damage, CDK1 was thus activated and triggered mitosis in the presence of DNA damage, which remained unrepaired because of the functional PNKP depletion, thus resulting in MC.

DISCUSSION

Congenital ZIKV infections preferentially target fetal neural progenitor cells. Infection of these cells results in a series of neurodevelopmental abnormalities of which the most serious is microcephaly. In the present study, we show a link between ZIKV infection and the DNA damage repair enzyme PNKP, which is itself also linked to microcephaly. PNKP translocated to cytoplasmic clusters colocalizing with NS1, which is a marker of ZIKV RF. Two PNKP phosphatase inhibitors, A83B4C63 and A12B4C3, inhibited ZIKV replication in a dose-dependent manner and PNKP knockout HCT 116 cells were not permissive for ZIKV replication. ZIKV-infected human neural progenitors accumulated DNA damage but failed to activate the DNA damage checkpoint kinases Chk1 and Chk2 and CDK1 activity increased. Consequently, the cells underwent defective mitoses, producing grossly abnormal nuclear morphologies consistent with the phenotype of MC (Fig. 11). ZIKV infection also induced cytoplasmic accumulation and activation of CycA/CDK1 complexes. Inhibition of cell division with Rosco, which inhibits CDK1 and CDK2, as well as other protein kinases not involved in mitosis like CDK5,




FIG 10 Neither G1/S nor G2/M DNA damage checkpoints are activated in ZIKV infected hiNPC. hiNPC were infected with ZIKV R103451 (MOI = 2), or mock-infected, harvested at the indicated times, lysed, and analyzed for total and phosphorylated forms of checkpoint signaling (ATM, ATR) and effector (Chk1, Chk2) kinases by Western blotting. DNA damage was induced in uninfected cells by UV irradiation (100 J/m²). Cells were allowed to recover for 1 h prior to harvesting. Immunoreactive bands were visualized by fluorescently labeled secondary antibodies. Images representative of 3 independent experiments.

inhibited the formation of ZIKV RF and the production of ZIKV infectivity. Being an ATP competitor, the concentration of Rosco required to inhibit CDK is dependent on that of ATP. It has been shown that although 3 μ M suffices to inhibit CDK at very low ATP concentrations, 100 μ M is actually required to inhibit CDK at physiological ATP concentrations (about 0.5 μ M or higher) (86). The well characterized specificity of Rosco against the human kinome has been described and discussed extensively many times before (82–86). Unscheduled activation of CycA/CDK1 and checkpoint failure thus lead to premature mitotic entry of infected cells in the presence of damaged or incompletely replicated DNA, which in turn lead to MC. It remains unclear at this time why nuclear depletion or cytoplasmic accumulation of PNKP would be required during the replication cycle of ZIKV, as are the mechanisms of PNKP recruitment to the RF, or of CycA/CDK1 to their proximity. It is also unclear why PNKP would participate in the replication of a cytoplasmic RNA virus, although it has a role in the replication of some nuclear DNA viruses (98, 99). We are in the process of addressing these yet outstanding issues.

The PNKP gene (MIM605610, locus 19q13.33) was identified in 1999 (34, 35). It encodes a polynucleotide 5'-kinase 3'-phosphatase involved in DNA damage repair. PNKP is recruited to the sites of DNA damage to repair DNA breaks with so-called 'dirty' 3'-phosphate (3'-PO) and 5'-hydroxyl (5'-OH) termini. Restoring canonical DNA termini by the 5'-kinase and 3'-phosphatase enzymatic activities of PNKP is essential to repair DNA breaks bearing such 'dirty' termini. PNKP deficiencies hamper single and double




FIG 11 A model for the development of mitotic catastrophe in ZIKV-infected hiNPC. ZIKV infection induces the activation of cytoplasmic CycA/CDK1 complexes, presumably to facilitate the formation of the viral replication factories, while sequestering PNKP away from the nucleus and into the replication factories. Unscheduled mitotic entry results in DNA damage, which cannot be repaired because of the functional depletion of nuclear PNKP activity; however, checkpoints are not activated and CDK1 activity continues to increase, resulting in mitotic catastrophe and subsequent neuronal progenitor cell death. Red, CycA, CDK1 or PNKP; green, NS1.

strand break repair (44, 46, 47), increasing the frequency of spontaneous mutations and sensitizing cells to genotoxic stress (45). PNKP deficient cells accumulate DNA damage and chromosomal lesions, producing mitotic abnormalities, including micronuclei and chromosomal bridges (44, 47).

Some PNKP genetic variants are associated with rare (less than 50 patients worldwide) autosomal recessive neurological disorders, presenting with neurodevelopmental or neurodegenerative symptoms. The most severe of these disorders produces early onset primary microcephaly with seizures and developmental delay (MCSZ, MIM 613402), also known as developmental and epileptic encephalopathy-10, (DEE10) (31, 100). It is not entirely clear how different PNKP mutations contribute to either neurodevelopmental or neurodegenerative pathologies, but recent data indicate that residual levels of phosphatase or kinase activity may play a role. In patient-isolated fibroblasts, reduced phosphatase activity of PNKP mutants and inability to repair 3'-PO single-stranded DNA breaks correlated with neurodevelopmental disorders (MCSZ), whereas reduced kinase activity in the context of functional phosphatase correlated with milder neurodegenerative pathologies (44).

Two PNKP phosphatase inhibitors, A83B4C63 and A12B4C3, inhibit ZIKV replication (Fig. 1A and B), at concentrations in the range of those required to inhibit other cellular outcomes of PNKP activity (1–50 μ M [65–70]). Furthermore, PNKP knockout HCT 116 cells were not permissive for ZIKV replication, indicating that PNKP plays an important role in ZIKV replication. However, PNKP normally localizes to the nucleus and mitochondria (73, 101) and ZIKV replicates in cytoplasmic ER-derived RF (22, 24, 25). Surprisingly, PNKP clustered in the cytoplasm in ZIKV-infected cells colocalizing with NS1, a marker of RF (Fig. 2A, B, and C). As ZIKV infection did not affect total PNKP levels (Fig. 1E), PNKP relocates to these cytoplasmic clusters from the nucleus, and possibly mitochondria. The resulting depletion of phosphorylated nuclear PNKP (Fig. 3B; 4C) likely results in functional enzyme deficiency and the consequent accumulation of unrepaired DNA breaks (Fig. 3A; 4A; 10). A recently identified PNKP mutation in an individual with MCSZ (P101L) results in a novel

nuclear export signal and consequently cytoplasmic PNKP localization (102). Nuclear PNKP depletion, like the one induced by ZIKV, may therefore be sufficient to induce neurodevelopmental defects and microcephaly.

Following genotoxic stress, the DNA damage-activated ATM kinase phosphorylates PNKP at Ser114 to prevent PNKP proteasomal degradation, thus allowing efficient recruitment and accumulation of PNKP at the damage sites (72, 77, 78). Although ZIKV-infected cells accumulated DNA damage (Fig. 3A; 4A; 10), there were no phosphorylated PNKP foci (Fig. 3B; 4C). PNKP was thus not efficiently activated at DNA damage sites. PNKP is recruited to DNA breaks by direct interaction with the DDR scaffolding proteins, X-ray repair cross complementing protein 1 (XRCC1), or 4 (XRCC4) (75, 76, 103). PNKP could thus have been recruited to the viral RF by either. However, ZIKV-induced cytoplasmic PNKP localization was independent of XRCC1 or XRCC4, which both remained nuclear (Fig. 2E).

DNA damage, mitotic aberrations, accumulation of chromosomal abnormalities, and death of progeny cells after mitosis have all been reported in ZIKV-infected cells (104–110). Based on the analysis of the abundant and grossly abnormal nuclear morphologies in ZIKV-infected neural progenitors, seen by us (Fig. 2A; 3A, B; 5C, D) and others (104–106), we propose that infected NPC undergo MC. MC has been defined by “the nomenclature committee on cell death” as a “regulated oncosuppressive mechanism that impedes the proliferation or survival of cells unable to complete mitosis due to extensive DNA damage, problems with mitotic machinery, or failure of mitotic checkpoints” (111). Cells undergoing MC die at mitosis, slip out of mitosis and enter senescence, or proceed to interphase and die at subsequent cell cycles (81). MC is defined morphologically by distinct nuclear changes, including micronucleation (presence of micronuclei), multinucleation (presence of multilobular nuclei surrounded by nuclear envelope) or macronucleation (two or more nuclei collapsed into one and surrounded by nuclear envelope) (80). All the above MC phenotypes were present in ZIKV-infected NPC (Fig. 2A; 3A, B; 5C, D) and absent when mitosis was inhibited with Rosco (Fig. 6B and C).

Damaged DNA causes chromosome segregation failure during mitosis and subsequent defects in mitotic exit, due to abnormal, broken, linked, or entangled chromosomes. Experimental PNKP depletion produced mitotic abnormalities in cultured astrocytes (47). However, micronuclei and chromosomal bridges, indicative of chromosome segregation failure, were detected only in PNKP knockout and also irradiated cells, despite the presence of basal levels of DNA damage in the untreated cells. We and others have observed DNA damage, mitotic abnormalities (103–109) and MC during ZIKV infection in the absence of any exogenous genotoxic stress. ZIKV-induced MC would thus require abnormal mitotic entry in the presence of the damaged DNA. Here, we show that ZIKV infection induced activation of cytoplasmic CycA/CDK1 (Fig. 9A), triggering unscheduled mitotic entry even in the presence of DNA damage, thus leading to MC. The activity of CDK1 was elevated throughout the infection, which prevents mitotic exit, resulting in MC.

Premature mitosis is deleterious and therefore entry into mitosis is highly regulated. DNA damage-regulated checkpoints respond to DDR signaling by arresting cells at the G2-M and G1-S transitions to allow DNA repair or induce regulated cell death or senescence if the damage is beyond repair (recently reviewed in reference [97]). The main signal transducers linking DNA damage responses to checkpoint effectors are the protein serine/threonine kinases ATM and ATR. Activated ATM and ATR initiate signaling to trigger the multiple pathways of DNA repair and activate the checkpoint kinases Chk1 and Chk2 to induce temporary cell cycle arrest at G1-S or G2-M. To this end, Chk1 and Chk2 inactivate the CDC25 family phosphatases, thus inhibiting mitotic cyclin/CDK1 and preventing mitotic entry (112).

Elevated CDK1 activity in the presence of DNA damage thus also indicates checkpoint failure. Indeed, ZIKV-infected cells failed to efficiently activate the checkpoint kinases Chk1 and Chk2, although they had about as much DNA damage (based on γ H2AX levels) as uninfected cells treated with UV (Fig. 10). Defective ATR/Chk1

checkpoint has been reported previously in ZIKV infection in human NPC (110), while the ATM/Chk2 checkpoint was reported active, but only at 48 hpi. In our studies, MC was induced between 12 and 15 h after infection and most of the infected cells presented a well-defined MC phenotype at 24 h, before the previously reported ATM and Chk2 activating phosphorylation. We analyzed checkpoint activation at early times, from 3 to 24 h postinfection, which would be relevant for MC at 24 h. There was no activation of Chk1 or Chk2 in ZIKV infected hiNPC compared to their levels of phosphorylation induced by UV irradiation (Fig. 10) despite the presence of abundant γ H2AX staining in ZIKV infected hiNPC (Fig. 3A; 4A; 10), and CDK1 was active (Fig. 9A), consistently with the lack of Chk1/2 activation during ongoing mitosis (113, 114).

The cytoplasmic CycA localization detected in ZIKV-infected hiNPC (Fig. 7C and F) is not entirely unusual. CycA localizes to the cytoplasm at the S/G2 transition where it initiates the activation of Plk1 through the phosphorylation of the cytoplasmic cofactor Bora (115). Plk1 activation in late G2 sets the commitment to mitosis (116). CDK1 and CycA accumulated in the cytoplasm of ZIKV-infected cells adjacent to ZIKV RF and all ZIKV-infected cells were positive for cytoplasmic CycA at 24 h after infection (Fig. 7C, F). Thus, the unscheduled mitotic entry of ZIKV-infected hiNPC is likely triggered by this cytoplasmic accumulation of active CycA/CDK1 complexes.

Inhibition of cell duplication by inhibition of CDK1, CDK2 (and other kinases not involved in mitosis) with Rosco inhibited the formation of ZIKV RF (Fig. 6B), and the consequent production of cell-free infectious ZIKV virions (Fig. 6E). ZIKV RF are derived from modified rough ER (RER) membranes (22, 24–26). The morphology of ZIKV RF is predominantly tubular or cisternal, while the RER has predominantly sheet morphology, with the exception of the peripheral RER membranes that form the tubular networks. The ER undergoes sheet to tubule transformation during mitosis to enable the organelle partitioning to the daughter cells during cytokinesis (93, 94). CycA is involved in the reorganization of the ER network during mitosis in the *Drosophila* embryo (117) and in nuclear envelope breakdown, an event that initiates the ER rearrangement, in HeLa cells (118). We propose that ZIKV likely induces the activation and cytoplasmic accumulation of CycA/CDK1 to induce morphological changes to the ER membranes to facilitate the formation of the viral RF hpi. Curiously, CDKs continue to be shown to play important roles in the replication of RNA viruses whose replication requires no cell cycle progression (119).

Evaluation of MC separately in NS1-positive and negative cells in treated and untreated cultures (Fig. 5C) indicates that the effects of Rosco on both phenotypes, MC and ZIKV replication, cannot be separated at this time. Both could be independent consequences of inhibiting one or more protein kinases, like CDK1, or a group of them, or one may be the cause and the other the consequence. Although we currently favor a model in which both are a consequence of CDK1 inhibition, this is not certain and this time and we are continuing this work toward addressing this important question. Likewise, although PNKP relocates to the cytoplasmic ZIKV RF in all cell types we have evaluated, high frequency of MC is only observed in infected hiNPC. We are also evaluating the differences between hiNPC and other cells that may result in these differential phenotypes. Regardless of the mechanisms that may protect other cells or render hiNPC highly susceptible to this phenotype, however, this differential phenotype of ZIKV infection of NPC is entirely consistent with the observed high frequency of microcephaly in the absence of high frequency of abnormalities in other systems or organs that are also under development at the times when fetal infection is most deleterious.

Of the 25 genes linked to human autosomal recessive primary microcephaly (MCPH), 22 encode mitotic regulators (120). Mutations in the *MCPH2* gene, encoding the centrosomal protein Wdr62 linked to MCPH, delay mitotic progression due to spindle instability leading to spindle assembly checkpoint (SAC) activation, resulting in mitotic arrest and cell death (121). Mitotic delay in murine NPC, either by pharmacological intervention or in transgenic mouse models, results in premature differentiation or death of NPC and produces microcephaly in transgenic animals (122–124). Mitotic abnormalities in ZIKV-infected cells, including

supernumerary centriole, multipolar spindle and chromosomal abnormalities, have been documented previously (104–106, 125). Here, we show that ZIKV infection caused the activation of cytoplasmic CycA/CDK1 and unscheduled mitotic entry in the presence of DNA breaks, which accumulated in infected cells due to the cytoplasmic localization of PNKP, leading to grossly abnormal mitoses culminating in MC.

Previous studies have proposed several alternative molecular mechanisms underlying ZIKV-induced microcephaly. The functions of several mitosis-associated proteins, CEP152 and pericentrin (centrosomal), CENPJ (centromere) and TBK1 kinase (centrosomal) are affected during ZIKV infection, leading to mitotic defects and premature differentiation or cell death (104, 125). Microcephaly-linked RNA binding protein musashi-1 (MSI1) has been proposed as a host factor promoting ZIKV replication (126). MSI1 binding to viral RNA limited binding to its endogenous targets, thus affecting the expression of proteins playing important roles in NPC function. ZIKV infection was also shown to inhibit the AKT-mTOR signaling pathway, which plays essential roles in brain development and autophagy, leading to defective neurogenesis (127). ZIKV NS4A also targets another microcephaly-related protein ANKLE-2 and thus disrupts neurogenic asymmetric cell division in neural stem cells leading to microcephaly in a drosophila model (128). Mounting evidence also supports a role for the immune responses in the fetal neuropathology of ZIKV infection (129–134). Congenital Zika virus syndrome is thus most likely a result of a combination of several mechanisms and systemic processes, which we are only beginning to understand.

Similar to defects in mitotic genes, genetic defects affecting DNA damage responses often associate with neurodevelopmental defects presenting with microcephaly (135). We propose that the functional PNKP deficiency and dysregulation of CycA/CDK1 mitotic activity during ZIKV infection of neural progenitors result in MC, contributing to the molecular mechanism underlying neurodevelopmental pathologies of congenital ZIKV infections.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell lines. African green monkey Vero E76, human U-2 OS osteosarcoma and HEK-293 embryonic kidney cells were obtained from American Type Culture Collection (CCL-81, HTB-96, CRL-1573, respectively). The CRISPR knockout HCT 116 PNKP^{-/-} human colorectal carcinoma cells (HCT 116 PNKP^{-/-}) were generated and validated before (71). Cells were cultured in DMEM supplemented with 5% FBS at 37°C in 5% CO₂. Human induced pluripotent stem cell-derived neural progenitor cells (HIP Neural Stem Cells, BC1 line, referred to as 'hiNPC') were purchased from MTI-GlobalStem, Inc. (GSC-4311). Cells were grown as monolayers on geltrex-coated surfaces (Geltrex LDEV-Free reduced growth factor basement membrane matrix, Gibco, A1413201) in NPC expansion medium: KnockOut DMEM/F-12 (Gibco, 12660012) supplemented with StemPro neural supplement (Gibco, A1050801), 2 mM (1×) GlutaGo (L-Alanine/L-Glutamine dipeptide, Corning), 1× MEM nonessential amino acids (Corning), and 20 ng/mL human heat stable sbFGF (Gibco, PHG0367) and split using accutase (Millipore Sigma, A6964).

Compounds and treatments. The PNKP inhibitors A12B4C3 and A83B4C63 were synthesized as previously reported (65–67) and kindly provided by Dr. Dennis Hall (University of Alberta). DMSO stocks of A12B4C3 and A83B4C63 (50 mM) were prepared in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), aliquoted and stored at –20°C. Frozen aliquots were thawed fast at 37°C and diluted to target concentrations (30–0.01 μM) in tissue culture media. Roscovitine (Rosco, LC Laboratories, R-1234) stock solution was prepared at 100 mM in DMSO aliquoted and stored at –20°C. Frozen aliquots were thawed fast at 37°C and diluted to 100 μM in prewarmed DMEM-5% FBS. Compounds were added to cells after removing the inocula and washing with serum free media. Hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂), and UV irradiation (UV) were used to induce DNA damage. A working solution of 100 μM H₂O₂ was prepared by diluting 30% stock solution in serum-free culture media immediately before adding to cells. After 60 min incubation at 37°C in 5% CO₂, the H₂O₂ containing medium was aspirated and cells were washed twice in serum free medium. Complete media (DMEM + 5%FBS or NPC expansion medium) was then added, and cells were returned to the incubator for 1 h. Alternatively cells were washed and overlaid with phenol red free-DMEM, irradiated with UV at 100 J/m² (Spectrolinker XL-1000 UV cross-linker, Spectronics Corp.) and allowed to recover for 1 h in the complete culture medium. Cells were subsequently fixed and processed by immunostaining or lysed and used for Western blotting.

Viruses. ZIKV strains R103451 (placenta isolate, Human/2015/Honduras) or IbH 30656 (blood isolate, Human/1968/Nigeria) were obtained from BEI resources (NR-50066 and NR-50355, respectively). Stocks were prepared by inoculation of sub-confluent monolayers of U-2 OS cells seeded in a T150 flask 24 h earlier at an MOI of 0.001 in 2 mL DMEM for 1 h. Inoculum was removed and cells were washed twice with 12 mL cold DMEM before overlaying with 15 mL DMEM-5% FBS. Infected cells were incubated for 24 h at 37°C in 5% CO₂ to reach confluence, and then split 1:3. Supernatants were harvested 5 days

TABLE 1 Primary antibodies^a

Target protein	Antibody / cat no.	Species / clone	Dilution/amt		
			IF	WB	IP
ATM	BioRad / AHP2441	R	NA	1:250	NA
ATM (pSer1981)	BioRad / AHP2555	R	NA	1:500	NA
ATR	SCBT / sc-515173	M / C1	NA	1:100	NA
ATR (Thr1989)	CS / 30632S	R / D5K8W	NA	1:200	NA
CDK1	CS / 9116S	M / POH1	1:500	NA	NA
CDK1	SCBT / sc-54	M / 17	NA	1:200	NA
CDK1	SCBT / sc-54 AC	M / 17	NA	NA	10µg
Chk1	SCBT / sc-8408	M / G4	NA	1:200	NA
Chk1 (pSer345)	CS / 2348S	R / 133D3	NA	1:250	NA
Chk2	SCBT / sc-17747	M / A11	NA	1:100	NA
Chk2 (pThr68)	Cell Signaling / 2661S	R	NA	1:250	NA
CycA2	Abcam / ab181591	R / EPR17351	1:500	1:250	NA
CycA	SCBT / sc-239	M / BF683	NA	1:200	NA
CycA	SCBT / sc-239 AC	M / BF683	NA	NA	10µg
CycB1	CS / 12231S	R / D5C10	1:500	NA	NA
GAPHD	CS / 2118S	R / 14C10	NA	1:500	NA
GAPHD	BioRad / MCA4739	M / 6C5	NA	1:1000	NA
γH2Ax	MS / 05-636	M / JBW301	1:1000	1:500	NA
γH2Ax (S139)	Abcam / ab11174	R	1:1000	1:1000	NA
Lamin B1	Abcam / ab16048	R	1:1000	NA	NA
PNKP	Bethyl / A300-257A	R	1:500	NA	NA
PNKP	Aviva / OAAN01954	R	NA	1:250	NA
PNKP (pSer114/Thr118)	CS / 3522S	R	1:400	NA	NA
ZIKV NS1	Genetex / GTX634158	M / GT5212	1:1000	NA	NA
ZIKV NS1	Genetex / GTX133324	R	1:1000	1:800	NA
ZIKV gE	BEI / NR50414	M / ZV-2	1:1000	NA	NA
Flavivirus group antigen	BEI / NR50327	M / D1-4G2-4-15	1:1000	NA	NA
XRCC1	CS / 2735S	R	1:200	NA	NA
XRCC4	SCBT / sc-271087	M / C4	1:100	NA	NA

^aSCBT, Santa Cruz Biotechnology; CS, cell signaling; MS, Millipore Sigma; BEI, BEI-resources; R, rabbit; M, mouse; IF, immunofluorescence; WB, Western blot; IP, immunoprecipitation; NA, not applicable.

postinoculation and cells were overlaid with 15 mL DMEM-5%FBS. Typically, 3–4 harvests were collected every 4–5 days before cells reached full cytopathic effect. Collected medium was clarified by centrifugation at 3,000 g for 10 min at 4°C. Cleared culture medium was concentrated 10 times for each harvest, using 50 mL 100K Amicon Ultra centrifugation tubes spun at 3500 g for 25 min at 4°C. Retentates were aliquoted into 500 µL, frozen in ethanol-dry ice bath and stored at –80°C.

Antibodies. Primary and secondary antibodies and the dilutions or mass used for the different techniques are listed in Tables 1 and 2.

ZIKV infections. For immunofluorescence (IF), 3 × 10⁵ (hiNPC) or 1.5 × 10⁵ (U-2 OS, Vero, 293) cells were seeded onto geltrex-coated (hiNPC) or uncoated (U-2 OS, Vero, HEK-293) 21 mm diameter round coverslips in 12-well plates and infected 24 h later with 1–3 foci forming units (FFU) (hiNPC) or 1–3 PFU (other cells) per cell of ZIKV in 150 mL of expansion medium (hiNPC) or DMEM (other cells). Inoculated cells were incubated for 1 h at 37°C, rocking and rotating every 10–15 min. Cells were washed once with 2 mL/well cold KnockOut DMEM:F12 (hiNPC) or DMEM (other cells) before overlaying with 1.5 mL/well 37°C complete expansion medium (hiNPC) or DMEM-5% FBS (other cells) and incubated at 37°C in 5% CO₂. Cells were fixed and processed by immunostaining at preselected times.

TABLE 2 Secondary antibody conjugates^a

Secondary antibody-conjugate	Cat no.	Dilution	
		IF	WB
Anti-mouse Alexa 488	A11001	1:1500	1:1000
Anti-mouse Alexa 546	A11003	1:1000	1:500
Anti-mouse Alexa 647	A21235	1:1000	1:500
Anti-mouse Alexa 680	A21057	NA	1:1000
Anti-rabbit Alexa 488	A11008	1:1500	1:1000
Anti-rabbit Alexa 546	A11010	1:1000	1:500
Anti-rabbit Alexa 647	A21244	1:1000	1:500
Anti-rabbit Alexa 790	A11367	NA	1:1000

^aThermoFisher Scientific/Invitrogen. IF, immunofluorescence; WB, Western blot; NA, not applicable.

For drug treatments, cells were seeded on geltrex-coated 12-well plates as described and infected 24 h later with 3 FFU (hiNPC) or 3 PFU (other cells) per cell of ZIKV as described. Culture media were harvested at preselected times, cleared by centrifugation at 3,000 g for 10 min at 4°C, and titrated immediately or frozen at -80°C for later titration.

For Western blotting or immuno-kinase activity assays, 3×10^6 cells were seeded on T75 flasks or 10 cm diameter dishes and infected 24 h later with 3 FFU (hiNPC) or 3 PFU (U-2 OS) per cell of ZIKV in 1 mL serum free medium, as described. Cell monolayers were detached with accutase (hiNPC), or trypsin (U-2 OS) at preselected times, pelleted, resuspended in 1 mL ice-cold PBS (10 μ L aliquots were used for cell counting) and pelleted again. PBS was aspirated and cell pellets were frozen at -80°C until further processing.

Plaque forming assays. Vero cells (1.2×10^5) were seeded into each well of 12-well plates and incubated at 37°C in 5% CO₂. Cells were inoculated with 150 μ L of sample the following day and incubated for 1 h at 37°C, rocking and rotating every 10 min. Cells were washed twice with 2 mL/well cold DMEM before overlaying with 1.5 mL/well 37°C DMEM-5% FBS containing 1% methylcellulose and incubating at 37°C in 5% CO₂ until plaques developed, typically 4–6 days. Cells were fixed and stained overnight with 0.5% crystal violet in 17% methanol. Plaque numbers are expressed as PFU/mL for titration of viral stocks or normalized to infected cell number and expressed as PFU/cell (virus burst) for dose response or time of addition analyses.

Foci formation assays. ZIKV infectious titers in hiNPC we evaluated by FFU. hiNPC (3.0×10^5 cells/well) were seeded into Geltrex-coated 12-well plates in 1 mL of NPC expansion medium and incubated at 37°C in 5% CO₂. Cells were inoculated 24 h later with 150 μ L per well of serial dilutions of ZIKV stocks in the expansion medium and incubated at 37°C for 1 h, rocking and rotating every 10–15 min. Inocula were removed and cells were washed twice with 1.5 mL/well cold unsupplemented KnockOut DMEM: F12. Cells were overlaid with 1 mL/well expansion medium, containing 0.75% methylcellulose and incubated for 48 h at 37°C and 5% CO₂. Cells were fixed and processed for indirect immunofluorescence for flavivirus group E antigen (clone 4G2). Calculated foci numbers were expressed as FFU/mL.

Time of addition assay. hiNPC cells were infected with 3 FFU of ZIKV/cell. After 1 h adsorption, cells were washed and overlaid with 2 mL drug-free expansion medium or expansion medium containing 100 μ M Rosco. Drug-free expansion medium was removed at 3, 6, 9, 12, or 15 hpi from replicate series of infected monolayers and replaced with 2 mL of expansion medium containing 100 μ M Rosco. An additional series of infected monolayers was incubated continuously in vehicle (0.1% DMSO) containing drug-free expansion medium. For each time of addition, culture media were sampled just before Rosco addition and at the end of experiment (24 hpi), to calculate viral burst from the time of drug addition. To this end, 100 μ L of medium was removed and substituted with 100 μ L fresh expansion media. Samples were frozen at -80°C until titrated on Vero cells. For untreated infections, culture medium was equally sampled at every time point from 1 to 15 h, and then at 24 h. Viral burst, as percentage of burst in vehicle control, is plotted against hpi. Each time point indicates the average and range of two independent experiments.

Immuno complex kinase assay. Cell lysates were prepared by resuspending cell pellets to 1×10^4 cells/ μ L in nondenaturing lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, 150 mM NaCl, 1% Triton X-100), supplemented with protease and phosphatase inhibitor cocktails (cOmplete, EDTA-free and PhosSTOP from Roche) and incubated for 30 min at 4°C. Lysates were cleared by centrifugation (15,000 g, 15 min, 4°C) before 100 μ L of supernatant, equivalent to 1×10^6 cells, was incubated with 25 μ L of agarose-coupled anti-CDK1 or anti-CycA2 antibodies (sc-54AC or sc-239AC, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, respectively) for 2 h at 4°C with gentle rocking. After three washes in lysis buffer and one wash in kinase buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 10 mM MgCl₂, 1 mM DTT), kinase assays were performed by incubating the immunoprecipitated proteins and beads in 30 μ L kinase buffer supplemented with 50 μ M ATP and 3 μ g histone H1 (EMD Millipore, 14–155) for 30 min at room temperature. Kinase activity was measured using ADP-Glo Kinase assay (V6930, Promega) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Luminescence was measured using a TECAN infinite 200Pro plate reader. Kinase activity, proportional to relative luminescence units (RLU), was plotted against time after infection.

Indirect immunofluorescence. For confocal imaging, cells were fixed with 3.7% buffered formaldehyde for 15 min at room temperature. Fixative was removed, cells were washed three times with 1 mL PBS each, permeabilized with 0.5% Triton X-100 in PBS for 15 min at RT, and blocked with 500 μ L 5% normal goat serum (NGS) in PBS for 1 h at room temperature (RT). Primary antibodies (Table 1) were diluted in 1% NGS in PBS and incubated overnight at 4°C. Primary antibodies were removed and cells were washed three times in 1 mL of 0.2% TritonX100 in PBS each time. Fluorescently conjugated secondary antibodies (Table 2) were diluted 1:1,000 in 1% NGS in PBS, incubated for 1 h at room temperature protected from light and washed 3 times in 1 mL each wash of 0.2% TritonX100 in PBS.

Cells were counterstained with 2 μ g/mL DAPI in PBS for 5 min at room temperature. Coverslips were removed from the wells, rinsed briefly in dH₂O, and mounted onto glass microscope slides using 10 μ L Prolong Gold (Molecular Probes, P10144) or Prolong Glass (Invitrogen, P36984) antifade mounting media. The slides were protected from light until imaging by confocal microscopy using a Zeiss LSM 510 or an Olympus FV 3000 microscope and ZEN2.3ProHWL or FV315-SW image acquisition software, respectively. ImageJ software (136) was used to convert raw image stacks to single channel (gray scale) or merged (color scale) images and to add scale bars.

Metabolic labeling with EdU. hiNPC (2.5×10^5) were seeded on Geltrex-coated 21 mm diameter glass coverslips in 1.0 mL growth medium. 24 h after plating, 1.0 mL of 20 μ M EdU in growth medium was added (10 μ M final concentration) and cells were returned to the incubator for a 15 min pulse-labeling. Cells were subsequently fixed and permeabilized for IF as described above, blocked with 1 mL of

3% BSA in PBS, washed and stained using Click-iT EdU Cell Proliferation kit for Imaging, using Alexa Fluor 488 dye (Thermo Fisher Scientific, C10337), according to the manufacturer's protocol. Cells were subsequently stained for ZIKV NS1 (IF) and counterstained with DAPI.

Cytotoxicity. Cytotoxicity and cytostasis in hiNPC cells were tested using CellTiter-Glo luminescent cell viability assay (Promega, G7570). Cells (5×10^3 cells/well) were seeded in 96-well plates to reach 25% confluence at 24 h after plating. Test compound dilutions were added 24 h later in $150 \mu\text{L}$ in hiNPC maintenance medium and incubated for 2 to 3 days at 37°C . Relative cell number was determined daily by incubating replicated monolayers with CellTiter-Glo reagent diluted in phenol-red free DMEM for 30 min at RT. Luminescence was measured using TECAN infinite 200Pro plate reader. Relative cell number was plotted against time of treatment for each drug dilution. Cytostasis was evaluated by no increase or decrease in the number of viable cells during the incubation period.

Western blotting. Cell monolayers in T75 flasks or 10 cm diameter dishes were detached with accutase (hiNPC) or trypsin (U-2 OS), pelleted, and resuspended in 1 mL of ice-cold PBS ($10 \mu\text{L}$ samples were collected for counting cells) and pelleted again. PBS was aspirated and cell pellets were frozen at -80°C . Sufficient ice-cold lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM EGTA, 150 mM NaCl, 1% Triton X-100, 0.5% sodium deoxycholate, 0.5% SDS) supplemented with protease and phosphatase inhibitor cocktails (cOmplete, EDTA-free and PhosSTOP from Roche) was added to the pellets to yield 1×10^4 cell equivalent/ μL . Samples were incubated for 30 min at 4°C with rocking and then sonicated (3×30 sec interval, high setting in a Bioruptor water bath sonicator, Diagenode). Lysates were cleared by centrifugation at $13,000 \text{ g}$ for 15 min at 4°C . Supernatants were collected, mixed 4:1 with reducing NuPAGE LDS sample buffer $4\times$ (ThermoFisher Scientific, NP0007) and boiled for 10 min. Proteins were separated by electrophoresis in 12 or 7% polyacrylamide gels (the latter for high molecular weight proteins, ATM and ATR) and transferred to polyvinylidene fluoride or nitrocellulose membranes. Membranes were blocked with TBS containing 0.1% Tween 20 (TBST) supplemented with 5% BSA. Primary antibodies (Table 1) diluted in TBST were added and incubated overnight at 4°C with rocking. Membranes were washed with TBST three times for 10 min each and incubated with the corresponding fluorescently labeled secondary antibody (Table 2) for 1 h at room temperature with gentle rocking. Membranes were washed as described and signal was detected and recorded using a ChemiDoc MP imager (Bio-Rad).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

These studies were funded by the NIH National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke (5R21NS111416) and Canadian Institutes of Health Research (PJT168869). LMS is a BWF investigator in the pathogenesis of infectious disease. The authors acknowledge the support from the Baker Institute and the College of Veterinary Medicine, Cornell University. We thank Roy Golsteyn (University of Lethbridge, Canada) for his advice and insightful comments regarding mitotic catastrophe and Dennis Hall (University of Alberta, Canada) for providing the PNKP inhibitors A12B4C3 and A83B4C63.

REFERENCES

- Anonymous. Pan American Health Organization/World Health Organization. Zika suspected and confirmed cases reported by countries and territories in the Americas Cumulative cases, 2015–2017. 2018. Washington, D.C.:PAHO/WHO; 2017.
- Dick GWA, Kitchen SF, Haddock AJ. 1952. Zika Virus (I). Isolations and serological specificity. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg* 46:509–520. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0035-9203\(52\)90042-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0035-9203(52)90042-4).
- Freitas DA, Souza-Santos R, Carvalho LMA, Barros WB, Neves LM, Brasil P, Wakimoto MD. 2020. Congenital Zika syndrome: a systematic review. *PLoS One* 15:e0242367. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0242367>.
- Pereira HVFS, Dos Santos SP, Amâncio APRL, De Oliveira-Szejnfeld PS, Flor EO, De Sales Tavares J, Ferreira RVB, Tovar-Moll F, De Amorim MMR, Melo A. 2020. Neurological outcomes of congenital Zika syndrome in toddlers and preschoolers: a case series. *Lancet Child & Adolescent Health* 4:378–387. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2352-4642\(20\)30041-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2352-4642(20)30041-9).
- Platt DJ, Miner JJ. 2017. Consequences of congenital Zika virus infection. *Curr Opin Virol* 27:1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coviro.2017.09.005>.
- Mead PS, Hills SL, Brooks JT. 2018. Zika virus as a sexually transmitted pathogen. *Current Opinion in Infectious Diseases* 31. <https://doi.org/10.1097/QCO.0000000000000414>.
- Foy BD, Kobylinski KC, Foy JLC, Blitvich BJ, Travassos Da Rosa A, Haddock AD, Lanciotti RS, Tesh RB. 2011. Probable Non-Vector-borne Transmission of Zika Virus, Colorado, USA. *Emerg Infect Dis* 17:880–882. <https://doi.org/10.3201/eid1705.101939>.
- Sakkas H, Bozidis P, Giannakopoulos X, Sofikitis N, Papadopoulou C. 2018. An Update on Sexual Transmission of Zika Virus. *Pathogens* 7:66. <https://doi.org/10.3390/pathogens7030066>.
- Calvet G, Aguiar RS, Melo ASO, Sampaio SA, De Filippis I, Fabri A, Araujo ESM, De Sequeira PC, De Mendonça MCL, De Oliveira L, Tschöcke DA, Schrago CG, Thompson FL, Brasil P, Dos Santos FB, Nogueira RMR, Tanuri A, De Filippis AMB. 2016. Detection and sequencing of Zika virus from amniotic fluid of fetuses with microcephaly in Brazil: a case study. *Lancet Infect Dis* 16:653–660. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099\(16\)00095-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(16)00095-5).
- Rubin EJ, Greene MF, Baden LR. 2016. Zika Virus and Microcephaly. *N Engl J Med* 374:984–985. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMe1601862>.
- Martines RB, Bhatnagar J, De Oliveira Ramos AM, Davi HPF, Iglezias SDA, Kanamura CT, Keating MK, Hale G, Silva-Flannery L, Muehlenbachs A, Ritter J, Gary J, Rollin D, Goldsmith CS, Reagan-Steiner S, Ermias Y, Suzuki T, Luz KG, De Oliveira WK, Lanciotti R, Lambert A, Shieh W-J, Zaki SR. 2016. Pathology of congenital Zika syndrome in Brazil: a case series. *Lancet* 388:898–904. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(16\)30883-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(16)30883-2).
- Oliveira Melo AS, Malinger G, Ximenes R, Szejnfeld PO, Alves Sampaio S, Bispo De Filippis AM. 2016. Zika virus intrauterine infection causes fetal brain abnormality and microcephaly: tip of the iceberg? *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol* 47:6–7. <https://doi.org/10.1002/uog.15831>.
- Brasil P, Vasconcelos Z, Kerin T, Gabaglia CR, Ribeiro IP, Bonaldo MC, Damasceno L, Pone MV, Pone S, Zin A, Tsui I, Adachi K, Pereira JP, Gaw SL, Carvalho L, Cunha DC, Guida L, Rocha M, Cherry JD, Wang L, Aliyari S, Cheng G, Foo S-S, Chen W, Jung J, Brickley E, Moreira MEL, Nielsen-Saines K. 2020. Zika virus vertical transmission in children with confirmed antenatal exposure. *Nat Commun* 11. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-17331-0>.
- Ades AE, Soriano-Arandes A, Alarcon A, Bonfante F, Thorne C, Peckham CS, Giaquinto C. 2021. Vertical transmission of Zika virus and its outcomes: a Bayesian synthesis of prospective studies. *Lancet Infect Dis* 21:537–545. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099\(20\)30432-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30432-1).

15. Christian KM, Song H, Ming GL. 2019. Pathophysiology and Mechanisms of Zika Virus Infection in the Nervous System. *Annu Rev Neurosci* 42: 249–269. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-neuro-080317-062231>.
16. Xu D, Li C, Qin C-F, Xu Z. 2019. Update on the Animal Models and Underlying Mechanisms for ZIKV-Induced Microcephaly. *Annu Rev Virol* 6: 459–479. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-virology-092818-015740>.
17. Barkovich AJ, Dobyns WB, Guerrini R. 2015. Malformations of Cortical Development and Epilepsy. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med* 5:a022392. <https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a022392>.
18. Wen Z, Song H, Ming GL. 2017. How does Zika virus cause microcephaly? *Genes Dev* 31:849–861. <https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.298216.117>.
19. Kuno G, Chang GJJ. 2007. Full-length sequencing and genomic characterization of Bagaza, Kedougou, and Zika viruses. *Arch Virol* 152:687–696. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00705-006-0903-z>.
20. Hou W, Cruz-Cosme R, Armstrong N, Obwolo LA, Wen F, Hu W, Luo M-H, Tang Q. 2017. Molecular cloning and characterization of the genes encoding the proteins of Zika virus. *Gene* 628:117–128. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gene.2017.07.049>.
21. Wang A, Thurmond S, Islas L, Hui K, Hai R. 2017. Zika virus genome biology and molecular pathogenesis. *Emerging Microbes & Infections* 6:1–6.
22. Mohd Ropidi MI, Khazali AS, Nor Rashid N, Yusof R. 2020. Endoplasmic reticulum: a focal point of Zika virus infection. *J Biomed Sci* 27:27. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12929-020-0618-6>.
23. Rossignol ED, Peters KN, Connor JH, Bullitt E. 2017. Zika virus induced cellular remodelling. *Cell Microbiol* 19:e12740. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cmi.12740>.
24. Caldas LA, Azevedo RC, Da Silva JL, De Souza W. 2020. Microscopy analysis of Zika virus morphogenesis in mammalian cells. *Sci Rep* 10.
25. Ci Y, Liu Z-Y, Zhang N-N, Niu Y, Yang Y, Xu C, Yang W, Qin C-F, Shi L. 2020. Zika NS1-induced ER remodeling is essential for viral replication. *J Cell Biology* 219. <https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.201903062>.
26. Cortese M, Goellner S, Acosta EG, Neufeldt CJ, Oleksiuk O, Lampe M, Haselmann U, Funaya C, Schieber N, Ronchi P, Schorb M, Prunusild P, Schwab Y, Chatel-Chaix L, Ruggieri A, Bartenschlager R. 2017. Ultrastructural Characterization of Zika Virus Replication Factories. *Cell Rep* 18: 2113–2123. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2017.02.014>.
27. Neufeldt CJ, Cortese M, Acosta EG, Bartenschlager R. 2018. Rewiring cellular networks by members of the Flaviviridae family. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 16:125–142. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro.2017.170>.
28. Delfin-Riela T, Rossotti M, Alvez-Rosado R, Leizagoyen C, Gonzalez-Sapienza G. 2020. Highly Sensitive Detection of Zika Virus Nonstructural Protein 1 in Serum Samples by a Two-Site Nanobody ELISA. *Biomolecules* 10. <https://doi.org/10.3390/biom10121652>.
29. Flamand M, Megret FO, Mathieu M, Lepault J, Rey FLA, Deubel V. 1999. Dengue Virus Type 1 Nonstructural Glycoprotein NS1 Is Secreted from Mammalian Cells as a Soluble Hexamer in a Glycosylation-Dependent Fashion. *J Virol* 73:6104–6110. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.73.7.6104-6110.1999>.
30. Ci Y, Shi L. 2021. Compartmentalized replication organelle of flavivirus at the ER and the factors involved. *Cell Mol Life Sci* <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00018-021-03834-6>.
31. Shen J, Gilmore EC, Marshall CA, Haddadin M, Reynolds JJ, Eyaid W, Bodell A, Barry B, Gleason D, Allen K, Ganesh VS, Chang BS, Grix A, Hill RS, Topcu M, Caldecott KW, Barkovich AJ, Walsh CA. 2010. Mutations in PNKP cause microcephaly, seizures and defects in DNA repair. *Nat Genet* 42:245–249. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.526>.
32. Dumitrache LC, McKinnon PJ. 2017. Polynucleotide kinase-phosphatase (PNKP) mutations and neurologic disease. *Mech Ageing Dev* 161:121–129. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mad.2016.04.009>.
33. Poulton C, Oegema R, Heijtsman D, Hoogeboom J, Schot R, Stroink H, Willemsen MA, Verheijen FW, Van De Spek P, Kremer A, Mancini GMS. 2013. Progressive cerebellar atrophy and polyneuropathy: expanding the spectrum of PNKP mutations. *neurogenetics* 14:43–51. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10048-012-0351-8>.
34. Karimi-Busheri F, Daly G, Robins P, Canas B, Pappin DJ, Sgourios J, Miller GG, Fakhrai H, Davis EM, Le Beau MM, Weinfeld M. 1999. Molecular characterization of a human DNA kinase. *J Biol Chem* 274:24187–24194. <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.274.34.24187>.
35. Jilani A, Ramotar D, Slack C, Ong C, Yang XM, Scherer SW, Lasko DD. 1999. Molecular Cloning of the Human Gene, PNKP, Encoding a Polynucleotide Kinase 3'-Phosphatase and Evidence for Its Role in Repair of DNA Strand Breaks Caused by Oxidative Damage. *J Biological Chemistry* 274:24176–24186. <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.274.34.24176>.
36. Weinfeld M, Mani RS, Abdou I, Aceytuno RD, Glover JNM. 2011. Tidying up loose ends: the role of polynucleotide kinase/phosphatase in DNA strand break repair. *Trends Biochem Sci* 36:262–271. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibs.2011.01.006>.
37. Bertocini CRA, Mene R. 1995. DNA strand breaks produced by oxidative stress in mammalian cells exhibit 3'-phosphoglycolate termini. *Nucleic Acids Res* 23:2995–3002. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/23.15.2995>.
38. Henner WD, Grunberg SM, Haseltine WA. 1982. Sites and structure of gamma radiation-induced DNA strand breaks. *J Biological Chemistry* 257: 11750–11754. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9258\(18\)33827-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9258(18)33827-4).
39. Yang SW, Burgin AB, Huizenga BN, Robertson CA, Yao KC, Nash HA. 1996. A eukaryotic enzyme that can disjoin dead-end covalent complexes between DNA and type I topoisomerases. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 93:11534–11539. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.93.21.11534>.
40. Wiederhold L, Leppard JB, Kedar P, Karimi-Busheri F, Rasouli-Nia A, Weinfeld M, Tomkinson AE, Izumi T, Prasad R, Wilson SH, Mitra S, Hazra TK. 2004. AP Endonuclease-Independent DNA Base Excision Repair in Human Cells. *Mol Cell* 15:209–220. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcel.2004.06.003>.
41. Coquerelle T, Bopp A, Kessler B, Hagen U. 1973. Strand Breaks and 5' End-groups in DNA of Irradiated Thymocytes. *Int J Radiation Biology and Related Studies in Physics, Chemistry and Medicine* 24:397–404. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09553007314551251>.
42. Hsiang YH, Hertzberg R, Hecht S, Liu LF. 1985. Camptothecin induces protein-linked DNA breaks via mammalian DNA topoisomerase I. *J Biological Chemistry* 260:14873–14878. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9258\(17\)38654-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0021-9258(17)38654-4).
43. Terzoudi GI, Karakosta M, Pantelias A, Hatzi VI, Karachristou I, Pantelias G. 2015. Stress induced by premature chromatin condensation triggers chromosome shattering and chromothripsis at DNA sites still replicating in micronuclei or multinucleate cells when primary nuclei enter mitosis. *Mutat Res Genet Toxicol Environ Mutagen* 793:185–198. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mrgentox.2015.07.014>.
44. Kalasova I, Hailstone R, Blublitz J, Bogantes J, Hofmann W, Leal A, Hanzlikova H, Caldecott KW. 2020. Pathological mutations in PNKP trigger defects in DNA single-strand break repair but not DNA double-strand break repair. *Nucleic Acids Res* 48:6672–6684. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkaa489>.
45. Rasouli-Nia A, Karimi-Busheri F, Weinfeld M. 2004. Stable down-regulation of human polynucleotide kinase enhances spontaneous mutation frequency and sensitizes cells to genotoxic agents. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 101:6905–6910. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0400099101>.
46. Reynolds JJ, Walker AK, Gilmore EC, Walsh CA, Caldecott KW. 2012. Impact of PNKP mutations associated with microcephaly, seizures and developmental delay on enzyme activity and DNA strand break repair. *Nucleic Acids Res* 40:6608–6619. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gks318>.
47. Shimada M, Dumitrache LC, Russell HR, McKinnon PJ. 2015. Polynucleotide kinase-phosphatase enables neurogenesis via multiple DNA repair pathways to maintain genome stability. *EMBO J* 34:2465–2480. <https://doi.org/10.15252/embj.201591363>.
48. Heim A, Rymarczyk B, Mayer TU. 2017. Regulation of Cell Division, p 83–116. Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-46095-6_3.
49. De Souza R, Costa Ayub L, Yip K. 2018. Mitotic Catastrophe. *Apoptosis and Beyond*:475–510. p. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119432463.ch23>.
50. Crncec A, Hochegger H. 2019. Triggering mitosis. *EBFS Lett* 593:2868–2888. <https://doi.org/10.1002/1873-3468.13635>.
51. Katsuno Y, Suzuki A, Sugimura K, Okumura K, Zineldeen DH, Shimada M, Niida H, Mizuno T, Hanaoka F, Nakanishi M. 2009. Cyclin A-Cdk1 regulates the origin firing program in mammalian cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 106:3184–3189. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0809350106>.
52. Den Elzen N, Pines J. 2001. Cyclin A Is Destroyed in Prometaphase and Can Delay Chromosome Alignment and Anaphase. *J Cell Biology* 153: 121–136. <https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.153.1.121>.
53. Geley S, Kramer E, Geffers C, Gannon J, Peters J-M, Hunt T. 2001. Anaphase-Promoting Complex/Cyclosome-Dependent Proteolysis of Human Cyclin A Starts at the Beginning of Mitosis and Is Not Subject to the Spindle Assembly Checkpoint. *J Cell Biol* 153:137–148. <https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.153.1.137>.
54. Martínez-Alonso D, Malumbres M. 2020. Mammalian cell cycle cyclins. *Semin Cell Dev Biol* 107:28–35. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semcdb.2020.03.009>.
55. Fung T, Poon R. 2005. A roller coaster ride with the mitotic cyclins. *Semin Cell Dev Biol* 16:335–342. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semcdb.2005.02.014>.

56. Mueller PR, Coleman TR, Kumagai A, Dunphy WG. 1995. Myt1: a Membrane-Associated Inhibitory Kinase That Phosphorylates Cdc2 on Both Threonine-14 and Tyrosine-15. *Science* 270:86–90. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.270.5233.86>.
57. Kornbluth S, Sebastian B, Hunter T, Newport J. 1994. Membrane localization of the kinase which phosphorylates p34cdc2 on threonine 14. *Mol Biol Cell* 5:273–282. <https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.5.3.273>.
58. Liu F, Stanton JJ, Wu Z, Piwnicka-Worms H. 1997. The human Myt1 kinase preferentially phosphorylates Cdc2 on threonine 14 and localizes to the endoplasmic reticulum and Golgi complex. *Mol Cell Biol* 17:571–583. <https://doi.org/10.1128/MCB.17.2.571>.
59. Parker L, Piwnicka-Worms H. 1992. Inactivation of the p34cdc2-cyclin B complex by the human WEE1 tyrosine kinase. *Science* 257:1955–1957. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1384126>.
60. Solomon MJ, Lee T, Kirschner MW. 1992. Role of phosphorylation in p34cdc2 activation: identification of an activating kinase. *Mol Biol Cell* 3:13–27. <https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.3.1.13>.
61. Kaldis P. 1999. The cdk-activating kinase (CAK): from yeast to mammals. *Cell Mol Life Sci* 55:284–296. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00180050290>.
62. Kumagai A, Dunphy WG. 1991. The cdc25 protein controls tyrosine dephosphorylation of the cdc2 protein in a cell-free system. *Cell* 64:903–914. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674\(91\)90315-P](https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(91)90315-P).
63. Strausfeld U, Labbé JC, Fesquet D, Cavadore JC, Picard A, Sadhu K, Russell P, Dorée M. 1991. Dephosphorylation and activation of a p34cdc2/cyclin B complex in vitro by human CDC25 protein. *Nature* 351:242–245. <https://doi.org/10.1038/351242a0>.
64. Gautier J, Solomon MJ, Booher RN, Bazan JF, Kirschner MW. 1991. cdc25 is a specific tyrosine phosphatase that directly activates p34cdc2. *Cell* 67:197–211. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674\(91\)90583-k](https://doi.org/10.1016/0092-8674(91)90583-k).
65. Freschauf GK, Karimi-Busheri F, Ulaczyk-Lesanko A, Mereniuk TR, Ahrens A, Koshy JM, Rasouli-Nia A, Pasarij P, Holmes CFB, Rininsland F, Hall DG, Weinfeld M. 2009. Identification of a Small Molecule Inhibitor of the Human DNA Repair Enzyme Polynucleotide Kinase/Phosphatase. *Cancer Res* 69:7739–7746. <https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-09-1805>.
66. Freschauf GK, Mani RS, Mereniuk TR, Fanta M, Virgen CA, Dianov GL, Grassot J-M, Hall DG, Weinfeld M. 2010. Mechanism of Action of an Imidopiperidine Inhibitor of Human Polynucleotide Kinase/Phosphatase. *J Biological Chemistry* 285:2351–2360. <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M109.055764>.
67. Shire Z, Vakili MR, Morgan TDR, Hall DG, Lavasanifar A, Weinfeld M. 2018. Nanoencapsulation of Novel Inhibitors of PNKP for Selective Sensitization to Ionizing Radiation and Irinotecan and Induction of Synthetic Lethality. *Mol Pharm* 15:2316–2326. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.molpharmaceut.8b00169>.
68. Srivastava P, Sarma A, Chaturvedi CM. 2018. Targeting DNA repair with PNKP inhibition sensitizes radioresistant prostate cancer cells to high LET radiation. *PLoS One* 13:e0190516. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0190516>.
69. Zereshkian A, Leyton JV, Cai Z, Bergstrom D, Weinfeld M, Reilly RM. 2014. The human polynucleotide kinase/phosphatase (hPNKP) inhibitor A12B4C3 radiosensitizes human myeloid leukemia cells to Auger electron-emitting anti-CD123 111In-NLS-7G3 radioimmunoconjugates. *Nucl Med Biol* 41:377–383. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nucmedbio.2014.02.003>.
70. Sadat SMA, Wuest M, Paiva IM, Munira S, Sarrami N, Sanaee F, Yang X, Paladino M, Binkhathlan Z, Karimi-Busheri F, Martin GR, Jirik FR, Murray D, Gamper AM, Hall DG, Weinfeld M, Lavasanifar A. 2021. Nano-Delivery of a Novel Inhibitor of Polynucleotide Kinase/Phosphatase (PNKP) for Targeted Sensitization of Colorectal Cancer to Radiation-Induced DNA Damage. *Frontiers in Oncology* 11. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2021.772920>.
71. Chalasani SL, Kawale AS, Akopiants K, Yu Y, Fanta M, Weinfeld M, Povirk LF. 2018. Persistent 3'-phosphate termini and increased cytotoxicity of radiomimetic DNA double-strand breaks in cells lacking polynucleotide kinase/phosphatase despite presence of an alternative 3'-phosphatase. *DNA Repair (Amst)* 68:12–24. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dnarep.2018.05.002>.
72. Parsons JL, Khoronenkova SV, Dianova II, Ternette N, Kessler BM, Datta PK, Dianov GL. 2012. Phosphorylation of PNKP by ATM prevents its proteasomal degradation and enhances resistance to oxidative stress. *Nucleic Acids Res* 40:11404–11415. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gks909>.
73. Tahbaz N, Subedi S, Weinfeld M. 2012. Role of polynucleotide kinase/phosphatase in mitochondrial DNA repair. *Nucleic Acids Res* 40:3484–3495. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkr1245>.
74. Aceytuno RD, Piett CG, Haval-Shahriari Z, Edwards RA, Rey M, Ye R, Javed F, Fang S, Mani R, Weinfeld M, Hammel M, Tainer JA, Schriemer DC, Lees-Miller SP, Glover JNM. 2017. Structural and functional characterization of the PNKP-XRCC4-LigIV DNA repair complex. *Nucleic Acids Res* 45:6238–6251. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkx275>.
75. Koch CA, Agyei R, Galicia S, Metalnikov P, O'Donnell P, Starostine A, Weinfeld M, Durocher D. 2004. Xrcc4 physically links DNA end processing by polynucleotide kinase to DNA ligation by DNA ligase IV. *EMBO J* 23:3874–3885. <https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.emboj.7600375>.
76. Della-Maria J, Hegde ML, McNeill DR, Matsumoto Y, Tsai M-S, Ellenberger T, Wilson DM, Mitra S, Tomkinson AE. 2012. The Interaction between Polynucleotide Kinase Phosphatase and the DNA Repair Protein XRCC1 Is Critical for Repair of DNA Alkylation Damage and Stable Association at DNA Damage Sites. *J Biological Chemistry* 287:39233–39244. <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M112.369975>.
77. Zolner AE, Abdou I, Ye R, Mani RS, Fanta M, Yu Y, Douglas P, Tahbaz N, Fang S, Dobbs T, Wang C, Morrice N, Hendzel MJ, Weinfeld M, Lees-Miller SP. 2011. Phosphorylation of polynucleotide kinase/phosphatase by DNA-dependent protein kinase and ataxia-telangiectasia mutated regulates its association with sites of DNA damage. *Nucleic Acids Res* 39:9224–9237. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkr647>.
78. Segal-Raz H, Mass G, Baranes-Bachar K, Lerenthal Y, Wang SY, Chung YM, Ziv-Lehrman S, Strom CE, Helleday T, Hu MC, Chen DJ, Shiloh Y. 2011. ATM-mediated phosphorylation of polynucleotide kinase/phosphatase is required for effective DNA double-strand break repair. *EMBO Rep* 12:713–719. <https://doi.org/10.1038/embor.2011.96>.
79. Castedo M, Perfettini JL, Roumier T, Andreau K, Medema R, Kroemer G. 2004. Cell death by mitotic catastrophe: a molecular definition. *Oncogene* 23:2825–2837. <https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.onc.1207528>.
80. Vakifahmetoglu H, Olsson M, Zhivotovsky B. 2008. Death through a tragedy: mitotic catastrophe. *Cell Death Differ* 15:1153–1162. <https://doi.org/10.1038/cdd.2008.47>.
81. Vitale I, Galluzzi L, Castedo M, Kroemer G. 2011. Mitotic catastrophe: a mechanism for avoiding genomic instability. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 12:385–392. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm3115>.
82. Zhelev N, Trifonov D, Wang S, Hassan M, El Serafi I, Mitev V. 2013. From Roscovitine to CYC202 to Seliciclib – from bench to bedside: discovery and development. *BioDiscovery*. <https://doi.org/10.7750/BioDiscovery.2013.10.1>.
83. Schang LM. 2002. Cyclin-dependent kinases as cellular targets for antiviral drugs. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 50:779–792. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkf227>.
84. Schang LM, Phillips J, Schaffer PA. 1998. Requirement for cellular cyclin-dependent kinases in herpes simplex virus replication and transcription. *J Virol* 72:5626–5637. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.72.7.5626-5637.1998>.
85. Schang LM. 2001. Cellular proteins (cyclin dependent kinases) as potential targets for antiviral drugs. *Antivir Chem Chemother* 12 Suppl 1:157–178.
86. Schang LM, Bantly A, Knockaert M, Shaheen F, Meijer L, Malim MH, Gray NS, Schaffer PA. 2002. Pharmacological cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitors inhibit replication of wild-type and drug-resistant strains of herpes simplex virus and human immunodeficiency virus type 1 by targeting cellular, not viral, proteins. *J Virol* 76:7874–7882. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.76.15.7874-7882.2002>.
87. Taylor SL, Kinchington PR, Brooks A, Moffat JF. 2004. Roscovitine, a cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor, prevents replication of varicella-zoster virus. *J Virol* 78:2853–2862. <https://doi.org/10.1128/jvi.78.6.2853-2862.2004>.
88. Schang LM, St Vincent MR, Lacasse JJ. 2006. Five years of progress on cyclin-dependent kinases and other cellular proteins as potential targets for antiviral drugs. *Antivir Chem Chemother* 17:293–320. <https://doi.org/10.1177/095632020601700601>.
89. Sanchez V, McElroy AK, Yen J, Tamrakar S, Clark CL, Schwartz RA, Spector DH. 2004. Cyclin-dependent kinase activity is required at early times for accurate processing and accumulation of the human cytomegalovirus UL122-123 and UL37 immediate-early transcripts and at later times for virus production. *J Virol* 78:11219–11232. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.78.20.11219-11232.2004>.
90. Kudoh A, Daikoku T, Sugaya Y, Isomura H, Fujita M, Kiyono T, Nishiyama Y, Tsurumi T. 2004. Inhibition of S-phase cyclin-dependent kinase activity blocks expression of Epstein-Barr virus immediate-early and early genes, preventing viral lytic replication. *J Virol* 78:104–115. <https://doi.org/10.1128/jvi.78.1.104-115.2004>.
91. Gutierrez-Chamorro L, Felip E, Ezeonwumelu IJ, Margeli M, Ballana E. 2021. Cyclin-dependent Kinases as Emerging Targets for Developing Novel Antiviral Therapeutics. *Trends Microbiol*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2021.01.014>.

92. Champion L, Linder MI, Kutay U. 2017. Cellular Reorganization during Mitotic Entry. *Trends Cell Biol* 27:26–41. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tcb.2016.07.004>.
93. Puhka M, Joensuu M, Vihinen H, Belevich I, Jokitalo E. 2012. Progressive sheet-to-tubule transformation is a general mechanism for endoplasmic reticulum partitioning in dividing mammalian cells. *Mol Biol Cell* 23:2424–2432. <https://doi.org/10.1091/mbc.E10-12-0950>.
94. Puhka M, Vihinen H, Joensuu M, Jokitalo E. 2007. Endoplasmic reticulum remains continuous and undergoes sheet-to-tubule transformation during cell division in mammalian cells. *J Cell Biol* 179:895–909. <https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.200705112>.
95. Baptist M, Lamy F, Gannon J, Hunt T, Dumont JE, Roger PP. 1996. Expression and subcellular localization of CDK2 and cdc2 kinases and their common partner cyclin A in thyroid epithelial cells: comparison of cyclic AMP-dependent and -independent cell cycles. *J Cellular Physiology* 166:256–273. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1097-4652\(199602\)166:2<256::AID-JCP3>3.0.CO;2-O](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-4652(199602)166:2<256::AID-JCP3>3.0.CO;2-O).
96. Lanz MC, Dibitetto D, Smolka MB. 2019. DNA damage kinase signaling: checkpoint and repair at 30 years. *EMBO J* 38. <https://doi.org/10.15252/embj.2019101801>.
97. Ward IM, Chen J. 2001. Histone H2AX is phosphorylated in an ATR-dependent manner in response to replicational stress. *J Biol Chem* 276:47759–47762. <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.C100569200>.
98. Yue L, Guo S, Zhang Y, Liu L, Wang Q, Wang X, Shen D, Wang L, Sun L, Wang J, Liao Y, Li Q. 2013. The modulation of phosphatase expression impacts the proliferation efficiency of HSV-1 in infected astrocytes. *PLoS One* 8:e79648. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0079648>.
99. Yue L, Guo S, Cao X, Zhang Y, Sun L, Liu L, Yan M, Li Q. 2013. PNKP knockdown by RNA interference inhibits herpes simplex virus-1 replication in astrocytes. *Virology* 441:345–351. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12250-013-3350-5>.
100. Entezam M, Razipour M, Talebi S, Beiraghi Toosi M, Keramatipour M. 2019. Multi affected pedigree with congenital microcephaly: WES revealed PNKP gene mutation. *Brain Dev* 41:182–186. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.braindev.2018.08.005>.
101. Tsukada K, Matsumoto Y, Shimada M. 2020. Linker region is required for efficient nuclear localization of polynucleotide kinase phosphatase. *PLoS One* 15:e0239404. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0239404>.
102. Jiang B, Murray C, Cole BL et al. 2022. Mutations of the DNA repair gene PNKP in a patient with microcephaly, seizures, and developmental delay (MCSZ) presenting with a high-grade brain tumor. *Sci Rep* 12:5386. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-09097-w>.
103. Loizou JI, El-Khamisy SF, Zlatanou A, Moore DJ, Chan DW, Qin J, Sarno S, Meggio F, Pinna LA, Caldecott KW. 2004. The Protein Kinase CK2 Facilitates Repair of Chromosomal DNA Single-Strand Breaks. *Cell* 117:17–28. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0092-8674\(04\)00206-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0092-8674(04)00206-5).
104. Onorati M, Li Z, Liu F, Sousa AMM, Nakagawa N, Li M, Dell'Anno MT, Gulden FO, Pochareddy S, Tebbenkamp ATN, Han W, Pletikos M, Gao T, Zhu Y, Bichsel C, Varela L, Szigeti-Buck K, Lisgo S, Zhang Y, Testen A, Gao X-B, Mlakar J, Popovic M, Flamand M, Strittmatter SM, Kaczmarek LK, Anton ES, Horvath TL, Lindenbach BD, Sestan N. 2016. Zika Virus Disrupts Phospho-TBK1 Localization and Mitosis in Human Neuroepithelial Stem Cells and Radial Glia. *Cell Rep* 16:2576–2592. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2016.08.038>.
105. Souza BSF, Sampaio GLA, Pereira CS, Campos GS, Sardi SI, Freitas LAR, Figueira CP, Paredes BD, Nonaka CKV, Azevedo CM, Rocha VPC, Bandeira AC, Mendez-Otero R, Dos Santos RR, Soares MBP. 2016. Zika virus infection induces mitosis abnormalities and apoptotic cell death of human neural progenitor cells. *Sci Rep* 6:39775. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep39775>.
106. Wolf B, Diop F, Ferraris P, Wichit S, Busso C, Missé D, Gönczy P. 2017. Zika virus causes supernumerary foci with centriolar proteins and impaired spindle positioning. *Open Biology* 7:160231. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rsob.160231>.
107. Ghouzzi VE, Bianchi FT, Molineris I, Mounce BC, Berto GE, Rak M, Lebon S, Aubry L, Tocco C, Gai M, Chiotto AM, Sgrò F, Pallavicini G, Simon-Lorière E, Passemard S, Vignuzzi M, Gressens P, Di Cunto F. 2016. ZIKA virus elicits P53 activation and genotoxic stress in human neural progenitors similar to mutations involved in severe forms of genetic microcephaly and p53. *Cell Death Dis* 7:e2440. <https://doi.org/10.1038/cddis.2016.266>.
108. Glover K, Coombs KM. 2020. ZIKV Infection Induces DNA Damage Response and Alters the Proteome of Gastrointestinal Cells. *Viruses* 12:771. <https://doi.org/10.3390/v12070771>.
109. Ledur PF, Karmirian K, Pedrosa CDSG, Souza LRQ, Assis-De-Lemos G, Martins TM, Ferreira J, De Azevedo Reis GF, Silva ES, Silva D, Salerno JA, Ornelas IM, Devalle S, Madeiro Da Costa RF, Goto-Silva L, Higa LM M, Elo A, Tanuri A, Chimelli L, Murata MM, Garcez PP, Filippi-Chiela EC, Galina A, Borges HL, Rehen SK. 2020. Zika virus infection leads to mitochondrial failure, oxidative stress and DNA damage in human iPSC-derived astrocytes. *Sci Rep* 10. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-57914-x>.
110. Hammack C, Ogden SC, Madden JC, Medina A, Xu C, Phillips E, Son Y, Cone A, Giovinazzi S, Didier RA, Gilbert DM, Song H, Ming G, Wen Z, Brinton MA, Gunjan A, Tang H. 2019. Zika Virus Infection Induces DNA Damage Response in Human Neural Progenitors That Enhances Viral Replication. *J Virol* 93. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.00638-19>.
111. Galluzzi L, Vitale I, Aaronson SA, Abrams JM, Adam D, Agostinis P, Alnemri ES, Altucci L, Amelio I, Andrews DW, Annicchiarico-Petruzzelli M, Antonov AV, Arama E, Baehrecke EH, Barlev NA, Bazan NG, Bernassola F, Bertrand MJM, Bianchi K, Blagosklonny MV, Blomgren K, Borner C, Boya P, Brenner C, Campanella M, Candi E, Carmona-Gutierrez D, Cecconi F, Chan FK-M, Chandel NS, Cheng EH, Chipuk JE, Cidlowski JA, Ciechanover A, Cohen GM, Conrad M, Cubillos-Ruiz JR, Czabotar PE, D'Angiolella V, Dawson TM, Dawson VL, De Laurenzi V, De Maria R, Debatin K-M, DeBerardinis RJ, Deshmukh M, Di Daniele N, Di Virgilio F, Dixit VM, Dixon SJ, et al. 2018. Molecular mechanisms of cell death: recommendations of the Nomenclature Committee on Cell Death 2018. *Cell Death Differ* 25:486–541. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41418-017-0012-4>.
112. Sadoughi F, Hallajzadeh J, Asemi Z, Mansournia MA, Alemi F, Yousefi B. 2021. Signaling pathways involved in cell cycle arrest during the DNA breaks. *DNA Repair (Amst)* 98:103047. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dnarep.2021.103047>.
113. Heijink AM, Krajewska M, Van Vugt MATM. 2013. The DNA damage response during mitosis. *Mutation Research/Fundamental and Molecular Mechanisms of. Mutagenesis* 750:45–55.
114. Bakhom SF, Kabeche L, Compton DA, Powell SN, Bastians H. 2017. Mitotic DNA Damage Response: at the Crossroads of Structural and Numerical Cancer Chromosome Instabilities. *Trends Cancer* 3:225–234. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trecan.2017.02.001>.
115. Silva Cascales H, Burdova K, Middleton A, Kuzin V, Müllers E, Stoy H, Baranello L, Macurek L, Lindqvist A. 2021. Cyclin A2 localises in the cytoplasm at the S/G2 transition to activate PLK1. *Life Science Alliance* 4:e20200980. <https://doi.org/10.26508/lsa.202000980>.
116. Gheghiani L, Loew D, Lombard B, Mansfeld J, Gavet O. 2017. PLK1 Activation in Late G2 Sets Up Commitment to Mitosis. *Cell Rep* 19:2060–2073. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2017.05.031>.
117. Bergman ZJ, McLaurin JD, Eritano AS, Johnson BM, Sims AQ, Riggs B. 2015. Spatial reorganization of the endoplasmic reticulum during mitosis relies on mitotic kinase cyclin A in the early Drosophila embryo. *PLoS One* 10:e0117859. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0117859>.
118. Gong D, Pomeroy JR, Myers JW, Gustavsson C, Jones JT, Hahn AT, Meyer T, Ferrell JE. 2007. Cyclin A2 Regulates Nuclear-Envelope Breakdown and the Nuclear Accumulation of Cyclin B1. *Curr Biol* 17:85–91. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2006.11.066>.
119. Schang L. 2003. The cell cycle, cyclin-dependent kinases, and viral infections: new horizons and unexpected connections. *Prog Cell Cycle Res* 5:103–124.
120. Degraffi F, Damizia M, Lavia P. 2019. The Mitotic Apparatus and Kinetochores in Microcephaly and Neurodevelopmental Diseases. *Cells* 9:49. <https://doi.org/10.3390/cells9010049>.
121. Chen J-F, Zhang Y, Wilde J, Hansen KC, Lai F, Niswander L. 2014. Microcephaly disease gene Wdr62 regulates mitotic progression of embryonic neural stem cells and brain size. *Nat Commun* 5.
122. Mitchell-Dick A, Chalem A, Pilaz L-J, Silver DL. 2019. Acute Lengthening of Progenitor Mitosis Influences Progeny Fate during Cortical Development *in vivo*. *Dev Neurosci* 41:300–317. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000507113>.
123. Pilaz L-J, McMahon JJ, Miller EE, Lennox AL, Suzuki A, Salmon E, Silver DL. 2016. Prolonged Mitosis of Neural Progenitors Alters Cell Fate in the Developing Brain. *Neuron* 89:83–99. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2015.12.007>.
124. Phan TP, Maryniak AL, Boatwright CA, Lee J, Atkins A, Tijhuis A, Spierings DC, Bazzi H, Foijer F, Jordan PW, Stracker TH, Holland AJ. 2021. Centrosome defects cause microcephaly by activating the 53BP1-USP28-TP53 mitotic surveillance pathway. *EMBO J* 40. <https://doi.org/10.15252/embj.2020106118>.
125. Gabriel E, Ramani A, Karow U, Gottardo M, Natarajan K, Gooi LM, Goranci-Buzhala G, Krut O, Peters F, Nikolich M, Kuivanen S, Korhonen E, Smura T, Vapalahti O, Papanonis A, Schmidt-Chanasit J, Riparbelli M, Callaini G, Krönke M, Utermöhlen O, Gopalakrishnan J. 2017. Recent Zika Virus Isolates Induce Premature Differentiation of Neural Progenitors in Human Brain Organoids. *Cell Stem Cell* 20:397–406.e5. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stem.2016.12.005>.

126. Chavali PL, Stojic L, Meredith LW, Joseph N, Nahorski MS, Sanford TJ, Sweeney TR, Krishna BA, Hosmillo M, Firth AE, Bayliss R, Marcelis CL, Lindsay S, Goodfellow I, Woods CG, Gergely F. 2017. Neurodevelopmental protein Musashi-1 interacts with the Zika genome and promotes viral replication. *Science* 357:83–88. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aam9243>.
127. Liang Q, Luo Z, Zeng J, Chen W, Foo S-S, Lee S-A, Ge J, Wang S, Goldman SA, Zlokovic BV, Zhao Z, Jung JU. 2016. Zika Virus NS4A and NS4B Proteins Deregulate Akt-mTOR Signaling in Human Fetal Neural Stem Cells to Inhibit Neurogenesis and Induce Autophagy. *Cell Stem Cell* 19:663–671. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stem.2016.07.019>.
128. Link N, Chung H, Jolly A, Withers M, Tepe B, Arenkiel BR, Shah PS, Krogan NJ, Aydin H, Geckinli BB, Tos T, Isikay S, Tuysuz B, Mochida GH, Thomas AX, Clark RD, Mirzaa GM, Lupski JR, Bellen HJ. 2019. Mutations in ANKLE2, a ZIKA Virus Target, Disrupt an Asymmetric Cell Division Pathway in *Drosophila* Neuroblasts to Cause Microcephaly. *Dev Cell* 51:713–729.e6. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2019.10.009>.
129. Li C, Xu D, Ye Q, Hong S, Jiang Y, Liu X, Zhang N, Shi L, Qin C-F, Xu Z. 2016. Zika Virus Disrupts Neural Progenitor Development and Leads to Microcephaly in Mice. *Cell Stem Cell* 19:120–126. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stem.2016.04.017>.
130. Wu K-Y, Zuo G-L, Li X-F, Ye Q, Deng Y-Q, Huang X-Y, Cao W-C, Qin C-F, Luo Z-G. 2016. Vertical transmission of Zika virus targeting the radial glial cells affects cortex development of offspring mice. *Cell Res* 26:645–654. <https://doi.org/10.1038/cr.2016.58>.
131. Shao Q, Herrlinger S, Yang S-L, Lai F, Moore JM, Brindley MA, Chen J-F. 2016. Zika virus infection disrupts neurovascular development and results in postnatal microcephaly with brain damage. *Development* 143:4127–4136. <https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.143768>.
132. Lin S, Yang S, He J, Guest JD, Ma Z, Yang L, Pierce BG, Tang Q, Zhang Y-J. 2019. Zika virus NS5 protein antagonizes type I interferon production via blocking TBK1 activation. *Virology* 527:180–187. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virol.2018.11.009>.
133. Grant A, Ponia SS, Tripathi S, Balasubramaniam V, Miorin L, Sourisseau M, Schwarz MC, Sánchez-Seco MP, Evans MJ, Best SM, García-Sastre A. 2016. Zika Virus Targets Human STAT2 to Inhibit Type I Interferon Signaling. *Cell Host Microbe* 19:882–890. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2016.05.009>.
134. Dang J, Tiwari SK, Lichinchi G, Qin Y, Patil VS, Eroshkin AM, Rana TM. 2016. Zika Virus Depletes Neural Progenitors in Human Cerebral Organoids through Activation of the Innate Immune Receptor TLR3. *Cell Stem Cell* 19:258–265. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stem.2016.04.014>.
135. Lee Y, Choi I, Kim J, Kim K. 2016. DNA damage to human genetic disorders with neurodevelopmental defects. *J Genetic Medicine* 13:1–13. <https://doi.org/10.5734/JGM.2016.13.1.1>.
136. Schneider CA, Rasband WS, Eliceiri KW. 2012. NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of image analysis. *Nat Methods* 9:671–675. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2089>.