Drug Resistance

Review Open Access Check for updates

The implication of liquid biopsies to predict chemoresistance in pancreatic cancer

Elisabetta Pietri1,#, Rita Balsano1,#, Matilde Coriano¹ , Fabio Gelsomino² , Francesco Leonardi¹ , Simona Bui¹ , Letizia Gnetti³ , Raffaele Dalla Valle⁴ , Ingrid Garajová¹

¹Medical Oncology Unit, University Hospital of Parma, Parma 43126, Italy.

²Department of Oncology and Hematology, University Hospital of Modena, Modena 41124, Italy.

³Unit of Pathological Anatomy, University Hospital of Parma, Parma 43126, Italy. ⁴Department of Medicine and Surgery, University of Parma, Parma 43126, Italy.

#Authors contributed equally.

Correspondence to: Dr. Ingrid Garajová, Medical Oncology Unit, University Hospital of Parma, Via Gramsci 14, Parma 43126, Italy. E-mail: ingegarajova@gmail.com

How to cite this article: Pietri E, Balsano R, Coriano M, Gelsomino F, Leonardi F, Bui S, Gnetti L, Valle RD, Garajová I. The implication of liquid biopsies to predict chemoresistance in pancreatic cancer. *Cancer Drug Resist* 2021;4:559-72. <https://dx.doi.org/10.20517/cdr.2021.01>

Received: 3 Jan 2021 **First Decision:** 20 Feb 2021 **Revised:** 8 Mar 2021 **Accepted:** 29 Mar 2021 **Available online:** 14 Apr 2021

Academic Editor: Godefridus J. Peters **Copy Editor:** Xi-Jun Chen **Production Editor:** Xi-Jun Chen

Abstract

Pancreatic cancer is one of the most aggressive diseases among solid tumors. Most patients are diagnosed with advanced or metastatic disease and are characterized by poor chemosensitivity. Therefore, earlier diagnosis and novel therapeutic possibilities for pancreatic cancer patients are urgently needed. Liquid biopsy is an emerging technology that allows the noninvasive sampling of tumor material. Nowadays, liquid biopsy has shown promising results as diagnostic, prognostic and predictive biomarkers, but it has not yet been universally adopted into regular use by clinicians. In this review, we describe different components of liquid biopsy, especially circulating tumor cells, circulating tumor DNA and exosomes and their potential clinical utility for pancreatic cancer patients.

Keywords: Pancreatic cancer, liquid biopsy, CTC, ctDNA, exosomes

INTRODUCTION

The most common pancreatic neoplasm is pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC), occurring in more

© The Author(s) 2021. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License [\(https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, for any purpose, even commercially, as

long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

than 85% of pancreatic tumor cases^{[\[1](#page-10-0)]}. It is one of the most lethal types of human cancer with 5-year survival rate less than 10%^{[\[2-](#page-10-1)[7\]](#page-10-2)}. Radical surgical resection remains the only potential curative option for PDAC patients; however, the probability of relapse is high. The average survival of resected patients ranges from 12 to 20 months, and the 5-year survival rate after surgery and adjuvant systemic therapy is approximately 20%^{[\[5-](#page-10-3)[7](#page-10-2)]}. Around half of PDAC patients are diagnosed with distant metastasis. Unfortunately, progress in the management advanced-stage disease has been very modest in the last decades. Actually, chemotherapy regimens (gemcitabine and abraxane or FOLFIRINOX) are gold standard in the treatment of locally advanced or metastatic PDAC, although providing only slight improvements in OS, reaching at best few months^{[[8-](#page-10-4)[10](#page-10-5)]}. No target therapies and no immunotherapy approaches are nowadays clearly effective in PDAC. Only the implementation of genetic testing can change a very narrow treatment landscape for small subsets of patients with actionable aberrations: in particular, in *BRCA1/2* mutated setting, olaparib (PARP inhibitor) might be proposed as maintenance strategy $^{[11]}$ $^{[11]}$ $^{[11]}$. Therefore, only few different treatment combinations are currently available to metastatic PDAC patients, and it is important to switch between them wisely.

Pancreatic adenocarcinomas release their components into circulation through either the exocrine or endocrine system, and, for this reason, blood, pancreatic juice, stools, saliva and urine contain these biological markers and can be a source for their detection and analysis^{[\[12\]](#page-10-7)}. Blood is undoubtedly the most common fluid employed as source of tumor components, and "liquid biopsy" is a novel technology that allows the noninvasive sampling of tumor material. In particular, tumor components released in body fluids such as circulating tumor cells (CTCs), circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA), vesicles and exosome (EVs) have been studied as predictors of chemoresistance in PDAC [\[Figure 1\]](#page-2-0). One of the potential clinical application of liquid biopsies is to identify specific tumor molecular characteristics that could improve the diagnostic process, have prognostic or predictive significance and might be used to determine the potential chemosensitivity or chemoresistance in PDAC patients. Different approaches for detection of DNA aberrations on liquid biopsy are depicted in [Figure 2.](#page-3-0)

MOLECULAR PATHOLOGY OF PANCREATIC CANCER

In this section, we describe molecular classification of PDAC in order to understand genetic alterations that, together with tumor microenvironment, influence pancreatic tumor cell behavior.

Genetic alterations are acquired (or somatic) in most pancreatic cancers. However, in 10%-20% of PDAC the are hereditary (or germline) mutations, prominently regarding DNA mismatch repair (MMR) genes such as *ATM*, *BRCA1*, *BRCA2* and *PALB2*[\[13](#page-10-8)] . PDAC is a heterogeneous molecular disease, showing a high level of inter-tumor genetic heterogeneity^{[\[14\]](#page-10-9)}. The intra-tumor heterogeneity is caused by unequal distribution of cancer cell subclones in the same tumor (spatial heterogeneity). Moreover, the tumor changes over the time: different genetic alterations are selected during tumor development (temporal heterogeneity)^{[\[15\]](#page-10-10)}. Studies analyzing genomic alterations in resected PDACs found near 2000 gene mutations, the four most commonly mutated being *KRAS* (90%), *CDK2NA* (90%), *TP53* (75%-90%) and SMAD4 (50%)^{[[16](#page-10-11)[,17\]](#page-10-12)} [\[Table 1](#page-2-1) and [Figure 3](#page-3-1)]. Raphael *et al.*^{[[18](#page-10-13)]} performed a study with an integrated genomic, transcriptomic and proteomic characterization of 150 PDAC specimens. They confirmed the prevalence of *KRAS* mutations in 93% of PDAC samples. In the remaining 7% of PDAC samples, *KRAS* gene mutations were not identified. However, these *KRAS* wild tumors harbored molecular alterations in other oncogenic drivers. In addition, this integrated transcriptomic and proteomic profiling revealed RNA and protein subtypes that indicate clinically significant subsets of disease^{[[18](#page-10-13)]}. Analyzing signaling pathways involved in the process of PDAC tumorigenesis and progression, *KRAS* has emerged as dominant, but other multiple additional pathways are genetically altered including cell cycle progress, DNA damage control, *TGF-β*,

Mutation	Frequency	Pathways	Ref.
KRAS	92%	MAPK	[17]
G12D/G12V	82%	PI3K	$[17]$
G13	14%		$[17]$
Q61	$< 1\%$		$[17]$
CDK2NA/TP53	78%	G1/S checkpoint	$[17]$
KDM6A/SETD2/MLL	24%	Histone modification	[17]
SMAD/TGFBR	47%	TGF	[17]
ARID1A/PBRM1/SMARCA4	14%	SWI/SNF	[17]
BRCA1-2/ATM/PALB2	12%	BRCA	[17]
RNF43	5%	WNT	$[17]$

Table 1. The most frequent gene mutations and respective pathways involved in PDAC carcinogenesis, according to Bailey *et al***. [\[17](#page-10-12)]**

Figure 1. Detection of CTCs, exosomes and ctDNA by collecting blood samples from PDAC patients. PDAC: Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma; ctDNA: circulating tumor DNA.

Hedgehog and WNT/Notch^{[[19](#page-10-14)[-21\]](#page-10-15)}. .

The global gene expression profiling molecular approach has allowed identifying different PDAC expression subtypes. There are three principal classifications: Colisson with "classical", "quasi-mesenchymal" and "exocrine"-like; Moffit with "normal" and "activated" stroma, "classical" and "basal-like" tumor subtypes; and Bailey with "squamous", "pancreatic progenitor", "immunogenic" and "ADEX"[\[17,](#page-10-12)[22](#page-10-16),[23\]](#page-10-17). Different PDAC transcriptional subtypes show prognostic differences. Analyzing the three classifications, we can conclude that Collisson's quasi-mesenchymal subtype has the worst survival, particularly compared to classical tumor subtype. The best prognosis is presented by the combination of classical tumor subtype and Moffitt's normal stroma subtypes, in comparison to the association of basal-like subtype with activated stroma subtypes. Moreover, Bailey's squamous subtype has a worse outcome than the other three subtypes^{[[14](#page-10-9)]}. In 2015, Waddell et al.^{[\[24\]](#page-11-0)} identified four different PDAC subtypes based on a number of structural variation events contained in tumors: (1) a stable subtype with tumor genomes containing \leq 50 structural variation events located randomly through the genome; (2) a locally rearranged subtype exhibiting intrachromosomal rearrangements clustered on one or few chromosomes; (3) a scattered subtype containing 50- 200 structural rearrangements scattered through the genome; and (4) an unstable subtype with > 200

Figure 2. Different approaches for detection of DNA aberrations on liquid biopsy^{[\[12,](#page-10-7)[93](#page-13-0)]}.

Figure 3. TGF-β upregulates PD-L1 expression and inactivates CD8+ cytotoxic lymphocytes, thus resulting in a reduced immuneresponse to PDAC. PDAC: Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma; TGF-β: transforming growth factor-β.

structural rearrangements scattered through the genome. Most unstable tumors are those with *BRCA* signature associated with mutations of *BRCA1/2* and *PALBB2*. Patients with *BRCA* mutational signature (a putative surrogate measure of deficiencies in DNA repair) had better response to platinum-based therapy. Recently, Sinkala *et al*. [\[25\]](#page-11-1) used algorithms to identify sets of proteins, mRNAs, miRNAs and DNA methylation patterns, in order to identify biomarkers to differentiate pancreatic cancers subtypes. This approach can identify mRNA, protein or miRNA biomarkers and data regarding their concentrations can be matched with drug responses from either cancer patients or cell lines to predict drug responses of PDAC^{[[25\]](#page-11-1)}. .

To understand intrinsic characteristics of pancreatic cancer, it is necessary to analyze composition of tumor microenvironment (TME) that deeply interacts with cancer cells determining growth, invasion and metastasis of each neoplasm. Even these interactions are molecularly determined. Histologically, PDAC can be described as a "hypertrophic scar with sparse neoplastic cells" because it consists of cancer cells sparse among stromal cells which represent up to 85% of tumor mass. Stromal cells include different cell components, in particular pancreatic stellate cells (PSCs), regulatory T cells (Tregs), myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs) and tumor-associated macrophages (TAMs). These stromal cells secrete extracellular components [e.g., extracellular matrix (ECM), matrix metalloproteinase (MMP), growth factors and transforming growth factor-β (TGFβ)]. All together, these components represent TME^{[\[19\]](#page-10-14)}. TME has two major characteristics: dense desmoplasia and extensive immunosuppression. Desmoplasia is an extensive fibrosis at the primary site that can establish a hypoxic microenvironment, which induces invasion, proliferation, inhibition of apoptosis and metabolic changes^{[\[26](#page-11-2)]}. Pancreatic cancer cells are able to induce immunosuppression by inhibiting CD8⁺ T cells from playing an important role in killing tumor cells and upregulate the exiting regulatory immune cells^{[\[27\]](#page-11-3)}. Moreover, TME can induce angiogenesis for tumor blood supply, new lymphatic vessels growth that are vehicles for cancer cells spread and the process of epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) by which cancer cells lose their cell-cell adhesion capacity with subsequent invasion through the basement membrane creating pre-metastatic niche formation, where circulating tumor cells can promote PDAC progression or induce tumor dormancy^{[\[28\]](#page-11-4)}. .

In conclusion, today, a universally accepted subtyping of pancreatic tumors (based on their mutations, expression transcription or protein profiles) has not been followed by success in increased effectiveness of available therapies or identifying tumor biomarkers for early diagnosis and therapeutic response monitoring in PDAC patients^{[\[29](#page-11-5)]}. .

CIRCULATING TUMOR CELLS AS PREDICTORS OF CHEMORESISTANCE IN PANCREATIC CANCER

CTCs are tumor cells which enter the bloodstream by breaching the basement membrane of the pancreatic acinus and ducts, stroma and vessel walls. This transition is driven by two principal mechanisms: forces of interaction created internally to the tumor mass and the EMT program^{[\[30\]](#page-11-6)}. On the one side, some epithelial tumor cells undergo passive intravasation, escaping from the primary tumor^{[[31](#page-11-7)]}. On the other side, some tumor cells undergo EMT, secrete digestive enzymes, lose their polarity and cell-cell/matrix adhesion and gain migratory properties[[32](#page-11-8)]. There are two models of CTCs intravasation: single CTCs detachment from tumor site or collective CTCs invasion^{[[33](#page-11-9)]}. This means that CTCs may travel as single cells or clusters^{[\[34,](#page-11-10)[35](#page-11-11)]}. CTCs which access the intravascular space have to overcome selection processes exerted by the hemodynamic stress of bloodstream and programmed cell death (termed "anoikis") occurring when cells detach from the surrounding extracellular matrix^{[\[36](#page-11-12)]} and immune cell attacks^{[[12](#page-10-7),[30](#page-11-6)]}. There is evidence that malignant cells possess specific characteristics that enable them to survive mechanical stresses. These properties allow CTCs to survive during their passage through the circulation^{[\[37\]](#page-11-13)}. Moreover, CTCs develop anoikis resistance by the EMT process, promoting proteins linked to anoikis resistance and cell-remodeling, thus modifying energy metabolism, growth factor receptors expression, membrane microdomains, reactive oxygen species and lipid rafts^{[[38](#page-11-14)]}. In the bloodstream, CTCs must evade immune system after leaving the immune privileged site of the primary tumor. CTCs have developed strategies to escape immune response, such as intracellular transcriptional changes leading to the upregulation of PD-L1 inhibiting T cell-mediated immunity^{[[39](#page-11-15)]}; production of inhibitor cytokines that negatively modulate NK cell anti-tumor activity^{[\[40\]](#page-11-16)}; EMT-related processes promoting epithelial cell plasticity leading to less immunogenicity[\[41](#page-11-17)]; and promotion of platelets number and activity that modulate NK activity, reduce CTCs shear stress in the bloodstream and increase CTCs extravasation^{[[42\]](#page-11-18)}. CTCs traveling in the bloodstream are not a monolithic entity, but a heterogeneous one. The CTCs population's heterogeneity is induced by acquired genetic and epigenetic alterations, EMT events, clustering phenomenon and microenvironment-associated cues^{[\[43](#page-11-19)]}. There is evidence of epithelial CTCs expressing epithelial markers such as epithelial cell adhesion molecule (EpCAM); mesenchymal-like CTCs expressing traditional mesenchymal markers such as vimentin; CTCs co-expressing epithelial and mesenchymal markers; and CTCs expressing positive stem cells (CSC) markers such as CD4 and CD133 [which are called circulating tumor stem cells (CTSCs)]^{[\[44\]](#page-11-20)}. EMT promotes acquisition of mesenchymal and CSC markers^{[\[45\]](#page-11-21)}, inducing so-called EMT-CTCs. CTSCs and mesenchymallike CTCs, derived from the EMT event, have higher invasion and migratory potentials. CTSCs demonstrate self-renewal and differentiation into multiple cell types, capacities that make them more capable of reaching and colonizing distant organs^{[\[46\]](#page-11-22)}. Further, CTSCs and mesenchymal-like CTCs contribute to promote resistance to conventional anticancer treatments by many mechanisms such as increasing drug efflux, promoting cell dormancy and increasing genomic DNA repair^{[[33](#page-11-9)]}. A fraction of CTCs, called disseminated tumor cells (DTCs), has the capacity of extravasation, entering distant sites (seeding process) and then interacting with new a microenvironment and progressing toward metastases[\[47](#page-11-23)]. DTCs undergo mesenchymal-to-epithelial transition (MET) with subsequent proliferation and dissemination, or, alternatively, they might enter in dormancy and generate metastasis a long time after their establishment in the distant site^{[[48](#page-11-24)]}. .

CTCs in pancreatic cancer are rare, around one CTC per 10⁸ hematologic cells per mL of blood or even less in early-stage cancer^{[[49](#page-11-25)]}. In pancreatic tumors, CTCs entering the bloodstream are conducted to the liver through the portal vein. Thus, thoss in the portal vein might provide a better representation of the CTC population^{[[50](#page-11-26)]}. .

In pancreatic cancer, CTC detection was identified as a possible diagnostic biomarker and their enumeration correlates with staging, prognosis and tumor resectability^{[\[51](#page-11-27)]}. A meta-analysis analyzing 623 PDAC patients demonstrated that the patients with positive CTCs had poorer progression free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS) than the group of PDAC patients without CTCs. This might suggest that CTCs could be a promising diagnostic and prognostic biomarker in PDAC patients^{[[52](#page-11-28)]}. In another study, Ren *et al.*^{[\[53\]](#page-11-29)} showed the presence of CTCs in 80.5% of stage III and IV PDAC patients before any therapy. Examining the CTCs at different time-points (prior to initiation of 5-fluorouracil-based chemotherapy and after seven days of treatment), the presence of CTCs decreased to 29.3%, suggesting a potential role of CTCs in early response to anticancer prediction^{[\[53\]](#page-11-29)}. Another recently published systematic review and metaanalysis of 19 studies assessing 1320 PDAC patients showed that CTC positive patients had significantly shorter OS and PFS than CTC-negative patients^{[\[54\]](#page-11-30)}. This again suggests that CTCs may have a prognostic role in PDAC patients.

Referring to chemoresistance, in prostate and breast cancer, characterization of CTCs have shown capacity of early prediction of treatment response^{[[55](#page-11-31)[,56\]](#page-11-32)}. In small-cell lung cancer (SCLC), genomic analysis of CTCs with relative molecular classifier has allowed assigning patients into chemosensitive or chemorefractory group^{[[57](#page-12-0)]}. The possible prediction of therapy response based on CTCs is currently under evaluation in different cancer types, such as prostate, breast, colorectal, SCLC and gastric cancer, in both preclinical and animal models^{[[58\]](#page-12-1)}. To our knowledge, the only study that evaluated CTCs as predictor biomarker of treatment response in PDAC was published by Yu *et al*. [\[59\]](#page-12-2) The authors analyzed gene expression profile of CTCs in 50 patients with metastatic or locally advanced PDAC^{[[59](#page-12-2)]}. They created and validated Pharmacogenomic (PGx) modeling of tumor tissue to predict efficacy of chemotherapeutic agents in preclinical cancer models. CTCs isolated from patients' blood were profiled and the PGx model was used to predict effective and ineffective chemotherapeutic regimens. The authors concluded that, using previously

created PGx models to predict chemotherapy efficacy, the clinical benefit was seen for PDAC patients treated with chemotherapy regimens predicted to be effective *vs.* chemotherapy regimens predicted to be ineffective with regard to PFS and OS.

The confirmation that CTCs might function as biomarkers of chemoresponse is essential to identify "non-responders" and therefore to avoid ineffective treatments[\[60\]](#page-12-3). Generally, CTCs represent blood available cells originating from primary tumor or metastatic lesions giving phenotypic and molecular tumor characterization in real time. Therefore, they might have importance in tumor diagnosis, staging and prognosis, as well as prediction of response to cancer treatment.

CTDNA AS CHEMORESISTANCE PREDICTORS IN PANCREATIC CANCER

Both benign and malignant cells undergo physiological processes such as apoptosis or necrosis with subsequent release of genetic material into circulation which might be detected peripherally as cell-free DNA (cfDNA)^{[[61\]](#page-12-4)}. The portion of cfDNA which refers specifically to the tumor-derived portion is called circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA)[\[61\]](#page-12-4) . Many studies have found a correlation between tumor aggressiveness and the quantity of the absolute amount of ctDNA[[62](#page-12-5)] . As PDAC exhibits the highest frequency of *KRAS* mutations, *KRAS* is likely to be the best-characterized tumor-related gene that also occurs at an early stage of PDAC[[61\]](#page-12-4) . Therefore, several studies have focused on mut*KRAS* ctDNA detection (in particular, G12V, G12D and G12R mutations) and its potential role in PDAC development, extrapolating prognostic and predictive data. Kruger et al.^{[\[63\]](#page-12-6)} found *KRAS* mutated ctDNA in 75% of pancreatic tumors, slightly higher (79%) if only metastatic patients were considered. They also noticed that the quantity of mut*KRAS* ctDNA significantly differed between patients with non-progressive disease and progressive disease at time of first re-staging. They observed an early increase in mut*KRAS* ctDNA during the first two days after chemotherapy followed by a decrease in mut*KRAS* ctDNA, usually after the first or second week of chemotherapy. This trend was seen above all in PDAC patients with good response or disease stabilization at the time of radiological re-staging. An initial increase of mut*KRAS* ctDNA is observed in most patients, although an increase after two weeks might correlate with disease progression. Therefore, the authors suggested Day 14 might be a crucial time point to evaluate early kinetics^{[[63\]](#page-12-6)}. Hadano *et al.*^{[\[61\]](#page-12-4)} evaluated 105 patients who underwent surgery for resectable PDAC and identified *KRAS* mutation in both tumor and plasma samples before resection in 33 patients. *KRAS* status concordance between tumor specimens and matched plasma samples was 100%. Moreover, the presence of ctDNA, and in particular mut*KRAS* ctDNA, in plasma samples was significantly associated with poorer outcome in both disease-free survival (DFS) (6.1 months *vs.* 16.1 months) and OS (13.6 month *vs.* 27.6 months) analyses. This study suggests a potential prognostic role of ctDNA at early stage PDAC: patients with high ctDNA levels at diagnosis may benefit from neoadjuvant chemotherapy before undergoing surgery. The changes in cDNA levels in pre-surgery and post-surgery liquid biopsy might provide a proof of therapeutic efficacy and management strategies of $\text{PDAC}^{[61]}$ $\text{PDAC}^{[61]}$ $\text{PDAC}^{[61]}$. Wei et al.^{[\[64\]](#page-12-7)} explored application of cfDNA and ctDNA profiling in monitoring tumor burden change following FOLFIRINOX treatment in 38 PDAC patients. Their results show a high degree of concordance between radiologic therapy response and dynamics of ctDNA allele fraction^{[[64](#page-12-7)]}. Cheng et al.^{[[65](#page-12-8)]} collected plasma samples from 13 metastatic PDAC patients. They observed that the presence of mut*KRAS* ctDNA correlated with radiologic tumor responses^{[[65](#page-12-8)]}. Watanabe *et al.*^{[[66](#page-12-9)]} analyzed the importance of one-point determination of mut*KRAS* ctDNA levels before chemotherapy or surgery treatments, in order to predict treatment outcome. They did not prove any association between the presence of mut*KRAS* ctDNA before surgery and relapse-free survival. Nevertheless, the presence of mut*KRAS* ctDNA before chemotherapy start was correlated with worse prognosis (the median OS of PDAC patients with and without detection of mut *KRAS* ctDNA was 15.8 and 33.7 months, respectively). Furthermore, the emergence of mut*KRAS* ctDNA in PDAC patients was associated with poor prognosis. The emergence of mut*KRAS* ctDNA within six months of chemotherapy termination correlated with worse PFS. mut*KRAS* ctDNA in patients disappeared in response

to drug treatment^{[[66](#page-12-9)]}. Similar results were presented by Del Re *et al.*^{[\[67\]](#page-12-10)}, who noticed that PDAC patients undergoing chemotherapy treatment and displaying mut*KRAS* ctDNA increase on the 15th day of therapy had disease progression; conversely, the early mut*KRAS* ctDNA modulation was not associated with tumor response. Therefore, mut*KRAS* ctDNA is a potential biomarker of chemosensitivity/chemoresistance to anticancer treatment in PDAC patients. Monitoring the levels or the emergence of tumor molecular alterations in mut*KRAS* ctDNA during anticancer treatment is a potential biomarker to monitor treatment resistance or response^{[[67](#page-12-10)]}. .

EXOSOMES AS PREDICTORS CHEMORESISTANCE IN PANCREATIC CANCER

Exosomes are extracellular vesicles that contain proteins, microRNAs (miRNAs) or messenger RNA (mRNA). They act as an important mediator of intercellular communication. They can regulate or even modify their surrounding microenvironment. The components of exosomes can therefore serve as cancer biomarkers. It is possible to detect exosomes by isolating them from various cell fluids including blood^{[\[68](#page-12-11)]}, serum^{[[69](#page-12-12)]}, saliva^{[\[70\]](#page-12-13)}. In comparison to ctDNA, exosomes have a longer circulating half-life. As tumor cells produce exosomes without pause, their detection in peripheral blood is independent of certain events such as apoptosis or cell necrosis^{[\[71-](#page-12-14)[73](#page-12-15)]}. The components of exosomes were studied as diagnostic, prognostic and prognostic biomarkers in PDAC, as well as for novel therapeutic approaches. In one study, expression of exosomes containing miRNA-483-3p was higher in PDAC patients compared with patients with non-malignant IPMN^{[\[74\]](#page-12-16)}. Goto *et al.*^{[[75](#page-12-17)]} studied serum miRNAs enclosed in exosomes in PDAC patients and patients with IPMN. They concluded that in particular three miRNAs (miR-191, miR-21 and miR-451a) showed elevated expression levels in patients with PDAC and IPMN and therefore might be used as biomarkers for early PDAC detection^{[[75\]](#page-12-17)}. Further, expression of exosomal miR-191/21/451 was significantly elevated in patients with PDAC and IPMN compared to healthy controls^{[[75](#page-12-17)]}. In another study, the plasma levels of exosomes containing miR-196a and miR-1246 levels were significantly higher in PDAC patients as compared to the healthy population^{[[76\]](#page-12-18)}. Su et al.^{[[77](#page-12-19)]} identified five miRNAs (miR-16-2-3p, miR-890, miR-3201, miR-602 and miR-877) that have diagnostic potential of early PDAC diagnosis. Further, Zhu et al.^{[[78](#page-12-20)]} showed how different levels of microRNA expression might distinguish patients with PDAC and healthy volunteers, appearing to be diagnostic markers. The potential use of miRNA as predictors of response to chemotherapy has been widely studied in PDAC. For example, miR-21 increases cell proliferation and the expression of factors involved in metastasis formation^{[\[79\]](#page-12-21)}. The reduction of miR-21 levels has been shown to be predictive of response to gemcitabine therapy^{[[80](#page-12-22)]}, while the reduction of miR-17-5p expression is predictive of response to nab-paclitaxel in PDAC patients^{[[81](#page-12-23)]}. Miyamae *et al*.^{[\[82](#page-12-24)]} showed that upregulation of plasma miR-744 contributed to worse PFS of non-resectable PDAC patients who underwent gemcitabinebased chemotherapy and therefore might be a useful tool to monitor chemoresistance in PDAC. In addition, the reduced tissue expression of MiR-10b represents a potential marker of response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy in PDAC patients^{[[83](#page-12-25)]}. In another study, it has been shown that reduction of miR-181a-5p plasma levels correlated with response to therapy with FOLFIRINOX. Moreover, the reduction was associated with better PFS and OS^{[[84](#page-12-26)]}. Interestingly, it has been shown that chemotherapy can induce the increase of not previously present miRNAs. In the study of Xia *et al*. [\[85](#page-12-27)] , chemotherapy with gemcitabine caused an increased expression of miR-155, a hypothesized acquired chemoresistance biomarker. In addition, several studies showed the diagnostic potential of microRNAs in PDAC. Unfortunately, even if numerous studies have been published, microRNAs have not been confirmed as universal biomarkers in PDAC patients. The use of different samples and studies involving different populations, as well as the attempt to use experimental elements, has been a limitation. The potential solution to this issue could be to carry out studies on as homogeneous as possible populations with defined tools, in order to reach a conclusive value.

Recently, the cell surface proteoglycan glypican-1 (GPC1) on tumor exosomes was identified. GPC1+ circulating exosomes (crExos) were analyzed in the serum of PDAC patients. The authors demonstrated that GPC1+ crExos were able to distinguish between healthy subjects and patients with a benign pancreas disease from patients with early- and late-stage PDAC[[86](#page-12-28)]. Moreover, levels of GPC1+ crExos correlated with outcome in PDAC patients after radical surgery. The authors concluded that GPC1+ crExos might be considered as a diagnostic biomarker to detect early PDAC stages. However, the role of GPC1+ crExos is controversial. Frampton *et al*. [[87](#page-13-1)] adopted ELISA to quantify GPC1 levels in PDAC tissues and crExos; they concluded that GPC1+ crExos levels may correlate with tumor burden and response to surgical resection, but they are not useful to distinguish between benign and malignant PDAC lesions preoperatively or to rate the aggressiveness of the tumor. The authors of the two cited papers used different techniques: Melo et al.^{[[86](#page-12-28)]} adopted techniques such as anti-GPC1 antibody labeled beads and subsequently flow cytometry, while Frampton et al.^{[[87\]](#page-13-1)} used ELISA, which is more reproducible and clinically amenable in a standard hospital laboratory. Interestingly, Buscail et al.^{[[88](#page-13-2)]} combined quantification of GPC1+ crExos with CTC detection in order to increase their sensitivity and negative predictive value. They observed a negative predictive value of 100% and an overall diagnostic accuracy of 91%. This result could be useful in early stages of PDAC when the tumor is likely releasing fewer circulating biomarkers such as CTCs and exosomes. It could be crucial to make more rapid and effective decisions about the treatment in the early setting of such an aggressive disease[\[88\]](#page-13-2). .

Some authors have provided evidence on the possible use of exosomes for therapeutic approaches. Aspe et al.^{[\[89\]](#page-13-3)} used exosomes for surviving delivery to pancreatic cancer cell line (MiaPaCa-2) with subsequent restoration of gemcitabine sensitivity in pancreatic cancer cell. Bernard et al.^{[[90](#page-13-4)]} investigated PDAC patients with potentially resectable tumors. They demonstrated that an exosomal DNA *KRAS* mutant allele fraction (MAF) peak of 1% was associated with PDAC progression, almost two months earlier than CT scan progression. Moreover, in borderline resectable PDAC patients who underwent systemic therapy with neoadjuvant intent, the exosomes DNA *KRAS* MAF kinetics before and after the completion of therapy correlated with disease progression and therefore an indication for no further surgical resection^{[[90](#page-13-4)]}. All these findings make exosomes a sensitive tool for early PDAC diagnosis, as well as possible prognostic and predictive biomarkers.

Liquid biopsy offers the possibility to analyze other cancer biomarkers: serum proteases comprising matrix metalloproteinase (MMPs) 1, 3 and 9; urokinase plasminogen activator (UpA); cathepsin-B and -E; and arginase. These proteases are over- or under-expressed in solid tumors and have a role in malignant progression (including tumor angiogenesis, invasion and metastasis) and immune dysregulation in cancer. These proteases take part in a proteolysis signal network of proteolysis interaction which in turn interacts with other signal networks such as chemokines, cytokines and kinases co-opted to promote tumor progression^{[\[91](#page-13-5)]}. Arginase, cathepsin-B and -E, MMP-1 and -3 and UpA have been established as suitable serum markers of PDAC patients. Therefore, analysis of this panel of enzymes by means of a liquid biopsy is promising for early PDAC detection^{[\[92](#page-13-6),[93](#page-13-0)]}. .

FUTURE DIRECTIONS AND CONCLUSIONS

Nowadays, pancreatic cancer is among the deadliest solid tumors. There is also a lack of personalized therapeutic approaches because pancreatic cancer biopsies are often inadequate for molecular characterization^{[\[62\]](#page-12-5)}. In this review, we give an overview of the current literature on CTCs, ctDNA and exosomes in pancreatic cancer (see also [Table 2\)](#page-9-0). Unfortunately, in a clinical context, no liquid biopsy is actually used in pancreatic cancer, in any setting. This is due to the lack of standardization of detection methods, as well as insufficient sensitivity and specificity of the possible biomarkers. Liquid biopsy has the

Study	Type of study	Number of patients	Outcome	Findings
Wang et al. ^[54]	Systemic review and meta- analysis	1320	OS and PFS	Shorter OS and PFS in CTC positive PDAC pts
Meijer et al. ^[84]	Biological prospective study	54	OS and PFS	miR-18a-5p declined levels are correlated with improved OS and PFS
Abue et al. ^[74]	Biological prospective study	32	plasma samples of PDAC, IPMN and healthy control	Compare miR-483-3p, miR-21 miR-483-3p discrimines PDAC from IPMN; miR-21 associated with potential metastatic
Miyamae et al. ^[82]	Biological prospective study	94	Compare miR-615-5p, -744, - 575, -557, -675, and -550a plasma samples of PDAC and healthy control	miR-744 is related to poor PFS
Preis et al. ^[83]	Biological prospective study	155	Evaluate the expression of miR-10b. miR-21. miR-155. miR-196a and miR-210 in PDAC samples	miR-10b associated with a improved response to neoadjuvant therapy
Bernard et al. ^[90]	Biological prospective study	425	Clinical utility of ctDNA and exoDNA	KRAS mutant allele fraction (MAF) marker of progression; the exoDNA KRAS MAF related to progression
Kruger et al. ^[63]	Exploratory study	83	Response prediction of mut KRAS ctDNA	mutKRAS ctDNA predictive of early response and therapy surveillance
Hadano et al. ^[61]	Exploratory study	105	OS	Shorter OS in ctDNA+ PDAC patients
Watanabe et al. ^[66]	Exploratory study	78	RFS, PFS, OS	mutKRAS ctDNA predictive of prognosis and therapeutic responses; mutKRAS ctDNA not associated with recurrence or prognosis if detected before surgery or chemotherapy
Del Re et al. ^[67]	Exploratory study	27	OS, DCR	mutKRAS ctDNA potential biomarker of chemosensitivity/chemoresistance

Table 2. The prognostic performance of different circulating markers in different studies

OS: Overall survival; PFS: progression free survival; CTC: circulating tumor cell; PDAC: pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma; ctDNA: circulating tumor DNA.

potential to be incorporated into different phases of PDAC patients care. It would definitely be helpful as a diagnostic tool because the pancreas is hardly accessible to tissue biopsy due to its retroperitoneal position. Another valuable area in which liquid biopsy can be utilized is as a guide for resectable tumors in order to decide between immediate surgery or neoadjuvant treatment. In particular, detection of ctDNA, typically by the presence of mutated *KRAS*, might be a signal of microscopic metastases that could spare the futile major surgery. Another field where liquid biopsy might be helpful is their utility for monitoring response to different treatments. In conclusion, the implementation of liquid biopsies in clinical context represents a new hope for precision medicine and personalized treatments for PDAC patients.

DECLARATIONS

Authors' contributions

Wrote and reviewed this manuscript: Pietri E, Balsano R, Coriano M, Gelsomino F, Leonardi F, Bui S, Gnetti L, Dalla Valle R, Garajová I

All authors contributed equally to this work.

Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

Financial support and sponsorship

None.

Conflicts of interest

All authors declared that there is no conflict of interest.

Ethical approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Copyright

© The Author(s) 2021.

REFERENCES

- Hezel AF, Kimmelman AC, Stanger BZ, Bardeesy N, Depinho RA. Genetics and biology of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. *Genes Dev* 2006;20:1218-49. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.1101/gad.1415606) [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16702400) 1.
- 2. Yao W, Maitra A, Ying H. Recent insights into the biology of pancreatic cancer. *EBioMedicine* 2020;53:102655. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ebiom.2020.102655) [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32139179) [PMC](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7118569)
- Bhutani MS, Cazacu IM, Roy-Chowdhuri S, Maitra A, Pishvaian MJ. Upfront molecular profiling of pancreatic cancer patients An idea whose time has come. *Pancreatology* 2020;20:391-3. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.pan.2020.01.017) [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32192904) 3.
- 4. Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2019. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2019;69:7-34. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.3322/caac.21551) [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30620402)
- Balsano R, Tommasi C, Garajova I. State of the Art for Metastatic Pancreatic Cancer Treatment: Where Are We Now? *Anticancer Res* 2019;39:3405-12. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.21873/anticanres.13484) [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31262862) 5.
- Verdaguer H, Arroyo A, Macarulla T. New horizons in the treatment of metastatic pancreatic cancer: A review of the key biology features and the most recent advances to treat metastatic pancreatic cancer. *Target Oncol* 2018;13:691-704. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11523-018-0609-7) [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30470972) 6.
- 7. Mizrahi JD, Surana R, Valle JW, Shroff RT. Pancreatic cancer. *Lancet* 2020;395:2008-20. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30974-0) [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32593337)
- Conroy T, Desseigne F, Ychou M, et al; Groupe Tumeurs Digestives of Unicancer; PRODIGE Intergroup. FOLFIRINOX versus gemcitabine for metastatic pancreatic cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2011;364:1817-25. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1011923) [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21561347) 8.
- Von Hoff DD, Ervin T, Arena FP, et al. Increased survival in pancreatic cancer with nab-paclitaxel plus gemcitabine. *N Engl J Med* 2013;369:1691-703. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1304369) [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24131140) [PMC](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4631139) 9.
- Caparello C, Meijer LL, Garajova I, et al. FOLFIRINOX and translational studies: Towards personalized therapy in pancreatic cancer. 10. *World J Gastroenterol* 2016;22:6987-7005. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v22.i31.6987) [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27610011) [PMC](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4988311)
- Golan T, Hammel P, Reni M, et al. Maintenance Olaparib for germline BRCA-mutated metastatic pancreatic cancer. *N Engl J Med* 11. 2019;381:317-27. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1903387) [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31157963) [PMC](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6810605)
- 12. Kamyabi N, Bernard V, Maitra A. Liquid biopsies in pancreatic cancer. *Expert Rev Anticancer Ther* 2019;19:869-78. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.1080/14737140.2019.1670063) [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31533487) [PMC](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6824837)
- 13. Petersen GM. Familial pancreatic cancer. *Semin Oncol* 2016;43:548-53. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.1053/j.seminoncol.2016.09.002) [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27899186) [PMC](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5234085)
- 14. Birnbaum DJ, Bertucci F, Finetti P, Birnbaum D, Mamessier E. Molecular classification as prognostic factor and guide for treatment decision of pancreatic cancer. *Biochim Biophys Acta Rev Cancer* 2018;1869:248-55. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bbcan.2018.02.001) [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29499330)
- Lee JS, Park SS, Lee YK, Norton JA, Jeffrey SS. Liquid biopsy in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma: current status of circulating 15. tumor cells and circulating tumor DNA. *Mol Oncol* 2019;13:1623-50. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/1878-0261.12537) [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31243883) [PMC](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6670020)
- 16. Biankin AV, Waddell N, Kassahn KS, et al; Australian Pancreatic Cancer Genome Initiative. Pancreatic cancer genomes reveal aberrations in axon guidance pathway genes. *Nature* 2012;491:399-405. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature11547) [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23103869) [PMC](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3530898)
- 17. Bailey P, Chang DK, Nones K, et al. Genomic analyses identify molecular subtypes of pancreatic cancer. *Nature* 2016;531:47-52. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature16965) [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26909576)
- Genome Atlas Research Network. Integrated Genomic Characterization of Pancreatic Ductal Adenocarcinoma. *Cancer Cell* 18. 2017;32:185-203.e13. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ccell.2017.07.007) [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28810144) [PMC](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5964983)
- 19. Bazzichetto C, Conciatori F, Luchini C, et al. From genetic alterations to tumor microenvironment: The Ariadne's string in pancreatic cancer. *Cells* 2020;9:309. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.3390/cells9020309) [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32012917) [PMC](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7072496)
- 20. Lai E, Puzzoni M, Ziranu P, et al. New therapeutic targets in pancreatic cancer. *Cancer Treat Rev* 2019;81:101926. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ctrv.2019.101926) [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31739115)
- Witkiewicz AK, McMillan EA, Balaji U, et al. Whole-exome sequencing of pancreatic cancer defines genetic diversity and therapeutic 21. targets. *Nat Commun* 2015;6:6744. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ncomms7744) [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25855536) [PMC](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4403382)
- Collisson EA, Bailey P, Chang DK, Biankin AV. Molecular subtypes of pancreatic cancer. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol* 22. 2019;16:207-20. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41575-019-0109-y) [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30718832)
- 23. Moffitt RA, Marayati R, Flate EL, et al. Virtual microdissection identifies distinct tumor- and stroma-specific subtypes of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. *Nat Genet* 2015;47:1168-78. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ng.3398) [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26343385) [PMC](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4912058)
- Waddell N, Pajic M, Patch AM, et al. Whole genomes redefine the mutational landscape of pancreatic cancer. *Nature* 2015;518:495- 24. 501. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature14169) [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25719666) [PMC](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4523082)
- Sinkala M, Mulder N, Martin D. Machine learning and network analyses reveal disease subtypes of pancreatic cancer and their molecular characteristics. *Sci Rep* 2020;10:1212. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-58290-2) [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31988390) [PMC](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6985164) 25.
- Erkan M, Kurtoglu M, Kleeff J. The role of hypoxia in pancreatic cancer: a potential therapeutic target? *Expert Rev Gastroenterol* 26. *Hepatol* 2016;10:301-16. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.1586/17474124.2016.1117386) [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26560854)
- 27. Perdiguero E, Geissmann F. Cancer immunology. Identifying the infiltrators. *Science* 2014;344:801-2. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1255117) [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24855239)
- 28. Ren B, Cui M, Yang G, et al. Tumor microenvironment participates in metastasis of pancreatic cancer. *Mol Cancer* 2018;17:108. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12943-018-0858-1) [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30060755) [PMC](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6065152)
- 29. Torres C, Grippo PJ. Pancreatic cancer subtypes: a roadmap for precision medicine. *Ann Med* 2018;50:277-87. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.1080/07853890.2018.1453168) [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29537309) [PMC](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6151873)
- Samandari M, Julia MG, Rice A, Chronopoulos A, Del Rio Hernandez AE. Liquid biopsies for management of pancreatic cancer. 30. *Transl Res* 2018;201:98-127. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.trsl.2018.07.008) [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30118658)
- Alix-Panabières C, Mader S, Pantel K. Epithelial-mesenchymal plasticity in circulating tumor cells. *J Mol Med (Berl)* 2017;95:133-42. 31. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00109-016-1500-6) [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28013389)
- Micalizzi DS, Maheswaran S, Haber DA. A conduit to metastasis: circulating tumor cell biology. *Genes Dev* 2017;31:1827-40. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.1101/gad.305805.117) 32. [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29051388) [PMC](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5695084)
- Dianat-Moghadam H, Azizi M, Eslami-S Z, et al. The Role of Circulating Tumor Cells in the Metastatic Cascade: Biology, Technical 33. Challenges, and Clinical Relevance. *Cancers (Basel)* 2020;12:867. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.3390/cancers12040867) [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32260071) [PMC](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7225923)
- Aceto N, Bardia A, Miyamoto DT, et al. Circulating tumor cell clusters are oligoclonal precursors of breast cancer metastasis. *Cell* 34. 2014;158:1110-22. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2014.07.013) [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25171411) [PMC](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4149753)
- 35. Cheung KJ, Ewald AJ. A collective route to metastasis: Seeding by tumor cell clusters. *Science* 2016;352:167-9. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.aaf6546) [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27124449)
- 36. Gilmore AP. Anoikis. *Cell Death Differ* 2005;12 Suppl 2:1473-7. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.1038/sj.cdd.4401723) [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16247493)
- 37. Krog BL, Henry MD. Biomechanics of the circulating tumor cell microenvironment. In: Dong C, Zahir N, Konstantopoulos K, editors. Biomechanics in Oncology. Cham: Springer International Publishing; 2018. pp. 209-33. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-95294-9_11)
- 38. Kim YN, Koo KH, Sung JY, Yun UJ, Kim H. Anoikis resistance: an essential prerequisite for tumor metastasis. *Int J Cell Biol* 2012;2012:306879. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2012/306879) [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22505926) [PMC](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3296207)
- Nicolazzo C, Gradilone A, Carpino G, Gazzaniga P, Raimondi C. Molecular characterization of circulating tumor cells to study cancer 39. immunoevasion. *Methods Mol Biol* 2019;1884:247-58. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-8885-3_17) [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30465208)
- Lin E, Cao T, Nagrath S, King MR. Circulating tumor cells: Diagnostic and therapeutic applications. *Annu Rev Biomed Eng* 40. 2018;20:329-52. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev-bioeng-062117-120947) [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29539267)
- Chouaib S, Janji B, Tittarelli A, Eggermont A, Thiery JP. Tumor plasticity interferes with anti-tumor immunity. *Crit Rev Immunol* 41. 2014;34:91-102. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.1615/critrevimmunol.2014010183) [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24940910)
- Labelle M, Begum S, Hynes RO. Direct signaling between platelets and cancer cells induces an epithelial-mesenchymal-like transition 42. and promotes metastasis. *Cancer Cell* 2011;20:576-90. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ccr.2011.09.009) [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22094253) [PMC](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3487108)
- Adorno-Cruz V, Kibria G, Liu X, et al. Cancer stem cells: targeting the roots of cancer, seeds of metastasis, and sources of therapy resistance. *Cancer Res* 2015;75:924-9. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-14-3225) [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25604264) [PMC](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4359955) 43.
- Poruk KE, Valero V 3rd, Saunders T, et al. Circulating tumor cell phenotype predicts recurrence and survival in pancreatic adenocarcinoma. *Ann Surg* 2016;264:1073-81. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.1097/SLA.0000000000001600) [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26756760) [PMC](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4936958) 44.
- Mani SA, Guo W, Liao MJ, et al. The epithelial-mesenchymal transition generates cells with properties of stem cells. *Cell* 45. 2008;133:704-15. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2008.03.027) [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18485877) [PMC](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2728032)
- Luo YT, Cheng J, Feng X, He SJ, Wang YW, Huang Q. The viable circulating tumor cells with cancer stem cells feature, where is the 46. way out? *J Exp Clin Cancer Res* 2018;37:38. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s13046-018-0685-7) [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29482576) [PMC](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5828305)
- Dasgupta A, Lim AR, Ghajar CM. Circulating and disseminated tumor cells: harbingers or initiators of metastasis? *Mol Oncol* 47. 2017;11:40-61. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/1878-0261.12022) [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28085223) [PMC](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5423226)
- 48. Sosa MS, Bragado P, Aguirre-Ghiso JA. Mechanisms of disseminated cancer cell dormancy: an awakening field. Nat Rev Cancer 2014;14:611-22. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrc3793) [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25118602) [PMC](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4230700)
- DiPardo BJ, Winograd P, Court CM, Tomlinson JS. Pancreatic cancer circulating tumor cells: applications for personalized oncology. 49. *Expert Rev Mol Diagn* 2018;18:809-20. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.1080/14737159.2018.1511429) [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30099926)
- Arnoletti JP, Fanaian N, Reza J, et al. Pancreatic and bile duct cancer circulating tumor cells (CTC) form immune-resistant multi-cell 50. type clusters in the portal venous circulation. *Cancer Biol Ther* 2018;19:887-97. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.1080/15384047.2018.1480292) [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30067440) [PMC](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6300340)
- 51. Court CM, Ankeny JS, Hou S, Tseng HR, Tomlinson JS. Improving pancreatic cancer diagnosis using circulating tumor cells: prospects for staging and single-cell analysis. *Expert Rev Mol Diagn* 2015;15:1491-504. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.1586/14737159.2015.1091311) [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26390158) [PMC](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4893319)
- 52. Han L, Chen W, Zhao Q. Prognostic value of circulating tumor cells in patients with pancreatic cancer: a meta-analysis. Tumour Biol 2014;35:2473-80. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s13277-013-1327-5) [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24218336)
- 53. Ren C, Han C, Zhang J, et al. Detection of apoptotic circulating tumor cells in advanced pancreatic cancer following 5-fluorouracil chemotherapy. *Cancer Biol Ther* 2011;12:700-6. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.4161/cbt.12.8.15960) [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21811100)
- Wang Y, Yu X, Hartmann D, Zhou J. Circulating tumor cells in peripheral blood of pancreatic cancer patients and their prognostic 54. role: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *HPB (Oxford)* 2020;22:660-9. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.hpb.2019.11.003) [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31786054)
- 55. Pantel K, Hille C, Scher HI. Circulating tumor cells in prostate cancer: From discovery to clinical utility. *Clin Chem* 2019;65:87-99. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.1373/clinchem.2018.287102) [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30602476)
- 56. Paoletti C, Schiavon G, Dolce EM, et al. Circulating Biomarkers and Resistance to Endocrine Therapy in Metastatic Breast Cancers:

Correlative Results from AZD9496 Oral SERD Phase I Trial. *Clin Cancer Res* 2018;24:5860-72. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-18-1569) [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30082476)

- 57. Carter L, Rothwell DG, Mesquita B, et al. Molecular analysis of circulating tumor cells identifies distinct copy-number profiles in patients with chemosensitive and chemorefractory small-cell lung cancer. *Nat Med* 2017;23:114-9. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nm.4239) [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27869802)
- Kilgour E, Rothwell DG, Brady G, Dive C. Liquid biopsy-based biomarkers of treatment response and resistance. *Cancer Cell* 2020;37:485-95. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ccell.2020.03.012) [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32289272) 58.
- 59. Yu KH, Ricigliano M, Hidalgo M, et al. Pharmacogenomic modeling of circulating tumor and invasive cells for prediction of chemotherapy response and resistance in pancreatic cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* 2014;20:5281-9. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-14-0531) [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25107917) [PMC](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4346320)
- 60. Martini V, Timme-Bronsert S, Fichtner-Feigl S, Hoeppner J, Kulemann B. Circulating tumor cells in pancreatic cancer: Current perspectives. *Cancers (Basel)* 2019;11:1659. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.3390/cancers11111659) [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31717773) [PMC](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6895979)
- Hadano N, Murakami Y, Uemura K, et al. Prognostic value of circulating tumour DNA in patients undergoing curative resection for 61. pancreatic cancer. *Br J Cancer* 2016;115:59-65. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.1038/bjc.2016.175) [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27280632) [PMC](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4931379)
- Oellerich M, Schütz E, Beck J, et al. Using circulating cell-free DNA to monitor personalized cancer therapy. *Crit Rev Clin Lab Sci* 62. 2017;54:205-18. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10408363.2017.1299683) [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28393575)
- 63. Kruger S, Heinemann V, Ross C, et al. Repeated mutKRAS ctDNA measurements represent a novel and promising tool for early response prediction and therapy monitoring in advanced pancreatic cancer. *Ann Oncol* 2018;29:2348-55. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/annonc/mdy417) [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30346475)
- Wei T, Zhang Q, Li X, et al. Monitoring tumor burden in response to FOLFIRINOX chemotherapy via profiling circulating cell-free 64. DNA in pancreatic cancer. *Mol Cancer Ther* 2019;18:196-203. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.1158/1535-7163.MCT-17-1298) [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30301865)
- Cheng H, Liu C, Jiang J, et al. Analysis of ctDNA to predict prognosis and monitor treatment responses in metastatic pancreatic cancer 65. patients. *Int J Cancer* 2017;140:2344-50. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ijc.30650) [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28205231)
- Watanabe F, Suzuki K, Tamaki S, et al. Longitudinal monitoring of KRAS-mutated circulating tumor DNA enables the prediction of 66. prognosis and therapeutic responses in patients with pancreatic cancer. *PLoS One* 2019;14:e0227366. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0227366) [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31891652) [PMC](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6938323)
- Del Re M, Vivaldi C, Rofi E, et al. Early changes in plasma DNA levels of mutant KRAS as a sensitive marker of response to 67. chemotherapy in pancreatic cancer. *Sci Rep* 2017;7:7931. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-08297-z) [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28801547) [PMC](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5554237)
- Zhou X, Lu Z, Wang T, Huang Z, Zhu W, Miao Y. Plasma miRNAs in diagnosis and prognosis of pancreatic cancer: A miRNA 68. expression analysis. *Gene* 2018;673:181-93. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gene.2018.06.037) [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29913239)
- Madhavan B, Yue S, Galli U, et al. Combined evaluation of a panel of protein and miRNA serum-exosome biomarkers for pancreatic 69. cancer diagnosis increases sensitivity and specificity. *Int J Cancer* 2015;136:2616-27. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ijc.29324) [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25388097)
- 70. Katsiougiannis S, Chia D, Kim Y, Singh RP, Wong DT. Saliva exosomes from pancreatic tumor-bearing mice modulate NK cell phenotype and antitumor cytotoxicity. *FASEB J* 2017;31:998-1010. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.1096/fj.201600984R) [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27895106) [PMC](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5295729)
- Grunvald MW, Jacobson RA, Kuzel TM, Pappas SG, Masood A. Current status of circulating tumor DNA liquid biopsy in pancreatic 71. cancer. *Int J Mol Sci* 2020;21:7651. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijms21207651) [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33081107) [PMC](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC7589736)
- 72. Edgar JR. Q&A: What are exosomes, exactly? *BMC Biol* 2016;14:46. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12915-016-0268-z) [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27296830) [PMC](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4906597)
- Lan B, Zeng S, Grützmann R, Pilarsky C. The Role of Exosomes in Pancreatic Cancer. *Int J Mol Sci* 2019;20:4332. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijms20184332) [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31487880) 73. [PMC](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6770781)
- Abue M, Yokoyama M, Shibuya R, et al. Circulating miR-483-3p and miR-21 is highly expressed in plasma of pancreatic cancer. *Int J* 74. *Oncol* 2015;46:539-47. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.3892/ijo.2014.2743) [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25384963) [PMC](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4277249)
- Goto T, Fujiya M, Konishi H, et al. An elevated expression of serum exosomal microRNA-191, - 21, -451a of pancreatic neoplasm is 75. considered to be efficient diagnostic marker. *BMC Cancer* 2018;18:116. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12885-018-4006-5) [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29385987) [PMC](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5793347)
- 76. Xu YF, Hannafon BN, Zhao YD, Postier RG, Ding WQ. Plasma exosome miR-196a and miR-1246 are potential indicators of localized pancreatic cancer. *Oncotarget* 2017;8:77028-40. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.20332) [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29100367) [PMC](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5652761)
- 77. Su Q, Zhu EC, Qu YL, et al. Serum level of co-expressed hub miRNAs as diagnostic and prognostic biomarkers for pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. *J Cancer* 2018;9:3991-9. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.7150/jca.27697) [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30410604) [PMC](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6218787)
- Zhu Y, Wang J, Wang F, et al. Differential MicroRNA Expression Profiles as Potential Biomarkers for Pancreatic Ductal 78. Adenocarcinoma. *Biochemistry (Mosc)* 2019;84:575-82. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.1134/S0006297919050122) [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31234772)
- 79. Park JK, Lee EJ, Esau C, Schmittgen TD. Antisense inhibition of microRNA-21 or -221 arrests cell cycle, induces apoptosis, and sensitizes the effects of gemcitabine in pancreatic adenocarcinoma. *Pancreas* 2009;38:e190-9. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MPA.0b013e3181ba82e1) [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19730150)
- 80. Jamieson NB, Morran DC, Morton JP, et al. MicroRNA molecular profiles associated with diagnosis, clinicopathologic criteria, and overall survival in patients with resectable pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. *Clin Cancer Res* 2012;18:534-45. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-11-0679) [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22114136)
- 81. Yu J, Ohuchida K, Mizumoto K, Fujita H, Nakata K, Tanaka M. MicroRNA miR-17-5p is overexpressed in pancreatic cancer, associated with a poor prognosis, and involved in cancer cell proliferation and invasion. *Cancer Biol Ther* 2010;10:748-57. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.4161/cbt.10.8.13083) [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20703102)
- 82. Miyamae M, Komatsu S, Ichikawa D, et al. Plasma microRNA profiles: identification of miR-744 as a novel diagnostic and prognostic biomarker in pancreatic cancer. *Br J Cancer* 2015;113:1467-76. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.1038/bjc.2015.366) [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26505678) [PMC](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4815891)
- 83. Preis M, Gardner TB, Gordon SR, et al. MicroRNA-10b expression correlates with response to neoadjuvant therapy and survival in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. *Clin Cancer Res* 2011;17:5812-21. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-11-0695) [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21652542) [PMC](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3167031)
- 84. Meijer LL, Garajová I, Caparello C, et al. Plasma miR-181a-5p Downregulation Predicts Response and Improved Survival After FOLFIRINOX in Pancreatic Ductal Adenocarcinoma. *Ann Surg* 2020;271:1137-47. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.1097/SLA.0000000000003084) [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30394883)
- 85. Xia QS, Ishigaki Y, Zhao X, et al. Human SMG-1 is involved in gemcitabine-induced primary microRNA-155/BIC up-regulation in human pancreatic cancer PANC-1 cells. *Pancreas* 2011;40:55-60. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MPA.0b013e3181e89f74) [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20871480)
- Melo SA, Luecke LB, Kahlert C, et al. Glypican-1 identifies cancer exosomes and detects early pancreatic cancer. *Nature* 86. 2015;523:177-82. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature14581) [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26106858) [PMC](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4825698)
- Frampton AE, Prado MM, López-Jiménez E, et al. Glypican-1 is enriched in circulating-exosomes in pancreatic cancer and correlates 87. with tumor burden. *Oncotarget* 2018;9:19006-13. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.24873) [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29721179) [PMC](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC5922373)
- Buscail E, Alix-Panabières C, Quincy P, et al. High Clinical Value of Liquid Biopsy to Detect Circulating Tumor Cells and Tumor Exosomes in Pancreatic Ductal Adenocarcinoma Patients Eligible for Up-Front Surgery. *Cancers (Basel)* 2019;11:1656. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.3390/cancers11111656) [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31717747) [PMC](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6895804) 88.
- Aspe JR, Diaz Osterman CJ, Jutzy JM, Deshields S, Whang S, Wall NR. Enhancement of Gemcitabine sensitivity in pancreatic 89. adenocarcinoma by novel exosome-mediated delivery of the Survivin-T34A mutant. *J Extracell Vesicles* 2014;3:23244. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.3402/jev.v3.23244) [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24624263) [PMC](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3929070)
- 90. Bernard V, Kim DU, San Lucas FA, et al. Circulating Nucleic Acids Are Associated With Outcomes of Patients With Pancreatic Cancer. *Gastroenterology* 2019;156:108-118.e4. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2018.09.022) [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30240661) [PMC](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6434712)
- 91. Mason SD, Joyce JA. Proteolytic networks in cancer. *Trends Cell Biol* 2011;21:228-37. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tcb.2010.12.002) [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21232958) [PMC](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3840715)
- 92. Kalubowilage M, Covarrubias-Zambrano O, Malalasekera AP, et al. Early detection of pancreatic cancers in liquid biopsies by ultrasensitive fluorescence nanobiosensors. *Nanomedicine* 2018;14:1823-32. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.nano.2018.04.020) [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29782949)
- Rubis G, Rajeev Krishnan S, Bebawy M. Liquid Biopsies in Cancer Diagnosis, Monitoring, and Prognosis. *Trends Pharmacol Sci* 2019;40:172-86. [DOI](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tips.2019.01.006) [PubMed](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30736982) 93.