Effects of Carbon Substrates on Nitrite Accumulation in Freshwater Sediments

BEVERLEY H. L. KELSO,^{1*} ROGER V. SMITH,^{1,2} and RONALD J. LAUGHLIN²

*Department of Agricultural and Environmental Science, The Queen's University of Belfast,*¹ *and Agricultural and Environmental Science Division, Department of Agriculture for Northern Ireland,*² *Belfast BT9 5PX, United Kingdom*

Received 10 June 1998/Accepted 20 October 1998

The contribution of the biochemical pathways nitrification, denitrification, and dissimilatory NO₃⁻ reduction to NH_4^+ (DNRA) to the accumulation of NO_2^- in freshwaters is governed by the species compositions of **the bacterial populations resident in the sediments, available carbon (C) and nitrogen (N) substrates, and** environmental conditions. Recent studies of major rivers in Northern Ireland have shown that high $\mathrm{NO_2}^$ **concentrations found in summer, under warm, slow-flowing conditions, arise from anaerobic NO3** ² **reduction. Locally, agricultural pollutants entering rivers are important C and N sources, providing ideal substrates for the aquatic bacteria involved in cycling of N. In this study a range of organic C compounds commonly found in agricultural pollutants were provided as energy sources in 48-h incubation experiments to investigate if the** chemical compositions of the pollutants affected which NO₃⁻ reduction pathway was followed and influenced subsequent $\overline{NO_2}^-$ accumulation. Carbon stored within the sediments was sufficient to support DNRA and **denitrifier populations, and the resulting NO2** ² **peak (80** m**g of N liter**2**¹ [approximate]) observed at 24 h was indicative of the simultaneous activities of both bacterial groups. The value of glycine as an energy source for denitrification or DNRA appeared to be limited, but glycine was an important source of additional N. Glucose** was an efficient substrate for both the denitrification and DNRA pathways, with a NO₂⁻ peak of 160 µg of N liter⁻¹ noted at 24 h. Addition of formate and acetate stimulated continuous NO₂⁻ production throughout the **48-h period, caused by partial inhibition of the denitrification pathway. The formate treatment resulted in a** high NO_2^- accumulation (1,300 μ g of N liter⁻¹ [approximate]), and acetate treatment resulted in a low $NO_2^$ concentration (<100 μ g of N liter⁻¹).

Nitrogen present in freshwaters is derived from three key sources: (i) agriculture, (ii) domestic sewage and industrial effluents, and (iii) rainfall and dry deposition (11). In Northern Ireland, associated with large inputs of agriculturally derived N substrates into the aquatic environments, there have been reports of elevated nitrite $(NO₂⁻)$ concentrations (31) which are believed to be toxic to aquatic biota (12). Concentrations of $NO₂⁻$, an intermediate in oxidative and reductive pathways mediated by bacteria (Fig. 1), regularly exceed the European Union guideline of 0.003 mg of N liter^{-1} for rivers supporting salmonid fish (9). The two main substrates for NO_2 ⁻¹ production are NH₄⁺, through nitrification, and NO₃⁻, via a number of $NO₃$ ⁻-reductive pathways. Previous experiments in our laboratory have shown that NH_4 ⁺ oxidation via nitrification, occurring only in the oxygen diffusion zone, is mainly responsible for the elevated $NO₂²$ levels observed in fast-flowing aerobic small streams (30). However, the high concentrations of $NO_2^$ found in larger rivers in summer under warm, slow-flowing conditions have recently been attributed to anaerobic $NO₃$ ⁻ reducing processes (13). Our laboratory incubation experiments showed that maximal concentrations of NO_2 ⁻ were found in anaerobic sediments deeper than 6 cm and were associated with a high concentration of added metabolizable C where dissimilatory NO_3 ⁻ reduction to NH_4 ⁺ (DNRA) was the predominant NO_3 ⁻-reducing pathway.

The partitioning of NO_3 ⁻ between denitrification and fermentative DNRA is dependent on the activities of two distinct bacterial populations (21). The complexity of the functioning of these multispecies microbial communities is only beginning to be understood (5), but it is believed that the availability of organic matter is probably of prime importance in regulating the relative rates of DNRA and denitrification. Organic matter has the potential to mediate between DNRA and denitrification directly by providing a direct electron donor (C substrate) and indirectly by taking up oxygen, thus creating anoxic conditions (35). The ratio between available C, which acts as an electron donor, and $NO₃⁻$, an electron acceptor, is important not only in influencing which $NO₃⁻$ -reducing pathway is followed (36) but also in determining what end products are produced (28). Denitrification is the dominant process in $NO₃$ ⁻-rich sediments with a poor C supply; conversely, DNRA is the dominant process in environments rich in C which are preferentially colonized by fermentative bacteria (36). To ensure their survival, microbial communities must be very versatile, and this versatility is reflected in their ability to be able to metabolize a large range of C substrates which are available in the absence of oxygen (3). However, the chemical structure of the C source may have diverse effects on the biochemical reduction rates of NO_3^- and NO_2^- (18, 27).

The aim of this study was to investigate the effects of a range of C compounds commonly found in agricultural pollutants on the biochemical pathways of NO_2^- accumulation. Our previous anaerobic study (13) focused on NO_2^- accumulation in rivers where sediment, taken from a range of depths, was supplemented with C in the form of glucose under a high concentration of $NO₃⁻$ (13 mg of N liter⁻¹) and negligible NH_4 ⁺ concentrations. In the present study differentially ¹⁵Nlabeled NH_4NO_3 and a range of C supplements were provided at environmental concentrations for sediment incubations in order to deduce which N pathway supported NO_2^- accumulation.

^{*} Corresponding author. Mailing address: Department of Agricultural and Environmental Science, The Queen's University of Belfast, Newforge Lane, Belfast BT9 5PX, United Kingdom. Phone: 01232 255490. Fax: 01232 382244. E-mail: B.KELSO@QUB.AC.UK.

FIG. 1. Nitrogen transformation processes that involve NO_2^- production and consumption. Pathway 1, denitrification; pathway 2, DNRA; pathway 3, nitrification. (Reproduced with permission from Kelso et al. [13]).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Experimental design. Approximately 20 liters of surface water was collected from the midstream section of a continuously monitored "unpolluted" station on the Upper Bann River, stored in polyethylene bottles, and returned immediately to the laboratory. The water was filtered through GF/C filters (pore size, 0.45 mm; Whatman International Ltd., Kent, United Kingdom) and refrigerated at 4°C. Sediment was collected in October 1997 from a sampling station on the Upper Bann River (Irish Grid reference, J192 429, described previously in the report of Kelso et al. [13]), which drains an agricultural catchment in the counties of Armagh and Down, Northern Ireland. Eleven sediment cores (5 cm [diameter] by 15 cm [depth]) were collected by pushing Plexiglas tubes into areas of sediment accumulation. The top end of the column was closed with a rubber stopper, and the column was then pulled out with the intact core. The stopper was removed, and a plunger was inserted into the bottom end to push the core out. The sediments from the cores were pooled, sieved (sieve pore size, ≤ 8 mm), thoroughly mixed, and left at room temperature for 48 h. One-ninth of a core (approximately one-third of the core size used in our previous study [13]) was added to approximately 180 ml of river water in 90 Kilner jars. Then one of two N treatment samples in 10-ml aliquots was added to the jars to give a N concentration of 6 mg of N liter⁻¹, either as ¹⁵NH₄NO₃, where the NH₄⁺ moiety was labeled at 40 atom% excess, or as $NH_4^{15}NO_3$, where the NO_3^- moiety was labeled at 40 atom% excess. In addition to the two differentially labeled substrates, five C treatment solutions (a distilled-water control, glucose, glycine, acetate, and formate) were added to obtain a final C concentration of 1.0 g of C liter^{-1} . Acetate and formate were prepared by neutralizing the respective acids to pH 7 with KOH (22). During incubation there was approximately 5 cm of water above the sediment. Immediately after the addition of all substrates, a nylon lid with a gas-sampling septum was fitted to each jar with an O-ring to form a gastight seal. Each treatment was replicated three times, with replicates randomly distributed in incubators maintained at a temperature of 23°C. Analyses were made at time zero, with subsequent destructive sampling carried out at 6, 24, and 48 h.

Gas analyses. At each sampling time prior to destructive sampling, two 12-ml gas samples were taken through the gas-sampling septum by using a 20-ml gastight syringe with a push-button valve into evacuated $(<100$ Pa) septumcapped Exetainers (Europa Scientific, Crewe, United Kingdom). The gas was analyzed to determine the ¹⁵N contents of N₂O and N₂ and the concentration of N2O by continuous-flow isotope-ratio mass spectrometry. Analyses were performed with a Europa Scientific model 20-20 stable-isotope analyzer interfaced to a Europa Scientific trace gas preparation system with a Gilson auto-sampler. The valve switching was automated so that the ^{15}N contents of N₂ and N₂O could be determined from the same sample. The ion currents (*I*) at *m*/*z* 44, 45, and 46 enabled ${}^{45}R({}^{45}I/{}^{44}I)$ and ${}^{46}R({}^{46}I/{}^{44}\hat{I})$, where *R* is the ratio of *I* and the superscript number indicates the mass/charge ratio, to be calculated for N_2O . The ¹ content of the N₂O was calculated from either the ⁴⁵*R*, with equations 5 and 7, or the ${}^{46}R$, with equations 6 and 7 in the work of Stevens et al. (33). For N₂, the ion currents at *m*/*z* 28, 29, and 30 were measured by isotope-ratio mass spec-
trometry (33). The differences between ²⁹*R*(²⁹*I*/²⁸*I*) and ³⁰*R*(³⁰*I*/²⁸*I*) for enriched and normal atmospheres enabled the flux of N_2 to be calculated (19). The concentration of N₂O was calculated as described by Stevens et al. (33) from measurements of ^{44}I , ^{45}I , and ^{46}I . The flux of N₂O was calculated from the change in N_2O concentration with time. It was assumed that the concentration of N2O at the start of each flux measurement was 310 ppb. A further two 5-ml gas samples were transferred to helium-filled 10-ml crimp-capped septum vials to

determine the concentrations of $CO₂$ and $CH₄$ in the headspace by using a Varian Genesis headspace auto-sampler interfaced to a Varian model 3800 chromatograph fitted with a 5-m by 2-mm Porapak gas QS column (80-100 mesh). Carbon dioxide was measured with a thermal conductivity detector, and CH4 was measured with a flame ionization detector.

Analysis of N fractions. The pH of the liquid fraction was measured with the aid of an Orion expandable ion analyzer, model EA940. For determining the 15N contents of NH_4^+ , NO_2^- , and NO_3^- , a sufficient amount of KCl was added to the solution to produce a 2 M KCl solution, which was subsequently filtered sequentially through GF/C and GF/F filters (Whatman International Ltd.). Concentrations of NO_2^- were determined spectrophotometrically (Hitachi spectrophotometer model V-2000) by the sulfanilamide-naphthylene ethylene diamine reaction (24). Concentrations of NO_3^- and NH_4^+ in the KCl solutions were determined by segmented-flow analysis (Technicon Random Access Automated Chemistry System $800+$) (4). Particulate organic N (PON) was calculated by the methodology of Koike and Hattori (14) as follows: $PON = (total¹⁵N$ added originally) – (¹⁵N in NH₄⁺ + NO₂⁻ + NO₃⁻ + N₂O + N₂). The ¹⁵N contents of NO_2 ⁻, NO_3 ⁻, and NH_4 ⁺ were analyzed by methods based on their conversion to $N_2O(16, 32)$.

Calculation of simultaneous nitrification and $NO₃⁻$ reduction rates. The equations employed were those developed by Koike and Hattori (15):

$$
N_{j+1} - N_j = Z - Y \tag{1}
$$

$$
N_{j+1}X_{j+1} - N_jX_j = Z\overline{X}_a - Y\overline{X}
$$
 (2)

where *j* is time (6, 24, or 48 h); N_j is the NO_3^- plus NO_2^- concentration at time *j* (in milligrams of N per liter); X_j is the ¹⁵N content of $N\overline{O}_3$ ⁻ plus NO_2 ⁻ in excess of the level in nature (natural abundance) at time *j* (atom percent excess); \overline{X} is the average ¹⁵N content of NO_3^- plus NO_2^- in excess of natural abundance between time *j* and time *j* plus 1 day (atoms percent excess); \overline{X}_a is the average ¹⁵N content of NH_4 ⁺ in excess of natural abundance between time *j* and time *j* plus 1 day (atoms percent excess); *Z* is the rate of nitrification per time interval; and *Y* is the rate of NO_3 ⁻ reduction per time interval. Equation 1 states that the change in NO_2^- and NO_3^- concentrations per time interval is equal to the amounts of NO_2 ⁻ and NO_3 ⁻ produced from nitrification minus the reduction in NO_2 ⁻ and NO_3^- brought about by NO_3^- -reducing processes in that same time interval, i.e., the nitrification rate minus the $NO₃⁻$ reduction rate per time period. Equation 2 states that the change in the ¹⁵N content of NO_2^- and NO_3^- per time interval is equal to the average ^{15}N content of NH_4 ⁺ nitrified minus the average of the combined ¹⁵N contents of NO_2^- and NO_3^- reduced, all within that time period. Natural abundance is assumed to be 0.37%, and the rates of nitrification and $NO₃⁻$ reduction are assumed to be constant during each time interval.

Statistical analyses. To determine the significance of the effects of the different C treatments, the logarithms of concentration and enrichment data from the sediment studies were subjected to analysis of variance with Genstat software (10) .

RESULTS

To deduce and quantify the mechanisms by which NO_2^- is produced, we employed the paired-incubation technique where the NH_4^+ and NO_3^- pools are differentially labeled with $15N$ and used the results in $15N$ pool dilution calculations (15). The rationale for the paired-incubation technique is that if $NO₃$ ⁻-reductive pathways, e.g., denitrification and DNRA, are solely responsible for $NO₂⁻$ accumulation (i.e., all the accumulated NO_2 ⁻ came from the NO₃⁻ pool), then the ¹⁵N enrichment of the NO_2 ⁻ pool derived from $NH_4^{15}NO_3$ (Table 1) would be expected to match that of the $N\overline{O}_3$ ⁻ pool once isotopic equilibrium had been established. In contrast, if nitrification was the only source of NO_2 ⁻ during ¹⁵NH₄NO₃ supplementation (Table 2) then the levels of enrichment of the NO_2^- and NH_4^+ pools would be similar.

Control treatment. Because organic C is normally present in freshwater sediment, additional organic C supplementation is not essential as an energy source to stimulate $NO₃⁻$ -reductive pathways (34). This was observed in the control treatment, where although no additional organic C was provided, 44.5% of the initial ${}^{15}NO_3$ ⁻ label was consumed during a 48-h period (Fig. 2) at an average rate of 1.1 mg of N liter⁻¹ day⁻¹ (Table 3). This consumption was reciprocated by an increase in $NO_2^$ concentrations, which showed a peak at 24 h with a concentration of 82 μ g of N liter⁻¹ (Fig. 3). The average ¹⁵N enrichment of the \overline{NO}_2 ⁻ produced under $NH_4^{15}NO_3$ -enriched conditions (29.4 atom ϕ excess) was closer to that of NO₃⁻ (36.7)

Carbon treatment	Nitrogen fraction	Mean atom% excess (SEM) $(n = 3)$ at:		
		6 h	24 h	48 h
Control	NO_3^-	37.12 (0.12)	36.92 (0.09)	36.16(0.20)
	$\mathrm{NH_4}^+$	0.43(0.26)	0.29(0.02)	0.33(0.08)
	NO ₂	28.68 (0.98)	31.47 (1.36)	28.09 (2.74)
	N ₂ O	37.85 (0.31)	36.92(0.10)	36.04 (0.38)
	N_{2}	35.97 (9.02)	37.30 (0.33)	36.97 (0.33)
Glycine	NO_3^-	37.25 (0.02)	37.16 (0.03)	36.50(0.04)
	$NH4+$	0.22(0.03)	0.20(0.08)	0.32(0.03)
	NO ₂	25.53(0.88)	23.54 (0.57)	26.37 (3.16)
	N ₂ O	37.54 (0.17)	37.09 (0.09)	36.57(0.16)
	N_{2}	43.63(5.51)	36.63(0.00)	36.63(0.00)
Acetate	NO_3^-	37.39 (0.09)	37.48 (0.04)	37.17(0.11)
	$NH4+$	0.07(0.01)	0.19(0.03)	0.12(0.01)
	NO ₂	18.77(1.63)	24.95 (0.32)	32.92 (0.29)
	N ₂ O	34.36 (0.54)	36.55(0.08)	36.16 (0.08)
	N_{2}	0	θ	0
Formate	NO_3^-	37.48 (0.04)	37.75 (0.06)	37.08 (0.06)
	$\mathrm{NH_4}^+$	0.07(0.02)	0.13(0.01)	0.10(0.01)
	NO ₂	25.45(3.16)	31.58(2.05)	36.37(0.10)
	N ₂ O	35.92 (0.23)	36.75(0.11)	36.80(0.03)
	N_{2}	Ω	0	0
Glucose	NO_3^-	37.30 (0.06)	37.01 (0.04)	32.31 (1.55)
	NH_4 ⁺	0.16(0.01)	0.67(0.07)	2.03(0.08)
	NO_2^-	36.02(1.04)	34.48 (0.32)	36.16 (0.21)
	N ₂ O	37.43 (0.48)	37.14 (0.09)	36.81 (0.23)
	N_{2}	30.30 (1.86)	37.63 (0.00)	37.63(0.00)

TABLE 1. Atom percent excess of N measured in nitrogen fractions in sediment enriched with $NH_4^{15}NO_3^{a}$

TABLE 2. Atom percent excess of N measured in nitrogen fractions in sediment enriched with ${}^{15}NH_4NO_3{}^a$

Carbon	Nitrogen fraction	Mean atom% excess (SEM) $(n = 3)$ at:		
treatment		6 h	24 h	48 h
Control	NO_3^-	0.11(0.04)	0.51(0.16)	0.90(0.26)
	NH_4 ⁺	31.38(0.30)	29.52 (0.30)	26.51(0.35)
	NO ₂	2.24(0.63)	3.73(0.50)	4.13(0.79)
	N_2O	0.57(0.14)	0.53(0.05)	0.57(0.07)
Glycine	NO_3^-	0.05(0.01)	0.62(0.20)	0.21(0.01)
	NH_4 ⁺	23.25(0.77)	19.13 (1.52)	14.32 (0.23)
	NO ₂	0.35(0.02)	0.51(0.05)	0.25(0.04)
	N ₂ O	0.18(0.06)	0.30(0.02)	0.26(0.02)
Acetate	NO_3^-	0.07(0.02)	0.05(0.01)	0.08(0.01)
	NH_4 ⁺	28.92(0.14)	28.18 (0.16)	27.45(0.21)
	NO_2^-	0.00(0.00)	0.03(0.01)	0.01(0.01)
	N_2O	0.03(0.02)	0.07(0.01)	0.06(0.01)
Formate	NO_3^-	0.20(0.09)	0.02(0.00)	0.05(0.01)
	NH_4 ⁺	28.87 (0.09)	28.20 (0.34)	27.66 (0.27)
	NO ₂	0.00(0.00)	0.00(0.00)	0.00(0.00)
	N_2O	0.02(0.03)	0.02(0.00)	0.00(0.00)
Glucose	NO_3^-	0.18(0.11)	0.32(0.17)	1.12(0.36)
	NH_4 ⁺	31.39(0.35)	28.33 (0.72)	23.34 (2.45)
	NO ₂	1.03(0.05)	1.24(0.21)	0.59(0.22)
	N_2O	0.41(0.03)	0.34(0.02)	0.30(0.01)

^{*a* 15}N was measured in nitrogen fractions during incubation of sediment enriched with $NH₄¹⁵NO₃$ and supplemented with different sources of carbon at 1.0 g of C liter⁻¹.

atom% excess) than to that of NH_4^+ (0.35 atom% excess) (Table 1), suggesting that the NO_2^{\dagger} was predominantly of $NO₃$ ⁻ origin. Although the average rate of $NO₃$ ⁻ production via nitrification was substantially lower than the rate of $NO₃$ ⁻ utilization (Table 3), the 4 atom% excess enrichment of the NO_2 ⁻ pool detected under ¹⁵NH₄NO₃-supplemented conditions (Table 2) occurring in conjunction with dilution of the NO_2 ⁻ pool arising from $NH_4^{15}NO_3$ incubations (Table 1) is evidence that nitrification of NH_4^+ does contribute to the NO_2 ⁻ pool. Nitrous oxide can be produced by both NO_3 ⁻ reduction and NH₄⁺ oxidation (23, 25); however, the absence of a statistical difference between the ¹⁵N atom percent excesses of NO_3^- and N_2O produced from $NH_4^{15}NO_3$ (Table 1) indicates that only $N\overline{O}_3$ ⁻-reductive pathways were responsible for the 146 μ g of N₂O-N produced. Nitrogen gas, the terminal product of denitrification, was produced in concentrations similar to those of N₂O, acting as a sink for 10% of the original ¹⁵NO₃⁻ label (Fig. 2). There is evidence for the DNRA pathway via NH_4^+ production, since 1% of NO_3^- was detected in $N\dot{H}_4^+$ fractions (Fig. 2). Further, CH₄ concentrations, a possible indicator of fermentative activity, increased by 40% from a base concentration of 3 ppm (base concentrations were similar in all treatments), while $CO₂$ concentrations, indicative of fermentative and mineralization activity, doubled from a typical initial concentration of 2,000 ppm. It was not possible to directly determine whether the fate of NH_4 ⁺ originating from the DRNA pathway was assimilated into PON. After 48 h the unexplained fraction, which we assume to be NH_4^+ assimilated

^{*a* 15}N was measured in nitrogen fractions during incubation of sediment enriched with $^{15}NH_4NO_3$ and supplemented with different sources of carbon at 1.0 g of C liter⁻¹. NB, it is not possible to measure ¹⁵N enrichment of N₂ under ¹⁵NH₄NO₃ conditions.

into PON, represented as much as 22% of the original $15NO_3$ ⁻¹ label (Fig. 2).

Glycine treatment. The value of glycine as an additional energy source appeared to be limited, as the rate of NO_3 ⁻ reduction was similar to that of the control (Table 3). However, glycine was an important source of additional N via deamination and provided an NH_4^+ influx of 60 mg of N liter⁻¹. A maximal NO₂⁻ accumulation of 74 μ g of N liter⁻¹ was reported at 24 h (Fig. 3). Under $NH_4^{15}NO_3$ -supplemented conditions, the ¹⁵N enrichment of the NO_2 ⁻ pool (Table 1) indicated that there was an additional source of NO_2^- other than that formed from $NO₃⁻$ reduction. However a nitrification rate of 0.04 mg of N liter⁻¹ day⁻¹ (Table 3) in addition to a NO₂⁻ pool enrichment of 0.25 to 0.51 atom% excess reported after ${}^{15}NH_4NO_3$ treatments (Table 2) is inadequate to represent another large source of $NO₂⁻$. The mole fraction of N_2O [i.e., $N_2O/(N_2O + N_2)$] remained constant at almost 50%, at concentrations resembling that of the control (Fig. 2). A substantial proportion (7.67%) of the initial ${}^{15}NO_3$ ⁻ label was present in the NH_4 ⁺ pool (Fig. 2) and, together with almost a trebling in CO_2 concentrations and a 20% increase in CH₄, was strong evidence that DNRA was active. After 48 h, the unexplained fraction was equivalent to 22.9% of the initial 15 NO₃⁻ label.

Acetate treatment. The addition of acetate, for potential usage as an energy source, significantly retarded $(P < 0.001)$ rates of NO₃⁻ utilization (Table 3), with 72% of the initial 15 NO₃⁻ label still unprocessed after 48 h (Fig. 2). In contrast ${}^{15}NO_3$ ⁻ label still unprocessed after 48 h (Fig. 2). In contrast to what occurred with other treatments, $N\hat{O}_2$ ^{\tilde{O}_2} accumulated at a constant rate to approximately 80 μ g of N liter⁻¹ at the end of the 48-h monitoring period (Fig. 3). Although nitrifying bacteria were inactive as evidenced by the low $15\overline{N}$ enrichment

FIG. 2. Fate of NO₃⁻ label during anaerobic incubation with NH₄¹⁵NO₃ under the control conditions and with four carbon treatments. The remainder of the label was still present in the $NO₃⁻$ pool.

of NO_3 ⁻ produced under ¹⁵NH₄NO₃-enriched conditions $(0.08 \text{ atom} \%)$ excess at most [Table 2]) and the almost negligible nitrification rates (Table 3) under $NH₄¹⁵NO₃$ -enriched conditions, the NO_2^- pool was not entirely derived from NO_3 , with the ¹⁵N enrichment of the NO_2 ⁻ 18.8 atom% excess initially increasing to 32.9 atom% excess at the termination of the investigation. The concentration of nitrous oxide produced was significantly lower than that of the control $(P < 0.001)$, with only 56 μ g of N₂O-N detected in a 48-h period. None of the N₂O was reduced further to N₂. Only 0.35% of the initial the N₂O was reduced further to N₂. Only 0.35% of the initial 15 NO₃⁻ label accumulated as NH₄⁺, and 21.76% was calculated by difference to be present in the PON pool.

Formate treatment. Apart from a significant accumulation of NO_2^- , concentrations of terminal products detected in formate-amended sediments were not significantly different from concentrations detected after the acetate treatment. However, $NO₂⁻$ accumulated in a linear fashion to a concentration of 1,343 μ g of N liter⁻¹ (Fig. 3), which contrasted with the small
N O concentrations (72 μ g of N O N) arising from NO - N_2O concentrations (72 μ g of N₂O-N) arising from NO₂ reduction and zero N_2 production (Fig. 2). After 48 h, 11.8% of the initial ${}^{15}NO_3$ ⁻¹ label was detected in the PON pool. Although $CO₂$ concentrations were twice the original levels, only 0.3% of the initial ${}^{15}NO_3^-$ label was processed by DNRA into the NH_4^+ pool (Fig. 2). Nitrification rates were shown to be negligible (Table 3).

TABLE 3. Rates of nitrification and $NO₃⁻$ reduction in sediment enriched with differentially ¹⁵N-labeled NH₄NO₃^{*a*}

Carbon		mg of N produced liter ⁻¹ day ⁻¹ by:		
treatment	Nitrification	$NO3$ reduction		
Control	0.06	1.10		
Glycine	0.04	1.64		
Acetate	0.01	0.30		
Formate	0.00	0.00		
Glucose	0.08	2.57		

^{*a*} Rates of nitrification and $NO₃⁻$ reduction (averaged over 48 h) were measured during incubation with sediment enriched with differentially ¹⁵N-labeled NH_4NO_3 and supplemented with different carbon substrates at 1.0 g of C liter⁻¹.

Glucose treatment. In glucose-enriched sediments, NO_3 ⁻ reduction pathways were markedly stimulated to the extent that 5.3 mg of N liter⁻¹ (90.6% of the ¹⁵NO₃⁻ label) was metabolized within 48 h at an average rate of 2.6 mg of N liter⁻¹ day⁻¹ (Table 3). At 24 h, NO₂^{\approx} concentrations showed

FIG. 3. Concentrations of NO_2^- produced under the control conditions and with four carbon treatments. Error bars indicate the standard errors of the means $(n = 6)$.

a peak at 163 μ g of N liter⁻¹; thereafter, the NO₂⁻ concentration decreased to 53 μ g of N liter⁻¹ (Fig. 3). Throughout the investigation, the ¹⁵N enrichment of the $NO₂⁻$ pool under $NH₄$ ¹⁵NO₃ conditions was approximately 36 atom% excess, which is very similar to the initial 37 atom $%$ excess of the $NO₃⁻$ pool (Table 1), indicating that $NO₃⁻$ reduction accounted for NO_2 ⁻ production. The rapid NO_2 ⁻ depletion occurred in association with a trebling in $CH₄$ efflux and a 10-fold increase in CO₂ concentrations. Of the initial NO₃⁻ pool, 48% was transformed to N_2 via complete denitrification. Fermentative DNRA activity as indicated by CO_2 , CH₄, and NH₄⁺ measurements was also significant, with 2.01% of the ¹⁵N label being detected in the NH $_{4}^{+}$ pool. Assimilation of NO₃⁻ into PON was 50% greater than that of the other treatments, incorporating 34.8% of the ${}^{15}NO_3^-$ label. Nitrification was responsible for 3.5% of NO_2 ⁻ produced (Table 3). However, activity was retarded after 24 h of activity, when the enrichment of the NO_2^- pool derived from $^{15}NH_4NO_3$ declined from 1.24 to 0.59 atom% excess (Table 2), probably as a consequence of increasing anoxia.

DISCUSSION

Potential of carbon substrates to influence NO_2^- formation **pathways.** Nitrite is a common intermediate in at least three different biochemical pathways that occur in freshwater sediments: nitrification, denitrification, and DNRA (Fig. 1). The relative contribution of these processes to the accumulation of $NO₂⁻$ is governed by the species compositions of the bacterial populations resident in the sediments, available C and N substrates, and the surrounding environmental conditions. In this study, $NO₃$ ⁻-reducing processes deemed responsible for large $NO₂⁻$ concentrations were predominantly controlled by the C substrate present. Two dissimilar patterns of NO_2^- accumulation reflecting different formation pathways were observed (Fig. 3). The most common pattern, observed in the glucose, glycine, and control treatments, exhibited a NO_2^- peak at 24 h. The second pattern, observed with the acetate and formate treatments, exhibited continuous NO_2^- production that resulted in a high concentration of NO₂^{$=$} (1,300 μ g of N liter⁻¹ [approximate]) in the formate treatment and a low concentration of NO_2^{-1} (<100 µg of N liter⁻¹) in the acetate treatment.

Glucose, glycine, and control treatments. Nitrite accumulation patterns detected in the glucose, glycine, and control treatments were indicative of the multistage DNRA and denitrification processes occurring simultaneously. Not all denitrifiers have the capability of completely reducing $NO₃⁻$ to $N₂$, with the enzyme NO_2 ⁻ reductase commonly being absent (35). Rather than being limited by the genetic capability of the organism, reduction beyond the $NO₂⁻$ step is restricted more by the environmental conditions (29). For NO_2 ⁻ to accumulate in the environment, activity of the $NO₃⁻$ reductase enzyme, which reduces NO_3^- to NO_2^- , must function at a higher rate than the corresponding $NO₂⁻$ reductase. Often it is the environmental O_2 concentrations that regulate the reduction of $NO₂⁻$ in denitrification, through stimulation of the enzyme $NO₂⁻$ reductase when suitable conditions are induced. This finding is in contrast to what occurs with the corresponding $NO₃$ ⁻ reductase, which is ever present and functional in natural environments (6, 8) and may elevate NO_2^- concentrations before sufficient synthesis of the NO_2^- reductase has occurred. The accumulation of NO_2^- is not entirely restricted to denitrification, since it is also believed to be a common trait of DNRA (7) due to either inhibitory effects of NO₃⁻ on the fermentative NO_2 ⁻ reductase enzyme (28) or repression of this enzyme (21).

Acetate and formate treatments. Acetate and formate are capable of reducing $NO₃$ ⁻ only through the denitrification pathway (3). In *Escherichia coli*, it has been shown that formate-dependent NO_2^- reductase is active only when NO_3^- is scarce and NO_2 ⁻ is available (6). This can lead to substantial concentrations of NO_2^- , which under acidic conditions accumulates predominantly as the protonated species, nitrous acid $(HNO₂)$ (1, 2, 20). It has been suggested that toxic effects on the cell may be exerted by $HNO₂$, which is capable of increasing the proton permeability of the cell membrane by shuttling protons between the two sides. To expedite the release of protons from a cell, a large proportion of energy (26), which rations the C essential to promote N-reducing processes, is required. Because acetate is a terminal product of C metabolism with a low redox potential (37), the low $NO₃⁻$ utilization rates resulting in reduced NO_2^- accumulations observed in the present study may have arisen from the inability of acetate to support further N transformations.

Environmental implications. In Northern Ireland, agriculture has a major impact on the environment and has the potential to significantly elevate NO_2^- concentrations in freshwaters, either directly through leaching or indirectly by providing C and N substrates for sediment transformations. The application of slurry to grassland is a common agricultural practice. Acetic acid is the largest organic acid constituent of slurry (4.6 g liter⁻¹) and has the potential to stimulate $NO_2^$ accumulation by denitrifying populations in freshwaters. On the other hand, silage effluent, the most common pollutant of rivers (17), is capable of supplying readily available C-rich substrates, e.g., glycine and glucose, which may support $NO_2^$ accumulation by both denitrifying and DNRA bacteria.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are very grateful to Jeff Cole, University of Birmingham, for helpful discussions. We also thank David Lennox and Tony Poland of the Department of Agriculture for Northern Ireland for assistance with statistical and chemical analyses, respectively.

This work was supported by the award of a studentship from the Department of Agriculture for Northern Ireland to B.H.L.K.

REFERENCES

- 1. **Almeida, J. S., S. M. Ju´lio, M. A. M. Reis, and M. J. T. Carrondo.** 1995. Nitrite inhibition of denitrification by *Pseudomonas fluorescens*. Biotechnol. Bioeng. **46:**194–201.
- 2. **Anthonisen, A. C., R. C. Loehr, T. B. S. Prakasam, and E. G. Srinath.** 1976. Inhibition of nitrification by ammonia and nitrous acid. J. Water Pollut. Control Fed. **48:**835–852.
- 3. **Beauchamp, E. G., J. T. Trevors, and J. W. Paul.** 1989. Carbon sources for bacterial denitrification. Adv. Soil Sci. **10:**113–142.
- 4. **Bran and Luebbe Analysing Technologies.** 1989. Operator manual for Traacs Analyser 800 System. Bran and Luebbe Analysing Technologies, Brixworth, Northants, United Kingdom.
- 5. **Coghlan, A.** 1996. Slime. New Sci. **151:**32–36.
- 6. **Cole, J.** 1996. Nitrate reduction to ammonia by enteric bacteria: redundancy, or a strategy for survival during oxygen starvation. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. **136:**1–11.
- 7. **Cole, J. A.** 1988. Assimilatory and dissimilatory reduction of nitrate to ammonia, p. 281–329. *In* J. A. Cole and S. J. Ferguson (ed.), The nitrogen and sulphur cycles. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom.
- 8. **Dendooven, L., and J. M. Anderson.** 1994. Dynamics of reduction enzymes involved in the denitrification process in pasture soil. Soil Biol. Biochem. **26:**1501–1506.
- 9. **European Economic Community.** 1978. A directive of the quality of freshwater needing protection or improvement in order to support fish life. Off. J. Eur. Comm. **L222:**34–54.
- 10. **Genstat 5 Committee.** 1993. Genstat 5 release 3 reference manual. Oxford Science Publications, Clarendon Press, Oxford, United Kingdom.
- 11. **Heathwaite, A. L.** 1993. Nitrogen cycling in surface waters and lakes, p. 99–140. *In* T. P. Burt, A. L. Heathwaite, and S. T. Trudgill (ed.), Nitrate: processes, patterns and management. John Wiley and Sons Ltd., Chichester, United Kingdom.
- 12. **Kelso, B. H. L., D. M. Glass, and R. V. Smith.** Toxicity of nitrite to freshwater

invertebrates. *In* W. S. Wilson, A. S. Ball, and R. H. Hinton (ed.), Managing risks of nitrates to humans and the environment, in press. Royal Society of Chemistry, London, United Kingdom.

- 13. **Kelso, B. H. L., R. V. Smith, R. J. Laughlin, and S. D. Lennox.** 1997. Dissimilatory nitrate reduction in anaerobic sediments leading to river nitrite accumulation. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **63:**4679–4685.
- 14. **Koike, I., and A. Hattori.** 1978. Simultaneous determinations of nitrification and nitrate reduction in coastal sediments by a ¹⁵N dilution technique. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **35:**853–857.
- 15. **Koike, I., and A. Hattori.** 1978. Denitrification and ammonia formation in anaerobic coastal sediments. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **35:**278–282.
- 16. **Laughlin, R. J., R. J. Stevens, and S. Zhuo.** 1997. Determining nitrogen-15 in ammonium by producing nitrous oxide. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. **61:**462–465.
- 17. **Lennox, S. D., R. H. Foy, R. V. Smith, E. F. Unsworth, and D. R. Smyth.** 1998. A comparison of agricultural water pollution incidents in Northern Ireland with those in England and Wales. Water Res. **32:**649–656.
- 18. **Monteith, H. D., T. R. Bridle, and P. M. Sutton.** 1980. Industrial waste carbon sources for biological denitrification. Prog. Water Technol. **12:**127– 141.
- 19. **Mulvaney, R. L., and C. W. Boast.** 1986. Equations for determination of N-15 labeled dinitrogen and nitrous oxide by mass-spectrometry. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. **50:**360–363.
- 20. **Parsonage, D., A. J. Greenfield, and S. J. Ferguson.** 1985. The high affinity of *Paracoccus denitrificans* cells for nitrate as an electron acceptor. Analysis of possible mechanisms of nitrate and nitrite movement across the plasma membrane and the basis of inhibition by added nitrite of oxidase activity in permeabilized cells. Biochim. Biophys. Acta **807:**81–95.
- 21. **Paul, J. W., and E. G. Beauchamp.** 1989. Denitrification and fermentation in plant-residue-amended soil. Biol. Fertil. Soils **7:**303–309.
- 22. **Paul, J. W., E. G. Beauchamp, and J. T. Trevors.** 1989. Acetate, propionate, butyrate, glucose and sucrose as carbon sources for denitrifying bacteria in soil. Can. J. Microbiol. **35:**754–759.
- 23. **Payne, W. J.** 1981. Denitrification. John Wiley, New York, N.Y.
- 24. **Rider, B. F., and M. G. Mallon.** 1946. Colorimetric determination of nitrites. Ind. Eng. Chem. (Anal.) **18:**96–99.
- 25. **Ritchie, G. A. F., and D. J. D. Nicholas.** 1972. Identification of the sources of nitrous oxide produced by oxidative and reductive processes in *Nitrosomonas europaea*. Biochem. J. **126:**1181–1191.
- 26. **Sijbesma, W. F. H., J. S. Almeida, M. A. M. Reis, and H. Santos.** 1996. Uncoupling effect of nitrite during denitrification by *Pseudomonas fluorescens*: an in vivo 31P-NMR study. Biotechnol. Bioeng. **52:**176–182.
- 27. **Skrinde, J. E., and S. K. Bhagat.** 1982. Industrial wastes as carbon sources in biological denitrification. J. Water Pollut. Control Fed. **54:**370–377.
- 28. **Smith, M. S.** 1982. Dissimilatory reduction of nitrite to ammonium and nitrous oxide by a soil *Citrobacter* sp. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **43:**854–860.
- 29. **Smith, M. S., and K. Zimmerman.** 1981. Nitrous oxide production by nondenitrifying soil nitrate reducers. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. **45:**865–871.
- 30. **Smith, R. V., L. C. Burns, R. M. Doyle, S. D. Lennox, B. H. L. Kelso, R. H. Foy, and R. J. Stevens.** 1997. Free ammonia inhibition of nitrification in river sediments leading to nitrite accumulation. J. Environ. Qual. **26:**1049–1055.
- 31. **Smith, R. V., R. H. Foy, S. D. Lennox, C. Jordan, L. C. Burns, J. E. Cooper, and R. J. Stevens.** 1995. Occurrence of nitrite in the Lough Neagh river system. J. Environ. Qual. **24:**952–959.
- 32. **Stevens, R. J., and R. J. Laughlin.** 1994. Determining nitrogen-15 in nitrite or nitrate by producing nitrous oxide. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. **58:**1108–1116.
- 33. **Stevens, R. J., R. J. Laughlin, G. J. Atkins, and S. J. Prosser.** 1993. Automated determination of $15N$ -labeled dinitrogen and nitrous oxide by mass spectrometry. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. **57:**981–988.
- 34. **Terry, R. E., and D. W. Nelson.** 1975. Factors influencing nitrate transformations in sediments. J. Environ. Qual. **4:**549–554.
- 35. **Tiedje, J. M.** 1988. Ecology of denitrification and dissimilatory nitrate reduction to ammonia, p. 179–244. *In* A. J. B. Zehnder (ed.), Biology of anaerobic microorganisms. John Wiley and Sons, New York, N.Y.
- 36. **Tiedje, J. M., A. J. Sexstone, D. D. Myrold, and J. A. Robinson.** 1982. Denitrification: ecological niches, competition and survival. Antonie Leeuwenhoek **48:**569–583.
- 37. **Zehnder, A. J. B., and W. Stumm.** 1988. Geochemistry and biogeochemistry of anaerobic habitats, p. 1–38. *In* A. J. B. Zehnder (ed.), Biology of anaerobic microorganisms. John Wiley and Sons, New York, N.Y.