Bacterial Leaching of Metal Sulfides Proceeds by Two Indirect Mechanisms via Thiosulfate or via Polysulfides and Sulfur

AXEL SCHIPPERS* AND WOLFGANG SAND

Abteilung Mikrobiologie, Institut für Allgemeine Botanik, Universität Hamburg, D-22609 Hamburg, Germany

Received 20 July 1998/Accepted 22 October 1998

The acid-insoluble metal sulfides FeS_2 , MoS_2 , and WS_2 are chemically attacked by iron(III) hexahydrate ions, generating thiosulfate, which is oxidized to sulfuric acid. Other metal sulfides are attacked by iron(III) ions and by protons, resulting in the formation of elemental sulfur via intermediary polysulfides. Sulfur is biooxidized to sulfuric acid. This explains leaching of metal sulfides by *Thiobacillus thiooxidans*.

Bacterial leaching, the biooxidation of metal sulfides to soluble metal sulfates and sulfuric acid, is effected by specialized bacteria. Three species of mesoacidophilic, chemolithotrophic bacteria are mainly involved: *Thiobacillus ferrooxidans*, *Thiobacillus thiooxidans*, and *Leptospirillum ferrooxidans*. *T. ferrooxidans* oxidizes reduced sulfur compounds to sulfate and iron (II) to iron(III) ions. *T. thiooxidans* is able to oxidize only reduced sulfur compounds, whereas *L. ferrooxidans* can oxidize only iron(II) ions (1, 4, 13, 15).

Recently, we described for pyrite (FeS₂) degradation an iron(III) ion-mediated leaching mechanism via thiosulfate and polythionates (18). In this thiosulfate mechanism, the Fe-S₂ bond is cleaved, after the S₂ group has been oxidized by iron (III) hexahydrate ions to a thiosulfate group. Hydrolysis yields thiosulfate and an iron(II) ion. Thiosulfate is consecutively oxidized via tetrathionate, disulfane-monosulfonic acid, and trithionate to sulfate. Elemental sulfur occurs as a by-product only. The function of *T. ferrooxidans* and *L. ferrooxidans* is to supply the oxidizing iron(III) ions.

Although FeS₂ is the most abundant metal sulfide on earth, it is not the most valuable one. Consequently, experiments were performed in order to evaluate whether the thiosulfate mechanism is also valid for other metal sulfides. A survey of mineralogical data on metal sulfides indicated that the structure of pyrite is almost unique (2, 28). For further tests, the differently structured metal sulfides sphalerite (ZnS), chalcopyrite (CuFeS₂), galena (PbS), hauerite (MnS₂), orpiment (As₂S₃), realgar (As_4S_4) , and molybdenite (MoS_2) were selected. For these, the formation of sulfur compounds by iron(III) ion-mediated chemical oxidation was analyzed. For the experiments, the metal sulfides were crushed, pulverized, and heat sterilized under N₂. Fifty milliliters of a sterile 10 mM FeCl₃ solution at pH 1.9 was added to 1 g of each metal sulfide powder in shake flasks. The suspension was sampled for analysis of reduced sulfur compounds (high-pressure liquid chromatography-diode array detection), sulfate (ion chromatography), metal ions (atomic absorption spectroscopy), iron(III)-iron(II) ions (photometry), and pH (electrode) as previously described (18). Experiments were done in triplicate. The results are shown in Table 1.

The oxidation products in the case of FeS₂ and MoS₂ con-

sisted of up to 90% sulfate and about 1 to 2% polythionates. Because the valence bands of FeS₂ and MoS₂ are derived only from the metal orbitals, the valence bands do not contribute to the chemical bond between the metal and the sulfur moiety in the crystal (2, 25). Consequently, these metal sulfides are degradable only by an oxidizing attack, e.g., by iron(III) ions. None of these compounds is soluble in acid (proton attack) (21, 25, 26). Furthermore, both metal sulfides consist of pairs of sulfur atoms. These properties hold for WS₂ as well (25, 26). Consequently, FeS₂, MoS₂, and WS₂ are oxidized by the same, indirect thiosulfate mechanism. In leaching experiments with *L. ferrooxidans* and FeS₂ the same sulfur compounds resulted (18). Thus, the same dissolution mechanism is active in bioleaching.

In contrast, all other metal sulfides mentioned above have valence bands, to which metal and sulfur orbitals contribute (2, 25, 26). Consequently, they are acid soluble. The experiments with these metal sulfides yielded elemental sulfur in amounts of more than 90%. Even MnS_2 , a disulfide like FeS_2 , but easily acid soluble, yielded mainly elemental sulfur. To explain the differences in end products, another mechanism for dissolution was sought.

Experiments with *T. thiooxidans* on the bioleaching of ZnS demonstrated, in agreement with published data (5, 11), that a pure culture is able to dissolve ZnS and produce zinc(II) ions and sulfate at an almost constant pH (Fig. 1). It is known that ZnS is soluble in acid. Chemical experiments demonstrated the dissolution of this compound, too; however, elemental sulfur was formed (because sulfur-oxidizing bacteria were not present). Consequently, the pH started to increase and the dissolution of ZnS concomitantly decreased. To explain the mechanism of dissolution, the formation of intermediary polysulfides according to the work of Steudel (22) has to be considered. The mechanism becomes obvious from the following equations. The dissolution of a metal sulfide (MS) is started by proton attack (equation 1) and a consecutive oxidation of H₂S by Fe(III) ions (equation 2).

$$MS + 2 H^+ \rightarrow M^{2+} + H_2 S \tag{1}$$

$$H_2S + Fe^{3+} \rightarrow H_2S^{+} + Fe^{2+}$$
(2)

Due to the capability of Fe(III) ions to break metal sulfide bonds more effectively than protons (25, 26), the H_2S^+ radical may preferentially be formed in one reaction without intermediately occurring H_2S (equation 3).

$$MS + Fe^{3+} + 2 H^{+} \rightarrow M^{2+} + H_2S^{+} + Fe^{2+}$$
(3)

^{*} Corresponding author. Mailing address: Abteilung Mikrobiologie, Institut für Allgemeine Botanik, Universität Hamburg, Ohnhorststraße 18, D-22609 Hamburg, Germany. Phone: 49(0)40/82282-421. Fax: 49(0)40/82282-423. E-mail: fb6a042@botanik.botanik.unihamburg.de.

TABLE 1. Formation of sulfur compounds by chemical metal sulfide oxidation with 10 mM Fe(III) chloride (3) at pH 1.9 and 28°C

Metal sulfide	Formula	Structure (28)	Purity (%) ^a	${{ m S}_8 \over (\%)^b}$	${{{{\rm SO}_4}^{2-}}\atop{{(\%)}^b}}$	$\stackrel{S_4O_6{}^{2-}}{(\%)^b}$	$\frac{S_5O_6^2}{(\%)^b}$
Pyrite	FeS ₂	Disulfide	>99	16.1	81.7	1.3	0.9
Molybdenite	MoŠ ₂	Layer	93	8.4	90.4	0.6	0.6
Hauerite	MnS_2	Disulfide	>99	93.6	3.7	1.2	1.5
Sphalerite	ZnS	Sphalerite	95	94.9	4.8	0.1	0.2
Chalcopyrite	CuFeS ₂	Sphalerite	>99	92.2	7.3	0.3	0.2
Galena	PbS	Halite	>99	99.9	0.1	0.0	0.0
Orpiment	As_2S_3	Layer	>99	94.8	5.2	0.0	0.0
Realgar	As_4S_4	Ring	> 99	92.5	7.5	0.0	0.0

^{*a*} Purity calculations are based on ICP measurements of the elemental composition. No impurities were detected by X-ray diffraction except for some geerite (Cu_8S_5) in the case of chalcopyrite.

^b Percentages were calculated after ²4 h of incubation except for galena (1 h), hauerite (5 h), and realgar (168 h), due to the different reaction rates. In the case of hauerite, traces of hexathionate were formed. The data are means of three parallel experiments. Standard deviations were less than 15%.

Polysulfide formation starts with dissociation of the strong acid H_2S^{+} to a HS⁻ radical (equation 4).

$$H_2S^{+} + H_2O \rightarrow H_3O^+ + HS^{-}$$
(4)

Two of the HS[·] radicals may react to a disulfide (equation 5).

$$2 \text{ HS}^{\cdot} \to \text{H}_2\text{S}_2 \tag{5}$$

The disulfide may react again with Fe(III) ions (equation 6) or with another HS⁻ radical (equation 7).

$$H_2S_2 + Fe^{3+} \rightarrow H_2S_2^{+} + Fe^{2+}$$
 (6)

$$H_2S_2 + HS' \leftrightarrow HS_2' + H_2S \tag{7}$$

Tetrasulfide occurs by dimerization of two HS_2 radicals (analogous to equation 5), or trisulfide occurs by reaction of a HS_2 with a HS radical. Further chain elongation to polysulfides proceeds by analogous reactions.



FIG. 1. Leaching of ZnS by *T. thiooxidans* R20 (16). The strain had been adapted to grow on ZnS, before the experiment was started by addition of 10^9 cells to 1 g of ZnS (pulverized) in 50 ml of salt solution in shake flasks at 28° C in the dark. Tt, assays with *T. thiooxidans*; c., sterile control assays. Data are means of three parallel assays. Standard deviations were less than 15%. The experiment was reproduced twice.



FIG. 2. Scheme for metal sulfide (MS) oxidation via polysulfides.

In acidic solution, polysulfides decompose to rings of elemental sulfur, mainly S_8 rings (>99%) (equation 8).

$$H_2S_9 \to H_2S + S_8 \tag{8}$$

Formation of thiosulfate (polythionates and sulfate) occurs by side reactions (equations 9 and 10).

$$H_2S_n + 3/2 O_2 \rightarrow H_2S_2O_3 + [(n - 2)/8]S_8$$
 (9)

$$H_2S_n + 6 Fe^{3+} + 3 H_2O \rightarrow H_2S_2O_3 +$$
(10)

$$[(n-2)/8]S_8 + 6 \text{ Fe}^{2+} + 6 \text{ H}^+$$

This oxidation mechanism does not necessarily require the presence of Fe(III) ions. An electron transfer from a semiconductive metal sulfide to an O_2 molecule is also possible. The O_2 molecule is reduced via a superoxide radical and a peroxide molecule to water (27). However, Fe(III) ions, usually present in acidic leach biotopes, are much more efficient in extracting electrons from a metal sulfide lattice than is O_2 (25, 26).

The series of reactions 1 to 8 inherently explains the formation of elemental sulfur as the main sulfur compound oxidation product of acid-hydrolyzable metal sulfides. Thiosulfate, and consequently polythionates and sulfate, may also arise by side reactions (equations 9 and 10) (22).

According to this polysulfide mechanism, elemental sulfur is formed. Since sulfur is reasonably stable under experimental and usually also environmental conditions, only in the case of the presence of sulfur-oxidizing bacteria can degradation occur (yielding the protons needed for a further dissolution of ZnS). This mechanism allows for the first time the unequivocal explanation of the ability of *T. thiooxidans* to leach some metal sulfides, i.e., the ones which are susceptible to hydrolysis by proton attack. Polysulfides were detected on the surface of oxidized chalcopyrite by Hackl et al. (8), confirming this mechanism. The degradation of metal sulfides via polysulfides is summarized in Fig. 2. As a consequence, two indirect oxidation mechanisms for metal sulfides exist.

One mechanism is exclusively based on the oxidative attack of iron(III) ions on the acid-insoluble metal sulfides FeS_2 , MoS_2 , and WS_2 . Here, the main sulfur intermediate is thiosulfate. The second mechanism allows for a dissolution by an attack of iron (III) ions and/or by protons. In this case, the main sulfur intermediate is polysulfide (and consequently elemental sulfur). The two mechanisms may be simplified by the following equations:

Thiosulfate mechanism (FeS_2 , MoS_2 , and WS_2)

$$FeS_2 + 6 Fe^{3+} + 3 H_2O \rightarrow S_2O_3^{2-} + 7 Fe^{2+} + 6 H^+$$

$$S_2O_3^{2-} + 8 Fe^{3+} + 5 H_2O \rightarrow 2 SO_4^{2-} + 8 Fe^{2+} + 10 H^{+}$$

Polysulfide mechanism (e.g., ZnS, CuFeS₂, or PbS)

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{MS} + \mathrm{F}\mathrm{e}^{3+} + \mathrm{H}^+ &\to \mathrm{M}^{2+} + 0.5 \ \mathrm{H}_2 \mathrm{S}_n \ + \ \mathrm{F}\mathrm{e}^{2+} \ (n \geq 2) \\ \\ 0.5 \ \mathrm{H}_2 \mathrm{S}_n \ + \ \mathrm{F}\mathrm{e}^{3+} &\to 0.125 \ \mathrm{S}_8 + \mathrm{F}\mathrm{e}^{2+} + \mathrm{H}^+ \\ \\ 0.125 \ \mathrm{S}_8 + 1.5 \ \mathrm{O}_2 + \mathrm{H}_2 \mathrm{O} \to \mathrm{SO_4}^{2-} + 2 \ \mathrm{H}^+ \end{split}$$

Consequently, bioleaching of metal sulfides means that the bacterial function is to generate sulfuric acid biologically to



FIG. 3. Bioleaching proceeds by two different indirect mechanisms via thiosulfate or via polysulfides and sulfur and is based on the properties of metal sulfides (MS). Dashed lines indicate occurrence of intermediate sulfur compounds.

supply protons for hydrolysis attack and/or to keep the iron ions in an oxidized state [as iron(III) ions] for an oxidative attack (Fig. 3).

Our conclusions are in agreement with data from the literature. In the absence of iron ions, T. ferrooxidans can solubilize acid-hydrolyzable, synthetic, iron-free sulfides like ZnS, CdS, NiS, CoS, CuS, and Cu₂S (14, 24-26) but not the insoluble sulfides FeS_2 (6), MoS_2 , and WS_2 (25, 26). In the case of the former compounds, the leaching rates correlate with their solubility products (24, 26). This finding proves that the solubility product, besides pH, is decisive for the leachability of a metal sulfide in the case of a proton attack. We consequently conclude that in the absence of iron ions T. ferrooxidans acts like T. thiooxidans by acid production (sulfur oxidation). This conclusion is in agreement with the recent finding that the solubilization of Cu^{2+} from a copper ore is determined by the sulfur-oxidizing activity of T. ferrooxidans (23). The addition of iron ions to cultures of T. ferrooxidans growing with hydrolyzable, synthetic, iron-free sulfides generally enhanced leaching rates (25, 26). Because of the additional oxidative iron(III) ion attack, dissolution rates are much higher than those with T. ferrooxidans lacking iron ions or T. thiooxidans alone (5).

Thus, in summary bioleaching is effected by two indirect leaching mechanisms: via thiosulfate or via polysulfides and sulfur. Both mechanisms combine characteristics of the previously differentiated direct and indirect leaching mechanisms. Direct leaching means an attack on the crystal lattice of a metal sulfide through enzymatic oxidation by attached cells (4). This work shows that the mineralogy is also a contributing factor to the degradation pathway. The knowledge of these two mechanisms has implications for biotechnology and environmental problems connected to bioleaching. Sulfur compound-metabolizing enzymes are involved in metal sulfide oxidation. Their regulation or inhibition might influence the balance of sulfur compounds (9). For example, changing the balance from sulfur to sulfate would increase dissolution rates in bioleaching plants for gold recovery (12). Furthermore, cyanide consumption would be reduced (7). On the other hand, the formation of environmentally harmful acid rock drainage (17, 19, 20) might be reduced, if the oxidation could be stopped at the stage of elemental sulfur.

We thank M. Reiß and E. Gock for X-ray diffraction and ICP measurements.

This work was supported by grants to W.S. from BMBF via UBA (1490954) and DBU (05333).

REFERENCES

- Bosecker, K. 1997. Bioleaching: metal solubilization by microorganisms. FEMS Microbiol. Rev. 20:591–604.
- Crundwell, F. K. 1988. The influence of the electronic structure of solids on the anodic dissolution and leaching of semiconducting sulphide minerals. Hydrometallurgy 21:155–190.
- Dutrizac, J. E., and R. J. C. MacDonald. 1974. Ferric ion as a leaching medium. Miner. Sci. Eng. 6:59–100.
- Ehrlich, H. L. 1996. Geomicrobiology. Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, N.Y.
 Garcia, O., J. M. Bigham, and O. H. Tuovinen. 1995. Sphalerite oxidation by
- Thiobacillus ferrooxidans and Thiobacillus thiooxidans. Can. J. Microbiol. 41: 578–584.
- Gehrke, T., J. Telegdi, D. Thierry, and W. Sand. 1998. Importance of extracellular polymeric substances from *Thiobacillus ferrooxidans* for bioleaching. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 64:2743–2747.
- Hackl, R. P., and L. Jones. 1997. Bacterial sulfur oxidation pathways and their effect on the cyanidation characteristics of biooxidized refractory gold concentrates, p. M14.2.1–M142.10. *In* Proceedings of the International Biohydrometallurgy Symposium—IBS '97—Biomine '97. Australian Mineral Foundation, Glenside, South Australia, Australia.
- Hackl, R. P., D. B. Dreisinger, E. Peters, and J. A. King. 1995. Passivation of chalcopyrite during oxidative leaching in sulfate media. Hydrometallurgy 39: 25–48.
- Hazeu, W., W. H. Batenburg-van der Vegte, P. Bos, R. K. van der Pas, and J. G. Kuenen. 1988. The production and utilization of intermediary elemental sulfur during the oxidation of reduced sulfur compounds by *Thiobacillus ferrooxidans*. Arch. Microbiol. 150:574–579.
- Hutchins, S. R., M. S. Davidson, J. A. Brierley, and C. L. Brierley. 1986. Microorganisms in reclamation of metals. Annu. Rev. Microbiol. 40:311– 336.
- Lizama, H. M., and I. Suzuki. 1991. Interaction of chalcopyrite and sphalerite with pyrite during leaching by *Thiobacillus ferrooxidans* and *Thiobacillus thiooxidans*. Can. J. Microbiol. 37:304–311.
- Rawlings, D. E., and S. Silver. 1995. Mining with microbes. Bio/Technology 13:773–778.
- 13. Rossi, G. 1990. Biohydrometallurgy. McGraw-Hill, Hamburg, Germany.
- Sakaguchi, H., A. E. Torma, and M. Silver. 1976. Microbiological oxidation of synthetic chalcocite and covellite by *Thiobacillus ferrooxidans*. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 31:7–10.
- Sand, W., T. Gehrke, R. Hallmann, and A. Schippers. 1995. Sulfur chemistry, biofilm, and the (in)direct attack mechanism—a critical evaluation of bacterial leaching. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 43:961–966.
- Sand, W., R. Hallmann, K. Rohde, B. Sobotke, and S. Wentzien. 1993. Controlled microbiological in-situ stope leaching of a sulphidic ore. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 40:421–426.
- Schippers, A., R. Hallmann, S. Wentzien, and W. Sand. 1995. Microbial diversity in uranium mine waste heaps. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 61:2930– 2935.
- Schippers, A., P.-G. Jozsa, and W. Sand. 1996. Sulfur chemistry in bacterial leaching of pyrite. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 62:3424–3431.
- Schippers, A., P.-G. Jozsa, and W. Sand. 1998. Evaluation of the efficiency of measures for sulphidic mine waste mitigation. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 49:698–701.
- Schrenk, M. O., K. J. Edwards, R. M. Goodman, R. J. Hamers, and J. F. Banfield. 1998. Distribution of *Thiobacillus ferrooxidans* and *Leptospirillum ferrooxidans* for generation of acid mine drainage. Science 279:1519–1522.
- Singer, P. C., and W. Stumm. 1970. Acidic mine drainage: the rate-determining step. Science 167:1121–1123.
- Steudel, R. 1996. Mechanism for the formation of elemental sulfur from aqueous sulfide in chemical and microbiological desulfurization processes. Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 35:1417–1423.
- Sugio, T., and F. Akhter. 1996. Solubilization of Cu²⁺ from copper ore by iron-oxidizing bacteria isolated from the natural environment and identification of the enzyme that determines Cu²⁺ solubilization activity. J. Ferment. Bioeng. 82:346–350.
- Torma, A. E., and H. Sakaguchi. 1978. Relation between the solubility product and the rate of metal sulfide oxidation by *Thiobacillus ferrooxidans*. J. Ferment, Technol. 56:173–178.
- Tributsch, H., and J. C. Bennett. 1981. Semiconductor-electrochemical aspects of bacterial leaching. 1. Oxidation of metal sulphides with large energy gaps. J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol. 31:565–577.
- 26. Tributsch, H., and J. C. Bennett. 1981. Semiconductor-electrochemical aspects of bacterial leaching. Part 2. Survey of rate-controlling sulphide properties. J. Chem. Technol. Biotechnol. 31:627–635.
- Tributsch, H., and H. Gerischer. 1976. The oxidation and self-heating of metal sulphides as an electrochemical corrosion phenomenon. J. Appl. Chem. Biotechnol. 26:747–761.
- Vaughan, D. J., and J. R. Craig. 1978. Mineral chemistry of metal sulfides, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom.