

http://pubs.acs.org/journal/aelccp

# Intermittent Operation of CO<sub>2</sub> Electrolyzers at Industrially Relevant Current Densities

Angelika A. Samu, Attila Kormányos, Egon Kecsenovity, Norbert Szilágyi, Balázs Endrődi, and Csaba Janáky\*



In the future electrical energy grid, an increasing fluctuation of the power load is expected because of the growing amount of intermittent renewable energy in the electricity mix.<sup>1</sup> Beyond the challenges this poses for the electricity infrastructure, it also results in a massive fluctuation in electricity prices. Electrochemical power-to-gas and power-toliquid technologies are promising chemical energy conversion approaches to be coupled with intermittent renewable energy sources to balance the grid while utilizing cheap excess energy at peak times.<sup>2</sup> Electrochemical hydrogen generation methods (such as proton exchange membrane (PEM) water electrolyzers) are well known for their dynamic response to external electrical power load; therefore, they can be directly coupled to different renewable energy sources, such as windmills or solar photovoltaic (PV) power plants.

Direct CO<sub>2</sub> electrolyzers operating at industrially relevant current densities<sup>3–5</sup> can, in principle, offer similar opportunities, although no experimental evidence has been demonstrated on this matter yet. Such verification would be very important, considering the notable differences compared to water electrolyzers (e.g., CO<sub>2</sub> gas feed at the cathode, the use of soft cathode gas diffusion electrode (GDE), etc.). Specifically, fluctuations in the local pressure, due to the rapid increase/decrease in the reaction rate, might cause flooding in the cathode GDE, which can be detrimental for the stability of the electrolyzer cell. A few studies targeted dynamic operation, but they were limited to on/off switching cycles in short measurements and some simple variation of the potential/ current to regenerate the Cu catalyst and/or avoid precipitate formation in the electrolyzer cells.<sup>6–10</sup> Low-temperature CO<sub>2</sub>

electrolyzers are particularly promising for dynamic operation, while high-temperature systems are challenged by their thermal management (especially large-scale systems).<sup>11</sup> In this Energy Express, we compare the dynamic operation of two low-temperature  $CO_2$  electrolyzer cells: a membrane-less micro-fluidic and a zero-gap cell, to provide *the first experimental demonstration* of an electrolyzer cell absorbing a power load mimicking a PV power plant, generating CO for a whole week.

First, we have developed an environment (Figure S1) for the autonomous testing of CO<sub>2</sub> electrolyzer cells (Figure S2) in the CO<sub>2</sub>-to-CO conversion process (Figure S3). As the first step, we defined a dynamic current control protocol to test the response of zero-gap electrolyzer cells in terms of cell voltage and CO/H<sub>2</sub> partial current densities ( $j_{CO}$  and  $j_{H_2}$ ). The process consisted of alternating 8 h periods of constant current and dynamically changing current operation (see Figure S4). We decided to control the current density of the cell because proper power electronics are available for both DC/AC/DC and DC/DC conversions, as demonstrated on the example of PEM water electrolyzers.<sup>12</sup> Comparison with constant current operation (Figure S5) shows that the stability (i.e., cell voltage and product distribution) is not affected by the dynamically

Received:April 19, 2022Accepted:April 29, 2022Published:May 4, 2022





© 2022 The Authors. Published by American Chemical Society



Figure 1. (A) Cell voltage and product distribution during electrolysis according to the current protocol shown in Figure S4. The asterisks mark partial current density values obtained at j = 375 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> total current density, while the analysis at 90 and 140 h was performed at 437.5 and 312.5 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>, respectively. Cell voltage and (partial) current density during electrolysis at a continuously changing current density, following the power profile of a solar PV plant (B–D) for different time periods.

changing current pattern. In fact, one may even consider some possible beneficial effect of the current fluctuation, such as the release of entrapped gas bubbles.

Subsequently, we applied a protocol which mimics the power output of a solar PV power plant in Germany, where data was available with 1 min resolution.<sup>13</sup> Total current densities were linearly scaled with the PV power output, with a maximum of 437.5 mA cm<sup>-2</sup>. The cell power load profile slightly differs from the PV power output (due to the varying cell voltage), and our current control protocol enhances the fluctuations in the power (see the comparison in Figure S6). As shown in Figure 1B–D,  $j_{CO}$  follows the shape of the total current curve ( $FE_{H_2}$  remains below 15%). The cell voltage curve also resembles that of the power input (Figure 1B), although with a ca. 2.15 V threshold value, the onset voltage of CO<sub>2</sub> electrolysis in the presented cell. Clearly, the electrolyzer performance follows the dynamic power load with no detectable delay (Figure 1C), and a total FE of 92-95% was detected (Figure S7). To assess the long-term stability of the cell under these conditions, we ran the electrolyzer for a week. As seen in Figure 1D, the CO partial current density closely follows the input power pattern, indicating no significant degradation issue.

To check whether this capability is a unique feature of zerogap cells or can be stretched to microfluidic devices, we extended our studies to this latter group. Figure S8 illustrates stable operation at fixed current density, but the cell gradually gets flooded once the current density is varied. The rapidly changing gas evolution rate  $(O_2, CO, \text{ and } H_2)$  can induce rapid fluctuation in the local pressure. As the gas/solution can penetrate into the carbon paper even at low differential pressures, <sup>14,15</sup> it is not surprising that the GDE gets flooded.

Overall, we demonstrated that the studied zero-gap cell can properly function under intermittent operational conditions, while its microfluidic counterpart suffers from rapid flooding under such circumstances. Further efforts are ongoing to elucidate the effect of dynamic power load on multicell stacks and multistack systems (together with uncovering failure mechanisms), because these insights are very important in designing electrochemical cell configurations and energy conversion systems to scale-up this promising technology.

#### ASSOCIATED CONTENT

#### Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsenergylett.2c00923.

Experimental methods, including electrode preparation, cell configurations, and electrochemical measurements, with Figures S1–S8 (PDF)

### AUTHOR INFORMATION

#### **Corresponding Author**

Csaba Janáky – Department of Physical Chemistry and Materials Science, University of Szeged, Szeged H-6720, Hungary; eChemicles Zrt, Szeged H-6726, Hungary; orcid.org/0000-0001-5965-5173; Email: janaky@ chem.u-szeged.hu

#### Authors

Angelika A. Samu – Department of Physical Chemistry and Materials Science, University of Szeged, Szeged H-6720, Hungary; eChemicles Zrt, Szeged H-6726, Hungary

Attila Kormányos – Department of Physical Chemistry and Materials Science, University of Szeged, Szeged H-6720, Hungary

**Egon Kecsenovity** – Department of Physical Chemistry and Materials Science, University of Szeged, Szeged H-6720, Hungary

Norbert Szilágyi – Department of Physical Chemistry and Materials Science, University of Szeged, Szeged H-6720, Hungary

Balázs Endrődi – Department of Physical Chemistry and Materials Science, University of Szeged, Szeged H-6720, Hungary; o orcid.org/0000-0003-3237-9222

Complete contact information is available at: https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acsenergylett.2c00923

## Notes

The authors declare the following competing financial interest(s): The authors and their institutions have filed a patent on continuous-flow electrolyzer cells and processes, pecifically the zero-gap design used in this study, application numbers WO2020240218A1 and WO2022013583A1.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This project has received funding under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program from the FlowPhotoChem project (Grant Agreement No. 862453). The TKP2021-NVA-19 has been implemented with the support provided by the Ministry of Innovation and Technology of Hungary from the National Research, Development and Innovation Fund, financed under the TKP2021-NVA funding scheme. We also thank the Renewable Energy National Laboratory for support, financed by the RRF-2.3.1-21- 2022-00009 project.

## REFERENCES

(1) Chu, S.; et al. Opportunities and Challenges for a Sustainable Energy Future. *Nature* **2012**, 488 (7411), 294–303.

(2) Buttler, A.; et al. Current Status of Water Electrolysis for Energy Storage, Grid Balancing and Sector Coupling via Power-to-Gas and Power-to-Liquids: A Review. *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* 2018, 82, 2440–2454.

(3) Bhargava, S. S.; et al. System Design Rules for Intensifying the Electrochemical Reduction of  $CO_2$  to CO on Ag Nanoparticles. ChemElectroChem. 2020, 7 (9), 2001–2011.

(4) García de Arquer, F. P.; et al.  $CO_2$  Electrolysis to Multicarbon Products at Activities Greater than 1 A cm<sup>-2</sup>. *Science.* **2020**, 367 (6478), 661–666.

(5) Endrődi, B.; et al. High Carbonate Ion Conductance of a Robust PiperION Membrane Allows Industrial Current Density and Conversion in a Zero-Gap Carbon Dioxide Electrolyzer Cell. *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2020**, *13* (11), 4098–4105.

(6) Bui, J. C.; et al. Dynamic Boundary Layer Simulation of Pulsed  $CO_2$  Electrolysis on a Copper Catalyst. ACS Energy Lett. **2021**, 6 (4), 1181–1188.

(7) Xu, Y.; et al. Self-Cleaning CO<sub>2</sub> Reduction Systems: Unsteady Electrochemical Forcing Enables Stability. ACS Energy Lett. **2021**, 6 (2), 809–815.

(8) Jännsch, Y.; et al. Pulsed Potential Electrochemical  $CO_2$ Reduction for Enhanced Stability and Catalyst Reactivation of Copper Electrodes. *Electrochem. Commun.* **2020**, *121*, 106861.

(9) Casebolt, R.; et al. Pulse Check: Potential Opportunities in Pulsed Electrochemical  $CO_2$  Reduction. *Joule* **2021**, 5 (8), 1987–2026.

(10) Timoshenko, J.; et al. Steering the Structure and Selectivity of Electrocatalysts by Potential Pulses. *Nat. Catal.* **2022**, *5*, 259–267.

(11) Endrődi, B.; et al. Continuous-Flow Electroreduction of Carbon Dioxide. *Prog. Energy Combust. Sci.* **2017**, *62*, 133–154.

(12) Stansberry, J.; et al. Experimental Analysis of Photovoltaic Integration with a Proton Exchange Membrane Electrolysis System for Power-to-Gas. *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* **2017**, *42* (52), 30569– 30583.

(13) Dittmann, A.; et al. PV-Live Dataset - Measurements of Global Horizontal and Tilted Solar Irradiance. *Zenodo*, February 2022. DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.6028096

(14) Baumgartner, L. M.; et al. Narrow Pressure Stability Window of Gas Diffusion Electrodes Limits the Scale-Up of  $CO_2$  Electrolyzers. ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng. **2022**, 10 (14), 4683–4693.

(15) Yang, K.; et al. Role of the Carbon-Based Gas Diffusion Layer on Flooding in a Gas Diffusion Electrode Cell for Electrochemical  $CO_2$  Reduction. ACS Energy Lett. **2021**, 6 (1), 33–40.