

Ammonium transporter 1 increases rice resistance to sheath blight by promoting nitrogen assimilation and ethylene signalling

Xian Xin Wu^{1,†}, De Peng Yuan^{1,†}, Huan Chen^{1,†}, Vikranth Kumar^{2,†}, Seong Min Kang³, Baolei Jia^{4,5,*} and Yuan Hu Xuan^{1,*}

¹College of Plant Protection, Shenyang Agricultural University, Shenyang, China

²Division of Plant Sciences, University of Missouri, Columbia, MO, USA

³Liaoning Province Shiyan High School, Shenyang, China

⁴School of Bioengineering, State Key Laboratory of Biobased Material and Green Papermaking, Qilu University of Technology (Shandong Academy of Sciences), Jinan, China

⁵Department of Life Sciences, Chung-Ang University, Seoul, South Korea

Received 23 November 2021; revised 1 February 2022; accepted 10 February 2022. *Correspondence (Tel/fax +86 24 88342065; email xuanyuanhu115@syau.edu.cn (YHX)); Tel/fax +82 10 65400606; email baoleijia@cau.ac.kr (BJ)) †These authors contributed equally to this work.

Summary

Sheath blight (ShB) significantly threatens rice yield production. However, the underlying mechanism of ShB defence in rice remains largely unknown. Here, we identified a highly ShBsusceptible mutant Ds-m which contained a mutation at the ammonium transporter 1;1 (AMT1;1) D³⁵⁸N. AMT1;1 D³⁵⁸N interacts with AMT1;1, AMT1;2 and AMT1;3 to inhibit the ammonium transport activity. The AMT1 RNAi was more susceptible and similar to the AMT1;1 $D^{358}N$ mutant; however, plants with higher NH₄⁺ uptake activity were less susceptible to ShB. Glutamine synthetase 1;1 (GS1;1) mutant qs1;1 and overexpressors (GS1;1 OXs) were more and less susceptible to ShB respectively. Furthermore, AMT1;1 overexpressor (AMT1;1 OX)/gs1;1 and gs1;1 exhibited a similar response to ShB, suggesting that ammonium assimilation rather than accumulation controls the ShB defence. Genetic and physiological assays further demonstrated that plants with higher amino acid or chlorophyll content promoted rice resistance to ShB. Interestingly, the expression of ethylene-related genes was higher in AMT1;1 OX and lower in RNAi mutants than in wild-type. Also, ethylene signalling positively regulated rice resistance to ShB and NH_4^+ uptake, suggesting that ethylene signalling acts downstream of AMT and also NH_4^+ uptake is under feedback control. Taken together, our data demonstrated that the AMT1 promotes rice resistance to ShB via the regulation of diverse metabolic and signalling pathways.

Keywords: AMT1, sheath blight, resistance, nitrogen use efficiency, rice.

Introduction

Rice sheath blight disease (ShB), caused by Rhizoctonia solani Kühn (R. solani), significantly threatens worldwide rice cultivation (Molla et al., 2020). It is estimated that the yield reduction caused by ShB ranged from 8 to 50%, based on disease severity, crop stage of disease infection and environmental conditions (Savary et al., 2000). All known examples of ShB resistance are due to a quantitative trait that is controlled by multiple genes in rice, namely QTLs (quantitative trait loci). Many QTLs have been identified based on resistance to R. solani in different rice cultivars, some of which have been mapped and functionally characterized (Li et al., 1995; Richa et al., 2016, 2017). The underlying molecular mechanisms of rice resistance to ShB have been extensively investigated. PR (pathogenesis-related) genes are known to be significant contributors to plant defence. Specifically, the PR5 family gene OsOSM1 was confirmed to improve rice resistance against ShB (Xue et al., 2016). Similarly, overexpression of the ethylene (ET) biosynthetic gene OsACS2 results in enhanced ShB resistance (Helliwell et al., 2013). A recent genome-wide association study (GWAS) demonstrated that the F-box protein ZmFBL41 interacts and degrades ZmCAD (a lignin biosynthesis enzyme) to inhibit ShB resistance (Li et al.,

2019). Previously, we identified that IDD14 and IDD13 activate PIN1a to promote rice resistance to ShB (Sun et al., 2019a, 2020) and DEP1 interacts with IDD14 to negatively regulate rice defence to ShB (Liu et al., 2021a). Our previous study demonstrated that brassinosteroids (BRs) are negative regulators of ShB resistance in rice, whereas ET can enhance the resistance. RAVL1, a key transcription factor of BR signalling, directly activates BR and ET signalling-related genes to modulate the rice immunity to ShB (Yuan et al., 2018). Previous studies demonstrated that transcription factors such as OsWRKY4, 13, 30 and 80 enhance ShB resistance in rice (John Lilly and Subramanian, 2019; Peng et al., 2012, 2016; Wang et al., 2015). Later, we proposed that OsWRKY53 functions as a negative regulator in rice resistance to ShB (Yuan et al., 2020). In a more recent study, we identified that rice sugar transporters SWEET11 and SWEET14 negatively and positively regulate the rice resistance to ShB, respectively (Gao et al., 2018; Kim et al., 2021). Also, DOF11 promotes rice resistance to ShB by direct activation of SWEET14 (Kim et al., 2021).

Previous studies have revealed that high doses of nitrogen (N) fertilizer can cause a significant increase in the occurrence of ShB (Molla *et al.*, 2020). However, limited N supply will restrict growth and yield in plants. Therefore, it is of great significance to

identify genes with high nitrogen use efficiency (NUE), high resistance and high yield under low N conditions. Paddy-soil grown rice uses ammonium (NH_4^+) as the primary nitrogen source (Britto et al., 2001). There are at least ten OsAMTs that mediate NH_4^+ uptake in the rice genome. Three polarly localized members OsAMT1;1, OsAMT1;2 and OsAMT1;3 of the AMT1 subfamily are the primary ammonium transporters, specifically under low NH₄⁺ conditions. The three members are cooperatively responsible for NH_4^+ uptake in rice (Konishi and Ma, 2021). Overexpression of OsAMT1;1, a key transporter of NH4⁺ increases NUE, develops larger plants, increases yield under limited NH_4^+ and is involved in the rice defence response against pathogens (Pastor et al., 2014; Ranathunge *et al.*, 2014), suggesting that NH_4^+ uptake plays important roles in the balance of rice growth and defence. However, the detailed underlying molecular mechanisms remain unknown.

Here, the role of AMT1-mediated NH₄⁺ uptake and subsequent assimilation in rice defence to ShB was investigated. The data suggest that N-metabolites rather than NH₄⁺ regulate rice defence. In addition, the genetic and physiological experiments demonstrated that chlorophyll and amino acids, but not γ - Amino acid butyric acid (GABA) metabolism, positively regulate ShB resistance. N-dependent gene expression analysis in *AMT1; 1 OX* and *AMT1 RNAi* identified that ethylene biosynthetic and signalling genes were under the control of AMT1. Interestingly, ethylene signalling controls the NH₄⁺-dependent *AMT1* induction via feedback regulation. Taken together, our analyses provide insight into the molecular mechanism of N transport and assimilation in ShB resistance in rice and identify the new signalling pathways by which rice modulates ShB defence under NH₄⁺ fertilizer.

Results

AMT1;1 $D^{358}N$ mutant accumulates less NH_4^+ and is more susceptible to ShB

Previously, we have isolated ShB-resistant and susceptible genes via *Ds* transposon tagging in rice mutants (Sun *et al.*, 2019b). Among the lines, one more susceptible mutant (*Ds-m* (m)) was identified in this study (Figure 1a,b). However, Southern blot analysis indicated that *Ds-m* did not contain the *Ds* fragment (Figure 1c). Since *Ds-m* leaves showed a pale green phenotype and accumulated less chlorophyll compared to wild-type (WT) (Figure 1d,e), *AMTs*, *GS/GOGAT*, and chlorophyll biosynthetic and catabolic genes were sequenced (data not shown). Interestingly, the sequencing results identified that G¹⁰⁷² of *AMT1;1* was changed to A, which results in amino acid replacement from aspartic acid (D)³⁵⁸ to asparagine (N) (Figure 1f). D³⁵⁸ is located at the transmembrane helix (Figure 1g), and *AMT1;1 D³⁵⁸N* mutants accumulated less NH₄⁺ than did in WT plant roots (Figure 1h).

Before investigating the function AMT1;1 D³⁵⁸N, we examined the conservation of plant AMT members and conserved residues via heat map analysis of amino acid similarity. The results showed that the AMTs were highly conserved (Figure 2a). Next, the protein sequence of OsAMT1;1 was used as the reference sequence to determine consensus sites, the conservation of the primary sequences of AMTs by creation of multiple sequence alignments (MSAs) and the conserved residues are presented in Table S1 and Figure 2b. Interestingly, D³⁵⁸ was included within the recognized conserved amino acids. The *Amep123* yeast strain that is defective in NH₄⁺ transport was used to test the amino acid transport activity. The yeast growth assay indicated that AMT1;1 W¹⁶⁶F, H¹⁹⁹F, W²⁴⁵F, W²⁴⁸F, D³⁵⁸N, H³⁶⁶F and H⁴¹⁰F failed to transport NH₄⁺, while the other conserved residue mutations did not affect AMT1;1 activity (Figure 2c). These results demonstrated that D³⁵⁸N mutation affected AMT1;1 activity.

AMT1;1 D³⁵⁸N inhibits AMT1;1, AMT1;2 and AMT1;3 NH_4^+ transport activity to affect rice resistance to ShB

Since D³⁵⁸N affects AMT1;1 function and AMT1;1 D³⁵⁸N susceptible to ShB, AMT1;1 D³⁵⁸N overexpressors (OXs) and AMT1;1 RNAi plants were examined to test the defence response. Analysis by gRT-PCR showed that AMT1;1 expression was higher in AMT1;1 D³⁵⁸N OXs (OX1, OX2) and significantly lower in AMT1;1 RNAi (Ri1, Ri2) plants compared to WT (Figure 3a). The methyl-ammonium (MeA) uptake assay demonstrated that AMT1;1 D³⁵⁸N OXs and AMT1;1 RNAi plants (Li et al., 2016) were insensitive to 10 mM of toxic ammonium analog MeA compared with WT (Figure S1a, b). R. solani inoculation results showed that AMT1; $1 D^{358} N OXs$ were more susceptible than WT, while AMT1;1 RNAi exhibited a response similar to WT (Figure 3b). The percentage of leaf area covered with lesions was 41.3% in WT, 42.8% in AMT1;1 RNAi (Ri1) and 58.7% in AMT1;1 D³⁵⁸N OX1 plants (Figure 3c), suggesting that AMT1;1 D³⁵⁸N rather than AMT1;1 RNAi plants are more susceptible to ShB.

A previous study demonstrated that AtAMT1;3 T⁴⁶⁴D mutant inactivates AtAMT1;1 and AtAMT1;3 function (Yuan *et al.*, 2013). Therefore, the functional interaction between AMT1;1 D³⁵⁸N and AMT1;1, AMT1;2 or AMT1;3 was tested. Bimolecular fluorescence complementary (BiFC) and split-ubiquitin yeast twohybrid assays showed that AMT1;1 D³⁵⁸N interacted with AMT1;1, AMT1;2 or AMT1;3 (Figure 3d,e). To test whether this interaction affects AMT1;1, AMT1;2 or AMT1;3 NH₄⁺ transport activity, yeast growth assays were performed using the *Amep123* strain. The stable integration of *AMT1;1, AMT1;2* or *AMT1;3* into the yeast genome (*Agap1::AMT1;3*) restored the growth defect of the yeast mutant DL1. However, episomal co-expression of *AMT1;1* D³⁵⁸N resulted in significant inhibition of NH₄⁺ transport activity by AMT1;1, AMT1;2 or AMT1;3 (Figure 3f).

The above results suggest that AMT1;1 D³⁵⁸N is a dominantnegative mutation that inhibits AMT1;1, AMT1;2 or AMT1;3 activity. The AMT1 RNAi (suppression of all AMT1;1, AMT1;2 and AMT1:3) plant (Kumar et al., 2020) and AMT1:1 overexpressors (OXs) response to ShB was examined. The AMT1;1 expression level was significantly higher in AMT1:1 OXs (OX1, OX2) (Figure 3g), and AMT1:1 OXs were more sensitive to MeA (Figure S1c,d). Inoculation with R. solani showed that AMT1;1 OXs were less susceptible to ShB compared to WT (Figure 3h). The percentage of leaf area covered with lesions was 40.6% in WT, 28.9% in AMT1;1 OX1, and 29.4% in AMT1;1 OX2 plants (Figure 3i). To avoid side effects from overexpression, AMT1;1 endogenous promoter was used to drive AtAMT1;3 T464D-A141E Amtrac, a high-capacity ammonium sensor, which has higher ammonium transport activity (De et al., 2013). Semiguantitative PCR detected heterologous expression of AtAMT1;3 T464D-A141E in rice (Figure S2a). The AtAMT1;3 T464D-A141Eexpressing plants accumulated more NH_4^+ than WT (Figure S2b). Inoculation with R. solani demonstrated that AtAMT1;3 T464D-A141E-expressing plants were less susceptible to ShB compared to WT (Figure S2c). The leaf area covered with lesions corresponded to 41.2% in WT, 30.4% in AtAMT1;3 T464D-A141E-1 and 31.3% in AtAMT1;3 T464D-A141E-2 plants (Figure S2d).



Figure 1 AMT1;1 D³⁵⁸N inhibits chlorophyll accumulation, NH_4^+ uptake and rice resistance to ShB. (a) Leaves of the starter line for regeneration (*Ds-s*) and mutant (m) were inoculated with *R. solani* AG1-IA and photographed after infection for 48 h. (b) The lesion area on the leaves shown in (a) was analysed. Data represent the means standard error (SE) (n > 10). (c) *Ds* insertion was examined by Southern blot analysis. *GUS* DNA fragment was used as a probe. Ori. *Ds* indicates the original *Ds* insertion site in *Ds-s*. (d) Leaf morphology of *Ds-s* and m plants. (e) Chlorophyll content from *Ds-s* and m plants was determined. (f) The mutation site of in *OsAMT1;1* coding region was identified. The D³⁵⁸ was replaced with N in m plants. (g) AMT1;1 structure display, and position of D³⁵⁸ at the TM helix. (h) The NH₄⁺ content was calculated in *Ds-s* and m plant roots. Significant differences at the *P* < 0.05 level are indicated by stars.

N-metabolites, rather than NH_4^+ itself, regulate rice resistance to ShB

Since AMT1;1 promotes rice resistance to ShB, the role of the NH4⁺ and N-metabolites in rice defence to ShB was further investigated. Glutamine synthetase 1;1 (GS1;1) is the key GS enzyme in rice (Tabuchi et al., 2005). The gs1;1 mutant was more susceptible, while GS1;1 OXs were less susceptible to ShB compared to WT (Figure 4a,b). Furthermore, GS1;1 expression was significantly higher in GS1;1 OXs than in WT (Figure S3a). To further identify whether AMT1;1-mediated rice resistance to ShB requires GS1;1 activity, the genetic combination of AMT1;1 OX and gs1;1 was generated. Inoculation with R. solani revealed that AMT1;1 OX was less susceptible, while AMT1;1 OX/gs1;1 and gs1;1 were significantly more susceptible to ShB compared to WT plants (Figure 4c,d). In addition, the NH_4^+ content in all four genotypes (WT, gs1;1, AMT1;1 OX and AMT1;1 OX/gs1;1) was analysed. The results demonstrated that *qs1;1* and *AMT1;1* OX accumulated higher NH₄⁺ than WT. The highest NH₄⁺ content was detected in AMT1;1 OX/gs1;1 plants (Figure 4e), suggesting that N-metabolites rather than NH₄⁺ regulate ShB resistance in rice.

To explore how N metabolism affects the interaction between rice and *R. solani*, the function of amino acids during *R. solani* growth was examined. The results indicated that some common amino acids, such as glutamate (Glu), glutamine (Gln), aspartic acid (Asp), asparagine (Asn), phenylalanine (Phe), proline (Pro) and alanine (Ala), promoted the growth of hyphae in low N concentrations, while the growth of mycelia was inhibited in high

N concentrations (Figure 4f,g and Figure S3). Also, amino acid concentration measurements demonstrated that *AMT1;1 OX* mutant contained more, but *AMT1 RNAi* contains less Glu and Gln than WT plants (Figure 4h). γ -Amino Butyric Acid (GABA) is a non-protein amino acid that is also an important product of N metabolism. It has been reported to play key roles in a variety of physiological processes in plants (Deng *et al.*, 2020). However, overexpressing glutamate decarboxylase (*GDCi-OX*) (Figure S4a, b), an enzyme that converts glutamate to GABA, increased the susceptibility of rice to ShB (Figure S4b,c). In addition, high concentrations of GABA (10 mM) promoted hyphae growth (Figure S4d,e), suggesting that amino acids and not GABA may regulate rice resistance to ShB.

Chlorophyll accumulation promotes rice defence in response to ShB

Nitrogen metabolism is involved in the synthesis of many nitrogen-containing compounds (Baslam *et al.*, 2020). A notable example is glutamate that forms 5-aminolevulinic acid (ALA) through glutamyl tRNA reductase (GluTR) and glutamate-1-semialdehyde aminotransferase (GSA), functioning as the precursor to chlorophyll. Therefore, N is an important component of chlorophyll (Eckhardt *et al.*, 2004). *AMT1 RNAi* and *gs1;1* showed a pale green leaf phenotype and contain less chlorophyll, while *AMT1;1 OX* and *GS1;1 OX* accumulated more chlorophyll (Figure 5a,b,c). Also, our previous transcriptome data showed that *R. solani* inoculation altered N uptake, assimilation and chlorophyll synthesis (*CHLD, CHLI, CHLM, PORB, DVR, CHLG* and



Figure 2 Function of the conserved residue of AMTs. (a) Phylogenetic tree for AMTs was generated using MEGA 7.0. The percentage of replicate trees in which the associated taxa clustered together in the bootstrap test (1000 replicates) is shown next to the branches. Heat map representation of protein sequence identities of the AMTs analysed by ClustalW. (b) Network analysis of conserved and coevolving residues from plant AMTs. The circular network shows the connectivity of coevolving residues. The coloured square boxes in the circle indicate MSA position conservation (highly conserved positions are shown in red and less conserved positions in blue). The second and third circles show the proximity mutual information (MI) and cumulative MI (cMI) values as histograms facing inward and outward respectively. In the centre of the circle, the edges that connect pairs of positions represent significant MI values (>6.5), with red lines indicating the highest MI scores (top 5%), black lines indicating midrange scores (between 70 and 95%) and grey lines indicating the lowest scores (the remaining 70%) as defined by MISTIC. (c) Except for AMT1;1 mutants Y³⁸F, M⁴⁹A, Y⁸⁴F, F¹²⁷S, R¹⁴²K, Y¹⁶⁰F, W¹⁶⁸F, W¹⁷³F, F²⁵¹S and N²⁵²A, other mutations (W¹⁶⁶F, H¹⁹⁹F, W²⁴⁸F, D³⁵⁸N, H³⁶⁶F and H⁴¹⁰F) in AMT1;1 led to loss of NH₄⁺ transport activity. Empty vector (pDRf1) and AMT1;1 were used as the negative and positive controls respectively.

PORA) and catabolic gene (*NOL*, *PAO*, *NYC3* and *SGR*) expression (Yuan *et al.*, 2020) (Figure 5d). Inoculation of two rice mutants of chlorophyll synthesis-related genes *DVR* (*3*,*8-divinyl protochlorophyllide a 8-vinyl reductase*) and *YGL8* (*yellow-green leaf 8*) (Kong *et al.*, 2016; Nagata *et al.*, 2005) with *R. solani* showed that *dvr* and *ygl8* were more susceptible than WT plants (Figure 5e,f). Next, inoculation of *RNAi* and overexpression lines of chlorophyll degradation-related gene *NYC3* (α/β hydrolase-fold family protein) (Cao *et al.*, 2021) demonstrated that *NYC3-OX* was more susceptible, while *NYC3-RNAi* was less susceptible to ShB compared to WT plants (Figure 5g,h). These results are consistent

with the recently published data showing that chlorophyll content is positively correlated with ShB defence in rice (Cao *et al.*, 2021).

AMT1;1-mediated rice resistance to ShB depends on nitrogen levels

Since AMT1;1 is an ammonium transporter, the relationship between N availability and AMT1;1-dependent rice resistance to ShB was examined under different N fertilization conditions. *AMT1;1 OX, AMT1 RNAi* and WT plants were cultured under high N (urea, HN 300 kg/ha), middle N (urea, MN 150 kg/ha) and low N concentrations (urea, LN 50 kg/ha) (Liu *et al.*, 2021b). *R. solani*



Figure 3 AMT1;1 D³⁵⁸N inhibits AMT1;1, AMT1;2 and AMT1;3 NH₄⁺ transport activity to affect rice resistance to ShB. (a) qRT-PCR was performed to analyse the expression level of AMT1;1 in AMT1;1 D358 NOXs (OX1, OX2), AMT1;1 RNAi (Ri1, Ri2) and wild-type. Sample mRNA levels were normalized to those of Ubiquitin mRNA. Error bars represent means \pm SE (n = 3). (b)The leaves of wild-type, AMT1;1 RNAi (Ri1) and AMT1;1 D³⁵⁸N OX1 were infected with R. solani AG1-IA and photographed after 3 days of infection. Six leaves from each line were analysed, and the experiments were repeated three times. (c) The lesion area was calculated from the leaves shown in (b). Data represent means standard error (SE) (n > 10). (d) Reconstitution of the YFP fluorescence from AMT1;1 D³⁵⁸N-nYFP-AMT1;1-cCFP, AMT1;1 D³⁵⁸N-nYFP-AMT1;2-cCFP or AMT1;1 D³⁵⁸N-nYFP-AMT1;3-cCFP (left, fluorescence channel; right, bright field). Co-expression of AMT1;1 D³⁵⁸N-nYFP-cCFP was used as the negative control. Bars = 20 μm. (e) The interaction of AMT1;1 D³⁵⁸N and AMT1;1, AMT1;2 or AMT1;3 was tested via split-ubiquitin yeast two-hybrid assays. (f) Yeast growth assay was performed using *Amep123* strain to detect the NH₄⁺ transport activity of AMT1;1, AMT1;2 or AMT1;3 with co-expression of AMT1;1 D³⁵⁸N. *Amep123* was also used to generate the strain DL1 by integrating AMT1s into the Gap1 gene to generate \(\DeltaGap1::AMT1;1, \(\DeltaGap1::AMT1;2)\) and \(\DeltaGap1::AMT1;3, \) pDR-f1 vector was used to express AMT1;1 D³⁵⁸N. The yeast cells were grown on solid yeast nitrogen-based (YNB) medium at pH 5.2 containing 2% glucose, 2 mM ammonium chloride or 1 mM arginine as sole N source, at 28°C for 3 days. (g) The AMT1;1 expression level was identified in AMT1;1 OXs (OX1, OX2) by gRT-PCR. Sample mRNA levels were normalized to those of Ubiguitin mRNA. Error bars represent means \pm SE (n = 3). (h) The leaves of wild-type and AMT1;10X were infected with R. solani AG1-IA and photographed after 3 days of infection. Six leaves from each line were analysed, and the experiments were repeated three times. (i) The lesion scales were analysed for the R. solani AG1-IA-infected leaves shown in (h) by determination of the lesion area on the leaf surface. Data represent means \pm standard error (SE) (n > 10). Significant differences at the P < 0.05 level are indicated by different letters.

inoculation demonstrated that *AMT1;1* OX plants were significantly more resistant, while *AMT1 RNAi* plants were more susceptible to ShB than WT under LN (Figure 6a,b) and MN (Figure 6c,d) conditions. However, the positive effect of *AMT1;1*

to rice defence against *R. solani* was eliminated under the HN fertilization conditions with no significant resistance differences among *AMT1;1 OX, AMT1 RNAi* and WT plants (Figure 6e,f). Total N content was also measured in *AMT1;1 OX, AMT1 RNAi*



Figure 4 N-metabolites rather than NH₄⁺ regulate rice resistance to ShB. (a, b, c, d) Leaves from *GS1*;1 mutant (*gs1*;1), *GS1*;1 rice overexpression lines (*OX1* and *OX2*), *AMT1*;1 *OX1*, *AMT1*;1 *OX1/gs1*:1 and together with their wild-type plants were challenged with *R. solani* AG1-IA. The corresponding statistical results of the lesion area were calculated. Six leaves from each line were analysed, and the experiments were repeated three times. Data represent the means \pm standard error (SE) (*n* > 10). Significant differences at *P* < 0.05 are indicated by different letters. (e) Endogenous NH₄⁺ levels in *gs1*;1, *AMT1*;1 *OX1/gs1*;1 and wild-type plants were measured in roots grown in 0.5 × MS for 3 days. (f, g) *R. solani* AG1-IA was cultured on a Czapek–Dox medium with the addition of different concentrations of amino acids (glutamic acid and aspartic acid), and the colony diameter (with original cake diameter) was measured after 48 hours. The experiments were repeated at least ten times. Data represent means \pm standard error (SE) (*n* > 10). Significant different letters. (h) Glutamate and glutamine concentrations were measured from 10-day-old wild-type, *AMT1*;1 *OX1* and *AMT RNAi* plant leaves grown in hydroponics for 4 weeks with a spectrophotometric method. Data represent the means \pm standard error (SE) (*n* > 10).

and WT plants that were grown under LN, MN or HN conditions. The results indicated that *AMT1;1 OX* accumulated higher, while *AMT1 RNAi* contained less N compared to WT under LN and MN conditions. Under HN conditions, *AMT1 RNAi* accumulated slightly less total N than *AMT1;1 OX* and WT plants, while *AMT1;1 OX* contained a similar level of total N compared to WT (Figure 6g,h).

Feedback activation of NH_4^+ uptake by ethylene signalling is important for rice resistance to ShB

Our previous transcriptome study identified that ET biosynthesis and signalling genes were up-regulated after NH_4^+ treatment (Xuan *et al.*, 2013). The qRT-PCR results verified the transcriptome data where *ACO2*, *ACO3*, *ElN2*, *ElL1* and *ERFs* were significantly induced with NH_4^+ treatment (Figure 7a). Previously, we identified that ET signalling positively regulates rice resistance to ShB (Yuan *et al.*, 2018), suggesting that NH_4^+ assimilation may activate ET signalling to promote rice resistance to ShB. To test this hypothesis, *ERS1*, *ETR2*, *ElL1*, and *ElL2* expression was examined in *AMT1;1 OX*, *AMT1 RNAi* and WT plants under LN conditions. qRT-PCR results showed that two positive ET signalling regulators *ElL1* (Mao *et al.*, 2006) and *ElL2* (Yang *et al.*, 2015) expression levels were higher in *AMT1;1 OX* and lower in *AMT1 RNAi* than in WT. The expression of *ETR2* (Wuriyanghan *et al.*, 2009) and *ERS1* (Ma *et al.*, 2014), two negative ET signalling regulators, was suppressed and induced in *AMT1;1* OX and *AMT1 RNAi*, respectively, than in WT under LN conditions (Figure 7b). However, *ElL1* and *ElL2* expression levels were lower, while *ERS1* expression was higher in *AMT1;1 OX* than in WT plants under HN. Furthermore, the *ETR2* expression level was similar in WT, *AMT1;1 OX* and *AMT1;1 RNAi* plants under HN (Figure S5). Interestingly, we found that NH₄⁺⁻ mediated induction of *AMT1;1* and *AMT1;2* was inhibited in *eil1* mutants (Yuan *et al.*, 2018) (Figure 7c) and that *eil1* mutants accumulated less NH₄⁺⁻ than WT plants (Figure 7d).

AMT1;1 OX increases yield and resistance under LN conditions

Previous studies demonstrated that overexpression of *AMT1;1* enhances NH_4^+ uptake and improves rice growth and yield at least under specialized N fertilization conditions (Ranathunge *et al.*, 2014). Tillering is an important trait for grain yield in rice.

AMT1 promotes rice resistance and nitrogen use efficiency 1091



Figure 5 Chlorophyll accumulation promotes rice defence to ShB. (a) The leaves of *AMT1 RNAi* (#1 and #2), *AMT1;1 OX* and wild-type were photographed. (b) Leaves from *gs1;1*, *GS1;1 OX* together with their corresponding wild-type were photographed. (c) The chlorophyll contents of *AMT1 RNAi*, *AMT1;1 OX* and *GS1;1* mutants as well as their corresponding wild-type were determined. (d) *R. solani* AG1-IA dependent (after 48 hours of inoculation) expression levels of chlorophyll biosynthesis and catabolic genes were shown in a heat map. (e) The response of chlorophyll synthesis-related gene *DVR* and *YGL8* mutants and wild-type Shuhui498 (SH498) to *R. solani*. (f) The lesion scales were analysed for the *R. solani* AG1-IA-infected leaves shown in (e) by determination of the lesion area on the leaf surface. (n > 10). (g) The response of chlorophyll catabolic gene *NYC3 RNAi* and overexpression (*OX*) plants to *R. solani*. (h) The corresponding lesion scales were analysed by determination of the lesion area on the leaf surface at the *P* < 0.05 level are indicated by different letters.





© 2022 The Authors. Plant Biotechnology Journal published by Society for Experimental Biology and The Association of Applied Biologists and John Wiley & Sons Ltd., 20, 1085–1097

1092 Xian Xin Wu et al.

Mature AMT1;1 RNAi plants developed significantly fewer tillers than in WT and AMT1;1 OX, while WT and AMT1;1 OX produced a similar number of tillers (Figure 8a,b). Furthermore, less filled grains per panicle and lower total grain yield per panicle were found in AMT1;1 RNAi than in WT and AMT1;1 OX. However, no differences were identified between WT and AMT1;1 OX (Figure 8c,d). The thousand-grain weight was similar between WT and AMT1;1 RNAi, while AMT1;1 OX was higher than WT (Figure 8e).

Discussion

ShB is one of the most important diseases, which severely affects the quality and quantity of production in rice. However, the underlying rice defence mechanisms remain largely unknown. In this study, the AMT1;1 function in rice defence to ShB was explored by analysing the roles of NH_4^+ and N-metabolites as well as ET signalling during the defence process. The data illustrated that AMT1;1-mediated NH_4^+ transport accelerated N metabolism and regulated subsequent NH_4^+ -dependent ethylene-related gene expression to promote rice resistance to ShB under limited N fertilizer conditions, suggesting that appropriate N uptake and assimilation are necessary for rice defence activation.

AMT1;1 D³⁵⁸N interacts with and inhibits AMT1;1, AMT1;2 and AMT1;3 to control rice defence

A pale green mutant *Ds-m* was identified in the *Ds*-tagging mutant pool, which was more susceptible to ShB than WT. However, Southern blot results verified that the *Ds-m* phenotype was not caused by a *Ds* insertion. Since glutamate is the precursor of chlorophyll and forms ALA through GluTR and GSA (Eckhardt et al., 2004), *AMT*, *GS/GOGAT*, and chlorophyll biosynthetic and

catabolic genes were sequenced in the Ds-m mutant. Interestingly, *Ds-m* contained a point mutation at G¹⁰⁷²A, which resulted in the D³⁵⁸N change. Conserved residues and subsequent functional analysis revealed that D³⁵⁸ was highly conserved among plant AMTs and D³⁵⁸N replacement abolished AMT1;1 NH4⁺ activity. Furthermore, AMT1;1 D³⁵⁸N OX and AMT1 RNAi (suppression of AMT1;1, AMT1;2 and AMT1;3) plants accumulated less NH_{4}^{+} and were more susceptible to ShB. However, suppression of a single AMT1;1 by RNAi did not inhibit rice resistance to ShB, suggesting that AMT1;1 D³⁵⁸N-susceptible symptom may be caused by other mechanisms. A previous report demonstrated that AMT forms a homo- or hetero-trimer and AtAMT1;3 T^{464} D interacts and inhibits AtAMT1;1 NH₄⁺ transport activity (Yuan et al., 2013). Our analysis indicated that AMT1;1 $\mathsf{D}^{358}\mathsf{N}$ interacted with AMT1;1, AMT1;2 and AMT1;3 and inhibited their NH4⁺ transport activity. AMT1;1, AMT1;2 and AMT1;3 are colocalized in the endodermis cell layer and are cooperatively responsible for the NH₄⁺ transport in rice (Konishi and Ma, 2021), suggesting that AMT1;1 D³⁵⁸N-mediated inhibition of AMT1;1, AMT1;2 and AMT1;3 activity can occur in planta. In other words, AMT1;1 D³⁵⁸N plants inhibit the function of AMT1;1, AMT1;2 and AMT1;3 to reduce rice resistance to ShB.

N-metabolites, but not NH_4^+ , promote ShB resistance in rice

AMT1;1 $D^{358}N$ and AMT1 RNAi plants that contained less cellular NH₄⁺ were more susceptible. However, AMT1;1 OX and pAMT1;1-high-capacity Amtrac plants that accumulated more cellular NH₄⁺ were less susceptible to ShB, suggesting that cellular NH₄⁺ is positively correlated with rice resistance. Next, the key glutamine synthetase gene mutant gs1;1 was more susceptible while GS1;1 OX was less susceptible to ShB, despite the increased



Figure 7 Feedback activation of NH_4^+ transport via ethylene signalling is important for rice resistance to ShB. (a) NH_4^+ -dependent expression levels *ACO2*, *ACO3*, *EIN2*, *EIL1* and *ERFs* were verified by qRT-PCR with NH_4^+ treatment for 3 hours. (b) Positive ethylene signalling regulators *EIL1* and *EIL2* and (c) negative ethylene signalling regulators *ERS1* and *ETR2* expression levels were monitored in wild-type (DJ), *AMT1;1 OX* and *AMT1 RNAi* plants grown in LN conditions for 14 days. (d) NH_4^+ -induced *AMT1;1* and *AMT1;2* expression levels in WT and *EIL1* mutant (*eil1*) was monitored. Sample mRNA levels were normalized to those of *Ubiquitin* mRNA. Error bars represent means \pm SE (n = 3). (e) Endogenous NH_4^+ levels in WT and *eil1* were measured in roots grown in 0.5 × MS for 3 days. Error bars represent means \pm SE (n = 20). Significant differences at the P < 0.05 level are indicated by different letters.

© 2022 The Authors. Plant Biotechnology Journal published by Society for Experimental Biology and The Association of Applied Biologists and John Wiley & Sons Ltd., 20, 1085–1097



Figure 8 Comparison of yield index between *AMT1;1 OX, AMT1 RNAi* and WT plants grown in LN condition. (a) Mature plant morphology, (b) tiller number, (c) filled grains per panicle, (d) total grain yield and (e) 1000 grain weight were calculated. Data are means \pm SD of 12 plants. Significant differences at the *P* < 0.05 level are indicated by different letters.

and reduced cellular NH₄⁺ in *gs1;1* and *GS1;1* OX respectively. These results suggest that NH₄⁺ may not be the molecule responsible for the control of ShB resistance in rice. To definitively verify these results, *AMT1;1* was overexpressed in the *gs1;1* background. The results demonstrated that *AMT1;1* OX/gs1;1 was similar to *gs1;1* where increased cellular NH₄⁺ was accumulated and the plants were more susceptible to ShB. Therefore, AMT1;1-mediated rice resistance is required during the NH₄⁺ assimilation process.

NH₄⁺ is incorporated into the glutamine amide group by GS (Mur *et al.*, 2017). A recent study reported that amino acid metabolism is an important process in the nitrogen-mediated plant defence mechanism (Sun *et al.*, 2020). Therefore, the direct role of amino acids on the growth of *R. solani* hyphae was investigated. The amino acids tested including Glu and Gln all inhibited *R. solani* growth at high concentrations. The *AMT1;1 OX* plants accumulated more Glu and Gln compared to WT. These data suggest that AMT1;1-mediated defence may partially act via accumulation of amino acids to inhibit *R. solani* growth. However, GABA is a non-protein amino acid that promoted *R. solani* growth even at high concentrations. Also, GABA biosynthetic gene overexpression plants *GDCi OX* were more susceptible to ShB, indicating that GABA negatively regulates rice resistance to ShB.

Glutamate is the precursor of chlorophyll (Eckhardt *et al.*, 2004), and *AMT1;1* D³⁵⁸N, *AMT1* RNAi and gs1;1 accumulated less chlorophyll and were more susceptible to ShB. Our transcriptome results suggested that *R. solani* infection significantly suppressed chlorophyll biosynthesis gene expression while

inducing chlorophyll catabolic gene expression, suggesting a potential function of chlorophyll in rice defence. A genetic study by testing the chlorophyll biosynthetic gene *DVR* and *YGL8* mutants (Kong *et al.*, 2016; Nagata *et al.*, 2005), as well as chlorophyll catabolic gene *NYC3* mutant (Cao *et al.*, 2021), revealed that chlorophyll content was positively correlated with rice resistance to ShB (Cao *et al.*, 2021). These results suggest that AMT1-mediated NH_4^+ transport and assimilation promote chlorophyll synthesis by which rice partially increased resistance to ShB.

Ethylene signalling activates NH_4^+ uptake via feedback regulation to promote rice resistance to ShB

Cellular NH_4^+ is not only used to synthesize amino acids but also functions as a signal molecule to regulate global gene expression (Patterson et al., 2010). We further investigated whether other signalling pathways regulate AMT1;1-mediated rice resistance, aside from N metabolism. Plant hormone signalling is tightly associated with rice defence to ShB (Molla et al., 2020). We previously identified that NH4⁺ treatment regulates the expression of auxin signalling genes (Xuan et al., 2018) and demonstrated that auxin signalling activation via exogenous IAA application improves rice resistance to ShB (Sun et al., 2019a), implying that NH4⁺ supply may modulate auxin signalling to regulate rice resistance to ShB. In addition, our previous studies identified that ET biosynthesis and signalling genes were induced by NH₄⁺ treatment (Xuan et al., 2013) and that ET signalling promotes rice resistance to ShB (Yuan et al., 2018), suggesting that NH4⁺ signalling may activate ET signalling to promote rice resistance. Our analyses identified that EIL1 and EIL2 which activate ethylene

signalling were positively regulated while *ETR2* and *ERS1*, two negative regulators of ethylene signalling, were suppressed by *AMT1;1* under the LN conditions. However, under the HN conditions, *ElL1* and *ElL2* expression levels were significantly lower while *ETR2* and *ERS1* levels were higher in *AMT1;1* OX than in WT. These results suggest that ET signalling may be sensitive to the cellular N levels and may be associated with rice resistance to ShB. Furthermore, we identified that NH_4^+ -mediated induction of *AMT1* genes was inhibited in the key ET signalling gene *ell1* mutant. The *ell1* mutant accumulated less NH_4^+ , suggesting that ethylene signalling controls NH_4^+ transport via feedback regulation to fine-tune the cellular N transport and assimilation, which may be important for rice defence and growth.

AMT1;1 OX increases rice resistance and NUE under limited N fertilizer

Nitrogen fertilizers supplied to rice crops are partially lost via various mechanisms including ammonia volatilization, denitrification and leaching, causing environmental concerns by polluting the atmosphere, aquatic systems and groundwater (Choudhury and Kennedy, 2005). Therefore, limiting the amounts of NH_4^+ applied to the fields without loss of crop yield is an important agricultural strategy in rice. Our results demonstrated that AMT1:1 OX plants significantly promoted rice resistance to ShB under the LN conditions. Under HN conditions, AMT1;1 OX accumulated similar NH_4^+ content compared to WT and also exhibited a similar ShB response to WT plants. As previously reported, AMT1;1 OX uptake more NH_4^+ and significantly increase yield production at least under specialized N fertilization conditions (Ranathunge et al., 2014). Our data also confirmed that AMT1:1 OX produced a relatively higher yield, suggesting that AMT1;1 OX increased NUE and ShB resistance in rice.

In this study, the data demonstrated that AMT1;1-mediated increase in rice resistance was via N-metabolite activation and ethylene signalling. This study demonstrates the precise use of nitrogen based on the underlying molecular mechanisms of N metabolism to improve yield production and immunity against ShB and other pathogens in rice.

Materials and methods

Plant growth and R. solani AG1-IA inoculation

All of the rice plants treated with *R. solani* were cultured in the Shenyang Agriculture University greenhouse at 23–30°C, 80% relative humidity (RH) and 12-h light/12-h dark photoperiod. *Nicotiana benthamiana* plants were grown in environmental chambers at 22–24°C, 80% RH and 16-h light/8-h dark photoperiod for 4 weeks before use. The *R. solani* strain AG1-IA was cultured on solid PDA (Potato Dextrose Agar) medium at 28°C in an incubator. Rice was inoculated according to previously reported methods (Cao *et al.*, 2021).

Molecular phylogenetic analysis using maximum likelihood

The amino acid sequences of AMT proteins in rice, maize, *Arabidopsis*, wheat and potato were used as bait for searching in the Uniprot database (http://www.uniprot.org/) using BLASTp. MSAs of these protein sequences were conducted using the Clustal Omega program (Larkin *et al.*, 2007). Phylogenetic relationships were inferred using the maximum likelihood (ML) methods with 1,000 bootstrap iterations (Kumar *et al.*, 2016).

Ammonium uptake assay in yeast

The yeast strain 31019b ($\Delta mep123$), which is defective in NH₄⁺ absorption (Marini *et al.*, 1997), was used to test the NH₄⁺ transport activity of AMT1;1 and AMT1;1 mutants. $\Delta mep123$ was also used to construct the strain DL1 by introducing AMT1s into the Gap1 gene locus to generate $\Delta Gap1::AMT1;1$, $\Delta Gap1::AMT1;2$ and $\Delta Gap1::AMT1;3$. The pDR-f1 vector was used to express AMT1;1 $D^{358}N$, following previously reported methods (Yuan *et al.*, 2013). The yeast transformants were grown on solid yeast nitrogen-based (YNB) medium at pH 5.2 containing 2% glucose (w/v), 2 mM ammonium chloride or 1 mM arginine as the sole nitrogen source.

Vector construction and transgenic plant generation

AMT1;1 promoter was fused to an Amtrac high-capacity gene ORF in the *pGA1611* binary vector. The primers used for plasmid construction are listed in Table S3. *pAMT1;1:Amtrac high capacity* was transformed into Japonica rice cultivar Dongjin (DJ) calli via Agrobacterium-mediated transformation method (Hiei *et al.*, 1994). The *gs1;1 mutant* (PFG_3A-09512) was obtained from a rice T-DNA mutants collection (http://signal. salk.edu/cgi-bin/RiceGE/) (An *et al.*, 2003). The overexpression of *GS1;1* and *GDCi* was generated from the rice cultivar Zhonghua 11 (ZH11). The modified *pCAMBIA1381-Ubi* vector was used to construct *GS1;1* and *GDCi* overexpression vectors at *Hind*III/*KpnI* and *Hind*III/*HpaI* respectively. The primers used for the *GS1;1* and *GDCi* overexpression vector constructions are listed in Table S4.

Analysis of amino acid effects on R. solani growth

R. solani AG1-IA was cultured on a Czapek–Dox medium with the addition of different concentrations of amino acids. Colonized PDA plugs (7 mm in diameter) were excised using a hole borer and transferred to the centre of the fresh media surface. These petri dishes were then cultured in a 37°C incubator for 42 hours, and the diameters of the colonies were measured. The assays were conducted repeatedly at least eight times.

Determination of NH4⁺ and total N content

The NH_4^+ content in roots and shoots of 7-day-old rice seedlings was measured using an F-kit (Roche) according to the manufacturer's instructions (Oliveira *et al.*, 2002). The total N content in rice plants was determined by the Kjeldahl method using the Hanon k1160 Automatic Kjeldahl nitrogen determinator (Shandong, China).

RNA extraction and qRT-PCR analysis

Total RNA was extracted from the one-month-old leaves from tested rice plants using TRIzol reagent (Takara, Dalian, Liaoning, China). Elimination of genomic DNA and reverse transcription reactions were performed according to the manufacturer's instructions using the commercial kit (Takara, Dalian, Liaoning, China). qRT-PCR analysis was performed using the CFX96 real-time PCR system (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) and ChamQ Universal SYBR qPCR Master Mix (Vazyme, Nanjing, Jiangsu, China). Gene expression values were normalized against *Ubiquitin* values in the same samples. Two technical and three biological replicates were used for each analysis. The primers used for qRT-PCR are listed in the supplemental Table S2.

Determination of chlorophyll content

The chlorophyll content in leaves of one-month-old plants was determined using the ultraviolet spectrophotometer following a previously reported method (Lichtenthaler, 1987).

Amino acid measurement

Gln and Glu content was measured using an L-Glu analysis kit (Yamasa, Tokyo, Japan) following the manufacturer's instructions (Hirano *et al.*, 2008).

Split-ubiquitin yeast two-hybrid assay

AMT1;1, AMT1;2 and *AMT1;3* were fused to the N-terminus of *Ubiquitin* through Nub vector pXN25_GW and *AMT1;1* D³⁵⁸N was fused to the C-terminus of *Ubiquitin* through Cub vector pMETYC_GW based on standard GATEWAY cloning protocol (Invitrogen, CA, USA). Yeast two-hybrid assays were performed according to a previously published method (Lalonde *et al.*, 2010).

BiFC and southern blotting assays

AMT1;1 $D^{358}N$ was cloned into a YFP^N vector, while AMT1;1, AMT1;2 and AMT1;3 were cloned into CFP^C plasmids. The constructs were co-transformed into tobacco leaves using Agrobacterium strain GV3101 (Kim *et al.*, 2009). The YFP fluorescence signals were observed under a confocal microscope (Olympus FV1000, Japan) 36 to 48 hours after infiltration. Southern Blotting assay of *Ds* insertion was carried out with reference to the method described by a previous study (Xuan *et al.*, 2016).

Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses were conducted using Prism 5.0 software (GraphPad, San Diego, CA, USA) with a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) for comparison of significant differences between multiple groups. Also, Student's *t*-test was used to compare the differences between the two groups. Differences between the groups were considered significant with at least P < 0.05.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Nature Science Foundation of Liaoning (2020-YQ-05) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (32072406). We appreciate very much Prof. Chang-deok Han from Gyeongsang National University for providing *AMT1 RNAi*, *AMT1;1 OX* and *gs1;1* mutant seeds, Prof. Wolf Frommer from Carnegie Institution for Science for providing the Amtrac high-capacity plasmids, and Prof. Shimin Zuo from Yangzhou University for providing chlorophyll synthetic and catabolic gene mutant seeds.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Author contributions

XXW and YHX planned and designed the research. XXW, HC, DPY, VK and SMK performed most of the experiments. XXW, BLJ and DPY analysed data. XXW, BLJ and YHX wrote the manuscript. XXW, HC, DPY and VK contributed equally to this work.

References

- An, S., Park, S., Jeong, D.-H., Lee, D.-Y., Kang, H.-G., Yu, J.-H., Hur, J. et al. (2003) Generation and analysis of end sequence database for T-DNA tagging lines in rice. *Plant Physiol.* **133**, 2040–2047.
- Baslam, M., Mitsui, T., Sueyoshi, K. and Ohyama, T. (2020) Recent advances in carbon and nitrogen metabolism in C3 plants. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 22, 318.
- Cao, W., Zhang, H., Zhou, Y., Zhao, J., Lu, S., Wang, X., Chen, X. et al. (2021) Suppressing chlorophyll degradation by silencing OsNYC3 improves rice resistance to *Rhizoctonia solani*, the causal agent of sheath blight. *Plant Biotechnol J*, **20**, 335–349.
- Choudhury, A.T.M.A. and Kennedy, I.R. (2005) Nitrogen fertilizer losses from rice soils and control of environmental pollution problems. *Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.* **36**, 1625–1639.
- De Michele, R., Ast, C., Loqué, D., Ho, C.-H., Andrade, S.L.A., Lanquar, V., Grossmann, G. et al. (2013) Fluorescent sensors reporting the activity of ammonium transceptors in live cells. *Elife*, 2, e00800.
- Deng, X., Xu, X., Liu, Y., Zhang, Y., Yang, L., Zhang, S. and Xu, J. (2020) Induction of γ -aminobutyric acid plays a positive role to Arabidopsis resistance against *Pseudomonas syringae*. *J. Integrat. Plant Bio.* **62**, 1797– 1812.
- Eckhardt, U., Grimm, B. and Hörtensteiner, S. (2004) Recent advances in chlorophyll biosynthesis and breakdown in higher plants. *Plant Mol. Biol.* **56**, 1–14.
- Gao, Y., Zhang, C., Han, X., Wang, Z.Y., Ma, L., Yuan, P., Wu, J.N. et al. (2018) Inhibition of OsSWEET11 function in mesophyll cells improves resistance of rice to sheath blight disease. *Mol. Plant Pathol.* **19**, 2149–2161.
- Helliwell, E.E., Wang, Q. and Yang, Y. (2013) Transgenic rice with inducible ethylene production exhibits broad-spectrum disease resistance to the fungal pathogens *Magnaporthe oryzae* and Rhizoctonia solani. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* **11**, 33–42.
- Hiei, Y., Ohta, S., Komari, T. and Kumashiro, T. (1994) Efficient transformation of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) mediated by *Agrobacterium* and sequence analysis of the boundaries of the T-DNA. *Plant J.* **6**, 271–282.
- Hirano, T., Satoh, Y., Ohki, A., Takada, R., Arai, T. and Michiyama, H. (2008) Inhibition of ammonium assimilation restores elongation of seminal rice roots repressed by high levels of exogenous ammonium. *Physiol. Plant*, **134**, 183– 190.
- John, L.J. and Subramanian, B. (2019) Gene network mediated by WRKY13 to regulate resistance against sheath infecting fungi in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Plant Sci.* **280**, 269–282.
- Kim, J.G., Li, X., Roden, J.A., Taylor, K.W., Aakre, C.D., Su, B., Lalonde, S. *et al.* (2009) Xanthomonas T3S effector XopN suppresses PAMP-triggered immunity and interacts with a tomato atypical receptor-like kinase and TFT1. *Plant Cell*, **21**, 1305–1323.
- Kim, P., Xue, C.Y., Song, H.D., Gao, Y., Feng, L., Li, Y.H. and Xuan, Y.H. (2021) Tissue-specific activation of DOF11 promotes rice resistance to sheath blight disease and increases grain weight via activation of SWEET14. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* **19**, 409–411.
- Kong, W., Yu, X., Chen, H., Liu, L., Xiao, Y., Wang, Y., Wang, C. et al. (2016) The catalytic subunit of magnesium-protoporphyrin IX monomethyl ester cyclase forms a chloroplast complex to regulate chlorophyll biosynthesis in rice. Plant Mol. Biol. 92, 177–191.
- Konishi, N. and Ma, J.F. (2021) Three polarly localized ammonium transporter 1 members are cooperatively responsible for ammonium uptake in rice under low ammonium condition. *New Phytol.* 232, 1778–1792.
- Kumar, S., Stecher, G. and Tamura, K. (2016) MEGA7: Molecular evolutionary genetics analysis version 7.0 for bigger datasets. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **33**, 1870– 1874.
- Kumar, V., Kim, S.H., Priatama, R.A., Jeong, J.H., Adnan, M.R., Saputra, B.A., Kim, C.M. *et al.* (2020) NH4+ suppresses NO3--dependent lateral root growth and alters gene expression and gravity response in OsAMT1 RNAi mutants of rice (*Oryza sativa*). *J. Plant Biol.* **63**, 391–407.
- Lalonde, S., Sero, A., Pratelli, R., Pilot, G., Chen, J., Sardi, M.I., Parsa, S.A. *et al.* (2010) A membrane protein/signaling protein interaction network for Arabidopsis version AMPv2. *Front. Physiol.*, **1**, 24.

- Larkin, M.A., Blackshields, G., Brown, N.P., Chenna, R., McGettigan, P.A., McWilliam, H., Valentin, F. et al. (2007) Clustal W and Clustal X version 2.0. *Bioinformatics*, 23, 2947–2948.
- Li, C., Tang, Z., Wei, J., Qu, H., Xie, Y. and Xu, G. (2016) The OsAMT1.1 gene functions in ammonium uptake and ammonium-potassium homeostasis over low and high ammonium concentration ranges. *J. Genet. Genomics*, **43**, 639–649.
- Li, N., Lin, B., Wang, H., Li, X., Yang, F., Ding, X., Yan, J. et al. (2019) Natural variation in ZmFBL41 confers banded leaf and sheath blight resistance in maize. Nat. Genet. 51, 1540–1548.
- Li, Z., Pinson, S.R.M., Marchetti, M.A., Stansel, J.W. and Park, W.D.J.T. (1995) Characterization of quantitative trait loci (QTLs) in cultivated rice contributing to field resistance to sheath blight (*Rhizoctonia solani*). *Theoretical Appl. Genet.*, **91**, 382–388.
- Lichtenthaler, H.K. (1987) Chlorophylls and carotenoids: Pigments of photosynthetic biomembranes. *Meth. Enzymol.* **148**, 350–382.
- Liu, J.M., Mei, Q., Xue, C.Y., Wang, Z.Y., Li, D.P., Zhang, Y.X. and Xuan, Y.H. (2021a) Mutation of G-protein γ subunit DEP1 increases planting density and resistance to sheath blight disease in rice. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* **19**, 418–420.
- Liu, Y., Wang, H., Jiang, Z., Wang, W., Xu, R., Wang, Q., Zhang, Z. *et al.* (2021b) Genomic basis of geographical adaptation to soil nitrogen in rice. *Nature*, **590**, 600–605.
- Ma, B., Yin, C.C., He, S.J., Lu, X., Zhang, W.K., Lu, T.G., Chen, S.Y. et al. (2014) Ethylene-induced inhibition of root growth requires abscisic acid function in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) seedlings. *PLoS Genet*, **10**, e1004701.
- Mao, C., Wang, S., Jia, Q. and Wu, P. (2006) OsEIL1, a rice homolog of the Arabidopsis EIN3 regulates the ethylene response as a positive component. *Plant Mol. Biol.* **61**, 141–152.
- Marini, A.M., Soussi-Boudekou, S., Vissers, S. and Andre, B. (1997) A family of ammonium transporters in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. *Mol. Cell Biol.* 17, 4282–4293.
- Molla, K.A., Karmakar, S., Molla, J., Bajaj, P., Varshney, R.K., Datta, S.K. and Datta, K. (2020) Understanding sheath blight resistance in rice: the road behind and the road ahead. *Plant Biotechnol J.* **18**, 895–915.
- Mur, L.A.J., Simpson, C., Kumari, A., Gupta, A.K. and Gupta, K.J. (2017) Moving nitrogen to the centre of plant defence against pathogens. *Ann Bot.* **119**, 703–709.
- Nagata, N., Tanaka, R., Satoh, S. and Tanaka, A. (2005) Identification of a vinyl reductase gene for chlorophyll synthesis in Arabidopsis thaliana and implications for the evolution of Prochlorococcus species. *Plant Cell*, **17**, 233–240.
- Oliveira, I.C., Brears, T., Knight, T.J., Clark, A. and Coruzzi, G.M. (2002) Overexpression of cytosolic glutamine synthetase. relation to nitrogen, light, and photorespiration. *Plant Physiol.* **129**, 1170–1180.
- Pastor, V., Gamir, J., Camanes, G., Cerezo, M., Sanchez-Bel, P. and Flors, V. (2014) Disruption of the ammonium transporter AMT1.1 alters basal defenses generating resistance against *Pseudomonas syringae* and *Plectosphaerella cucumerina. Front. Plant Sci.*, **5**, 231.
- Patterson, K., Cakmak, T., Cooper, A., Lager, I., Rasmusson, A.G. and Escobar, M.A. (2010) Distinct signalling pathways and transcriptome response signatures differentiate ammonium-and nitrate-supplied plants. *Plant Cell Environ.*, **33**, 1486–1501.
- Peng, X., Hu, Y., Tang, X., Zhou, P., Deng, X., Wang, H. and Guo, Z. (2012) Constitutive expression of rice WRKY30 gene increases the endogenous jasmonic acid accumulation, PR gene expression and resistance to fungal pathogens in rice. *Planta*, **236**, 1485–1498.
- Peng, X., Wang, H., Jang, J.C., Xiao, T., He, H., Jiang, D. and Tang, X. (2016) OsWRKY80-OsWRKY4 module as a positive regulatory circuit in rice resistance against *Rhizoctonia solani*. *Rice*, **9**, 63.
- Ranathunge, K., El-Kereamy, A., Gidda, S., Bi, Y.M. and Rothstein, S.J. (2014) AMT1;1 transgenic rice plants with enhanced NH4(+) permeability show superior growth and higher yield under optimal and suboptimal NH4(+) conditions. J. Exp. Bot. 65, 965–979.
- Richa, K., Tiwari, I.M., Kumari, M., Devanna, B.N., Sonah, H., Kumari, A., Nagar, R. et al. (2016) Functional characterization of novel chitinase genes present in the sheath blight resistance QTL: qSBR11-1 in rice line tetep. Front. Plant Sci. 7, 244.

- Richa, K., Tiwari, I.M., Devanna, B.N., Botella, J.R., Sharma, V. and Sharma, T.R. (2017) Novel chitinase Gene LOC_Os11g47510 from Indica rice tetep provides enhanced resistance against sheath blight pathogen *Rhizoctonia* solani in rice. Front. Plant Sci. 8, 596.
- Savary, S., Willocquet, L., Elazegui, F.A., Castilla, N.P. and Teng, P.S. (2000) Rice pest constraints in tropical Asia: quantification of yield losses due to rice pests in a range of production situations. *Plant Dis.* 84, 357–369.
- Sun, Q., Li, D.D., Chu, J., Yuan, P., Li, S., Zhong, L.J., Han, X. and *et al.*(2020) Indeterminate domain proteins regulate rice defense to sheath blight disease. *Rice*, **13**, 15.
- Sun, Q., Li, T.Y., Li, D.D., Wang, Z.Y., Li, S., Li, D.P., Han, X. et al. (2019a) Overexpression of loose plant Architecture 1 increases planting density and resistance to sheath blight disease via activation of PIN-FORMED 1a in rice. *Plant Biotechnol. J.* **17**, 855–857.
- Sun, Q., Liu, Y., Wang, Z.Y., Li, S., Ye, L., Xie, J.X., Zhao, G.Q. et al. (2019b) Isolation and characterization of genes related to sheath blight resistance via the tagging of mutants in rice. *Plant Gene*, **19**, 100200.
- Tabuchi, M., Sugiyama, K., Ishiyama, K., Inoue, E., Sato, T., Takahashi, H. and Yamaya, T. (2005) Severe reduction in growth rate and grain filling of rice mutants lacking OsGS1;1, a cytosolic glutamine synthetase1;1. *Plant J.* 42, 641–651.
- Wang, H., Meng, J., Peng, X., Tang, X., Zhou, P., Xiang, J. and Deng, X. (2015) Rice WRKY4 acts as a transcriptional activator mediating defense responses toward *Rhizoctonia solani*, the causing agent of rice sheath blight. *Plant Mol. Biol.* **89**, 157–171.
- Wuriyanghan, H., Zhang, B., Cao, W.H., Ma, B., Lei, G., Liu, Y.F., Wei, W. et al. (2009) The ethylene receptor ETR2 delays floral transition and affects starch accumulation in rice. *Plant Cell*, **21**, 1473–1494.
- Xuan, Y.H., Kumar, V., Zhu, X.F., Je, B.I., Kim, C.M., Huang, J., Cho, J.H. et al. (2018) *IDD10* is Involved in the Interaction between NH₄⁺ and Auxin Signaling in Rice Roots. J. Plant Biol. **61**, 1–8.
- Xuan, Y.H., Peterson, T. and Han, C.D. (2016) Generation and analysis of transposon Ac/Ds-induced chromosomal rearrangements in rice plants. *Meth. Mol. Biol.* **1469**, 49–61.
- Xuan, Y.H., Priatama, R.A., Huang, J., Je, B.I., Liu, J.M., Park, S.J., Piao, H.L. et al. (2013) Indeterminate domain 10 regulates ammonium-mediated gene expression in rice roots. *New Phytol.* **197**, 791–804.
- Xue, X., Cao, Z.X., Zhang, X.T., Wang, Y., Zhang, Y.F., Chen, Z.X., Pan, X.B. et al. (2016) Overexpression of OsOSM1 enhances resistance to rice sheath blight. *Plant Dis.* **100**, 1634–1642.
- Yang, C., Ma, B., He, S.J., Xiong, Q., Duan, K.X., Yin, C.C., Chen, H. et al. (2015) MAOHUZI6/ETHYLENE INSENSITIVE3-LIKE1 and ETHYLENE INSENSITIVE3-LIKE2 regulate ethylene response of roots and coleoptiles and negatively affect salt tolerance in rice. *Plant Physiol.* **169**, 148–165.
- Yuan, D.P., Hong, W.J., Wang, S.T., Jia, X.T., Liu, Y., Li, S., Li, Z.M. et al. (2020) Transcriptome analysis of rice leaves in response to *Rhizoctonia solani* infection and reveals a novel regulatory mechanism. *Plant Biotechnol. Rep.* 14, 559–573.
- Yuan, L., Gu, R., Xuan, Y., Smith-Valle, E., Loque, D., Frommer, W.B. and von Wiren, N. (2013) Allosteric regulation of transport activity by heterotrimerization of Arabidopsis ammonium transporter complexes in vivo. *Plant Cell*, **25**, 974–984.
- Yuan, P., Zhang, C., Wang, Z.Y., Zhu, X.F. and Xuan, Y.H. (2018) RAVL1 activates brassinosteroids and ethylene signaling to modulate response to sheath blight disease in rice. *Phytopathology*, **108**, 1104–1113.

Supporting information

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article.

Table S1. Gateway primers used in this study.

Table S2. qRT-PCR and RT-PCR primers used in this study. **Table S3**. Primers used in this study for the construction of plants expressing *AtAMT1;3 T464D-A141E* driven by *AMT1;1* endogenous promoter. **Table S4**. Primers used in this study for the construction of theoverexpression vector.

Figure S1. Sensitivity test of *AMT1;1 RNAi* and overexpression plants to methyl-ammonium (MeA).

Figure S2. *AtAMT1;3 T464D-A141E* expression promotes rice resistance to ShB.

Figure S3. Verification of the effects of amino acids on *R. solani* growth.

AMT1 promotes rice resistance and nitrogen use efficiency 1097

Figure S4. Identification of the effect of GABA on rice resistance against ShB.

Figure S5. Expression levels of ethylene signalling genes under HN conditions in wild-type, *AMT1;1 OX* and *AMT1;1 RNAi* plants were quantified by qRT-PCR.