# Characterization of Methanotrophic Bacterial Populations in Soils Showing Atmospheric Methane Uptake

ANDREW J. HOLMES,<sup>1,2</sup> PETER ROSLEV,<sup>3</sup> IAN R. McDONALD,<sup>1</sup> NIELS IVERSEN,<sup>3</sup> KAJ HENRIKSEN, $^3$  and J. COLIN MURRELL<sup>1\*</sup>

*Department of Biological Sciences, University of Warwick, Coventry, CV4 7AL, United Kingdom*<sup>1</sup> *; Commonwealth Key Centre for Biodiversity and Bioresources, School of Biological Sciences, Macquarie University, Sydney, New South Wales, 2109, Australia*<sup>2</sup> *; and Environmental Engineering Laboratory, Aalborg University, DK-9000 Aalborg, Denmark*<sup>3</sup>

Received 25 January 1999/Accepted 10 May 1999

**The global methane cycle includes both terrestrial and atmospheric processes and may contribute to feedback regulation of the climate. Most oxic soils are a net sink for methane, and these soils consume approximately 20 to 60 Tg of methane per year. The soil sink for atmospheric methane is microbially mediated and sensitive to disturbance.** A decrease in the capacity of this sink may have contributed to the  $\sim 1\% \cdot \text{year}^{-1}$ **increase in the atmospheric methane level in this century. The organisms responsible for methane uptake by soils (the atmospheric methane sink) are not known, and factors that influence the activity of these organisms are poorly understood. In this study the soil methane-oxidizing population was characterized by both labelling soil microbiota with 14CH4 and analyzing a total soil monooxygenase gene library. Comparative analyses of [14C]phospholipid ester-linked fatty acid profiles performed with representative methane-oxidizing bacteria revealed that the soil sink for atmospheric methane consists of an unknown group of methanotrophic bacteria that exhibit some similarity to type II methanotrophs. An analysis of monooxygenase gene libraries from the** same soil samples indicated that an unknown group of bacteria belonging to the  $\alpha$  subclass of the class *Proteobacteria* **was present; these organisms were only distantly related to extant methane-oxidizing strains. Studies on factors that affect the activity, population dynamics, and contribution to global methane flux of "atmospheric methane oxidizers" should be greatly facilitated by use of biomarkers identified in this study.**

Methane is a radiatively active atmospheric trace gas whose concentration is increasing at a rate of ca.  $1\% \cdot \text{year}^{-1}$  (~40) Tg · year<sup>-1</sup>). Human activity is thought to be a causative factor in the rising methane concentration and, as such, may contribute to global warming (4, 8, 27). The global methane cycle consists of both atmospheric (mainly chemical) and terrestrial (mainly biological) processes (27). The observed increase in the methane concentration has been attributed to a combination of an increase in the number of sources of methane and a decrease in the number of sinks for methane (4).

The major sinks for methane are biological oxidation at or near the sites of production ( $\sim$ 700 Tg  $\cdot$  year<sup>-1</sup>), uptake of methane from the atmosphere by aerobic soils (20 to 60 Tg  $\cdot$  $year<sup>-1</sup>$ ), and photochemical oxidation in the atmosphere  $(-450 \text{ Tg} \cdot \text{year}^{-1})$  (27). Soil uptake of atmospheric methane is significant since the magnitude of the soil sink is equivalent to the observed annual increase in the methane concentration and it is more susceptible to disturbance by human activities (16, 21, 24, 34). A change in the soil sink can have a significant effect on the atmospheric mixing ratios of methane.

Biological methane oxidation consists of both aerobic and anaerobic processes. The global methane sink is dominated by aerobic methane-oxidizing bacteria (MOB). The biochemical basis of methane oxidation in all known MOB is similar (1, 9, 13, 20). All MOB possess a membrane-bound monooxygenase whose substrate range includes both methane and ammonia (note that some MOB contain an additional, biochemically distinct enzyme designated the soluble methane monooxygenase [sMMO]) (1). The membrane-bound monooxygenases are thought to be evolutionarily related (15). The MOB exhibit limited physiological, structural, and phyletic diversity compared to other functionally defined groups of bacteria (13, 25). Of particular significance are differences in the fate of carbon, the kinetic properties of the monooxygenase, and the evolutionary separation of the four major phyletic groups.

On the basis of cell physiology, the MOB can be divided into the methane-assimilating bacteria (MAB) (methanotrophs) and bacteria which cooxidize methane (autotrophic ammoniaoxidizing bacteria [AAOB]). The former organisms use methane as a sole source of carbon and energy and are characterized by the presence of a complete pathway for methane oxidation, the ability to assimilate cell carbon as formaldehyde, and apparent  $K_m$  values for methane in the micromolar range (1, 13). The AAOB use ammonia oxidation as an energy source for autotrophic growth; they are characterized by a complete pathway for oxidation of ammonia to nitrite and assimilation of cell carbon by the Benson-Calvin cycle. In most cases their apparent  $K<sub>m</sub>$  values for methane are in the millimolar range and methane is cooxidized with no apparent benefit to the cells (1).

Both phenotypic and phylogenetic data can be used to subdivide the methanotrophs and AAOB into two additional groups that are defined on the basis of intracellular membrane type, major membrane fatty acids, and genetic comparison data (5, 13, 33). Thus, there is very strong support for the existence of four monophyletic groups of MOB, two MAB groups and two AAOB groups. The phyletic distinctiveness of these four groups from each other, combined with the relatively shallow phylogenetic depths of the groups, has allowed the use of various biomarkers as signatures in ecological studies. These biomarkers have included oligonucleotide probes

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Mailing address: Department of Biological Sciences, University of Warwick, Coventry, CV4 7AL, United Kingdom. Phone: 44 1203 523553. Fax: 44 1203 523568. E-mail: cm@dna .bio.warwick.ac.uk.

and phospholipid ester-linked fatty acids (PLFA) (6, 12, 14, 23, 28, 29, 37, 38).

Soil methane uptake has been demonstrated to be biological. Methane uptake activity shares many features with the known MOB activity but also exhibits traits which do not occur during methane oxidation by extant organisms. The differences include a  $>$ 100-fold-greater affinity for methane but an apparently poor capacity for growth on this substrate (2, 9, 20, 21, 31, 35). Perhaps the most significant difference is a much lower threshold concentration for sustained methane uptake. Several explanations have been proposed to account for this, including (i) mixotrophic growth of methanotrophs, (ii) cooxidation of methane by ammonia oxidizers, (iii) induction of a high-affinity enzyme system in response to starvation, and (iv) activity of novel methanotrophic bacteria (2, 7, 9, 20, 31, 40). More recently, workers have shown that methanotrophs in pure cultures can exhibit sustained uptake of atmospheric methane at normal atmospheric concentrations if the cultures are supplemented with methanol (3, 18). These workers proposed that the presence of methanol in soil may provide a physiological basis for methane uptake by conventional methanotrophs in soil.

However, no organism that has been isolated from soil has been conclusively demonstrated to account for soil methane uptake. Consequently, the biochemical, physiological, and phyletic relationships of soil high-affinity methane oxidizers to extant MOB are unknown. Demonstration and assessment of the biochemical and physiological relationships are important to the use of extant MOB as experimental models for soil methane uptake. The current mechanistic models for inhibition of high-affinity methane oxidation by soil additives are based on the assumption that there is biochemical similarity (7, 16, 21, 24, 34, 35). Understanding the phyletic relationships is important for using biomarkers to study the ecology of methane oxidizers.

#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Cultures.** *Methylosinus trichosporium* OB3b and *Methylomicrobium album* BG8 were obtained from the culture collection at the University of Warwick, Coventry, United Kingdom.

**Study sites.** Soil samples were collected from a beech forest in Denmark (Rold Forest), a rainforest in Brazil (Pantanal), and a mixed hardwood forest in the United States (Maine). Samples obtained from depths of 4 to 8 cm (Denmark and Brazil) and 6 to 10 cm (Maine) were used for analysis. All samples exhibited uptake of atmospheric methane. Characteristics of the three soils are given elsewhere (30).

**Methane oxidation rates.** Sieved soil subsamples were assayed to determine oxidation of atmospheric methane ( $\sim$ 1.7 ppm of CH<sub>4</sub>) as previously described (28). Oxidation rates were estimated from first-order decreases in headspace methane concentrations. The affinity for methane (apparent  $K<sub>m</sub>$ ) was determined by measuring methane uptake at methane concentrations between 1 and 200 ppm. Apparent  $K<sub>m</sub>$  values were estimated from direct nonlinear regression analysis results (uptake rates versus methane concentrations). Methane was analyzed with a Chrompak model 438A gas chromatograph equipped with a flame ionization detector. The detection limit for methane was 0.1 ppm.

**Radiolabelling of methane oxidizers.** Soil samples were incubated with <sup>14</sup>CH<sub>4</sub> to specifically radiolabel the methanotrophic bacteria (29, 30). Intact soil samples (3 g) were incubated in 14-ml serum vials to which five aliquots consisting of 0.2 ml of  $^{14}CH_4$  (0.2 MBq · ml<sup>-1</sup>; Amersham, Amersham, England) were added at intervals. The methane concentration decreased from 50 to <0.5 ppm (CH<sub>4</sub> plus <sup>14</sup>CH<sub>4</sub>) between additions. The samples were aerated between additions to ensure that oxic conditions were present and to remove  $\rm{^{14}CO_{2}}$ . Labelling was terminated when the soil had consumed a total of 0.2 MBq of  $\rm{^{14}CH_4}$  (after 3 to 4 days).

Pure cultures of methanotrophic bacteria (100 ml) were grown on 1% methane in a nitrate minimal medium (29). Cultures in the mid-logarithmic phase of growth were then radiolabelled with  $14CH_4$  in 500-ml Erlenmeyer flasks as described above (five aliquots consisting of  $0.2$  ml of  $^{14}CH_4$ ). The initial methane concentration was adjusted to 1,000 ppm with unlabelled methane. The headspace methane concentration (CH<sub>4</sub> plus <sup>14</sup>CH<sub>4</sub>) decreased from 1,000 to <1 ppm between additions. Labelling was terminated when the cultures had consumed a total of 0.2 MBq of  $^{14}CH_4$  (after 2 to 3 days).

**14C-PLFA analysis.** Extraction of total lipids, separation of lipid classes, and preparation of phospholipid ester-linked fatty acid methyl esters were carried out essentially as described previously (29, 30). Phospholipid ester-linked fatty acid methyl esters were separated with a model HP 5890 series II gas chromatograph equipped with a flame ionization detector and a 50-m type HP Ultra II fusedsilica capillary column. Radiolabelled phospholipid fatty acids (<sup>14</sup>C-PLFAs) were detected as  $^{14}CO_2$  after combustion in the flame ionization detector (radio gas chromatography analysis). The <sup>14</sup>C-PLFAs were separated into 15 fractions on the basis of their retention times and equivalent chain lengths (29, 30). Fatty acids were identified based on retention times relative to the retention times of authentic standards (Nu Chek Prep Inc.). The results were compared with data obtained with parallel samples analyzed by Microbial Insights Inc. (Knoxville, Tenn.).

**DNA extraction.** Total DNA was extracted from the soil samples by using a hot sodium dodecyl sulfate lysis method derived from the method of Selenska and Klingmuller (32). Approximately 100  $\mu$ g of DNA was obtained from a 2-g (fresh weight) portion of each soil sample. The protocol which we used has been demonstrated to be reliable for lysis of methanotrophs and to yield good-quality DNA from a variety of soils (23).

**PCR, cloning, and sequencing.** Oligonucleotide primers that target universally conserved domains of the active site subunit (PmoA) (43) of all known particulate methane monooxygenase (pMMO) and ammonia monooxygenase (AMO) sequences have been described previously (15). A PCR in which this primer set is used amplifies homologs of pMMO and AMO genes (26) and can be used to create a library that is representative of the soil methane-oxidizing population. These primers were used to amplify and clone *pmoA-amoA* sequences from the soil horizons that exhibited atmospheric methane oxidation activity. Four libraries were constructed from DNA obtained from soil samples obtained from the Rold (Denmark), Maine (United States), and Pantanal (Brazil) forests. The PCR conditions used have been described previously (15). PCR products were cloned into the pCR II vector supplied with a T/A cloning kit (Invitrogen). Clones were also screened with environmental *pmoA* clone type RA14-specific primers (RAf380 [TGGGGCTGGACCTTCTATCC] and RAr541 [GCCATAT TGCTCGGTCGGCTG]) and environmental *pmoA* clone type RA21-specific primers (RXf380 [CATATCTGGGCCTGGTTTCC] and RXr655 [CGGAATG GCCCCCGAAGGT]). Plasmids were purified, and sequencing reactions were carried out by cycle sequencing by using a dye terminator kit (PE Applied Biosystems, Cheshire, United Kingdom) as previously described (15).

**Sequence analysis.** Nucleic acid sequences and inferred peptide sequences were aligned manually with the sequences in a database containing *pmoA* sequences. Bootstrapping, evolutionary distance calculation, and tree construction were performed by using the programs SEQBOOT, PROTDIST, DNADIST, FITCH, DNAPARS, PROTPARS, and CONSENSE of the PHYLIP package (version 3.5) (11). PAM distances were used for peptide trees, and Kimura distances were used for nucleic acid trees.

**Nucleotide sequence accession numbers.** Sequences of partial *pmoA* gene fragments (RA14, RA21, Rold 1, Rold 2, Rold 3, Rold 4, Rold 5, Maine 6, Maine 7, Maine 8, and Maine 9) have been deposited in the GenBank database under accession no. AF148521 through AF148531.

# **RESULTS**

**Methane oxidation.** In all of the soils examined, the greatest atmospheric methane oxidation activity was found in the mineral soil below the organic horizon (depth,  $\leq 4$  cm). The potential activities for oxidation of atmospheric methane were 229, 752, and 21 pmol  $\cdot$  g<sup>-1</sup>  $\cdot$  h<sup>-1</sup> for the soils from the Rold, Maine, and Pantanal forests, respectively. The apparent  $K<sub>m</sub>$  for methane oxidation was determined for the Rold forest soil. Fresh soil exhibited an apparent  $K_m$  of 10.7 ppm of CH<sub>4</sub>, which corresponded to approximately 14.7 nM  $CH<sub>4</sub>$  in the soil water.

**PLFA analysis.** Soil samples were incubated with  $^{14}CH_4$  $(<50$  ppm) in order to radiolabel the microorganisms that metabolize methane at near-atmospheric concentrations. Phospholipids extracted from soil samples incubated with  $^{14}CH_4$ were assayed for the presence of <sup>14</sup>C-PLFAs. Figure 1A shows the relative levels of  $^{14}$ C-PLFAs in radiolabelled samples obtained from the Rold and Maine forest soils. Significant amounts of radioactivity were detected in 3 of the 15 fractions (fractions 5, 7, and 11). These PLFA fractions represent fatty acids with equivalent chain lengths of 15.7 to 16.1 (fraction 5), 16.5 to 16.8 (fraction 7), and 17.7 to 17.9 (fraction 11) (see references 29 and 30 for details).

The inferred PLFA signature for the soil methanotrophic community under these labelling conditions was different from the PLFA signature reported for known MOB, including au-



FIG. 1. 14C-PLFA fingerprints for MOB. (A) Unknown methanotrophs that metabolized atmospheric methane in two forest soils in Denmark (Rold) and the United States (Maine). (B) Type I methanotroph *Methylomicrobium album* BG8 and type II methanotroph *Methylosinus trichosporium* OB3b. Each bar represents the amount of radiolabel in a fraction as a percentage of the radioactivity in all fractions. The following reference standard PLFAs coeluted with the 14C-PLFAs: 14:0, i15:1, and a15:1 in fraction 2; 16:1w9 and 16:1w8 in fraction 4; 16:1w7, 16:1w5, and 16:0 in fraction 5; i17:0, a17:0, and 17:1w8 in fraction 7; and 18:1w9, 18:1w8, and 18:1w7 in fraction 11.

totrophic ammonia oxidizers and type I or type II methanotrophic bacteria (12, 30, 41). The distinction from methanotrophs was confirmed by the results of a  $^{14}$ C-PLFA analysis of a representative type I methanotroph (*Methylomicrobium album* BG8) and a type II methanotroph (*Methylosinus trichosporium* OB3b) labelled under comparable conditions (Fig. 1B). The  $14C-PLFA(s)$  present in fraction 7 (Fig. 1A) had a retention time that was significantly different from the retention time of any fatty acid labelled in the control methanotrophs (the values were  $>5$  min different). The fatty acids in fraction 7 coeluted with the reference standard fatty acids i17:0, a17:0, and 17:1w8.

*pmoA* **analysis.** The presence of uncharacterized MOB in soil was confirmed by using a molecular biology approach. The Rold library contained 14 clones of methane monooxygenase (MMO) type sequences, and 4 of these clones (designated RA9, RA14, RA21, and RA26) could not be placed in any known group of MMO or AMO sequences in our database. The remaining clones were identified as *Methylococcus* sp. (four clones), *Nitrosospira* sp. (three clones), *Nitrosococcus* sp. (one clone), or *Nitrosomonas* sp. (two clones). Clones RA9, RA14, and RA26 were very similar, exhibiting 98% identity.

*pmoA* libraries were also constructed by using three soils obtained from the Rold, Maine, and Pantanal forests. Forty clones from each library were screened by PCR with RA14 and RA21-specific PCR primers. A total of 15 clones were identified and sequenced. Three clones each from the Rold,

Maine, and Pantanal libraries were similar to RA14 (Rold 1, Rold 3, and Rold 5; Maine 6, Maine 8, and Maine 10; and Pantanal 11, Pantanal 13, and Pantanal 14), and a tenth clone which was amplified with the RA14 primers, Rold 4, was similar to the *pmoA* sequence of *Methylocystis* sp. strain M. However, the five clones identified with the RA21 primers were all similar to the *Nitrosomonas amoA* sequences.

Alignments of available PmoA and AmoA sequence information (Fig. 2) revealed 52 universally conserved amino acid residues. Members of the RA14 group possessed 50 of these 52 universally conserved residues, and clone RA21 had 45 matches with these 52 conserved amino acid residues. While the new sequences clearly belong to the same protein family, they are significantly different at sites which are otherwise highly conserved in physiologically and phyletically diverse organisms.

**Sequence analysis.** Dendrograms constructed from the inferred peptide sequences of PmoA and AmoA showed that there were three strongly supported monophyletic groups: (i) the representatives of the  $\alpha$  subclass of the class *Proteobacteria* (a-*Proteobacteria*) (type II methanotrophs) and RA14, (ii) the g-*Proteobacteria* representatives (type I methanotrophs and *Nitrosococcus oceanus*), and (iii) the β-*Proteobacteria* ammonia oxidizers (Fig. 3). The relationships among the cultivated strains were broadly similar to the relationships based on an analysis of several other genes, including the 16S rRNA gene (26). We did not observe a significant relationship between RA21 and any of the other PmoA or AmoA sequences.

Phylogenetic analysis of the new Rold, Maine, and Pantanal PmoA sequences (Fig. 4) revealed a number of clones from each soil which grouped with the RA14 sequence, but we detected no clones which grouped with the RA21 sequence.

# **DISCUSSION**

The MOB are a physiologically (functionally) defined group of bacteria. Four subgroups of MOB have been recognized; there are two groups of MAB (type I and II methanotrophs) and two groups of AAOB ( $\beta$ - and  $\gamma$ -subdivision ammonia oxidizers). Soil methane uptake activity experiments typically reveal biochemical characteristics with broad similarity to characteristics of the known members of these four phyletic groups (Table 1). The debate concerning the nature of the organisms responsible for soil methane uptake has focused on three distinct issues. Do all soil methane oxidizers possess the same biochemistry for methane oxidation? What is the physiological role of methane in soil methane oxidizers? Do soil methane oxidizers belong to any of the four currently known phyletic groups of MOB?

To determine how diverse the soil methane oxidizers are, we analyzed the distribution of radiolabelled PLFAs in soil samples after incubation with  $^{14}CH_4$ . This analysis was based on the assumption that atmospheric methane is assimilated into biomass by the same population of organisms that oxidize it. This has been shown previously to be the most likely scenario for methane oxidizers in a forest soil (28). In the Rold, Maine, and Pantanal forest soils, 36, 39, and 35%, respectively, of the atmospheric methane consumed was assimilated into microbial biomass (30). This relatively high level of carbon conversion efficiency is consistent with the hypothesis that soil methane uptake is dominated by MAB. The relatively simple 14C-PLFA profile obtained from soils supplied with low methane concentrations (Fig. 1) suggests that the diversity of the organisms that incorporate the label is low (i.e., all members of the population are likely to be related at the generic level). In broad terms the PLFA profile of the soil methanotroph com-

Ms.tri Mc. M Mc.par <b>RA14</b> Nc.oce Mb.alb Mm.met LK6 Mc.cap <b>RA21</b> Nm.eur Nl.mul AHB1 Nspira	<b>VDWKDRR</b> <b>VDWKDRRMWP</b> <b>TDWKDRRMWPT</b> <b>VDWKDRRMWPT</b> <b>TDWKDRR</b> TDWKDRR TDWKDRRL <b>SDWKDRRLWVT</b> <b>SDWKDRRLWVF</b> NDWKDREFWPTFI <b>MDWKDROWWPY</b> <b>MDWKDROWWPW</b> DWKDROWWPVVTPIVGI <b>DWKDROWWPM</b>	w TI W∨ П w L T MVI TI	v P L VIJ P P VL v P A п VL P $\blacksquare$ $\vee$ G V ΑP $\blacksquare$ $\vee$ VT VIVL <b>VTP IVLV</b> PVLL <b>VRP</b> n V VT P п. ν V T P	G TFIA G V TF C A A $\blacksquare$ l g v $\blacksquare$ fic a a siqia f w $\blacksquare$ $\P$ FIPAA $M$ L V V A T Fl P т F P $\mathsf{s}$ T FI P $\mathbf{I}$ т FIP A A V т FI $\pm$ С G I Т $G$ 1 с т Т SAT $\blacksquare$ $\vee$ G $\blacksquare$ T	GIQI F A A ١A siol F lA. AIQ F Y Q S A A v l A $\vee$ A A Q A ν A A VIQ C IA. QA W A $T$ F $ P$ A A V $ Q $ S Y L PAA V H Y K S A Y Y P A $\blacksquare$ Y Υ IMIY Y YCAAIM YY L	F w F Ε <sup>N</sup> <b>WM</b> VNF V N F EHF F w R w Y R w YRWRL v WW I Y w ERFRN <b>WERYRL</b> w L w V N Y L w L WVNRYLP L <b>W</b> V N R Y L	G A P к F R L P G A FGAVF P R L F( G A R L Ρ Υ G A R L P F G A P G A R L P P WG A P G A YFRL R Q P F GA $\mathsf F$ VNYRQP F G A Y φ G A	A V V F A V -L. AALGLL IT F L C V ALL S VLGL V L A VLGL M L S l T I V V Al A T I $\vee$ А L WGATVCVLGL TLCCVGL $T \perp C$ v v c GATL C v cl V C ΙT L $\mathbf{1}$ $\vee$ C IT L CL. V $\mathbf{I}$	GL I <b>GEWI</b> R L N <b>GILM</b> G E W N R <b>IGEWIN</b> L FI GEWLDR ΥI L L GEWVN L F GEWVNR YF LM L GEWIN ΥM GEWVDR L L F YF GEWINR L L V L GEWIVR L <b>GEWR</b> L $\mathbf{I}$ Ш $L$ 1 <b>GEWL</b> <b>SLLVGEWL</b> $\mathsf{L}$ VGEWL
Ms tri Mc. M Mc.par <b>RA14</b> Nc.oce Mb.alb Mm.met LK6 Mc.cap <b>RA21</b> Nm.eur Nl.mul AHB <sub>1</sub>  Nspira	w glw SFIW GWT Y NEW GWT Y F <b>SFWGWTFMP</b> <b>NFWGWTYF</b> NFWGWTYF N <b>EWGWTYF</b> N <b>EWGWTY</b> NEW GMTYF H I WA WF P G F G F YAYI WISSHI G YA'N W S H YVWSH GIF G F YWWSHY	Y F. P F. P ISL P P V N P V N P V N F. P INF P X P $\overline{N}$ P M. 1 N F M. P INF P $\mathbf{1}$	ISLL F $\vee$ F P ISLVF P I N L V W P. F F P F P F C F P $\blacksquare$ V F P INFVF P YSMIW P G т Р F v т P $\vee$ P V L	A $P$ S A L V V P SALIVP $\overline{A}$ SALIVPA TSLVPQA SNLIPGA SQFAPGA SNL P GА L V P G A AVL ASLVPGA A T MLPGA MLPGA GIMLP GA STMIPGALIMDTVMLL NFVFPSTMIPGALVMDTVMLL	D w I W L DI $I$ W L D V F D L D V Α L $\vee$ $\mathsf{L}$ D. $\vee$ D $\vee$ $\mathsf{L}$ V $\mathsf{L}$ $\mathsf{L}$ DT L x I A DF $\mathbf{L}$ м L DF LML	L S м S L L $\blacksquare$ L s v s L M MU <sub>1</sub> MI H L F L ML S $DT$ V L M.L DLVL L. T Y Т -L T TLY B T	GISI V GISI Y ν s cisi Y V $\blacksquare$ NSF $\mathbf{I}$ V. <b>GISIM</b> T F S NISIM Q L G NSM T L s cisi Y F L GISI Y -FI L S GNF $\mathbf{I}$ $\vert$ $\vert$ RMW V L RNW L V T R <b>N</b> W M RNW M	v G s T A V V G S L <b>TAVVGSLGWCL</b> T A <b>IVGSMGF</b> <b>TA</b> V I D G L A F G L VLG G L T Al G G L T A VI. <b>TA</b> I V G X M A W G L T A I V G A M G W G L TGVLGGML TAL VGGGF TALVGGGF <b>ITALVGGGAF</b> <b>ITALVGGGAF</b>	G L N W G G L G N W w <b>FYP</b> N N W N W -SI ш $\mathbf{L}$ <b>YP</b> <b>FYP</b> N W G YGL G N W A F Y P N W G L F <b>YP</b> Υ N W F G V N W F Y P G <b>L</b> FYP G N W F $\mathsf{A}$ GL F F Y P G N W F Ġ G L F <b>NW</b> Y P G L G N W <b>FYP</b> G L F Y P N W L G
Ms.tri Mc. M Mc.par <b>RA14</b> Nc.oce IMb.alb Mm.met LK6 Mc.cap <b>RA21</b> Nm.eur Nl.mul AHB1 Nspira	P A FI A PAIAAAFHQATEQHGQLMTLADLIGLHYVRTSMPEY V AIQ FI L P ΡL P P V А P V⊡ P L A P vl Ŧ P A P P ŧ -Al PM L А P $\blacksquare$ X. P Ø P Œ P P F GI TI P $IF$ GP ा	AALTQ ATEQHCQ н Q н Q P н v Ε н V P E н V P н V P E V н P E н P н Г P н P M	A TEQHGQLMTL GTLMSL TIEIQ YI G. M M Y NI GMVMT ΥNΙ <b>VEY NGMMMT</b> YNC VENNGMLMSI G 1 H I G т E G т G Ε V L А G Ε V L	мs L. A D A <sub>D</sub> FSL A D A <sub>D</sub> L A <sub>D</sub> MLMSIADIQGYNYVRYG SLLSV A D s <b>SMAD</b> s A D Y L VADYTGF S L	<b>ADLVGFHF</b> VIGFHNVRTS LQGYHY LQGYHY LQCYHY <b>ADIQCYN</b> Y <b>GDMIGYVY</b> Y M GHL Y Y M G Y l H G Τ F L Υ L Y	VRTSMPE IGLHEVRTSMPEY M VR Τ $\top$ G VR T G Т <b>VR</b> <b>TGT</b> $\mathsf T$ <b>VRTGTPEY</b> $R$ s s ml P. VRI TGT T v R G $\mathsf{T}$ T VR G Т <b>VRTGTPEY</b>	R RM Æ. PEY R т PEY Ħ PEY R M PEY Ħ PEY PEY R $\mathbf{I}$ PEY $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{A}}$ R HI R HI P E Y PEY X) RL $\mathcal{N}$ RL	п G ٧E R $G$ $I$ L <b>WERGTMRTF</b> RMVEKGTL v EK GT RMVEK G TI L IRMVEK GTL <b>TRMVEK</b> GTL RGT п $Q$ G $S$ Е Ξ $Q$ G $S$ Е 8 $O$ G $S$ L EQGSL	G K D VI <b>RTFGKDVV</b> Р <b>IRMVERGTVRTFGKDVVPVA</b> GIK D VI∨ GIV <b>KD</b> VAP VIS R т G G т Е K D VIA P ۱s R Т F G K D VIAI PV s R <b>RTFGKDVX</b> PVIS <b>RTFGKDVAPV</b> S <b>FGGHS</b> AM R S $\mathbf{T}$ v R F G GASAT П G R т <b>CAN</b> <b>F</b> G (C) R T X <b>RTFG@</b>

FIG. 2. Alignment of predicted peptide sequences of PmoA and AmoA from representative methanotrophic and nitrifying bacteria with soil clone RA14 and RA21 sequences. Residues which are universally conserved in extant MOB are highlighted with black. Putative MMO signatures are highlighted with dots, and putative AMO signatures are highlighted with grey. The horizontal lines indicate predicted hydrophilic domains conserved in all of the peptides (15). The sequences shown (and their accession numbers) are as follows: Ms.tri, *Methylosinus trichosporium* (U31650); Mc. M, *Methylocystis* sp. strain M (U81596); Mc.par, *Methylocystis parvus* (U31651); Nc.oce, *Nitrosococcus oceanus* (U31652); Mb.alb, *Methylomicrobium album* BG8 (U31654); Mm.met, *Methylomonas methanica* S1 (U31653); LK6, *Methylocaldum tepidum* LK6 (U89304); Mc.cap, *Methylococcus capsulatus* Bath (L40804); Nm.eur, *Nitrosomonas europaea* (L08050); Nl.mul, *Nitrosolobus multiformis* (U31649); AHB1, *Nitrosospira* sp. strain AHB1 (X90821); Nspira, *Nitrosospira* sp. strain Np22 (U31655).

munity was comparable to the PLFA profiles of members of the  $\alpha$ -*Proteobacteria*, including the type II methanotrophs (Fig. 1). However, of particular significance is the peak associated with fraction 7, which represents 8 to 9% of the total. The labelled PLFAs in this fraction coeluted with reference standards i17:0, a17:0, and 17:1w8, which are scarce  $($ absent in previously studied methanotrophs and autotrophic ammonia oxidizers (5, 12, 30, 41). We have recently obtained similar 14C-PLFA profiles for high-affinity methane oxidizers

in other soils, including soils from Brazil, the United States, Denmark, and Greenland (30).

Some bacteria are known to produce culture-dependent PLFA profiles, and it could be argued that the differences between the profiles obtained for the type II methanotrophs and the soil methane oxidizers (principally the fraction 7 fatty acid) reflect metabolic stresses on cells in the soil. However, soils labelled in the presence of saturating concentrations of methane (10,000 ppm) still contained significant amounts of



FIG. 3. Unrooted phylogenetic trees showing relationships of RA14 and RA21 to representative methanotrophs and ammonia-oxidizing bacteria. (A) Tree constructed by using a 171-amino-acid segment of the 27-kDa subunit of MMO (PmoA or AmoA). (B) Tree constructed by using a restricted sequence alignment (125 amino acids) in which putative signature residues (see Fig. 2) were omitted from the analysis. On the basis of the bacterial phylogeny derived from rRNA (10, 26, 42), the root of the trees is predicted to lie on the branch indicated by a dot. The numbers at the nodes indicate the numbers of times the groups occurred in 100 bootstrap replicates. Approximate *K<sub>m</sub>* values for CH<sub>4</sub> (*K<sub>m</sub>* CH<sub>4</sub>) of the holoenzymes are indicated as micromolar concentrations (Table 1). For the sequence accession numbers see the legend to Fig. 2. Abbreviations: Mb, *Methylomicrobium*; Mm., *Methylomonas*; Nc., *Nitrosococcus*; Mc., *Methylocystis*; Ms., *Methylosinus*; Nm., *Nitrosomonas*; Nl., *Nitrosolobus*; Nspira, *Nitrosospira*.

these PLFAs, which could not be detected in pure cultures of methanotrophs labelled at low methane concentrations (30).

Soil samples that actively oxidized atmospheric methane contained phyletically distinct pMMO-like enzymes. On the basis of the relationships between PmoA sequences, clone RA14 may be considered a deep-branching member of the type II methanotroph group. Clone RA21 is not significantly related to any known pMMO-like sequence (Fig. 3 and 4). While RA14 is strongly related to type II methanotroph PmoA sequences, the evolutionary distance of this relationship is relatively large compared to the distance between known type II methanotroph PmoA sequences. On this basis, while it is probable that the RA14 organism is also a member of the a-*Proteobacteria*, it is unlikely to be sufficiently closely related to type II methanotrophs to form a monophyletic group. Other RA14 type sequences were detected from Maine, Pantanal, and additional Rold soil samples that had 14C-PLFA profiles similar to that of the Rold forest soil (Fig. 4). These sequences formed a cluster with the RA14 sequence, indicating that these types of sequences are found in a range of soils that oxidize atmospheric methane.

The topology of the monooxygenase trees appears to primarily reflect the phylogenetic relationships of the organisms and not the physiological roles of the enzymes (viz., the *N. oceanus* AmoA clusters with PmoA sequences). However, a comparison of the monooxygenase tree with trees derived from 16S rRNA (26, 42) or 23S rRNA (10) did indicate that the monooxygenase tree may reflect some specialization for using either methane or ammonia as a substrate for the enzyme (26). Phylogenies inferred from rRNAs indicate that the  $\beta$ - and g-*Proteobacteria* are sister groups, whereas the monooxygenase tree (Fig. 3A) revealed that there is a comparatively close relationship between the  $\alpha$ - and  $\gamma$ -*Proteobacteria* and that the b-*Proteobacteria* appear to be a highly divergent group. This could reflect selection for ammonia-specialized enzymes in the b-*Proteobacteria* and/or convergence to methane-specialized monooxygenases in the  $\alpha$ - and  $\gamma$ -*Proteobacteria*. This implies that some sites in the protein sequence alignments are subject to positive selection, which results in bias in the monooxygenase tree. This hypothesis is consistent with current data on apparent  $K_m$  and  $V_{\text{max}}$  values for methane for members of the a- and g-*Proteobacteria* (Table 1 and Fig. 3A) and the apparent ability of *N. oceanus* to use methane as an alternative carbon source (1, 19, 39). The relationship of RA14 to the  $\alpha$ - and g-*Proteobacteria* suggests that this clone represents a methanespecialized form. In the absence of pure cultures, confirmation of this will require demonstration that a radiotracer is incorporated into the RA14 *pmoA* gene or gene product. We have been unable to recover sufficient labelled genetic material from soil to demonstrate this to date.

Identification of amino acid residues which indicate that an organism is adapted to methane as the preferred substrate (i.e., signature residues for methane-specialized enzymes) may provide circumstantial evidence which supports the positive selection hypothesis. An amino acid was considered a putative signature residue if it satisfied the following criteria: it had to be universally conserved in all known members of the  $\alpha$ - and g-*Proteobacteria*, and at the same position in the alignment there had to be universal conservation of a different residue in all known members of the b-*Proteobacteria*. Sites fulfilling these criteria were found to be concentrated in two regions of the peptides. Interestingly, these two regions lie outside potential membrane-spanning domains (Fig. 2) and correlate closely with domains of the AMO which have been predicted to form the active site of the enzyme (36). The RA14 cluster sequences contained 74% (16 of 21) of the putative MMO signature residues and only 10% (2 of 21) of the putative AMO signatures. In contrast, RA21 exhibited no clear bias toward either substrate; it contained 33% (7 of 21) of the putative MMO signatures and 24% (5 of 21) of the putative AMO signatures.

*e* The fate oflabelled

 methanein. nitrifiers

is not

known.

The apparent

assimilation

could

 be dueto

nonspecific

 labellingহ

formaldehyde

or

assimilation

 at thelevel

of CO2.



FIG. 4. Phylogenetic analysis of the derived amino acid sequences encoded by the *pmoA* genes of methanotrophs, nitrifiers, and Rold, Maine, and Pantanal soil DNA samples. The dendrogram shows the results of an analysis in which PROTDIST was used. Bootstrap values greater than 50% derived from 100 replicates are also shown. The bar represents 10% sequence divergence, as determined by measuring the lengths of the horizontal lines connecting any two species.

The effect of this putative positive selection on tree topology was investigated by constructing trees for which all such residues were omitted from the analysis. The resulting tree (Fig. 3B) still strongly supported the hypothesis that RA14 is related to the a-*Proteobacteria* (98% of bootstrap replicates), and RA21 branched at the predicted position for the root of the tree

The existing biomarkers used to study MOB ecology include signature lipids for both type I and type II methanotrophs (12, 13), as well as several phylogenetic group-specific oligonucleotide probes that target the 16S rRNA (6, 14, 23, 37, 38). The evolutionary distances of the RA14 and RA21 PmoA sequences suggest that previously described phylogenetic groupspecific biomarkers are not likely to detect these new groups of putative MOB.

In conclusion, using radiotracers and functional gene probes, we obtained substantial evidence that there are phyletically distinct populations of MOB in soils. The results of a comparative analysis of two distinct biomarkers (PLFA and PmoA) suggested that the high-affinity methane oxidizers are a novel group of a-*Proteobacteria* methanotrophs. Studies on factors that affect the activity, population dynamics, and contribution to global methane flux of atmospheric methane oxidizers should be facilitated by use of these markers.



## **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

This work was supported by grant ERBIO4CT960419 from the European Union, by grant GST/02/622 from NERC, and by the Danish Research Council.

## **REFERENCES**

- 1. **Bedard, C., and R. Knowles.** 1989. Physiology, biochemistry, and specific inhibitors of  $CH_4$ ,  $NH_4^+$ , and CO oxidation by methanotrophs and nitrifiers. Microbiol. Rev. **53:**68–84.
- 2. **Bender, M., and R. Conrad.** 1992. Kinetics of CH<sub>4</sub> oxidation in oxic soils exposed to ambient air or high CH<sub>4</sub> mixing ratios. FEMS Microbiol. Ecol. **101:**261–270.
- 3. **Benstead, J., G. M. King, and H. G. Williams.** 1998. Methanol promotes atmospheric methane oxidation by methanotrophic cultures and soils. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **64:**1091–1098.
- 4. **Blake, D. R., and F. S. Rowland.** 1988. Continuing worldwide increase in tropospheric methane, 1978–1987. Science **239:**1129–1131.
- 5. **Bowman, J. P., L. I. Sly, P. D. Nicholls, and A. C. Hayward.** 1993. Revised taxonomy of the methanotrophs: description of *Methylobacter* gen. nov., emendation of *Methylococcus*, validation of *Methylosinus* and *Methylocystis* species, and a proposal that the family *Methylococcaceae* includes only the
- group I methanotrophs. Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol. **43:**735–753. 6. **Brusseau, G. A., E. S. Bulygina, and R. S. Hanson.** 1994. Phylogenetic analysis and development of probes for differentiating methylotrophic bacteria. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **60:**626–636.
- 7. **Castro, M. S., W. T. Peterjohn, J. M. Melilo, and P. A. Steudler.** 1994. Effects of nitrogen fertilization on the fluxes of  $N_2O$ ,  $CH_4$ , and  $CO_2$  from soils in a Florida slash pine plantation. Can. J. For. Res. **24:**9–13.
- 8. **Chappellaz, J., J. M. Barnola, D. Raynaud, Y. S. Korotkevich, and C. Lorius.** 1990. Ice-core record of atmospheric methane over the past 160,000 years. Nature (London) **345:**127–131.
- 9. **Conrad, R.** 1995. Soil microbial processes involved in production and consumption of atmospheric trace gases. Adv. Microb. Ecol. **14:**207–250.
- 10. **De Rijk, P., Y. Van de Peer, I. Van den Broeck, and R. De Wachter.** 1995. Evolution according to large subunit ribosomal RNA. J. Mol. Evol. **41:**366– 375.
- 11. **Felsenstein, J.** 1989. PHYLIP—phylogeny inference package (version 3.2). Cladistics **5:**164–166.
- 12. **Guckert, J. B., D. B. Ringleberg, C. C. White, R. S. Hanson, and B. J. Bratina.** 1991. Membrane fatty acids as phenotypic markers for the polyphasic approach to taxonomy of methylotrophs within the Proteobacteria. J. Gen. Microbiol. **137:**2631–2641.
- 13. **Hanson, R. S., and T. E. Hanson.** 1996. Methanotrophic bacteria. Microbiol. Rev. **60:**439–471.
- 14. **Holmes, A. J., N. J. P. Owens, and J. C. Murrell.** 1995. Detection of novel marine methanotrophs using phylogenetic and functional gene probes after methane enrichment. Microbiology **141:**1947–1955.
- 15. **Holmes, A. J., A. Costello, M. E. Lidstrom, and J. C. Murrell.** 1995. Evidence that particulate methane monooxygenase and ammonia monooxygenase may be evolutionarily related. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. **132:**203–208.
- 16. **Hutsch, B. W., C. P. Webster, and D. S. Powlson.** 1993. Long-term effects of nitrogen fertilization on methane oxidation in soil of the Broadbalk wheat experiment. Soil Biol. Biochem. **25:**1307–1315.
- 17. **Hyman, M. R., and P. M. Wood.** 1983. Methane oxidation by *Nitrosomonas europaea*. Biochem. J. **212:**31–37.
- 18. **Jensen, S., A. Prieme´, and L. Bakken.** 1998. Methanol improves methane uptake in starved methanotrophic microorganisms. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **64:**1143–1146.
- 19. **Jones, R. D., and R. Y. Morita.** 1983. Methane oxidation by *Nitrosococcus oceanus* and *Nitrosomonas europaea*. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **45:**401–410.
- 20. **King, G.** 1992. Ecological aspects of methane oxidation, a key determinant of global methane dynamics. Adv. Microb. Ecol. **12:**431–468.
- 21. **King, G., and S. Schnell.** 1994. Effect of increasing atmospheric methane concentrations on ammonium inhibition of soil methane consumption. Nature (London) **370:**282–284.
- 22. **Leak, D. J., and H. Dalton.** 1986. Growth yields of methanotrophs. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. **23:**470–476.
- 23. **McDonald, I. R., A. J. Holmes, E. M. Kenna, and J. C. Murrell.** 1997. Molecular methods for the detection of methanotrophs, p. 111–126. *In* D. Sheehan (ed.), Methods in biotechnology, vol. 2. Bioremediation protocols. Humana Press Inc., Totowa, N.J.
- 24. **Mosier, A., D. Schimel, D. Valentine, K. Bronson, and W. Parton.** 1991. Methane and nitrous oxide fluxes in native, fertilized and cultivated grasslands. Nature (London) **350:**330–332.
- 25. **Murrell, J. C., A. J. Holmes, I. R. McDonald, and E. M. Kenna.** 1996. Molecular ecology of methanotrophs, p. 135–152. *In* J. C. Murrell and D. P. Kelly (ed.), Microbiology of atmospheric trace gases. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Germany.
- 26. **Murrell, J. C., and A. J. Holmes.** 1996. Molecular biology of particulate methane monooxygenase, p. 133–140. *In* M. E. Lidstrom and F. R. Tabita (ed.), Microbial growth on  $C_1$  compounds. Kluwer, Dordrecht, The Netherlands.
- 27. **Reeburgh, W. S., S. C. Whalen, and M. J. Alperin.** 1993. The role of methylotrophy in the global methane budget, p. 1–14. *In* J. C. Murrell and D. P. Kelly (ed.), Microbial growth on  $C_1$  compounds. Intercept. Andover, England.
- 28. **Roslev, P., N. Iversen, and K. Henricksen.** 1997. Oxidation and assimilation of atmospheric methane by soil methane oxidizers. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **63:**874–880.
- 29. **Roslev, P., N. Iversen, and K. Henricksen.** 1998. Direct fingerprinting of metabolically active bacteria in environmental samples by substrate specific radiolabelling and lipid analysis. J. Microbiol. Methods **31:**99–111.
- 30. **Roslev, P., and N. Iversen.** Radioactive fingerprinting of microorganisms that oxidize atmospheric methane in soils. Submitted for publication.
- 31. **Schnell, S., and G. King.** 1995. Stability of methane oxidation capacity to variations in methane and nutrient concentrations. FEMS Microbiol. Ecol. **17:**285–294.
- 32. **Selenska, S., and W. Klingmuller.** 1991. DNA recovery and direct detection of Tn5 sequences from soil. Lett. Appl. Microbiol. **13:**21–24.
- 33. **Stephen, J. R., A. E. McCaig, Z. Smith, J. I. Prosser, and T. M. Embley.** 1996. Molecular diversity of soil and marine 16S rDNA sequences related to b-subgroup ammonia-oxidizing bacteria. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **62:**4147– 4154.
- 34. **Steudler, P. A., R. D. Jones, M. S. Castro, J. M. Melilo, and D. S. Lewis.** 1989. Influence of nitrogen fertilization on methane uptake in temperate forest soils. Nature (London) **341:**314–316.
- 35. **Striegl, R. G., T. A. McConnaughey, D. C. Thorstenson, E. P. Weeks, and J. C. Woodward.** 1992. Consumption of atmospheric methane by desert soils. Nature **357:**145–147.
- 36. **Vannelli, T., D. Bergmann, D. M. Arciero, and A. B. Hooper.** 1996. Mechanism of N-oxidation and electron transfer in the ammonia oxidizing autotrophs, p. 80–87. *In* M. E. Lidstrom and F. R. Tabita (ed.), Microbial growth on C1 compounds, Kluwer, Dordrecht, The Netherlands.
- 37. **Voytek, M. A., and B. B. Ward.** 1995. Detection of ammonium-oxidizing bacteria in the beta-subclass of the class *Proteobacteria* in aquatic samples with the PCR. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. **61:**1444–1450.
- 38. **Wagner, M., G. Rath, H.-P. Koops, J. Flood, and R. Amann.** 1995. In situ identification of ammonia-oxidizing bacteria. Syst. Appl. Microbiol. **18:**251– 264.
- 39. **Ward, B. B.** 1990. Kinetics of ammonia oxidation by a marine nitrifying bacterium: methane as a substrate analog. Microb. Ecol. **19:**211–225.
- 40. **Whalen, S. C., and W. S. Reeburgh.** 1990. Consumption of atmospheric methane to subambient concentrations by tundra soils. Nature (London) **346:**160–162.
- 41. **Wilkinson, S. G.** 1988. Gram negative bacteria, p. 299–488. *In* C. Ratledge and S. G. Wilkinson (ed.), Microbial lipids, vol. 1. Academic Press, London, United Kingdom.
- 42. **Woese, C. R.** 1987. Bacterial evolution. Microbiol. Rev. **51:**221–271.
- 43. **Zahn, J. A., and A. A. DiSpirito.** 1996. Membrane-associated methane monooxygenase from *Methylococcus capsulatus* (Bath). J. Bacteriol. **178:**1018– 1029.