# **CORRESPONDENCE**

**Open Access** 

# High-flow nasal cannula is not more effective than conventional oxygen therapy for acute exacerbation of COPD with mild hypercapnia: we are not sure



Sergey N. Avdeev<sup>1\*</sup>, Andrey I. Yaroshetskiy<sup>1</sup>, Galia S. Nuralieva<sup>1</sup>, Ivan S. Avdeev<sup>2</sup> and Anna E. Shmidt<sup>1</sup>

# To the Editor

We read with great interest the article published in Critical Care by Xia et al. entitled "High-flow nasal cannula versus conventional oxygen therapy in acute COPD exacerbation with mild hypercapnia: a multicenter randomized controlled trial" [1]. In this randomized controlled trial, the authors aimed to compare conventional oxygen therapy (COT) and high-flow nasal cannula (HFNC) in hospitalized patients with acute exacerbations of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and mild hypercapnia (pH  $\geq$  7.35, PaCO<sub>2</sub> > 45 mmHg). In this group of non-acidotic COPD patients with acute exacerbation, noninvasive ventilation does not facilitate recovery and, in addition, a substantial proportion of patients poorly tolerate noninvasive ventilatory support [2]. Therefore, we still need high-quality research on effective respiratory support in these patients. Xia et al. concluded that HFNC did not reduce the need for intubation and invasive mechanical ventilation in hospitalized patients with COPD exacerbation and mild hypercapnia; moreover, it increased the length of hospital stay and hospital

This comment refers to the article available online at https://doi.org/10.1186/s13054-022-03973-7

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

costs. Nevertheless, some points require additional discussion.

First, we are not entirely sure about the presence of acute exacerbation in all enrolled patients with COPD. According to the data presented in the article, COPD patients had mild hypoxemia (median SpO<sub>2</sub> 93%) and did not have tachypnea (median respiratory rate 21/min) and tachycardia (median heart rate 85-88/min), and also the levels of leukocytes and CRP were not elevated. Thus, it is highly likely that exacerbation of respiratory symptoms in COPD patients may not be exacerbations of COPD [3]. That is, worsening of respiratory symptoms in patients with COPD may also be caused by exacerbation of comorbidities (e.g., decompensated heart failure, arrhythmias, etc.), without involving the airways and lung (in these cases the term exacerbation of COPD may be misleading) [3]. And relatively good patient's outcomes are also not in favor of an acute exacerbation of COPD: Among 330 enrolled patients, the intubation rate was 1.5% (5 patients) and in-hospital mortality was only 0.3% (1 patient). Also, the authors did not mention anything about the place of management of COPD patients; it is unlikely that the patients were managed in the ICU.

Second, the necessity for oxygen therapy in the enrolled patients is also questionable. Inclusion criteria for the study were pH and  $PaCO_2$  (but not  $PaO_2$ ). According to the data presented, the initial mean values of  $PaO_2$  were about 70 mmHg (it is not clear, while breathing room air or during  $O_2$  inhalation 2 L/min), that is, many patients



© The Author(s) 2022. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativeccommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativeccommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data

<sup>\*</sup>Correspondence: serg\_avdeev@list.ru

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Department of Pulmonology, I.M. Sechenov First Moscow State Medical University (Sechenov University), Healthcare Ministry of Russia, Trubetskaya Street 8, Moscow, Russia 119991

Avdeev et al. Critical Care (2022) 26:156 Page 2 of 2

simply did not require even conventional oxygen therapy. Also, Fig. 3 and Table E1 demonstrate that the respiratory rate, dyspnea, and blood gases remained practically unchanged during the first 3 days of oxygen therapy, that is, oxygen therapy was not accompanied by noticeable clinical effects.

Third, the oxygen therapy algorithm in this study also differs from accepted practice. The authors used  $\mathrm{SpO}_2$  of 90–95% as target values, while randomized trials and observational studies have shown that in acute exacerbation of COPD, even with normocapnia, the most optimal target  $\mathrm{SpO}_2$  level is 88–92% [4, 5]. Also, from Table E2 we can see that oxygen therapy was not continuous (about 10 h on the first day in the HFNC group and about 15 h a day in the COT group), which also differs from the usual practice.

These points raise some concerns, and we feel that the results of this study should be interpreted with caution and unlikely to affect our current practice. Hence, further research is required on the role of HFNC in non-acidotic acute exacerbation of COPD.

## **Abbreviations**

COPD: Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; COT: Conventional oxygen therapy; FiO<sub>2</sub>: Fraction of inspiration oxygen; HFNC: High-flow nasal cannula; PaCO<sub>2</sub>: Arterial partial pressure of carbon dioxide; PaO<sub>2</sub>: Arterial partial pressure of oxygen; SpO<sub>2</sub>: Oxygen saturation.

## Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

# Author contributions

SNA, AIY, GSN, ISA, and AES conceived the study. SNA, GSN, and ISA drafted the manuscript. All authors helped to revise the draft of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## Funding

The authors declare no funding involved.

# Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

# **Declarations**

# Ethical approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

# Consent for publication

Not applicable.

## **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

## Author details

<sup>1</sup>Department of Pulmonology, I.M. Sechenov First Moscow State Medical University (Sechenov University), Healthcare Ministry of Russia, Trubetskaya Street 8, Moscow, Russia 119991. <sup>2</sup>Institute of Clinical Medicine, I.M. Sechenov First Moscow State Medical University (Sechenov University), Moscow, Russia.

Received: 25 April 2022 Accepted: 4 May 2022 Published online: 31 May 2022

#### References

- Xia J, Gu S, Lei W, Zhang J, Wei H, Liu C, et al. High-flow nasal cannula versus conventional oxygen therapy in acute COPD exacerbation with mild hypercapnia: a multicenter randomized controlled trial. Crit Care. 2022;26:109.
- Keenan SP, Powers CE, McCormack DG. Noninvasive positive-pressure ventilation in patients with milder chronic obstructive pulmonary disease exacerbations: a randomized controlled trial. Respir Care. 2005;50:610–6.
- Beghé B, Verduri A, Roca M, Fabbri LM. Exacerbation of respiratory symptoms in COPD patients may not be exacerbations of COPD. Eur Respir J. 2013;41:93–5.
- Austin MA, Wills KE, Blizzard L, Walters EH, Wood-Baker R. Effect of high flow oxygen on mortality in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease patients in prehospital setting: randomised controlled trial. BMJ. 2010;341:c5462.
- Echevarria C, Steer J, Wason J, Bourke S. Oxygen therapy and inpatient mortality in COPD exacerbation. Emerg Med J. 2021;38:170–7.

#### Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

# Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- $\bullet\,$  thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

## At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

