

HHS Public Access

Xenotransplantation. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2022 June 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Author manuscript

Xenotransplantation. 2021 November ; 28(6): e12715. doi:10.1111/xen.12715.

Histopathology of pig kidney grafts with/without expression of the carbohydrate Neu5Gc in immunosuppressed baboons

Jeremy B. Foote¹, Abhijit Jagdale², Takayuki Yamamoto², Hidetaka Hara², Mohamed H. Bikhet², Henk-Jan Schuurman³, Huy Q. Nguyen², Mohamed Ezzelarab⁴, David Ayares⁵, Douglas J. Anderson², Huma Fatima⁶, Devin E. Eckhoff^{2,7}, David K. C. Cooper², Hayato Iwase²

¹Department of Microbiology and Animal Resources Program, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, Alabama, USA

²Department of Surgery, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, Alabama, USA

³Schubiomed Consultancy, Utrecht, The Netherlands

⁴Thomas E. Starzl Transplantation InstituteUniversity of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA

⁵Revivicor, Blacksburg, Virginia, USA

⁶Department of Pathology, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, Alabama, USA

⁷Department of Surgery, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center/Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA

Abstract

Introduction: Pigs deficient in three glycosyltransferase enzymes (triple-knockout [TKO] pigs, that is, <u>not</u> expressing the three known carbohydrate xenoantigens) and expressing 'protective' human transgenes are considered a likely source of organs for transplantation into human recipients. Some human sera have no or minimal natural antibody binding to red blood cells (RBCs) and peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) from TKO pigs. However, all Old World monkeys exhibit natural antibody binding to TKO pig cells. The xenoantigen targets of Old World monkey natural antibodies are postulated to be carbohydrate moieties exposed when the expression of the carbohydrate N-glycolylneuraminic acid (Neu5Gc) is deleted. The aim of this study was to compare the survival in baboons and histopathology of renal grafts from pigs that either (a) expressed Neu5Gc (GTKO pigs; <u>Group 1</u>) or (b) did <u>not</u> express Neu5Gc (GTKO/CMAHKO [DKO] or TKO pigs; <u>Group 2</u>).

Methods: Life-supporting renal transplants were carried out using GTKO (n = 5) or DKO/TKO (n = 5) pig kidneys under an anti-CD40mAb-based immunosuppressive regimen.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

Correspondence: Jeremy Foote, Department of Microbiology, Shelby Research Building, Room 250G, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL, USA. jbf130@uab.edu.

David Ayares is an employee of Revivicor, Inc. Hendrik-Jan Schuurman is director of SchuBiomed Consultancy and provides consultancy in the biomedical sector worldwide. No other author reports a conflict of interest.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found in the online version of the article at the publisher's website.

Results: Group 1 baboons survived longer than Group 2 baboons (median 237 vs. 35 days; mean

196 vs. 57 days; p < 0.07) and exhibited histopathological features of antibody-mediated rejection in only two kidneys. <u>Group 2</u> exhibited histopathological features of antibody-mediated rejection in all five grafts, with IgM and IgG binding to renal interstitial arteries and peritubular capillaries. Rejection-free survival was significantly longer in <u>Group 1</u> (p < 0.05).

Conclusions: The absence of expression of Neu5Gc on pig kidney grafts is associated with increased binding of baboon antibodies to pig endothelium and reduced graft survival.

Keywords

baboon; genetically-engineered; histopathology; kidney; Neu5Gc; N-glycolylneuraminic acid; pig; xenotransplantation

1 | INTRODUCTION

Over the last several years, considerable advances in genetic engineering of pigs have been achieved, resulting in improved survival of lifesupporting genetically-engineered pig organ (kidney and heart) transplants in nonhuman primates (NHPs). In particular, deletion of expression of pig glycoantigens against which humans exhibit natural antibodies has contributed to the longer pig graft survival that is now measured in months or even years.

Sera from many humans contain no or minimal IgM or IgG antibodies that bind to cells from pigs in which all three known pig glycoxenoantigens have been deleted (triple-knockout [TKO] pigs)^{1–2} (Table S1), suggesting that organs from these pigs would not be rejected by (naturally occurring) antibodies to these epitopes in clinical xenotransplantation. TKO pigs with transgenic expression of human proteins, including (i) regulators of hemostasis (e.g., thrombomodulin, endothelial protein C receptor), and of (ii) complement pathway activators (e.g., CD46, CD55), and (iii) anti-inflammatory (anti-apoptotic) proteins (CD39, CD47, HO-1) have resulted in a considerable improvement in the results of pig-to-NHP organ xenotransplantation.^{3–4}

Despite these genetic modifications, the adaptive immune response may still induce antibody- or cell-mediated rejection, which necessitates immunosuppressive therapy targeting humoral (B cells) and/or cellular (T cells) immunity. The administration of agents that target the CD40:CD154 costimulation pathway has had a significant beneficial impact on pig renal^{5–8} and cardiac^{9–10} graft survival in NHPs.

However, there is a discordance between humans and Old World NHPs in their response to TKO pig cells and organs. Baboons and other Old World NHPs have natural IgM antibodies that bind to TKO and GTKO/CMAHKO (double-knockout, DKO) pig cells,¹ and this binding may be associated with potent complement-dependent cytotoxicity.^{1–2,11–12} These antibodies bind to currently unknown xenoantigen(s) that likely are carbohydrate moieties exposed after the loss of expression of the carbohydrate, N-glycolylneuraminic acid (Neu5Gc).¹³

We here report our initial observations on graft survival and provide a detailed evaluation of the histopathological features of pig kidneys and ureters after transplantation into

immunosuppressed baboons, where the grafts were from pigs that either expressed Neu5Gc (i.e., GTKO pigs, <u>Group 1</u>) or did <u>not</u> express Neu5Gc (i.e., TKO or DKO pigs, <u>Group 2</u>). Some data from <u>Group 1</u> have been presented previously.^{6,8}

2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 | Pigs

Genetically-engineered pigs (Revivicor, Blacksburg, VA, USA), weighing 15–30 kg, aged 2–3 months, and of blood group O (nonA), served as sources of kidneys. All pigs were a1,3-galactosyltransferase gene-knockout (GTKO), and some had additional knockout of cytidine monophosphate-N-acetylneuraminic acid hydroxylase (CMAH) (i.e., were DKO) or knockout of CMAH <u>and</u> β -1,4N-acetylgalactosaminyltransferase (i.e., TKO) (Table S1). All had variable other genetic manipulations aimed at protecting the graft from the primate immune, coagulation, and/or inflammatory responses (Table 1).^{6–7,14} Transgenic protein expression was confirmed in all cases by flow cytometry of pig aortic endothelial cells (data not shown). All pigs were cytomegalovirus-negative.¹⁵

2.2 | Baboons

Baboons (*Papio* spp) from the Division of Animal Resources of the University of Oklahoma Health Sciences Center, Oklahoma City, OK, or from the Michale E. Keeling Center, MDAnderson Cancer Center, Bastrop, TX, 3–4 year old, weighing 7–10 kg, were recipients. The baboons were divided into two groups based on whether they received a graft from a GTKO pig (<u>Group 1</u>) or from a pig that did not express either Gal of Neu5Gc (DKO or TKO, <u>Group 2</u>) (Table 1). All recipients were selected on the basis of low anti-pig antibody levels either to GTKO pig cells (<u>Group 1</u>) or to DKO/TKO pig cells (<u>Group 2</u>), as previously described.¹⁶

Animal care was in accordance with the Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals issued by the Institute of Laboratory Animal Research (8th edition, 2010). Protocols were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committees of the University of Pittsburgh, PA, USA or the University of Alabama at Birmingham, AL, USA.

2.3 | Surgical procedures

Intravascular catheter placement in baboons, donor pig nephrectomy, and life-supporting pig kidney transplantation in baboons have been described previously.^{17–18}

2.4 | Immunosuppressive, anti-inflammatory, and supportive therapy

Details of therapy have been reported previously (Table S2).^{7–8}

2.5 | Monitoring of recipient baboons

Monitoring was conducted as described in detail previously.^{7–8,19–20}

2.6 | Histopathologic assessment of pig kidney xenografts

Formalin-fixed kidney tissue was embedded in paraffin. Sections (approximately 3 μ M) were stained with hematoxylin and eosin (H&E), periodic acid-Schiff (PAS), and

Page 4

Masson's trichrome. In the scoring of histologic abnormalities, we experienced that earlier classifications for solid organ xenograft rejection^{21–22} did not suffice, which may be related to the more extensive genetic modification of pigs after these classifications were published. Also, the features in the Banff classification of acute or chronic allograft rejection²³ did not consistently apply to the xenografts as evaluated by a board certified MD pathologist with expertise in renal allotransplant pathology (HF). We therefore followed the approaches published by Shimizu et al.,^{24–29} with a detailed assessment of a series of histologic features using previously established criteria⁸ performed by a board certified veterinary anatomic pathologist (JBF) and PhD scientist (HJS) with extensive expertise in xenotransplant pathology. Lesions were scored in a semi-quantitative fashion, as follows: 0 = no abnormailites, and 1 = mild, 2 = moderate, and 3 = severe histopathologic changes. Ureter pathology was evaluated using the same scoring schema. The scoring schemes are detailed in Tables S3 and S4 for (i) glomeruli and (ii) renal interstitium and ureter.

For each sample from an individual organ, the average score was calculated from 40 (kidney) or 30 (ureter) random 400x images; data presented are the average values (10 ureter images were not always available). The scoring was conducted in a blinded fashion by two independent pathologists, who were not informed about details of pig genetics, clinical courses, or medical management.

The scoring schema also included immunohistochemistry for C3c, IgG, and IgM, as described previously.⁸ Additionally, we performed immunohistochemistry for C4d (clone 12D11, Mouse IgG1, Abcam, Branford, CT) using a standard citrate buffer-based antigen retrieval procedure and similar methodology as described previously.⁸

2.7 | Statistical analysis

Continuous variables were expressed as mean and standard deviation. Discontinuous variables, such as scores in histopathology, were expressed as median and range. Statistical testing between groups was performed using unpaired Welch's *t*-test (parametric variables) or Mann–Whitney *U*-test for discontinuous (non-parametric) variables. A *p* value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant. All statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism 9 software (San Diego, CA, USA).

3 | RESULTS

One baboon in each group required early euthanasia for acute gastric dilatation, which we have seen previously in baboons in the early posttransplant period.³⁰ On microscopic examination, neither kidney graft showed histopathological changes indicative of immunologic rejection (either antibody-mediated or acute cellular rejection). These two cases were excluded from further evaluation, leaving group sizes of n = 5 informative cases (Table 1). Some data on the <u>Group 1</u> animals have been reported previously.^{6,8}

Survival of <u>Group 1</u> baboons was longer than of those in <u>Group 2</u> (Table 1; median 237 vs. 35 days; mean 196 vs. 57 days; p < 0.07). Three of five baboons in <u>Group 1</u> were ultimately euthanized due to infectious complications unrelated to clinical parameters of xenograft function. In contrast, all <u>Group 2</u> baboons displayed clinical evidence of kidney rejection

(elevations in serum creatinine, urine protein, reduced urine output, and decreased vascular blood flow). Rejection-free survival was significantly longer in Group 1 (p < 0.05).

All grafts in <u>Group 2</u> showed antibody binding to renal vasculature, specifically, evidence of IgM and IgG deposited on renal interstitial arteries, peritubular capillaries, varying degrees of microvascular inflammation, and vascular thrombosis (Tables 2–5). None of the baboons in either group exhibited evidence of consumptive coagulopathy, indicated by thrombocytopenia, reduced plasma fibrinogen levels, or elevated fibrinogen D-dimers, at the onset of clinical features of rejection.

3.1 | Clinical courses

Since there was considerable variation in survival and histologic features, we present brief narratives of the clinical courses of individual baboons to demonstrate this variation (Table 1).

3.2 | Group 1: GTKO pigs expressing Neu5Gc

3.2.1 B5916—Open kidney needle biopsies at 2 and 6 months showed evidence of glomerular fibrin thrombi, interstitial edema, and acute tubular injury (Figure 1A) with minimal progression between these time-points, suggesting no increase in the severity of antibody-mediated rejection. On ultrasound, the ureter was not dilated, but the wall was thickened. After 247 days, the baboon developed clinical manifestations of nephrotic syndrome, and the baboon was euthanized on day 255.

3.2.2 | **B9315**—After 100 days, serum creatinine slowly increased. On day 103, the ureter was dilated, and an inflammatory mid-ureteric stricture (not associated with the anastomosis to the bladder) was bypassed by anastomosing the proximal ureter (proximal to the stricture) to the bladder. A needle biopsy of the kidney graft showed interstitial edema, but no evidence of antibody-mediated or cellular rejection. The animal was terminated on day 136 because of septic shock due to *Myroides* spp.^{6,8,31}

3.2.3 | **B17315**—Open needle biopsies were performed at 4 and 7 months after transplantation. Both showed evidence of mild interstitial edema, fibrosis, and acute tubular injury, but no features of antibody-mediated or cellular rejection (Figure 1B). On ultrasound, the ureter was grossly dilated, and the kidney manifested hydronephrosis. On day 223, the proximal dilated ureter was re-anastomosed to the bladder (bypass procedure), which effectively reversed the hydronephrosis. The baboon was found dead on day 237 from an infectious peritonitis (based on the culture of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Enterococcus faecium* from the peritoneal cavity⁷).

3.2.4 B17615—The ureter became dilated, and the kidney developed hydronephrosis. An inflammatory ureteric stricture was bypassed by anastomosing the proximal ureter to the bladder on day 187, reversing the hydronephrosis. A needle biopsy of the kidney showed interstitial edema, but no features of rejection (similar to baboon 17315, Figure 1B).⁷ The baboon was euthanized on day 260 because of *Pneumocystis* pneumonia-induced decline in pulmonary function, while renal function was normal.⁷

3.2.5 | **B10815**—At 2 months, after omitting two doses of anti-CD40mAb because of neutropenia, serum creatinine increased to 5.3 mg/dl. Rescue therapy was only partially successful in restoring renal function. The baboon developed ascites and was euthanized on day 90. Serum albumin levels were within a normal range at the time of necropsy, and proteinuria was not noted. Therefore, the cause of ascites is uncertain. At necropsy, the distal ureter wall was thickened, demonstrating extensive granulation tissue formation (Figure S1A–D), with thromboses within small caliber vessels in the ureteric submucosa and serosa; however, no evidence of urine leakage was noted.

3.3 | Group 2: DKO/TKO pigs not expressing Neu5Gc

3.3.1 B517—Graft needle biopsies on days 45 and 98 revealed no histologic evidence of antibody-mediated or cellular rejection, although there was diffuse interstitial edema and evidence of acute tubular injury (Figure 1C). The baboon remained clinically well until day 176, when it suffered trauma to the lower left leg, which became ischemic, requiring euthanasia on day 183. At necropsy, the kidney showed features of antibody-mediated rejection.

3.3.2 | **B8216**—Serum creatinine increased to 2.5 mg/dl on day 2 after transplantation and to 6.1 mg/dl on day 7, necessitating euthanasia. The baboon had severe peripheral edema, but no features of a consumptive coagulopathy. Urine protein at euthanasia was 163 mg/dl. On ultrasound, the ureter, but not kidney, exhibited evidence of gross dilation.

3.3.3 | **B1417**—At 27 days, the serum creatinine increased suddenly to 5.0 mg/dl, and proteinuria increased. On day 35, a kidney biopsy showed multifocal hemorrhage, evidence of acute tubular injury, neutrophilic infiltration, segmental moderate glomerular thrombosis, some interstitial edema,fibrosis, and multiple foci of mononuclear cell infiltration within the renal interstitium (Figure 1D). Antibody-mediated rejection was suspected, and rescue therapy with high-dose steroids and the TNF-*a* antagonist etanercept (0.5 mg/kg) was instituted, which resulted in an immediate reduction in serum creatinine to 2.0 mg/dl. Survival was extended by almost 1 month, when an increase in creatinine to 8.9 mg/dl necessitated euthanasia on day 61. On ultrasound, the ureter was not dilated, nor did the kidney exhibit features of hydronephrosis

3.3.4 B3917—Immediately on reperfusion, the pig kidney became pink and remained so until the abdomen was closed. However, the baboon passed no urine, and therefore, after 6 h, the abdomen was explored. The kidney and ureter were enlarged, and showed a diffuse, dark livid discoloration, typical macroscopic features of hyperacute rejection. There was no reason to consider ischemia-reperfusion injury as the cause of graft failure as the ischemia time was significantly lower than other transplants (data not shown). Furthermore, this baboon exhibited higher baseline levels of anti-pig IgG antibody binding to peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) and red blood cells (RBCs) than the other baboons and increased CDC 1.5 fold greater than averages of CDC values for <u>Group 1</u> and <u>Group 2</u> to GTKO and TKO PBMCs (92% vs. 59% and 72% vs. 47%, respectively) than other animals in both groups. For these reasons, we decided upon a presumptive diagnosis of hyperacute

rejection, which is somewhat perplexing, given the confirmed expression of human CD46 and CD55 and preoperative use of CVF.

3.3.5 | **B15816**—The kidney functioned well until day 30, when serum creatinine rose to 2.0 mg/dl, and edema of the thighs developed. On ultrasound, the ureter was dilated. Rejection was suspected, and a course of high-dose corticosteroids and etanercept was initiated. There was no clinical improvement, and the baboon was euthanized on day 35. On microscopy, there was evidence of ascending tubular injury with histology showing numerous intra-tubular neutrophils and signs of bacterial infection, suggesting the development of an ascending pyelonephritis.

3.4 | Histopathology of graft biopsies and grafts at euthanasia

The most common histopathologic glomerular lesion noted in both groups at necropsy was the presence of varying degrees of dilation of Bowman's space (Table 3 and Figure 2A,B), which was noted along with dilation of cortical collecting ducts (data not shown). We feel that these findings are indicative of edema pertaining to urinary tract outflow obstruction, which was consistent with ureteric dilation seen by ultrasound. With the exception of severe global thrombotic microangiopathy and deposition of IgM, C3c, and C4d within the glomeruli in B5916 (Figures 2C,D, and data not shown), no kidney in either group displayed clear evidence of thrombotic microangiopathy, nor were there any significant differences in scores for glomerular C3c, C4d, IgM, or IgG deposition (Table 3, Figure 2).

Evaluation of the renal interstitium revealed differences in pathology of the interstitial arteries and peritubular capillaries. <u>Group 2</u> kidneys exhibited increased fibrin thrombi deposition, vasculitis, and peritubular capillary infiltrates (Table 4 and Figure 3). Furthermore, there were trends for increased deposition of C3c, IgM, and IgG in renal interstitial vessels (Table 5), but not of C4d. Lastly, there were T and B cell infiltrates of varying size in both groups as determined by staining for CD3, IgM, and IgG. Compared to <u>Group 1</u>, B1417 and B517 in <u>Group 2</u> exhibited a trend increased numbers of CD3-positive T cells and to a much lesser extent IgM and IgG-positive B cells (Figure 4A–D). Overall, these findings suggest a greater incidence of antibody-mediated thromboses centered around the renal interstitial arteries and peritubular capillaries in baboons receiving CMAHKO pig kidneys (<u>Group 2</u>).

Ureters in both groups commonly revealed mononuclear cell infiltrates, edema, and fibrosis in the submucosa and serosa (Figure 5). All baboons displayed some gross evidence of ureteric dilation with stricture formation at or proximal to the bladder anastomoses. This aside, there were no significant differences in histologic scores of ureter histopathology between the two groups with the exception of increased urothelial necrosis in Group2 (Tables 6 and 7). Evaluation of ureter thickness with graft survival did not reveal any significant correlation (Figure S2A,B). However, the extent of fibrosis and graft survival revealed a significant correlation (Figure S2C,D), suggesting that fibrotic changes in the ureter accumulate over a prolonged period of graft survival.

Summarizing the histopathological features, antibody-mediated rejection of varying severity was seen in all grafts in <u>Group 2</u>, manifesting primarily as fibrin thrombi in medium-to-large

caliber arteries and peritubular capillaries, with increased IgM and IgG antibody binding. In <u>Group 1</u>, only two kidneys (B5916, B10815) demonstrated some features consistent with antibody-mediated rejection and thromboses, and with graft rejection. Ureters displayed similar features with the exception of increased urothelial necrosis and incidence of transmural fibrosis in grafts surviving for longer periods of time.

4 | DISCUSSION

Recipient survival and rejection-free survival were both longer in <u>Group 1</u> than in <u>Group 2</u> (Table 1), suggesting that deletion of expression of Neu5Gc in the pigs used in <u>Group 2</u> was detrimental to the outcome of the kidney transplant in baboons. In addition to clinical evidence of kidney graft rejection, the histopathology confirmed features indicative of antibody-mediated rejection and vascular thromboses. Specifically, with respect to histopathologic features associated with AMR and thromboses we see increased incidence of vascular inflammation, fibrin thrombi, and immunoglobulin deposition in affected renal interstitial arteries and peritubular capillaries.

In contrast to our previous studies, in which features of thrombotic microangiopathy associated with a consumptive coagulopathy were almost ubiquitous when graft loss was imminent,³² the present study did not manifest these features in either group (with exception of B5916 in <u>Group 1</u>; Figures 2C,D). This is presumably associated with the greater protection offered by the increased extent of genetic engineering of the pigs (It cannot be ascribed to the immunosuppressive regimen, which was largely unchanged.). Although this is an encouraging observation, it indicates that a current diagnosis of antibody-mediated rejection can no longer rest on the development of a consumptive coagulopathy, and that other parameters are needed to define antibody-mediated rejection. The diagnosis should be associated with the histopathology, that is, fibrin thrombi in medium-to-large arteries and peritubular capillaries, with increased IgM and IgG antibody binding.

Edema was a consistent finding in pig kidney xenografts in both groups, irrespective of antibody-mediated rejection and vascular thrombosis. Interstitial edema is seen in kidney allotransplant rejection and occurs as a result of obstruction of urine outflow.³³ Dilation of renal tubules and Bowman's space was also prominent in transplanted kidneys, and ureters demonstrated evidence of hydronephrosis and hydroureter. In renal xenotransplantation, the etiology is likely multifactorial, including antibody-mediated damage to the renal vasculature, ureter-related obstruction, and possibly uncharacterized physiologic incompatibilities.^{34–36}

In relation to obstruction of urine outflow, both groups displayed evidence of thickening of the walls of the pig ureters, which we suggest was associated with the systemic inflammatory response documented in all baboons.^{36–38} Histopathological changes to the ureters revealed minimal differences between the groups with the exception of increased urothelial necrosis in <u>Group 2</u> (Table 7). Three baboons in <u>Group 1</u> developed partial strictures that required bypass surgery and demonstrated additional pathologic lesions, including vascular thrombosis and moderate-to-severe periarteritis. None of the pig ureters in <u>Group 2</u> baboons developed partial strictures or required surgical bypass, although

ureteric dilation or thickening was similar to that in baboons in <u>Group 1</u>. We suggest that these differences may, in part, be associated with the significantly shorter graft survival in <u>Group 2</u>, this being insufficient for the development of partial strictures. There was a significant correlation between the extent of fibrosis and graft survival (Figure S2), further supporting this hypothesis.

In a life-supporting CD55-transgenic pig-to-cynomolgus monkey kidney xenotransplantation model, Baldan et al.³⁹ reported that (i) ureteral rejection was observed in 85% of cases, at least partially contributing to ureteral stenosis, and (ii) there was a close relationship between ureter and kidney in terms of type and severity of rejection. Antibody-mediated disruption of blood flow to the ureters over time likely contributes to pathologic changes and alterations in urine flow. Understanding the pathogenesis of ureteric changes and their contribution to partial urine outflow obstruction is critical in selecting appropriate therapeutic interventions to maintain collateral circulation to the ureter and maximize ureter viability in future transplants.

Overall, the present findings suggest that transplantation of kidneys from pigs in which expression of Neu5Gc has been deleted (Group 2) is associated with a higher occurrence of antibody binding to the vascular endothelium and reduced graft survival in baboons. This antibody-mediated injury is presumably related to expression of novel xenoantigens in pigs in which Neu5Gc has been deleted. In view of the potent complement-dependent cytotoxicity that is associated with binding of baboon antibodies to CMAHKO pig cells,^{2,11–12} it is perhaps surprising that there was not even more rapid injury to these kidneys. One CMAHKO pig kidney in Group 2 functioned well for 183 days (despite some histopathological evidence of antibody-mediated injury) at which time the baboon required euthanasia for unrelated lower limb trauma. This is encouraging, because it indicates that a combination of human "protective" transgenes may overcome to a certain extent the barrier presented by CMAHKO in pig organs transplanted into NHPs. Nevertheless, to avoid this complication, the transplantation of a kidney from a pig with multiple genetic modifications but expressing Neu5Gc into baboon recipients would be preferable to validate the efficacy of immunosuppressive regimens and other genetic modifications in pig "donors" with respect to translation into the clinic^{11,12}; however, in the clinical setting deletion of Neu5Gc would be preferrable based on in vitro studies with human sera.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Work on xenotransplantation at the University of Alabama at Birmingham is supported in part by NIH NIAID U19 grant AI090959 and in part by a grant to UAB from United Therapeutics, Silver Spring, MD. The anti-CD40 2C10R4 monoclonal antibody used in these studies was provided by the NIH NHP Reagent Resource funded by NIH grants AI126683 and OD010976. Some of the baboons used in these studies were from the Michale E. Keeling Center, MD Anderson Cancer Center, Bastrop, Tx, which is supported in part by a NIH grant NIHOD024628-02.

Abbreviations:

СМАН-КО	cytidine monophosphate-N-acetylneuraminic acid hydroxylase gene knockout (deletion of expression of Neu5Gc)
DKO	double-knockout (deletion of expression of Gal and Neu5Gc)
Gal	galactose-a1,3-galactose
GTKO	1,3-galactosyltransferase gene-knockout (deletion of expression of Gal)
Neu5Gc	N-glycolylneuraminic acid
NHP	nonhuman primate
ТКО	triple-knockout (deletion of expression of all three known pig carbohydrate xenoantigens)

REFERENCES

- Estrada JL, Martens G, Li P, et al. Evaluation of human and non-human primate antibody binding to pig cells lacking GGTA1/CMAH/beta4GalNT2 genes. Xenotransplantation. 2015;22:194–202. [PubMed: 25728481]
- 2. Yamamoto T, Hara H, Iwase H, et al. The final obstacle to successful preclinical xenotransplantation. Xenotransplantation. 2020;27:e12596. [PubMed: 32585053]
- Cooper DKC, Ezzelarab M, Iwase H, Hara H. Perspectives on the optimal genetically-engineered pig in 2018 for initial clinical trials of kidney or heart xenotransplantation. Transplantation. 2018;102(12):1974–1982. [PubMed: 30247446]
- Cooper DKC, Hara H, Iwase H, et al. Justification of specific genetic modifications in pigs for clinical kidney or heart xenotransplantation. Xenotransplantation. 2019;26(4):e12516. [PubMed: 30989742]
- Yamada K, Yazawa K, Shimizu A, et al. Marked prolongation of porcine renal xenograft survival in baboons through the use of a1,3- galactosyltransferase gene-knockout donors and the cotransplantation of vascularized thymic tissue. Nat Med. 2005;11(1):32–34. [PubMed: 15619627]
- Iwase H, Liu H, Wijkstrom M, et al. Pig kidney graft survival in a baboon for 136 days: longest life-supporting organ graft survival to date. Xenotransplantation. 2015;22:302–309. [PubMed: 26130164]
- Iwase H, Hara H, Ezzelarab M, et al. Immunological and physiological observations in baboons with life-supporting genetically engineered pig kidney grafts. Xenotransplantation. 2017;24(2). 10.1111/xen.12293.
- 8. Yamamoto T, Hara H, Foote J, et al. Life-supporting kidney xenotransplantation from geneticallyengineered pigs in baboons: a comparison of two immunosuppressive regimens. Transplantation. 2019;103(10):2090–2104. [PubMed: 31283686]
- Mohiuddin MM, Singh AK, Corcoran PC, et al. Role of anti-CD40 antibody-mediated costimulation blockade on non-Gal antibody production and heterotopic cardiac xenograft survival in a GTKO.hCD46Tg pig-to-baboon model. Xenotransplantation. 2014;21(1):35–45. [PubMed: 24164510]
- Längin M, Mayr T, Reichart B, et al. Consistent success in life-supporting porcine cardiac xenotransplantation. Nature. 2018;564:430–433. [PubMed: 30518863]
- Yamamoto T, Iwase H, Patel D, et al. Old world monkeys are less than ideal transplantation models for testing organs lacking three carbohydrate antigens (triple-knockout). Sci Rep. 2020;10:9771. [PubMed: 32555507]

- Cui Y, Yamamoto T, Raza SS, et al. Evidence for GTKO/β4GalNT2KO pigs as the preferred organ-source for Old World nonhuman primates as a preclinical model of xenotransplantation. Transplant Direct. 2020;6(8):e590. [PubMed: 32766438]
- Zhang J, Xie C, Lu Y, et al. Potential antigens involved in delayed xeongraft rejection in a GGTA1/ CMAH DKO pig-to-monkey model. Sci Rep. 2017;7:10024. [PubMed: 28855711]
- Iwase H, Ekser B, Satyananda V, et al. Pig-to-baboon heterotopic heart transplantation-exploratory preliminary experience with pigs transgenic for human thrombomodulin and comparison of three costimulation blockade-based regimens. Xenotransplantation. 2015;22(3):211–220. [PubMed: 25847282]
- Mueller NJ, Kuwaki K, Dor FJ, et al. Reduction of consumptive coagulopathy using porcine cytomegalovirus-free cardiac porcine grafts in pig-to-primate xenotransplantation. Transplantation. 2004;78(10):1449–1453. [PubMed: 15599308]
- Zhou H, Iwase H, Wolf RF, et al. Are there advantages in the use of specific pathogen-free baboons in pig organ xenotransplantation models?. Xenotransplantation. 2014;21(3):287–290. [PubMed: 24547993]
- Ezzelarab M, Garcia B, Azimzadeh A, et al. The innate immune response and activation of coagulation in alpha1,3-galactosyltransferase gene-knockout xenograft recipients. Transplantation. 2009;87(6):805–812. [PubMed: 19300181]
- Lin CC, Ezzelarab M, Shapiro R, et al. Recipient tissue factor expression is associated with consumptive coagulopathy in pig-to-primate kidney xenotransplantation. Am J Transplant. 2010;10(7):1556–1568. [PubMed: 20642682]
- Iwase H, Ekser B, Satyananda V, et al. Initial in vivo experience of pig artery patch transplantation in baboons using mutant MHC (CIITA-DN) pigs. Transpl Immunol. 2015;32(2):99–108. [PubMed: 25687023]
- 20. Gao B, Long C, Lee W, et al. Anti-Neu5Gc and anti-non-Neu5Gc antibodies in healthy humans. PLoS One. 2017;12(7):e0180768. [PubMed: 28715486]
- 21. Schuurman HJ, Cheng J, Lam T. Pathology of xenograft rejection: a commentary (review article). Xenotransplantation. 2003;10:293–299. [PubMed: 12795678]
- Rose AG, Cooper DKC. A histopathologic grading system of hyperacute (humoral, antibodymediated) cardiac xenograft and allograft rejection. J Heart Lung Transplant. 1996;15:804–817. [PubMed: 8878763]
- Roufosse C, Simmonds N, Clahsen-van Groningen M, et al. A 2018 reference guide to the Banff classification of renal allograft pathology. Transplantation. 2018;102(11):1795–1814. [PubMed: 30028786]
- 24. Shimizu A, Yamada K, Sachs DH, et al. Persistent rejection of peritubular capillaries and tubules is associated with progressive interstitial fibrosis. Kidney Int. 2002;61(5):1867–1879. [PubMed: 11967039]
- Shimizu A, Meehan SM, Kozlowski T, et al. Acute humoral xenograft rejection: destruction of the microvascular capillary endothelium in pig-to-nonhuman primate renal grafts. Lab Invest. 2000;80(6):815–830. [PubMed: 10879733]
- 26. Shimizu A, Yamada K, Sachs DH, et al. Mechanisms of chronic renal allograft rejection.
 II. Progressive allograft glomerulopathy in miniature swine. Lab Invest. 2002;82(6):673–686.
 [PubMed: 12065677]
- 27. Shimizu A, Yamada K, Yamamoto S, et al. Thrombotic microangiopathic glomerulopathy in human decay accelerating factor-transgenic swine-to-baboon kidney xenografts. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2005;16(9):2732–2745. [PubMed: 16049072]
- 28. Shimizu A, Yamada K. Histopathology of xenografts in pig to non-human primate discordant xenotransplantation. Clin Transplant. 2010;24(22):11–15. [PubMed: 20590687]
- Shimizu A, Yamada K, Robson SC, et al. Pathologic characteristics of transplanted kidney xenografts. J Am Soc Nephrol. 2012;23:225–235. [PubMed: 22114174]
- Campanile N, Rood PPM, Yeh P, Casu A, Bottino R, Cooper DKC. Acute gastric dilatation after porcine islet transplantation in a cynomolgus monkey – case history and review of the literature. Xenotransplantation. 2007;14(3):265–270. [PubMed: 17489868]

- 31. Liu H, Iwase H, Wijkstrom M, et al. Myroides infection in a baboon after prolonged pig kidney graft survival. Transplantation Direct. 2015;1(4):e15.
- Knosalla C, Gollackner B, Bühler L, et al. Correlation of biochemical and hematological changes with graft failure following pig heart and kidney transplantation in baboons. Am J Transplant. 2003;3(12):1510–1519. [PubMed: 14629281]
- Kumar S, Ameli-Renani S, Hakin A, et al. Urethral obstruction following renal transplantation: causes, diagnosis, and management. Br J Radiol. 2014;87(1044):20140169. [PubMed: 25284426]
- Iwase H, Klein E, Cooper DKC. Physiological aspects of pig kidney transplantation in primates. Comp Med. 2018;68(5):332–340. [PubMed: 30208986]
- Iwase H, Yamamoto T, Cooper DKC. Episodes of hypovolemia/dehydration in baboons with pig kidney transplants: a new syndrome of clinical importance? Xenotransplantation. 2019;26(2):e12472. [PubMed: 30485551]
- Ezzelarab MB, Cooper DKC. Systemic inflammation in xenograft recipients (SIXR): a new paradigm in pig-to-primate xenotransplantation? Int J Surg. 2015;23(Pt B):301–305. [PubMed: 26209584]
- 37. Iwase H, Ekser B, Zhou H, et al. Further evidence for a sustained systemic inflammatory response in xenograft recipients (SIXR).Xenotransplantation. 2015;22(5):399–405. [PubMed: 26292982]
- Li J, Hara H, Wang Y, Esmon C, Cooper DKC, Iwase H. Evidence for the important role of inflammation in xenotransplantation. J Inflamm (Lond). 2019;16:10. [PubMed: 31148951]
- Baldan N, Rigotti P, Calabrese F, et al. . Ureteral stenosis in HDAF pig-to-primate renal xenotransplantation: a phenomenon related to immunological events? Am J Transplant. 2004;4(4):475–481. [PubMed: 15023139]

Foote et al.



FIGURE 1.

Graft biopsies from baboons in both groups displayed evidence of interstitial edema and fibrosis. (A) Biopsy from B5916 (Group 1) displayed (i) mild segmental thrombotic microangiopathy, (ii) diffuse moderate interstitial edema, and (iii) acute tubular injury. (B) Biopsy from B17315 (Group 1) displayed (i) diffuse moderate interstitial edema, (ii) diffuse mild interstitial fibrosis, and (iii) acute tubular injury. (C) Biopsy from B517 (Group 2) displayed diffuse mild interstitial edema and acute tubular injury. (D) Biopsy from B1417 (Group 2) displayed (i) diffuse moderate interstitial fibrosis, (ii) diffuse mild interstitial edema, and (iii) scattered mononuclear cell infiltrates, some located within peritubular capillaries adjacent to renal tubules or located in scarred interstitium (asterisk). Hematoxylin and eosin (Size bar 50 μ m)



FIGURE 2.

Glomerular histopathologic lesions seen in CMAHKO donor kidneys. Expansion of Bowman's space (edema) was commonly seen in baboons in both groups. (A) B17315 (<u>Group 1</u>) and (B) B517 (<u>Group 2</u>) both displayed moderate amounts of edema in Bowman's space. (C) B5916 (Group1) displayed global severe thrombotic microangiopathy with (D) global, intense IgM deposition. (A-C) Hematoxylin and eosin, (D) immunohistochemistry for IgM (brown staining), and IgG (see insert in lower left corner). (Size bar 50 µm)

Foote et al.



FIGURE 3.

CMAHKO kidneys displayed an increased incidence of intimal arteritis, fibrin thrombi, and peritubular capillary inflammation. Kidneys from CMAHKO donors manifested an increased incidence of (A and B) fibrin thrombi, (C and D) vasculitis, and (E and F) peritubular capillary (PTC) inflammation. (A) Diffuse fibrin thrombi with transmural inflammation and fibrinoid necrosis is present in renal arteries. Representative image is from baboon 1417 (Group 2) H+E stain. (C) Renal artery exhibits transmural necrosis with neutrophilic infiltrate (arrows) and intraluminal periodic acid-Schiff (PAS) positive fibrin thrombus. Representative image from baboon 1417 (Group 2) PASH stain. (E) Highlighted peritubular capillaries (arrows) contain significant numbers of mononuclear cells (most likely macrophage) containing PAS-positive material). Representative image from baboon 8216 (Group 2) PASH stain. Non-parametric statistics was assessed by Mann–Whitney *U*-test; *p* values are presented with horizontal lines. (Size bar 50 μ m)



FIGURE 4.

Increased T and B cell infiltrates in the renal interstitium of CMAHKO kidney transplants. (A-C) Representative images of (A) CD3, (B) IgM, and (C) IgG from B1417 (Group 2). The majority of cells reside around medium caliber arteries and within the renal interstitium. (D) Numbers of CD3⁺ T cells, IgM⁺ B cells, and IgG⁺ B cells in CMAHKO pig kidney transplants. No statistically significant differences were noted despite trends for increased T and B cell infiltrates in some of the CMAHKO kidneys. Immunohistologic staining (brown color). Variance in each group is expressed as standard deviation (n = 4 data points/group). (Size bar 50 μ m)



FIGURE 5.

Submucosal edema and fibrosis was commonly noted in ureters from both groups. The submucosa was expanded by edema, fibrosis, and scattered mononuclear cell infiltrates in (A) B5916 (Group 1), (B) B1417 (Group 2), (C) B9315 (Group 1), and (D) B15816 (Group 2). Hematoxylin and eosin (Size bar 100 μ m)

Author Manuscript

Ξ
Ξ
5
<u>e</u> .
<u>ଚ</u> .
ĕ
at
:=
ö
ă
T
g
H
ā
Б
Ξ.
4
0
e
2
G
Ū,
.2
Ð
q
Ц
60
Ľ.
<u> </u>
₽.
n2
· E
H.
G
-
5
Ψī
é
ä
g
0
۰Ö
đ
÷
2
er
Ĕ,
d
ъD
E
, T
Q
n
ĕ
er
ē,
В
Ц
ц
n
õ
\sim
9
ab

aboon	Donor pig phenotype	Survival (days)	Cause of death	Immune-mediated rejecti
Froup 1:	Kidney is <u>not</u> from a CMAHKO pig			
39315	GTKO/CD46/CD55/TBM/EPCR/CD39	136	Infection	None
317315	GTKO/CD46/CD55/EPCR/TFPI/CD47	237	Infection	None
817615	GTKO/CD46/CD55/EPCR/TFPI/CD47	260	Infection	None
10815	GTKO/CD46/TBM/EPCR/CD47/HO-1	06	Edema	AMR
5916	GTKO/CD46/TBM	255	Rejection	AMR
roup 2:	Kidney is from a CMAHKO pig			
8216	GTKO/ <u>CMAHKO</u> /CD46/CD55/TBM/EPCR	7	Edema	AMR
1417	TKO/CD46/CD55/TBM/EPCR/CD47/HO-1	61	Rejection	AMR/CCXR
3917	TKO/CD46/CD55/TBM/EPCR/CD47/HO-1	0	Rejection	Suspected HAR
315816	GTKO/CMAHKO/CD46/CD55/TBM/EPCR/CD47/HO-1	35	Rejection	Infection/AMR
3517	GTKO/CMAHKO/CD46/CD55/TBM/EPCR/CD47/HO-1	183	Trauma	AMR

associated protein; CMAHKO, cytidine monophosphate-N-acetylneuraminic acid hydroxylase gene-knockout; EPCR, endothelial protein C receptor; GTKO, a1,3-galactosyltransferase gene-knockout; in; CD55, decay accelerating factor; CD47, integrin HAR, hyperacute rejection; HO-1, human heme oxygenase-1; TBM, thrombomodulin; TFPI, tissue factor pathway inhibitor; TKO, triple-knockout. b

Author Manuscript

Major histopathological findings in the kidney graft

Baboon	Histopathological findings	IMR	IMR-D/S
Group 1:	: Kidney is <i>not</i> from a CMAHKO pig		
B9315	Interstitial hemorrhage, edema, glomerular/tubular necrosis, and tubular atrophy	None	N/A
B17315	Interstitial edema, fibrosis, and tubular atrophy	None	N/A
B17615	Interstitial fibrosis and tubular atrophy	None	N/A
B10815	Interstitial fibrin thrombi, dilation of Bowman's space, and interstitial edema	AMR	Renal interstitium, multifocal/mild
B5916	Thrombotic microangiopathy, interstitial fibrin thrombi, fibrosis and edema	AMR	Glomerular: global/severe Renal interstitium: multifocal/ moderate
Group 2:	Kidney is from a CMAHKO pig		
B8216	Acute tubular injury, multifocal foci of interstitial vasculitis fibrin thrombi, and interstitial neutrophilic infiltrate	IRI/AMR	Renal interstitium: multifocal/mild
B1417	Hemorrhage, fibrin thrombi, tubular necrosis, fibrosis, neutrophil and mononuclear cell infiltration	AMR/CCXR	Renal interstitium: diffuse/ severe
B3917	Hemorrhage, congestion, fibrin thrombi, tubular necrosis, and neutrophilic infiltrate	HAR	Renal interstitium: diffuse/ severe
B15816	Segmental edema associated with ascending pyelonephritis, gram-negative bacteria, multifocal foci of fibrin thrombi	AMR	Renal interstitium: multifocal/mild
B517	Glomerular necrosis and dilation of Bowman's space, interstitial edema, and fibrosis	AMR	N/A

une mediated LIMLY, funtin פ Ľ. ž Abbreviations: AMK, antibody-mediated thromboses and rejection; ULAK, chronic celuuk rejection; D/S, distribution and severity, N/A, no evidence of immune-mediated rejection. **TABLE 3**

Summary of renal glomerular histopathologic changes

Group 1: Kidney is not from a CMAHKO pig $B9315$ 0.4 1.7 1.6 0.4 1.0 1.3 0.5 $B17315$ 0.02 1.0 0 2.0 2.0 1.4 1.4 $B17615$ 0.1 1.4 0 2.0 2.0 1.2 1.4 $B17615$ 0.1 1.4 0 0.9 1.2 1.4 0.05 $B10815$ 0.02 0.3 0 2.0 0.4 0.05 0.8 $B5916$ 2.3 1.0 0.6 1.1 1.2 2.1 2.7 $Median$ 0.4 1.0 0.6 1.1 1.2 2.1 2.7 $Median$ 0.4 1.0 0.6 1.1 1.2 0.8 0.8 $Median$ 0.7 0.7 0.6 1.1 1.2 0.7 0.7 $Median$ 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.6 0.7 0.7 0.7 $Median$ 0.2 0.1 0.2 0.6 0.0 0.6 0.7 0.7 $Median$ 0.2 0.7 0.2 0.6 0.6 0.7 0.7 0.7 $Median$ 0.54 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 $Median$ 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 $Median$ 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 $Median$ 0.7 $0.$	Baboon	TMA	Mm	Necrosis	Dilation	C3c	C4d	IgM	IgG
B9315 0.4 1.7 1.6 0.4 1.0 1.3 0.5 B17315 0.02 1.0 0.1 1.4 0 2.0 2.0 1.4 1.4 B17615 0.1 1.4 0 2.0 2.0 1.4 0.5 1.4 B10815 0.02 0.3 0 2.0 0.4 0.5 0.8 B10815 0.02 0.3 0 0.6 1.1 1.2 2.7 B10815 0.02 0.3 0.6 1.1 1.2 2.1 2.7 B5916 2.3 1.0 0.6 1.1 1.2 2.1 2.7 Median 0.4 1.0 0.6 1.1 1.2 0.8 0.8 B8216 0.3 0.7 0.6 1.1 1.2 0.8 0.8 B8216 0.3 0.7 0.2 1.6 0.8 0.8 0.8 B8216 0.3 0.7 0.2 1.6 0.8 0.8 0.8 B1417 0.4 0.6 0.2 1.6 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 B1417 0.4 0.6 0.2 1.6 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 B1417 0.4 0.6 0.2 0.6 0.6 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 B1417 0.4 0.6 0.2 0.6 0.6 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 B1417 0.4 0.6 0.6 0.6 0	Group 1:	Kidney	is <u>not</u> fro	om a CMAH	IKO pig				
B17315 0.02 1.0 0 2.0 n/a 1.4 B17615 0.1 1.4 0 0.9 1.2 n/a 0.05 B10815 0.02 0.3 0 2.0 0.9 1.2 n/a 0.05 B5916 2.3 1.0 0.6 1.1 1.2 2.1 2.7 Median 0.4 1.0 0.6 1.1 1.2 2.1 2.7 B5916 2.3 1.0 0.6 1.1 1.2 2.1 2.7 Median 0.4 1.0 0.6 1.1 1.2 2.1 2.7 B5916 0.3 0.7 0.2 1.6 0.8 0.8 0.7 B417 0.4 0.6 0.2 1.6 0.6 0.6 0.7 0.7 B3917 0.2 0.7 0.2 1.6 0.6 0.6 0.7 0.6 0.7 B1816 0.02 1.1 0.02 0.1 0.06 0.03 0.7 B15816 0.02 1.1 0.02 0.7 0.2 0.6 0.3 0.7 B15816 0.2 0.1 0.2 0.1 0.2 0.6 0.2 0.6 0.6 Median 0.3 0.7 0.2 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.6 0.6 0.6 0.6 B217 0.6 0.1 0.2 0.6 0.2 0.6 0.6 0.6 0.6 0.6 Median </td <td>B9315</td> <td>0.4</td> <td>1.7</td> <td>1.6</td> <td>0.4</td> <td>1.0</td> <td>1.3</td> <td>0.5</td> <td>0.2</td>	B9315	0.4	1.7	1.6	0.4	1.0	1.3	0.5	0.2
B17615 0.1 1.4 0 0.9 1.2 n/a 0.05 B10815 0.02 0.3 0 2.0 0.4 0.95 0.8 B5916 2.3 1.0 0.6 1.1 1.2 2.1 2.7 Median 0.4 1.0 0.6 1.1 1.2 2.1 2.7 Median 0.4 1.0 0.6 1.1 1.2 2.1 2.7 B8216 0.3 0.7 0.2 1.6 0.8 0.8 1.0 B8216 0.3 0.7 0.2 1.6 0.8 0.8 1.0 B8217 0.4 0.6 0.2 1.6 0.6 0.6 0.6 0.6 0.6 B117 0.4 0.6 0.2 1.1 0.06 0.2 1.6 0.7 0.6 B15816 0.2 1.1 0.02 2.0 0.8 1.61 1.0 B15816 0.2 0.1 0.2 0.1 0.06 0.2 1.61 1.0 B15816 0.2 1.1 0.02 2.0 0.8 1.61 1.0 B15816 0.2 0.1 0.2 0.1 0.2 0.2 0.6 0.2 0.6 B15816 0.2 0.1 0.2 0.1 0.2 <td>B17315</td> <td>0.02</td> <td>1.0</td> <td>0</td> <td>2.0</td> <td>2.0</td> <td>n/a</td> <td>1.4</td> <td>0.2</td>	B17315	0.02	1.0	0	2.0	2.0	n/a	1.4	0.2
B10815 0.02 0.3 0 2.0 0.4 0.95 0.8 B5916 2.3 1.0 0.6 1.1 1.2 2.1 2.7 Median 0.4 1.0 0.6 1.1 1.2 2.1 2.7 Median 0.4 1.0 0.6 1.1 1.2 1.3 0.8 B8216 0.3 0.7 0.2 1.6 0.8 0.8 0.7 B8216 0.3 0.7 0.2 1.6 0.8 0.8 0.7 B1417 0.4 0.6 0.2 1.5 0.6 1.0 0.7 B1317 0.2 0.7 0.6 0.1 0.06 0.3 0.4 B15816 0.02 1.1 0.02 2.0 0.8 1.61 1.0 B15816 0.02 1.1 0.02 2.0 0.8 1.61 1.0 Median 0.3 0.7 0.2 1.5 0.6 1.35 0.4	B17615	0.1	1.4	0	6.0	1.2	n/a	0.05	0.3
B5916 2.3 1.0 0.6 1.1 1.2 2.1 2.7 Median 0.4 1.0 0.6 1.1 1.2 2.1 2.7 Brull 1.2 1.0 0.6 1.1 1.2 1.3 0.8 Brull 0.3 0.7 0.2 1.6 0.8 0.8 1.0 Brull 0.4 0.6 0.2 1.6 0.8 1.0 0.7 Brull 0.4 0.6 0.2 1.5 0.6 1.0 0.7 Brull 0.02 1.1 0.02 2.0 0.8 1.61 1.0 Brull 0.2 0.1 0.02 2.0 0.2 0.1 0.06 0.4 Median 0.3 0.7 0.37 0.745 0.68 0.34 0.414	B10815	0.02	0.3	0	2.0	0.4	0.95	0.8	1.1
Median 0.4 1.0 0.6 1.1 1.2 1.3 0.8 Group 2 : Kidney is from a CMAHKO pigB8216 0.3 0.7 0.2 1.6 0.8 0.99 1.0 B1417 0.4 0.6 0.2 1.5 0.6 1.05 0.7 B1317 0.4 0.6 0.2 1.5 0.6 1.05 0.7 B15816 0.02 1.1 0.02 2.0 0.8 1.61 1.0 B15816 0.02 1.1 0.02 2.0 0.8 1.61 1.0 Median 0.3 0.7 0.2 1.1 2.0 0.2 1.35 0.4 Period 0.3 0.7 0.2 1.5 0.6 1.35 0.4 Period 0.54 0.74 0.54 0.745 0.68 0.34 0.414	B5916	2.3	1.0	0.6	1.1	1.2	2.1	2.7	0.8
Group 2: Kidney is from a CMAHKO pig B8216 0.3 0.7 0.2 1.6 0.89 1.0 B1417 0.4 0.6 0.2 1.5 0.6 1.05 0.7 B1817 0.4 0.6 0.2 1.5 0.6 1.05 0.7 B15816 0.02 1.1 0.02 2.0 0.8 1.61 1.0 B517 0.6 1.1 2.0 0.2 0.3 0.4 1.0 Median 0.3 0.7 1.1 2.0 0.2 1.35 0.4 P value 0.54 0.7 0.2 0.6 0.2 0.3 0.4	Median	0.4	1.0	0.6	1.1	1.2	1.3	0.8	0.3
B8216 0.3 0.7 0.2 1.6 0.8 0.99 1.0 B1417 0.4 0.6 0.2 1.5 0.6 1.05 0.7 B3917 0.2 0 0.6 0.1 0.06 0.03 0.4 B15816 0.02 1.1 0.02 2.0 0.8 1.61 1.0 B15816 0.02 1.1 0.02 2.0 0.8 1.61 1.0 B517 0.6 2.0 1.1 2.0 0.2 1.35 0.4 Median 0.3 0.7 0.2 1.5 0.6 1.35 0.4 p value 0.554 0.764 0.937 0.745 0.068 0.34 0.414	Group 2:	Kidney	is from a	CMAHKO	pig				
B1417 0.4 0.6 0.2 1.5 0.6 1.05 0.7 B3317 0.2 0 0.6 0.1 0.06 0.3 0.4 B15816 0.02 1.1 0.02 2.0 0.8 1.61 1.0 B517 0.6 2.0 1.1 2.0 0.2 1.51 1.0 Median 0.3 0.7 0.2 1.1 2.0 0.2 1.35 0.4 Median 0.3 0.7 0.2 1.5 0.6 1.35 0.4	B8216	0.3	0.7	0.2	1.6	0.8	0.89	1.0	0.7
B3917 0.2 0 0.6 0.1 0.06 0.3 0.4 B15816 0.02 1.1 0.02 2.0 0.8 1.61 1.0 B15816 0.02 1.1 0.02 2.0 0.8 1.61 1.0 B517 0.6 2.0 1.1 2.0 0.2 1.35 0.4 Median 0.3 0.7 0.2 1.5 0.6 1.35 0.4 p value 0.554 0.764 0.937 0.745 0.068 0.34 0.414	B1417	0.4	0.6	0.2	1.5	0.6	1.05	0.7	0.5
B15816 0.02 1.1 0.02 2.0 0.8 1.61 1.0 B517 0.6 2.0 1.1 2.0 0.2 1.35 0.4 Median 0.3 0.7 0.2 1.5 0.6 1.35 0.4 p value 0.554 0.764 0.937 0.745 0.068 0.34 0.414	B3917	0.2	0	0.6	0.1	0.06	0.03	0.4	0.3
B517 0.6 2.0 1.1 2.0 0.2 1.35 0.4 Median 0.3 0.7 0.2 1.5 0.6 1.35 0.4 p value 0.554 0.764 0.937 0.745 0.068 0.34 0.414	B15816	0.02	1.1	0.02	2.0	0.8	1.61	1.0	0.7
Median 0.3 0.7 0.2 1.5 0.6 1.35 0.4 <i>p</i> value 0.554 0.764 0.937 0.745 0.068 0.34 0.414	B517	0.6	2.0	1.1	2.0	0.2	1.35	0.4	0.4
<i>p</i> value 0.554 0.764 0.937 0.745 0.068 0.34 0.414	Median	0.3	0.7	0.2	1.5	0.6	1.35	0.4	0.5
	<i>p</i> value	0.554	0.764	0.937	0.745	0.068	0.34	0.414	0.839

Xenotransplantation. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2022 June 01.

Note: Median values and non-parametric statistical significance (Mann-Whitney Utest) analyses are reported.

Abbreviations: C3c, glomerular complement 3c staining; dilation, expansion of Bowman's space; IgG, glomerular IgG staining; IgM, glomerular IgM staining; Mm, mesangial matrix expansion; Necrosis, glomerular necrosis, TMA, thrombotic microangiopathy.

Summary of renal interstitial histopathologic changes

Baboon	Hem	Edema	TIF	PTC	Tublitis	AduT	NduT	Thrombi	v	ci
Group 1:	Kidney	is not fron	n a CM	AHKO p	ig					
B9315	1.6	2.7	0.6	0.	0.5	2.8	1.2	0.5	0.5	1.3
B17315	0.1	1.5	0.8	0.4	0.3	1.3	0.7	0.1	0	1.4
B17615	0.0	0.3	0.9	0.5	0.3	1.1	0.5	0	0	1.1
B10815	0	1.8	0.4	1.0	0.4	2.7	0	0.2	0.1	1.9
B5916	0.1	1.5	0.3	1.0	0.2	0.8	0.4	0.6	0.5	0.5
Median	0.1	1.5	0.6	0.6	0.3	1.3	0.5	0.2	0.1	1.3
Group 2:	Kidney	is from a	CMAH	KO pig						
B8216	0.5	1.2	0.2	1.2	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.8	0.6	0.3
B1417	1.8	1.5	1.6	1.2	1.1	2.5	1.3	1.3	1.2	2.5
B3917	2.0	0.2	0	1.8	0.2	0	2.0	0.5	0.7	0
B15816	0.1	1.8	1.0	1.0	0.7	2.6	0.5	0.4	0.7	0.9
B517	0.1	2.2	0.6	0.8	0.5	1.0	1.3	1.1	0.9	1.6
Median	0.5	1.5	0.6	1.2	0.6	1.0	1.3	0.5	0.92	0.9
<i>p</i> value	0.35	0.73	0.81	0.048	0.12	0.59	0.12	0.095	0.008	0.75
Mator Data	0100000	يم مطل مسم لم	0.000		F		_			

Xenotransplantation. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2022 June 01.

Abbreviations: ci, interstitial fibrosis; edema, interstitial edema; Hem, interstitial hemorrhage; PTC, peritubular capillary inflammation; TIF, total interstitial inflammation; TubA, tubular atrophy; TubN, tubular necrosis; thrombi, renal interstitial arterial thrombi, v, vasculitis.

Median values, range and non-parametric statistical significance (Mann-Whitney Utest).

Summary of renal interstitial immunoglobulin depositions

Baboon	V-C3c	V-C4d	V-IgM	V-IgG	PTC-C3c	PTC-C4d	PTC-IgM	PTC-IgG
Group 1:	Kidney is	<i>not</i> from a	CMAHK	D pig				
B9315	0.5	0.5	0.5	0	6.0	0.5	0.1	0
B17315	0.25	n/a	0	0	2.0	n/a	0.1	0.08
B17615	0.38	n/a	0	0.42	6.0	n/a	0	0.03
B10815	0.15	1.44	0.16	0.43	0.1	1.1	0.1	1.5
B5916	0.5	1.25	1.28	0.08	1.0	0.9	1.7	0.26
Median	0.38	1.25	0.16	0.08	6.0	0.83	0.1	0.08
Group 2:	S is from	a CMAH	KO pig					
B8216	0.5	1.3	0.7	0.50	2.5	1.35	2.3	1.2
B1417	0.76	1.11	0.87	0.77	2.0	1.19	1.4	0.88
B3917	0.67	0.7	0.89	0.5	0.2	0.03	0.9	1.0
B15816	0.56	1.13	0.83	0.35	0.8	0.89	0.8	0.31
B517	0.58	1.48	0.47	0.64	1.83	1.61	1.4	1.0
Median	0.58	1.13	0.83	0.5	1.83	1.19	1.4	1.0
<i>p</i> value	0.017	>0.99	0.21	0.019	0.36	0.31	0.046	0.18
		Ę			-			

j0 vore: Data pr Abbreviations: PTC-C3c, peritubular capillary C3c deposition; PTC-C4d, peritubular capillary C4d deposition; PTC-IgG, peritubular capillary IgG deposition; PTC-IgM, peritubular capillary IgM deposition; V, renal arterial C3c deposition; V-IgG, renal arterial IgG deposition; V-renal arterial IgG deposition; V, renal arterial C3c deposition; V-renal arterial IgG deposition; V-renal arterial IgG deposition; V-renal arterial C3c deposition; V-renal arterial IgG deposition; V, renal arterial C3c deposition; V-renal arterial IgG deposition; V-renal arterial C3c deposition; V, renal arterial C3c deposition; V-renal arterial IgG deposition; V-renal arterial IgM deposition; V, renal arterial C3c deposition; V-renal arterial C3c deposition; V, renal arterial C3c deposition; V, renal arterial C3c deposition; V, renal arterial C3c deposition; V-renal arterial C3c deposition; V, renal arterial C3c deposition; V-renal arterial C3c deposition; V-renal arterial C3c deposition; V, renal arterial C3c deposition; V-renal arterial C3c deposition; V-renal arterial C3c deposition; V, renal arterial C3c deposition; V, renal arterial C3c deposition; V-renal arterial C3c deposition; V, renal arterial C3c deposition; V-renal arterial C3c deposition; V, renal arterial C3c deposition; V-renal arterial C3c deposition; V, renal arterial C3c deposition; V-renal arterial C3c deposition; V test). Author Manuscript

TABLE 6

Summary of major gross and histopathological findings in the ureter

Baboon	Histopathological findings	Dilation	Stricture formation	Surgical correction
Group 1:	Kidney is not from a CMAHKO pig			
B9315	Submucosal edema, fibrosis, and mononuclear cell infiltrates	Yes	Yes	Yes
B17315	Submucosal edema, fibrosis, and mononuclear cell infiltrates	Yes	No	No
B17615	Transmural fibrosis, edema, segmental necrosis, and intimal arteritis	Yes	Yes	Yes
B10815	Transmural fibrosis, edema, fibrin thrombi (muscularis and serosal layers), and intimal arteritis	Yes	Yes	No
B5916	Submucosal edema, fibrosis, fibrin thrombi, and intimal arteritis	No^*	No	No
Group 2:	Kidney is from a CMAHKO pig			
B8216	Submucosal and serosal edema, serosal fat necrosis, fibrin thrombi and multifocal foci of hemorrhage	No	No	No
B1417	Submucosal edema, fibrosis, and mononuclear cell infiltrates	No	No	No
B3917	Submucosal edema, congestion, and fibrin thrombi	Yes	No	No
B15816	Submucosal edema	Yes	No	No
B517	Submucosal and serosal edema and mononuclear cell infiltrates	Yes	No	No
* Doteon E				

Baboon 5916, ureter mucosa appeared thickened, but not dilated on ultrasound examination.

Summary of ureter histopathologic changes

Group I: Kidney is from a CMAH expressing pic $B9315$ 0.27 1.05 0.05 0.1 0 1.2 1.06 $B17315$ 0 1.1 0.7 0.35 0.1 0 1.8 1.84 $B17615$ 0.35 1.35 0 0.5 0.3 1.3 8.97 $B17615$ 0.35 1.35 0 0.6 0.3 1.3 8.97 $B17615$ 0.35 1.35 0 0.6 0.3 1.3 8.97 $B7916$ 0.1 1.0 0.5 0.35 0.1 0.48 1.5 8.68 $Median$ 0.1 1.1 0 0.35 0.1 0.48 1.5 8.68 $Median$ 0.1 1.1 0 0.35 0.1 0.48 1.5 8.68 $Median$ 0.1 1.1 0 0.35 0.1 0.13 1.3 8.68 $Median$ 0.1 1.1 0 0.35 0.1 0.13 1.3 8.68 $Median$ 0.18 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.1 0.1 0.1 2.76 $Median$ 0.15 0.73 0.1 0.13 0.1 0.1 0.13 2.76 $Median$ 0.18 0.20 0.13 0.13 0.1 0.1 0.14 0.13 0.14 $Median$ 0.11 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.14 0.13 0.14 <tr <tr="">$Median$<th>Baboon</th><th>Hemorrhage</th><th>Edema</th><th>Necrosis</th><th>i</th><th>v</th><th>Thrombi</th><th>ci</th><th>Thickness (mm)*</th></tr> <tr><th>B9315$0.27$$1.05$$0.05$$0.1$$0$$1.2$$1.06$B17315$0$$1.1$$0.7$$0.35$$0.1$$0$$1.2$$1.84$B17615$0.35$$1.35$$0.0$$0.6$$0.3$$1.3$$1.3$$8.97$B10815$0$$1.0$$0.6$$0.5$$0.35$$0.3$$1.3$$1.3$$8.97$B10815$0$$1.0$$1.0$$0.5$$0.35$$0.3$$1.3$$1.3$$8.97$B10815$0$$1.1$$0$$0.5$$0.35$$0.3$$0.3$$1.3$$1.3$$8.97$B5016$0.1$$1.1$$0$$0.3$$0.1$$0.13$$1.3$$1.64$$1.5$B6010$0.1$$1.1$$0$$0.35$$0.1$$0.13$$1.3$$4.64$B1417$0.18$$0.36$$1.3$$0.13$$0.13$$0.13$$1.3$$4.64$B1417$0.18$$0.36$$1.3$$0.13$$0.13$$0.13$$0.13$$0.13$$0.13$B1417$0.18$$0.36$$1.3$$0.13$$0.13$$0.13$$0.13$$0.26$B1417$0.18$$0.36$$1.3$$0.13$$0.18$$0.18$$0.18$$0.18$$0.18$B1417$0.18$$0.36$$0.3$$0.13$$0.13$$0.13$$0.13$$0.13$$0.18$B1417$0.18$$0.13$$0.13$$0.13$$0.13$$0.13$$0.13$$0.13$<!--</th--><th>Group 1:</th><th>Kidney is from</th><th>a CMAH</th><th>expressing l</th><th>Dig</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></th></tr> <tr><td>B17315$0$$1.1$$0.7$$0.35$$0.1$$0.1$$1.8$$1.84$B17615$0.35$$1.35$$0.$$0.6$$0.3$$1.3$$1.3$$8.97$B10815$0$$1.0$$1.0$$0.5$$0.3$$0.3$$0.3$$1.2$$8.97$B5916$0.1$$1.1$$0$$0.5$$0.3$$0.1$$0.48$$1.5$$8.68$Median$0.1$$1.1$$0$$0.3$$0.1$$0.14$$1.5$$8.68$B5916$0.1$$1.1$$0$$0.3$$0.1$$0.13$$1.3$$8.68$Median$0.1$$1.1$$0$$0.3$$0.1$$0.13$$1.3$$8.68$B8216$1.5$$2.0$$1.1$$0$$0.3$$0.1$$0.13$$1.3$$8.68$B8216$1.5$$2.0$$1.2$$2.0$$0.2$$0.1$$0.13$$0.1$$0.13$$0.13$$0.13$$0.13$$0.13$$0.13$$0.13$$0.13$$0.14$$0.13$$0.13$$0.13$$0.13$$0.13$$0.13$$0.13$$0.13$$0.14$$0.13$$0.13$$0.13$$0.14$$0.13$$0.13$$0.14$$0.13$$0.14$$0.13$$0.14$$0.13$$0.14$$0.13$$0.13$<td>B9315</td><td>0.27</td><td>1.05</td><td>0.05</td><td>0.1</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>1.2</td><td>1.06</td></td></tr> <tr><td>B17615$0.35$$1.35$$0.$$0.6$$0.3$$1.3$$8.97$B10815$0$$1.0$$1.0$$0.5$$0.35$$0.3$$1.3$$8.97$B5916$0.1$$1.1$$0$$0.3$$0.1$$0.48$$1.5$$5.67$Median$0.1$$1.1$$0$$0.3$$0.1$$0.13$$1.5$$5.67$B5916$0.1$$1.1$$0$$0.3$$0.1$$0.13$$1.5$$8.68$Median$0.1$$1.1$$0$$0.35$$0.1$$0.13$$1.3$$4.64$B8216$1.5$$2.0$$1.2$$0.35$$0.1$$0.13$$1.3$$4.64$B8216$1.5$$2.0$$1.2$$0.3$$0.1$$0.13$$0.1$$0.3$$0.2$B8216$1.5$$2.0$$1.3$$0.13$$0.13$$0.13$$0.13$$0.13$$0.26$B1417$0.18$$0.73$$0.13$$0.18$$0.18$$0.18$$0.18$$0.18$$0.18$B1417$0.18$$0.73$$0.13$$0.18$$0.18$$0.18$$0.18$$0.18$$0.18$$0.18$B1417$0.18$$0.73$$0.13$$0.18$$0.18$$0.18$$0.18$$0.18$$0.18$$0.18$$0.18$$0.18$$0.18$B1417$0.18$$0.19$$0.13$$0.13$$0.13$$0.18$$0.18$$0.18$$0.18$B1517$0.1$$0.13$$0.13$$0.13$$0.$</td><td>B17315</td><td>0</td><td>1.1</td><td>0.7</td><td>0.35</td><td>0.1</td><td>0</td><td>1.8</td><td>1.84</td></tr> <tr><td>B1081501.000.50.350.31.255.67B59160.11.100.30.10.481.58.68Median0.11.100.350.10.131.38.64Median0.11.100.350.10.131.38.64B82161.52.012.000.30.10.131.34.64B82161.52.012.000.30.182.76B14170.180.361.30.1802.36B13173.00.70.730.180.780.78B158160.051.50.440.30.30.50.70B158160.051.50.440.30.30.472.95Median0.181.10.130.430.131.42.95Median0.180.1470.500.360.350.330.20</td><td>B17615</td><td>0.35</td><td>1.35</td><td>0</td><td>0.6</td><td>0.3</td><td>.13</td><td>1.3</td><td>8.97</td></tr> <tr><td>B5916$0.1$$1.1$$0$$0.3$$0.1$$1.4$$8.68$Media$0.1$$1.1$$0$$0.35$$0.1$$0.13$$1.3$$8.64$Group 2:Kidney is from a CMAHKO pig$2.0$$0.35$$0.1$$0.13$$1.3$$4.64$B8216$1.5$$2.0$$1$$2.0$$0$$0.3$$0$$0.3$$0$$2.26$B8217$0.18$$0.36$$1.3$$1.3$$0.18$$0$$1.8$$2.78$B1417$0.18$$0.36$$1.3$$0.18$$0.1$$0.2$$0.26$B1517$0.18$$0.05$$1.5$$0.47$$0.3$$0.6$$0$$1.4$$2.95$B15816$0.05$$1.5$$0.47$$0.3$$0.3$$0.6$$0.1$$1.4$$2.95$Median$0.18$$1.1$$0.13$$0.13$$0.13$$0.13$$0.20$$2.0$$2.01$Median$0.54$$>0.99$$0.0476$$0.21$$0.96$$0.33$$0.21$$0.33$$0.24$</td><td>B10815</td><td>0</td><td>1.0</td><td>0</td><td>0.5</td><td>0.35</td><td>0.3</td><td>1.25</td><td>5.67</td></tr> <tr><td>Median$0.1$$1.1$$0$$0.35$$0.1$$0.13$$1.3$$4.64$Group 2: Kidney is from a CMAHKO pigB8216$1.5$$2.0$$1$$2.0$$0.3$$0$$2.26$B1417$0.18$$0.36$$1.3$$0.18$$0$$2.26$B3917$3.0$$0.73$$0.6$$0.3$$0.6$$0.1$$2.78$B15816$0.05$$1.5$$0.44$$0.3$$0.3$$0.6$$0.1$$1.8$$2.78$B15816$0.05$$1.5$$0.44$$0.3$$0.3$$0.6$$0.1$$1.4$$2.95$Median$0.18$$1.1$$0.43$$0.18$$0.13$$1.4$$2.95$Median$0.54$$>0.99$$0.0476$$0.21$$0.36$$0.33$$0.20$$2.91$</td><td>B5916</td><td>0.1</td><td>1.1</td><td>0</td><td>0.3</td><td>0.1</td><td>0.48</td><td>1.5</td><td>8.68</td></tr> <tr><td>Group 2: Kidney is from a CMAHKO pig B8216 1.5 2.0 1 2.0 0.3 0 2.26 B1417 0.18 0.36 1.3 0.18 0 1.8 2.78 B3917 3.0 0 0.73 0.6 0.3 0.6 0 1.83 B15816 0.05 1.5 0.4 0.3 0.3 0.6 0 1.33 B15816 0.05 1.5 0.4 0.3 0.3 0.6 0 1.33 B517 0 1.1 0.13 0.43 0.18 0.14 2.95 Median 0.18 1.1 0.4 0.6 0.18 1.0 2.0 Median 0.54 509 0.0476 0.21 0.3 0.20 2.01</td><td>Median</td><td>0.1</td><td>1.1</td><td>0</td><td>0.35</td><td>0.1</td><td>0.13</td><td>1.3</td><td>4.64</td></tr> <tr><th>B82161.52.012.000.302.26B14170.180.361.31.30.1802.36B39173.00.70.60.30.601.82.78B38160.051.50.40.30.601.82.78B158160.051.50.40.30.601.82.78B51701.10.130.430.131.42.95Median0.181.10.40.60.181.02.02.91$p value$0.54>0.990.04760.210.950.330.241</th><th>Group 2:</th><th>Kidney is from</th><th>a CMAHI</th><th>XO pig</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></tr> <tr><td>B1417$0.18$$0.36$$1.3$$1.3$$0.18$$0$$1.8$$2.78$B3917$3.0$$0$$0.73$$0.6$$0$$1.8$$2.78$B15816$0.05$$1.5$$0.4$$0.3$$0.6$$0$$1.83$B517$0$$1.1$$0.13$$0.43$$0.13$$1.4$$2.95$Median$0.18$$1.1$$0.4$$0.6$$0.13$$1.4$$2.95$$\mathbf{Median}$$0.18$$0.16$$0.6$$0.18$$1.0$$2.0$$2.91$</td><td>B8216</td><td>1.5</td><td>2.0</td><td>1</td><td>2.0</td><td>0</td><td>0.3</td><td>0</td><td>2.26</td></tr> <tr><td>B3917 3.0 0 0.73 0.6 0.3 0.6 0 1.83 B15816 0.05 1.5 0.4 0.3 0.3 0.6 0 1.83 B15816 0.05 1.5 0.4 0.3 0.3 0.6 0.7 4.71 B517 0 1.1 0.13 0.43 0.13 1.4 2.95 Median 0.18 1.1 0.4 0.6 0.18 1.0 2.0 2.91 p value 0.54 >0.999 0.0476 0.21 0.97 0.33 0.24</td><td>B1417</td><td>0.18</td><td>0.36</td><td>1.3</td><td>1.3</td><td>0.18</td><td>0</td><td>1.8</td><td>2.78</td></tr> <tr><td>B15816 0.05 1.5 0.4 0.3 0.3 0.3 2.0 4.71 B517 0 1.1 0.13 0.43 0.18 0.13 1.4 2.95 Median 0.18 1.1 0.4 0.6 0.18 1.0 2.95 p value 0.54 0.6 0.18 1.0 2.0 2.91</td><td>B3917</td><td>3.0</td><td>0</td><td>0.73</td><td>0.6</td><td>0.3</td><td>0.6</td><td>0</td><td>1.83</td></tr> <tr><td>B517 0 1.1 0.13 0.43 0.18 0.13 1.4 2.95 Median 0.18 1.1 0.4 0.6 0.18 1.0 2.0 2.91 p value 0.54 >0.99 0.0476 0.21 0.99 0.56 0.33 0.24</td><td>B15816</td><td>0.05</td><td>1.5</td><td>0.4</td><td>0.3</td><td>0.3</td><td>0</td><td>2.0</td><td>4.71</td></tr> <tr><td>Median 0.18 1.1 0.4 0.6 0.18 1.0 2.0 2.91 <i>p</i> value 0.54 >0.99 0.0476 0.21 0.99 0.56 0.33 0.24</td><td>B517</td><td>0</td><td>1.1</td><td>0.13</td><td>0.43</td><td>0.18</td><td>0.13</td><td>1.4</td><td>2.95</td></tr> <tr><td><i>p</i> value 0.54 >0.09 0.0476 0.21 0.99 0.56 0.33 0.24</td><td>Median</td><td>0.18</td><td>1.1</td><td>0.4</td><td>0.6</td><td>0.18</td><td>1.0</td><td>2.0</td><td>2.91</td></tr> <tr><td></td><td><i>p</i> value</td><td>0.54</td><td>>0.99</td><td>0.0476</td><td>0.21</td><td>0.99</td><td>0.56</td><td>0.33</td><td>0.24</td></tr>	Baboon	Hemorrhage	Edema	Necrosis	i	v	Thrombi	ci	Thickness (mm)*	B9315 0.27 1.05 0.05 0.1 0 1.2 1.06 B17315 0 1.1 0.7 0.35 0.1 0 1.2 1.84 B17615 0.35 1.35 0.0 0.6 0.3 1.3 1.3 8.97 B10815 0 1.0 0.6 0.5 0.35 0.3 1.3 1.3 8.97 B10815 0 1.0 1.0 0.5 0.35 0.3 1.3 1.3 8.97 B10815 0 1.1 0 0.5 0.35 0.3 0.3 1.3 1.3 8.97 B5016 0.1 1.1 0 0.3 0.1 0.13 1.3 1.64 1.5 B6010 0.1 1.1 0 0.35 0.1 0.13 1.3 4.64 B1417 0.18 0.36 1.3 0.13 0.13 0.13 1.3 4.64 B1417 0.18 0.36 1.3 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.13 B1417 0.18 0.36 1.3 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.26 B1417 0.18 0.36 1.3 0.13 0.18 0.18 0.18 0.18 0.18 B1417 0.18 0.36 0.3 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.18 B1417 0.18 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.13 </th <th>Group 1:</th> <th>Kidney is from</th> <th>a CMAH</th> <th>expressing l</th> <th>Dig</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>	Group 1:	Kidney is from	a CMAH	expressing l	Dig					B17315 0 1.1 0.7 0.35 0.1 0.1 1.8 1.84 B17615 0.35 1.35 $0.$ 0.6 0.3 1.3 1.3 8.97 B10815 0 1.0 1.0 0.5 0.3 0.3 0.3 1.2 8.97 B5916 0.1 1.1 0 0.5 0.3 0.1 0.48 1.5 8.68 Median 0.1 1.1 0 0.3 0.1 0.14 1.5 8.68 B5916 0.1 1.1 0 0.3 0.1 0.13 1.3 8.68 Median 0.1 1.1 0 0.3 0.1 0.13 1.3 8.68 B8216 1.5 2.0 1.1 0 0.3 0.1 0.13 1.3 8.68 B8216 1.5 2.0 1.2 2.0 0.2 0.1 0.13 0.1 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.14 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.14 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.14 0.13 0.13 0.14 0.13 0.14 0.13 0.14 0.13 0.14 0.13 0.13 <td>B9315</td> <td>0.27</td> <td>1.05</td> <td>0.05</td> <td>0.1</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>1.2</td> <td>1.06</td>	B9315	0.27	1.05	0.05	0.1	0	0	1.2	1.06	B17615 0.35 1.35 $0.$ 0.6 0.3 1.3 8.97 B10815 0 1.0 1.0 0.5 0.35 0.3 1.3 8.97 B5916 0.1 1.1 0 0.3 0.1 0.48 1.5 5.67 Median 0.1 1.1 0 0.3 0.1 0.13 1.5 5.67 B5916 0.1 1.1 0 0.3 0.1 0.13 1.5 8.68 Median 0.1 1.1 0 0.35 0.1 0.13 1.3 4.64 B8216 1.5 2.0 1.2 0.35 0.1 0.13 1.3 4.64 B8216 1.5 2.0 1.2 0.3 0.1 0.13 0.1 0.3 0.2 B8216 1.5 2.0 1.3 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.26 B1417 0.18 0.73 0.13 0.18 0.18 0.18 0.18 0.18 0.18 B1417 0.18 0.73 0.13 0.18 0.18 0.18 0.18 0.18 0.18 0.18 B1417 0.18 0.73 0.13 0.18 0.18 0.18 0.18 0.18 0.18 0.18 0.18 0.18 0.18 B1417 0.18 0.19 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.18 0.18 0.18 0.18 B1517 0.1 0.13 0.13 0.13 $0.$	B17315	0	1.1	0.7	0.35	0.1	0	1.8	1.84	B1081501.000.50.350.31.255.67B59160.11.100.30.10.481.58.68Median0.11.100.350.10.131.38.64Median0.11.100.350.10.131.38.64B82161.52.012.000.30.10.131.34.64B82161.52.012.000.30.182.76B14170.180.361.30.1802.36B13173.00.70.730.180.780.78B158160.051.50.440.30.30.50.70B158160.051.50.440.30.30.472.95Median0.181.10.130.430.131.42.95Median0.180.1470.500.360.350.330.20	B17615	0.35	1.35	0	0.6	0.3	.13	1.3	8.97	B5916 0.1 1.1 0 0.3 0.1 1.4 8.68 Media 0.1 1.1 0 0.35 0.1 0.13 1.3 8.64 Group 2:Kidney is from a CMAHKO pig 2.0 0.35 0.1 0.13 1.3 4.64 B8216 1.5 2.0 1 2.0 0 0.3 0 0.3 0 2.26 B8217 0.18 0.36 1.3 1.3 0.18 0 1.8 2.78 B1417 0.18 0.36 1.3 0.18 0.1 0.2 0.26 B1517 0.18 0.05 1.5 0.47 0.3 0.6 0 1.4 2.95 B15816 0.05 1.5 0.47 0.3 0.3 0.6 0.1 1.4 2.95 Median 0.18 1.1 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.20 2.0 2.01 Median 0.54 >0.99 0.0476 0.21 0.96 0.33 0.21 0.33 0.24	B10815	0	1.0	0	0.5	0.35	0.3	1.25	5.67	Median 0.1 1.1 0 0.35 0.1 0.13 1.3 4.64 Group 2 : Kidney is from a CMAHKO pigB8216 1.5 2.0 1 2.0 0.3 0 2.26 B1417 0.18 0.36 1.3 0.18 0 2.26 B3917 3.0 0.73 0.6 0.3 0.6 0.1 2.78 B15816 0.05 1.5 0.44 0.3 0.3 0.6 0.1 1.8 2.78 B15816 0.05 1.5 0.44 0.3 0.3 0.6 0.1 1.4 2.95 Median 0.18 1.1 0.43 0.18 0.13 1.4 2.95 Median 0.54 >0.99 0.0476 0.21 0.36 0.33 0.20 2.91	B5916	0.1	1.1	0	0.3	0.1	0.48	1.5	8.68	Group 2: Kidney is from a CMAHKO pig B8216 1.5 2.0 1 2.0 0.3 0 2.26 B1417 0.18 0.36 1.3 0.18 0 1.8 2.78 B3917 3.0 0 0.73 0.6 0.3 0.6 0 1.83 B15816 0.05 1.5 0.4 0.3 0.3 0.6 0 1.33 B15816 0.05 1.5 0.4 0.3 0.3 0.6 0 1.33 B517 0 1.1 0.13 0.43 0.18 0.14 2.95 Median 0.18 1.1 0.4 0.6 0.18 1.0 2.0 Median 0.54 509 0.0476 0.21 0.3 0.20 2.01	Median	0.1	1.1	0	0.35	0.1	0.13	1.3	4.64	B82161.52.012.000.302.26B14170.180.361.31.30.1802.36B39173.00.70.60.30.601.82.78B38160.051.50.40.30.601.82.78B158160.051.50.40.30.601.82.78B51701.10.130.430.131.42.95Median0.181.10.40.60.181.02.02.91 $p value$ 0.54>0.990.04760.210.950.330.241	Group 2:	Kidney is from	a CMAHI	XO pig						B1417 0.18 0.36 1.3 1.3 0.18 0 1.8 2.78 B3917 3.0 0 0.73 0.6 0 1.8 2.78 B15816 0.05 1.5 0.4 0.3 0.6 0 1.83 B517 0 1.1 0.13 0.43 0.13 1.4 2.95 Median 0.18 1.1 0.4 0.6 0.13 1.4 2.95 \mathbf{Median} 0.18 0.16 0.6 0.18 1.0 2.0 2.91	B8216	1.5	2.0	1	2.0	0	0.3	0	2.26	B3917 3.0 0 0.73 0.6 0.3 0.6 0 1.83 B15816 0.05 1.5 0.4 0.3 0.3 0.6 0 1.83 B15816 0.05 1.5 0.4 0.3 0.3 0.6 0.7 4.71 B517 0 1.1 0.13 0.43 0.13 1.4 2.95 Median 0.18 1.1 0.4 0.6 0.18 1.0 2.0 2.91 p value 0.54 >0.999 0.0476 0.21 0.97 0.33 0.24	B1417	0.18	0.36	1.3	1.3	0.18	0	1.8	2.78	B15816 0.05 1.5 0.4 0.3 0.3 0.3 2.0 4.71 B517 0 1.1 0.13 0.43 0.18 0.13 1.4 2.95 Median 0.18 1.1 0.4 0.6 0.18 1.0 2.95 p value 0.54 0.6 0.18 1.0 2.0 2.91	B3917	3.0	0	0.73	0.6	0.3	0.6	0	1.83	B517 0 1.1 0.13 0.43 0.18 0.13 1.4 2.95 Median 0.18 1.1 0.4 0.6 0.18 1.0 2.0 2.91 p value 0.54 >0.99 0.0476 0.21 0.99 0.56 0.33 0.24	B15816	0.05	1.5	0.4	0.3	0.3	0	2.0	4.71	Median 0.18 1.1 0.4 0.6 0.18 1.0 2.0 2.91 <i>p</i> value 0.54 >0.99 0.0476 0.21 0.99 0.56 0.33 0.24	B517	0	1.1	0.13	0.43	0.18	0.13	1.4	2.95	<i>p</i> value 0.54 >0.09 0.0476 0.21 0.99 0.56 0.33 0.24	Median	0.18	1.1	0.4	0.6	0.18	1.0	2.0	2.91		<i>p</i> value	0.54	>0.99	0.0476	0.21	0.99	0.56	0.33	0.24
Baboon	Hemorrhage	Edema	Necrosis	i	v	Thrombi	ci	Thickness (mm)*																																																																																																																																																							
B9315 0.27 1.05 0.05 0.1 0 1.2 1.06 B17315 0 1.1 0.7 0.35 0.1 0 1.2 1.84 B17615 0.35 1.35 0.0 0.6 0.3 1.3 1.3 8.97 B10815 0 1.0 0.6 0.5 0.35 0.3 1.3 1.3 8.97 B10815 0 1.0 1.0 0.5 0.35 0.3 1.3 1.3 8.97 B10815 0 1.1 0 0.5 0.35 0.3 0.3 1.3 1.3 8.97 B5016 0.1 1.1 0 0.3 0.1 0.13 1.3 1.64 1.5 B6010 0.1 1.1 0 0.35 0.1 0.13 1.3 4.64 B1417 0.18 0.36 1.3 0.13 0.13 0.13 1.3 4.64 B1417 0.18 0.36 1.3 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.13 B1417 0.18 0.36 1.3 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.26 B1417 0.18 0.36 1.3 0.13 0.18 0.18 0.18 0.18 0.18 B1417 0.18 0.36 0.3 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.18 B1417 0.18 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.13 </th <th>Group 1:</th> <th>Kidney is from</th> <th>a CMAH</th> <th>expressing l</th> <th>Dig</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>	Group 1:	Kidney is from	a CMAH	expressing l	Dig																																																																																																																																																										
B17315 0 1.1 0.7 0.35 0.1 0.1 1.8 1.84 B17615 0.35 1.35 $0.$ 0.6 0.3 1.3 1.3 8.97 B10815 0 1.0 1.0 0.5 0.3 0.3 0.3 1.2 8.97 B5916 0.1 1.1 0 0.5 0.3 0.1 0.48 1.5 8.68 Median 0.1 1.1 0 0.3 0.1 0.14 1.5 8.68 B5916 0.1 1.1 0 0.3 0.1 0.13 1.3 8.68 Median 0.1 1.1 0 0.3 0.1 0.13 1.3 8.68 B8216 1.5 2.0 1.1 0 0.3 0.1 0.13 1.3 8.68 B8216 1.5 2.0 1.2 2.0 0.2 0.1 0.13 0.1 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.14 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.14 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.14 0.13 0.13 0.14 0.13 0.14 0.13 0.14 0.13 0.14 0.13 0.13 <td>B9315</td> <td>0.27</td> <td>1.05</td> <td>0.05</td> <td>0.1</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>1.2</td> <td>1.06</td>	B9315	0.27	1.05	0.05	0.1	0	0	1.2	1.06																																																																																																																																																						
B17615 0.35 1.35 $0.$ 0.6 0.3 1.3 8.97 B10815 0 1.0 1.0 0.5 0.35 0.3 1.3 8.97 B5916 0.1 1.1 0 0.3 0.1 0.48 1.5 5.67 Median 0.1 1.1 0 0.3 0.1 0.13 1.5 5.67 B5916 0.1 1.1 0 0.3 0.1 0.13 1.5 8.68 Median 0.1 1.1 0 0.35 0.1 0.13 1.3 4.64 B8216 1.5 2.0 1.2 0.35 0.1 0.13 1.3 4.64 B8216 1.5 2.0 1.2 0.3 0.1 0.13 0.1 0.3 0.2 B8216 1.5 2.0 1.3 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.26 B1417 0.18 0.73 0.13 0.18 0.18 0.18 0.18 0.18 0.18 B1417 0.18 0.73 0.13 0.18 0.18 0.18 0.18 0.18 0.18 0.18 B1417 0.18 0.73 0.13 0.18 0.18 0.18 0.18 0.18 0.18 0.18 0.18 0.18 0.18 B1417 0.18 0.19 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.18 0.18 0.18 0.18 B1517 0.1 0.13 0.13 0.13 $0.$	B17315	0	1.1	0.7	0.35	0.1	0	1.8	1.84																																																																																																																																																						
B1081501.000.50.350.31.255.67B59160.11.100.30.10.481.58.68Median0.11.100.350.10.131.38.64Median0.11.100.350.10.131.38.64B82161.52.012.000.30.10.131.34.64B82161.52.012.000.30.182.76B14170.180.361.30.1802.36B13173.00.70.730.180.780.78B158160.051.50.440.30.30.50.70B158160.051.50.440.30.30.472.95Median0.181.10.130.430.131.42.95Median0.180.1470.500.360.350.330.20	B17615	0.35	1.35	0	0.6	0.3	.13	1.3	8.97																																																																																																																																																						
B5916 0.1 1.1 0 0.3 0.1 1.4 8.68 Media 0.1 1.1 0 0.35 0.1 0.13 1.3 8.64 Group 2:Kidney is from a CMAHKO pig 2.0 0.35 0.1 0.13 1.3 4.64 B8216 1.5 2.0 1 2.0 0 0.3 0 0.3 0 2.26 B8217 0.18 0.36 1.3 1.3 0.18 0 1.8 2.78 B1417 0.18 0.36 1.3 0.18 0.1 0.2 0.26 B1517 0.18 0.05 1.5 0.47 0.3 0.6 0 1.4 2.95 B15816 0.05 1.5 0.47 0.3 0.3 0.6 0.1 1.4 2.95 Median 0.18 1.1 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.13 0.20 2.0 2.01 Median 0.54 >0.99 0.0476 0.21 0.96 0.33 0.21 0.33 0.24	B10815	0	1.0	0	0.5	0.35	0.3	1.25	5.67																																																																																																																																																						
Median 0.1 1.1 0 0.35 0.1 0.13 1.3 4.64 Group 2 : Kidney is from a CMAHKO pigB8216 1.5 2.0 1 2.0 0.3 0 2.26 B1417 0.18 0.36 1.3 0.18 0 2.26 B3917 3.0 0.73 0.6 0.3 0.6 0.1 2.78 B15816 0.05 1.5 0.44 0.3 0.3 0.6 0.1 1.8 2.78 B15816 0.05 1.5 0.44 0.3 0.3 0.6 0.1 1.4 2.95 Median 0.18 1.1 0.43 0.18 0.13 1.4 2.95 Median 0.54 >0.99 0.0476 0.21 0.36 0.33 0.20 2.91	B5916	0.1	1.1	0	0.3	0.1	0.48	1.5	8.68																																																																																																																																																						
Group 2: Kidney is from a CMAHKO pig B8216 1.5 2.0 1 2.0 0.3 0 2.26 B1417 0.18 0.36 1.3 0.18 0 1.8 2.78 B3917 3.0 0 0.73 0.6 0.3 0.6 0 1.83 B15816 0.05 1.5 0.4 0.3 0.3 0.6 0 1.33 B15816 0.05 1.5 0.4 0.3 0.3 0.6 0 1.33 B517 0 1.1 0.13 0.43 0.18 0.14 2.95 Median 0.18 1.1 0.4 0.6 0.18 1.0 2.0 Median 0.54 509 0.0476 0.21 0.3 0.20 2.01	Median	0.1	1.1	0	0.35	0.1	0.13	1.3	4.64																																																																																																																																																						
B82161.52.012.000.302.26B14170.180.361.31.30.1802.36B39173.00.70.60.30.601.82.78B38160.051.50.40.30.601.82.78B158160.051.50.40.30.601.82.78B51701.10.130.430.131.42.95Median0.181.10.40.60.181.02.02.91 $p value$ 0.54>0.990.04760.210.950.330.241	Group 2:	Kidney is from	a CMAHI	XO pig																																																																																																																																																											
B1417 0.18 0.36 1.3 1.3 0.18 0 1.8 2.78 B3917 3.0 0 0.73 0.6 0 1.8 2.78 B15816 0.05 1.5 0.4 0.3 0.6 0 1.83 B517 0 1.1 0.13 0.43 0.13 1.4 2.95 Median 0.18 1.1 0.4 0.6 0.13 1.4 2.95 \mathbf{Median} 0.18 0.16 0.6 0.18 1.0 2.0 2.91	B8216	1.5	2.0	1	2.0	0	0.3	0	2.26																																																																																																																																																						
B3917 3.0 0 0.73 0.6 0.3 0.6 0 1.83 B15816 0.05 1.5 0.4 0.3 0.3 0.6 0 1.83 B15816 0.05 1.5 0.4 0.3 0.3 0.6 0.7 4.71 B517 0 1.1 0.13 0.43 0.13 1.4 2.95 Median 0.18 1.1 0.4 0.6 0.18 1.0 2.0 2.91 p value 0.54 >0.999 0.0476 0.21 0.97 0.33 0.24	B1417	0.18	0.36	1.3	1.3	0.18	0	1.8	2.78																																																																																																																																																						
B15816 0.05 1.5 0.4 0.3 0.3 0.3 2.0 4.71 B517 0 1.1 0.13 0.43 0.18 0.13 1.4 2.95 Median 0.18 1.1 0.4 0.6 0.18 1.0 2.95 p value 0.54 0.6 0.18 1.0 2.0 2.91	B3917	3.0	0	0.73	0.6	0.3	0.6	0	1.83																																																																																																																																																						
B517 0 1.1 0.13 0.43 0.18 0.13 1.4 2.95 Median 0.18 1.1 0.4 0.6 0.18 1.0 2.0 2.91 p value 0.54 >0.99 0.0476 0.21 0.99 0.56 0.33 0.24	B15816	0.05	1.5	0.4	0.3	0.3	0	2.0	4.71																																																																																																																																																						
Median 0.18 1.1 0.4 0.6 0.18 1.0 2.0 2.91 <i>p</i> value 0.54 >0.99 0.0476 0.21 0.99 0.56 0.33 0.24	B517	0	1.1	0.13	0.43	0.18	0.13	1.4	2.95																																																																																																																																																						
<i>p</i> value 0.54 >0.09 0.0476 0.21 0.99 0.56 0.33 0.24	Median	0.18	1.1	0.4	0.6	0.18	1.0	2.0	2.91																																																																																																																																																						
	<i>p</i> value	0.54	>0.99	0.0476	0.21	0.99	0.56	0.33	0.24																																																																																																																																																						

Xenotransplantation. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2022 June 01.

Hemorrhage, edema, necrosis, inflammation (i), vasculitis (v), and fibrosis (ci) were scored as described in Table 3.

^{*} Ureter thickness was assessed on low magnification (2x) by measuring the distance (μ M) from mucosal apical surface to serosal apical surface. Mean thickness is reported for each group, and an unparied Student's *t* test was used to evaluate for statistical for significance (p < 0.05). For all other semi-quantitative parameters, data are reported as median values and non-parametric statistical significance (Mann–Whitney Utest) analyses are reported.