


## Response to “Comment on ‘Deep Ensemble Machine Learning Framework for the Estimation of PM<sub>2.5</sub> Concentrations’”

Wenhua Yu,<sup>1</sup> Shanshan Li,<sup>1</sup> Tingting Ye,<sup>1</sup> Rongbin Xu,<sup>1</sup> Jiangning Song,<sup>2</sup> and Yuming Guo<sup>1</sup> 

<sup>1</sup>Climate, Air Quality Research Unit, School of Public Health and Preventive Medicine, Monash University, Melbourne, Australia

<sup>2</sup>Monash Biomedicine Discovery Institute, Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Monash University, Melbourne, Australia

<https://doi.org/10.1289/EHP11438>

Refers to <https://doi.org/10.1289/EHP11385>

We appreciate the comments by Stafoggia et al.<sup>1</sup> on our article.<sup>2</sup> We would like to clarify that our article aimed to introduce a novel methodology, deep ensemble machine learning (DEML), to estimate concentrations of air pollutants (e.g., daily, monthly, or annual average concentrations of fine particles, ozone, or nitrogen dioxide). We used Italian data for particulate matter  $\leq 2.5$   $\mu\text{g}$  in aerodynamic diameter (PM<sub>2.5</sub>) as a practice case to demonstrate how to implement DEML. The estimated concentrations of PM<sub>2.5</sub> will not be used for epidemiological studies.

We agree that the number of monitoring stations measuring PM<sub>2.5</sub> in Italy is larger than what we used. One major reason is that, as we stated, we deleted all missing values in the input predictors to reduce the uncertainties involved in interpolation. We included 204 monitoring stations in our original data set; after deleting the missing data, we were left with 133 valid monitoring stations. In addition, we acknowledge that predictions in southern Italy (including the islands of Sicily and Sardinia) may have high uncertainties because of fewer monitoring sites and high spatial variability, which we discussed in our paper as one of the limitations of our analysis.

In general, we agree that model performances, strictly speaking, should be compared independently with the same training and testing data sets, as we did in our analyses. It is better not to

compare model performance with different training and testing data sets used in other studies. However, using even a relatively small number of monitors demonstrates the advantages and outstanding performance of DEML, compared with other commonly used single machine learning models, such as gradient boosting machine, support vector machine, random forest, and eXtreme gradient boosting.

As for the lack of some key spatial or spatiotemporal predictors, we agree that those predictors may be helpful for PM<sub>2.5</sub> estimations. However, our DEML model, with fewer predictors, still achieved high accuracy (coefficient of determination = 0.87). From another perspective, this reflects the ability of DEML to capture the complex relationships and spatiotemporal variations between PM<sub>2.5</sub> and predictors. We believe that, given more monitoring stations and other key predictors, the performance of DEML would be even better.

### References

1. Stafoggia M, Cattani G, Ancona C, Gasparrini A, Ranzi A. 2022. Comment on “deep ensemble machine learning framework for the estimation of PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations.” *Environ Health Perspect* 130(6):068001, <https://doi.org/10.1289/EHP11385>.
2. Yu W, Li S, Ye T, Xu R, Song J, Guo Y. 2022. Deep ensemble machine learning framework for the estimation of PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations. *Environ Health Perspect* 130(3):37004, PMID: 35254864, <https://doi.org/10.1289/EHP9752>.

---

Address correspondence to Yuming Guo, School of Public Health and Preventive Medicine, Monash University, Level 2, 553 St. Kilda Rd., Melbourne, VIC 3004, Australia. Email: [yuming.guo@monash.edu](mailto:yuming.guo@monash.edu). Or, Shanshan Li, School of Public Health and Preventive Medicine, Monash University, Level 2, 553 St. Kilda Rd., Melbourne, VIC 3004, Australia. Email: [shanshan.li@monash.edu](mailto:shanshan.li@monash.edu)

The authors declare they have no actual or potential competing financial interests.

**Note to readers with disabilities:** *EHP* strives to ensure that all journal content is accessible to all readers. However, some figures and Supplemental Material published in *EHP* articles may not conform to 508 standards due to the complexity of the information being presented. If you need assistance accessing journal content, please contact [ehpsubmissions@niehs.nih.gov](mailto:ehpsubmissions@niehs.nih.gov). Our staff will work with you to assess and meet your accessibility needs within 3 working days.