SHORT COMMUNICATION

OPEN ACCESS Check for updates

CPL2 and CPL3 act redundantly in *FLC* activation and flowering time regulation in *Arabidopsis*

Yu Zhang and Lisha Shen 🝺

Temasek Life Sciences Laboratory, National University of Singapore, Singapore, Singapore

ABSTRACT

Reproductive success of plants greatly depends on the proper timing of the floral transition, which is precisely controlled by a complex genetic network. *FLOWERING LOCUS C (FLC)*, a central floral repressor, is transcriptionally activated by the FRIGIDA (FRI) activator complex including FLC EXPRESSOR (FLX) and FLX-LIKE 4 (FLX4). C-TERMINAL DOMAIN PHOSPHATASE-LIKE 3 (CPL3) forms a protein complex with FLX and FLX4 to mediate the dephosphorylation of FLX4, thereby promoting *FLC* expression to repress flowering in both winter and summer annuals. Here, we show that CPL2 acts redundantly with CPL3 to mediate *FLC* activation and flowering time. Similar to CPL3, CPL2 inhibits the floral transition, and is required for basal *FLC* expression in summer annuals and *FLC* activation in winter annuals. CPL2 directly interacts with FLX which further bridges the interaction between CPL2 and FLX4. Our results suggest that CPL2 and CPL3 function redundantly in regulating *FLC* expression to prevent precocious flowering.

The transition from vegetative development to reproductive development in flowering plants, known as the floral transition, is precisely controlled to occur at the appropriate time for their reproductive success. In the model plant Arabidopsis thaliana, multiple flowering genetic pathways including the photoperiod, autonomous, vernalization, thermosensory, gibberellin, and aging pathways, integrate different environmental and developmental flowering signals to ensure the proper timing of the floral transition.¹⁻³ Among these pathways, the vernalization pathway senses a prolong period of winter cold exposure to repress the expression of a key flowering repressor, FLOWERING LOCUS C (FLC) to induce flowering.⁴ Based on whether vernalization is needed for rapid flowering, Arabidopsis accessions can be classified into two groups: winter annuals and summer annuals. In winter annuals, FLC expression is activated by the FRIGIDA (FRI) activator complex (FRI-C) consisting of FRI, FLC EXPRESSOR (FLX), FLX-LIKE 4 (FLX4), SUPPRESSOR OF FRI 4 (SUF4), FRI ESSENTIAL 1 (FES1), and FRI-LIKE 1 (FRL1).⁵⁻⁸ In contrast, basal levels of FLC expression is established by several regulators including FLX and FLX4 in rapid-cycling summer annuals like Columbia (Col) that contains a nonfunctional fri allele.⁶

We have recently shown that activation of *FLC* expression in flowering time control requires the RNA polymerase II (Pol II) C-terminal domain phosphatase-like protein, C-TERMINAL DOMAIN PHOSPHATASE-LIKE 3 (CPL3),⁹ which has also been shown to mediate the dephosphorylation of RNA Pol II to regulate plant immune response.^{10,11} CPL3 physically interacts with and dephosphorylates FLX4 through their common interacting protein FLX to enable the binding of dephosphorylated FLX4 to the *FLC* locus, thereby promoting *FLC* expression to inhibit flowering in both winter and summer annuals. In winter annuals, disruption of either *CPL3*, *FLX* or *FLX4* could greatly

suppress the extremely late-flowering phenotype of *FRI*.^{6,8,9} However, unlike *flx* or *flx4* that fully suppresses the *FRI* phenotype, *cpl3* mutant could not completely suppress the late flowering of *FRI*,⁹ implying that other regulator(s) may act redundantly with CPL3 in mediating FRI-dependent *FLC* activation.

In the Arabidopsis genome, there are several other CTD phosphatase-like proteins, including CPL1/FIERY2, CPL2 and CPL4,^{12,13} which potentially function redundantly with CPL3 in flowering time control. Like CPL3, CPL4 contains a catalytic domain and a BRCA1 C Terminus (BRCT) domain at its C-terminus, whereas CPL1 and CPL2 each contain a catalytic domain and 1-2 dsRNA-binding domains (Figure 1(a)). We examined the potential redundancy between CPL3 and these three CPL proteins by examining the flowering phenotype of their mutants or their interaction with FLX or FLX4. Yeast two-hybrid assay revealed that CPL4 did not interact with CPL3 or components in FRI-C including FLX, FLX4, SUF4, and FES1 (Figure 1(b)), excluding the possibility of CPL4 as a redundant factor of CPL3. Moreover, CPL4 is essential for plant viability and knockdown of CPL4 results in strong growth defects in plant growth,^{14,15} preventing us from examining the flowering phenotype of cpl4 mutants. To examine the effect of CPL1 and CPL2 on flowering time control, we obtained seeds of cpl1-8 (GK-165H09-013365)¹⁶ and cpl2-2 (SALK 059753),¹³ from the Arabidopsis Biological Resource Center. cpl1-8 mutants exhibited a clear late-flowering phenotype under long days (Figure 1(c)) similar to cpl1-3,¹⁷ and elevated FLC expression during the floral transition (Figure 1(d)), implying that CPL1 and CPL3 have distinct functions in flowering time regulation.

Interestingly, cpl2-2 showed accelerated flowering under long days (Figure 2(a)), which has been consistently shown in a previous study.¹³ The early-flowering phenotypes of

© 2022 The Author(s). Published with license by Taylor & Francis Group, LLC.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 27 November 2021 Revised 3 January 2022 Accepted 3 January 2022

KEYWORDS

Flowering time; FLC; CPL2; CPL3; Arabidopsis



CONTACT Lisha Shen 🖾 lisha@tll.org.sg 🖃 National University of Singapore, 1 Research Link, Singapore, 117604, Singapore



Figure 1. CPL proteins in *Arabidopsis*. (a) Schematic diagrams showing the domain structures of CPL proteins. (b) CPL4 does not interact with FLX, FLX4, SUF4, FES1 or CPL3. Transformed yeast cells were grown on SD-Ade/His/-Leu/-Trp medium and SD-Leu/-Trp medium. (c) cpl1 mutants show late flowering under long days. Error bars, mean \pm s.d.; n = 15. The asterisk denotes a significant difference in the flowering time between cpl1-8 and wild-type (Col) (two-tailed paired Student's *t* test, *P* < .05). (d) Temporal expression of *FLC* in developing seedlings of wild-type (Col) and cpl1 mutants under long days. The levels of gene expression normalized to *TUB2* expression are shown relative to the maximal expression level set at 100%. Error bars, mean \pm s.d.; n = 3 biological replicates.

cpl2-2 prompted us to investigate whether it functions redundantly with CPL3 to regulate FLC activation. To this end, we first examined the expression levels of FLC in cpl2-2 mutants and found that FLC expression was significantly downregulated in cpl2-2 mutants (Figure 2(b)). FLC expression was consistently downregulated in the developing seedlings of cpl2-2 before, during and after the floral transition (Figure 2(c)). Next, we examined whether CPL2 is required for FRI-dependent FLC activation in winter annuals. We crossed cpl2-2 with FRI-Col,¹⁸ and found that *cpl2-2* partially suppressed the extremely late-flowering phenotype of FRI (Figure 2(a)). Consistently, further expression analysis showed that FLC expression was reduced in *FRI cpl2-2* as compared with *FRI* (Figure 2(b)). These observations indicate that CPL2 promotes *FLC* expression to inhibit flowering in both summer annuals and winter annuals, and that CPL2 may act redundantly with CPL3 in *FLC* activation.

CPL3 forms a protein complex with FLX and FLX4.⁹ Similar to CPL3, CPL2 interacted with FLX but not FLX4 in yeast two-hybrid assays (Figure 3(a)). Further yeast threehybrid assay revealed that CPL2 interacted with FLX4 in the presence of FLX (Figure 3(b)). These results suggest that CPL2 interacts with FLX4 through their scaffold protein



Figure 2. CPL2 acts redundantly with CPL3 in regulating *FLC*. (a) *cpl2-2* shows early flowering and *cpl2-2 cpl3-8* almost completely suppresses the late-flowering phenotype of *FRI* under long days. Error bars, mean \pm s.d.; n = 20. A triangle denotes a significant difference in flowering time between *cpl2-2* and wild-type (Col), while asterisks denote significant differences in flowering time between indicated genotypes and *FRI* (two-tailed paired Student's *t* test, *P* < .05). (b) *FLC* expression in 9-day-old seedlings of various genotypes determined by quantitative real-time PCR. *FLC* expression in wild-type (Col), was set as 1.0. Error bars, mean \pm s.d.; n = 3 biological replicates. A triangle denotes significant differences in *FLC* expression between *cpl2-2* and wild-type (Col), while asterisks denote significant differences in *FLC* expression between *cpl2-2* and wild-type (Col), while asterisks denote significant differences in *FLC* expression between *cpl2-2* and wild-type (Col), while asterisks denote significant differences in *FLC* expression between *cpl2-2* and wild-type (Col), while asterisks denote significant differences in *FLC* expression between *cpl2-2* and wild-type (Col), while asterisks denote significant differences in *FLC* expression between *cpl2-2* and wild-type (Col), while asterisks denote significant differences in *FLC* expression between *cpl2-2* and wild-type (Col) and *cpl2-2*. The levels of gene expression normalized to *TUB2* expression are shown relative to the maximal expression level set at 100%. Error bars, mean \pm s.d.; n = 3 biological replicates.



Figure 3. CPL2 interacts with FLX4 in the presence of FLX. (a) Yeast two-hybrid results showing the interaction between CPL2 and FLX. Serial dilution (1:1, 1:5, 1:10) of transformed yeast cells were grown on SD-Ade/-His/-Leu/-Trp (left panel) and SD-Leu/-Trp (right panel). (b) Indirect interaction between CPL2 and FLX4 via FLX. Serial dilution (1:1, 1:5, 1:10) of transformed yeast cells were grown on SD-Ade/-His/-Leu/-Trp (upper panel) and SD-His/-Leu/-Trp (lower panel). (c) Yeast two-hybrid results showing the interaction between CPL2 and CPL3. Transformed yeast cells were grown on SD-Ade/-His/-Leu/-Trp (left panel). (c) Yeast two-hybrid results showing the interaction between CPL2 and CPL3. Transformed yeast cells were grown on SD-Ade/-His/-Leu/-Trp (left panel) and SD-Leu/-Trp (right panel).

FLX like CPL3 does. Interestingly, we found that CPL2 also interacted with CPL3 in yeast (Figure 3(c)), indicating that these two proteins may form heterodimers.

Next, we crossed *FRI cpl2-2* with *cpl3-8* to generate the *FRI cpl2-2 cpl3-8* triple mutants. Either *cpl2-2* or *cpl3-8* partially suppressed the extremely late-flowering phenotype of *FRI*, whereas mutations in both *CPL2* and *CPL3* almost completely suppressed the late-flowering phenotype of *FRI* (Figure 2(a)). Consistently, *FLC* expression was almost fully restored in *FRI cpl2-2 cpl3-8* triple mutants to the levels in Col (Figure 2(b)). However, *FLC* expression was slightly higher in *FRI cpl2-2 cpl3-8* than *FRI flx4-2* or *FRI flx-2* (Figure 2(b)), indicating the possible involvement of other regulator(s) functioning redundantly or in parallel with CPL2 and CPL3 in regulating *FLC* expression.

Overall, our results suggest that CPL2 acts redundantly with CPL3 in FLC activation and flowering time regulation. Both CPL2 and CPL3 inhibit flowering in Arabidopsis and are required for the basal FLC expression in summer annuals and FRI-dependent FLC upregulation in the winter annuals. Although either cpl2 or cpl3 mutants only partially suppress FRI flowering phenotype, cpl2 cpl3 double mutants almost fully suppress the late flowering of FRI. CPL2/3 forms a protein complex with FLX and FLX4, two components in the FRI-C transcriptional activator complex. Since CPL3 has been shown to dephosphorylate FLX4 protein⁹ and CPL2 also possesses the phosphatase activity,¹⁰ it is possible that CPL2 also modulates the phosphorylation status of FLX4 to regulate FLC expression, thus affecting flowering. Besides CPL2/3, there are many other CTD phosphatases in Arabidopsis,19 our revealed CPL2/3-FLX-FLX4-FLC functional module sheds new lights on the biological roles of CTD phosphatases in mediating dephosphorylation of key developmental regulators to modulate gene expression in plants.

Materials and methods

Plant materials and growth conditions

Seeds of *Arabidopsis* were placed on soil and stratified at 4°C in darkness for 3 days before they were grown under long days (16 hr light/8 hr dark) at 23 \pm 2°C. Mutant seeds of *cpl2-2* (SALK_059753) and *cpl1-8* (GK-165H09-013365) were obtained from the *Arabidopsis* Information Resource, while seeds of *flx-2* and *FRI flx4-2* were kindly provided by Prof. Scott Michaels (Indiana University).

Expression analysis

Total RNA was extracted using the RNeasy Plus Mini Kit (Qiagen) and reverse transcribed with the M-MLV Reverse Transcriptase (Promega) following manufacturers' instructions. Quantitative real-time PCR was performed using SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems) with three independently collected biological samples. The expression of *TUBULLIN 2 (TUB2)* was used as the internal control. The normalized expression of target genes is calculated with the difference between the cycle threshold (Ct) of target genes and the Ct of control.

Yeast two-hybrid and three-hybrid assays

The coding sequences of *CPL2*, *CPL3*, *SUF4*, *FES1*, *FLX4* and *FLX* were cloned into pGBKT7 (BD) or pGADT7 (AD) vectors (Clontech). For yeast two-hybrid assay, various combinations of AD and BD vectors were co-transformed into Y2HGold yeast cells using the Yeastmaker Yeast Transformation System 2 according to the manufacturer's instructions (Clontech). After transformation, yeast cells were grown on SD-Leu/-Trp and SD-Ade/-His/-Leu/-Trp mediums. For yeast

three-hybrid assay, the coding sequence of *FLX* was cloned into pQH05 vector with a HIS3 selection marker. Various combinations of AD and BD vectors with pQH05 or pQH05-FLX were co-transformed into Y2HGold yeast and grown on SD-His/-Leu/-Trp and SD-Ade/-His/-Leu/-Trp mediums.

Acknowledgments

We thank the *Arabidopsis* Biological Resource Centre and Prof. Scott Michaels for providing seeds. This work was supported by the National Research Foundation Competitive Research Programme (NRF-CRP22 -2019-0001), the Agency for Science, Technology and Research (A*STAR) under its Industry Alignment Fund - Pre Positioning (IAF-PP) (A19D9a0096), and the intramural research support from Temasek Life Sciences Laboratory.

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the author(s).

Funding

This work was supported by the National Research Foundation Competitive Research Programme (NRF-CRP22-2019-0001), the Agency for Science, Technology and Research (A*STAR) under its Industry Alignment Fund - Pre Positioning (IAF-PP) (A19D9a0096), and the intramural research support from Temasek Life Sciences Laboratory; National Research Foundation Singapore;

ORCID

Lisha Shen (i) http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1808-6370

References

- Bao S, Hua C, Shen L, Yu H. New insights into gibberellin signaling in regulating flowering in Arabidopsis. J Integr Plant Biol. 2020;62 (1):118–131. doi:10.1111/jipb.12892.
- 2. Liu L, Xuan L, Jiang Y, Yu H. Regulation by FLOWERING LOCUS T and TERMINAL FLOWER 1 in flowering time and plant architecture. Small Structures. 2021;2(4):2000125. doi:10.1002/sstr.202000125.
- Pose D, Yant L, Schmid M. The end of innocence: flowering networks explode in complexity. Curr Opin Plant Biol. 2012;15 (1):45–50. doi:10.1016/j.pbi.2011.09.002.
- 4. Luo X, He Y. Experiencing winter for spring flowering: a molecular epigenetic perspective on vernalization. J Integr Plant Biol. 2020;62 (1):104–117. doi:10.1111/jipb.12896.
- Choi K, Kim J, Hwang HJ, Kim S, Park C, Kim SY, Lee I. The FRIGIDA complex activates transcription of FLC, a strong flowering repressor in Arabidopsis, by recruiting chromatin modification factors. Plant Cell. 2011;23(1):289–303. doi:10.1105/tpc.110.075911.
- Ding L, Kim SY, Michaels SD. FLOWERING LOCUS C EXPRESSOR family proteins regulate FLOWERING LOCUS C expression in both winter-annual and rapid-cycling Arabidopsis. Plant Physiol. 2013;163(1):243–252. doi:10.1104/pp.113.223958.

- Kim SY, Michaels SD. SUPPRESSOR OF FRI 4 encodes a nuclear-localized protein that is required for delayed flowering in winter-annual Arabidopsis. Development. 2006;133 (23):4699–4707. doi:10.1242/dev.02684.
- Lee J, Amasino RM. Two FLX family members are non-redundantly required to establish the vernalization requirement in Arabidopsis. Nat Commun. 2013;4(1):2186. doi:10.1038/ ncomms3186.
- Shen L, Zhang Y, Sawettalake N. A molecular switch for FLOWERING LOCUS C activation determines flowering time in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell. 2021. koab286.doi:10.1093/plcell/ koab286.
- Koiwa H, Hausmann S, Bang WY, Ueda A, Kondo N, Hiraguri A, Fukuhara T, Bahk JD, Yun DJ, Bressan RA, et al. Arabidopsis C-terminal domain phosphatase-like 1 and 2 are essential Ser-5-specific C-terminal domain phosphatases. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2004;101(40):14539–14544. doi:10.1073/ pnas.0403174101.
- 11. Li F, Cheng C, Cui F, de Oliveira MV, Yu X, Meng X, Intorne AC, Babilonia K, Li M, Li B, et al. Modulation of RNA polymerase II phosphorylation downstream of pathogen perception orchestrates plant immunity. Cell Host Microbe. 2014;16(6):748–758. doi:10.1016/j.chom.2014.10.018.
- Koiwa H, Barb AW, Xiong L, Li F, McCully MG, Lee BH, Sokolchik I, Zhu J, Gong Z, Reddy M, et al. C-terminal domain phosphatase-like family members (AtCPLs) differentially regulate Arabidopsis thaliana abiotic stress signaling, growth, and development. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2002;99 (16):10893–10898. doi:10.1073/pnas.112276199.
- Ueda A, Li P, Feng Y, Vikram M, Kim S, Kang CH, Kang JS, Bahk JD, Lee SY, Fukuhara T, et al. The Arabidopsis thaliana carboxyl-terminal domain phosphatase-like 2 regulates plant growth, stress and auxin responses. Plant Molecular Biology. 2008;67(6):683-697. doi:10.1007/s11103-008-9348-y.
- 14. Bang W, Kim S, Ueda A, Vikram M, Yun D, Bressan RA, Hasegawa PM, Bahk J, Koiwa H. Arabidopsis carboxyl-terminal domain phosphatase-like isoforms share common catalytic and interaction domains but have distinct in planta functions. Plant Physiol. 2006;142(2):586–594. doi:10.1104/pp.106.084939.
- Fukudome A, Aksoy E, Wu X, Kumar K, Jeong IS, May K, Russell WK, Koiwa H. Arabidopsis CPL4 is an essential C-terminal domain phosphatase that suppresses xenobiotic stress responses. Plant J. 2014;80(1):27–39. doi:10.1111/tpj.12612.
- Manavella PA, Hagmann J, Ott F, Laubinger S, Franz M, Macek B, Weigel D. Fast-forward genetics identifies plant CPL phosphatases as regulators of miRNA processing factor HYL1. Cell. 2012;151 (4):859–870. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2012.09.039.
- Yuan C, Xu J, Chen Q, Liu Q, Hu Y, Jin Y, Qin C. C-terminal domain phosphatase-like 1 (CPL1) is involved in floral transition in Arabidopsis. BMC Genom. 2021;22(1):1–9. doi:10.1186/s12864-021-07966-8.
- Lee I, Amasino RM. Effect of vernalization, photoperiod, and light quality on the flowering phenotype of arabidopsis plants containing the FRIGIDA gene. Plant Physiol. 1995;108(1):157–162. doi:10.1104/pp.108.1.157.
- Jin YM, Jung J, Jeon H, Won SY, Feng Y, Kang JS, Lee SY, Cheong JJ, Koiwa H, Kim M. AtCPL5, a novel Ser-2-specific RNA polymerase II C-terminal domain phosphatase, positively regulates ABA and drought responses in Arabidopsis. New Phytol. 2011;190(1):57-74. doi:10.1111/j.1469-813 7.2010.03601.x.