

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Nonlinear Science, and Nonequilibrium and Complex Phenomena

journal homepage: <www.elsevier.com/locate/chaos>

Assessing the impact of SARS-CoV-2 infection on the dynamics of dengue and HIV via fractional derivatives

Andrew Omame ^{a,b,}*, Mujahid Abbas ^{b,c,d}, Abdel-Haleem Abdel-Aty ^{e,**}

^a Department of Mathematics, Federal University of Technology, Owerri, Nigeria

b Abdus Salam School of Mathematical Sciences, Government College University, Katchery Road, Lahore 54000, Pakistan

^c Department of Mathematics, Government College University, Katchery Road, Lahore 54000, Pakistan

^d Department of Medical Research, China Medical University Hospital, China Medical University, Taichung 40402, Taiwan

^e Department of Physics, College of Sciences, University of Bisha, P.O. Box 344, Bisha 61922, Saudi Arabia

article info abstract

Article history: Received 22 December 2021 Received in revised form 7 June 2022 Accepted 5 July 2022 Available online 11 July 2022

Keywords: COVID-19 Dengue HIV Co-infection Laplace Adomian Decomposition Method Stability

A new non-integer order mathematical model for SARS-CoV-2, Dengue and HIV co-dynamics is designed and studied. The impact of SARS-CoV-2 infection on the dynamics of dengue and HIV is analyzed using the tools of fractional calculus. The existence and uniqueness of solution of the proposed model are established employing well known Banach contraction principle. The Ulam-Hyers and generalized Ulam-Hyers stability of the model is also presented. We have applied the Laplace Adomian decomposition method to investigate the model with the help of three different fractional derivatives, namely: Caputo, Caputo-Fabrizio and Atangana-Baleanu derivatives. Stability analyses of the iterative schemes are also performed. The model fitting using the three fractional derivatives was carried out using real data from Argentina. Simulations were performed with each non-integer derivative and the results thus obtained are compared. Furthermore, it was concluded that efforts to keep the spread of SARS-CoV-2 low will have a significant impact in reducing the co-infections of SARS-CoV-2 and dengue or SARS-COV-2 and HIV. We also highlighted the impact of three different fractional derivatives in analyzing complex models dealing with the co-dynamics of different diseases.

© 2022 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome CoronaVirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) has infected nearly 514,943,711 of the world's total population and caused more than 6,000,000 deaths [\[1\]](#page-21-0). Mutations of the original strain of the virus have emerged in recent times, and is creating more concerns in the world [\[2\]](#page-21-0). SARS-CoV-2 co-infections with other micro-organisms such as influenza virus, Legionella, Pneumocystis jirovecii, mycoplasma pneumoniae, cytomegalovirus and HIV have been investigated in the literature [\[3\].](#page-21-0) Qin et al. [\[4\]](#page-21-0) reported that the function of the immune system is greatly reduced due to co-current infections with both HIV and SARS-COV-2. Suwanwongse and Shabarek [\[5\],](#page-21-0) in a study, considered the co-infection of SARS-CoV-2 and Human immune deficiency virus (HIV) among some selected patients and observed that $CD+T$ -cell

** Corresponding author at: Department of Physics, College of Sciences, University of Bisha, P.O. Box 344, Bisha 61922, Saudi Arabia.

E-mail addresses: andrew.omame@futo.edu.ng (A. Omame),

abbas.mujahid@gcu.edu.ng (M. Abbas), amabdelaty@ub.edu.sa (A.-H. Abdel-Aty).

greatly suffers dysfunction in co-infected patients. TheWorld Health Organization (WHO) has affirmed that individuals infected with both SARS-CoV-2 and HIV are prone to suffer severe illness leading to death [\[3\]](#page-21-0). In addition, a significant rise in cytokine production has been associated with patients co-infected with SARS-CoV-2 and HIV, thereby increasing viral load and suppression of the immune system [\[3\].](#page-21-0)

Moreover, Cardiovascular diseases and hyperlipidemia are some of the co-morbidities linked with HIV and SARS-CoV-2 co-infected individuals [\[6\]](#page-21-0). HIV infected persons have been reported to have an increased risk of infection, severity of symptoms, reinfection and death from COVID-19 [\[7,8\].](#page-21-0) It was reported in [\[9\]](#page-21-0) that people infected with HIV were more likely to report a positive diagnosis and were at least twice as likely to die from COVID-19, and that they were more likely to be admitted to hospital and require mechanical ventilation, due to COVID-19 infection than those who were HIV-negative. Furthermore, the increased risk of COVID-19 complications in those infected and living with HIV, has mostly been observed among those with low CD4 cell count, advanced disease, those not taking antiretroviral treatment, and those with underlying health conditions [\[10](#page-21-0)–12].

On the other hand, dengue virus has been a major public health problem, especially in tropical countries in Asia and South America.

[⁎] Corresponding author at: Abdus Salam School of Mathematical Sciences, Government College University, Katchery Road, Lahore 54000, Pakistan.

[\[13\].](#page-21-0) Due to overlapping symptoms between SARS-CoV-2 and dengue virus, there is always a high possibility of mis-diagnosis of both infections [\[14\]](#page-21-0). Co-infections between SARS-CoV-2 and dengue have been established in many countries [\[15\].](#page-21-0) Dengue patients co-infected with SARS-CoV-2 can suffer worsening illness and hospitalization [\[15\].](#page-21-0) It is worth pointing out that persons co-infected with SARS-CoV-2 and dengue virus can have an enhanced glucose levels, which leads to proliferation of SARS-CoV-2 [\[16\]](#page-21-0). Increased mortality has also been linked with patients co-infected with dengue and SARS-CoV-2 infections [\[17\]](#page-21-0). Co-infection with HIV, SARS-CoV-2 and dengue has also been studied in [\[18\].](#page-21-0) Salvo et al. [\[18\]](#page-21-0) reported the case of an untreated HIV patient who developed simultaneous infection with dengue and SARS-CoV-2.

In Argentina, the prevalence of HIV is estimated to be 0.4 % among the sexually active population. The prevalence is higher among men who have sex with men (MSM) and transgender women, where it is around 12–15 % and 34 %, respectively. In addition, it has been reported that about 37.5 % of men and 30 % of women receive a late HIV diagnosis [\[19\]](#page-21-0). In the last two decades, Argentina has experienced the reemergence of epidemics of arboviral diseases caused by Aedes mosquitoes [\[20\].](#page-21-0) Cases of dengue fever, chikungunya, and Zika have been reported from northern and central provinces [\[21\].](#page-21-0) In 2009, there was the outbreak of dengue in central region of Argentina for the first time. Since then, dengue cases have been reported each year to date, with the largest number occurring in the year 2020 when more than 50 % of all cases in the nation occurred in this region [\[22\].](#page-21-0)

Recently, fractional derivatives have largely been applied in modelling real life situations. Fractional differential operators which depend on a power-law kernel were first defined by Riemann-Liouville and Caputo [\[23\]](#page-21-0). However, these definitions involve singular kernels which have limitations to their usage in modelling biological and other physical phenomenon. To overcome these limitations, Caputo and Fabrizio (CF) [\[24\]](#page-21-0) and Atangana and Baleanu (AB) [\[25\]](#page-22-0) modified and improved the definitions of fractional-order derivatives, which are based on the exponential kernel and the generalized Mittag-Leffler function, respectively. A lot of models have been successfully studied using the Caputo and Caputo-Fabrizio derivatives. For instance, the authors [\[26\]](#page-22-0) carried out a comparative study on the general fractional model of COVID-19 with isolation and quarantine effects. The model was analyzed with the help of Caputo fractional derivative. The simulations of the model showed that, a particular case of the fractional-order model fits the real data more accurately than the other classical and fractional cases. Also, Baleanu et al. [\[27\]](#page-22-0) investigated the asymptotic behavior of immunogenic tumor dynamics using the Caputo fractional derivative. Using a modified predictor-corrector scheme, numerical simulations were carried out on the model. Results obtained showed that, a general kernel in the fractional model provides high degree of flexibility to describe the real dynamics more precisely than the preexistent classical integer-order models.

Baleanu et al. [\[28\]](#page-22-0) analyzed a human liver model using the CF derivative. They established the existence and uniqueness of the solution of the model using the Picard-Lindelof approach and fixed-point theory. The model was solved using the homotopy analysis transform method. Numerical simulations to compare results with the real clinical data indicates higher efficacy of the new fractional model over the classical integer-order model. Mansal and Sene [\[29\]](#page-22-0) studied a fractional order fishery model using the CF derivative. They analyzed the stability of the model and showed the effectiveness of fractional derivative on the study of the dynamics of the model. Gao et al. [\[30\]](#page-22-0) studied a hepatitis B virus (HBV) model with time delay using the Caputo-Fabrizio derivative. They used Sumudu transform and Picard iteration to study the stability and approximate solution of the model. Rahman et al. [\[31\]](#page-22-0) applied the Caputo-Fabrizio derivative to study a mathematical model for COVID-19. Comparing their results with the classical integer order derivatives, they observed that the simulations using the CF derivative shows better results for the model. Shaikh and Nisar [\[32\]](#page-22-0) developed a

typhoid Fever model using Caputo-Fabrizio derivative. However, there has been some concerns about the Caputo-Fabrizio derivative such as the kernel is not local; the associated integral is not a fractional operator but just an average of the function and its integral and merely acts as a filter. On the other hand, the AB derivative has found applications in several real life modelling problems. Hence, the usage of Atangana-Baleanu derivative in modelling complex real life phenomena is more preferable.

Jajarmi et al. [\[34\]](#page-22-0) studied a model for the co-dynamics of diabetes and tuberculosis (TB) using the AB fractional derivative. They developed a new and efficient numerical scheme for the solution of the model. Simulations of the model revealed that increase in cases of diabetes mellitus could result in higher TB prevalence and incidence and could also escalate tuberculosis multi-drug resistance. Kolebaje et al. [\[33\]](#page-22-0) modeled the dynamics of COVID-19 in some African countries using real data via the Atangana-Baleanu derivative and showed that the fractional derivative greatly influenced the dynamics of the disease. Bonyah textitet al. [\[35\]](#page-22-0) modeled the dynamics of COVID-19 via the Atangana-Baleanu derivative. They proved the existence and uniqueness of the solution using the Banach contraction principle and Leray-Schauder alternative type theorem. Also, Omame et al. [\[36\]](#page-22-0) considered a model for the co-interaction of tuberculosis and COVID-19, employing the Atangana-Baleanu derivative. They showed using numerical simulations, the effect of COVID-19 re-infection on the dynamics of the codynamics of both diseases. They established the conditions under which both diseases could co-exist or be eliminated. The authors in [\[37\]](#page-22-0) studied a model for the co-dynamics of COVID-19 and diabetes using the AB derivative and showed that, mass COVID-19 vaccination was necessary to cut down COVID-19 and diabetes co-infections in Indonesia. Sene [\[38\]](#page-22-0) considered a delayed SIR model and analyzed using the AB derivative. The model was solved using the Homotopy Analysis method. He equally showed how the fractional derivative could influence the disease dynamics. In a related research, the authors in [\[39\]](#page-22-0) considered a model for the dynamics of COVID-19 using the AB derivative. They applied the q-homotopy analysis Sumudu transform method (q-HASTM) and the generalized Adams-Bashforth-Moulton method to solve the model.

Several methods have been laid down for solving fractional differential equations. Some of them are: Adomian decomposition method (ADM), homotopy analysis method (HAM), homotopy perturbation method (HPM), Laplace transformation, variational iteration method (VAM), corrected Fourier series, natural decomposition method [\[40,](#page-22-0) [41\]](#page-22-0). The Laplace-Adomian decomposition method (LADM) is one of the most effective techniques used in solving nonlinear FDEs. It possesses the combined behavior of the Laplace transformation and Adomian decomposition method (ADM). The method requires no predefined declaration size as in the Runge Kutta method. Also, LADM requires fewer number of parameters, no discretization and linearization as compared to other analytical techniques [\[42\].](#page-22-0) This is the motivation for the choice of the LADM for the solution of the proposed model, via different fractional derivatives in this study.

In this paper, we have contributed in the following ways:

- i. We have analyzed a non-integer order model for SARS-CoV-2, Dengue and HIV co-dynamics to assess the impact of SARS-CoV-2 infection on the dynamics of dengue and HIV through fractional derivatives, which, to the best of our knowledge, has not been done before.
- ii. We have considered three different fractional derivatives on this new complex model, and presented how SARS-CoV-2 could influence dengue and HIV infections.
- iii. The existence and uniqueness of solution of the proposed model has been studied using the Banach fixed point theorem.
- iv. We have established the stability of an iterative scheme for approximation of the solution of the developed model via some recent fixed point results.
- v. We used the Laplace Adomian decomposition method to solve the model via the Caputo, Caputo-Fabrizio and Atangana-Baleanu derivatives.
- vi. We have examined the impact of the three derivatives in analyzing complex disease models and we expect that our work will open some new avenues of research in this direction.

2. Preliminaries and model formulation

2.1. Preliminaries

Definition 1 [\(\[43\]](#page-22-0)). The Caputo fractional derivative of a function f of order $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^+$ is defined by

$$
{}^{C}D_{t}^{\xi}f(t) = J_{t}^{n-\xi} \mathfrak{D}^{n}f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\xi)} \int_{0}^{t} (t-\tau)^{n-\xi-1} f^{(n)}(\tau) d\tau,
$$

where *n* is a positive integer and $n-1 < \xi < n$, and the symbol Γ stands for the Gamma function defined by

$$
\Gamma(\xi)=\int_0^\infty \; exp(-\tau)\tau^{\xi-1}d\tau, \quad \Gamma(\xi+1)=\xi\Gamma(\xi), \quad \text{Re}\{\xi\}>0.
$$

If $0 < \xi < 1$, then the above Caputo fractional derivative of order $\xi > 0$ reduces into

$$
{}^{C}D_{t}^{\xi}f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\xi)} \int_{0}^{t} (t-\tau)^{-\xi} f'(\tau) d\tau.
$$

Definition 2 ([\[43\]](#page-22-0)). The Caputo fractional integral of a function f of order $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^+$ is defined by

$$
{}^{C}I_{t}^{\xi}f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\xi)} \int_{0}^{t} (t-\tau)^{\xi-1} f(\tau) d\tau, \quad t > 0,
$$

If $f(t) = 1$, the fractional integral of order $\xi > 0$ is given by

$$
{}^{C}I_{t}^{\xi}(1)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\xi)}\int_{0}^{t}(t-\tau)^{\xi-1}(1)d\tau=\frac{t^{\xi}}{\Gamma(\xi+1)}.
$$

Definition 3 [\(\[43\]](#page-22-0)). The Laplace transform of Caputo fractional derivative is given by

$$
\mathcal{L}\left\{{}^{C}D_{t}^{\xi}f(t)\right\} = s^{\xi}\mathcal{L}\left\{f(s)\right\} - s^{\xi-1}f(0), \quad 0 < \xi < 1,\tag{1}
$$

where $\mathscr B$ is the Laplace transform operator.

Definition 4 [\(\[23\]](#page-21-0)). The Sobolev space $H^1(a_1, a_2)$ of order 1 is defined as

$$
H^1(a_1, a_2) = \left\{ f \in L^2(a_1, a_2) : Df \in L^2(a_1, a_2) \right\}
$$

Definition 5 [\(\[24\]](#page-21-0)). Let $f \in H^1(a_1, a_2), a_2 > a_1$, $\xi \in 0, 1$], then the Caputo-Fabrizio (CF) derivative of a function f of order $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^+$ is defined by

$$
{}^{CF}D_t^{\xi}f(t) = \frac{(2-\xi)\mathscr{F}(\xi)}{2(1-\xi)}\int_{a_1}^t f'(\tau) \exp\left[-\frac{\xi(t-\tau)}{1-\xi}\right]d\tau,
$$

where $\mathcal{F}(\xi) = (1-\xi) + \frac{\xi}{\Gamma(\xi)}$, denotes a normalization function satisfying $\mathcal{F}(0) = \mathcal{F}(1) = 1$. However, if $f \notin H^1(a_1, a_2)$, then the derivative is defined as

$$
{}^{CF}D_t^{\xi}f(t) = \frac{(2-\xi)\mathscr{F}(\xi)}{2(1-\xi)} \int_{a_1}^t (f(t) - f(\tau)) \exp\left[-\frac{\xi(t-\tau)}{1-\xi}\right] d\tau,
$$

[Theorem 7](#page-8-0) [\(\[24\]\)](#page-21-0). The Caputo-Fabrizio fractional integral operator of order ξ given by

$$
^{CF}I_{t}^{\xi}f(t)=\frac{2(1-\xi)}{(2-\xi)\mathscr{F}(\xi)}f(t)+\frac{2\xi}{(2-\xi)\mathscr{F}(\xi)}\int_{0}^{t}f(\tau)d\tau.
$$

Definition 6. [\(\[44\]\)](#page-22-0). The Laplace transform of the Caputo-Fabrizio derivative is given by

$$
\mathcal{L}\left\{ {^{CF}D_t^{\xi}f(t)}\right\}(s) = \frac{s\mathcal{L}\left\{f(t)\right\} - f(0)}{s + \xi(1-s)}.
$$

Definition 7. [\(\[25\]](#page-22-0)). The Atangana-Baleanu fractional derivative for a given function of order ξ in Caputo sense is defined by

$$
{a}^{ABC}D{t}^{\xi}f(t)=\frac{\mathscr{F}(\xi)}{(1-\xi)}\int_{a}^{t}\frac{df(\tau)}{d\tau}E_{\xi}\left[-\xi\frac{(t-\tau)^{\xi}}{1-\xi}\right]d\tau,
$$

where $\mathcal{F}(\xi)$, satisfying $\mathcal{F}(0) = \mathcal{F}(1) = 1$, is a normalization function and E_{ξ} (.) is the Mittag-Leffler function, defined by,

$$
E_{\xi}\left(t^{\xi}\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{t^{\xi}}{\Gamma(\xi k+1)}, \quad \xi>0.
$$

Definition 8. [\(\[25\]](#page-22-0)). Atangana-Baleanu fractional integral of order ξ is defined as

$$
{a}^{AB}I{t}^{\xi}f(t)=\frac{1-\xi}{\mathscr{F}(\xi)}f(t)+\frac{\xi}{\mathscr{F}(\xi)\Gamma(\xi)}\int_{a}^{t}f(\tau)(t-\tau)^{\xi-1}d\tau.
$$

Definition 9. ([\[25\]](#page-22-0)). The Laplace transform for the Atangana-Baleanu fractional operator of order ξ , where $0 < \xi < 1$ is given as

$$
\mathscr{L}\left\{ {a^{BC}D^{\xi}f(t) }\right\}(s) = \frac{\mathscr{F}(\xi) s^{\xi} \mathscr{L}\left\{f(t)\right\}(s) - s^{\xi-1}f(a)}{1-\xi}.
$$

Theorem 2. [\(\[45\]](#page-22-0)). "Let $(X, \mathbb{I} \mathbb{I})$ be a Banach space and $T : X \rightarrow X$ a contraction on X, that is, there exists a constant $a \in 0$, 1) such that ∥T (x) – $T(y)$ ∥≤a∥x−y∥; forall x, y∈X". Then

- i. T has fixed point $x^* \in X$, that is, $Tx^* = x^*$.
- ii. A sequence ${x_n}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ given by $x_{n+1} = Tx_n$, for $n = 0, 1, 2, 3, ...$, converges to x^* .

Theorem 3. ([\[46\]\)](#page-22-0). "Let $(X, \mathbb{I}.\mathbb{I})$ be a Banach space and $T : X \rightarrow X$ a weak contraction on X, that is, there exists a constant $a \in 0, 1$ and $L \ge 0$ such that $||T(x)-T(y)|| \le a||x-y|| + L||x-Tx||$, for all $x, y \in X$ ". Then

i. T has fixed point $x^* \in X$.

ii. A sequence ${x_n}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ given by $x_{n+1} = Tx_n$, for $n = 0, 1, 2, 3, ...$, converges to x^* .

2.2. Model formulation

At any time t, the total human population $\mathcal{N}^{\delta}(t)$ consists of the fol-
time states. Survey tildly humans $\mathcal{S}^{\delta}(t)$ infectious humans with lowing states: Susceptible humans $S^{\delta}(t)$, infectious humans with COVID-19 $\mathcal{I}_{V}^{\delta}(t)$, infectious humans with dengue virus $\mathcal{I}_{D}^{\delta}(t)$, infectious humans with HIV $\mathcal{I}_{V}^{\delta}(t)$, humans co-infected with COVID-19 and den-
 $\mathcal{I}_{V}^{\delta}(t)$ gue virus $\mathcal{I}_{VD}^{\delta}(t)$, humans co-infected with COVID-19 and HIV $\mathcal{I}_{VH}^{\delta}(t)$, where, $\mathcal{R}_{\phi}^{\delta}(t)$, $\mathcal{R}_{\phi}^{\delta}(t)$ denotes humans who have recovered from
COVID 19 and denous favor reconstively. The total vector population COVID-19 and dengue fever, respectively. The total vector population,

at any time t, $\mathcal{N}^{\theta}(t)$ consists of susceptible vectors: $\mathcal{S}^{\theta}(t)$ and infectious vectors: $\mathcal{S}^{\theta}(t)$ and infectious vectors with dengue virus, $\mathcal{I}_{D}^{\theta}(t)$. It is to be stated here that, the super-
script $\hat{\delta}$ denotes the human component while the supercript θ repre script, δ denotes the human component, while the superscript θ represents the vector component of the model. The recruitment into the human population is denoted by Δ^{δ} . Susceptible humans, S^{δ} can get in-
feature in SARS CaM 2, degrees an UM infection at the set of Δ^{δ} and Δ^{δ} fected with SARS-CoV-2, dengue or HIV infection at the rates, $\alpha_1^{\delta} \mathscr{T}^{\delta}_V, \alpha_2^{\delta}$ \mathscr{T}^{θ}_D and $\alpha^{\delta}_3\mathscr{T}^{\delta}_H$, respectively. Natural death rate is assumed same for all humans in each epidemiological state, at the rate μ^{δ} . Upon infection, individuals in SARS-CoV-2 infected, dengue-infected and HIV-infected compartments can suffer related disease induced death at the rates ϕ_V . ϕ_D and ϕ_H , respectively. Individuals in SARS-CoV-2 infected class can also get co-infected with either dengue or HIV at the rates $\alpha^{\delta}_2 \mathscr{S}^{\theta}_{D}$ and α^{δ}_3 \mathscr{T}_{H}^{δ} , respectively. Due to lack of sufficient clinical data and to avoid model complexity, we have assumed only co-infection with two diseases (one of which must be SARS-CoV-2). Future work with sufficient biological reports can consider co-infection with the three diseases, which is possible [\[18\].](#page-21-0) Recovery rates for SARS-CoV-2 and dengue infected individuals is given by ζ_V and ζ_D , respectively. Upon recovery from dengue, an individual can loss immunity at the rate, α_D . We have assumed infection acquired immunity for those who have recovered from COVID-19 due to current clinical reports. The other transitions in the model are given in the following equations, with parameters well defined in Table 1.

$$
{}^{cf}D_{\tilde{t}}^{\tilde{t}}\tilde{S}^{\tilde{0}}(t) = \Delta^{\tilde{0}} - (\alpha_{1}^{\tilde{q}}, \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\tilde{V}}^{\tilde{\theta}} + \alpha_{2}^{\tilde{\theta}}, \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{\tilde{V}}^{\tilde{\theta}} + \mu^{\tilde{\theta}})S^{\tilde{\theta}} + \alpha_{D} \tilde{\mathcal{R}}^{\tilde{0}},
$$

\n
$$
{}^{cf}D_{\tilde{t}}^{\tilde{t}}\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{V}^{\tilde{0}}(t) = \alpha_{1}^{\tilde{q}}, \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{V}^{\tilde{\theta}}\left(S^{\tilde{\theta}} + \tilde{\mathcal{R}}^{\tilde{\theta}}_{D}\right) - (\phi_{V} + \zeta_{V} + \mu^{\tilde{\theta}}).\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{V}^{\tilde{\theta}} - \alpha_{2}^{\tilde{\theta}}, \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{D}^{\tilde{\theta}}\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{V}^{\tilde{\theta}} - \alpha_{3}^{\tilde{\theta}}, \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{H}^{\tilde{\theta}}\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{V}^{\tilde{\theta}} + \zeta_{D}\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{V}^{\tilde{\theta}}),
$$

\n
$$
{}^{cf}D_{\tilde{t}}^{\tilde{t}}\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{D}^{\tilde{\theta}}(t) = \alpha_{2}^{\tilde{\theta}}, \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{D}^{\theta}\left(S^{\tilde{\theta}} + \tilde{\mathcal{R}}^{\tilde{\theta}}_{V}\right) - (\phi_{D} + \zeta_{D} + \mu^{\tilde{\theta}}).\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{D}^{\tilde{\theta}} - \alpha_{1}^{\tilde{\theta}}, \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{V}^{\tilde{\theta}}\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{V}^{\tilde{\theta}} + \zeta_{V}\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{V}^{\tilde{\theta}}),
$$

\n
$$
{}^{cf}D_{\tilde{t}}^{\tilde{t}}\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{W}^{\tilde{\theta}}(t) = \alpha_{2}^{\tilde{\theta}}, \tilde{\mathcal{P}}_{D}^{\tilde
$$

subject to the initial conditions

$$
\mathcal{S}_0^{\delta} = \mathcal{S}^{\delta}(0), \quad \mathcal{F}_{V0}^{\delta} = \mathcal{F}_{V}^{\delta}(0), \quad \mathcal{F}_{D0}^{\delta} = \mathcal{F}_{D}^{\delta}(0), \quad \mathcal{F}_{VD0}^{\delta} = \mathcal{F}_{VD}^{\delta}(0), \quad \mathcal{F}_{VH0}^{\delta} = \mathcal{F}_{VH}^{\delta}(0),
$$

$$
\mathcal{F}_{V0}^{\delta} = \mathcal{F}_{V}^{\delta}(0), \mathcal{F}_{D0}^{\delta} = \mathcal{F}_{D}^{\delta}(0), \mathcal{S}_{0}^{\theta} = \mathcal{S}^{\theta}(0), \quad \mathcal{F}_{D0}^{\theta} = \mathcal{F}_{D}^{\delta}(0).
$$

2.3. Non-negativity of the solution

Theorem 4. The closed set $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}^{\delta} \times \mathcal{D}^{\theta}$, with

$$
\begin{split} \mathcal{D}^{\delta} = \Bigg\{ & \Big(\mathcal{S}^{\delta}, \mathcal{F}^{\delta}_{V}, \mathcal{F}^{\delta}_{H}, \mathcal{F}^{\delta}_{V\!H}, \mathcal{F}^{\delta}_{V\!H}, \mathcal{R}^{\delta}_{V}, \mathcal{R}^{\delta}_{D} \Big) \boldsymbol{\mathsf{C}} \mathfrak{R}^{\mathsf{S}}_{+} : \mathcal{S}^{\delta} + \mathcal{F}^{\delta}_{V} + \mathcal{F}^{\delta}_{D} + \mathcal{F}^{\delta}_{H} + \mathcal{F}^{\delta}_{V\!D} \\ & \qquad \qquad + \mathcal{F}^{\delta}_{V\!H} + \mathcal{R}^{\delta}_{V} + \mathcal{R}^{\delta}_{D} \leq \frac{\Delta^{\delta}}{\mu^{\delta}} \Bigg\}, \end{split}
$$

$$
\mathcal{D}^{\theta} = \left\{ \left(\mathcal{S}^{\theta}, \mathcal{F}_{D}^{\theta} \right) \in \mathfrak{R}_{+}^{2} : \mathcal{S}^{\theta} + \mathcal{F}_{D}^{\theta} \leq \frac{\Delta^{\theta}}{\mu^{\theta}} \right\}.
$$

is positively invariant with respect to the model (2).

Proof:. Adding all the equations corresponding to the human components of the system (2) gives

$$
{}_{0}^{C}D_{t}^{\delta}\mathcal{N}^{\delta} = \Delta^{\delta} - \mu^{\delta}\mathcal{N}^{\delta}(t) - \left[\phi_{V}\mathcal{F}_{V}^{\delta} + \phi_{D}\mathcal{F}_{D}^{\delta} + \phi_{H}\mathcal{F}_{H}^{\delta} + (\phi_{V} + \phi_{D})\mathcal{F}_{VD}^{\delta} + (\phi_{V} + \phi_{H})\mathcal{F}_{VH}^{\delta}\right].
$$
\n(3)

From (3), we have that

$$
\Delta^{\delta} - (\mu^{\delta} + 7\phi)\mathcal{N}^{\delta} \leq {}_0^{\epsilon}D_t^{\zeta}\mathcal{N}^{\delta} \leq \Delta^{\delta} - \mu^{\delta}\mathcal{N}^{\delta},
$$

where $\phi = \min{\{\phi_V, \phi_H, \phi_D\}}$. which can be re-written as

$$
{}_{0}^{cf}D_{t}^{\xi}\mathcal{N}^{\delta}\leq\Delta^{\delta}-\mu^{\delta}\mathcal{N}^{\delta},\tag{4}
$$

Without loss of generality, if we apply Laplace transform of the Caputo-Fabrizio derivative on the above inequality, and simplifying, we have that

$$
N(t) \leq \frac{\Delta^6}{\mu^8} - \frac{\Delta^6 (2\xi - \alpha_1)}{[1 + \mu^6 (1 - \xi)]\alpha_1} e^{-\alpha_1 t} - \frac{N^6 (0)}{(1 - \xi)[1 + \mu^6 (1 - \xi)](\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)} e^{-\alpha_1 t} + \frac{N^6 (0)}{(1 - \xi)[1 + \mu^6 (1 - \xi)](\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)} e^{-\alpha_2 t},
$$
(5)

where $\alpha_1 = \frac{\mu^{\delta}\xi}{1 + \mu^{\delta}(1 - \xi)}, \quad \alpha_2 = \frac{\xi}{(1 - \xi)}$

Therefore, the total human population, $\mathcal{N}^{\delta}(t) \leq \frac{\Delta^{\delta}}{\mu^{\delta}}$ as $t \to \infty$. Following the same procedure, it can be shown that the total vector population, $\mathcal{N}^{\theta}(t) \leq \frac{\Delta^{\theta}}{\mu^{\theta}}$. Similar conclusions can be reached via the Caputo derivative and Atangana-Baleanu derivative. Hence, the system (2) has the solution in D. Thus, the given system is positively invariant.

3. Existence and uniqueness of the solution

In this section, we shall apply some basic results from fixed point theory to the model [\(2\)](#page-4-0), in order to establish existence and uniqueness of solution. The model [\(2\)](#page-4-0) is re-written in the following form:

$$
\begin{cases} \frac{G}{0}D_t^{\xi}\Phi(t) & = \mathcal{L}(t,\Phi(t)),\\ \Phi(0) & = \Phi_0, \end{cases}
$$
 (6)

where the vector $\Phi(t) = \left(S^{\delta}(t) \mathcal{F}_{0}^{\delta}(t) \mathcal{F}_{$ model and $\mathscr L$ represents a continuous vector given below:

$$
\mathcal{L} = \begin{pmatrix}\n\mathcal{L}^{1} \\
\mathcal{L}^{1} \\
\mathcal{L}^{2} \\
\mathcal{L}^{3} \\
\mathcal{L}^{4} \\
\mathcal{L}^{5} \\
\mathcal{L}^{6} \\
\mathcal{L}^{7} \\
\mathcal{L}^{8} \\
\mathcal{L}^{9} \\
\mathcal{L}^{1} \\
\mathcal{L}^{1} \\
\mathcal{L}^{1} \\
\mathcal{L}^{1} \\
\mathcal{L}^{2} \\
\mathcal{L}^{3} \\
\mathcal{L}^{4} \\
\mathcal{L}^{5} \\
\mathcal{L}^{6} \\
\mathcal{L}^{7} \\
\mathcal{L}^{8} \\
\mathcal{L}^{9} \\
\mathcal{L}^{1} \\
\mathcal{L}
$$

The initial condition of the variables of the model is denoted by

$$
\Phi(0) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{S}^{\delta}(0) & \mathcal{F}_{V}^{\delta}(0) & \mathcal{F}_{D}^{\delta}(0) & \mathcal{F}_{H}^{\delta}(0) & \mathcal{F}_{VD}^{\delta}(0) & \mathcal{F}_{VH}^{\delta}(0) & \mathcal{R}_{V}^{\delta}(0) & \mathcal{R}_{D}^{\delta}(0) & \mathcal{S}^{0}(0) & \mathcal{F}_{VD}(0) \end{pmatrix}^{T}.
$$

In addition, $\mathscr{L}:[0,T_{\text{max}}]\times\mathbb{R}^{10}\to\mathbb{R}^{10}$ is said to satisfy the Lipschitz condition in the second argument, if we have:

$$
\|\mathcal{L}(t,\Phi_1)-\mathcal{L}(t,\Phi_2)\| \le \mathcal{M} \|\Phi_1-\Phi_2\|, \forall t \in [0,\mathcal{T}_{\text{max}}], \forall \Phi_1,\Phi_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{10},
$$

where $\mathcal{M} > 0$, \mathcal{T}_{max} is the final time. (8)

The existence of a unique solution to the model [\(2\)](#page-4-0) is established in the following theorem:

Theorem 5. There exists a unique solution to the initial value problem (6) on $C([0, \mathcal{T}_{max}], \mathbb{R}^{10})$, provided that (8) and

$$
\left(\frac{2(1-\xi)\mathcal{M}}{(2-\xi)\mathcal{F}(\xi)} + \frac{2\xi\mathcal{M}}{(2-\xi)\mathcal{F}(\xi)}T_{\text{max}}\right) < 1,\tag{9}
$$

are satisfied.

Proof:

If we apply the Caputo-Fabrizio fractional integral on each sides of (6), then we have

$$
\Phi(t) = \Phi_0 + \frac{2(1-\xi)}{(2-\xi)\mathcal{F}(\xi)} \mathcal{L}(t, \Phi(t)) + \frac{2\xi}{(2-\xi)\mathcal{F}(\xi)} \int_0^t \mathcal{L}(\tau, \Phi(\tau))d\tau.
$$
\n(10)

Let $\mathcal{J} = [0, T_{max}]$.

Let us define the operator $\mathcal{K} : \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{J}, \mathbb{R}^{10}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{J}, \mathbb{R}^{10})$ by:

$$
\mathcal{K}[\Phi](t) = V(t), \Phi, V \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{J}, \mathbb{R}^{10})
$$
\n(11)

where,

$$
V(t) = \Phi_0 + \frac{2(1-\xi)}{(2-\xi)\mathscr{F}(\xi)} \mathscr{L}(t, \Phi(t)) + \frac{2\xi}{(2-\xi)\mathscr{F}(\xi)} \int_0^t \mathscr{L}(\tau, \Phi(\tau))d\tau,
$$

The supremum norm on $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{J}, \mathbb{R}^{10})$ is given by:

 $||V|| = \sup_{t \in \mathcal{J}} ||V(t)||, \quad \forall V \in \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{J}, \mathbb{R}^{10}).$

Clearly, $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{J}, \mathbb{R}^{10})$ equipped with $\Vert . \Vert$ is a Banach space.

Suppose, W is the fixed point of the operator $K : \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{J}, \mathbb{R}^{10}) \to \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{J}, \mathbb{R}^{10})$, then W becomes the solution of the initial value problem [\(6\)](#page-5-0), and

$$
\mathcal{K}[W](t) = W(t),
$$

where,

$$
W(t) = \Phi_0 + \frac{2(1-\xi)}{(2-\xi)\mathscr{F}(\xi)} \mathscr{L}(t, W(t)) + \frac{2\xi}{(2-\xi)\mathscr{F}(\xi)} \int_0^t \mathscr{L}(\tau, W(\tau))d\tau
$$

Consider,

$$
||K[V](t) - K[W](t)|| = \left\| \Phi_0 + \frac{2(1-\xi)}{(2-\xi)\mathcal{F}(\xi)} \mathcal{L}(t, V(t)) + \frac{2\xi}{(2-\xi)\mathcal{F}(\xi)} \int_0^t \mathcal{L}(\tau, V(\tau)) d\tau - \left[\Phi_0 + \frac{2(1-\xi)}{(2-\xi)\mathcal{F}(\xi)} \mathcal{L}(t, W(t)) + \frac{2\xi}{(2-\xi)\mathcal{F}(\xi)} \int_0^t \mathcal{L}(\tau, W(\tau)) d\tau \right] \right\|
$$

\n
$$
\leq \left\| \frac{2(1-\xi)}{(2-\xi)\mathcal{F}(\xi)} (\mathcal{L}(t, V(t)) - \mathcal{L}(t, W(t))) + \frac{2\xi}{(2-\xi)\mathcal{F}(\xi)} \int_0^t (\mathcal{L}(\tau, V(\tau)) - \mathcal{L}(\tau, W(\tau))) d\tau \right\|,
$$

\n
$$
\leq \frac{2(1-\xi)}{(2-\xi)\mathcal{F}(\xi)} ||(\mathcal{L}(t, V(t)) - \mathcal{L}(t, W(t)))|| + \frac{2\xi}{(2-\xi)\mathcal{F}(\xi)} || \int_0^t (\mathcal{L}(\tau, V(\tau)) - \mathcal{L}(\tau, W(\tau))) d\tau ||,
$$

\n(12)

Since the operator $\mathscr B$ satisfies the Lipschitz condition (eq. [8\)](#page-5-0), we have that

$$
\leq \frac{2(1-\xi)}{(2-\xi)\mathcal{F}(\xi)} \mathcal{M}||V(t)-W(t)|| + \frac{2\xi\mathcal{M}}{(2-\xi)\mathcal{F}(\xi)} \int_0^t ||V(t)-W(t)||d\tau,
$$
\n
$$
\leq \frac{2(1-\xi)}{(2-\xi)\mathcal{F}(\xi)} \mathcal{M}^{\text{sup}}_{t\in\mathcal{F}} ||V(t)-W(t)|| + \frac{2\xi\mathcal{M}}{(2-\xi)\mathcal{F}(\xi)} \int_0^{t\text{sup}} ||V(\tau)-W(\tau)|| d\tau,
$$
\n
$$
= \left(\frac{(2(1-\xi))\mathcal{M}}{(2-\xi)\mathcal{F}(\xi)} + \frac{2\xi\mathcal{M}\int_0^t d\tau}{(2-\xi)\mathcal{F}(\xi)}\right) ||V-W||,
$$
\n
$$
\leq \left(\frac{(2(1-\xi))\mathcal{M}}{(2-\xi)\mathcal{F}(\xi)} + \frac{2\xi\mathcal{M}\tau_{\text{max}}}{(2-\xi)\mathcal{F}(\xi)}\right) ||V-W||.
$$
\n(13)

Thus if the [condition \(9\)](#page-5-0) holds then,

$$
||\mathcal{K}[V] - \mathcal{K}[W]|| \leq \left(\frac{(2(1-\xi))\mathcal{M}}{(2-\xi)\mathcal{F}(\xi)} + \frac{2\xi\mathcal{M}T_{max}}{(2-\xi)\mathcal{F}(\xi)}\right)||V - W||.
$$

Hence, the operator K becomes a contraction. Therefore K has a unique fixed point which is a solution to the initial value problem [\(6\)](#page-5-0) and hence a solution to the system [\(2\)](#page-4-0).

3.1. The basic reproduction number of the model

By setting the right-hand sides of the equations in the model [\(2\)](#page-4-0) to zero, DFE of the model [\(2\)](#page-4-0) is given by

$$
H_0 = (S^{\delta*}, \mathcal{I}_V^{\delta*}, \mathcal{I}_D^{\delta*}, \mathcal{I}_H^{\delta*}, \mathcal{I}_{VD}^{\delta*}, \mathcal{I}_{VH}^{\delta*}, \mathcal{R}_V^{\delta*}, \mathcal{R}_D^{\delta*}, S^{\theta*}, \mathcal{I}_{VD}^{\theta*})
$$

= (S^{\delta*}, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, S^{\theta*}, 0)

with,

$$
\mathcal{S}^{\delta*} = \frac{\Delta^{\delta}}{\mu^{\delta}}, \quad \mathcal{S}^{\theta*} = \frac{\Delta^{\theta}}{\mu^{\theta}},
$$

The stability of the DFE is established by applying the next generation operator principle [\[48\]](#page-22-0) on the system [\(2\)](#page-4-0). The transfer matrices are, respectively, given by

$$
F = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1^{\delta} S^{\delta*} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha_2^{\delta} S^{\delta*} \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha_3^{\delta} S^{\delta*} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha_2^{\rho} S^{\theta*} & 0 & \alpha_2^{\rho} S^{\theta*} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},
$$
\n(14)

$$
V = \begin{pmatrix} K_1^{\delta} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & K_2^{\delta} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & K_3^{\delta} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & K_4^{\delta} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & K_5^{\delta} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \mu^{\theta} \end{pmatrix},
$$
\n(15)

where,

$$
K_1^{\delta} = \phi_V + \zeta_V + \mu^{\delta}, \quad K_2^{\delta} = \phi_D + \zeta_D + \mu^{\delta}, \quad K_3^{\delta} = \phi_H + \mu^{\delta}, \quad K_4^{\delta} = \phi_V + \phi_D + \zeta_V + \zeta_D + \mu^{\delta}, \quad K_5 = \phi_V + \phi_H + \zeta_V + \mu^{\delta}.
$$

The basic reproduction number of the model [\(2\)](#page-4-0), is given by.

 $\mathcal{R}_0 = \rho (FV^{-1}) = \max\{\mathcal{R}_{0V}, \mathcal{R}_{0D}, \mathcal{R}_{0H}\}$ where \mathcal{R}_{0V} , \mathcal{R}_{0D} and \mathcal{R}_{0H} are the associated reproduction numbers for the COVID-19, Dengue and HIV, respectively, given by

$$
\mathscr{R}_{0V}^{\delta} = \frac{\alpha_1^{\delta} \Delta^{\delta}}{\mu^{\delta} (\phi_V + \zeta_V + \mu^{\delta})}, \quad \mathscr{R}_{0D}^{\delta} = \sqrt{\frac{\alpha_2^{\delta} \alpha_2^{\delta} \Delta^{\delta} \Delta^{\theta}}{\mu^{\delta} \mu^{\theta 2} (\phi_D + \zeta_D + \mu^{\delta})}}, \quad \mathscr{R}_{0H}^{\delta} = \frac{\alpha_3^{\delta} \Delta^{\delta}}{\mu^{\delta} (\phi_H + \mu^{\delta})}.
$$

3.1.1. Assessing the impact of SARS-CoV-2 on dengue and HIV

Expressing the three reproduction numbers in terms of the human natural death rate, μ^{δ} , we have,

$$
\mu^{\delta} = \frac{\alpha_1^{\delta} \Delta^{\delta}}{(\phi_V + \zeta_V + \mu^{\delta}) \mathcal{R}_{0V}} = \frac{\alpha_2^{\delta} \alpha_2^{\delta} \Delta^{\delta} \Delta^{\theta}}{\mu^{\delta 2} (\phi_D + \zeta_D + \mu^{\delta}) \mathcal{R}_{0D}^2} = \frac{\alpha_3^{\delta} \Delta^{\delta}}{(\phi_H + \mu^{\delta}) \mathcal{R}_{0H}}
$$
(16)

Differentiating the SARS-CoV-2 related reproduction number with respect to the dengue-related reproduction number, we obtain

$$
\frac{\partial \mathcal{R}_{0D}^{\delta}}{\partial \mathcal{R}_{0V}^{\delta}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\left(\frac{\alpha_2^{\delta}\alpha_2^{\theta}\Delta^{\theta}(\phi_V + \zeta_V + \mu^{\delta})\mathcal{R}_{0V}^{\delta}}{\alpha_1^{\delta}\mu^{\theta2}(\phi_D + \zeta_D + \mu^{\delta})}\right)}} \times \frac{\alpha_2^{\delta}\alpha_2^{\theta}\Delta^{\theta}(\phi_V + \zeta_V + \mu^{\delta})}{\alpha_1^{\delta}\mu^{\theta2}(\phi_D + \zeta_D + \mu^{\delta})} > 0
$$
\n(17)\n
$$
\frac{\partial \mathcal{R}_{0H}^{\delta}}{\partial \mathcal{R}_{0V}^{\delta}} = \frac{\alpha_1^{\delta}(\phi_H + \mu^{\delta})}{\alpha_3^{\delta}(\phi_V + \zeta_V + \mu^{\delta})} > 0
$$
\n(18)

The two equations above, (17) and (18) show that increase in SARS-CoV-2 cases will result in detrimental impact on dengue and HIV cases.

3.2. Local asymptotic stability of the disease free equilibrium (DFE) of the model

Theorem 6. The DFE, $\mathcal{H}0$, of the model [\(2\)](#page-4-0) is locally asymptotically stable (LAS) if \mathcal{R}_0 < 1, and unstable if \mathcal{R}_0 > 1.

Proof:

The local stability of the model [\(2\)](#page-4-0) is analyzed by the Jacobian matrix of the system [\(2\)](#page-4-0) evaluated at the disease-free equilibrium,ℋ0, given by:

The eigenvalues are given by:

$$
\rho_1 = -(\phi_V + \phi_D + \zeta_V + \zeta_D + \mu^\delta), \quad \rho_2 = -(\phi_V + \phi_H + \zeta_V + \mu^\delta), \quad \rho_3 = -\mu^\delta(\text{with multiplicity of 3}), \quad \rho_4 = -(\zeta + \mu^\delta),
$$

and the solutions of the characteristic polynomial equations

$$
\rho + K_1^{\delta} \left(1 - \mathcal{R}_{0V}^{\delta} \right) = 0, \tag{19}
$$
\n
$$
\rho + K_2^{\delta} \left(1 - \mathcal{R}_{0H}^{\delta} \right) = 0, \tag{20}
$$

$$
\rho^2 + \left(\mu^{\theta} + K_2^{\delta}\right)\rho + \mu^{\theta}K_2^{\delta}\left(1 - \mathcal{R}_{0D}^{\delta 2}\right) = 0,\tag{21}
$$

Following the Routh-Hurwitz criterion, all the three equations. 19–[21](#page-7-0) will have roots with negative real parts if and only if the associated reproduction numbers $\mathcal{R}_{0V}^{\delta}$ < 1, $\mathcal{R}_{0D}^{\delta}$ < 1 and $\mathcal{R}_{0H}^{\delta}$ < 1. Hence, the DFE, $\mathcal{H}0$ is locally asymptotically stable if $\mathcal{R}_0 = \max\{\mathcal{R}_{0V}^{\delta}, \mathcal{R}_{0D}^{\delta}, \mathcal{R}_{0H}^{\delta}\}$ < 1.
Note that $\text{Im}(\alpha$ Note that $\text{Im } (\rho_k) = 0$, for $k = 0, 1, 2, 3, ..., 10, |$ arg $(\rho_k) | = \pi > \frac{\alpha \pi}{2}$, for $0 < \alpha < 1$.

4. Ulam-Hyers stability

The Ulam-Hyers (UH) stability and generalized UH stability [\[49,50\]](#page-22-0) for the fractional system using the Caputo operator is discussed in this section. The same can also be studied using the Caputo-Fabrizio and Atangana-Baleanu operator.

Let $\mathbb{E} = C([0, T_{max}]; \mathbb{R}^{10})$ be the space of all continuous functions from $[0, T_{max}]$ to \mathbb{R}^{10} , endowed with the norm: $\|\Phi\| = \sup_{t \in \mathcal{J}} |\Phi(t)|$ where, $\mathcal{J} = [0, \mathcal{T}_{max}]$. Consider

Also, let $\varepsilon > 0$. Consider the following inequality:

$$
\mathbb{I}^{\mathbb{C}}D^{\xi}\overline{\Phi}(t) - \mathscr{L}(t,\overline{\Phi}(t))\mathbb{I} \leq \varepsilon, \ t \in \mathcal{J}, \varepsilon = \max(\varepsilon_i)^T, i = 1,2,3,...10, \overline{\Phi} \in E
$$
\n(23)

Remark 4.1. "A function $\overline{\Phi} \in \mathbb{E}$ satisfies the inequality (23) if and only if there exists a function $h \in \mathbb{E}$, having the following properties:"

 $|h(t)| \leq \varepsilon, h = \max(h_j)^T, t \in \mathcal{J}.$

i. ${}^C D^{\xi} \overline{\Phi}(t) = \mathscr{L}(t, \overline{\Phi}(t)) + h(t), t \in \mathcal{J}.$

Definition 10. The fractional model [\(2\)](#page-4-0) or the transformed system (22) is UH stable if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $k > 0$, such that for any solution Ψ∈E of the inequality (23), there exists a unique solution Φ∈E, of the fractional system (22) such that the following inequality is satisfied: $\|\overline{\Phi}(t) - \Phi(t)\| \le k\varepsilon, t \in \mathcal{J}, k = \max(k_j)^T, j = 1, 2, 3, ... 10.$

where,

 $\overline{\Phi}(t)=\begin{pmatrix} \overline{\mathcal{S}}^{\delta}(t) & \overline{\mathcal{I}}^{\delta}_{V}(t) & \overline{\mathcal{I}}^{\delta}_{V}(t) & \overline{\mathcal{I}}^{\delta}_{V}(t) & \overline{\mathcal{I}}^{\delta}_{V}(\tau) & \overline{\mathcal{I}}^{\delta}_{V}(t) & \overline{\mathcal{I}}^{\delta}_{V}(t) & \overline{\mathcal{I}}^{\delta}_{V}(t) & \overline{\mathcal{I}}^{\delta}_{V}(t) & \overline{\mathcal{I}}^{\delta}_{V}(t) & \overline{\mathcal{I}}^{\delta}_{V}(t) & \overline{\mathcal{I}}$ $\Phi(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{S}^{\delta}(t) & \mathcal{F}^{\delta}_{V}(t) & \$ $\Phi(0) = \begin{pmatrix} S^{\delta}(0) & \mathscr{T}_{V}^{\delta}(0) & \mathscr{T}_{D}^{\delta}(0) & \mathscr{T}_{VD}^{\delta}(0) & \mathscr{T}_{VD}^{\delta}(0) & \mathscr{T}_{VD}^{\delta}(0) & \mathscr{T}_{VD}^{\delta}(0) & \mathscr{T}_{VD}^{\delta}(0) \end{pmatrix}^{T}$

Definition 11. The model system (22) is generalized UH stable if there exists a continuous function $\phi : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ satisfying $\phi(0) = 0$, such that for any solution $\overline{\Phi} \in \mathbb{E}$ of system (23), there exists a unique solution $\Phi \in \mathbb{E}$ such that the following inequality is satisfied:

 $\|\overline{\Phi}(t) - \Phi(t)\| \leq \phi(\varepsilon), t \in \mathcal{J}, \phi = \max(\phi_j)^\top, j = 1, 2, 3, \dots 10.$

Theorem 7. If $\overline{\Phi} \in \mathbb{E}$ satisfies the system (23), then we have the following:

$$
\left| \overline{\Phi}(t) - \overline{\Phi}_0(t) - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\xi)} \int_0^t (t - \tau)^{\xi - 1} \mathcal{L}(\tau, \overline{\Phi}(\tau)) d\tau \right| \le \Omega \varepsilon, \text{ where, } \Omega = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\xi)} \int_0^t (t - \tau)^{\xi - 1} d\tau. \tag{24}
$$

Proof:

Using (ii) of [Remark 4.1,](#page-8-0) we have ${}^C D^5 \overline{\Phi}(t) = \mathscr{L}(t, \overline{\Phi}(t)) + h(t)$, t∈*J*,which on applying the Caputo integral gives,

$$
\overline{\Phi}(t) = \overline{\Phi}_0 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\xi)} \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{\xi-1} \mathcal{L}(\tau, \overline{\Phi}(\tau)) d\tau + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\xi)} \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{\xi-1} h(\tau) d\tau
$$

By re-arranging, applying norm on both sides and using (i) of [Remark 4.1](#page-8-0), it follows that

$$
\left|\overline{\Phi}(t)-\overline{\Phi}_0-\frac{1}{\Gamma(\xi)}\int_0^t{(t-\tau)^{\xi-1}\mathscr{L}(\tau,\overline{\Phi}(\tau))d\tau}\right|\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\xi)}\int_0^t{(t-\tau)^{\xi-1}}|h(\tau)|d\tau\leq \Omega\epsilon.
$$

Theorem 8. Suppose $\mathcal{L} : \mathcal{J} \times \mathbb{R}^{10} \to \mathbb{R}^{10}$ satisfies the Lipschitz condition, with Lipschitz constant $\mathcal{M} > 0$ and $1 - \Omega \mathcal{M} > 0$, then the model [\(22\)](#page-8-0) is generalized UH stable.

Proof:

Suppose that $\overline{\Phi}$ EE satisfies the inequality in [\(23\)](#page-8-0) and Φ EE is a unique solution of [\(22\)](#page-8-0). Then $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, t∈ \mathcal{J} , using Lemma 1, we have

$$
\begin{split} \left| \overline{\Phi}(t) - \Phi(t) \right| &= \max_{t \in \mathcal{J}} \left| \overline{\Phi}(t) - \Phi_0 - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\xi)} \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{\xi-1} \mathcal{L}(\tau, \Phi(\tau)) d\tau \right| \\ &\leq \max_{t \in \mathcal{J}} \left| \overline{\Phi}(t) - \Phi_0 - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\xi)} \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{\xi-1} \mathcal{L}(\tau, \Phi(\tau)) d\tau \right| \\ &+ \max_{t \in \mathcal{J}} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\xi)} \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{\xi-1} \left| \mathcal{L}(\tau, \overline{\Phi}(\tau)) - \mathcal{L}(\tau, \Phi(\tau)) \right| d\tau \\ &\leq \left| \overline{\Phi}(t) - \Phi_0 - \frac{1}{\Gamma(\xi)} \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{\xi-1} \mathcal{L}(\tau, \Phi(\tau)) d\tau \right| \\ &\quad + \frac{\mathcal{M}}{\Gamma(\xi)} \int_0^t (t-\tau)^{\xi-1} \left| \overline{\Phi} - \Phi \right| d\tau \\ &\leq \Omega \varepsilon + \Omega, \mathcal{M} \left| \overline{\Phi} - \Phi \right| . \end{split}
$$

Thus, we have

[∥]Φ−Φ∥≤kε; ð25Þ

where, $k = \frac{\Omega}{1 - \Omega \sqrt{k}}$.

Hence, equating $\phi(\varepsilon) = k\varepsilon$, so that $\phi(0) = 0$, we conclude that the model [\(22\)](#page-8-0) is both UH and generalized UH stable.

5. Iterative schemes involving the three different fractional operators

This section is divided into three parts. We shall study an iterative scheme using the three different fractional derivatives, that is, Caputo-Fabrizio, Caputo and Atangana-Baleanu derivatives. We start with the following:

5.1. The Caputo-Fabrizio fractional operator

For the solution of the model, we shall adopt the Laplace Adomian Decomposition method. Applying the Laplace transform of the CF operator to both sides of the system [\(2\)](#page-4-0), we have

$$
\mathcal{L}\left\{\Phi_{\rho_{\tau}^{k}}^{k}S^{\delta}(t)\right\} = \mathcal{L}\left\{\Delta^{\delta} - \left(\alpha_{1}\mathcal{I}_{V}^{\delta} + \alpha_{2}^{\delta}\mathcal{I}_{D}^{\theta} + \alpha_{3}^{\delta}\mathcal{I}_{H}^{\delta} + \mu^{\delta}\right)S^{\delta} + \alpha_{D}\mathcal{R}_{D}^{\delta}\right\},
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{L}\left\{\Phi_{\rho_{\tau}^{k}}^{k}S^{\delta}(t)\right\} = \mathcal{L}\left\{\alpha_{1}^{\delta}\mathcal{I}_{V}^{\delta}\left(S^{\delta} + \mathcal{R}_{D}^{\delta}\right) - \left(\phi_{V} + \zeta_{V} + \mu^{\delta}\right)\mathcal{I}_{V}^{\delta} - \alpha_{2}^{\delta}\mathcal{I}_{D}^{\theta}\mathcal{I}_{V}^{\delta} - \alpha_{3}^{\delta}\mathcal{I}_{H}^{\delta}\mathcal{I}_{V}^{\delta} + \zeta_{D}\mathcal{I}_{V}^{\delta}\right\},
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{L}\left\{\Phi_{\rho_{\tau}^{k}}^{k}S^{\delta}_{\rho}(t)\right\} = \mathcal{L}\left\{\alpha_{2}^{\delta}\mathcal{I}_{D}^{\theta}\left(S^{\delta} + \mathcal{R}_{V}^{\delta}\right) - \left(\phi_{D} + \zeta_{D} + \mu^{\delta}\right)\mathcal{I}_{D}^{\delta} - \alpha_{1}^{\delta}\mathcal{I}_{V}^{\delta}\mathcal{I}_{D}^{\delta} + \zeta_{V}\mathcal{I}_{V}^{\delta}\right\},
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{L}\left\{\Phi_{D_{\tau}^{k}}^{k}S^{\delta}_{\rho}(t)\right\} = \mathcal{L}\left\{\alpha_{2}^{\delta}\mathcal{I}_{D}^{\delta}\mathcal{I}_{V}^{\delta} + \alpha_{1}^{\delta}\mathcal{I}_{V}^{\delta}\mathcal{I}_{D}^{\delta} - \left(\phi_{V} + \phi_{D} + \zeta_{V} + \zeta_{D} + \mu^{\delta}\right)\mathcal{I}_{V}^{\delta}\right\},
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{L}\left\{\Phi_{D_{\tau}^{k}}^{k}S^{\delta}(t)\right\} = \mathcal{L}\left\{\alpha_{2}
$$

Following the definition of Laplace transform for the Caputo-Fabrizio derivative, we have that

$$
\frac{S\mathcal{L}\{S^{0}(t)\}-S^{0}(0)}{S+\xi(1-s)} = \mathcal{L}\{\Delta^6 - (\alpha_1\mathcal{F}_V^6 + \alpha_2^6\mathcal{F}_D^6 + \alpha_3^6\mathcal{F}_H^6 + \mu^6)S^6 + \alpha_0\mathcal{R}_D^6\},
$$
\n
$$
\frac{S\mathcal{L}\{\mathcal{F}_V^6(t)\}-\mathcal{F}_V^6(0)}{S+\xi(1-s)} = \mathcal{L}\{\alpha_1^6\mathcal{F}_V^6\left(S^6 + \mathcal{R}_D^6\right) - (\phi_V + \zeta_V + \mu^6)\mathcal{F}_V^6 - \alpha_2^6\mathcal{F}_D^6\mathcal{F}_V^6 - \alpha_3^6\mathcal{F}_H^6\mathcal{F}_V^6 + \zeta_D\mathcal{F}_{VD}^6\},
$$
\n
$$
\frac{S\mathcal{L}\{\mathcal{F}_D^6(t)\}-\mathcal{F}_D^6(0)}{S+\xi(1-s)} = \mathcal{L}\{\alpha_2^6\mathcal{F}_D^6\left(S^6 + \mathcal{R}_V^6\right) - (\phi_D + \zeta_D + \mu^6)\mathcal{F}_D^6 - \alpha_1^5\mathcal{F}_V^6\mathcal{F}_D^6 + \zeta_V\mathcal{F}_{VD}^6\},
$$
\n
$$
\frac{S\mathcal{L}\{\mathcal{F}_H^6(t)\}-\mathcal{F}_H^6(0)}{S+\xi(1-s)} = \mathcal{L}\{\alpha_3^6\mathcal{F}_H^6\left(S^6 + \mathcal{R}_V^6 + \mathcal{R}_V^6\right) - (\phi_H + \zeta_H + \mu^6)\mathcal{F}_H^6 - \alpha_1^5\mathcal{F}_V^6\mathcal{F}_H^6 + \zeta_V\mathcal{F}_{VH}^6\},
$$
\n
$$
\frac{S\mathcal{L}\{\mathcal{F}_H^6(t)\}-\mathcal{F}_H^6(0)}{S+\xi(1-s)} = \mathcal{L}\{\alpha_2^6\mathcal{F}_D^6\mathcal{F}_V^6 + \alpha_1^6\mathcal{F}_V^6\mathcal{F}_D^6 - (\phi_V + \phi_D + \zeta_V + \zeta_D + \mu^6)\mathcal{F}_{VD}^6\},
$$

which can be written as

$$
\mathcal{L}\left\{\mathcal{S}^{\delta}(t)\right\} = \frac{\mathcal{S}^{\delta}(0)}{s} + \frac{s + \xi(1-s)}{s} \mathcal{L}\left\{\Delta^{\delta} - \left(\alpha_{1}\mathcal{F}_{V}^{\delta} + \alpha_{2}\mathcal{F}_{D}^{\delta} + \alpha_{3}\mathcal{F}_{H}^{\delta} + \mu^{\delta}\right)\mathcal{S}^{\delta} + \alpha_{D}\mathcal{H}_{D}^{\delta}\right\},
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{L}\left\{\mathcal{F}_{V}^{\delta}(t)\right\} = \frac{\mathcal{F}_{V}^{\delta}(0)}{s} + \frac{s + \xi(1-s)}{s} \mathcal{L}\left\{\alpha_{1}^{\delta}\mathcal{F}_{V}^{\delta}\left(\mathcal{S}^{\delta} + \mathcal{R}_{D}^{\delta}\right) - \left(\phi_{V} + \zeta_{V} + \mu^{\delta}\right)\mathcal{F}_{V}^{\delta} - \alpha_{2}^{\delta}\mathcal{F}_{D}^{\delta}\mathcal{F}_{V}^{\delta} - \alpha_{3}\mathcal{F}_{H}^{\delta}\mathcal{F}_{V}^{\delta} + \zeta_{D}\mathcal{F}_{VD}^{\delta}\right\},
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{L}\left\{\mathcal{F}_{H}^{\delta}(t)\right\} = \frac{\mathcal{F}_{H}^{\delta}(0)}{s} + \frac{s + \xi(1-s)}{s} \mathcal{L}\left\{\alpha_{2}^{\delta}\mathcal{F}_{H}^{\delta}\left(\mathcal{S}^{\delta} + \mathcal{R}_{V}^{\delta}\right) - \left(\phi_{D} + \zeta_{D} + \mu^{\delta}\right)\mathcal{F}_{D}^{\delta} - \alpha_{1}^{\delta}\mathcal{F}_{V}^{\delta}\mathcal{F}_{D}^{\delta} + \zeta_{V}\mathcal{F}_{VD}^{\delta}\right\},
$$
\n
$$
\mathcal{L}\left\{\mathcal{F}_{H}^{\delta}(t)\right\} = \frac{\mathcal{F}_{H}^{\delta}(0)}{s} + \frac{s + \xi(1-s)}{s} \mathcal{L}\left\{\alpha_{2}^{\delta}\mathcal{F}_{H}^{\delta}\left(\mathcal{S}^{\delta} + \mathcal{R}_{V}^{\delta} + \mathcal{R}_{D}^{\delta}\right) - \left(\phi_{H} + \zeta_{H} + \
$$

 (26)

According to the Adomian decomposition method, the solution will be in the following series type

$$
\mathcal{S}^{\delta}(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{S}_{n}^{\delta}(t), \quad \mathcal{F}_{V}^{\delta}(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_{Vn}^{\delta}(t), \quad \mathcal{F}_{D}^{\delta}(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_{Dn}^{\delta}(t), \quad \mathcal{F}_{H}^{\delta}(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_{Hn}^{\delta}(t),
$$

$$
\mathcal{F}_{VD}^{\delta}(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_{VDn}^{\delta}(t), \quad \mathcal{F}_{VH}^{\delta}(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_{VHn}^{\delta}(t), \quad \mathcal{R}_{V}^{\delta}(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{R}_{Vn}^{\delta}(t), \quad \mathcal{R}_{D}^{\delta}(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{R}_{Dn}^{\delta}(t),
$$

$$
\mathcal{S}_{n}^{\theta}(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{S}_{n}^{\theta}(t), \quad \mathcal{F}_{D}^{\theta}(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_{Dn}^{\theta}(t).
$$
 (29)

The thirteen nonlinear terms in the model [\(2\)](#page-4-0) can be decomposed as

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_{1n} \left(\mathcal{F}_{V}^{\delta}, \mathcal{S}^{\delta} \right) = \mathcal{F}_{V}^{\delta}(t) S^{\delta}(t), \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_{2n} \left(\mathcal{F}_{D}^{\delta}, \mathcal{S}^{\delta} \right) = \mathcal{F}_{D}^{\delta}(t) S^{\delta}(t), \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_{3n} \left(\mathcal{F}_{H}^{\delta}, \mathcal{S}^{\delta} \right) = \mathcal{F}_{H}^{\delta}(t) S^{\delta}(t),
$$
\n
$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_{4n} \left(\mathcal{F}_{V}^{\delta}, \mathcal{R}_{D}^{\delta} \right) = \mathcal{F}_{V}^{\delta}(t) \mathcal{R}_{D}^{\delta}(t), \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_{5n} \left(\mathcal{F}_{D}^{\delta}, \mathcal{F}_{V}^{\delta} \right) = \mathcal{F}_{D}^{\delta}(t) \mathcal{F}_{V}^{\delta}(t), \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_{6n} \left(\mathcal{F}_{H}^{\delta}, \mathcal{F}_{V}^{\delta} \right) = \mathcal{F}_{H}^{\delta}(t) \mathcal{F}_{V}^{\delta}(t),
$$
\n
$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_{7n} \left(\mathcal{F}_{D}^{\delta}, \mathcal{R}_{V}^{\delta} \right) = \mathcal{F}_{D}^{\delta}(t) \mathcal{R}_{V}^{\delta}(t), \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_{8n} \left(\mathcal{F}_{V}^{\delta}, \mathcal{F}_{D}^{\delta} \right) = \mathcal{F}_{V}^{\delta}(t) \mathcal{F}_{D}^{\delta}(t), \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_{9n} \left(\mathcal{F}_{H}^{\delta}, \mathcal{R}_{V}^{\delta} \right) = \mathcal{F}_{H}^{\delta}(t) \mathcal{R}_{V}^{\delta}(t),
$$
\n
$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_{10n} \left(\mathcal{F}_{H}^{\delta}, \mathcal{R}_{D}^{\delta} \right) = \mathcal{F}_{H}^{\delta}(t) \
$$

where the polynomial $A_n(x, y)$ is defined thus,

$$
A_n(x,y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n(x,y) = \frac{1}{n} \frac{d^n}{d\lambda^n} \left[\sum_{i=0}^q \lambda^i x_i(t) \sum_{i=0}^q \lambda^i y_i(t) \right] \Big|_{\lambda=0}.
$$
\n(30)

Particularly, we have that

$$
\mathcal{A}_{10}\left(\mathcal{F}_{V}^{\delta},\mathcal{S}^{\delta}\right) = \mathcal{F}_{V}^{\delta}(0)\mathcal{S}^{\delta}(0), \quad \mathcal{A}_{11}\left(\mathcal{F}_{V}^{\delta},\mathcal{S}^{\delta}\right) = \mathcal{F}_{V}^{\delta}(1)\mathcal{S}^{\delta}(0) + \mathcal{F}_{V}^{\delta}(0)\mathcal{S}^{\delta}(1), \mathcal{A}_{12}\left(\mathcal{F}_{V}^{\delta},\mathcal{S}^{\delta}\right) = \mathcal{F}_{V}^{\delta}(2)\mathcal{S}^{\delta}(0) + \mathcal{F}_{V}^{\delta}(1)\mathcal{S}^{\delta}(1) + \mathcal{F}_{V}^{\delta}(0)\mathcal{S}^{\delta}(2), \mathcal{A}_{13}\left(\mathcal{F}_{V}^{\delta},\mathcal{S}^{\delta}\right) = \mathcal{F}_{V}^{\delta}(3)\mathcal{S}^{\delta}(0) + \mathcal{F}_{V}^{\delta}(2)\mathcal{S}^{\delta}(1) + \mathcal{F}_{V}^{\delta}(1)\mathcal{S}^{\delta}(2) + \mathcal{F}_{V}^{\delta}(0)\mathcal{S}^{\delta}(3), \mathcal{A}_{14}\left(\mathcal{F}_{V}^{\delta},\mathcal{S}^{\delta}\right) = \mathcal{F}_{V}^{\delta}(4)\mathcal{S}^{\delta}(0) + \mathcal{F}_{V}^{\delta}(3)\mathcal{S}^{\delta}(1) + \mathcal{F}_{V}^{\delta}(2)\mathcal{S}^{\delta}(2) + \mathcal{F}_{V}^{\delta}(1)\mathcal{S}^{\delta}(3) + \mathcal{F}_{V}^{\delta}(0)\mathcal{S}^{\delta}(4)
$$
\n(31)

Applying Eqs. [\(27\)](#page-10-0)–(31) into the system [\(2\),](#page-4-0) we have

$$
\begin{split} \mathcal{L}\left\{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\mathcal{S}_{n}^{S}(t)\right\} & = \frac{\mathcal{S}^{S}(0)}{S} + \frac{S + \frac{E}{S}(1-S)}{S}\mathcal{S}\left\{\Delta^{6} - \left(\alpha_{1}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}A_{1n}\left(\mathcal{J}_{Vn}^{S},\mathcal{S}_{n}^{S}\right) + \alpha_{2}^{2}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}A_{2n}\left(\mathcal{J}_{Wn}^{S},\mathcal{S}_{n}^{S}\right) + \alpha_{3}^{2}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}A_{2n}\left(\mathcal{J}_{Wn}^{S},\mathcal{S}_{n}^{S}\right) \\ & + \mu^{6}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\mathcal{S}_{n}^{S}\right) + \alpha_{0}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\mathcal{J}_{Vn}^{S}\right\}\\ & \quad - \left(\phi_{V} + \zeta_{V} + \mu^{5}\right)\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\mathcal{J}_{Vn}^{S}-\alpha_{2}^{2}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}A_{2n}\left(\mathcal{J}_{Vn}^{S},\mathcal{S}_{n}^{S}\right) + \alpha_{3}^{2}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}A_{2n}\left(\mathcal{J}_{Vn}^{S},\mathcal{J}_{Vn}^{S}\right) + \zeta_{D}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\mathcal{J}_{Vn}^{S}\right),\\ & \quad - \left(\phi_{V} + \zeta_{V} + \mu^{5}\right)\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\mathcal{J}_{Vn}^{S}-\alpha_{1}^{S}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}A_{2n}\left(\mathcal{J}_{Vn}^{S},\mathcal{S}_{n}^{S}\right) + \alpha_{2}^{S}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}A_{2n}\left(\mathcal{J}_{Vn}^{S},\mathcal{J}_{Vn}^{S}\right) + \zeta_{D}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\mathcal{J}_{Vn}^{S}\right),\\ & - \left(\phi_{D} + \zeta_{D} + \mu^{2}\right)\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\mathcal{J}_{Vn}^{S}-\alpha_{1}^{S}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}A_{2n}\left(\mathcal{J}_{Vn}^{S},\mathcal{S}_{n}^{S
$$

Matching the terms on both sides of (32), and applying the inverse Laplace transform, we obtain

$$
\begin{array}{ll}\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\delta}(t)=\mathcal{S}^{\delta}(0), & \mathcal{J}_{V0}^{\delta}(t)=\mathcal{J}_{V0}^{\delta}(0), & \mathcal{J}_{D0}^{\delta}(t)=\mathcal{J}_{D}^{\delta}(0), & \mathcal{J}_{H0}^{\delta}(t)=\mathcal{J}_{V0}^{\delta}(0), & \mathcal{J}_{V00}^{\delta}(t)=\mathcal{J}_{V00}^{\delta}(t), & \mathcal{J}_{V00}^{\delta}(t)=\mathcal{J}_{V00}^{\delta}(0), & \mathcal{J}_{V00}^{\delta}(t)=\mathcal{J}_{V00}^{\delta}(0), & \mathcal{J}_{V00}^{\delta}(t)=\mathcal{J}_{V00}^{\delta}(0), & \mathcal{J}_{V00}^{\delta}(t)=\mathcal{J}_{V00}^{\delta}(0), & \mathcal{J}_{V00}^{\delta}(t)=\mathcal{J}_{V00}^{\delta}(0), & \mathcal{J}_{V00}^{\delta}(t)=\left[\Delta^{\delta}-(\alpha_{1}^{\delta}\mathcal{J}_{V0}^{\delta}+\alpha_{2}^{\delta}\mathcal{J}_{D0}^{\delta}+\alpha_{3}^{\delta}\mathcal{J}_{H0}^{\delta}+\mu^{\delta}\right)\mathcal{S}_{V0}^{\delta}+\alpha_{D}\mathcal{R}_{D0}^{\delta}\right][1+\xi(t-1)], & \mathcal{J}_{V1}^{\delta}(t)=\left[\alpha_{1}^{\delta}\mathcal{J}_{V0}^{\delta}(\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\delta}+\mathcal{R}_{D0}^{\delta})-(\varphi_{V}+\zeta_{V}+\mu^{\delta})\mathcal{J}_{V0}^{\delta}-\alpha_{2}^{\delta}\mathcal{J}_{D0}^{\delta}\mathcal{J}_{V0}^{\delta}-\alpha_{3}\mathcal{J}_{H0}^{\delta}\mathcal{J}_{V0}^{\delta}+\zeta_{D}\mathcal{J}_{V00}^{\delta}\right][1+\xi(t-1)], & \mathcal{J}_{V11}^{\delta}(t)=\left[\alpha_{2}^{\delta}\mathcal{J}_{D0}^{\delta}(\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\delta}+\mathcal{R}_{V0}^{\delta})-(\varphi_{D}+\zeta_{D}+\mu^{\delta})\mathcal{J}_{V00}^{\delta}-\alpha_{1}^{\delta}\mathcal{J}_{V00}^
$$

$$
S_{2}^{\delta}(t) = \left[\Delta^{\delta} - (\alpha_{1}^{\delta}\mathcal{F}_{00}^{\delta} + \alpha_{2}^{\delta}\mathcal{F}_{00}^{\theta} + \alpha_{3}^{\delta}\mathcal{F}_{00}^{\delta} + \mu^{\delta})S_{1}^{\delta} - \alpha_{1}^{\delta}\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\delta}\mathcal{F}_{01}^{\delta} - \alpha_{2}^{\delta}\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\delta}\mathcal{F}_{01}^{\delta} - \alpha_{2}^{\delta}\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\delta}\mathcal{F}_{01}^{\delta} - \alpha_{3}^{\delta}\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\delta}\mathcal{F}_{01}^{\delta} - \alpha_{3}^{\delta}\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\delta}\mathcal{F}_{01}^{\delta} - \alpha_{3}^{\delta}\mathcal{S}_{0}^{\delta}\mathcal{F}_{01}^{\delta} - \alpha_{3}^{\delta}\mathcal{S}_{00}^{\delta}\mathcal{F}_{01}^{\delta} - \alpha_{3}^{\delta}\mathcal{S}_{00}^{\delta}\mathcal{F}_{01}^{\delta} - \alpha_{1}^{\delta}\mathcal{F}_{00}^{\delta}\mathcal{S}_{01}^{\delta} - \alpha_{1}^{\delta}\mathcal{F}_{00}^{\delta}\mathcal{S}_{01}^{\delta} + \alpha_{1}^{\delta}\mathcal{F}_{00}^{\delta}\mathcal{S}_{01}^{\delta} - \alpha_{1}^{\delta}\mathcal{F}_{00}^{\delta}\mathcal{S}_{01}^{\delta} - \alpha_{1}^{\delta}\mathcal{F}_{00}^{\delta}\mathcal{S}_{01}^{\delta} - \alpha_{1}^{\delta}\mathcal{F}_{00}^{\delta}\mathcal{S}_{01}^{\delta} - \alpha_{1}^{\delta}\mathcal{F}_{00}^{\delta}\mathcal{F}_{01}^{\delta} - \alpha_{2}^{\delta}\mathcal{F}_{00}^{\delta}\mathcal{F}_{01}^{\delta} - \alpha_{1}^{\delta}\mathcal{F}_{00}^{\delta}\mathcal{F}_{01}^{\delta} - \alpha_{1}^{\delta}\mathcal{F}_{00}^{\delta}\mathcal{F}_{01}^{\delta} - \alpha_{1}^{\delta}\mathcal{F}_{00}^{\delta}\mathcal{F}_{01}^{\delta} - \alpha_{1}^{\delta}\mathcal{F}_{00}^
$$

5.2. The Caputo fractional operator

Applying the Laplace transform to system [\(2\)](#page-4-0) and solving via the Caputo derivative, we have

$$
\begin{split}\n\mathcal{S}_{0}^{2}(t) & = \mathcal{S}_{0}^{2}(0) - \mathcal{S}_{0}^{2}(0) - \mathcal{I}_{0}^{2}(0,0) - \mathcal{I}_{0
$$

 (36)

5.3. The Atangana-Baleanu fractional operator

Applying the Laplace transform to system [\(2\)](#page-4-0) and solving via the Atangana-Baleanu derivative, we have

$$
\begin{split} S_{0}^{S}(t) &= S^{S}(0), \quad \mathcal{F}_{00}^{0}(t) = \mathcal{F}_{00}^{I}(0), \quad \mathcal{F}_{00}^{I}(t) = \mathcal{F}_{0}^{I}(0), \quad \mathcal{F}_{00}^{S}(t) = \mathcal{F}_{0}^{S}(0), \quad \mathcal{F}_{00}^{S}(t) = \mathcal{F}_{0}^{S}(0), \quad \mathcal{F}_{00}^{S}(t) = \mathcal{F}_{0}^{S}(0), \quad \mathcal{F}_{00}^{S}(t) = \mathcal{F}_{0}^{S}(t) = \mathcal{F}_{0}^{S}(
$$

and so on. Hence we obtain the required solution

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathcal{S}^{\delta}(t)=\mathcal{S}^{\delta}_{0}(t)+\mathcal{S}^{\delta}_{1}(t)+\mathcal{S}^{\delta}_{2}(t)+...,\mathscr{T}^{\delta}_{V}(t)=\mathscr{T}^{\delta}_{V0}(t)+\mathscr{T}^{\delta}_{V1}(t)+\mathscr{T}^{\delta}_{V2}(t)+...,\mathscr{T}^{\delta}_{D}(t)=\mathscr{T}^{\delta}_{D0}(t)+\mathscr{T}^{\delta}_{D1}(t)+\mathscr{T}^{\delta}_{D2}(t)+...,\nonumber\\ \mathscr{T}^{\delta}_{H}(t)=\mathscr{T}^{\delta}_{H0}(t)+\mathscr{T}^{\delta}_{H1}(t)+\$

(c) Fitting using the AB fractional derivative, with order $\xi = 0.97$.

Fig. 1. Model fittings using the three fractional derivatives. Series solution for best fit using the Caputo fractional derivative is: $I_p^{\delta}(t) = 1.0 + 0.334438t^{0.97} + 0.11195t^{1.94} + 0.0374063t^{2.91}$ with order $\xi = 0.97$. Series solution for best fit using the CF fractional derivative is: $I_V^{\delta}(t) = 1.04127 + 0.315116t + 0.0946194t^2 + 0.0245611t^3$, with order $\xi = 0.88$. Series solution for best fit using the AB fractional derivative is: $I_V^{\delta}(t) = 1.01019 + 0.336905t^{0.97} + 0.112387t^{1.94} + 0.0359822t^{2.91}$.

5.4. Stability of the iterative scheme

In this subsection, the stability of the iterative scheme is established, in the framework of Ostrowski [\[47\]](#page-22-0). Let \mathscr{B}^{δ} be a Banach space endowed with a norm defined by ||x|| = $\max_{t\in [a,b]}\{|x(t)|: x\in \mathscr B^\delta\}.$ Assume that $F(\mathcal G)$ ≠∅ be the fixed point set of $\mathcal G.$ Let $\mathcal G$ be a self-map on $\mathscr B^\delta.$ Let $\{y_n\}$ be a sequence in \mathscr{B}^{δ} , and $\{x_n\}$, denote an approximate sequence of $\{y_n\}$. An iterative technique of the type $y_{n+1}=g(\mathcal{G},y_n)$, for some function, say, g, where y_n

Fig. 2. Solution profiles for $\mathcal{S}^{\delta}(t)$, $\int_{V}^{\delta}(t)$, $\int_{D}^{\delta}(t)$ and $\int_{H}^{\delta}(t)$ via the different fractional derivatives. Parameters are exactly as given in [Table 1.](#page-4-0)

converges to a fixed point y*∈F(G), is said to be G-stable, provided that $\lim_{n\to\infty} k_n = 0$ if and only if $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n^* = y^*$, where $k_n = ||x_{n+1} - g(\mathcal{G}, x_n)||$. The following theorems are now established: following theorems are now established:

Theorem 9. Assume that G be a self-map on \mathcal{B}^{δ} such that

$$
\|\mathcal{G}(x^{\delta})-\mathcal{G}(y^{\delta})\|\leq C_1^{\delta}\|x^{\delta}-\mathcal{G}(x^{\delta})\|+C_2^{\delta}\|x^{\delta}-y^{\delta}\|
$$

for all x^{δ} , $y^{\delta} \in \mathscr{B}^{\delta}$ with $C_1^{\delta} \geq 0$, $C_2^{\delta} \in [0,1)$. Then, the iterative scheme $\{y_n\}$ is C_2 stable G-stable.

Theorem 10. Let G be a self-map defined as

$$
G(S_n^{\delta}(t)) = S_{n+1}^{\delta}(t), \quad G\left(\mathcal{F}_{Vn}^{\delta}(t)\right) = \mathcal{F}_{Vn+1}^{\delta}(t), \quad G\left(\mathcal{F}_{Dn}^{\delta}(t)\right) = \mathcal{F}_{Dn+1}^{\delta}(t)
$$
\n
$$
G\left(\mathcal{F}_{H}^{\delta}(t)\right) = \mathcal{F}_{Hn+1}^{\delta}(t), \quad G\left(\mathcal{F}_{Vn}^{\delta}(t)\right) = \mathcal{F}_{Dn+1}^{\delta}(t), \quad G\left(\mathcal{F}_{VHn}^{\delta}(t)\right) = \mathcal{F}_{VHn+1}^{\delta}(t), \quad G\left(\mathcal{F}_{Vn}^{\delta}(t)\right) = \mathcal{F}_{Vn+1}^{\delta}(t)
$$
\n
$$
G\left(\mathcal{F}_{Dn}^{\delta}(t)\right) = \mathcal{F}_{Dn+1}^{\delta}(t), \quad G\left(S_n^{\theta}(t)\right) = S_{n+1}^{\delta}(t), \quad G\left(\mathcal{F}_{Dn}^{\theta}(t)\right) = \mathcal{F}_{Dn+1}^{\theta}(t).
$$
\n(37)

It is G-stable in $L^1(a, b)$ if

$$
\begin{cases}\n(\mu^{\delta}f_{1}(\xi)+\alpha_{D}f_{2}(\xi)+\alpha_{1}^{\delta}\omega_{2}f_{3}(\xi)+\alpha_{1}^{\delta}\omega_{1}f_{4}(\xi)+\alpha_{2}^{\delta}\omega_{3}f_{5}(\xi)+\alpha_{2}^{\delta}\omega_{1}f_{6}(\xi)+\alpha_{2}^{\delta}\omega_{1}f_{8}(\xi)) < 1, \\
(\kappa_{1}^{\delta}g_{1}(\xi)+\zeta_{D}g_{2}(\xi)+\alpha_{1}^{\delta}\omega_{2}g_{3}(\xi)+\alpha_{1}^{\delta}\omega_{1}g_{4}(\xi)+\alpha_{1}^{\delta}\omega_{2}g_{5}(\xi)+\alpha_{1}^{\delta}\omega_{8}g_{6}(\xi)+\alpha_{2}^{\delta}\omega_{10}g_{7}(\xi) \\
+\alpha_{2}^{\delta}\omega_{2}g_{8}(\xi)+\alpha_{3}^{\delta}\omega_{4}g_{9}(\xi)+\alpha_{2}^{\delta}\omega_{2}g_{10}(\xi)) < 1, \\
(\kappa_{2}^{\delta}h_{1}(\xi)+\zeta_{V}h_{2}(\xi)+\alpha_{2}^{\delta}\omega_{3}h_{3}(\xi)+\alpha_{2}^{\delta}\omega_{1}g_{4}(\xi)+\alpha_{2}^{\delta}\omega_{3}h_{5}(\xi)+\alpha_{2}^{\delta}\omega_{1}f_{6}(\xi)+\alpha_{1}^{\delta}\omega_{2}h_{7}(\xi)+\alpha_{1}^{\delta}\omega_{3}h_{8}(\xi) < 1, \\
(\kappa_{3}^{\delta}f_{1}(\xi)+\zeta_{V}f_{2}(\xi)+\alpha_{3}^{\delta}\omega_{4}f_{3}(\xi)+\alpha_{1}^{\delta}\omega_{1}f_{4}(\xi)+\alpha_{3}^{\delta}\omega_{4}f_{5}(\xi)+\alpha_{3}^{\delta}\omega_{1}f_{6}(\xi)+\alpha_{1}^{\delta}\omega_{2}h_{7}(\xi) \\
+\alpha_{3}^{\delta}\omega_{8}g_{8}(\xi)+\alpha_{1}^{\delta}\omega_{2}g_{9}(\xi)+\alpha_{1}^{\delta}\omega_{4}g_{10}(\xi)) < 1, \\
(\kappa_{4}^{\delta}h_{1}(\xi)+\kappa_{2}^{\delta}\omega_{1}m_{2}(\xi)+\alpha_{2}^{\delta}\omega_{2}m_{3}(\xi)+\alpha_{1}^{\delta
$$

Fig. 3. Solution profiles for $J_{VD}^{\delta}(t)$, $R_{V}^{\delta}(t)$ and $R_{D}^{\delta}(t)$ via the different fractional derivatives. Parameters are exactly as given in [Table 1.](#page-4-0)

Fig. 4. Solution profiles for $S^{\theta}(t)$ and $J_V^{\theta}(t)$ via the different fractional derivatives. Parameters are exactly as given in [Table 1.](#page-4-0)

Fig. 5. Solution profiles for J ${}_{VD}^{\delta}(t)$ and J ${}_{VH}^{\delta}(t)$ via the Caputo fractional derivative. Here, $\xi = 0.97$, while SARS-CoV-2 contact rate, α_{1}^{δ} is varied. Other parameters are exactly as given in Table

Fig. 6. Solution profiles for J $_{VD}^{\delta}(t)$ and J $_{VH}^{\delta}(t)$ via the Caputo Fabrizio fractional derivative. Here, $\xi = 0.97$, while SARS-CoV-2 contact rate, α_1^{δ} is varied. Other parameters are exactly as given
i in [Table 1.](#page-4-0)

Fig. 7. Solution profiles for J_{ν}^{δ} (*t*) and J_{ν}^{δ} (*t*) via the Atangana-Baleanu fractional derivative. Here, $\xi = 0.97$, while SARS-CoV-2 contact rate, α_1^{δ} is varied. Other parameters are exactly a given in [Table 1.](#page-4-0)

Proof:

Consider the recursive formula below, associated with the system [\(2\)](#page-4-0) (obtained via taking the inverse Laplace transform of the AB derivative).

$$
S_{n+1}^{\delta}(t) = S^{\delta}(0) + \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left[\frac{s^{\xi}(1-\xi) + \xi}{s^{\xi}\mathcal{F}(\xi)} \mathcal{L}^{\delta} - (\alpha_{1}\mathcal{P}_{\nu_{n}}^{\delta}\mathcal{S}_{n}^{\delta} + \alpha_{2}\mathcal{P}_{\nu_{n}}^{\delta}\mathcal{S}_{n}^{\delta} + \alpha_{3}\mathcal{P}_{\nu_{n}}^{\delta}\mathcal{S}_{n}^{\delta} + \mu^{\delta}\mathcal{S}_{n}^{\delta}) + \alpha_{D}\mathcal{R}_{Dn}^{\delta} \right],
$$

\n
$$
\mathcal{F}_{\nu_{n+1}}^{\delta}(t) = \mathcal{F}_{\nu}^{\delta}(0) + \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left[\frac{s^{\xi}(1-\xi) + \xi}{s^{\xi}\mathcal{F}(\xi)} \mathcal{L}^{\delta}(\alpha_{1}^{\delta}\mathcal{P}_{\nu_{n}}^{\delta}\mathcal{S}_{n}^{\delta} + \alpha_{1}^{\delta}\mathcal{P}_{\nu_{n}}^{\delta}\mathcal{R}_{Dn}^{\delta} - (\phi_{V} + \zeta_{V} + \mu^{\delta})\mathcal{P}_{\nu_{n}}^{\delta} - \alpha_{2}\mathcal{P}_{\nu_{n}}^{\delta}\mathcal{P}_{\nu_{n}}^{\delta}\mathcal{R}_{Dn}^{\delta}) \right],
$$

\n
$$
\mathcal{F}_{Dn+1}^{\delta}(t) = \mathcal{F}_{Dn}^{\delta}(0) + \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left[\frac{s^{\xi}(1-\xi) + \xi}{s^{\xi}\mathcal{F}(\xi)} \mathcal{L}^{\delta}(\alpha_{2}^{\delta}\mathcal{P}_{\nu n}^{\delta}(\alpha_{n}^{\delta} + \mathcal{R}_{\nu n}^{\delta})) - (\phi_{D} + \zeta_{D} + \mu^{\delta})\mathcal{P}_{D}^{\delta} - \alpha_{1}^{\delta}\mathcal{F}_{\nu}^{\delta}\mathcal{P}_{\nu_{n}}^{\delta}\mathcal{R}_{\nu_{n}}^{\delta}\mathcal{R}_{Dn}^{\delta}) \right],
$$

\n
$$
\mathcal{F}_{Dn+1}^{\delta}(t) = \mathcal{F}_{\nu}^{\delta}(0) + \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left[\frac{s^{\xi}(1-\xi
$$

where $\frac{s^{\xi}(1-\xi)+\xi}{s^{\xi}z^{\xi}(\xi)}$ is a fractional Lagrange multiplier.
We will show that *G* has a fixed point Thus fo

We will show that G has a fixed point. Thus, for all $(m, n) \in N \times N$, we evaluate the following:

$$
G(S_{m}^{\delta}(t)) - G(S_{m}^{\delta}(t))
$$
\n
$$
= \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left[\frac{s^{\xi}(1-\xi) + \xi}{s^{\xi}\mathcal{F}(\xi)} \mathcal{L} \left\{ \Delta^{\delta} - \left(\alpha_{1} \mathcal{F}_{Vn}^{\delta} S_{n}^{\delta} + \alpha_{2}^{\delta} \mathcal{F}_{Dn}^{\theta} S_{n}^{\delta} + \alpha_{3}^{\delta} \mathcal{F}_{Hn}^{\delta} S_{n}^{\delta} + \mu^{\delta} S_{n}^{\delta} \right) + \alpha_{D} \mathcal{R}_{Dn}^{\delta} \right\} \right]
$$
\n
$$
- \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left[\frac{s^{\xi}(1-\xi) + \xi}{s^{\xi}\mathcal{F}(\xi)} \mathcal{L} \left\{ \Delta^{\delta} - \left(\alpha_{1} \mathcal{F}_{Vn}^{\delta} S_{m}^{\delta} + \alpha_{2}^{\delta} \mathcal{F}_{Dm}^{\theta} S_{m}^{\delta} + \alpha_{3}^{\delta} \mathcal{F}_{Hm}^{\delta} S_{m}^{\delta} + \mu^{\delta} S_{m}^{\delta} \right) + \alpha_{D} \mathcal{R}_{Dm}^{\delta} \right\} \right]
$$
\n
$$
= \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left[\frac{s^{\xi}(1-\xi) + \xi}{s^{\xi}\mathcal{F}(\xi)} \mathcal{L} \left\{ - \left(\alpha_{1} \mathcal{F}_{Vn}^{\delta} S_{n}^{\delta} + \alpha_{2}^{\delta} \mathcal{F}_{Dn}^{\delta} S_{n}^{\delta} + \alpha_{3}^{\delta} \mathcal{F}_{Hn}^{\delta} S_{n}^{\delta} + \mu^{\delta} S_{n}^{\delta} \right) + \alpha_{D} \mathcal{R}_{Dn}^{\delta} \right\} \right]
$$
\n
$$
- \mathcal{L}^{-1} \left[\frac{s^{\xi}(1-\xi) + \xi}{s^{\xi}\mathcal{F}(\xi)} \mathcal{L} \left\{ - \left(\alpha_{1} \mathcal{F}_{Vm}^{\delta} S_{m}^{\delta} + \alpha_{2}^{\delta} \mathcal{F}_{Dm}^{\delta} S_{m}^{\delta} + \alpha_{3}^{\delta} \mathcal{
$$

Taking the norm on both sides and applying the triangular inequality, we have that

$$
\begin{split} \|\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{S}_{n}^{\delta}(t)) - \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{S}_{m}^{\delta}(t))\| \\ &= \mathcal{L}^{-1} \Bigg[\frac{s^{\xi}(1-\xi) + \xi}{s^{\xi}\mathcal{F}(\xi)} \mathcal{L} \Big[\|\boldsymbol{-\mu}^{\delta}(\mathcal{S}_{n}^{\delta} - \mathcal{S}_{m}^{\delta})\| + \|\alpha_{D}\Big(\mathcal{R}_{Dn}^{\delta} - \mathcal{R}_{Dm}^{\delta}\Big)\| + \|\boldsymbol{-\alpha}_{1}^{\delta}\mathcal{I}_{Vn}^{\delta}(\mathcal{S}_{n}^{\delta} - \mathcal{S}_{m}^{\delta})\| + \|\boldsymbol{-\alpha}_{1}^{\delta}\mathcal{S}_{Vn}^{\delta}(\mathcal{S}_{n}^{\delta} - \mathcal{S}_{m}^{\delta})\| + \|\boldsymbol{-\alpha}_{1}^{\delta}\mathcal{S}_{Vn}^{\delta}(\mathcal{S}_{n}^{\delta} - \mathcal{S}_{m}^{\delta})\| \\ &+ \|\boldsymbol{-\alpha}_{2}^{\delta}\mathcal{I}_{Dn}^{\delta}(\mathcal{S}_{n}^{\delta} - \mathcal{S}_{m}^{\delta})\| + \|\boldsymbol{-\alpha}_{2}^{\delta}\mathcal{S}_{m}^{\delta}\Big(\mathcal{F}_{Dn}^{\delta} - \mathcal{I}_{Dm}^{\delta}\Big)\| + \|\boldsymbol{-\alpha}_{3}^{\delta}\mathcal{I}_{Hn}^{\delta}(\mathcal{S}_{n}^{\delta} - \mathcal{S}_{m}^{\delta})\| + \|\boldsymbol{-\alpha}_{3}^{\delta}\mathcal{S}_{m}^{\delta}\Big(\mathcal{F}_{Hn}^{\delta} - \mathcal{I}_{Hm}^{\delta}\Big)\|\Big]. \end{split} \tag{41}
$$

Now, noting that, $\mathcal{S}_m^{\delta}, \mathcal{J}_{Vn}^{\delta}, \mathcal{J}_{Hn}^{\delta}, \mathcal{J}_{Vn}^{\delta}, \mathcal{J}_{Vn}^{\delta}, \mathcal{R}_{Vn}^{\delta}, \mathcal{R}_{Dn}^{\delta}, \mathcal{S}_{n}^{\theta}, \mathcal{S}_{n}^{\theta}$ are convergent sequences, we bound them as follows:

$$
\|\mathcal{S}_m^{\delta}\| \leq \omega_1, \|\mathcal{F}_{Vn}^{\delta}\| \leq \omega_2, \|\mathcal{F}_{Dn}^{\delta}\| \leq \omega_3, \|\mathcal{F}_{Hn}^{\delta}\| \leq \omega_4, \|\mathcal{F}_{VDn}^{\delta}\| \leq \omega_5, \|\mathcal{F}_{VHn}^{\delta}\| \leq \omega_6, \|\mathcal{R}_{Vn}^{\delta}\| \leq \omega_7, \|\mathcal{R}_{Dn}^{\delta}\| \leq \omega_8, \|\mathcal{S}_{Vn}^{\delta}\| \leq \omega_9, \|\mathcal{F}_{Vn}^{\delta}\| \leq \omega_{10}.
$$

Also, as a result of similar pattern in the solutions, we assume that

 $\|\mathcal{S}_m^{\delta}(t) - \mathcal{S}_n^{\delta}(t)\|$ ≊||. $\mathscr{R}_{Dn}^{\delta} - \mathscr{R}_{Dm}^{\delta}$ ||, $\|\mathcal{S}_{m}^{\delta}(t) - \mathcal{S}_{n}^{\delta}(t)\| \leq \|\mathcal{J}_{Vn}^{\delta} - \mathcal{J}_{Vm}^{\delta}\|,$ $\|\mathcal{S}_m^{\delta}(t) - \mathcal{S}_n^{\delta}(t)\|$ ≊ $\|\mathcal{J}_{Dn}^{\theta} - \mathcal{J}_{Dm}^{\theta}\|,$ $\|\mathcal{S}_m^{\delta}(t) - \mathcal{S}_n^{\delta}(t)\| \leq \|\mathcal{J}_{Hn}^{\delta} - \mathcal{J}_{Hm}^{\delta}\|.$

 (42)

Thus, we have that

$$
\|G(S_n^{\delta}(t)) - G(S_m^{\delta}(t))\| \leq (\mu^{\delta} f_1(\xi) + \alpha_0 f_2(\xi) + \alpha_1^{\delta} \omega_2 f_3(\xi) + \alpha_1^{\delta} \omega_1 f_4(\xi) + \alpha_2^{\delta} \omega_5 f_5(\xi) + \alpha_2^{\delta} \omega_1 f_6(\xi) + \alpha_3^{\delta} \omega_4 f_7(\xi) + \alpha_3^{\delta} \omega_1 f_8(\xi) \|S_n^{\delta} - S_m^{\delta}\|,
$$
\n(43)

where, $f_i(\xi)$, $i = 1, ...8$ are functions resulting from $\mathscr{L}^{-1}[\frac{s^{\xi}(1-\xi)+\xi}{s^{\xi}\mathscr{F}(\xi)}\mathscr{L}]$. In a similar manner, we have that,

$$
\begin{split}\n\mathbb{I} & \left(\mathcal{I}_{\nu}^{\delta}(t) \right) - \mathcal{G} \left(\mathcal{I}_{\nu}^{\delta}(t) \right) \mathbb{I} \leq \left[K_{1}^{\delta} g_{1}(\xi) + \zeta_{D} g_{2}(\xi) + \alpha_{1}^{\delta} \omega_{2} g_{3}(\xi) + \alpha_{1}^{\delta} \omega_{1} g_{4}(\xi) + \alpha_{1}^{\delta} \omega_{2} g_{5}(\xi) + \alpha_{1}^{\delta} \omega_{2} g_{5}(\xi) + \alpha_{2}^{\delta} \omega_{2} g_{6}(\xi) + \alpha_{2}^{\delta} \omega_{2} g_{7}(\xi) \\
&+ \alpha_{2}^{\delta} \omega_{2} g_{8}(\xi) + \alpha_{2}^{\delta} \omega_{2} g_{10}(\xi) \right] \mathbb{I} \mathcal{I}_{Dn}^{\delta} - \mathcal{I}_{Dm}^{\delta} \mathbb{I}, \\
\mathbb{I} & \left(\mathcal{I}_{Dn}^{\delta}(t) \right) - \mathcal{G} \left(\mathcal{I}_{Dm}^{\delta}(t) \right) \mathbb{I} \leq \left[K_{2}^{\delta} h_{1}(\xi) + \zeta_{V} h_{2}(\xi) + \alpha_{2}^{\delta} \omega_{2} g_{10}(\xi) \right] \mathbb{I} \mathcal{I}_{Dn}^{\delta} - \mathcal{I}_{Dm}^{\delta} \mathbb{I}, \\
&+ \alpha_{1}^{\delta} \omega_{2} h_{3}(\xi) \right] \mathbb{I} \mathcal{I}_{Dn}^{\delta} - \mathcal{I}_{Dm}^{\delta} \mathbb{I}, \\
\mathbb{I} & \left(\mathcal{I}_{Hn}^{\delta}(t) \right) - \mathcal{G} \left(\mathcal{I}_{Hm}^{\delta}(t) \right) \mathbb{I} \leq \left[K_{2}^{\delta} h_{1}(\xi) + \zeta_{V} h_{2}(\xi) + \alpha_{2}^{\delta} \omega_{1} g_{3}(\xi) + \alpha_{2}^{\delta} \omega_{1} g_{4}(\xi) + \alpha_{2}^{\delta} \omega_{1} g_{5}(\xi) + \alpha_{2}^{\delta} \omega_{1} g_{5}(\xi) + \alpha_{1}^{\delta} \omega
$$

Thus, the mapping G- has a fixed point. We now show that, G is valid for all the conditions in [Theorem 9](#page-16-0). Let (43) and (44) hold. If we use $C_1^{\delta} = (1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0)$ $0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0$, and

$$
\begin{cases}\n(\mu^6 f_1(\xi) + \alpha_0 f_2(\xi) + \alpha_1^5 \omega_2 f_3(\xi) + \alpha_1^6 \omega_1 f_4(\xi) + \alpha_2^5 \omega_5 f_5(\xi) + \alpha_2^5 \omega_1 f_6(\xi) + \alpha_3^5 \omega_1 f_8(\xi)) < 1, \\
(\kappa_1^8 g_1(\xi) + \zeta_0 g_2(\xi) + \alpha_1^6 \omega_2 g_3(\xi) + \alpha_1^6 \omega_1 g_4(\xi) + \alpha_1^5 \omega_2 g_5(\xi) + \alpha_1^6 \omega_3 g_6(\xi) + \alpha_2^5 \omega_1 \omega_5 \gamma(\xi) \\
+\alpha_2^5 \omega_2 g_8(\xi) + \alpha_3^5 \omega_4 g_9(\xi) + \alpha_3^5 \omega_2 g_{10}(\xi)\n\end{cases}
$$
\n
$$
\begin{cases}\n(\kappa_2^6 h_1(\xi) + \zeta_V h_2(\xi) + \alpha_2^6 \omega_3 h_3(\xi) + \alpha_2^6 \omega_1 g_4(\xi) + \alpha_2^6 \omega_3 h_5(\xi) + \alpha_2^6 \omega_7 h_6(\xi) + \alpha_1^6 \omega_2 h_7(\xi) + \alpha_1^6 \omega_3 h_8(\xi)\n\end{cases} < 1, \\
(\kappa_3^6 h_1(\xi) + \zeta_V h_2(\xi) + \alpha_3^6 \omega_4 j_3(\xi) + \alpha_3^6 \omega_1 j_4(\xi) + \alpha_3^6 \omega_4 j_5(\xi) + \alpha_3^6 \omega_7 j_6(\xi) + \alpha_3^6 \omega_4 j_7(\xi) \\
+\alpha_3^6 \omega_3 j_8(\xi) + \alpha_1^6 \omega_2 j_9(\xi) + \alpha_1^6 \omega_4 g_{10}(\xi)\n\end{cases}
$$
\n
$$
\begin{cases}\n(\kappa_1^8 h_1(\xi) + \alpha_2^8 \omega_1 \omega_1(\xi) + \alpha_2^8 \omega_2 g_{10}(\xi) + \alpha_1^8 \omega_2 g_{11}(\xi) + \alpha_1^8 \omega_2 h_5(\xi) + \alpha_2^8 \omega_1 h_5(\xi)\n\end{cases}
$$
\n
$$
\begin{cases}\n(\kappa_1^8 g_1(\
$$

then all the conditions of [Theorem 9](#page-16-0) are fulfilled. Hence, the iterative scheme $\{y_n\}$ is G-stable. Thus, completing the proof.

6. Numerical simulations

6.1. Initial conditions and data fitting

The sexually active population in Argentina (aged 15-64) is estimated to be 29; 289; 357[\[51\]](#page-22-0). Also, the life expectancy is 78.07 years [\[51\].](#page-22-0) Thus, we set the human natural death rate, μ^{δ} as $\frac{1}{(78.07\times365)}$ per day. The human recruitment rate, Δ^{δ} is set to be $\frac{29,289,350}{(78.07\times365)}$. The initial total population, $N^{\delta}(0) = 2$ (78.07×365) 9, 289, 357, $\mathcal{J}_{V}^{6}(0) = 0$, \mathcal{J}_{V $\mathcal{R}_{V}^{\delta}(0) = 0, \mathcal{R}_{D}^{\delta}(0) = 0, S^{\theta}(0) = 0, \mathcal{I}_{VD}^{\theta}(0) = 0.$
We performed the fitting using fruiteen function

We performed the fitting using *fmincon* function in the Optimization Toolbox of MATLAB [\[56\].](#page-22-0) As depicted in [Fig. 1,](#page-15-0) we fit the COVID-19 data [\[57\]](#page-22-0) for Argentina from March 3, 2020 to June 10, 2020. The parameters estimated from the fitting are presented in [Table 1.](#page-4-0) With the Caputo operator, the model fits well to data when the fractional order $\xi = 0.97$, as shown in [Fig. 1a](#page-15-0). With the Caputo-Fabrizio operator, the model fits well to data when the fractional order $\xi = 0.88$, as shown in [Fig. 1b](#page-15-0). Using the Atangana-Baleanu operator, the model fits well to data when the fractional order $\xi = 0.97$, as can be observed in [Fig. 1c](#page-15-0). Although using both Caputo and AB operators, the model fits well to data when the order is $\xi = 0.97$, the Caputo operator gave a better fit as compared to the AB operator. It is also imperative to state that, these conclusions are based on the model proposed in this work. The series solutions for the best fits are also presented.

The following series solutions were obtained for the system [\(2\),](#page-4-0) under an endemic scenario when all the three diseases are present in the population, using the initial conditions $\mathcal{S}^{\delta}(0) = N^{\delta}(0) = 29,289,350, \mathcal{J}_{V}^{\delta}(0) = 1, \mathcal{J}_{D}^{\delta}(0) = 1, \mathcal{J}_{H}^{\delta}(0) = 1, \mathcal{J}_{VD}^{\delta}(0) = 0, \mathcal{J}_{V}^{\delta}(0) = 0, \mathcal{R}_{V}^{\delta}(0) = 0, \mathcal{R}_{D}^{\delta}(0) = 0, \mathcal{R}_{D}^{\delta}(0) = 0, \mathcal{R$ $(0, \delta^{\theta}(0) = 40,000, \mathcal{I}_{VD}^{\theta}(0) = 15$. The values of other parameters are exactly as given in [Table 1.](#page-4-0) Series solutions via the Caputo-derivative is given by (46) . Here all the parameter values as given in Table 1 we eq. (46). Here all the parameter values as given in [Table 1](#page-4-0) were used.

 $S^{\delta}(t) = 29289350 + 2078.29t^{0.97} - 0.716105t^{1.94} - 0.157525t^{2.91},$
 $\overline{\mathcal{R}}^{\delta}(t) = 1.0 + 0.232988t^{0.97} + 0.0543842t^{1.94} + 0.0126472t^{2.91}$ $\begin{split} \mathcal{S}^{\rm o}(t) &= 29289350 + 2078.29 t^{0.97} - 0.716105 t^{1.94} - 0.157525 t^{2.91}, \ \mathcal{P}^{\rm o}_{\rm p}(t) &= 1.0 + 0.232988 t^{0.97} + 0.0543842 t^{1.94} + 0.0126472 t^{2.91}, \end{split}$ $\mathcal{P}_{\nu}^{\delta}(t) = 1.0 + 0.232988t^{0.97} + 0.0543842t^{1.94} + 0.0126472t^{2.91},$
 $\mathcal{P}_{\delta}^{\delta}(t) = 1.0 + 0.168962t^{0.97} + 0.0128365t^{1.94} + 0.00301015t^{2.91},$
 $\mathcal{P}_{\delta}^{\delta}(t) = 1.0 + 0.0253951t^{0.97} + 0.000645793t^{1.94} + 0.000$ $\mathcal{P}_{B}^{\delta}(t) = 1.0 + 0.0253951t^{0.97} + 0.000645793t^{1.94} + 0.0000163699t^{2.91}$
 $\mathcal{P}_{B}^{\delta}(t) = 0.0 + 0.748634592703136 \times 10^{-8}t^{0.97} - 2.173844324613856$ $\mathscr{T}_{\text{VD}}^{5}(t)=0.0+9.748634592703136\times10^{-8}t^{0.97}-2.173844324613856\times10^{-7}t^{1.94}+5.825026712347771\times10^{-7}t^{2.91},$ $\mathscr{I}_{\text{VD}}^{\delta}(t) = 0.0 + 9.748634592703136 \times 10^{-8} t^{0.97} - 2.173844324613856 \times 10^{-7} t^{1.94} + 5.825026712347771 \times 10^{-7} t^{2.91},$
 $\mathscr{I}_{\text{VH}}^{\delta}(t) = 0.0 + 9.792059369532225 \times 10^{-8} t^{0.97} - 2.3023365866009796 \times 10^{-7} t^{1.94} +$ $\mathcal{R}_{V}^{\delta}(t) = 0.994816t^{0.97} - 0.775467t^{1.94} + 0.0540038t^{2.91},$ $\mathcal{R}_D^{\delta}(t) = 0.0 + 0.015187t^{0.97} + 0.00256603t^{1.94} + 0.000127204t^{2.91}$
 $S_{\ell}(t) = 40000 + 697.061t^{0.97} + 19.4575t^{1.94} - 0.00604976t^{2.91}$ $S^{\theta}(t) = 40000 + 697.061t^{0.97} + 19.4575t^{1.94} - 0.00694976t^{2.91}$
 $\mathcal{Z}^{\theta}(t) = 15.0 + 1.30175t^{0.97} + 0.237782t^{1.94} + 0.00997563t^{2.91}$ $\mathscr{F}_{V}^{\theta}(t) = 15.0 + 1.30175t^{0.97} + 0.237782t^{1.94} + 0.00997563t^{2.91}$ (46)

Series solution via the Caputo-Fabrizio derivative is given by eq. (47). Here all the parameter values as given in [Table 1](#page-4-0) were used.

 $S^{\delta}(t) = 2.92894 \times 10^{7} + 1991.07t - 0.670143t^{2} - 0.138522t^{3}$
 $\overline{\mathcal{I}}^{\delta}(t) = 1.00695 + 0.226335t + 0.0509495t^{2} + 0.0111216t^{3}$ $\mathscr{F}_{V}^{\delta}(t) = 1.00695 + 0.226335t + 0.0509495t^{2} + 0.0111216t^{3},$
 $\mathscr{F}_{V}^{\delta}(t) = 1.00502 + 0.152611t + 0.0120278t^{2} + 0.00264702t^{3}$ $\mathcal{F}_{D}^{\delta}(t) = 1.00502 + 0.162611t + 0.0120278t^2 + 0.00264702t^3$
 $\mathcal{F}_{D}^{\delta}(t) = 1.00075 + 0.0243666t + 0.000594089t^2 + 0.0000143$ ${\mathscr T}_H^\delta(t) = 1.00075 + 0.0243666t + 0.000594089t^2 + 0.0000143951t^3,$ $\mathscr{P}^0_H(t) = 1.00075 + 0.0243666t + 0.000594089t^2 + 0.0000143951t^3,$
 $\mathscr{P}^{\delta}_{VD}(t) = 2.712870748778392 \times 10^{-9} + 8.252505019668144 \times 10^{-8}t - 1.5200340503322315 \times 10^{-7}t^2 + 5.122340890403097 \times 10^{-7}t^3,$
 $\mathscr{P}^{\delta}_{VD}(t) =$ $\mathscr{I}_{NH}^{6}(t) = 2.7150880462399953\times 10^{-9} + 8.227282176372782\times 10^{-8}t - 1.6181662730296436\times 10^{-7}t^2 + 5.335813653985\times 10^{-7}t^3,$
 $\mathscr{B}_{CH}^{6}(t) = 0.0287975 + 0.909197t - 0.707371t^2 + 0.0474892t^3$ $\mathcal{R}_V^{\delta}(t) = 0.0287975 + 0.909197t - 0.707371t^2 + 0.0474892t^3,$
 $\mathcal{R}_V^{\delta}(t) = 0.000452256 + 0.014696t + 0.00236565t^2 + 0.00013t^3$ $\mathcal{R}_D^{\delta}(t) = 0.000452256 + 0.014696t + 0.00236565t^2 + 0.000111859t^3,$
 $S^{\theta}(t) = 40020.7 + 668.927t + 17.8588t^2 - 0.00611139t^3$ $S^{\theta}(t) = 40020.7 + 668.927t + 17.8588t^2 - 0.00611139t^3,$
 $\mathcal{Z}^{\theta}(t) = 15.0388 + 1.26067t + 0.219067t^2 + 0.0087722t$ $\mathcal{P}_{V}^{\theta}(t) = 15.0388 + 1.26067t + 0.219067t^2 + 0.00877225t^3$ (47)

Series solution via the Atangana-Baleanu derivative is given by (48). Here all the parameter values as given in [Table 1](#page-4-0) were used.

 $S^{\delta}(t) = 2.92894 \times 10^{7} + 2051.53t^{0.97} - 0.711698t^{1.94} - 0.151527t^{2.91}$
 $\overline{\sigma}^{\delta}(t) = 1.00708 + 0.233265t^{0.97} + 0.0541090t^{1.94} + 0.0131657t^{2.91}$ ${\mathscr T}_V^\delta(t) = 1.00708 + 0.233265 t^{0.97} + 0.0541099 t^{1.94} + 0.0121657 t^{2.91},$ $\begin{array}{l} \mathscr{P}^0_V(t) = 1.00708 + 0.233265 t^{0.97} + 0.0541099 t^{1.94} + 0.0121657 t^{2.91}, \ \mathscr{P}^{\delta}_D(t) = 1.00511 + 0.167562 t^{0.97} + 0.0127739 t^{1.94} + 0.00289555 t^{2.91}, \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{l} \mathscr{P}^0_D(t) = 1.00511 + 0.167562 t^{0.97} + 0.0127739 t^{1.94} + 0.00289555 t^{2.91}, \ \mathscr{P}^{\delta}_B(t) = 1.00077 + 0.0251072 t^{0.97} + 0.00063074 t^{1.94} + 0.0000157467 t^{2.91}, \end{array}$ $\mathscr{P}^0_H(t) = 1.00077 + 0.0251072t^{0.97} + 0.00063074t^{1.94} + 0.0000157467t^{2.91},$
 $\mathscr{P}^{\delta}_{VD}(t) = 2.7579384914179242 \times 10^{-9} + 8.486010185015 \times 10^{-8}t^{0.97} - 1.604826527624194 \times 10^{-7}t^{1.94} + 5.603260923141627 \times 10^{-7}t^{2.9$ $\mathscr{I}_{\text{VH}}^{6}(t) = 2.7600149768101183 \times 10^{-9} + 8.458917964913127 \times 10^{-8} t^{0.97} - 1.70863697964998 \times 10^{-7} t^{1.94} + 5.836775954633293 \times 10^{-7} t^{2.91}$ $\mathscr{R}_V^\delta(t) = 0.0292943 + 0.936007 t^{0.97} {-} 0.750898 t^{1.94} + 0.0519478 t^{2.91},$ $\mathscr{R}^{\delta}_{V}(t) = 0.0292943 + 0.936007t^{0.97} - 0.750898t^{1.94} + 0.0519478t^{2.91}, \ \mathscr{R}^{\delta}_{D}(t) = 0.000460291 + 0.0151449t^{0.97} + 0.00251169t^{1.94} + 0.000122362t^{2.91}, \ \mathscr{R}^{\delta}(t) = 40021 - 689.258t^{0.97} + 18.9508t^{1.94} - 0.00$ $S^{\theta}(t) = 40021 + 689.258t^{0.97} + 18.9598t^{1.94} - 0.00668517t^{2.91}$
 $\sigma^{\theta}(t) = 15.0395 + 1.29919t^{0.97} + 0.237588t^{1.94} + 0.00959585t^{1.95}$ $\mathscr{F}_{V}^{\theta}(t) = 15.0395 + 1.29919t^{0.97} + 0.232588t^{1.94} + 0.00959585t^{2.91}$

 (48)

6.2. Simulating the different classes using different fractional derivatives

Here, we simulate the different classes in the model via Caputo, Caputo-Fabrizio and Atangana-Baleanu fractional derivatives to see how each derivative impact the dynamics of the model [\(2\)](#page-4-0). Unless, otherwise stated in the plots description, the parameter values used are obtained from [Table 1](#page-4-0). In [Figs. 2a](#page-15-0) – [4b](#page-17-0), we present the various states of the model for different fractional operators. In [Fig. 2a](#page-15-0), the Simulations of the susceptible individuals for different fractional derivatives are presented. It is observed that, over time, the susceptible population decreases, under an endemic setting, with higher reduction recorded using the Caputo-Fabrizio derivative than with the Atangana-Baleanu and Caputo derivatives. Simulations of the individuals infected with SARS-CoV-2, dengue and HIV for different derivatives are presented in [Fig. 2](#page-15-0)b, c and d, respectively. It is observed that, over time, lower number of infectious individuals are recorded using the Atangana-Baleanu derivative, followed by the Caputo-derivative derivative and then the Caputo-Fabrizio derivative. Our aim is to reduce the infection cases, using this model. Also, simulating the co-infected individuals with dual infections, for different fractional derivatives are shown by [Fig. 3a](#page-16-0) and b. It is also observed that the Atangana-Baleanu derivative, records the lowest infections over time, in comparison with other fractional derivatives applied. The Atangana-Baleanu derivative gave us reduced number of infections over time, relative to Caputo and Caputo-Fabrizio derivative. Asamoah et al. [\[55\]](#page-22-0) also recorded similar trend in the behavior of the AB derivative, when comparing simulations using the three fractional derivatives for a Q fever disease. Similar trend is observed for the classes of individuals who have recovered from SARS-CoV-2 and dengue as well as the population of susceptible vectors, as depicted by [Figs. 3c](#page-16-0), d and [4](#page-17-0)a. As for the total vector population with dengue [\(Fig. 4b](#page-17-0)), the Atangana-Baleanu derivative gave the least number as compared to simulations via Caputo and CF derivatives.

Simulations of the co-infected cases for different SARS-CoV-2 contact rates using the three fractional derivatives are presented in [Figs. 5a-7](#page-17-0)b. It is observed in [Fig. 5a](#page-17-0), that as SARS-CoV-2 infection rate α_1^{δ} decreases from 9.58558 $\times 10^{-8}$ to 9.58558 $\times 10^{-11}$, there is a significant reduction in the co-
infected cases (number of persons baying both SARS-Co infected cases (number of persons having both SARS-CoV-2 and Dengue). Similar trend is also observed for individuals co-infected with SARS-CoV-2 and HIV (shown in [Fig. 5b](#page-17-0)). In the introduction section, we have reported that patients co-infected with SARS-CoV-2 and dengue can suffer worsening illness, hospitalization and deaths [\[15,17\]](#page-21-0). So reducing the co-infection of SARS-CoV-2 and dengue can cut down these worse cases in co-infected individuals. Also, we reported earlier, that individuals co-infected with SARS-CoV-2 and HIV are most likely to suffer severe illness and death [\[2\]](#page-21-0). Also, persons co-infected with HIV and SARS-CoV-2 infection can suffer great increase in cytokine production which could lead to increased viral load and subsequent immune suppression [\[2\].](#page-21-0) If co-infection cases are greatly reduced due to reduction in SARS-CoV-2 cases, then we shall equally have great reduction in cases of immune suppression and deaths which are direct consequences of the co-infection of both diseases. Similar reduction in co-infected cases are observed when the simulations are done via the Caputo-Fabrizio (presented in [Fig. 6a](#page-17-0) and b) and Atangana-Baleanu

derivatives (shown in [Fig. 7](#page-17-0)a and b). The emphasis in these simulations is not to compare the results via the different derivatives, as done for the previous simulations, but to show that with any of the fractional derivatives, SARS-CoV-2 prevention can reduce worse co-infection cases with either Dengue or HIV.

7. Conclusion

In this work, we have studied a new mathematical model for SARS-CoV-2, dengue and HIV co-dynamics, to assess the impact of SARS-CoV-2 infection on the dynamics of dengue and HIV via fractional derivatives. Some of the novelties of the current study are as follows: For the first time, we have considered a model for the co-dynamics of SARS-CoV-2, dengue and HIV. We have also considered three different fractional derivatives on this new complex model, and presented how SARS-CoV-2 could influence dengue and HIV. This has not been done before. The existence and uniqueness of solution is carried out using the Banach fixed point theorem. The stability analysis of the model is discussed in the context of Ulam-Hyers and generalized Ulam-Hyers criteria. We have applied the Laplace Adomian decomposition method, to investigate the model's approximate solutions, with the help of three different fractional derivatives, namely: Caputo, Caputo-Fabrizio and Atangana-Baleanu derivatives. We have equally established the stability of the iterative schemes for the solution of the developed model, applying some recent fixed point theorems. The model fittings, using the three fractional derivatives, were done using real data from Argentina. With the Caputo operator, the model fits well to data when the fractional order ξ $= 0.97$. With the Caputo-Fabrizio operator, the model fits well to data when the fractional order $\xi = 0.88$. Using the Atangana-Baleanu operator, the model fits well to data when the fractional order $\xi = 0.97$. Although using both Caputo and AB operators, the model fits well to data when the order is $\xi = 0.97$, the Caputo operator gave a better fit as compared to the AB operator. Simulations were also carried out with each non-integer derivative and the results thus obtained are compared. Furthermore, it was concluded that efforts to keep the spread of SARS-CoV-2 low, have a significant impact to reduce the co-infections of SARS-CoV-2 and dengue or SARS-COV-2 and HIV. We also highlighted the impact of the three fractional derivatives in analyzing complex models such as this novel co-infection model for the dynamics of three different diseases.

The current research has some limitations. In this study, so as to avoid model complexity, asymptomatic classes for SARS-CoV-2 and dengue were not considered. We also considered HIV infected compartment only without considering full blown AIDS class. These can be incorporated in a further study. In addition, Nothing is known about infection acquired or vaccine-derived cross-immunity between SARS-CoV-2, HIV and dengue. No detailed information yet, whether the current SARS-CoV-2 or dengue vaccines could have any impact on the dynamics of HIV. Thus, with more reliable data and detailed information about the interactions of the diseases, further study in this direction is much anticipated. Mutations of viral infections, including SARS-CoV-2 and dengue calls for further studies on their co-infections with other diseases. We could thus, consider a model for the co-dynamics of multi-strains of SARS-CoV-2 and dengue with HIV. Also, the proposed model in this current work did not consider triple co-infection. Future work with sufficient biological reports can also consider co-infection with the three diseases, which is possible [18]. For the data fitting, only SARS-CoV-2 daily reported data was used, as it was readily available. There was difficulty obtaining daily recorded cases for dengue and HIV. For a future study, we hope to fit the model to all the three data sets, as this will give better and more accurate estimates for the parameters, especially dengue and HIV associated parameters.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Andrew Omame: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Software, Validation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. Mujahid Abbas: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Software, Supervision, Validation, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing. Abdel-Haleem Abdel-Aty: Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Software, Validation,Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing.

Declaration of competing interest

The authors show no conflict of interest to submit this paper.

Acknowledgments

All authors are grateful to the handling editor and anonymous reviewers for their constructive comments and queries which greatly helped to improve the quality of the manuscript.

References

- [1] [The Coronavirus Resource Center, Johns Hopkins University. https://coronavirus.jhu.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080153243900) [edu/map.html. , Accessed date: 17 January 2022.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080153243900)
- [2] <https://www.who.int/en/activities/tracking-SARS-CoV-2-variants/>, Accessed date: 15 January 2022.
- [3] Lai C-C, Wang C-Y, Hsueh P-R. Co-infections among patients with COVID-19: the need for combination therapy withnon-anti-SARS-CoV-2 agents. J Microbiol Immunol Infect 2020;53:505–12. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmii.2020.05.013>.
- [4] Qin C, Zhou L, Hu Z, Zhang S, Yang S, et al. Dysregulation of immune response in patients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China. Clin Infect Dis 2020;71:762–8. [https://](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32161940/) pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32161940/.
- [5] [Suwanwongse K, Shabarek N. Clinical features and outcome of HIV/SARS-CoV-2 co](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080145007919)[infected patients in the Bronx, New York City. J Med Virol 2020;92:2387](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080145007919)–9.
- [6] [Kanwugu ON, Adadi P. HIV/SARS-CoV-2 co-infection: a global perspective. J Med](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080145019490) [Virol 2020;93:726](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080145019490)–32.
- [7] [Spinelli MA, et al. SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence, and IgG concentration and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080153432671) [pseudovirus neutralising antibody titres after infection, compared by HIV status: a](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080153432671) [matched case-control observational study. Lancet HIV 2021;8\(6\):E334](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080153432671)–41.
- [8] [Dauby N, Martin C. SARS-CoV-2 immunity and HIV infection: total recall? Lancet](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080153474625) [HIV 2021;8\(6\):e312](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080153474625)–3.
- <https://www.aidsmap.com/about-hiv/covid-19-and-coronavirus-people-living-hiv>. [10] [Hossein M, Willi M, Mohammad K, Hamid S. COVID-19 among people living with](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080145032161)
- [HIV: a systematic review. AIDS Behav 2020;30:1](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080145032161)–8. [11] <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/need-extra-precautions/hiv.html>, Accessed date: 15 January 2022.
- [12] [Nagarakanti SR, Okoh AK, Grinberg S, Bishburg E. Clinical outcomes of patients with](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080153485416) [COVID-19 and HIV coinfection. J Med Virol 2021;93\(3\):1687](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080153485416)–93.
- [13] [Xu L, Liu J, Lu M, Yang D, Zheng X. Liver injury during highly pathogenic human co](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080153494937)[ronavirus infections. Liver Int 2020;40:998](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080153494937)–1004.
- [14] [Setiati TE, Wagenaar JFP, de Kruif M, Mairuhu A. Changing epidemiology of dengue](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080145045117) [haemorrhagic fever in Indonesia. Dengue Bull 2006;30:1](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080145045117)–14.
- [15] [Azhar A, Saeed U, Piracha ZZ, Amjad A, Ahmed A. SARSCoV-2 related HIV, HBV, RSV,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080149036212) VZV, Enteric viruses, Infl [uenza, DENV, S. aureus and TB coinfections. Arch Pathol](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080149036212) [Clin Res 2021;5:026](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080149036212)–33.
- [16] [Saddique A, Rana MS, Alam MM, Ikram A, Usman M, et al. Emergence of co-infection](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080145056337) [of COVID-19 and dengue: a serious public health threat. J Infect 2020;81:16](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080145056337)–8.
- [17] [Saddique A, Rana MS, Alam MM, Ikram A, Usman M, Salman M, et al. Emergence of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080153503215) [co-infection of COVID-19 and dengue: a serious public health threat. J Infect 2020;](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080153503215) [81:e16](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080153503215)–8.
- [18] [Salvo CP, Lella ND, Lopez FS, Hugo J, Zito JG, A. Vilela coinfection dengue Y SARS-](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080145065588)[CoV-2 en paciente HIV positivo. Medicina \(Buenos Aires\) 2020;80\(6\):94](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080145065588)–6.
- [19] Darling KE, Diserens EA, N'[garambe C, Ansermet-Pagot A, Masserey E, Cavassini M,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080145383844) [Bodenmann P. A cross-sectional surveyof attitudes to HIV risk and rapid HIV testing](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080145383844) [among clients ofsex workers in Switzerland. Sex Transm Infect 2012;88:462](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080145383844)–4.
- [20] [Vezzani D, Velazquez SM, Schweigmann N. Seasonal pattern of abundance of Aedes](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080146000746) [aegypti \(Diptera: Culicidae\) in Buenos Aires city, Argentina. Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080146000746) [2004;99:351](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080146000746)–6.
- [21] PAHO. PLISA health information platform for the Americas. Pan Am. Health Organ. World Health Organ; 2019. <http://www.paho.org/data/index.php/en/>, Accessed date: 26 April 2022.
- [22] Lopez MS, Jordan DI, Blatter E, et al. Dengue emergence in the temperate argentinian province of Santa Fe, 2009–2020. Sci Data 2021;8:134. [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-021-00914-x) [s41597-021-00914-x.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-021-00914-x)
- [23] M Caputo, Linear models of dissipation whose Q is almost frequency independent, Ann Geophys 196;19(4):383-393.
- [24] Caputo M, Fabrizio M. A new defi[nition of fractional derivative without singular ker](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080146195436)[nel. Prog Fract Differ Appl 2015;1\(2\):1](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080146195436)–3.
- [25] [Atangana A, Baleanu D. New fractional derivatives with nonlocal and non-singular](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080153562752) [kernel: theory and applications to heat transfer model. Therm Sci 2016;20\(2\):](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080153562752) [763](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080153562752)–9.
- [26] Baleanu D, Abadi MH, Jajarmi A, Vahid KZ, Nieto JJ. A new comparative study on the general fractional model of COVID-19 with isolation and quarantine effects. Alex Eng J 2022;61(6):4779–91. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aej.2021.10.030.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aej.2021.10.030)
- [27] [Baleanu D, Jajarmi A, Vahid KZ, Mobayen S. A general fractional formulation and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080146468870) [tracking control for immunogenic tumor dynamics. Math Methods Appl Sci 2022;](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080146468870) [45\(2\):667](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080146468870)–80.
- [28] Baleanu D, Jajarmi A, Mohammadi H, Rezapour S. A new study on the mathematical modelling of human liver with Caputo-Fabrizio fractional derivative. Chaos Solitons Fractals 2020;134:109705. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2020.109705>.
- [29] Mansal F, Sene N. Analysis of fractional fi[shery model with reserve area in the con](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080154039802)[text of time-fractional order derivative. Chaos Solitons Fractals 2020;140:110200.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080154039802)
- [30] [Gao F, Li X, Li W, Zhou X. Stability analysis of a fractional-order novel hepatitis B](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080154067861) [virus model with immune delay based on Caputo-Fabrizio derivative. Chaos Solitons](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080154067861) [Fractals 2021;142:110436.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080154067861)
- [31] Ahmad S, Matoog RT, Alshehri NA, Khan T, ur Rahman M. Study on the mathematical modelling of COVID-19 with Caputo-Fabrizio operator. Chaos Solitons Fractals 2021; 150:111121. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2021.111121>.
- [32] [Shaikh AS, Nisar KS. Transmission dynamics of fractional order typhoid fever model](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080146492466) [using Caputo-Fabrizio operator. Chaos Solitons Fractals 2019;128:355](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080146492466)–65.
- [33] Kolebaje OT, Vincent OR, Vincent UE, McClintock PVE, Nonlinear growth and math[ematical modelling of COVID-19 in some African countries with the Atangana-](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080152088647)[Baleanu fractional. Commun Nonlinear Sci Numer Simul 2022;105:106076 deriva](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080152088647)[tive.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080152088647)
- [34] [Jajarmi A, Ghanbari B, Baleanu D. A new and ef](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080146552778)ficient numerical method for the frac[tional modelling and optimal control of diabetes and tuberculosis co-existence.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080146552778) [Chaos Interdiscip J Nonlinear Sci 2019;29\(9\):093111.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080146552778)
- [35] [Bonyah E, Sagoe AK, Devendra S, Deniz S. Fractional optimal control dynamics of co](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080147058582)ronavirus model with Mittag-Leffl[er law. Ecol Complex 2021;45:100880.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080147058582)
- [36] [Omame A, Abbas M, Onyenegecha CP. A fractional-order model for COVID-19 and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080147074024) [tuberculosis co-infection using Atangana-Baleanu derivative. Chaos Solitons Fractals](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080147074024) [2021;153\(1\):111486.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080147074024)
- [37] Omame A, Abbas M, Nwajeri UK, Onyenegecha CP. A fractional-order control model for diabetes COVID-19 co-dynamics with Mittag-Leffler function. Alex Eng J 2022;61 (10):7619–35. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aej.2022.01.012.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aej.2022.01.012)
- [38] [Sene N. SIR epidemic model with Mittag-Lef](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080154102633)fler fractional derivative. Chaos Solitons [Fractals 2020;137:109833.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080154102633)
- [39] [Yadav S, Kumar D, Singh J, Baleanu D. Analysis and dynamics of fractional order](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080154253179) [Covid-19 model with memory effect. Res Phys 2021;24:104017.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080154253179)
- [40] [Khan H, Shah R, Baleanu D, Kumam P, Arif M. Analytical solution of fractional-order](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080147162347) [hyperbolic telegraph equation, using natural transform decomposition method.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080147162347) [Electron 2019;8\(9\):1015.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080147162347)
- [41] [Khan H, Shah R, Kumam P, Arif M. Analytical solutions of fractional-order heat and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080154255005) [wave equations by the natural transform decomposition method. Entropy 2019;21](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080154255005) [\(6\):597.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080154255005)
- [42] [Jafari H, Khalique CM, Nazari M. Application of the Laplace decomposition method](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080154349298) [for solving linear and nonlinear fractional diffusion-wave equations. Appl Math](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080154349298) [Lett 2011;24\(11\):1799](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080154349298)–805.
- [43] [Carpinteri A, Mainardi F. Fractals and fractional calculus in continum mechanics.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080147211167) [Springer-Verlag Wien GmbH; 1997.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080147211167)
- [44] [Atangana A, Alkahtani BST. Analysis of the Keller-Segel model with a fractional de](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080147228616)[rivative without singular kernel. Entropy 2015;17\(6\):4439](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080147228616)–53.
- [45] [Banach S. Sur les opérations dans les ensembles abstraits et leurs applications aux](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080154393670) [équations intégrales. Fund Math 1922;3:133](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080154393670)–81.
- [46] Berinde V. Approximating fi[xed points of weak contractions using picard iteration.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080148034225) [Nonlinear Anal Forum 2004;9\(1\):43](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080148034225)–53.
- [47] AM Ostrowski , The round-off stability of iterations. Z Angew Math Mech 47 (1): 77- 81
- [48] [van den Driessche P, Watmough J. Reproduction numbers and sub-threshold en](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080147397674)[demic equilibria for compartmental models of disease transmission. Math Biosci](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080147397674) [2002;180\(1\):29](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080147397674)–48.
- [49] Ulam SM. A collection of mathematical problems. New York: 1960, p. 29.
- [50] Ulam SM. Problem in modern mathematics. Courier Co; 2004
- [51] [http://www.indexmundi.com/argentina/demographics_pro](http://www.indexmundi.com/argentina/demographics_profile)file, Accessed date: 12 February 2021.
- [52] [Garba SM, Gumel AB, Abu Bakar MR. Backward bifurcations in dengue transmission](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080154405546) [dynamics. Math Biosci 2008;215:11](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080154405546)–25.
- [53] [Okuneye KO, Velasco-Hernandez JX, Gumel AB. The "unholy" chikungunya-dengue](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080148189314)[zika trinity: a theoretical analysis. J Biol Syst 2017;25\(4\):545](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080148189314)–85.
- [54] [Nwankwo A, Okuonghae D. Mathematical analysis of the transmission dynamics of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080154420553) [HIV syphilis co-infection in the presence of treatment for syphilis. Bull Math Biol](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080154420553) [2018;80\(3\):437](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080154420553)–92.
- [55] Asamoah JKK, Okyere E, Yankson E, Opoku AA, Adom-Konadu A, Acheampong E, Arthur YD. Non-fractional and fractional mathematical analysis and simulations for Q fever. Chaos Solitons Fractals 2022;156:111821. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2022.111821) [chaos.2022.111821](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2022.111821).
- [56] [McCall J. Genetic algorithms for modelling and optimisation. J Comput ApplMath](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080155189175) [2005;184:205](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S0960-0779(22)00637-3/rf202207080155189175)–22.
- [57] Argentina: Coronavirus Pandemic Country Profile. [https://ourworldindata.org/](https://ourworldindata.org/coronavirus/country/argentina) [coronavirus/country/argentina,](https://ourworldindata.org/coronavirus/country/argentina) Accessed date: 12 March 2021.