Quantification of Trichothecene-Producing *Fusarium* Species in Harvested Grain by Competitive PCR To Determine Efficacies of Fungicides against Fusarium Head Blight of Winter Wheat

S. G. EDWARDS,* S. R. PIRGOZLIEV, M. C. HARE, AND P. JENKINSON

Crop and Environment Research Centre, Harper Adams University College, Newport, Shropshire TF10 8NB, United Kingdom

Received 16 August 2000/Accepted 19 January 2001

We developed a PCR-based assay to quantify trichothecene-producing *Fusarium* based on primers derived from the trichodiene synthase gene (*Tri5*). The primers were tested against a range of fusarium head blight (FHB) (also known as scab) pathogens and found to amplify specifically a 260-bp product from 25 isolates belonging to six trichothecene-producing *Fusarium* species. Amounts of the trichothecene-producing *Fusarium* and the trichothecene mycotoxin deoxynivalenol (DON) in harvested grain from a field trial designed to test the efficacies of the fungicides metconazole, azoxystrobin, and tebuconazole to control FHB were quantified. No correlation was found between FHB severity and DON in harvested grain, but a good correlation existed between the amount of trichothecene-producing *Fusarium* and DON present within grain. Azoxystrobin did not affect levels of trichothecene-producing *Fusarium* compared with those of untreated controls. Metconazole and tebuconazole significantly reduced the amount of trichothecene-producing *Fusarium* in harvested grain. We hypothesize that the fungicides affected the relationship between FHB severity and the amount of DON in harvested grain by altering the proportion of trichothecene-producing *Fusarium* within the FHB disease complex and not by altering the rate of DON production. The *Tri5* quantitative PCR assay will aid research directed towards reducing amounts of trichothecene mycotoxins in food and animal feed.

Fusarium head blight (FHB) (also known as scab) is a significant disease of small-grain cereals throughout Europe (33), the United States (28), Canada (15), South America (5), Asia (45), and Australia (4). Up to 17 causal organisms have been associated with the disease (33). However, *Fusarium avenaceum*, *Fusarium culmorum*, *Fusarium graminearum* (teleomorph, *Gibberella zeae*), *Fusarium poae*, and *Microdochium nivale* (teleomorph, *Monographella nivalis*) are the species most commonly associated with the disease. There is extensive prior work on the effect of FHB on grain yields of cereals. For example, several breeding programs for the selection of varieties resistant to FHB have observed losses ranging between 6 and 74% (37, 39, 40). In addition, in field trials, fungicides that successfully reduced FHB also increased yields between 17 and 80% (20, 47).

FHB also is of concern since several species that cause the disease can produce trichothecene mycotoxins. *F. culmorum*, *F. graminearum*, and *F. poae* produce type B trichothecenes such as nivalenol, deoxynivalenol (DON) (also known as vomitoxin), 3-acetyl-DON (3-ADON), 15-ADON, and fusarenon-X (35). These mycotoxins cause a wide range of acute and chronic effects in humans and animals (8). DON is the predominant trichothecene found in cereal grains in Europe and North America (35). The U.S. Food and Drug Administration advises a limit of less than 1 mg/kg for finished flour products (28). Grain samples from two epidemic years (1993 and 1994) in Minnesota had an average DON content of 8 mg/kg (22). At

* Corresponding author. Mailing address: Crop and Environment Research Centre, Harper Adams University College, Newport, Shropshire TF10 8NB, United Kingdom. Phone: 44 1952 815226. Fax: 44 1952 811375. E-mail: sedwards@harper-adams.ac.uk. present there are two major approaches to reducing DON contamination of grain: (i) application of fungicides at anthesis to reduce FHB (20) and (ii) selective breeding of cultivars resistant to *Fusarium* pathogens (29).

FHB may be caused by a complex of ear blight pathogens (9, 32). Some of these species do not produce known mycotoxins, which means that mycotoxin contamination need not correlate with visual symptoms of disease severity (25, 26). Conflicting evidence exists regarding the effect of fungicides on the development of FHB and on the concentration of trichothecene mycotoxins in grain. For example, applications of propiconazole significantly reduced the incidence of FHB caused by F. graminearum but did not affect the DON concentration in harvested grain (26). In contrast, other work has shown that propiconazole (3), triadimefon (3), thiophanate-methyl (43), and tebuconazole (41) all could reduce the severity of FHB and the accumulation of DON. However, a formulation of tebuconazole plus triadimenol applied to ears of winter wheat artificially inoculated with F. culmorum reduced symptoms of FHB but increased the nivalenol content of harvested grain 16-fold (14).

The effects of fungicides on the relationship between the severity of FHB symptoms and the amount of DON in harvested grain may be due to the fungicides either (i) altering the proportion of trichothecene-producing *Fusarium* spp. within the FHB complex or (ii) altering the rate of DON synthesis. There is evidence from in vitro studies that fungicides do alter the rate of synthesis of mycotoxins by *Fusarium* spp., but the results are contradictory. For example, cultures of *F. graminearum* grown in the presence of dicloram (500 μ g/ml) or vinclozolin (250 μ g/ml) produced less diacetoxyscirpenol than if fungicides were absent (16). Production of 3-ADON by *F. gra*

minearum was inhibited when this pathogen was grown in the presence of tebuconazole (1 μ g/ml), thiabendazole (1 μ g/ml), benomyl (1 μ g/ml), or prochloraz (5 ng/ml) (27). In contrast, the production of 3-ADON by cultures of *F. culmorum* increased fourfold when the fungus was grown in the presence of tebuconazole (0.1 μ g/ml) and threefold when it was grown in the presence of difenoconazole (0.1 μ g/ml) compared with when fungicides were absent (7). Cultures of *Fusarium sporotrichioides* exposed to sublethal doses of tridemorph (30 to 50 μ g/ml) (30) or carbendazim (5 μ g/ml) (34) increased T-2 toxin production fivefold.

Current methods of quantifying FHB pathogens include (i) visual assessment of disease severity (20), (ii) counts of infected ears (23) or spikelets (17) at postanthesis, and (iii) counts of fusarium-damaged (22) or -infected (20) kernels at harvest. These techniques estimate pathogen populations indirectly based on disease expression or, in the case of plate counts, directly based on the incidence of the FHB pathogens. Identification of the species present can be determined only by subculturing onto agar plates and examining morphological characters. Recently, molecular techniques that can estimate the biomass of one or more FHB pathogens in grain samples have been developed. An immunoassay based on the exoantigens of F. sporotrichioides which allows the quantification of Fusarium spp. within grain samples has been described (2). A technique to quantify individual species of Fusarium within plant material using competitive PCR also has been described (10). Quantification of trichothecene-producing Fusarium spp. within harvested grain could be used to determine if fungicides were affecting the proportion of trichothecene-producing Fusarium spp. within the FHB complex and hence altering the relationship between FHB severity and the amount of DON in harvested grain. Such an assay would allow this important functional fungal group, with regard to grain quality, to be quantified as part of fungicide efficacy and variety resistance studies.

The *Tri5* gene encodes trichodiene synthase (6), an enzyme that catalyzes the isomerization and cyclization of farnesyl phosphate to trichodiene (18), the first step in the biosynthetic pathway of trichothecenes. The development of *Tri5*-specific primers has allowed trichothecene-producing *Fusarium* spp. to be distinguished from nonproducing species using PCR-based assays (31). Similar primers have been used to develop a *Tri5*-specific reverse transcription-PCR assay to monitor the expression of *Tri5* in vitro and in planta (11).

The objectives of this work were (i) to develop a competitive PCR assay to quantify the amounts of trichothecene-producing *F. culmorum* and *F. graminearum* within harvested grain; (ii) to evaluate the efficacy of fungicides to control FHB caused by a mixed inoculation of *M. nivale*, *F. culmorum*, and *F. graminearum*, to reduce colonization of grain by trichothecene-producing *F. culmorum* and *F. graminearum* and to reduce DON accumulation in grain; and (iii) to determine if there was a correlation between the amounts of trichothecene-producing *F. culmorum* and *F. graminearum* and DON levels in grain samples.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Fungal material. Isolates of FHB pathogens (Table 1) were maintained in the Harper Adams culture collection as spore suspensions in 10% glycerol at -80° C.

TABLE 1. Amplification of a 260-bp *Tri5* PCR product from FMB pathogens of wheat

Species	Isolate(s)	Origin	Tri5
F. culmorum	F95, ^b F41/1, Fu36, Fc53. ^{a,b} Fc70 ^{a,b}	United Kingdom	+
	405/3, 405/11	Germany	+
	421/5, 421/14	France	+
F. gramineraum	F86, F507, Fg113, ^{<i>a,b</i>} Fg173 ^{<i>a,b</i>}	United Kingdom	+
	405/1	Germany	+
	421/1	France	+
F. poae	F733, F62, F113/1	United Kingdom	+
1	421/11	France	+
F. crookwellense	421/10, 421/19	France	+
F. sporotrichioides	421/4, 421/23	France	+
F. sambucinum	421/24, 421/26	France	+
F. avenaceum	F402, F303, 24/1, 59/1	United Kingdom	_
	405/16	Germany	_
	421/18	France	_
F. tricinctum	F308, 133/1	United Kingdom	_
	421/3	France	-
M. nivale	1/1, ^b 94/1, ^b 30/1 ^b	United Kingdom	_

^{*a*} Isolate supplied by Central Science Laboratory, York, United Kingdom. ^{*b*} Isolate used as inoculum for the field trial.

Conidial inoculum was produced using methods described previously by Hilton et al. (17).

Field trial. During the 1998 to 1999 growing season, 48 plots (10 by 2 m) of the winter wheat cultivar Equinox were established and maintained according to standard crop husbandry practices at Harper Adams University College, Shropshire, United Kingdom. Seven fungicide treatments plus a control treatment without spraying were allocated to plots according to a randomized block design with six replicates for each treatment. Fungicides (Table 2) were applied in 200 liters of water/ha using a knapsack sprayer when plants were at ear emergence (Zadoks growth stage [ZGS] 59) (48). When at midanthesis (ZGS 65), wheat ears were inoculated with a conidial suspension (total concentration of 105 spores/ml) of F. culmorum, F. graminearum, and M. nivale (1:1:1 ratio) (Table 1) at a rate of 33 ml/m² using a knapsack sprayer. Plots were mist irrigated for 21 days as described previously (17) to produce conditions conducive to FHB. Plots were assessed for severity of head blight by counting the number of infected spikelets per ear for 50 arbitrarily chosen ears 28 days after inoculation. Plots were harvested when ripe (ZGS 92) using a Seedmaster Plot combine (Wintersteiger, Ried im Innkreis, Austria). Samples of grain from each plot were analyzed using the Tri5 PCR and DON assay described below.

DNA extraction. DNA was extracted from fungal cultures using a modification of the method of Walsh et al. (44). Small pieces of aerial mycelium were removed from a potato dextrose agar (Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany) plate culture and crushed against the wall of a 1.5-ml Eppendorf tube using a sterile pipette tip. Two hundred microliters of Chelex carbon buffer (5% Chelex 100 [Sigma, Poole, United Kingdom], 1% activated charcoal [C5260; Sigma] aqueous suspension) was added. Tubes were vortexed, boiled for 10 min, left to cool for 5 min, vortexed again, and then centrifuged (12,000 \times g, 5 min). The DNA in 5 μ l of supernatant was amplified within a 25- μ l PCR mixture.

A hammer was used to crush 14 g of grain within an envelope made from a heat-sealed A4 acetate sheet. DNA was extracted from crushed grain samples using a cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) buffer (sorbitol, 23 g; *N*-lauryl sarcosine, 10 g; CTAB, 8 g; sodium chloride, 87.7 g; polyvinylpolypyrrolidone, 10 g; and water to 1 liter). Thirty milliliters of CTAB buffer was added to a 10-g sample of crushed grain in a 50-ml centrifuge tube, mixed, and incubated at 65°C for 16 h. Ten milliliters of potassium acetate (5 M) was added and mixed, and the tubes were frozen for 1 h at -20°C. The tubes were thawed, and the contents

Fungicide ^{<i>a</i>}	Rate of application (g of AI/ha)	% of spikelets infected ^b	<i>Tri</i> 5 DNA (pg/ng of total DNA) ^b	DON (mg/kg) ^c	
Metconazole	90	19 ab	1.2 a	2.0 a	
Azoxystrobin	250	25 с	10 f	12 d	
Tebuconazole	250	17 a	1.9 ab	1.9 a	
Metconazole	45	21 b	3.5 cd	5.6 bc	
Azoxystrobin	125	21 b	6.2 ef	10 d	
Tebuconazole	125	20 ab	2.5 bc	3.8 ab	
Metconazole + azoxystrobin	45 + 125	11	5.1 de	6.7 c	
Unsprayed control		30	7.6 ef	11 d	

TABLE 2. Effects of three fungicides on severity of FHB and concentrations of *Tri5* DNA and DON in harvested grain of winter wheat (cv. Equinox) inoculated at ZGS 65 with a conidial suspension of *F. culmorum*, *F. graminearum*, and *M. nivale*

^{*a*} Metconazole (flowable concentrate) manufactured by BASF Plc (Cheadle, United Kingdom), azoxystrobin (flowable concentrate) manufactured by Syngenta (Whittlesford, United Kingdom), and tebuconazole (emulsion) manufactured by Bayer Plc (Bury St Edmunds, United Kingdom).

^b For statistical analysis (analysis of variance), the percentage of spikelets infected was arcsine transformed, and Tn5 DNA was logarithmically transformed. Back-transformed mean data are presented. Transformed means were not significantly different (df = 35; P > 0.05) for values followed by the same letter.

^c DON values did not require transformation; means were not significantly different (df = 35; P > 0.05) for treatments with the same letter.

were mixed and centrifuged $(3,000 \times g, 15 \text{ min})$. A 1.3-ml aliquot of supernatant was removed and added to 0.6 ml of chloroform in a 2-ml Eppendorf tube. The contents of the tubes were mixed by gentle inversion for 1 min and then centrifuged $(12,000 \times g, 15 \text{ min})$. A 1-ml aliquot of the aqueous phase was removed to a fresh tube containing 0.8 ml of 100% isopropanol. The contents of the tubes were mixed by gentle inversion for 1 min, and the tubes were incubated at 18°C for 30 min and then centrifuged $(6,000 \times g, 15 \text{ min})$. The resulting DNA pellets were washed twice with 44% isopropanol and then air dried. Pellets were resuspended in 200 μ l of TE buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, 1 mM EDTA, pH 8.0) at 65°C for 1 h before storage at 4°C. Total DNA was quantified by spectrophotometry (Beckman Instruments manual no. 517304B; Beckman Instruments Inc., Fullerton, Calif.) and diluted to DNA concentrations of 40 and 4 ng/ μ l.

DNA was extracted from *F. culmorum* for quantitative PCR using a modification of the method described above. A 7-day-old culture of *F. culmorum* in potato dextrose broth (Merck) (20°C, 100 rpm) was filtered through sterile muslin, freeze-dried, and shaken by hand to a powder in a 50-ml centrifuge tube with five 8-mm-diameter stainless steel ball bearings. Thirty milliliters of CTAB buffer was added and incubated at 65°C for 1 h. DNA was extracted and quantified as described above for grain and diluted to 1 ng/µl.

Primer design. Primers for the *Tri5* gene (HATri/F [CAGATGGAGAACTG GATGGT] and HATri/R [GCACAAGTGCCACGTGAC]) were derived from conserved regions of *Tri5* from *F. culmorum* (38), *F. poae* (12) (EMBL accession no. U15658), *F. sporotrichioides* (18) (accession no. M27246), *F. graminearum* (36) (accession no. U22464), and *F. sambucinum* (19) (accession no. M64348) after sequence alignment using CLUSTAL W (42). The expected product size was 260 bp.

Diagnostic PCR. HATri primers were tested against a range of FHB pathogens (Table 1). PCR mixtures (25 μ l) contained a 100 μ M concentration of each nucleotide, 100 nM HATri/F and HATri/R, 20 U of Red Hot *Taq* polymerase (ABgene, Epsom, United Kingdom) per ml, 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.3), 1.5 mM MgCl₂, 50 mM KCl, 100 μ g of gelatin per ml, 0.5 mg of Tween 20 (Sigma) per ml, 0.5 mg of Nonidet P-40 (Sigma) per ml, and 5 μ l of DNA sample. Negative controls contained 5 μ l of water instead of DNA sample. The program used had 35 temperature cycles of 94°C for 15 s, 62°C for 15 s, and 72°C for 45 s. The first cycle had an extra 75 s at 94°C, and the final cycle had an extra 4 min 15 s at 72°C. PCR products were observed after electrophoresis through agarose gels (2% [wt/vol] agarose containing 0.5 μ g of ethidium bromide per ml) in TAE buffer (40 mM Tris-acetate, 1 mM EDTA, pH 8.0).

Internal standard construction. An internal standard (HATriIS) was produced from a 1.2-kb fragment of the alliinase gene from onion (EMBL accession no. L48614) based on the method of Förster (13). This fragment was amplified using primers ONI/F (TGCTCTGCTGATGTTGCCAG) and ONI/R (TACAT GGGGATGGAGGTCTC) with the same PCR conditions as described above except that the annealing temperature was 58°C. The 1.2-kb PCR product was excised from the gel after electrophoresis and placed in 1 ml of TE buffer. The gel slice was incubated at 4°C for 16 h. The DNA in a 5- μ l aliquot of gel slice solution was amplified with the linker primers (HATri/FL [ACTGGATGGTA GGAAATGCAGCGG] and HATri/RL [GCCACGTGACAAGTGCCCTCCG TG]) with a Touchup PCR program. This program is the same as that described above except that it consisted of 10 cycles with an annealing temperature of 38°C. The followed by 20 cycles with an annealing temperature of 55°C. The resulting 410-bp PCR product was excised from the gel after electrophoresis, placed in 1 ml of TE buffer, and incubated for 16 h at 4°C. This linker product consisted of 390 bp of alliinase gene bordered by the first 10 bp of the HATri primer sites. The DNA in a 5-µl aliquot of gel slice solution was amplified with HATri primers using the Touchup program. A 430-bp PCR product was excised from the gel after electrophoresis and purified using a Wizard PCR Prep Kit (Promega, Southampton, United Kingdom). This PCR product consisted of 390 bp of alliinase gene bordered by the complete HATri primer sites. Purified PCR products were quantified on a gel and then ligated into a pGEM-T Vector (Promega) and transformed into Escherichia coli JM109. White colonies were screened by amplification with the HATri primers using the conditions described above for diagnostic PCR. A selection of positive clones were grown overnight in LB broth (Merck) before plasmid DNA was extracted using a Wizard Plus SV Miniprep Kit (Promega). Internal standard plasmid DNA (HATriIS) and DNA from F. culmorum were diluted and amplified together with HATri primers as described above to determine the optimum concentration of HATriIS. This concentration provided a wide quantification range (65-fold) and the greatest sensitivity. Once this was determined, working stocks of HATriIS (7.4 fg/µl) and F. culmorum DNA standards (4 to 260 pg/µl) were prepared in the presence of 10 ng of herring sperm DNA per μ l and stored at -20° C. Storing low concentrations of DNA in the presence of herring sperm DNA increased the stability of the DNA.

Quantitative PCR. Quantitative PCRs were as described above for diagnostic PCR except that a 50-µl total volume was used, with 10 µl of DNA sample and 10 µl of HATriIS working stock. Ten-microliter *F. culmorum* standards (4 to 260 pg/µl) also were amplified with 10 µl of HATriIS in the same experiment to produce a standard curve. Three controls were used: HATriIS alone, *F. culmorum* alone, and water. Total DNA stocks of field trial samples at 4 and 40 ng/µl were amplified. Following gel electrophoresis, gels were viewed under UV light on a Gel Doc 1000 fluorescent gel documentation system (Bio-Rad Laboratories Ltd., Hemel Hempstead, United Kingdom), and unsaturated gel images were analyzed using Molecular Analyst software (Bio-Rad). PCR product ratios were determined for each standard and sample by dividing the band intensity of the *Tri5* gene product (260 bp) by that of the HATriIS product (430 bp). Since the standard curve was generated using genomic DNA of *F. culmorum* F36, the unit of DNA quantified is the amount of *Tri5* DNA present within 1 pg of *F. culmorum* F36 genomic DNA.

DON analysis. Winter wheat cultivar Equinox seed (8 g) was autoclaved at 121°C for 20 min with 3 ml of distilled water in glass universal bottles. The seed was inoculated with two mycelial plugs of an isolate of *F. culmorum* or *F. graminearum* used in the field trial or an isolate of *F. avenaceum* (Table 1). A control bottle was not inoculated. Bottles were incubated at 20°C for 2 weeks. Grain was removed from each bottle, freeze-dried, crushed as described for DNA extraction, and analyzed for DON using a Ridascreen DON enzyme immunoassay (Digen Ltd., Oxford, United Kingdom) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Statistical analysis. Analysis of variance and regression analysis were performed on all data by using Genstat 5 (release 4.1 [PC/Windows NT]; Lawes Agricultural Trust, Harpenden, United Kingdom). Data were transformed to give a normal distribution where necessary. Individual treatments were compared using the least significant difference test (df = 35, P = 0.05).

RESULTS

Visual assessment. All fungicide treatments significantly reduced the severity of FHB compared to the control treatment without spraying (Table 2). Of the fungicide treatments tested, a mixture of metconazole (45 g of active ingredient [AI]/ha) and azoxystrobin (125 g of AI/ha) provided the most effective control of FHB and was significantly better than all other treatments. Halving the recommended field dose rate of either metconazole, azoxystrobin, or tebuconazole did not significantly affect the control of FHB achieved by these fungicides. Of these three fungicides, azoxystrobin alone was the least effective at controlling disease.

Diagnostic PCR. HATri primers amplified a single PCR product of 260 bp from genomic DNAs of our isolates of *F. culmorum*, *F. graminearum*, *F. poae*, *F. sambucinum*, *F. sporotrichioides*, and *F. crookwellense* but not from our isolates of *F. avenaceum*, *F. tricinctum*, and *M. nivale* (Table 1).

Quantitative PCR. Most grain samples amplified at the 40ng/µl concentration resulted in PCR product ratios greater than those of the F. culmorum standards. All samples amplified at the 4-ng/µl concentration resulted in PCR product ratios within the range of the F. culmorum DNA standards, so we quantified the Tri5 gene at this concentration. A log-log plot of PCR product ratio against Tri5 DNA concentration for the F. culmorum DNA standards gave a linear regression of y = 1.15+ 0.69x ($r^2 = 0.97$). PCR product ratios were converted to DNA concentrations (picograms per nanogram of total DNA) using the above formula (Table 2). Azoxystrobin at both concentrations and the metconazole-azoxystrobin mixture had no significant effect on the Tri5 DNA concentration within harvested grain. The most effective treatments at reducing Tri5 DNA levels were metconazole and tebuconazole at the higher rates of application.

DON analysis. The DON immunoassay kits quantify the combined concentrations of DON, 3-ADON, and 15-ADON. All of the isolates of *F. culmorum* and *F. graminearum* that we tested were producers of DON. The amounts of DON produced after 2 weeks of incubation ranged from 2.5 to 25 mg/kg. DON was not detected in grain inoculated with isolates of *F. avenaceum* or the uninoculated control. The assay's detection limit was 12.5 μ g of DON per kg. Table 2 shows the DON concentration within harvested grain samples for each fungicide treatment. Azoxystrobin at both concentrations had no significant effect on the DON concentration. The most effective treatments for reducing DON levels were metconazole and tebuconazole at the higher rates of application.

Regression analysis of all transformed data sets showed no correlation (P > 0.05) between either the percentage of spikelets infected and *Tri5* DNA concentration or the percentage of spikelets infected and DON concentration. However, a highly significant correlation (P < 0.001) did exist between the log of the *Tri5* DNA concentration and the DON concentration (Fig. 1). Individual treatments are indicated on the regression plot.

DISCUSSION

We developed a quantitative PCR assay for the trichodiene synthase gene (*Tri5*) of trichothecene-producing *Fusarium*. The developed assay allowed us to accurately assess the ability of fungicides to control trichothecene-producing *F. culmorum*



FIG. 1. Relationship between *Tri5* DNA and DON concentration in grain of winter wheat (cv. Equinox) harvested from field plots sprayed with metconazole (90 g of AI/ha) (**I**), azoxystrobin (250 g of AI/ha) (**O**), tebuconazole (250 g of AI/ha) (**A**), metconazole (45 g of AI/ha) (**O**), tebuconazole (250 g of AI/ha) (**O**), tebuconazole (125 g of AI/ha) (**O**), or metconazole (45 g of AI/ha) (**O**), tebuconazole (125 g of AI/ha) (**O**) at ZGS 59 or from an unsprayed control plot (\diamond). Regression analysis indicated a strong correlation (y = 11x + 0.44; $r^2 =$ 0.76; P < 0.001).

and *F. graminearum* within a head blight complex containing *M. nivale* (which does not produce trichothecene). The *Tri5* primers (HATri/F and HATri/R) were designed within two highly conserved regions of the *Tri5* gene of *Fusarium* spp. (31). The primer sites are positioned at bases 1106 to 1123 and 1346 to 1363 of the *Gibberella pulicaris Tri5* sequence (19). The HATri primers amplified all trichothecene-producing *Fusarium* spp. tested to produce a single PCR product of 260 bp. *F. avenaceum* and *F. tricinctum* are usually considered trichothecene nonproducers (31, 38). All of the *F. avenaceum* isolates that we tested were negative in the *Tri5* PCR assay and did not produce DON in culture when tested. However, there are some reports of *F. avenaceum* isolates that produce DON (1, 21).

Previous work has shown that visual disease assessments of the FHB and DON contents of grain may (3, 24) or may not (25, 26) be closely correlated. We found no correlation between visual assessments of FHB and the concentration of trichothecene-producing *F. culmorum* and *F. graminearum* or DON content within harvested grain. Azoxystrobin is known to be active against *M. nivale* (S. J. E. West, personal communication), and metconazole and tebuconazole were shown in this trial to be active against trichothecene-producing *F. culmorum* and *F. graminearum*. These differences in fungicidal activity against members of the FHB complex probably altered the proportion of trichothecene-producing *Fusarium* within the complex and could have resulted in the absence of a relationship between FHB severity and the amount of DON in harvested grain. Visual assessments showed that the combination of azoxystrobin and metconazole was the most effective treatment at reducing FHB symptoms, probably because this mixture controls both *M. nivale* and *Fusarium* spp.

Regression analysis of trichothecene-producing Fusarium and DON in harvested grain showed a good correlation between biomass and the amount of DON produced. There is no obvious cluster of an individual treatment above or below the regression line. If an individual treatment resulted in a cluster above or below the regression line, this would indicate that this treatment increased or decreased, respectively, the amount of DON produced per Tri5 copy present. The lack of any obvious cluster indicates that in the field, these fungicides did not influence the DON concentration within grain other than by altering the amount of trichothecene-producing Fusarium present. This conclusion is contrary to the results of several in vitro studies (7, 16, 27, 30, 34) which have shown that various fungicides, including tebuconazole, alter the amount of mycotoxin produced per unit of fungal biomass. For example, Doohan et al. (11) showed that expression of Tri5 increased 1.7-fold in the presence of 8 µg of tebuconazole per ml. However, a small increase in synthesis rate over what may be a short time is unlikely to cause a significant increase in DON concentration relative to the amount of trichothecene-producing Fusarium when grain is harvested.

In our study, the correlation between Tri5 DNA and DON concentrations occurred in an inoculated-field trial. This relationship will probably be weaker for field samples, which may differ in the trichothecene-producing isolates present. Isolates may differ in their ability to produce DON or, in the case of *F. graminearum*, in the relative amounts of DON and nivalenol that they produce. Despite these potential problems, good correlations were found between *Fusarium* exoantigens and DON in harvested grain from field samples (2). However, different correlations existed for hard red spring wheat in Manitoba, Canada, and soft white winter wheat in Ontario, Canada, indicating that the relationship between the amounts of *Fusarium* spp. and DON in harvested grain may be affected by host and/or environmental conditions.

Azoxystrobin is recommended as a control for late ear diseases of wheat in the United Kingdom (46). Azoxystrobin and trifloxystrobin are known to control *M. nivale* and sooty molds, but they have very limited activity against *Fusarium* spp. (S. J. E. West, personal communication). We recommend that these strobilurins be used only in combination with fungicides that do control *Fusarium* spp. if DON reduction is an objective. The *Tri5* PCR assay showed that the most effective fungicides against trichothecene-producing *F. culmorum* and *F. graminearum* were metconazole and tebuconazole.

Trichothecene mycotoxins are important to human and animal health. The *Tri5* PCR assay provides a valuable tool in the selection of cultivars and fungicides that are more effective against trichothecene-producing *Fusarium* and thus should benefit research aimed at reducing trichothecene mycotoxins within food and animal feed.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

S.R.P. was supported by Cyanamid UK Ltd.

We thank Digen Ltd., Oxford, United Kingdom, for donating the Ridascreen DON and DON Fast enzyme immunoassay kits used in this study and Phil Jennings, Central Science Laboratory, York, United Kingdom, for providing *Fusarium* isolates.

REFERENCES

- Abramson, D., R. M. Clear, and D. M. Smith. 1993. Trichothecene production by *Fusarium* spp. isolated from Manitoba grain. Can. J. Plant Pathol. 15:147–152.
- Abramson, D., Z. Gan, R. M. Clear, J. Gilbert, and R. R. Marquardt. 1998. Relationships among deoxynivalenol, ergosterol and the *Fusarium* exoantigens in Canadian hard and soft wheat. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 45:217–224.
- Boyacioglu, D., N. S. Hettiarachchy, and R. W. Stack. 1992. Effect of three systemic fungicides on deoxynivalenol (vomitoxin) production by *Fusarium* graminearum in wheat. Can. J. Plant Sci. 72:93–101.
- Burgess, L. W., T. A. Klein, W. L. Bryden, and N. F. Tobin. 1987. Head blight of wheat caused by *Fusarium graminearum* Group 1 in NSW in 1983. Aust. J. Plant Pathol. 16:72–78.
- de Galich, M. T. V. 1996. Fusarium head blight in Argentina, p. 19–28. *In* H. J. Dubin, L. Gilchrist, J. Reeves, and A. McNab (ed.), Fusarium head scab: global status and future prospects. International Maize and Wheat Improvement Center, Mexico City, Mexico.
- Desjardins, A. E., T. M. Hohn, and S. McCormick. 1992. Effect of gene disruption of trichodiene synthase on the virulence of *Gibberella pulicaris*. Mol. Plant-Microbe Interact. 5:214–222.
- D'Mello, J. P. F., A. M. C. Macdonald, D. Postel, W. T. P. Dijksma, A. Dujardin, and C. M. Placinta. 1998. Pesticide use and mycotoxin production in *Fusarium* and *Aspergillus* phytopathogens. Eur. J. Plant Pathol. 104:741–751.
- D'Mello, J. P. F., C. M. Placinta, and A. M. C. Macdonald. 1999. *Fusarium* mycotoxins: a review of global implications for animal health, welfare and productivity. Anim. Feed Sci. Tech. 80:183–205.
- Doohan, F. M., D. W. Parry, P. Jenkinson, and P. Nicholson. 1998. The use of species-specific PCR-based assays to analyse *Fusarium* ear blight of wheat. Plant Pathol. 47:197–205.
- Doohan, F. M., D. W. Parry, and P. Nicholson. 1999. *Fusarium* ear blight of wheat: the use of quantitative PCR and visual disease assessment in studies of disease control. Plant Pathol. 48:209–217.
- Doohan, F. M., G. Weston, H. N. Rezanoor, D. W. Parry, and P. Nicholson. 1999. Development and use of a reverse transcription-PCR assay to study expression of *Tri5* by *Fusarium* species in vitro and in planta. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 65:3850–3854.
- Fekete, C., A. Logrieco, G. Giczey, and L. Hornok. 1997. Screening of fungi for the presence of trichodiene synthase encoding sequence by hybridization to the *Tri5* gene cloned from *Fusarium poae*. Mycopathologia 138:91–97.
- Förster, E. 1994. An improved general method to generate internal standards for competitive PCR. BioTechniques 16:18–20.
- Gareis, M., and J. Ceynowa. 1994. Influence of the fungicide Matador (tebuconazole and triadimenol) on mycotoxin production by *Fusarium culmorum*. Z. Lebensm. Unters. Forsch. 198:244–248.
- Gilbert, J., and A. Tekauz. 2000. Recent developments in research in fusarium head blight of wheat in Canada. Can. J. Plant Pathol. 22:1–8.
- Hasan, H. A. H. 1993. Fungicide inhibition of aflatoxins, diacetoxyscirpenol and zearalenone production. Folia Microbiol. 38:295–298.
- Hilton, A. J., P. Jenkinson, T. W. Hollins, and D. W. Parry. 1999. Relationship between cultivar height and severity of Fusarium ear blight in wheat. Plant Pathol. 48:202–208.
- Hohn, T. M., and P. D. Beremand. 1989. Isolation and nucleotide sequence of sesquiterpene cyclase gene from the trichothecene-producing fungus *Fusarium sporotrichioides*. Gene 79:131–138.
- Hohn, T. M., A. E. Desjardins, and S. P. McCormick. 1993. Analysis of *Tox5* gene expression in *Gibberella pulicaris* strains from different trichothecene production phenotypes. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 59:2359–2363.
- Hormdock, S., H. Fehrmann, and R. Beck. 2000. Effects of field application of tebuconazole on yield, yield components and the mycotoxin content of *Fusarium*-infected wheat grain. J. Phytopathol. 148:1–6.
- Hussein, H. M., M. Baxter, I. G. Andrew, and R. A. Franich. 1991. Mycotoxin production by *Fusarium* species isolated from New Zealand maize fields. Mycopathologia 113:506–511.
- Jones, R. K., and C. J. Mirocha. 1999. Quality parameters in small grains from Minnesota affected by fusarium head blight. Plant Dis. 83:506–511.
- Lacy, J., G. L. Bateman, and C. J. Mirocha. 1999. Effects of infection time and moisture on development of ear blight and deoxynivalenol production by *Fusarium* spp. in wheat. Ann. Appl. Biol. 134:277–283.
- Lemmens, M., R. Josephs, R. Schumacher, H. Grausgruber, H. Buerstmayr, P. Ruckenbauer, G. Neuhold, M. Fidesser, and R. Krska. 1997. Head blight (*Fusarium* spp.) on wheat: investigations on the relationship between disease symptoms and mycotoxin content. Cereal Res. Commun. 25:459–465.
- Liu, W. Z., W. Langseth, H. Skinnes, O. N. Elen, and L. Sundheim. 1997. Comparison of visual head blight ratings, seed infection levels, and deoxynivalenol production for assessment of resistance in cereals inoculated with *Fusarium culmorum*. Eur. J. Plant Pathol. 103:589–595.
- Martin, R. A., and H. W. Johnston. 1982. Effects and control of *Fusarium* diseases of cereal grains in the Atlantic Provinces. Can. J. Plant Pathol. 4:210–216.
- 27. Matthies, A., and H. Buchenauer. 1996. Investigations on the action of

different active ingredients on the biosynthesis of mycotoxins in *Fusarium culmorum* and *Fusarium graminearum*. p. 199–204. *In* H. Lyr, P. E. Russell, and H. D. Sisler (ed.), Modern fungicides and antifungal compounds. Intercept Ltd., Andover, United Kingdom.

- McMullen, M., R. Jones, and D. Gallenberg. 1997. Scab of wheat and barley: a re-emerging disease of devastating impact. Plant Dis. 81:1340–1348.
- Mesterhazy, A., T. Bartok, C. G. Mirocha, and R. Komoroczy. 1999. Nature of wheat resistance to Fusarium head blight and the role of deoxynivalenol for breeding. Plant Breeding 118:97–110.
- Moss, M. O., and J. M. Frank. 1985. Influence of the fungicide tridemorph on T2 toxin production of *Fusarium sporotrichioides*. Trans. Br. Mycol. Soc. 84:585–590.
- Niessen, L. M., and R. F. Vogel. 1998. Group specific PCR-detection of potential trichothecene-producing Fusarium-species in pure culture and cereal samples. Syst. Appl. Microbiol. 21:618–631.
- 32. Parry, D. W., T. R. Pettitt, P. Jenkinson, and A. K. Lees. 1994. The cereal *Fusarium* complex, p. 301–320. *In* P. Blakeman and B. Williamson (ed.), Ecology of plant pathogens. CAB International, Wallingford, United Kingdom.
- Parry, D. W., P. Jenkinson, and L. McLeod. 1995. Fusarium ear blight (scab) in small grain cereals—a review. Plant Pathol. 44:207–238.
- 34. Placinta, C. M., A. M. C. Macdonald, J. B. F. D'Mello, and R. Harling. 1996. The influence of carbendazim on mycotoxin production in *Fusarium sporotrichioides*, p. 415–416. *In* Proceedings of the Brighton Crop Protection Conference 1996: Pests and Diseases. British Crop Protection Council, Farnham, United Kingdom.
- Placinta, C. M., J. B. F. D'Mello, and A. M. C. Macdonald. 1999. A review of world contamination of cereal grains and animal feed with *Fusarium* mycotoxins. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol. 78:21–37.
- Proctor, R. H., T. M. Hohn, and S. P. McCormick. 1995. Reduced virulence of *Gibberella zeae* caused by disruption of a trichothecene toxin biosynthetic gene. Mol. Plant-Microbe Interact. 8:593–601.
- Saur, L. 1991. Sources of resistance to headblight caused by *Fusarium cul-morum* in bread wheat and related species. Agronomie 11:535–541.

- Smith, P. 1997. Ph.D. thesis. Trichodiene synthase and the role of trichothecenes in *Fusarium* spp. University of East Anglia, Norwich, United Kingdom.
- Snijders, C. H. A. 1990. Fusarium head blight and mycotoxin contamination of wheat, a review. Neth. J. Plant Pathol. 96:187–198.
- Snijders, C. H. A., and J. Perkowski. 1990. Effects of headblight caused by Fusarium culmorum on toxin content and weight of wheat kernels. Phytopathology 80:566–570.
- 41. Suty, A., A. Mauler-Machnik, and R. Courbon. 1996. New findings on the epidemiology of Fusarium ear blight on wheat and its control with tebuconazole, p. 511–516. *In* Proceedings of the Brighton Crop Protection Conference 1996: Pests and Diseases. British Crop Protection Council, Farnham, United Kingdom.
- 42. Thompson, J. D., D. G. Higgins, and T. J. Gibson. 1994. CLUSTAL W: improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, position-specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. Nucleic Acids Res. 22:4673–4680.
- Ueda, S., and T. Yoshizawa. 1988. Effect of fungicides on abakabi-byo and trichothecene production in wheat and barley. Proc. Jpn. Assoc. Mycotoxicol. 28(Suppl. 1):234–235.
- Walsh, P. S., D. A. Metzger, and R. Higuchi. 1991. Chelex 100 as a medium for simple extraction of DNA for PCR-based typing from forensic material. BioTechniques 10:506–513.
- 45. Wang, Y. Z. 1996. Epidemiology and management of wheat scab in China, p. 97–105. In H. J. Dubin, L. Gilchrist, J. Reeves, and A. McNab (ed.), Fusarium head scab: global status and future prospects. International Maize and Wheat Improvement Center, Mexico City, Mexico.
- Whitehead, R. 1999. The United Kingdom pesticide guide 1999. CAB International, Wallingford, United Kingdom.
- Wong, L. S. L., A. Tekauz, D. Leisle, D. Abramson, and R. I. H. McKenzie. 1992. Prevalence, distribution and importance of *Fusarium* headblight in wheat in Manitoba. Can. J. Plant Pathol. 14:233–238.
- Zadoks, J. C., T. T. Chang, and C. F. Konzak. 1974. A decimal code for the growth stages of cereals. Weed Res. 14:415–421.