



Impact of coronavirus 2019 pandemic on post-traumatic stress disorder symptoms among pregnant women in Jordan

Women's Health
Volume 18: 1–12
© The Author(s) 2022
Article reuse guidelines:
sagepub.com/journals-permissions
DOI: 10.1177/17455057221112935
journals.sagepub.com/home/whe



Mo'ath F Bataineh^{1*}, Maysm N Mohamad², Ayesha S Al Dhaheri²,
Monketh Rawashdeh³, Ali M Al-Nawaiseh¹, Fida F Asali⁴,
Mona Hashim⁵ , Amjad Jarrar², Dima O Abu Jamous⁶,
Lily Stojanovska^{2,7}, Rameez Al Daour⁵, Sheima T Saleh⁵,
Tareq M Osaili^{5,8} and Leila Cheikh Ismail^{5,9*} 

Abstract

Objective: To examine the prevalence of psychological symptoms of the coronavirus disease 2019 outbreak among pregnant women and its association with gestational age and post-traumatic stress disorder symptoms.

Methods: A cross-sectional study was conducted between June and November 2020 to assess the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on mental health in pregnancy using the Impact of Event Scale-Revised. Pregnant women 18 years and older were recruited from antenatal and obstetrics clinics in Jordan. A total of 481 pregnant women participated in an online survey developed on Google Forms. A self-administered structured questionnaire was used to collect sociodemographic data, mental health information, and lifestyle changes. The Impact of Event Scale-Revised, the Perceived Support Scale, and the Mental Health Lifestyle Scale were administered. Variables related to sociodemographic information and dietary behavior and perception during the COVID-19 pandemic were also assessed.

Results: The results showed that 58.6% of pregnant women reported the presence of post-traumatic stress disorder symptoms and women in the second trimester were more likely to show post-traumatic stress disorder symptoms compared with the first and third trimesters ($p=0.001$). Moreover, a higher level of education, employment, poor dietary habits, and changes due to the pandemic were significantly associated with the Impact of Event Scale-Revised score and the presence of post-traumatic stress disorder symptoms.

Conclusion: The COVID-19 pandemic was associated with high rates of psychological distress among pregnant women. Identifying mothers at risk of post-traumatic stress disorder symptoms may help improve maternity services and prevent adverse child outcomes.

Keywords

COVID-19 pandemic, Jordan, pregnancy, psychological distress, post-traumatic stress disorder symptoms

Date received: 8 March 2022; revised: 8 June 2022; accepted: 23 June 2022

¹Department of Sport Rehabilitation, Faculty of Physical Education and Sport Sciences, The Hashemite University, Zarqa, Jordan

²Department of Nutrition and Health, College of Medicine and Health Sciences, United Arab Emirates University, Al Ain, United Arab Emirates

³Obstetrics and Gynecology Clinic, Al-Amal Hospital, Amman, Jordan

⁴Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Physical Education and Sport Sciences, The Hashemite University, Zarqa, Jordan

⁵Department of Clinical Nutrition and Dietetics, College of Health Sciences, University of Sharjah, Sharjah, United Arab Emirates

⁶Research Institute of Medical and Health Sciences, University of Sharjah, Sharjah, United Arab Emirates

⁷Institute for Health and Sport, Victoria University, Melbourne, VIC, Australia

⁸Department of Nutrition and Food Technology, Faculty of Agriculture, Jordan University of Science and Technology, Irbid, Jordan

⁹Nuffield Department of Women's & Reproductive Health, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

*These authors have contributed equally to this work

Corresponding author:

Leila Cheikh Ismail, Department of Clinical Nutrition and Dietetics, College of Health Sciences, University of Sharjah, 27272 Sharjah, United Arab Emirates.

Email: lcheikhismail@sharjah.ac.ae



Introduction

Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) emerged by the end of 2019 as a highly infectious disease spreading exponentially worldwide.¹ This pandemic resulted in an unprecedented global public health threat and required hospitalization for a large number of moderate to severe cases. Hence, it inevitably overwhelmed health sectors in most countries worldwide.² By 1 June 2022, and over after 2 years of the virus' emergence, there are 530 million confirmed cases and over 6.2 deaths worldwide.³ In response to the pandemic, governments were obliged to initiate several immediate intervention measures that led to severe social and economic repercussions.

The Hashemite Kingdom of Jordan, a country in the Middle East region, initiated its first national response to COVID-19 on 27 February 2020, by prohibiting non-Jordanian passengers from high-risk countries to enter the country. As the number of reported cases increased; educational institutes, tourist sites, and restaurants were closed, and all public events were prohibited. Moreover, borders were closed and travel between governorates in Jordan was suspended. On 20 March, Jordan declared a state of emergency and initiated a nationwide lockdown.⁴ Up to the 7 June 2022, a total of 1,697,271 cases and 14,068 deaths were reported in Jordan according to the World Health Organization (WHO).³

The strict measures of home isolation, quarantine, social distancing, the uncertainty of the future, and overall movement restriction resulted in drastic modifications of the usual routine and impacted the mental health of the general population.⁵⁻⁷ The psychological impact and coping mechanisms for such outbreaks have been previously studied amid the severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) outbreak. A high rate of psychiatric and post-traumatic illnesses was reported⁸ and the negative mental impact was primarily observed among females and those who were less educated.⁹ Similarly, elevated levels of anxiety and depression were indicated during the COVID-19 pandemic,¹⁰ and a moderate to severe negative impact on mental health was reported among the general population.^{11,12} In particular, women had higher post-traumatic stress symptoms and more prominent negative psychological impact during the COVID-19 pandemic.¹³

Pregnancy is a period of physical, psychological, hormonal, and social changes, thus there is an increased risk of emotional distress and psychological issues during this stage of a woman's life.¹⁴ There is an increased level of concern regarding the mental health of pregnant women as they are more prone to having psychiatric disorders of which depression is the most common.¹⁵ According to the WHO, 10% of pregnant women worldwide experience a mental disorder and the percentage is higher in developing countries with a prevalence of 15.6%.¹⁶ Researchers propose that mental disorders prevalence may increase significantly during the COVID-19 pandemic as psychological

distress increases in cases of stressful life events and disease outbreaks.¹⁷

Given the mental and physical changes during pregnancy, pregnant women are more likely to be affected by the spread of the virus making them more vulnerable than the general population.¹⁸ Several studies that investigated the psychological impact on pregnant women found a moderate to severe stressful influence in this vulnerable group.^{19,20} Moreover, it has been suggested that the COVID-19 pandemic had aggravated anxiety among pregnant women.^{21,22} Numerous factors increase the level of concern and anxiety among pregnant women in this unprecedented context. These include changes in their birth plans where intended family members may not be present to provide support during childbirth. Others include the anxiety of antenatal care follow up due to infection risk.²¹ Furthermore, the increasing number of confirmed COVID-19 cases worldwide and information from media about the pandemic may further worsen stress and anxiety levels.

Studies have shown that gestational age may be related to the extent of risk perception and anxiety. However, contradicting data are available in the literature in that regard. Nonetheless, additional precautions must be rooted for when it comes to pregnant women owing to their weakened immune system and their susceptibility to prenatal anxiety, stress, and depression.²³ In addition to stress, malnutrition and physical inactivity could also influence immune and central nervous system (CNS) functions in the mother and her fetus, thus raising the risk for neurodevelopmental and mental disorders.²⁴

Limited research on the consequences of this pandemic among Arab pregnant women is available. Therefore, this study aimed to examine the prevalence of psychological symptoms of the COVID-19 outbreak among pregnant women in Jordan and investigate associations between gestational age and post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) symptoms amid the pandemic. The authors hypothesized that social and mental practices, lifestyle behaviors, and gestational age are associated with PTSD symptoms among pregnant women.

Methodology

Study design and participants

This cross-sectional research was conducted between June and November 2020 in Jordan to assess the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on mental health. The inclusion criteria were pregnant women who were ≥ 18 years and residing in Jordan. Participants were recruited from antenatal and obstetrics clinics. Pregnant women with a previous history of chronic diseases, preterm delivery, and abortion were excluded from this study.

The sample size was calculated using G*Power software (version 3.1.9.2) for the nonparametric one-way

analysis of variance (ANOVA) with three groups. The calculation revealed the need for a sample size of 348 participants (a minimum of 116 participants in each trimester) to detect a medium effect size (0.25) with a significance level set at $p < 0.05$ and power as 0.99. A total of 481 pregnant women participated in the study.

The questionnaire was developed using Google Document Forms in both English and Arabic languages. Before launching the online survey, a pilot test was conducted on 30 pregnant women to ensure clarity and cultural appropriateness. Data from the pilot test were not included in the final analysis of the study. A uniform resource locator (URL) link was generated and disseminated among pregnant women from antenatal and obstetrics clinics in Jordan (a total of 20 clinics in the three main regions of the country: North, Central, and South). An information sheet about the study and its objective was offered on the first page of the online survey. Women who read the information and provide an electronic consent were able to proceed with answering and submitting the survey. Participants were allowed to exit the questionnaire at any point and data were collected anonymously. No incentives were provided for participation or for the completion of the survey. The study protocol was approved by the Hashemite University Institutional Review Board (16/11/2000951). An electronic informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Data collection

A self-administered structured questionnaire was used to collect sociodemographic data, mental health information, and lifestyle changes. Sociodemographic data included age, the governorate of residence, educational level, employment status, work/study from home, pregnancy trimester, and self-reported weight and height. The psychological impact of COVID-19 was assessed using the Impact of Event Scale-Revised (IES-R),²⁵ the social and family support impact was evaluated using questions from the Perceived Support Scale (PSS),⁹ lifestyle changes were assessed using the Mental Health Lifestyle Scale (MHLSS).⁹ The full questionnaire is provided as a supplementary file.

IES-R Scoring. The IES-R is a 22-item questionnaire²⁵ that has been used recently to measure mental health symptoms experienced by the general population during the COVID-19 pandemic.^{26–28} Participants were asked to rate the items based on how distressing they were for them concerning the COVID-19 pandemic in the past 7 days. The response options for each question were scored based on a 5-point Likert-type scale, 0 = not at all and 4 = extremely. A total score was then generated ranging from 0 to 88. The total IES-R score was considered normal (IES-R \leq 22); or indicative of PTSD (IES-R $>$ 22).

Three subscale scores were also calculated measuring intrusion (8 items), avoidance (8 items), and hyperarousal (6 items).

Indicators of negative mental health impact. This section included six validated questions about negative mental health effects caused by the COVID-19 pandemic.⁹ Participants were asked if they felt horrified, apprehensive, or helpless due to COVID-19 the pandemic. Participants were also asked if they were experiencing increased stress from work, financial status, and staying at home during the current pandemic. The response options were much decreased, decreased, same as before, increased, and much increased. For the purpose of the study analysis, the responses from each question were transformed into a dichotomous response and classified into either changed or unchanged.

Social and family support. This part contained questions from the PSS assessing the influence of the COVID-19 pandemic on the support received from family or friends in the past month.⁹ It contained five questions: support from family members, support from friends, sharing feelings with a family member, sharing feelings when in blue, and caring for family members' feelings. The response options were much decreased, decreased, same as before, increased, and much increased. For the purpose of the study analysis, the responses from each question were transformed into a dichotomous response and classified into either changed or unchanged.

Mental health-related lifestyle changes. Questions from the MHLSS⁹ were included to rate the frequency of mental health-related lifestyle changes that might have disturbed participants during the COVID-19 pandemic. This section included four items; attention to mental health, spending enough time to rest, relax, and exercise. The response options were much increased, increased, same as before, decreased, and much decreased. For the purpose of the study analysis, the responses from each question were transformed into a dichotomous response and classified into either changed or unchanged.

Dietary behavior and perception. This section included a total of 13 items regarding dietary behavior and perception during the COVID-19 pandemic. Questions were adapted from previously published research on dietary habits during the COVID-19 pandemic.^{29,30} First item inquired whether participants were consuming any type of supplement as an immune-boosting method. Items 2–6 inquired about the nature of most meals consumed during the pandemic (homemade, frozen food, fast food, restaurants, healthy food). The last seven items inquired about what the participants perceived as an immune-boosting method such as eating a balanced diet, taking supplements, engaging in

physical activity, drinking adequate fluids, consuming herbs or spices, proper sleep, and stress management.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was carried out using SPSS version 26.0 (IBM, Chicago, IL, USA). Normality of data was tested using Kolmogorov–Smirnov test. All data were presented with the use of frequency and percentages for the categorical variables and median and interquartile range (IQR) for the continuous variables. Comparisons were performed using the chi-square test (χ^2) for categorical variables and the Kruskal–Wallis H test for continuous variables. All statistical tests were carried out using two-sided tests. Two multivariate regression tests were carried out to assess the effect of possible confounding factors on the presence of PTSD symptoms and the total IES-R score. The first was a generalized linear model based on a Poisson distribution and considered the IES-R score as a continuous variable. The second was a logistic regression and used a binary coding of the IES-R score into 0 for lack of PTSD symptoms (IES-R score of less than or equal to 22) and 1 for the presence of PTSD symptoms (IES-R score greater than 22). The variables included in the final multivariate regression tests were selected with the use of a univariate general linear model, with the use of a cut-off value of $p < 0.20$ to be included. Statistical significance was obtained with a p value < 0.05 .

Results

Table 1 shows the sociodemographic characteristics of the 481 pregnant women who participated in this study. Overall, the majority of participants were in the age group 26–35 years (59.3%), living in the central region of the country (67.8%), in their third trimester into pregnancy (39.1%), overweight (43.0%), well-educated (64.5%, bachelor's degree or higher), unemployed (55.3%), sleep deprived (53.8%), and did not work from home (75.1%).

As part of the study hypotheses, Table 2 shows an expected association between the presence of PTSD symptoms and gestational age, sociodemographic, lifestyle, and mental variables. Overall, 58.6% of pregnant women reported the presence of PTSD symptoms with the least prevalence during the first trimester ($p = 0.001$). In general, participants with PTSD symptoms were more likely to have higher level of education ($p = 0.008$), were employed ($p = 0.040$), changed seeking support from friends ($p = 0.003$), changed seeking support from family ($p < 0.001$), changed sharing feelings with family ($p < 0.001$), changed sharing feelings with others ($p < 0.001$), changed caring for family feelings ($p < 0.001$), changed attention to mental health ($p < 0.001$), changed time spent to rest ($p = 0.006$), changed time spent to relax ($p = 0.004$), changed time spent to exercise ($p = 0.002$),

Table 1. Sociodemographic characteristics of pregnant women participants ($n = 481$).

Variables	<i>n</i> (%)
Age (years)	
18–25	103 (21.4)
26–35	285 (59.3)
36–45	93 (19.3)
Region of the country	
North	64 (13.3)
Central	326 (67.8)
South	91 (18.9)
Trimester into pregnancy	
First	149 (31.0)
Second	144 (29.9)
Third	188 (39.1)
Body mass index categories	
Underweight	4 (0.8)
Normal weight	167 (34.7)
Overweight	207 (43.0)
Obese	103 (21.4)
Education level	
Elementary	24 (5.0)
High school	85 (17.7)
Diploma	62 (12.9)
Bachelor's degree	250 (52.0)
Masters/doctorate	60 (12.5)
Employment status	
Employed (full, part, and self)	215 (44.7)
Unemployed	266 (55.3)
Proper Sleep	
Yes	222 (46.2)
No	259 (53.8)
Working/studying from home	
Yes	79 (16.4)
No	361 (75.1)
Not applicable	41 (8.5)

changed stress from work ($p < 0.001$), changed financial stress ($p = 0.021$), changed home stress ($p < 0.001$), changed horrified feelings from pandemic ($p < 0.001$), changed apprehensive feeling ($p < 0.001$), and changed feeling helpless ($p < 0.001$) in comparison with participants who did not show changes in these practices. In contrast, the presence of PTSD symptoms was not significantly associated with age geographic location, body mass index (BMI), working from home, proper sleep, practicing physical activity, managing stress, eating homemade food, eating frozen food, eating fast food, eating in restaurants, eating healthy food, consuming immune boosters, balanced diet, consuming supplements, drinking water adequately, and using herbs ($p > 0.05$).

As presented in Table 3, the number of pregnant women that showed the presence of PTSD symptoms (IES-R score > 22) was 75 out of 149 (50.3%), 102 out of 144 (70.8%), and 105 out of 188 (55.9%) in the first, second,

Table 2. Association of sociodemographic, lifestyle, and dietary variables with the presence of posttraumatic stress disorder symptoms among pregnant women ($n = 481$).

Variables	Total n (%)	Presence of PTSD		χ^2	p value
		No (IES-R \leq 22) n (%)	Yes (IES-R $>$ 22) n (%)		
Total	481 (100)	199 (41.4)	282 (58.6)		
Age (years)				2.062	0.357
18–25	103 (21.4)	48 (24.1)	55 (19.5)		
26–35	285 (59.3)	117 (58.8)	168 (59.6)		
36–45	93 (19.3)	34 (17.1)	59 (20.9)		
Region of the country				5.432	0.066
North	64 (13.3)	35 (17.6)	29 (10.3)		
Central	326 (67.8)	129 (64.8)	197 (69.9)		
South	91 (18.9)	35 (17.6)	56 (19.9)		
Trimester into pregnancy				13.666	0.001
First	149 (31.0)	74 (37.2)	75 (26.6)		
Second	144 (29.9)	42 (21.1)	102 (36.2)		
Third	188 (39.1)	83 (41.7)	105 (37.2)		
Body mass index categories				3.983	0.263
Underweight	4 (0.8)	2 (1.0)	2 (0.7)		
Normal weight	167 (34.7)	79 (39.7)	88 (31.2)		
Overweight	207 (43.0)	78 (39.2)	129 (45.7)		
Obese	103 (21.4)	40 (20.1)	63 (22.3)		
Education level				13.847	0.008
Elementary	24 (5.0)	14 (7.0)	10 (3.5)		
High school	85 (17.7)	47 (23.6)	38 (13.5)		
Diploma	62 (12.9)	20 (10.1)	42 (14.9)		
Bachelor's degree	250 (52.0)	98 (49.2)	152 (53.9)		
Masters/doctorate	60 (12.5)	20 (10.1)	40 (14.2)		
Employment status				4.158	0.041
Employed	215 (44.7)	78 (39.2)	137 (48.6)		
Unemployed	266 (55.3)	121 (60.8)	145 (51.4)		
Working/studying from home				4.017	0.134
Yes	79 (16.4)	32 (16.1)	47 (16.7)		
No	361 (75.1)	144 (72.4)	217 (77.0)		
Not applicable	41 (8.5)	23 (11.6)	18 (6.4)		
Having proper sleep				1.765	0.184
Yes	222 (46.2)	99 (49.7)	123 (43.6)		
No	259 (53.8)	100 (50.3)	159 (56.4)		
Practicing physical activity				0.708	0.400
Yes	166 (34.5)	73 (36.7)	93 (33.0)		
No	315 (65.5)	126 (63.3)	189 (67.0)		
Managing Stress				0.003	0.956
Yes	211 (43.9)	87 (43.7)	124 (44.0)		
No	270 (56.1)	112 (56.3)	158 (56.0)		
Eating homemade food				0.190	0.663
Yes	467 (97.1)	194 (97.5)	273 (96.8)		
No	14 (2.9)	5 (2.5)	9 (3.2)		
Eating frozen food				3.282	0.070
Yes	38 (7.9)	21 (10.6)	17 (6.0)		
No	443 (92.1)	178 (89.4)	265 (94.0)		
Eating fast food				0.313	0.576
Yes	28 (5.8)	13 (6.5)	15 (5.3)		
No	453 (94.2)	186 (93.5)	267 (94.7)		

(Continued)

Table 2. (Continued)

Variables	Total n (%)	Presence of PTSD		χ^2	p value
		No (IES-R \leq 22) n (%)	Yes (IES-R > 22) n (%)		
Eating from restaurants					
Yes	9 (1.9)	3 (1.5)	6 (2.1)	0.244	0.621
No	472 (98.1)	196 (98.5)	276 (97.9)		
During healthy food					
Yes	8 (1.7)	3 (1.5)	5 (1.8)	0.050	0.823
No	473 (98.3)	196 (98.5)	277 (98.2)		
Consuming immune boosters					
Yes	202 (42.0)	78 (39.2)	124 (44.0)	1.092	0.296
No	279 (58.0)	121 (60.8)	158 (56.0)		
Consuming balanced diet					
Yes	373 (77.5)	159 (79.9)	214 (75.9)	1.079	0.299
No	108 (22.5)	40 (20.1)	68 (24.1)		
Taking supplements					
Yes	180 (37.4)	67 (33.7)	113 (40.1)	2.042	0.153
No	301 (62.6)	132 (66.3)	169 (59.9)		
Consuming adequate fluids					
Yes	295 (61.3)	127 (63.8)	168 (59.6)	0.886	0.346
No	186 (38.7)	72 (36.2)	114 (40.4)		
Consuming herbs					
Yes	72 (15.0)	34 (17.1)	38 (13.5)	1.195	0.274
No	409 (85.0)	165 (82.9)	244 (86.5)		
Getting support from friends					
Unchanged	283 (58.8)	133 (66.8)	150 (53.2)	8.966	0.003
Changed	198 (41.2)	66 (33.2)	132 (46.8)		
Getting support from family					
Unchanged	200 (41.6)	110 (55.3)	90 (31.9)	26.213	<0.001
Changed	281 (58.4)	89 (44.7)	192 (68.1)		
Sharing feelings with family					
Unchanged	212 (44.1)	127 (63.8)	85 (30.1)	53.682	<0.001
Changed	269 (55.9)	72 (36.2)	197 (69.9)		
Sharing feelings with others					
Unchanged	241 (50.1)	127 (63.8)	114 (40.4)	25.539	<0.001
Changed	240 (49.9)	72 (36.2)	168 (59.6)		
Caring about family					
Unchanged	147 (30.6)	85 (42.7)	62 (22.0)	23.620	<0.001
Changed	334 (69.4)	114 (57.3)	220 (78.0)		
Paying attention to mental health					
Unchanged	264 (54.9)	137 (68.8)	127 (45.0)	26.709	<0.001
Changed	217 (45.1)	62 (31.2)	155 (55.0)		
Time spent to rest					
Unchanged	178 (37.0)	88 (44.2)	90 (31.9)	7.579	0.006
Changed	303 (63.0)	111 (55.8)	192 (68.1)		
Time spent to relax					
Unchanged	195 (40.5)	96 (48.2)	99 (35.1)	8.350	0.004
Changed	286 (59.5)	103 (51.8)	183 (64.9)		
Time spent to exercise					
Unchanged	223 (46.4)	109 (54.8)	114 (40.4)	9.659	0.002
Changed	258 (53.6)	90 (45.2)	168 (59.6)		

(Continued)

Table 2. (Continued)

Variables	Total n (%)	Presence of PTSD		χ^2	p value
		No (IES-R \leq 22) n (%)	Yes (IES-R > 22) n (%)		
Feeling work stress					
Unchanged	231 (48.0)	116 (58.3)	115 (40.8)	14.333	<0.001
Changed	250 (52.0)	83 (41.7)	167 (59.2)		
Feeling financial stress					
Unchanged	128 (26.6)	64 (32.2)	64 (22.7)	5.353	0.021
Changed	353 (73.4)	135 (67.8)	218 (77.3)		
Feeling home stress					
Unchanged	137 (28.5)	81 (40.7)	56 (19.9)	24.888	<0.001
Changed	344 (71.5)	118 (59.3)	226 (80.1)		
Feeling horrified					
Unchanged	134 (27.9)	78 (39.2)	56 (19.9)	21.708	<0.001
Changed	347 (72.1)	121 (60.8)	226 (80.1)		
Feeling apprehensive					
Unchanged	165 (34.3)	102 (51.3)	63 (22.3)	43.286	<0.001
Changed	316 (65.7)	97 (48.7)	219 (77.7)		
Feeling helpless					
Unchanged	250 (52.0)	139 (69.8)	111 (39.4)	43.445	<0.001
Changed	231 (48.0)	60 (30.2)	171 (60.6)		

PTSD: post-traumatic stress disorder; IES-R: Impact of Event Scale-Revised.

Table 3. Effect and association of pregnancy trimester with the presence of post-traumatic stress disorder and IES-R score during COVID-19 pandemic in Jordan ($n=481$).

Variable	Trimester into pregnancy			χ^2	p value
	First ($n=149$)	Second ($n=144$)	Third ($n=188$)		
IES-R score > 22, n (%)	75 (50.3)	102 (70.8)	105 (55.9)	13.666	0.001*
IES-R score, median (IQR)	23 (15–32) ^{a,†}	30.5 (21–40) ^b	25.5 (17–36) ^a	15.649	<0.001 [§]
Intrusion, median (IQR)	7 (3–10) ^a	9 (4–14) ^b	7.5 (4–11.75) ^{ab}	9.928	0.007 [§]
Avoidance, median (IQR)	10 (7–15) ^a	13 (9–16) ^b	11 (7–15) ^{ab}	8.096	0.017 [§]
Hyperarousal, median (IQR)	7 (4–10) ^a	9 (5–12) ^b	7 (4–9.75) ^a	14.463	0.001 [§]

IES-R: Impact of Event Scale-Revised; χ^2 : chi-square; IQR: interquartile range.

*p Value based on chi-square test.

[§]p Value based on Kruskal–Wallis H test.

[†]Values with different superscript letters are significantly different, based on pairwise comparisons with Bonferroni adjustment ($p < 0.05$).

and third trimesters, respectively ($\chi^2(2)=13.666$; $p=0.001$). A Kruskal–Wallis H analysis showed significant differences in IES-R score between the three trimesters of pregnancy ($\chi^2(2)=15.649$; $p < 0.001$), with a mean rank in IES-R score of 215.37 for the first trimester, 277.61 for the second trimester, and 233.27 for the third trimester. Also, significant differences were detected in intrusion scores between the three trimesters of pregnancy ($\chi^2(2)=9.928$; $p=0.007$), with a mean rank intrusion score of 218.18 for the first trimester, 268.83 for the second trimester, and 237.77 for the third trimester. Furthermore,

significant differences in avoidance scores were observed among the three trimesters of pregnancy ($\chi^2(2)=8.096$; $p=0.017$), with a mean rank avoidance score of 221.30 for the first trimester, 266.68 for the second trimester, and 236.94 for the third trimester. The hyperarousal score was significantly different among the three trimesters of pregnancy ($\chi^2(2)=14.463$; $p=0.001$), with a mean rank hyperarousal score of 222.55 for the first trimester, 277.64 for the second trimester, and 227.56 for the third trimester.

Table 4 shows the association of social and demographic confounding factors with the presence of PTSD

Table 4. Association of social and demographic confounding variables with the IES-R score and the presence of PTSD symptoms among pregnant women during the COVID-19 pandemic ($n=481$).

Parameter	IES-R score		IES-R score > 22	
	Rate ratio (CI 95%)	<i>p</i> value	Odds ratio (CI 95%)	<i>p</i> value
Education		<0.001		0.026
Elementary	1		1	
High school	0.956 (0.871–1.050)		1.203 (0.472–3.064)	
Diploma	1.261 (1.147–1.386)		2.962 (1.089–8.056)	
Bachelor's degree	1.186 (1.088–1.292)		2.237 (0.931–5.375)	
Masters/doctorate	1.202 (1.092–1.323)		2.754 (1.005–7.552)	
Trimester into pregnancy		<0.001		0.002
First	1		1	
Second	1.204 (1.152–1.258)		2.323 (1.424–3.791)	
Third	1.025 (0.981–1.071)		1.176 (0.756–1.831)	
Sharing feelings with family		<0.001		0.001
Unchanged	1		1	
Changed	1.267 (1.212–1.325)		2.231 (1.370–3.634)	
Sharing feelings with others		<0.001		
Unchanged	1			
Changed	1.080 (1.038–1.124)			
Caring about family		0.003		
Unchanged	1			
Changed	1.071 (1.024–1.121)			
Paying attention to mental health		0.001		
Unchanged	1			
Changed	1.067 (1.026–1.109)			
Feeling work stress		0.000		
Unchanged	1			
Changed	1.097 (1.054–1.142)			
Feeling financial stress		0.039		
Unchanged	1			
Changed	0.955 (0.914–0.998)			
Feeling home stress		<0.001		0.017
Unchanged	1		1	
Changed	1.192 (1.139–1.247)		1.819 (1.111–2.978)	
Feeling apprehensive		<0.001		0.029
Unchanged	1		1	
Changed	1.132 (1.074–1.194)		1.865 (1.065–3.265)	
Feeling helpless		0.012		0.041
Unchanged	1		1	
Changed	1.054 (1.011–1.098)		1.640 (1.021–2.632)	

IES-R: Impact of Event Scale-Revised; CI: confidence interval.

symptoms. The multivariate regression tests revealed that changes due to the pandemic in sharing feelings with family members, sharing feelings with others, caring about family, spending enough time on mental health, feeling work stress, feeling financial stress, feeling stress from staying at home, feeling apprehensive, and feeling helpless, in addition to the stress regarding education level and trimester into pregnancy were significantly associated with IES-R score and presence of PTSD symptoms.

Table 5 shows the assessment of the effect of possible dietary and immune-boosting confounding factors on the

presence of PTSD symptoms. The multivariate regression tests revealed that participants who reported no homemade food consumption ($p < 0.001$), frozen food consumption ($p < 0.001$), fast food consumption ($p = 0.002$), and restaurants dining ($p = 0.027$) were likely to report higher IES-R scores and presence of PTSD symptoms. Also, participants who reported no consumption of immune boosters (5.4%) were more likely to show PTSD symptoms ($p = 0.004$). Furthermore, participants who did not perceive a relationship between balanced diet ($p < 0.001$), supplements ($p = 0.034$), herbs ($p = 0.013$), and proper sleep ($p = 0.001$),

Table 5. Association of dietary and immune-boosting confounding variables with the IES-R score and the presence of PTSD symptoms among pregnant women during the COVID-19 pandemic ($n=481$).

Parameter	IES-R score		IES-R score > 22	
	Rate ratio (CI 95%)	<i>p</i> value	Odds ratio (CI 95%)	<i>p</i> value
Eating homemade food		<0.001		
Yes	1			
No	1.259 (1.129–1.403)			
Eating frozen food		<0.001		0.011
Yes	1		1	
No	0.840 (0.780–0.905)		2.736 (1.264–5.992)	
Eating fast food		0.002		
Yes	1			
No	0.881 (0.812–0.957)			
Eating from restaurants		0.027		
Yes	1			
No	0.855 (0.756–0.967)			
Consuming immune boosters		0.004		
Yes	1			
No	1.054 (1.017–1.092)			
Consuming balanced diet		<0.001		
Yes	1			
No	1.118 (1.072–1.166)			
Taking supplements		0.034		0.017
Yes	1		1	
No	1.045 (1.003–1.088)		1.739 (1.106–2.734)	
Consuming herbs		0.013		
Yes	1			
No	1.070 (1.014–1.128)			
Having proper sleep		0.001		
Yes	1			
No	1.081 (1.032–1.132)			
Managing stress		<0.001		
Yes	1			
No	0.901 (0.863–0.940)			

IES-R: Impact of Event Scale-Revised; CI: confidence interval.

with immunity, were associated with higher IES-R score and/or presence of PTSD symptoms. In contrast, participants who did perceive a relationship between stress management ($p < 0.001$) and immunity were associated with higher IES-R score and/or presence of PTSD symptoms.

Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to examine the prevalence of psychological symptoms related to the COVID-19 outbreak among pregnant women in Jordan and to investigate the associations between gestational age and PTSD symptoms during the pandemic. Interestingly, this study showed that pregnant women in the second trimester of pregnancy were more likely to show PTSD symptoms when compared with the first and third trimesters and that changes due to the pandemic were significantly associated with the IES-R score and the presence of PTSD symptoms.

In this study, more than one-half of the pregnant women reported the presence of PTSD symptoms (IES-R score > 22) during the COVID-19 pandemic. Similarly, a study by Davenport et al.³¹ in Canada showed that 40.7% of pregnant women presented high depression scores during the pandemic, and moderate to high anxiety was identified among 72% of the participants. Likewise, a survey among 5866 pregnant and breastfeeding women in Belgium indicated that almost half of them experienced depressive or anxious symptoms during the lockdown period of the COVID-19 pandemic.³² Another study in Ireland showed that over half of evaluated pregnant women were more concerned about their own health and the health of their unborn babies after the onset of the pandemic compared with before the pandemic.³³ A systematic review investigating the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the mental health of pregnant women revealed that social distancing, fear of infection, current economic situation, media pressure, disrupted routines, and the change in

family rituals were intensifying factors of psychological distress among pregnant women.³⁴ The presence of PTSD symptoms among pregnant women was shown to have acute (e.g. preterm delivery) and long-term consequences (e.g. cognitive delays for the offspring) on the psychological and physical health of both mother and baby.³⁵ Therefore, pregnant women during infectious disease outbreaks could benefit from reliable information and guidance; appropriate support from healthcare professionals; and virtual support groups.³⁶

Another finding of this study was that pregnant women in the second trimester of pregnancy had a significantly higher IES-R mean score compared with those in their first and third trimesters. Likewise, a study on Chinese pregnant women during the COVID-19 pandemic found that women in their second trimester of pregnancy had the highest IES score.²⁰ However, a study conducted among women with singleton pregnancies in Italy, suggested that women in their first trimester showed more anxiety compared with those in the second and last trimesters.¹⁹ Other studies from Brazil and Iran indicated a higher negative psychological impact due to the pandemic during the third trimester of pregnancy.^{14,37} Adrenocortical hormone secretion increases during pregnancy, which makes pregnant women more prone to anxiety and other adverse emotions.³⁸ Moreover, Silva et al.¹⁴ suggested that mental stress in the second and third trimesters may be due to increased physical discomfort, fear of delivery proximity, and concern for the fetus' health.

This study showed a higher risk of PTSD symptoms in pregnancy among employed pregnant women and those with higher education level. Likewise, the findings of a Pakistani study suggest that pregnant women working outside the household were more anxious and depressed compared with those who were unemployed.³⁹ The relationship between education level and PTSD due to the COVID-19 pandemic was conflicting in the literature. Some studies suggested that higher levels of education might prepare individuals with better coping strategies when dealing with environmental disasters.^{40,41} Others implied that highly educated individuals were more stressed as a result of higher self-awareness and a better understanding of the pandemic severity.⁴² A study among pregnant women in Turkey, revealed that the presence of COVID-19-related symptoms and educational level were found to predict PTSD symptoms.⁴³

The findings of this study suggest that the presence of PTSD symptoms was not associated with engagement in physical activity. However, physical activity is recommended as a therapy against the mental and physical consequences of quarantine during the outbreak.⁴⁴ Moreover, pregnant women involved in at least 150 min of moderate-intensity physical activity each week during the pandemic had lower scores for both anxiety and depression.³¹

Thus, organizing awareness sessions about home exercises and their potential benefits on the mental health are essential.⁴⁵

Another important finding of this study demonstrates that the change per se in the perceived family support, along with lifestyle changes, and the negative feelings due to the pandemic were associated with a higher risk of PTSD symptoms. Pregnant women are already going through numerous physical, hormonal, and psychological changes, adding to the experience of a continuous pandemic situation, and the changes in vital support during this period can add to the uncertainty about the future and further exacerbate stress, anxiety, and compromise well-being.^{46,47}

This study shows that better dietary behaviors were negatively correlated with PTSD symptoms. This finding further highlights the perceived benefits of healthy eating habits on the well-being of the mother and her fetus. A study indicated that higher healthy eating index scores were associated with reduced symptoms of anxiety, depression, and stress.⁴⁸ Similarly, a study among pregnant women concluded that higher depression scores were associated with lower nutrient indices (potassium, calcium, and iron levels) and lower exercise levels.⁴⁹ Moreover, depression and anxiety during pregnancy was negatively associated with healthy behaviors such as taking vitamins and practicing physical activity.⁵⁰ Therefore, it is essential to identify psychological risk factors among pregnant women and provide the necessary support. It is essential to develop prevention strategies aimed to promote healthy behaviors in pregnant women.

This study has several strengths, including the sample size and the use of the Impact of Events Scale-Revised (IES-R) questionnaires which facilitates comparing the findings with other studies. Moreover, the use of an online survey permitted data collection from different parts of the country and ensured the anonymity of the participants. However, this study has some limitations. The cross-sectional design of the study does not allow the causal analysis of the psychological impact. Moreover, the self-reporting of the levels of psychological impact among pregnant women. Finally, there was no assessment of the history of mental health or anxiety disorders pre-COVID-19 or the use of psychotherapies among participants.

Conclusion

The findings of this study indicated high rates of psychological distress and PTSD symptoms among pregnant women during the COVID-19 pandemic. This highlights the need for professional mental health support for this vulnerable group. Early detection of psychological distress is fundamental to prevent negative outcomes for women and their fetuses.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge pregnant women for their time and participation and all who contributed to the completion of this study.

Author contribution(s)

Mo'ath F Bataineh: Conceptualization; Formal analysis; Investigation; Methodology; Writing – original draft; Writing – review & editing.

Maysm N Mohamad: Formal analysis; Investigation; Writing – original draft; Writing – review & editing.

Ayesha S Al Dhaheri: Conceptualization; Investigation; Methodology; Writing – original draft; Writing – review & editing.

Monketh Rawashdeh: Investigation; Writing – review & editing.

Ali M Al-Nawaiseh: Investigation; Writing – review & editing.

Fida F Asali: Investigation; Writing – review & editing.

Mona Hashim: Investigation; Writing – review & editing.

Amjad Jarrar: Investigation; Writing – review & editing.

Dima O Abu Jamous: Investigation; Writing – review & editing.

Lily Stojanovska: Investigation; Writing – review & editing.

Rameez Al Daour: Investigation; Writing – review & editing.

Sheima T Saleh: Investigation; Writing – original draft; Writing – review & editing.

Tareq M Osaili: Investigation; Writing – review & editing.

Leila Cheikh Ismail: Conceptualization; Formal analysis; Investigation; Methodology; Writing – original draft; Writing – review & editing.

Declaration of conflicting interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

ORCID iDs

Mona Hashim  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3494-4718>

Leila Cheikh Ismail  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3048-7481>

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and analyzed during this study are available as supplementary material.

Supplemental material

Supplemental material for this article is available online.

References

1. WHO. Pneumonia of unknown cause—China, <https://www.who.int/csr/don/05-january-2020-pneumonia-of-unknown-cause-china/en/> (2020, accessed 12 January 2021).
2. COVIDSurg Collaborative. Elective surgery cancellations due to the COVID-19 pandemic: global predictive modelling to inform surgical recovery plans. *Br J Surg* 2020; 107(11): 1440–1449.
3. WHO. WHO coronavirus (COVID-19) dashboard, <https://covid19.who.int/> (2022, accessed 7 June 2022).
4. Kheirallah KA, Alsinglawi B, Alzoubi A, et al. The effect of strict state measures on the epidemiologic curve of COVID-19 infection in the context of a developing country: a simulation from Jordan. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2020; 17: 6530.
5. Ammar A, Brach M, Trabelsi K, et al. Effects of COVID-19 home confinement on eating behaviour and physical activity: results of the ECLB-COVID19 International Online Survey. *Nutrients* 2020; 12: 1583.
6. Rajkumar RP. COVID-19 and mental health: a review of the existing literature. *Asian J Psychiatr* 2020; 52: 102066.
7. Scarmozzino F and Visioli F. Covid-19 and the subsequent lockdown modified dietary habits of almost half the population in an Italian sample. *Foods* 2020; 9: 675.
8. Sim K, Huak Chan Y, Chong PN, et al. Psychosocial and coping responses within the community health care setting towards a national outbreak of an infectious disease. *J Psychosom Res* 2010; 68(2): 195–202.
9. Lau JT, Yang X, Tsui HY, et al. Positive mental health-related impacts of the SARS epidemic on the general public in Hong Kong and their associations with other negative impacts. *J Infect* 2006; 53(2): 114–124.
10. Salari N, Hosseini-Far A, Jalali R, et al. Prevalence of stress, anxiety, depression among the general population during the COVID-19 pandemic: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Glob Health* 2020; 16: 57.
11. El-Zoghby SM, Soltan EM and Salama HM. Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on mental health and social support among adult Egyptians. *J Community Health* 2020; 45(4): 689–695.
12. Wang C, Pan R, Wan X, et al. Immediate psychological responses and associated factors during the initial stage of the 2019 coronavirus disease (COVID-19) epidemic among the general population in China. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2020; 17: 1729.
13. Liu N, Zhang F, Wei C, et al. Prevalence and predictors of PTSS during COVID-19 outbreak in China hardest-hit areas: gender differences matter. *Psychiatry Res* 2020; 287: 112921.
14. de Jesus Silva MM, Nogueira DA, Clapis MJ, et al. Anxiety in pregnancy: prevalence and associated factors. *Rev Esc Enferm USP* 2017; 51: e03253.
15. Lolak S, Rashid N and Wise TN. Interface of women's mental and reproductive health. *Curr Psychiatry Rep* 2005; 7(3): 220–227.
16. WHO. *mhGAP training manuals for the mhGAP intervention guide for mental, neurological and substance use disorders in non-specialized health settings*. Geneva: World Health Organization, 2017.
17. Zeng LN, Chen LG, Yang CM, et al. Mental health care for pregnant women in the COVID-19 outbreak is urgently needed. *Women Birth* 2021; 34(3): 210–211.
18. Schwartz DA and Graham AL. Potential maternal and infant outcomes from (Wuhan) coronavirus 2019-nCoV infecting pregnant women: lessons from SARS, MERS, and other human coronavirus infections. *Viruses* 2020; 12: 194.

19. Saccone G, Florio A, Aiello F, et al. Psychological impact of coronavirus disease 2019 in pregnant women. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2020; 223: 293–295.
20. Zhang Y and Ma ZF. Psychological responses and lifestyle changes among pregnant women with respect to the early stages of COVID-19 pandemic. *Int J Soc Psychiatry* 2020; 64: 344–350.
21. Rashidi Fakari F and Simbar M. Coronavirus pandemic and worries during pregnancy; a letter to editor. *Arch Acad Emerg Med* 2020; 8(1): e21.
22. Liu X, Chen M, Wang Y, et al. Prenatal anxiety and obstetric decisions among pregnant women in Wuhan and Chongqing during the COVID-19 outbreak: a cross-sectional study. *BJOG* 2020; 127(10): 1229–1240.
23. Mirzadeh M and Khedmat L. Pregnant women in the exposure to COVID-19 infection outbreak: the unseen risk factors and preventive healthcare patterns. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med* 2022; 35(7): 1377–1378.
24. Marques AH, Børke-Monsen A-L, Teixeira AL, et al. Maternal stress, nutrition and physical activity: impact on immune function, CNS development and psychopathology. *Brain Res J* 2015; 1617: 28–46.
25. Weiss DS. The Impact of Event Scale: Revised. In: Wilson JP and Tang CS-K (eds) *Cross-cultural assessment of psychological trauma and PTSD*. New York: Springer, 2007, pp. 219–238.
26. Alkamees AA, Alrashed SA, Alzunaydi AA, et al. The psychological impact of COVID-19 pandemic on the general population of Saudi Arabia. *Compr Psychiatry* 2020; 102: 152192.
27. Ma ZF, Zhang Y, Luo X, et al. Increased stressful impact among general population in mainland China amid the COVID-19 pandemic: a nationwide cross-sectional study conducted after Wuhan city's travel ban was lifted. *Int J Soc Psychiatry* 2020; 66(8): 770–779.
28. Zhang Y and Ma ZF. Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on mental health and quality of life among local residents in Liaoning Province, China: a cross-sectional study. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2020; 17: 2381.
29. Cheikh Ismail L, Osaili TM, Mohamad MN, et al. Eating habits and lifestyle during COVID-19 lockdown in the United Arab Emirates: a cross-sectional study. *Nutrients* 2020; 12: 3314.
30. Cheikh Ismail L, Osaili TM, Mohamad MN, et al. Assessment of eating habits and lifestyle during the coronavirus 2019 pandemic in the Middle East and North Africa region: a cross-sectional study. *Br J Nutr* 2021; 126(5): 757–766.
31. Davenport MH, Meyer S, Meah VL, et al. Moms are not OK: COVID-19 and maternal mental health. *Front Glob Womens Health* 2020; 1: 1.
32. Ceulemans M, Hompes T and Foulon V. Mental health status of pregnant and breastfeeding women during the COVID-19 pandemic: a call for action. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet* 2020; 151(1): 146–147.
33. Corbett GA, Milne SJ, Hehir MP, et al. Health anxiety and behavioural changes of pregnant women during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol* 2020; 249: 96–97.
34. Vieira LG, Camargo ELS, Schneider G, et al. Repercussions of the COVID-19 pandemic on the mental health of pregnant and puerperal women: a systematic review. *medRxiv* 2020, <https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.08.17.20176560v1>
35. O'Donnell KJ, Glover V, Jenkins J, et al. Prenatal maternal mood is associated with altered diurnal cortisol in adolescence. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 2013; 38(9): 1630–1638.
36. Brooks SK, Weston D and Greenberg N. Psychological impact of infectious disease outbreaks on pregnant women: rapid evidence review. *Public Health* 2020; 189: 26–36.
37. Saadati N, Afshari P, Boostani H, et al. Health anxiety of pregnant women and its related factors during the pandemic of corona virus. *Res Sq*. Epub ahead of print May 2020. DOI: 10.21203/rs.3.rs-26840/v4.
38. Yue C, Liu C, Wang J, et al. Association between social support and anxiety among pregnant women in the third trimester during the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) epidemic in Qingdao, China: the mediating effect of risk perception. *Int J Soc Psychiatry* 2020; 67: 120–127.
39. Waqas A, Raza N, Lodhi HW, et al. Psychosocial factors of antenatal anxiety and depression in Pakistan: is social support a mediator? *PLoS ONE* 2015; 10(1): e0116510.
40. Di Crosta A, Palumbo R, Marchetti D, et al. Individual differences, economic stability, and fear of contagion as risk factors for PTSD symptoms in the COVID-19 emergency. *Front Psychol* 2020; 11: 567367.
41. Tang B, Deng Q, Glik D, et al. A meta-analysis of risk factors for post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) in adults and children after earthquakes. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2017; 14: 1537.
42. Qiu J, Shen B, Zhao M, et al. A nationwide survey of psychological distress among Chinese people in the COVID-19 epidemic: implications and policy recommendations. *Gen Psychiatr* 2020; 33: e100213.
43. Hocaoglu M, Ayaz R, Gunay T, et al. Anxiety and post-traumatic stress disorder symptoms in pregnant women during the COVID-19 pandemic's delay phase. *Psychiatr Danub* 2020; 32(3–4): 521–526.
44. Jiménez-Pavón D, Carbonell-Baeza A and Lavie CJ. Physical exercise as therapy to fight against the mental and physical consequences of COVID-19 quarantine: special focus in older people. *Prog Cardiovasc Dis* 2020; 63(3): 386–388.
45. Czosnek L, Lederman O, Cormie P, et al. Health benefits, safety and cost of physical activity interventions for mental health conditions: a meta-review to inform translation efforts. *Ment Health Phys Act* 2019; 16: 140–151.
46. Lopes de Sousa ÁF, de Carvalho HEF, de Oliveira LB, et al. Effects of COVID-19 infection during pregnancy and neonatal prognosis: what is the evidence? *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2020; 17: 4176.
47. DeYoung SE and Mangum M. Pregnancy, birthing, and postpartum experiences during COVID-19 in the United States. *Front Sociol* 2021; 6: 611212.
48. Forsyth AK, Williams PG and Deane FP. Nutrition status of primary care patients with depression and anxiety. *Aust J Prim Health* 2012; 18(2): 172–176.
49. Bae HS, Kim SY, Ahnv HS, et al. Comparison of nutrient intake, life style variables, and pregnancy outcomes by the depression degree of pregnant women. *Nutr Res Pract* 2010; 4(4): 323–331.
50. Omidvar S, Faramarzi M, Hajian-Tilak K, et al. Associations of psychosocial factors with pregnancy healthy life styles. *PLoS ONE* 2018; 13: e0191723.