

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript *Palliat Support Care.* Author manuscript; available in PMC 2023 February 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Palliat Support Care. 2022 August ; 20(4): 462–470. doi:10.1017/S1478951521001462.

Voicing their Choices: Advance Care Planning with Adolescents and Young Adults with Cancer and Other Serious Conditions

Lori Wiener, PhD, Sima Bedoya, PsyD, Haven Battles, PhD, Leonard Sender, MD, Keri Zabokrtsky, MS, Kristine A. Donovan, PhD, MBA, Lora M. A. Thompson, PhD, Barbara B. Lubrano di Ciccone, MD, Margarita Bobonis Babilonia, MD, Karen Fasciano, PsyD, Paige Malinowski, BA, Maureen Lyon, PhD, Jessica Thompkins, BSN, RN, CPN, Corey Heath, PhD, Denise Velazquez, LCSW, Karen Long-Traynor, PhD, Abigail Fry, BA, Maryland Pao, MD

Pediatric Oncology Branch, Center for Cancer Research, National Institutes of Health (LW, SB, HB, AF); Office of the Director, National Institute of Mental Health, National Institutes of Health (MP); Children's Hospital of Orange County (LS, KZ); Department of Supportive Care Medicine, Moffitt Cancer Center (KAD, LMAT, BBL, MBB); Department of Psychosocial Oncology and Palliative Care, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute (KF, PM); Children's National Research Institute (ML, JT); Cook Children's Medical Center (CH); Division of Pediatric Hematology/Oncology, Children's Hospital at Montefiore (DV, KL-T)

Abstract

Objectives: To determine whether engaging in advance care planning (ACP) using a formal tool, *Voicing My CHOiCES* (VMC), would alleviate adolescent and young adults (AYAs) anxiety surrounding ACP and increase social support and communication about end-of-life care preferences with family members and health care providers (HCPs).

Corresponding Author: Lori Wiener, 10 Center Drive, Bethesda MD 20892; 301-451-9148; wienerl@mail.nih.gov.

Methods: 149 AYAs, ages 18–39 years receiving cancer-directed therapy or treatment for another chronic medical illness were enrolled at seven US sites. Baseline data included prior ACP communication with family members and HCPs and measures of generalized anxiety, ACP anxiety and social support. Participants critically reviewed each page of VMC and then completed three pages of the document. ACP anxiety was measured again immediately after completion of VMC pages. One month later, participants repeated anxiety and social support measures and were asked if they shared what they had completed in VMC with a family member or HCP.

Results: At baseline, 50.3% of participants reported that they previously had a conversation about EoL preferences with a family member; 19.5% with a HCP. One month later, 65.1% had subsequently shared what they wrote in VMC with a family member; 8.9% shared with a HCP. Most (88.6%) reported they would not have had this conversation if not participating in the study. No significant changes occurred in social support. There was an immediate drop in anxiety about EoL planning after reviewing VMC which persisted at one month. Generalized anxiety was also significantly lower one month after reviewing VMC.

Significance of Results: Having a document specifically created for AYAs to guide ACP planning can decrease anxiety and increase communication with family members but not necessarily with HCPs. Future research should examine ways ACP can be introduced more consistently to this young population to allow their preferences for care to be heard, respected, and honored, particularly by their healthcare providers.

Keywords

Advance care planning; adolescents and young adults; Voicing My CHOiCES; end of life; communication

INTRODUCTION

Among adolescents and young adults (AYAs), cancer is the most common disease-related cause of death (American Cancer Society, 2020). AYAs with cancer face poorer medical outcomes as a result of many factors including stage of development (Warner et al., 2016), the impact of socioeconomic status on health insurance and access to care (Alvarez et al., 2018; Rosenberg et al., 2015), and biologic differences in cancer types (Tricoli & Bleyer, 2018; Tricoli et al., 2018; Tichy et al., 2013). AYAs living with cancer and those living with other potentially life limiting conditions are in a transitional phase of life with unique developmental and psychosocial needs that can go unrecognized or unmet over the trajectory of their care. Having to navigate illness while experiencing an emergence of independence, identity development, and relational maturation (Zebrack, 2011; Eiser et al., 2009; Coccia et al., 2012; Zeltzer, 1993) can be further challenged when AYAs are left out of treatment discussions and decisions (Phillips et al., 2017; Zebrack et al., 2010).

These medical challenges and psychosocial vulnerabilities point to a critical need for effective end-of-life (EoL) communication, especially alongside disease progression or a poor prognosis (Sansom-Daly et al., 2020). AYA patients want to be informed, involved in decision making (Wiener et al., 2012; Lyon et al., 2009; Weaver et al., 2021; Pousset et al., 2009; Hinds et al., 2005), have the ability to choose and decline treatment, and

determine their care plans including how they will be remembered after death (Wiener et al., 2012). Goals of care conversations and more formal advance care planning (ACP) discussions allow for safer processing of hopes, fears, and preferences for care (Weaver et al., 2021). Including AYAs in EoL conversations has been shown to be helpful with informing decisions, alleviating distress, improving perceived quality of care (Mack et al., 2005), and potentially improving quality of life (QoL) through the attention to personal values, beliefs and preferences (Kane et al., 2011; Jankovic et al., 2008; Wiener et al., 2012; Barfield et al., 2010). Unfortunately, ACP discussions often occur too late or during an acute clinical deterioration where there is insufficient time to consider goals and values (Snaman et al., 2020) and when a patient's incapacity may leave family members and clinicians struggling to make patient-centered decisions (Brudney, 2009). AYAs who are not provided the opportunity to talk about EoL issues may also be less likely to die at their location of choice (e.g., at home) (Tang & McCorkle, 2001), and more likely to experience intrusive procedures in the days and weeks leading up to their death (Mack et al., 2015b; Mack et al., 2015a; Kaye et al., 2018). Conversations about EoL care have also been shown to decrease parental decisional regret (DeCourcey et al., 2019; Lichtenthal et al., 2020).

The Institute of Medicine has recommended ACP conversations be routine and structured aspects of standard care (Kirch et al., 2016). The provision of palliative care, which includes ACP, is also an evidence-based standard of care in pediatric cancer (Weaver et al., 2015). Yet, gaps in implementing ACP with AYAs have been well recognized (Kassam et al., 2013; Smith et al., 2012; Wolfe et al., 2008; Pinkerton et al., 2018), particularly when it comes to communication about EoL planning preferences. Studies have shown that less than 3% of AYAs participate in EoL planning conversations without clinician prompting (Liberman et al., 2014; McAliley et al., 2000; Lyon et al., 2014; Lyon et al., 2004). Lack of comfort and skills, time constraints, fear of causing anxiety or further distress to the AYA, and deep care and optimism have been noted as reasons why clinicians have not had these conversations (Henderson et al., 2017; Kassam et al., 2013; Mack & Smith, 2012; Hilden et al., 2001; Granek et al., 2013; Granek et al., 2012; Wiener et al., 2013; Rosenberg & Wolfe, 2013). Even when the person has advanced disease, research has shown that many clinicians continue to avoid these discussions due to a fear of destroying hope or causing harm (Almack et al., 2012; Hancock et al., 2007a; Abernethy et al., 2020).

The constantly evolving nature of oncology treatment, alongside complex decisions AYAs and their families face require the development and use of novel tools to invite the AYA voice and role in decision-making (Snaman et al., 2020). *Voicing My CHOiCES* (VMC), a research informed ACP guide (Wiener et al., 2012; Zadeh et al., 2015), can assist a Health Care Provider (HCP) in having these discussions. Since VMC became available in 2012 (through Aging with Dignity) over 54,000 copies have been requested from 42 countries. However, there is no outcome data associated with VMC. We sought to determine whether engaging in ACP using VMC was associated with changes in communication about ACP with family and/or HCPs, generalized anxiety, anxiety about ACP and/or social support. We hypothesized that the utilization of a formal tool to guide ACP would measurably increase communication about EoL care preferences and alleviate anxiety surrounding ACP.

METHODS

Study recruitment and enrollment

Eligible participants were AYAs ages 18–39 years, English-speaking and receiving treatment for cancer or another chronic medical illness at one of 7 participating study sites. An Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) performance score of 3 or less was required. A convenience sample was achieved by approaching eligible participants in outpatient clinics or inpatient units by a study investigator. The study was approved by the NIH Institutional Review Board and the IRB at each of the participating sites between 2014–2019, during which data were collected.

Study procedures

First, each participant completed a baseline assessment describing the communication they have had about ACP with family members and HCPs. They also completed measures of generalized anxiety, anxiety pertaining to ACP and social support. Together with the study investigator, participants critically reviewed each page of VMC before then completing three pages of the document, one being the page designating a health care proxy and then two pages of the participant's choice. Then, after completion of the three pages, participants again rated their anxiety regarding EoL planning. This study portion took approximately 60–75 minutes to complete. The third data collection point occurred 1 month later, when participants repeated the measures related to communication about ACP, generalized anxiety, anxiety pertaining to ACP, and social support. Participants were also asked whether they shared what they had completed in VMC with either a family member or one of their HCPs. This study portion took approximately 20–25 minutes to complete. Procedure training consisted of an in-person, virtual or phone session with the sponsor site (LW or SB) where a training manual was reviewed in detail and sample case scenarios were discussed.

Data collected

Patient questionnaires included a demographic questionnaire, standardized measures and additional questions developed by the primary study team derived from clinical experience and literature review. To assess communication about ACP, participants were asked: "Have you ever talked with your family about what your wishes or preferences might be if treatments were no longer working well for you?" If they had not had such a discussion, participants were provided a list of potential barriers and an open-text response option about barriers to ACP communication and anything that would make these discussions easier for them. The same questions were asked about communication with HCPs.

Generalized anxiety was assessed using the Generalized Anxiety Disorder Scale *(GAD-7)* (Spitzer et al., 2006; Lowe et al., 2008). The responses to seven questions are constructed on a 3-point scale from "Not at all" to "Nearly every day" and the survey is scored on a 0 to 21 point scale. A score of 5–9 indicates mild anxiety, 10–14 indicates moderate anxiety, and 15 or more indicates severe anxiety.

Page 5

Anxiety pertaining to ACP was measured by asking participants: "How much anxiety do you feel about planning for your care in the case that treatment is no longer effective for you?" Response options were "no anxiety," "a little anxiety," "moderate anxiety," or "a lot of anxiety".

Perceived social support was assessed using a 6-item subscale of the Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy-General (FACT-G), a reliable and valid self-report scale that assesses health-related QoL in multiple life domains, including social support (Cella et al., 1993; Cella et al., 2002; Luckett et al., 2010; Webster et al., 2003). The items specifically address perceived support from and closeness to family and friends, as well social acceptance of, and satisfaction with, communication surrounding their illness. Items are endorsed on a 5-point Likert scale ranging from "not at all" to "very much."

ECOG, used to measure performance status, describes a patient's level of functioning (performace status) in terms of their ability to care for themself, daily activity, and physical ability. Categories range from 0 (fully able to carry all predisease performance) to 5 (dead) (Oken et al., 1982).

Voicing My CHOiCES (VMC) is an ACP guide developed based on extensive feedback from AYAs living with cancer and other serious illnesses, clinical expertise and relevant literature (Wiener et al., 2012; Zadeh et al., 2015; Chochinov, 2002; Wiener et al., 2013). There are 10 sections that comprise VMC (Table 1). The format includes checkboxes for the AYA to endorse items and open spaces for elaboration. For the purposes of this study, each section within the document was presented as a separate "module," where the administrator provided a brief overview of the section. Conversation was then tailored to the AYA's concerns at the time and assistance in completing the document was provided as needed/ desired by the AYA.

Analysis

Mean scores on the generalized anxiety and communication scales were compared between baseline and 1 month follow up, using paired samples t-tests. In order to assess the effect completion and discussion of VMC had on ACP-specific anxiety, paired samples t-tests were conducted between baseline and immediate follow-up, between immediate follow-up and 1 month follow-up and between baseline and 1 month follow-up. Qualitative analyses were conducted on open-ended free-text narrative responses. These responses were analyzed by three authors (SB, AF, LW) to identify common themes. The authors met to refine themes and to develop codes for qualitative analysis (Macqueen et al., 1998). Free-text responses were then coded in parallel (SB, AF) with differences resolved through discussion with another author (LW) (Malterud, 2001).

RESULTS

Participant demographics

Of 185 patients approached from April 2014 to January 2020, 149 (80.5%) agreed to participate at baseline and 127 (68.6%) participated at 1 month follow up (with slightly different numbers completing each follow up measure, see below). Reasons for

non-participation at baseline (n=36) included: not interested/not wanting to partake in nonmedical studies (36.1%), time constraints (22.2%), not feeling up to it/health issues (13.9%), not feeling it was necessary (2.8%), and not wanting to talk about death (2.8%). Sample characteristics can be found in Table 2. Just over half were male, average age was 26.7 years, 64.4% were Caucasian, and 23.5% were Hispanic. Just under three quarters of the sample had a cancer diagnosis; 22.8% rated their health as not good or poor. At baseline, there was no significant difference in ECOG performance status between participants diagnosed with cancer and other serious conditions.

Conversations with family members and HCP at baseline

At baseline, just over half of the 149 original participants (50.3%, n = 75) reported that they previously had a conversation about EoL preferences with a family member. Those who had not had the conversation were asked to identify, from 6 response options, reasons for not doing so (Tables 3 and 4). Thirty-eight percent of participants (n = 28) cited an "other" reason for not discussing the EoL topic. These responses fell into 3 main themes, 1) family hesitation towards EoL conversations, 2) personal decision/choice to not have the conversation, and 3) lack of opportunity to have the conversation (Table 4).

At baseline, 19.5% (n = 29) indicated they had previously engaged in this conversation with a HCP. The reasons endorsed for not having those conversations are shown in Tables 3 and 4. Sixty-eight percent of participants (n = 76) cited an "other" reason for not discussing the topic. Themes within the free text responses included 1) provider hesitation towards EoL conversations, 2) personal decision/choice to not have the conversation, and 3) lack of opportunity to have the conversation.

Conversations with family members and HCP at 1 month follow-up (changes)

One month after completing the baseline measure and reviewing the VMC tool, 127 participants answered the follow up questions about talking with family members and 124 answered the question about talking with HCP. Overall, 65.1% (n = 84) of participants had shared what they wrote in VMC with a family member and 8.9% (n = 11) shared with a HCP. Of those who had not discussed their wishes with a family member at baseline, 51.5% (n = 35) had subsequently engaged in the conversation. Most individuals (88.6%, n = 31) reported that they would not have shared this information with a family member had they not been participating in the study.

Of those who had not discussed their wishes with a HCP at baseline and also completed this question at follow-up (n=97), 7.2% (n = 7) had subsequently engaged in the conversation. All (100%, n = 7) reported that they would not have shared this information with a HCP had they not been participating in the study.

Having shared what participants wrote in VMC with family at follow up was not significantly associated with gender, race, ethnicity, employment status, religion, religiosity, health status, income, health insurance status, parent status, or relationship status. However, those with cancer were more likely to have shared what they wrote at follow up with a family member (70.5%) than those with a different medical condition (48.5, $\chi 2 = 5.2$, p < .05).

Anxiety, EoL Care Planning Anxiety, and Social Support

Generalized anxiety—One hundred twenty two participants completed the generalized anxiety measure at both baseline and 1 month follow up. Of those at baseline, 48.4% (n = 59) participants reported minimal anxiety (score of less than 5), 31.1% (n = 38) reported mild anxiety, 13.9% (n = 17) reported moderate anxiety and 6.6% (n = 8) reported severe anxiety on the GAD-7. At follow up, 56.6% (n = 69) participants reported minimal anxiety, 29.5 (n = 36) reported mild anxiety, 10.7% (n = 13) reported moderate anxiety and 3.3% (n = 4) reported severe anxiety. Treating the variable continuously, a paired samples t-test indicated a significant decrease in generalized anxiety between baseline and one-month follow up (t = 2.8, df = 121, p < .01). There was no significant difference in the change in GAD-7 results between baseline and 1 month follow up for those who discussed what they wrote in VMC with a family member or HCP versus those who did not.

Social support—The FACT-G was completed by 84 participants at both baseline and follow up. The mean score at baseline was 19.5 versus 19.2 at follow up. A paired-samples t-test indicated no significant change in perceived social support between baseline and one-month follow-up (t = 0.6, df = 83, ns).

ACP Anxiety—One hundred twenty-two participants completed the ACP anxiety measure at all three time points. At baseline, approximately half of the sample indicated "moderate" or "a lot" of anxiety related to ACP. After reviewing and completing the VMC pages, just less than one third reported "moderate" or "a lot of anxiety". The levels remained almost the same at 1 month follow-up (Table 5). Paired samples t-tests, testing changes in mean scores between Times 1 and 2, Times 1 and 3, and Times 2 and 3 revealed significant decreases between Times 1 and 2 (t = 5.9, df = 118, p < .001) and Times 1 and 3 (t = 4.9, df = 118, p < .001), but no difference between Times 2 and 3 (t = 0.1, df = 118, ns). There was no significant difference in the change in ACP anxiety between baseline and 1 month follow up for those who discussed what they wrote in VMC with a family member or HCP versus those who did not.

DISCUSSION

This is the first study of AYAs living with cancer or other serious conditions that examined outcomes after engaging in ACP using VMC. Our hypothesis that the utilization of a formal tool to guide ACP would measurably increase communication about EoL care preferences and alleviate anxiety surrounding ACP was supported. Just over half of the participants reported having had an ACP conversation prior to participating in the study. While 44.6% did not think the conversation was necessary at the time of the study, after reviewing and completing pages of VMC, half of the participants who had not spoken to a family member about ACP, then did so. This suggests the benefit of having a document that addresses difficult issues such as EoL care preferences, including how patients would want to be remembered immediately and in the years following death, to guide such a discussion. Having a provider to help facilitate conversations based on information reported in an age-appropriate document may also be especially helpful. Incorporating meaningful engagement of and connection with loved ones is an important step in improving EoL communication to

potentially limit the regret and trauma families can experience after death (Lichtenthal et al., 2020; Brighton & Bristowe, 2016; Janvier et al., 2014; Ulrich et al., 2018).

While the increase in communication with family about ACP after reviewing and completing portions of VMC is encouraging, we were discouraged to note how few participants had talked to their HCP before or after participating in the study. Often patients and their family members will wait for the topic to be raised by their clinician (Clayton et al., 2005; Brighton & Bristowe, 2016), while clinicians rely on patients and relatives to start the conversation. This can result in a perpetual cycle of non-discussion (Almack et al., 2012; Hancock et al., 2007a), a missed opportunity (Knutzen et al., 2021), and an environment that fosters false hope and expectations in affected AYAs and their families (Feudtner, 2007). This cycle can be fueled by multiple barriers, including prognostic uncertainty, fear of the impact on patients, navigating patient readiness, and feeling inadequately trained for, or unaccustomed to, such difficult discussions (You et al., 2015; Hancock et al., 2007a; Hancock et al., 2007b; Almack et al., 2012; De Vleminck et al., 2014; Momen & Barclay, 2011; Pfeil et al., 2015). Additional deficits in communication may stem from limited use of evidence-based standards and reliance on "on-the-job-learning" (Feraco et al., 2016).

Most participants (88.6%) reported they would not have shared the preferences they documented in VMC with either a family member or HCP had they not been participating in the study. This highlights the need for practitioners to familiarize themselves with tools/ interventions, such as VMC, to help facilitate ACP conversations outside of the research setting. Prioritizing appropriate communication training (EPEC, 2019; Vital Talk, 2021; The Conversation Project, 2020), and incorporating ACP discussions into routine care alongside the use of a structured ACP guide, may greatly improve patient-centered EoL care. A recent study found integrating ACP using pages of VMC into an existing resilience-coaching intervention for AYAs with advance cancer both feasible and acceptable (Fladeboe et al., 2021).

While we found no significant changes in perceived social support after participating in the study, anxiety was significantly lower 1 month after reviewing and completing portions of VMC. The immediate drop in EoL care planning anxiety (Time 1 to Time 2) which persisted into Time 3, suggests the effect is immediate and sustained at least into the near future. As there were no differences in the change in anxiety between those who had a conversation about what was written in VMC and those who did not, this reduction in anxiety is likely linked to the experience of completing VMC, again underscoring the importance of access to an age appropriate ACP guide.

As previously reported, our findings suggest that EoL care discussions with AYAs do not cause harm (Wiener et al., 2012; Fladeboe et al., 2021), specifically in terms of increased anxiety, an important psychological patient outcome (Wright et al., 2008). Perhaps these findings can begin to alleviate the concern that many HCPs hold about increasing their patient's anxiety if EoL is raised. AYAs can both acknowledge a potential terminal prognosis and what their personal goals would be for EoL while they continue to hope for a good quality of life, achieve personal goals, or live longer than expected (Clayton et al., 2008).

Strengths and Limitations

This is the first study to examine outcome data following the use of an ACP guide developed specifically for AYAs. The study was administered nationally across varying institutions and included AYAs with a variety of serious conditions.

Several study limitations are important to note. The study included English-speaking participants, limiting ethnic diversity. We did not compare other methods of ACP against VMC as there are no other ACP guides for AYAs available, nor did we compare the outcome measures with care as usual. As expected with seriously ill participants, attrition from baseline to 1 month follow-up occurred. For others, 1 month follow-up may not have been a sufficient time frame to see changes in social support or sustained reduction in anxiety. Missing data at the 1 month follow-up impacts statistical power and may have introduced biased results. Though anxiety decreased significantly between baseline and 1 month follow-up, it is possible that other life events or variables aside from introducing and completing VMC could explain the difference.

CONCLUSION

Despite recommendations from the Institute of Medicine that ACP conversations be routinely provided as part of care, implementation with AYAs remains inconsistent. While our data suggest that having a document to guide ACP can increase communication with family, this was not the case with HCPs. Perhaps the reduction in anxiety found following the completion of several pages of an ACP, like VMC, can lessen apprehension around initiating these conversations. Future research should examine ways for ACP to be more consistently introduced to AYAs as this would allow their preferences for care to be heard, respected, and honored.

Acknowledgements:

Access to Data:

Lori Wiener, Sima Bedoya and Haven Battles had full access to all the data in the study and take responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

Funding:

This study was supported in part by the Intramural Program of the National Cancer Institute and National Institutes of Health, NIH (LW, SB, HB, AF, MP); Center for Hospice, Palliative Care and End-of-Life Studies at University of South Florida (KAD, LMAT, BBL, MBB). The Center for Hospice, Palliative Care and End-of-Life Studies at University of South Florida had no role in design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript; and decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

We acknowledge Catriona Mowbray PhD, BSN RN, CPN for her help with IRB procedures at Children's National Research Institute and Andrea Gross, MD for her help with identifying and interviewing study participants at Children's National Hospital. We especially thank all the patients and families who participated in this study.

References

Abernethy ER, Campbell GP & Pentz RD (2020). Why many oncologists fail to share accurate prognoses: They care deeply for their patients. Cancer, 126(6), 1163–1165. [PubMed: 31774548]

- Almack K, Cox K, Moghaddam N, Pollock K & Seymour J (2012). After you: conversations between patients and healthcare professionals in planning for end of life care. BMC Palliative Care, 11, 15. [PubMed: 22985010]
- Alvarez EM, Keegan TH, Johnston EE, Haile R, Sanders L, Wise PH, Saynina O & Chamberlain LJ (2018). The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act dependent coverage expansion: Disparities in impact among young adult oncology patients. Cancer, 124(1), 110–117. [PubMed: 28940423]
- Barfield RC, Brandon D, Thompson J, Harris N, Schmidt M & Docherty S (2010). Mind the child: using interactive technology to improve child involvement in decision making about life-limiting illness. American Journal of Bioethics, 10(4), 28–30.
- Brighton LJ & Bristowe K (2016). Communication in palliative care: talking about the end of life, before the end of life. Postgraduate Medical Journal, 92(1090), 466–470. [PubMed: 27153866]
- Brudney D (2009). Choosing for another: beyond autonomy and best interests. Hastings Cent Rep, 39(2), 31–37. [PubMed: 19388384]
- American Cancer Society (2020). Cancer Facts & Figures 2020. https://www.cancer.org/research/ cancer-facts-statistics.html
- Cella D, Hahn EA & Dineen K (2002). Meaningful change in cancer-specific quality of life scores: differences between improvement and worsening. Quality of Life Research, 11(3), 207–221. [PubMed: 12074259]
- Cella DF, Tulsky DS, Gray G, Sarafian B, Linn E, Bonomi A, Silberman M, Yellen SB, Winicour P, Brannon J & et al. (1993). The Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy scale: development and validation of the general measure. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 11(3), 570–579. [PubMed: 8445433]
- Chochinov HM (2002). Dignity-conserving care--a new model for palliative care: helping the patient feel valued. The Journal of the American Medical Association, 287(17), 2253–2260. [PubMed: 11980525]
- Clayton JM, Butow PN & Tattersall MH (2005). When and how to initiate discussion about prognosis and end-of-life issues with terminally ill patients. Journal of Pain and Symptom Management, 30(2), 132–144. [PubMed: 16125028]
- Clayton JM, Hancock K, Parker S, Butow PN, Walder S, Carrick S, Currow D, Ghersi D, Glare P, Hagerty R, Olver IN & Tattersall MH (2008). Sustaining hope when communicating with terminally ill patients and their families: a systematic review. Psycho-Oncology, 17(7), 641–659. [PubMed: 18022831]
- Coccia PF, Altman J, Bhatia S, Borinstein SC, Flynn J, George S, Goldsby R, Hayashi R, Huang MS, Johnson RH, Beaupin LK, Link MP, Oeffinger KC, Orr KM, Pappo AS, Reed D, Spraker HL, Thomas DA, von Mehren M, Wechsler DS, Whelan KF, Zebrack BJ, Sundar H & Shead DA (2012). Adolescent and young adult oncology. Clinical practice guidelines in oncology. Journal of the National Comprehensive Cancer Network, 10(9), 1112–1150. [PubMed: 22956810]
- De Vleminck A, Pardon K, Beernaert K, Deschepper R, Houttekier D, Van Audenhove C, Deliens L & Vander Stichele R (2014). Barriers to advance care planning in cancer, heart failure and dementia patients: a focus group study on general practitioners' views and experiences. Plos One, 9(1), e84905. [PubMed: 24465450]
- DeCourcey DD, Silverman M, Oladunjoye A & Wolfe J (2019). Advance Care Planning and Parent-Reported End-of-Life Outcomes in Children, Adolescents, and Young Adults With Complex Chronic Conditions. Critical Care Medicine, 47(1), 101–108. [PubMed: 30303834]
- Eiser C, Penn A, Katz E & Barr R (2009). Psychosocial issues and quality of life. Seminars in Oncology, 36(3), 275–280. [PubMed: 19460584]
- Northwestern Medicine (2019). EPEC: Education in Palliative and End-of-Life Care. https:// www.bioethics.northwestern.edu/programs/epec/curricula/pediatrics.html
- Feraco AM, Brand SR, Mack JW, Kesselheim JC, Block SD & Wolfe J (2016). Communication Skills Training in Pediatric Oncology: Moving Beyond Role Modeling. Pediatric Blood & Cancer, 63(6), 966–972. [PubMed: 26822066]
- Feudtner C (2007). Collaborative communication in pediatric palliative care: a foundation for problemsolving and decision-making. Pediatric Clinics of North America, 54(5), 583–607, ix. [PubMed: 17933613]

- Fladeboe KM, O'Donnell MB, Barton KS, Bradford MC, Steineck A, Junkins CC, Yi-Frazier JP & Rosenberg AR (2021). A novel combined resilience and advance care planning intervention for adolescents and young adults with advanced cancer: A feasibility and acceptability cohort study. Cancer, epub ahead of print.
- Granek L, Krzyzanowska MK, Tozer R & Mazzotta P (2013). Oncologists' strategies and barriers to effective communication about the end of life. Journal of Oncology Practice, 9(4), e129–135. [PubMed: 23942929]
- Granek L, Tozer R, Mazzotta P, Ramjaun A & Krzyzanowska M (2012). Nature and impact of grief over patient loss on oncologists' personal and professional lives. Archives of Internal Medicine, 172(12), 964–966. [PubMed: 22732754]
- Hancock K, Clayton JM, Parker SM, Wal der S, Butow PN, Carrick S, Currow D, Ghersi D, Glare P, Hagerty R & Tattersall MH (2007a). Truth-telling in discussing prognosis in advanced life-limiting illnesses: a systematic review. Palliative Medicine, 21(6), 507–517. [PubMed: 17846091]
- Hancock K, Clayton JM, Parker SM, Walder S, Butow PN, Carrick S, Currow D, Ghersi D, Glare P, Hagerty R & Tattersall MH (2007b). Discrepant perceptions about end-of-life communication: a systematic review. Journal of Pain and Symptom Management, 34(2), 190–200. [PubMed: 17544247]
- Henderson A, Young J, Herbert A, Bradford N & Pedersen LA (2017). Preparing Pediatric Healthcare Professionals for End-of-Life Care Discussions: An Exploratory Study. Journal of Palliative Medicine, 20(6), 662–666. [PubMed: 28186835]
- Hilden JM, Emanuel EJ, Fairclough DL, Link MP, Foley KM, Clarridge BC, Schnipper LE & Mayer RJ (2001). Attitudes and practices among pediatric oncologists regarding end-of-life care: results of the 1998 American Society of Clinical Oncology survey. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 19(1), 205–212. [PubMed: 11134214]
- Hinds PS, Drew D, Oakes LL, Fouladi M, Spunt SL, Church C & Furman WL (2005). End-of-life care preferences of pediatric patients with cancer. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 23(36), 9146–9154. [PubMed: 16172453]
- VitalTalk (2021). Home. https://www.vitaltalk.org/
- Vital Talk (2021). Home Page. https://www.vitaltalk.org/
- Jankovic M, Spinetta JJ, Masera G, Barr RD, D'Angio GJ, Epelman C, Evans A, Kosmidis HV & Eden T (2008). Communicating with the dying child: An invitation to listening--a report of the SIOP working committee on psychosocial issues in pediatric oncology. Pediatric Blood & Cancer, 50(5), 1087–1088. [PubMed: 18360885]
- Janvier A, Barrington K & Farlow B (2014). Communication with parents concerning withholding or withdrawing of life-sustaining interventions in neonatology. Seminars in Periantology, 38(1), 38–46.
- Kane J, Joselow M & Duncan J (2011). Understanding the illness experience and providing anticipatory guidance In Texbook of interdisciplinary pediatric palliative care, Wolfe J, Hinds P & Sourkes B (eds.), pp. 30–40. Philadelphia, PA Elsevier Incorporated.
- Kassam A, Skiadaresis J, Habib S, Alexander S & Wolfe J (2013). Moving toward quality palliative cancer care: parent and clinician perspectives on gaps between what matters and what is accessible. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 31(7), 910–915. [PubMed: 23182989]
- Kaye EC, Gushue CA, DeMarsh S, Jerkins J, Sykes A, Lu Z, Snaman JM, Blazin L, Johnson LM, Levine DR, Morrison RR & Baker JN (2018). Illness and end-of-life experiences of children with cancer who receive palliative care. Pediatric Blood & Cancer, 65(4).
- Kirch R, Reaman G, Feudtner C, Wiener L, Schwartz LA, Sung L & Wolfe J (2016). Advancing a comprehensive cancer care agenda for children and their families: Institute of Medicine Workshop highlights and next steps. A Cancer Journal for Clinicians, 66(5), 398–407.
- Knutzen KE, Sacks OA, Brody-Bizar OC, Murray GF, Jain RH, Holdcroft LA, Alam SS, Liu MA, Pollak KI, Tulsky JA & Barnato AE (2021). Actual and Missed Opportunities for End-of-Life Care Discussions With Oncology Patients: A Qualitative Study. JAMA Network Open, 4(6), e2113193– e2113193. [PubMed: 34110395]
- Liberman DB, Pham PK & Nager AL (2014). Pediatric advance directives: parents' knowledge, experience, and preferences. Pediatrics, 134(2), e436–443. [PubMed: 25002672]

- Lichtenthal WG, Roberts KE, Catarozoli C, Schofield E, Holland JM, Fogarty JJ, Coats TC, Barakat LP, Baker JN, Brinkman TM, Neimeyer RA, Prigerson HG, Zaider T, Breitbart W & Wiener L (2020). Regret and unfinished business in parents bereaved by cancer: A mixed methods study. Palliative Medicine, 34(3), 367–377. [PubMed: 32020837]
- Lowe B, Decker O, Muller S, Brahler E, Schellberg D, Herzog W & Herzberg PY (2008). Validation and standardization of the Generalized Anxiety Disorder Screener (GAD-7) in the general population. Medical Care, 46(3), 266–274. [PubMed: 18388841]
- Luckett T, Butow PN, King MT, Oguchi M, Heading G, Hackl NA, Rankin N & Price MA (2010). A review and recommendations for optimal outcome measures of anxiety, depression and general distress in studies evaluating psychosocial interventions for English-speaking adults with heterogeneous cancer diagnoses. Supportive Care in Cancer, 18(10), 1241–1262. [PubMed: 20596731]
- Lyon ME, Garvie PA, McCarter R, Briggs L, He J & D'Angelo LJ (2009). Who will speak for me? Improving end-of-life decision-making for adolescents with HIV and their families. Pediatrics, 123(2), e199–206. [PubMed: 19171571]
- Lyon ME, Jacobs S, Briggs L, Cheng YI & Wang J (2014). A longitudinal, randomized, controlled trial of advance care planning for teens with cancer: anxiety, depression, quality of life, advance directives, spirituality. Journal of Adolescent Health, 54(6), 710–717.
- Lyon ME, McCabe MA, Patel KM & D'Angelo LJ (2004). What do adolescents want? An exploratory study regarding end-of-life decision-making. Journal of Adolescent Health, 35(6), 529 e521–526.
- Mack JW, Chen K, Boscoe FP, Gesten FC, Roohan PJ, Schymura MJ & Schrag D (2015a). High Intensity of End-of-Life Care Among Adolescent and Young Adult Cancer Patients in the New York State Medicaid Program. Medical Care, 53(12), 1018–1026. [PubMed: 26492211]
- Mack JW, Chen LH, Cannavale K, Sattayapiwat O, Cooper RM & Chao CR (2015b). End-of-Life Care Intensity Among Adolescent and Young Adult Patients With Cancer in Kaiser Permanente Southern California. JAMA Oncol, 1(5), 592–600. [PubMed: 26181778]
- Mack JW, Hilden JM, Watterson J, Moore C, Turner B, Grier HE, Weeks JC & Wolfe J (2005). Parent and physician perspectives on quality of care at the end of life in children with cancer. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 23(36), 9155–9161. [PubMed: 16172457]
- Mack JW & Smith TJ (2012). Reasons why physicians do not have discussions about poor prognosis, why it matters, and what can be improved. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 30(22), 2715–2717. [PubMed: 22753911]
- Macqueen K, McLellan-Lemal E, Kay K, Milstein B & Cdc A (1998). Codebook Development for Team-Based Qualitative Analysis. Cultural Anthropology, 10, pp. 31–36.
- Malterud K (2001). Qualitative research: standards, challenges, and guidelines. Lancet, 358(9280), 483–488. [PubMed: 11513933]
- McAliley LG, Hudson-Barr DC, Gunning RS & Rowbottom LA (2000). The use of advance directives with adolescents. Pediatric Nursing, 26(5), 471–480. [PubMed: 12026336]
- Momen NC & Barclay SI (2011). Addressing 'the elephant on the table': barriers to end of life care conversations in heart failure a literature review and narrative synthesis. Current opinion in supportive and palliative care, 5(4), 312–316. [PubMed: 21897257]
- Oken MM, Creech RH, Tormey DC, Horton J, Davis TE, McFadden ET & Carbone PP (1982). Toxicity and response criteria of the Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group. American Journal of Clinical Oncology, 5(6), 649–655. [PubMed: 7165009]
- The Conversation Project (2020). Pediatric Starter Kit: Having the conversation with your seriously ill child. https://theconversationproject.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/02/ ConversationProject-StarterKit-Pediatric-English.pdf
- Pfeil TA, Laryionava K, Reiter-Theil S, Hiddemann W & Winkler EC (2015). What keeps oncologists from addressing palliative care early on with incurable cancer patients? An active stance seems key. Oncologist, 20(1), 56–61. [PubMed: 25361623]
- Phillips CR, Haase JE, Broome ME, Carpenter JS & Frankel RM (2017). Connecting with healthcare providers at diagnosis: adolescent/young adult cancer survivors' perspectives. International Journal of Qualitative Studies on Health and Well-being, 12(1), 1325699. [PubMed: 28617094]

- Pinkerton R, Donovan L & Herbert A (2018). Palliative Care in Adolescents and Young Adults With Cancer-Why Do Adolescents Need Special Attention? Cancer Journal, 24(6), 336–341. [PubMed: 30480579]
- Pousset G, Bilsen J, De Wilde J, Benoit Y, Verlooy J, Bomans A, Deliens L & Mortier F (2009). Attitudes of adolescent cancer survivors toward end-of-life decisions for minors. Pediatrics, 124(6), e1142–1148. [PubMed: 19948616]
- Rosenberg AR, Kroon L, Chen L, Li CI & Jones B (2015). Insurance status and risk of cancer mortality among adolescents and young adults. Cancer, 121(8), 1279–1286. [PubMed: 25492559]
- Rosenberg AR & Wolfe J (2013). Palliative care for adolescents and young adults with cancer. Clinical Oncology in Adolescents and Young Adults, 2013(3), 41–48. [PubMed: 29657924]
- Sansom-Daly UM, Wakefield CE, Patterson P, Cohn RJ, Rosenberg AR, Wiener L & Fardell JE (2020). End-of-Life Communication Needs for Adolescents and Young Adults with Cancer: Recommendations for Research and Practice. Journal of Adolescent and Young Adult Oncology, 9(2), 157–165. [PubMed: 31660768]
- Smith TJ, Temin S, Alesi ER, Abernethy AP, Balboni TA, Basch EM, Ferrell BR, Loscalzo M, Meier DE, Paice JA, Peppercorn JM, Somerfield M, Stovall E & Von Roenn JH (2012). American Society of Clinical Oncology provisional clinical opinion: the integration of palliative care into standard oncology care. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 30(8), 880–887. [PubMed: 22312101]
- Snaman J, McCarthy S, Wiener L & Wolfe J (2020). Pediatric Palliative Care in Oncology. Journal of Clinical Oncology, 38(9), 954–962. [PubMed: 32023163]
- Spitzer RL, Kroenke K, Williams JB & Lowe B (2006). A brief measure for assessing generalized anxiety disorder: the GAD-7. Archives of Internal Medicine, 166(10), 1092–1097. [PubMed: 16717171]
- Tang ST & McCorkle R (2001). Determinants of place of death for terminal cancer patients. Cancer Investigation, 19(2), 165–180. [PubMed: 11296621]
- Tichy JR, Lim E & Anders CK (2013). Breast cancer in adolescents and young adults: a review with a focus on biology. Journal of the National Comprehensive Cancer Network, 11(9), 1060–1069. [PubMed: 24029122]
- Tricoli JV & Bleyer A (2018). Adolescent and Young Adult Cancer Biology. Cancer Journal, 24(6), 267–274. [PubMed: 30480571]
- Tricoli JV, Boardman LA, Patidar R, Sindiri S, Jang JS, Walsh WD, McGregor PM 3rd, Camalier CE, Mehaffey MG, Furman WL, Bahrami A, Williams PM, Lih CJ, Conley BA & Khan J (2018). A mutational comparison of adult and adolescent and young adult (AYA) colon cancer. Cancer, 124(5), 1070–1082. [PubMed: 29194591]
- Ulrich CM, Mooney-Doyle K & Grady C (2018). Communicating With Pediatric Families at End-of-Life Is Not a Fantasy. American Journal of Bioethics, 18(1), 14–16.
- Warner EL, Kent EE, Trevino KM, Parsons HM, Zebrack BJ & Kirchhoff AC (2016). Social wellbeing among adolescents and young adults with cancer: A systematic review. Cancer, 122(7), 1029–1037. [PubMed: 26848713]
- Weaver MS, Heinze KE, Kelly KP, Wiener L, Casey RL, Bell CJ, Wolfe J, Garee AM, Watson A & Hinds PS (2015). Palliative Care as a Standard of Care in Pediatric Oncology. Pediatric Blood & Cancer, 62 Suppl 5, S829–833. [PubMed: 26700928]
- Weaver MS, Wiener L, Jacobs S, Bell CJ, Madrigal V, Mooney-Doyle K & Lyon ME (2021). Weaver et al's Response to Morrison: Advance Directives/Care Planning: Clear, Simple, and Wrong (DOI: 10.1089/jpm.2020.0272). Journal of Palliative Medicine, 24(1), 8–10. [PubMed: 32865470]
- Webster K, Cella D & Yost K (2003). The Functional Assessment of Chronic Illness Therapy (FACIT) Measurement System: properties, applications, and interpretation. Health and Quality of Life Outcomes, 1, 79. [PubMed: 14678568]
- Wiener L, Zadeh S, Battles H, Baird K, Ballard E, Osherow J & Pao M (2012). Allowing adolescents and young adults to plan their end-of-life care. Pediatrics, 130(5), 897–905. [PubMed: 23045560]
- Wiener L, Zadeh S, Wexler LH & Pao M (2013). When silence is not golden: Engaging adolescents and young adults in discussions around end-of-life care choices. Pediatric Blood & Cancer, 60(5), 715–718. [PubMed: 23483724]

- Wolfe J, Hammel JF, Edwards KE, Duncan J, Comeau M, Breyer J, Aldridge SA, Grier HE, Berde C, Dussel V & Weeks JC (2008). Easing of suffering in children with cancer at the end of life: is care changing? Journal of Clinical Oncology, 26(10), 1717–1723. [PubMed: 18375901]
- Wright AA, Zhang B, Ray A, Mack JW, Trice E, Balboni T, Mitchell SL, Jackson VA, Block SD, Maciejewski PK & Prigerson HG (2008). Associations between end-of-life discussions, patient mental health, medical care near death, and caregiver bereavement adjustment. The Journal of the American Medical Association, 300(14), 1665–1673. [PubMed: 18840840]
- You JJ, Downar J, Fowler RA, Lamontagne F, Ma IW, Jayaraman D, Kryworuchko J, Strachan PH, Ilan R, Nijjar AP, Neary J, Shik J, Brazil K, Patel A, Wiebe K, Albert M, Palepu A, Nouvet E, des Ordons AR, Sharma N, Abdul-Razzak A, Jiang X, Day A, Heyland DK & Canadian Researchers at the End of Life, N. (2015). Barriers to goals of care discussions with seriously ill hospitalized patients and their families: a multicenter survey of clinicians. JAMA Internal Medicine, 175(4), 549–556. [PubMed: 25642797]
- Zadeh S, Pao M & Wiener L (2015). Opening end-of-life discussions: how to introduce Voicing My CHOiCES, an advance care planning guide for adolescents and young adults. Palliative & Supportive Care, 13(3), 591–599. [PubMed: 24622210]
- Zebrack B, Chesler MA & Kaplan S (2010). To foster healing among adolescents and young adults with cancer: what helps? What hurts? Supportive Care in Cancer, 18(1), 131–135. [PubMed: 19690897]
- Zebrack BJ (2011). Psychological, social, and behavioral issues for young adults with cancer. Cancer, 117(10 Suppl), 2289–2294. [PubMed: 21523748]
- Zeltzer LK (1993). Cancer in adolescents and young adults psychosocial aspects. Long-term survivors. Cancer, 71(10 Suppl), 3463–3468. [PubMed: 8490896]

Table I.

Description of the Sections of Voicing My CHOiCES

Section Title	Section Description/Purpose
Introduction	Provides a brief overview of the guide while offering comfort and purpose to AYAs who may have mixed feelings about utilizing a guide, informs AYAs that document completion is based on their thoughts and desires, and illustrates autonomy and respect for their ability to make decisions about their own care.
How I Want to be Comforted	A place to describe how the AYA wants to be cared for and what will make them feel more comfortable (music, movies, food, atmosphere) in addition to preferences surrounging pain management.
How I Would Like to be Supported So I Don't Feel Alone	Allows the individual to describe who and when visitors are wanted, as well as designating how information on health status should be shared with others.
Who I Want to Make My Medical Care Decisions if I Cannot Make Them on My Own	Designating a durable power of attorney and expressing preferences on types of care decisions.
The Types Of Life Support Treatment I Want, or Do Not Want	A space for the individual to express their desire for/against life support treatments and to specify any terms on its usage, as well as to indicate where they would like to be at the end of their life.
What I Would Like My Family and Friends To Know About Me	A space for the individual to express gratitude or regret towards family and friends.
My Spiritual Thoughts and Wishes	A place to write down their thoughts on spiritual topics or sources of meaning, and to discuss their preferences.
How I Wish to be Remembered	A space for the individual to designate burial and funeral preferences as well as provide information on organ donation and autopsy. This section also allows the individual to designate who important belongings should be distributed to and how they would like to be remembered on special occasions.
My Voice	A space to write letters to loved ones.
Glossary	Provides definitions of terms used throughout the guide.

Table II.

Description of Sample (*n*=149)

Characteristic	N (%)		
Gender			
Female	72 (48.3)		
Male	77 (51.7)		
Age	Mean 26.7 (range 18–39) 1 missing		
Race			
Caucasian	96 (64.4)		
Black/African American	18 (12.1)		
Asian	10 (6.7)		
American Indian/Alaska Native	2 (2.0)		
Biracial	19 (12.8)		
Missing	3 (2.0)		
Ethnicity			
Hispanic/Latino/a	25 (23.5)		
Not Hispanic/Latino/a	109 (73.2)		
Unknown	5 (3.4)		
Medical Diagnosis			
Cancer	110 (73.8)		
Other	38 (25.5)		
Primary Immune Deficiency	16 (10.7)		
HIV (congenital)	11 (7.4)		
Neurofibromatosis type 1	9 (6.1)		
Sickle Cell Disease	2 (1.3)		
Missing	1 (0.7)		
Time since diagnosis	Mean 8.1 years (0 – 37) 49 missing		
Perceived current health status			
Very good	23 (15.4)		
Good	92 (61.7)		
Not good	26 (17.4)		
Poor	8 (5.4)		
ECOG Performance Status			
0	45 (30.2)		
1	76 (51.0)		
2	21 (14.1)		
3	7 (4.7)		
Employment status			
Full time	24 (16.1)		
Part time	18 (12.1)		
Unemployed	45 (30.2)		
Part time student	9 (6.0)		

Characteristic	N (%)		
Full time student	13 (8.7)		
Medical leave	16 (10.7)		
Other	8 (5.4)		
Educational attainment			
Some high school	7 (4.7)		
High school diploma/GED	30 (20.1)		
Some college	48 (32.2)		
Graduated college	41 (27.5)		
Some graduate school	6 (4.0)		
Graduate degree	17 (11.4)		
Have health insurance			
Yes	136 (91.3)		
No	12 (8.1)		
Missing	1 (0.7)		
Relationship status			
Married/partnered	48 (32.2)		
Separated/Divorced	3 (2.0)		
Single	98 (65.8)		
Parent status			
No children	123 (82.6)		
Have children	26 (17.4)		
Living arrangement			
Live alone	20 (13.4)		
Live with others	129 (86.6)		
Religion			
Jewish	4 (2.7)		
Catholic	33 (22.1)		
Protestant	16 (10.7)		
Muslim	3 (2.0)		
Hindu	1 (0.7)		
Other	57 (38.3)		
None	34 (22.8)		
Missing	1 (0.7)		
Religiosity			
Very religious/spiritual	20 (13.4)		
Religious/spiritual	78 (52.3)		
Not religious/spiritual	49 (32.9)		
Missing	1 (0.7)		

Table III.

Advance care planning conversations with family members and HCP and barriers to conversations (n = 149) at baseline

Have you ever talked with your family about what your wishes or preferences might be if treatments were no longer working well for you?	n (%)
Yes	75 (50.3%)
No (barriers below)	74 (49.7%)
The conversation would make my family too upset	36 (48.6%)
I don't need to think about this (I am sure my treatments will work)	33 (44.6%)
I am not sure how to start the conversation	29 (39.2%)
The conversation would make me too upset	28 (37.8%)
I felt like it is bad luck to talk about treatment not working	19 (25.7%)
Other reason	28 (37.8%)
Other reason	28 (37.8%)
Have you ever talked with a health care provider about what your wishes or preferences might be if treatments were no longer working well for you?	28 (37.8%)
Have you ever talked with a health care provider about what your wishes or preferences might be if treatments were no	29 (19.5%)
Have you ever talked with a health care provider about what your wishes or preferences might be if treatments were no longer working well for you?	
Have you ever talked with a health care provider about what your wishes or preferences might be if treatments were no longer working well for you? Yes	29 (19.5%) 54 (80.5%)
Have you ever talked with a health care provider about what your wishes or preferences might be if treatments were no longer working well for you? Yes No (barriers below)	29 (19.5%)
Have you ever talked with a health care provider about what your wishes or preferences might be if treatments were no longer working well for you? Yes No (barriers below) I don't need to think about this (I am sure my treatments will work)	29 (19.5%) 54 (80.5%) 35 (31.3%)
Have you ever talked with a health care provider about what your wishes or preferences might be if treatments were no longer working well for you? Yes No (barriers below) I don't need to think about this (I am sure my treatments will work) I am not sure how to start the conversation	29 (19.5%) 54 (80.5%) 35 (31.3%) 24 (21.4%)
Have you ever talked with a health care provider about what your wishes or preferences might be if treatments were no longer working well for you? Yes No (barriers below) I don't need to think about this (I am sure my treatments will work) I am not sure how to start the conversation The conversation would make me too upset	29 (19.5%) 54 (80.5%) 35 (31.3%) 24 (21.4%) 13 (11.6%)

Table IV.

Other reasons for not having advance care planning conversations with family members and HCP at baseline

Barriers to Conversations with a Family Member (n=28)	Exemplar Quote		
Family hesitation (n=4)			
Family avoids/stops conversation	"Dad doesn't let me. He changes the subject when I address it. He tells m not to be negative."		
Family stays positive, focused on the next treatment	"My family only talks about next treatments if this doesn't work."		
Personal decision not to have conversation (n=24)			
Not necessary at this point	"I seem to be healthy enough to allow myself to discuss it later."		
Personal optimism	"I don't want to think about it, I try to keep things positive."		
Not current thought process	"I just haven't. I haven't really thought about it."		
Discomfort/uncertainty with the topic	"I don't like planning for anything resembling the future after so many treatment changes"		
	ucaunent changes		
Lack of opportunity (n=4)	"Subject does not come up."		
Lack of opportunity (n=4) Barriers to Conversations with a Healthcare Provider (n=76)			
	"Subject does not come up."		
Barriers to Conversations with a Healthcare Provider (n=76)	"Subject does not come up."		
Barriers to Conversations with a Healthcare Provider (n=76) Provider hesitation (n=15)	"Subject does not come up." Exemplar Quote		
Barriers to Conversations with a Healthcare Provider (n=76) Provider hesitation (n=15) Provider hasn't initiated	"Subject does not come up." Exemplar Quote "Expect health care team to initiate conversation when it is appropriate." "It was more like they were very positive, let's deal with the problem at		
Barriers to Conversations with a Healthcare Provider (n=76) Provider hesitation (n=15) • Provider hasn't initiated • Provider optimism/focus on the next treatment	"Subject does not come up." Exemplar Quote "Expect health care team to initiate conversation when it is appropriate." "It was more like they were very positive, let's deal with the problem at		
Barriers to Conversations with a Healthcare Provider (n=76) Provider hesitation (n=15) • Provider hasn't initiated • Provider optimism/focus on the next treatment Personal decision not to have conversation (n=44)	"Subject does not come up." "Subject does not come up." Exemplar Quote "Expect health care team to initiate conversation when it is appropriate." "It was more like they were very positive, let's deal with the problem at hand before we get ahead of ourselves."		
Barriers to Conversations with a Healthcare Provider (n=76) Provider hesitation (n=15) • Provider hasn't initiated • Provider optimism/focus on the next treatment Personal decision not to have conversation (n=44) • Not necessary at this point	"Subject does not come up." Exemplar Quote "Expect health care team to initiate conversation when it is appropriate." "It was more like they were very positive, let's deal with the problem at hand before we get ahead of ourselves." "It don't think I'm at that point yet. We have more options left."		
Barriers to Conversations with a Healthcare Provider (n=76) Provider hesitation (n=15) • Provider hasn't initiated • Provider optimism/focus on the next treatment Personal decision not to have conversation (n=44) • Not necessary at this point • Personal optimism	"Subject does not come up." "Exemplar Quote "Expect health care team to initiate conversation when it is appropriate." "It was more like they were very positive, let's deal with the problem at hand before we get ahead of ourselves." "It don't think I'm at that point yet. We have more options left." "Taking it day by day and staying optimistic that this will work."		

Table V.

End-of-life planning at baseline, immediate follow-up, 1-month follow-up (among those who completed all three time points, n = 119)

End-of-life care planning anxiety	Baseline N (%)	Immediate follow-up N (%)	1-month follow-up $N(\%)$
No anxiety	20 (16.8%)	38 (31.9%)	45 (37.8%)
A little anxiety	40 (33.6%)	45 (37.8%)	39 (32.8%)
Moderate anxiety	35 (29.4%)	28 (23.5%)	20 (16.8%)
A lot of anxiety	24 (20.2%)	8 (6.7%)	15 (12.6%)