

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Safe administration of subsequent mRNA COVID-19 vaccine doses following a possible allergic reaction to the first dose

The mRNA COVID-19 vaccines are highly effective in preventing severe COVID-19. After the first vaccination dose, allergic reactions are reported in up to 2.2%,¹ while severe anaphylaxis is very rare (estimated <1/100 000).² IgE-mediated allergy to polyethylene glycol (PEG), non-IgE-mediated PEG-reactions, and direct lipid nanoparticle (LNP)-mediated mast cell activation have been proposed as immune mechanisms causing anaphylactoid reactions.³ Severe allergic reactions to the first dose of an mRNA vaccine are considered a relative contraindication for a second dose. Graded dosing protocols have been suggested for the next administration after suspected allergic reactions.⁴

We retrospectively analysed data from 17 patients, with possible allergic reactions to the first dose of an mRNA COVID-19 vaccine (Table 1). Patients were included in the study between April and October 2021. Follow-up of the patients lasted until February 2022 to also include the outcome of the booster dose. All patients gave informed consent, and the local ethics committee approved the study (EKZN #2021-02063). The mean age was 44.2 years (range 20–60 years), and 82.4% were female. Eleven patients had symptoms within the first 30 min following vaccine administration, and the remaining developed symptoms between 1 and 10 h post-vaccination. The majority (11/17; 65%) had skin reactions or facial angio-oedema (10/17, 59%). The remaining patients reported dyspnoea, dizziness and other symptoms.

We systematically assessed all patients by skin prick testing (SPT) and intradermal testing (IDT) using: macrogol 400 and macrogol 6000 (1:10 SPT / 1:100 IDT), polysorbate 80 (20%; SPT), trometamol (0.1/1% / 0.01/0.1%) and the mRNA-1273 vaccine (Moderna, 1:10/pure / 1:100/1:10). Histamine and saline solution were used as controls. Testing was considered positive at a weal diameter ≥ 3 mm in the presence of erythema. One patient each had a positive SPT reaction to macrogol 400 and an irritative skin reaction to the mRNA-1273 vaccine (Table 1). In the IDT, five patients had a positive reaction to mRNA-1273 within the first hour after testing (3 after 15 min, 1 after 30 min and 1 after 60 min), and nine showed a skin reaction occurring within the first 24 h. These later reactions presented either as weals (typically within 4 h) or erythema (presumably first-dose-

induced T-cell response). Baseline serum tryptase was normal in all patients.

We administered the second dose of the mRNA-1273 vaccine using either a five-step⁴ or a two-step graded protocol, that is, 1%–10%–20%–30%–40% or 10%–90% of the total mRNA-1273 vaccine dose (i.e. 0.5 mL; 100 μ g mRNA) given 30 min apart and followed by a 1-h observation. Considering that the five-step protocol may also result in tolerance induction, the five-step graded protocol was used in patients with more severe reactions and a positive skin test. In contrast, patients with milder reactions and negative skin tests were vaccinated using the two-step graded protocol (Table 1). All subjects received prophylactic treatment with a standard dose of an H1-antihistamine once daily for 6 days, starting 3 days before the vaccination. We opted to initiate the prophylactic antihistamine already 3 days before the vaccination – instead of a single dose before the vaccination – given that urticaria is often insufficiently suppressed by a single dose.⁵ None of the 17 patients experienced severe allergic symptoms during observation and follow-up. Three subjects developed very mild symptoms with spontaneous improvement (Table 1). Over the next months, 13 of 17 patients also received a booster vaccination (Table 2). The four subjects who had received the second dose with the five-step protocol were booster vaccinated with the two-step graded protocol. The remaining nine subjects received the booster as an unfractionated vaccination. All but one patient received antihistamines. Fractionated and unfractionated booster vaccinations were tolerated well in all these patients (Table 2). Within the four patients not booster vaccinated during our follow-up period, one patient had COVID-19 infection before he was able to get the booster and three patients decided against the booster.

In conclusion, we found that antihistamine premedication and graded immunization protocols allowed a safe administration of the mRNA COVID-19 vaccine in patients with a possible allergic reaction to the first dose. None of our patients showed apparent signs of an immediate-type allergy after the second or the booster vaccination. In contrast, others reported mild anaphylactic symptoms in 26–38% of subjects receiving the second dose of Pfizer/BioNTech with antihistamine premedication or graded dosing.^{6,7} In another study, using a two-step graded dosing in a small cohort, reactions occurred in 5 of 12 subjects.⁸ Furthermore, in a recent meta-analysis, the risk of severe hypersensitivity reactions after reactions to the first dose was 0.16%, and for mild reactions 13.7%.⁹ Combined, our data suggest that the five-step graded protocol may not be necessary for further vaccinations in patients with mild reactions to the first dose of mRNA vaccine.

The limitations of our study include the small number of patients, absence of a control group and mainly mild reactions to the first dose. Also, the skin tests were not formally validated in healthy subjects without reactions. Unspecific positive skin tests can thus not be excluded. Nevertheless, we believe that our approach decreased hesitancy to get further vaccinations in subjects with possible allergic reactions and enabled us to complete immunization schedules, including the booster dose.

Further studies are needed to define the benefit of graded protocols as well as the effect of premedication with antihistamines in patients with a history of possible allergic reactions to mRNA COVID-19 vaccines.

Acknowledgements



The patients in this study have given written informed consent to publication of their case details.

Conflicts of interests

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Data availability statement

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

M.S. Roth,^{1,2} S. Chantraine,¹ C.A. Morales Mateluna,¹
K. Hartmann,^{1,3,*}  C.T. Berger^{1,3,4,*} 

¹Division of Allergy, Department of Dermatology, University Hospital Basel and University of Basel, Basel, Switzerland, ²Division of Allergy, University Children's Hospital of Basel, Basel, Switzerland, ³Department of Biomedicine, University Hospital Basel and University of Basel, Basel, Switzerland, ⁴University Center for Immunology, University Hospital Basel, Basel, Switzerland

*Correspondence: K. Hartmann and C. T. Berger. E-mail: karin.hartmann@usb.ch and C. T. Berger. E-mail: christoph.berger@usb.ch

†Contributed equally to this study.

References

- Blumenthal KG, Robinson LB, Camargo CA Jr *et al.* Acute allergic reactions to mRNA COVID-19 vaccines. *JAMA* 2021; **325**: 1562–1565.
- Greenhawt M, Abrams EM, Shaker M *et al.* The risk of allergic reaction to SARS-CoV-2 vaccines and recommended evaluation and management: a systematic review, meta-analysis, GRADE assessment, and international consensus approach. *J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract* 2021; **9**: 3546–3567.
- Szebeni J, Storm G, Ljubimova JY *et al.* Applying lessons learned from nanomedicines to understand rare hypersensitivity reactions to mRNA-based SARS-CoV-2 vaccines. *Nat Nanotechnol* 2022; **17**: 337–346.
- Tuong LAC, Capucilli P, Staicu M, Ramsey A, Walsh EE, Mustafa SS. Graded Administration of Second Dose of Moderna and Pfizer-BioNTech COVID-19 mRNA vaccines in patients with hypersensitivity to first dose. *Open Forum Infect Dis* 2021; **8**: 8.
- Zuberbier T, Abdul Latiff AH, Abuzakouk M *et al.* The international EAACI/GA²LEN/EuroGuiDerm/APAAACI guideline for the definition, classification, diagnosis, and management of urticaria. *Allergy: Eur J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2022; **77**: 734–766.
- Wolfson AR, Robinson LB, Li L *et al.* First-dose mRNA COVID-19 vaccine allergic reactions: limited role for excipient skin testing. *J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract* 2021; **9**: 3308–3320.e3.
- Krantz MS, Bruusgaard-Mouritsen MA, Koo G, Phillips EJ, Stone CA, Garvey LH. Anaphylaxis to the first dose of mRNA SARS-CoV-2 vaccines: don't give up on the second dose! *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2021; **76**: 2916–2920.
- Patel GB, Chhiba KD, Chen MM *et al.* COVID-19 vaccine-related premedicated allergic reactions and second dose administration by using a two-step graded protocol. *Allergy Asthma Proc* 2021; **42**: 515–521.
- Chu DK, Abrams EM, Golden DBK *et al.* Risk of second allergic reaction to SARS-CoV-2 vaccines. *JAMA Intern Med* 2022; **182**: 376–385.

DOI: 10.1111/jdv.18387