Identification and Characterization of a Two-Component Sensor-Kinase and Response-Regulator System (DcuS-DcuR) Controlling Gene Expression in Response to C_4 -Dicarboxylates in *Escherichia coli*

PAUL GOLBY,¹ SUZANNE DAVIES,² DAVID J. KELLY,² JOHN R. GUEST,² AND SIMON C. ANDREWS^{1*}

*The School of Animal and Microbial Sciences, University of Reading, Whiteknights, Reading RG6 6AJ,*¹ *and The Krebs Institute for Biomolecular Research, Department of Molecular Biology and Biotechnology, University of Sheffield, Western Bank, Sheffield S10 2TN,*² *United Kingdom*

Received 30 September 1998/Accepted 8 December 1998

The *dcuB* **gene of** *Escherichia coli* **encodes an anaerobic C4-dicarboxylate transporter that is induced anaerobically by FNR, activated by the cyclic AMP receptor protein, and repressed in the presence of nitrate by NarL. In addition,** *dcuB* **expression is strongly induced by C4-dicarboxylates, suggesting the presence of a novel C4-dicarboxylate-responsive regulator in** *E. coli***. This paper describes the isolation of a Tn***10* **mutant in** which the 160-fold induction of $dcuB$ expression by $C₄$ -dicarboxylates is absent. The corresponding Tn 10 **mutation resides in the** *yjdH* **gene, which is adjacent to the** *yjdG* **gene and close to the** *dcuB* **gene at** \sim **93.5 min in the** *E. coli* **chromosome. The** *yjdHG* **genes (redesignated** *dcuSR***) appear to constitute an operon encoding a two-component sensor-regulator system (DcuS-DcuR). A plasmid carrying the** *dcuSR* **operon restored the** C_4 -dicarboxylate inducibility of *dcuB* expression in the *dcuS* mutant to levels exceeding those of the *dcuS*⁺ **strain by approximately 1.8-fold. The** *dcuS* **mutation affected the expression of other genes with roles in C4-dicarboxylate transport or metabolism. Expression of the fumarate reductase (***frdABCD***) operon and the aerobic C4-dicarboxylate transporter (***dctA***) gene were induced 22- and 4-fold, respectively, by the DcuS-DcuR system in the presence of C4-dicarboxylates. Surprisingly, anaerobic fumarate respiratory growth of the** *dcuS* mutant was normal. However, under aerobic conditions with C₄-dicarboxylates as sole carbon sources, the **mutant exhibited a growth defect resembling that of a** *dctA* **mutant. Studies employing a** *dcuA dcuB dcuC* **triple mutant unable to transport C4-dicarboxylates anaerobically revealed that C4-dicarboxylate transport is not required for C4-dicarboxylate-responsive gene regulation. This suggests that the DcuS-DcuR system responds to external substrates. Accordingly, topology studies using 14 DcuS-BlaM fusions showed that DcuS contains** two putative transmembrane helices flanking a \sim 140-residue N-terminal domain apparently located in the periplasm. This topology strongly suggests that the periplasmic loop of DcuS serves as a C₄-dicarboxylate **sensor. The cytosolic region of DcuS (residues 203 to 543) contains two domains: a central PAS domain possibly acting as a second sensory domain and a C-terminal transmitter domain. Database searches showed that DcuS and DcuR are closely related to a subgroup of two-component sensor-regulators that includes the citrate-responsive CitA-CitB system of** *Klebsiella pneumoniae***. DcuS is not closely related to the C4-dicarboxylate-sensing DctS or DctB protein of** *Rhodobacter capsulatus* **or rhizobial species, respectively. Although all three proteins have similar topologies and functions, and all are members of the two-component sensor-kinase family, their periplasmic domains appear to have evolved independently.**

 $Escherichia coli$ can utilize C_4 -dicarboxylates (aspartate, fumarate, malate, and succinate) as energy sources during both aerobic and anaerobic growth (8) . Uptake of C_4 -dicarboxylates is achieved by the aerobic DctA system and by the anaerobic DcuA, DcuB, and DcuC systems. DcuA and DcuB are homologous proteins (36% identical), and studies with corresponding *dcuA* and *dcuB* mutants suggested that they perform similar roles in C_4 -dicarboxylate transport (29). A more recent study on the expression of the *dcuA* and *dcuB* genes (12) indicated that DcuA has a general function in C_4 -dicarboxylate transport whereas DcuB primarily mediates C₄-dicarboxylate transport during anaerobic fumarate respiration (12). These studies further showed that *dcuA* is constitutively expressed whereas *dcuB* expression is highly regulated. The *dcuB* gene is strongly induced anaerobically by FNR, repressed in the presence of nitrate by NarL, and is subject to cyclic AMP receptor protein (CRP)-mediated catabolite repression. In addition, *dcuB* transcription is strongly induced (up to 70-fold) by C_4 -dicarboxylates (aspartate, fumarate, malate, maleate, and succinate) (12). The mechanism of the C_4 -dicarboxylate-dependent induction of *dcuB* is unknown. However, the *frd* and *nuo* operons of *E. coli* have also been shown to be regulated by C_4 -dicarboxylates, albeit weakly, via an undefined mechanism (2, 16a, 18). Together, these findings suggest that *E. coli* possesses an uncharacterized C4-dicarboxylate-responsive transcriptional regulator controlling the expression of at least three genes or operons (12).

Although nothing is known of the putative C_4 -dicarboxylateresponsive transcriptional regulator of *E. coli*, such systems have been identified in other bacteria. *Rhizobium meliloti* and

^{*} Corresponding author. Mailing address: The School of Animal and Microbial Sciences, University of Reading, Whiteknights, PO Box 228, Reading RG6 6AJ, United Kingdom. Phone: 118-987-5123, ext. 7045/7886. Fax: 118-931-0180. E-mail: s.c.andrews@reading.ac.uk.

Rhizobium leguminosarum each contain two-component sensor-regulators, DctB and DctD encoded by *dctBD*, that activate transcription of the adjacent $dctA$ genes (specifying the C_4 dicarboxylate transporter) in response to C_4 -dicarboxylates (38). *Rhodobacter capsulatus* also contains a two-component sensor-regulator, DctS and DctR encoded by *dctSR*, which is required for high-affinity C_4 -dicarboxylate transport mediated by the products of the adjacent *dctPQM* genes (9a, 14). It is therefore assumed that DctS and DctR are involved in the C4-dicarboxylate-dependent induction of *dctPQM*. The DctB and DctS proteins are thought to be membrane-bound sensorkinases containing a periplasmic C_4 -dicarboxylate sensing domain in their N-terminal segments and a cytosolic histidinekinase domain in the C-terminal regions. Although the DctB and DctS proteins appear to have similar sensory functions and are both members of the two-component sensor-kinase family, they are not otherwise closely related, and surprisingly, their N-terminal domains exhibit no apparent sequence similarity. The DctR and DctD proteins are members of different subfamilies of the response-regulators. DctR contains two domains: an N-terminal acceptor domain and a C-terminal DNAbinding domain. DctD contains three domains: an N-terminal acceptor domain, a centrally located domain that mediates σ^{54} -dependent transcriptional activation of $dctA$, and a C-terminal domain that is responsible for binding to the upstream activator sequence of the *dctA* gene (17).

The *E. coli* genome does not contain genes encoding close homologs of the DctB-DctD or DctS-DctR pairs, showing that the putative C_4 -dicarboxylate-responsive transcriptional regulatory system of *E. coli* is not closely related to those of *Rhi-* *zobium* and *Rhodobacter*. Indeed, the studies described here reveal that *E. coli* contains a new two-component regulatory system, designated DcuS-DcuR, that regulates the expression of $dcuB$ and other genes in response to external C_4 -dicarboxylates. Furthermore, the DcuS-DcuR proteins are not closely related to the DctB-DctD or DctS-DctR proteins but instead are members of the CitA-CitB sub-family of two-component sensor-regulators. While this paper was under review, Zientz et al., published a paper that also identifies the role of DcuS-DcuR in the transcriptional regulation of gene expression in *E. coli* (41). The results described here are largely in agreement with those of Zientz et al. (41) .

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Media, growth conditions, strains, and general methods. All strains of *E. coli* used in this study are listed in Table 1. For growth studies, strains were generally grown aerobically or anaerobically in M9 minimal salts (Sigma) with either glucose (0.4%) or glycerol (0.4%) as the carbon source, supplemented with 1 mM $MgSO₄$, 0.1 mM CaCl₂, and 0.5-mg/ml vitamin B₁. Where used, fumarate, nitrate, or trimethylamine *N* oxide (TMAO) was present at 50 mM. Unless otherwise stated, cultures were grown at 37°C either aerobically in 250-ml conical flasks with shaking or anaerobically in stationary 10-ml bijou bottles. Standard genetic procedures were performed as described by Sambrook et al. (26) with DH5 α grown aerobically at 37°C in L-broth supplemented, as required, with 15 μ g of tetracycline/ml or with 10 or 50 μ g of chloramphenicol/ml. DNA labeling was achieved with the Ready to Go DNA Labelling Kit (Pharmacia) and $[\alpha -^{32}P]$ dCTP.

To investigate the effects of the *dcuS* mutation on the expression of the *dctA*, *fumA*, and *frdA* genes, the *dcuS*::Tn*10* mutation of strain JRG3983 was transferred via P1-mediated transduction to the corresponding *lacZ* fusion strains JRG1788, JRG1938, and JRG3351 (see Table 1). Strain SCA2 (*dcuA dcuB dcuC*) was constructed in two steps: first, the *dcuA dcuB* double mutation of JRG2814 was transferred via P1-mediated transduction to strain JRG3835 to generate strain SCA1, and second, the *dcuC* mutation of strain IMW157 (37) was similarly transferred to SCA1 to generate strain SCA2.

Transposon mutagenesis and isolation of a *dcuS***::Tn***10* **mutant.** Transposon mutagenesis was performed with the mini-Tn10 carrying phage λ NK1098 and the procedure described by Way et al. (34). JRG3835 (*dcuB-lacZ*) was grown aerobically in 50 ml of λ ym (1% tryptone, 0.25% sodium chloride, 0.2% maltose, and 0.1% yeast extract) liquid medium at 37°C to an optical density at 650 nm $(OD₆₅₀)$ of approximately 0.5. Cells were then harvested by centrifugation, resuspended in $\overline{5}$ ml of λ ym (1 mM isopropyl- β -D-thiogalactopyranoside [IPTG]) and infected with λ NK1098 at a multiplicity of infection of 0.3. After incubation at 21°C for 30 min to allow phage adsorption, the culture was incubated for 90 min at 37°C to allow expression of the antibiotic resistance genes. Cells were then pelleted, washed in 10 ml of L-broth containing 50 mM sodium citrate, and resuspended in 1 ml of L-broth containing sodium citrate. Aliquots of 0.1 ml of the resuspended cells were spread onto agar plates containing M9 minimal salts, 0.4% glycerol, 50 mM TMAO, 50 mM fumarate, 1.25 mM sodium pyrophos-
phate, 20 μg of 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-β-D-galactoside (X-Gal) ml⁻¹, and tetracycline and then incubated aerobically at 42°C for 36 h. Approximately 10% of the 8×10^3 Tc^r mutants obtained were Lac⁻, possibly due to instability of the *dcuB-lacZ* bearing prophage. Most of the rest were strongly Lac⁺, except for 14 weakly Lac⁺ Tc^r mutants, which were further screened by aerobic propagation at 37°C for 24 h on M9 minimal medium plates containing glycerol, TMAO, X-Gal, and tetracycline with and without fumarate. Tc^r mutants exhibiting a weak Lac⁺ phenotype in both the presence and absence of fumarate were selected as potential \hat{C}_4 -dicarboxylate regulatory mutants.

Southern hybridization. Chromosomal DNA was isolated from strain JRG3983 with the Wizard Genomic DNA Purification kit (Promega). Aliquots of approximately 10 μ g of chromosomal DNA were digested with restriction enzymes, electrophoresed, denatured, transferred to a nylon membrane, and hybridized at 65°C with an [a-32P]-labeled 0.85-kb *Eco*RI-*Hin*dIII fragment of the mini-Tn*10* transposon.

Recovery of the transposon and flanking DNA from JRG3983 and construction of pPG2. A 4.2-kb chromosomal fragment containing the Tn*10* insertion (together with \sim 1 kb of flanking chromosomal DNA) of JRG3983 was PCR amplified with *Pfu* Turbo DNA polymerase (Stratagene) and two primers: DcuS-f, 5'-CCCTGCAGATTGCGTCGTCATCGATAATTAATACA-3'; and DcuS-r, 5'-CCCTG CAGACAAGAATTGCTGAATTACCGTAAGTC-3' (mismatches are shown in small capitals, *Pst*I sites are in boldface, and the corresponding annealing sites are indicated in Fig. 2). The 4.2-kb PCR product was purified, digested with *Pst*I, and cloned into pSU18 to generate plasmid pPG1. The nucleotide sequence of one of the regions flanking the Tn*10* fragment of pPG1 was determined with the Dye Terminator Cycle Sequencing Ready Reaction kit (Applied Biosystems) and two primers (Tn10-A, 5'-TTCAGTGATCCATTGCTG-3'; and Tn10-B, 5'-CAAAG GGAATCATAGATC-3') designed to anneal to adjacent regions (40 bp apart) of the downstream segment of the *tetR* gene of Tn*10*.

The *dcuSR* genes were cloned in two steps: first, the 1.1-kb *Sal*I partial-*dcuS* fragment of pGS78 (13) was inserted into plasmid pSU19 to generate pGS1179, and second, the 0.24-kb *Hin*dIII-*Sph*I fragment of pGS1179 was replaced with the 2.5-kb *HindIII-SphI 'dcuB-dcuR-dcuS'*-containing fragment of pGS78 to produce pGS1180 (Table 1 and Fig. 2). Plasmid pPG2 was constructed by cloning the 3.5-kb *Hin*dIII-*Eco*RI *dcuSR*-containing fragment of pGS1180 into the vector pHSG576 (33) (Table 1 and Fig. 2).

Construction and analysis of *dcuS-blaM* **fusions.** Fourteen site-directed *dcuSblaM* fusions were created by PCR with the *dcuS*-containing plasmid pGS1180 as template, *Pfu* DNA polymerase (Stratagene), DcuS-F (5'-GGGCCATGGGACAT TCATTGCCCTAC-3' (start codon underlined, mismatches in small capitals, and *Nco*I site in boldface) as the forward primer, and 14 codon-specific reverse primers (26-mers, with the *Eco*RV-site-containing sequence CCGATATC at the 5' termini and 18 homologous bases at the 3' termini). The 0.09 to 1.5-kb *Nco*Iand *Eco*RV-treated PCR fragments and the 0.85-kb *Sma*I-*Sac*I *blaM* cassette of pLH21 were coligated into the corresponding sites of the Kn^r plasmid pYZ4 (36) to give *dcuS-blaM* fusions appropriately positioned downstream of the IPTGinducible *lac*UV5 promoter. *E. coli* TG1 was transformed with the *dcuS-blaM*containing plasmids and propagated on solid medium containing M9 minimal salts, 0.4% glucose, and kanamycin (50 μ g ml⁻¹). Kn^r transformants were tested for growth when inoculated at low or high density on solid glucose minimal medium containing kanamycin and ampicillin (35 μ g ml⁻¹). The MICs of ampicillin (AP) for transformants carrying *dcuS-blaM* fusions were determined as described by Golby et al. (11).

The *dcuS-blaM* fusion points were determined by nucleotide sequencing with the Dye Terminator Cycle Sequencing Ready Reaction kit (Applied Biosystems) and a primer (BLAM1, 5'-CTCGTGCACCCAACTGA-3') complementary to codons 14 to 18 of *blaM* (11).

b**-Galactosidase measurements.** Preparation of cell extracts and measurements of β -galactosidase activity and protein content were performed on samples taken during the mid- to late-log phase, as described by Golby et al. (12), except that β -galactosidase activities were measured with a Biolumin 960 microtiter plate spectrophotometer (Molecular Dynamics) and protein content was determined with a Dynatech MRX microtiter plate spectrophotometer (Dynatech Laboratories).

Specific β-galactosidase activities (micromoles of *o*-nitrophenyl-β-D-galactopyranoside per minute per milligram of protein) were averaged from samples taken from two independent cultures. Each of the two samples was assayed in duplicate. Standard deviations were generally within 10%.

RESULTS

Isolation of a C_4 **-dicarboxylate regulation mutant.** In order to identify the regulatory system responsible for mediating the C4-dicarboxylate-dependent induction of *dcuB* expression, we sought to generate mutants of JRG3835 (*dcuB-lacZ*) in which the fumarate-dependent expression of *dcuB* is perturbed. The chosen method exploited the observation that the *dcuB* gene is strongly induced by fumarate when JRG3835 is grown aerobically on minimal agar containing glycerol, TMAO, and X-Gal. By using such indicator plates, *dcuB* regulation mutants could be detected by their weak Lac^+ phenotype in the presence of fumarate. The C₄-dicarboxylate induction of *dcuB-lacZ* expression in strain JRG3835 was previously shown, in liquid medium, to be strictly dependent on the absence of oxygen (12). The aerobic induction on agar plates is presumably due to low-oxygen tensions at the centers of colonies.

JRG3835 was subjected to random mutagenesis with the mini-Tn 10 transposon carried by phage λ NK1098 according to the protocol described in Materials and Methods. Approximately 8,000 Tc^r mutants were screened by growth on indicator plates. After 36 h of growth at 42°C under aerobic conditions, 14 weakly Lac⁺ Tc^r mutants were selected and further screened by aerobic growth at 37°C for 24 h on indicator plates with and without fumarate. One mutant, designated JRG3983, was found to be weakly Lac^+ in both the presence and absence of fumarate. The P1-mediated transfer of the $Tn10(Tc^r)$ mutation of JRG3983 to strain JRG3835 resulted in the 100% cotransfer of the regulatory defect. P1-mediated transfer to MC4100 revealed that the $\text{Tr}10(\text{Tc}^r)$ mutation is not linked to the *dcuB-lacZ* fusion. Therefore, JRG3983 appears to possess a Tn*10*-induced mutation, located outside the *dcuB-lacZ* promoter-operator region, which results in the loss of fumaratedependent induction of *dcuB* expression.

The regulatory defect of the mutant was further explored by comparing the β -galactosidase specific activities of JRG3983 with those of the parent strain, JRG3835, after anaerobic growth in liquid minimal medium containing glycerol and TMAO, with and without fumarate (Fig. 1A). In the parental strain, expression of *dcuB* was increased 43-fold by fumarate (from 0.042 to 1.8 μ mol/min/mg). However, in the mutant, *dcuB* expression was unaffected by fumarate $(0.013 \mu mol)$ min/mg without fumarate compared to 0.011 with fumarate). Indeed, *dcuB* expression was threefold lower than that of the parent in the absence of fumarate and 160-fold lower in the presence of fumarate (Fig. 1A). These findings indicate that the Tn 10 insertion of JRG3983 completely inactivates the C_4 dicarboxylate regulator controlling *dcuB* expression.

Identification of the genes encoding the C4-dicarboxylate regulator. The location of the mini-Tn*10* insertion in the chromosome of JRG3938 was determined by Southern blot analysis (data summarized in Fig. 2). Chromosomal DNA from JRG3983 was digested with restriction enzymes known not to possess recognition sites within the mini-Tn*10* transposon. The resulting fragments were separated by gel electrophoresis, blotted, and hybridized with a labeled mini-Tn*10* fragment (data not shown). The sizes of the hybridizing bands, corrected for the presence of the transposon (3.2 kb), match the 93.5-min region of the *E. coli* physical map only, and locate the mini-Tn*10* insertion between the *Sal*I and *Sma*I sites of the *yjdH* (*dcuS*) gene (Fig. 2). The precise location of the transposon was determined by cloning the PCR-amplified 4.2-kb *Sal*I-*Sma*I mini-Tn*10*-containing fragment of JRG3983 into pSU18

FIG. 1. Expression of the *dcuB-lacZ* transcriptional fusion in the uncomplemented (A) and complemented (B) *dcuB* regulatory mutant (JRG3983) and parent (JRG3835) strains. Growth was performed under anaerobic conditions in M9 minimal medium containing 0.4% glycerol, 50 mM TMAO with (closed bars) or without (open bars) 50 mM fumarate. b-Galactosidase activities were assayed in mid- to late-logarithmic cultures of JRG3835 (wt) and JRG3983 (*dcuSR*) (A) and JRG3835(pPG2) ($wt+pPG2$) and JRG3983(pPG2) ($dcuSR+pPG2$) (B).

to generate pPG1 and then sequencing across the Tn*10-yjdH* fusion site (see Materials and Methods and Fig. 2). In this way the mini-Tn*10* transposon was shown to be inserted 810 bp downstream of the translational start point of *yjdH*, between the first and second bases of codon 271 (specifying a histidine residue), such that the Tn*10 tetA* gene is copolar with *yjdH*. The *yjdH* gene, together with *yjdG*, form the predicted *yjdHG* (or *f543-f239*) operon. These genes are just 570 bp upstream of *dcuB* (5) and they encode a putative two-component regulatory system that had previously been predicted to function as the C₄-dicarboxylate regulatory system of *dcuB* (12). Therefore, the *yjdHG* genes were redesignated *dcuSR*.

Complementation of the *dcuS* **mutation of JRG3983.** It is likely that the mini-Tn*10* insertion of JRG3983 inactivated the downstream *dcuR* gene, as well as *dcuS*, due to polar effects on transcription. Therefore, in order to complement the C_4 -dicarboxylate regulatory defect of JRG3983, the 3.6-kb *Hin*dIII-*Sal*I fragment of pGS78 (35), containing the entire *dcuSR* operon, was cloned into the medium-copy-number plasmid, pSU19 to generate pGS1180 (see Fig. 2 and Materials and Methods). However, the corresponding transformant, JRG3983(pGS1180), was unable to grow anaerobically in minimal medium containing glycerol, TMAO, and fumarate, suggesting that multiple copies of the *dcuRS* genes are deleterious under these growth conditions. To circumvent this problem, the 3.6-kb *Hin*dIII-*Eco*RI *dcuSR*-containing fragment of pGS1180 was cloned into the low-copy-number plasmid pHSG576 (33) to generate pPG2 (Fig. 2). The introduction of pPG2 into the mutant strain JRG3983 restored the fumarate-dependent induction of *dcuB* expression, resulting in a 280-fold higher expression of *dcuB* in JRG3983(pPG2) than in JRG3983 (3.1 versus $0.011 \mu \text{mol/min/mg}$ (Fig. 1) and a 44-fold increase in the induction by fumarate (from 0.07 to 3.1μ mol/min/mg) (Fig. 1A) in JRG3983(pPG2). Transformation of JRG3835 or JRG3983 with pPG2 increased *dcuB* expression, with respect to the wild type (JRG3835), to similar levels (Fig. 1B). In the absence of fumarate, *dcuB* expression in the transformant was 1.5- to 1.7-fold higher than that of the wild type, and in the presence of fumarate expression was 1.7- to 1.9-fold higher than that of the wild type. These data confirm that the C_4 dicarboxylate regulatory defect is indeed due to inactivation of *dcuS* (and/or *dcuR*) and further show that hyperexpression of

dcuB is achieved by provision of multicopy *dcuSR*. This indicates that fumarate induction of *dcuB* expression is dependent on the concentration of the *dcuSR* products, DcuS and DcuR.

Role of the DcuS-DcuR system in the regulation of the *dctA***,** *frdABCD***, and** *fumA* **genes.** The possibility that the DcuS-DcuR system regulates the expression of other genes involved in C_4 -dicarboxylate transport or metabolism was investigated by transferring the *dcuS* mutation of JRG3983 to strains containing the appropriate single-copy *lacZ* gene fusions. Expression of the $dctA$ gene, encoding the aerobic C_4 -dicarboxylate transporter (DctA), was investigated by using a *dctA-lacZ* transcriptional fusion (6). The expression of *dctA* in JRG3351 (*dctAlacZ*) during aerobic growth in minimal medium containing glycerol was \sim twofold increased by succinate (from 0.80 to 1.6 mmol/min/mg) whereas in the *dcuS* mutant (JRG3984) succinate caused an \sim twofold decrease in expression (from 0.79 to 0.43 mmol/min/mg) (Table 2). Thus, the *dcuS* mutation resulted in an \sim fourfold decrease in *dctA* expression in the presence of succinate (from 1.6 to 0.43 μ mol/min/mg) (Table 2), indicating that *dctA* expression is under the direct or indirect control of DcuS-DcuR.

The expression of the *frdABCD* operon, encoding the subunits of fumarate reductase, was studied with an *frdA-lacZ* translational fusion (30). The expression of the *frdA-lacZ* fusion in the *dcuS* mutant, JRG3985, and its parent, JRG1788, was determined after anaerobic growth in minimal medium containing glycerol, TMAO, and 0.05% Casamino Acids with and without fumarate (Table 2). The expression of *frdA* in JRG1788 was increased sixfold by the presence of fumarate (from 0.096 to 0.56 μ mol/min/mg) (Table 2). However, the *dcuS* mutation of JRG3985 abolished *frdA* induction by fumarate (Table 2). Expression of *frdA* in the *dcuS* mutant was \sim 4-fold lower than that of the wild type in the absence of fumarate (0.022 versus 0.096 μ mol/min/mg) and 22-fold lower in the presence of fumarate (0.025 versus 0.56 μ mol/min/mg) (Table 2). These findings indicate that, as for *dcuB*, expression of *frdA* is strongly induced by the DcuS-DcuR system in response to C_4 -dicarboxylates. Surprisingly, previous studies indicated that the *frdABCD* operon is only 1.5-fold induced by fumarate (18). This discrepancy probably arises from differences in the growth conditions employed, in particular the

FIG. 2. Restriction map of the *dcuSR* region of the *E. coli* chromosome and relevant plasmids. The lightly shaded bar represents chromosomal DNA and the solid bars directly below indicate the positions of the mini-Tn*10*-hybridizing restriction fragments detected by Southern blot analysis of chromosomal DNA from JRG3983. The observed (and expected) sizes (in kilobases), corrected for the size of mini-Tn*10* (3.2 kb), are shown for each restriction fragment. Vector and insert DNA of plasmids are represented by thin lines and open bars, respectively. F, priming site for the DcuS-forward primer; R, priming site for the DcuS reverse primer. The subscript v denotes
position of the mini-Tn10 insertion is s vector restriction sites used in subcloning. The coordinates (in megabases [Mb]) and chromosomal restriction map (minutes [min]) are from Burland et al. (5) and Blattner et al. (1), respectively.

presence of glucose in the medium used by Jones and Gunsalus (18).

The expression of the *fumA* gene, encoding the aerobic fumarase A, was studied by using a *fumA-lacZ* translational fusion (35) . The β -galactosidase activity of this strain was found to be undetectable after growth in minimal medium, necessitating the use of L-broth (containing glycerol with or without succinate) as the growth medium for measurement of aerobic *fumA-lacZ* expression. The expression of *fumA* in JRG1938 (*fumA-lacZ*) was not affected by succinate, and the *dcuS* mutation did not affect expression in either the presence or absence of succinate (expression levels remained at ~ 0.08 μ mol/min/mg in all cases). Thus, it appears that neither C₄dicarboxylates nor the DcuS-DcuR system regulates the *fumA* gene.

The above studies establish that three *E. coli* genes or operons (*dcuB*, *frdABCD*, and *dctA*), having functions in the transport or metabolism of C_4 -dicarboxylates, are activated by the DcuS-DcuR system in response to C_4 -dicarboxylates. However, the *fumA* gene is not DcuS-DcuR regulated. The *dcuB*, *frdABCD*, and *dctA* genes (and probably the fumarase B-encoding *fumB* gene, since *fumB* is at least partly cotranscribed with *dcuB* [12]) appear to be members of a new regulon, designated the DcuSR regulon. It is likely that DcuS acts as a C_4 -dicarboxylate-sensing histidine kinase that reports C_4 -dicarboxylate concentration to DcuR, which in turn directly activates the transcription of genes in the DcuSR regulon. Appropriately, the DcuS-DcuR system allows the anaerobically expressed members of the DcuSR regulon (*dcuB-fumB* and $frdABCD$) to be coordinately up regulated by C_4 -dicarboxylates, thus ensuring that the transport (DcuB), production (fumarase B), and utilization (fumarate reductase) of fumarate are jointly induced during anaerobic fumarate respiration. Induction of the aerobic C_4 -dicarboxylate transporter (DctA) by DcuS-DcuR is also appropriate and is consistent with previous studies showing that C_4 -dicarboxylates increase Dct transport activity in *E. coli* (19, 21).

Growth properties of the *dcuS* **mutant.** The possibility that the regulatory defect of the *dcuS* mutant leads to an associated growth deficiency was tested with a *dcuS* mutant, SCA3 (MC4100 *dcuS*). The parental (MC4100) and mutant (SCA3) strains grew identically under aerobic conditions in minimal medium containing glucose (Fig. 3A), glycerol, pyruvate, acetate, or lactate as the sole carbon source (data not shown).

TABLE 2. Effects of *dcuS* on *dctA-lacZ* and *frdA-lacZ* expression

Fusion ^a	Substrates ^b	β -Galactosidase activity ^d (μ mol of ONPG/min/mg of protein)							
		$dcuS^+$	dcuS::Tn10						
$dctA'$ -lacZ	Glycerol + O_2 Glycerol + O_2 $+$ succinate	0.80 ± 0.08 1.56 ± 0.08	0.79 ± 0.05 0.43 ± 0.02						
$frdA$ '-lac Z^c	$Glycerol + TMAO$ $Glycerol + TMAO$ $+$ fumarate	0.096 ± 0.005 0.56 ± 0.05	0.022 ± 0.003 0.025 ± 0.0003						

^a Strains were JRG3351, JRG3984, JRG1788, and JRG3985 (Table 1).

b M9 salts medium was used with 0.4% glycerol, with or without 50 mM succinate or fumarate, and with or without 50 mM TMAO.

^c Casamino Acids (0.05%) was included in the growth medium, and growth was at 30 \degree C to prevent induction of the temperature-sensitive prophage. ^d Values are means \pm standard deviations from four measurements.

However, under aerobic conditions with C_4 -dicarboxylates as sole carbon sources, the *dcuS* mutation significantly lowered the growth rates (Fig. 3B to D). With succinate as sole carbon source, the log-phase growth rate of *dcuS* mutant (SCA3) was approximately 1.8-fold lower than that of the parental strain MC4100 (Fig. 3B). This growth difference was fully reversed by complementation with the *dcuSR*-containing plasmid, pPG2 (Fig. 3B). With fumarate or malate as sole carbon source, growth of the *dcuS* mutant was negligible (Fig. 3C and D, respectively). Complementation with pPG2 restored the ability of the *dcuS* mutant to grow with fumarate and malate, but growth was not as strong as that of the parent (Fig. 3C and D). However, MC4100(pPG2) grew at the same rate as SCA3(pPG2) in fumarate and malate minimal medium (Fig. 3C and D), showing that pPG2 reduces the fumarate- and malate-dependent growth of the parental strain. This provides further evidence that multiple copies of the *dcuSR* operon can be deleterious, but it is unclear why pPG2 affects growth with fumarate and malate but not with succinate.

It was somewhat surprising to find that the *dcuS* mutation has a greater effect on aerobic growth with fumarate and malate than with succinate. This *dcuS*-associated phenotype closely matches that of a *dctA* mutant (6), which suggests that the aerobic growth defects of the *dcuS* mutant are a consequence of the fourfold lower *dctA* expression revealed earlier (Table 2). However, it is not clear why a relatively small reduction in *dctA* transcription causes such a dramatic growth defect with fumarate and malate. Presumably, the *dcuS* mutation affects aspects of aerobic C_4 -dicarboxylate metabolism or transport that have not been revealed here. The better growth of the *dcuS* mutant in succinate relative to that in fumarate or malate is probably due to the presence of an uncharacterized and putative succinate-specific transporter (designated SucT) that enables good aerobic growth of *dctA* mutants on succinate (6) and is probably not strongly regulated by the DcuS-DcuR system.

Surprisingly, the *dcuS* mutation had no significant effect on growth under anaerobic conditions in minimal medium containing glycerol and fumarate (data not shown). This indicates that the weak expression of the *frdABCD* genes in the *dcuS* mutant is sufficient to provide adequate fumarate reductase activities for fumarate respiration under the conditions used. It is also consistent with the observation that *dcuB* mutants are not growth impaired when cultured under conditions of anaerobic fumarate respiration (29).

DcuS responds to external C₄-dicarboxylates. The studies described above show that the DcuS-DcuR system regulates

TABLE 3. Effects of the *dcuA dcuB dcuC* triple mutation on *dcuB*9*-lacZ* expression*^a*

Substrates ^b	β -Galactosidase activity (μ mol of ONPG/min/mg of protein)								
	\mathbf{wt}^b	$dcuA$ dcuB dcu C^b							
$Glycerol + TMAO$ $Glycerol + TMAO + fumarate$	0.069 ± 0.03 1.75 ± 0.07	0.24 ± 0.03 1.79 ± 0.05							

^a The strains used were JRG3835 (wt) and SCA2 (*dcuA dcuB dcuC*). *^b* Growth conditions were as described in the legend to Fig. 1.

gene expression in response to the addition of C_4 -dicarboxylates to the culture medium. Previous studies showed that the expression of $dcuB$ is dependent on the concentration of C_4 dicarboxylates in the medium, suggesting that the regulatory response of the DcuS-DcuR system is quantitative with respect to C_4 -dicarboxylate concentration (12). However, it is not clear whether the signal sensed by the DcuS-DcuR system is intraor extracellularly located. Clearly, if intracellular C_4 -dicarboxylates are sensed by the DcuS-DcuR system, then mutants unable to transport C_4 -dicarboxylates into the cell would display a regulatory phenotype similar to that of the *dcuS* mutant. For this reason, the C4-dicarboxylate-dependent induction of *dcuB* was tested in a *dcuA dcuB dcuC* mutant, SCA2, which is unable to grow anaerobically on glycerol and fumarate because of its deficient anaerobic C_4 -dicarboxylate transport activity (37). The expression of the *dcuB* gene during anaerobic growth in minimal medium containing glycerol and TMAO with and without fumarate was not affected by the transport defect of SCA2, although the transport mutant did show a threefold increase in *dcuB* expression in the absence of fumarate (Table 3). These findings suggest that internalization of C_4 -dicarboxylates is not a requirement for DcuS-DcuR-dependent transcriptional activation, and this in turn suggests that DcuS senses external (periplasmic) rather than internal (cytosolic) substrate.

Topological analysis of DcuS. Inspection of the hydropathy profile of the 543-amino-acid-residue DcuS protein revealed two highly hydrophobic segments (A and B) of sufficient length to span the membrane and three hydrophilic regions (1, 2, and 3) likely to be extramembranous (Fig. 4B). An analysis of the DcuS sequence using the TMpred program (16) predicted that DcuS is an integral membrane protein having the following structural features: two membrane spanning α -helices (I and II) corresponding to hydrophobic segments A and B, a 21 residue N-terminal cytosolic region (region 1), a 140-residue periplasmic domain incorporating residues 43 to 182 (region 2), and a 341-residue cytosolic domain (region 3) (Fig. 4A and B). This topological prediction was tested by creating fusions between a series of truncated *dcuS* derivatives and a *blaM* gene encoding a leaderless β -lactamase (see Materials and Methods). Fourteen in-phase *dcuS'-blaM* fusions were produced and tested with the corresponding transformants. Transformants for which the MIC was 2 to 10 μ g of AP ml⁻¹ were presumed to encode fusion proteins in which the BlaM region remains in the cytoplasm or membrane, whereas those for which the MIC was $\geq 10 \mu g$ of Ap ml⁻¹ were considered to encode fusion proteins in which the BlaM segment is directed to the periplasm (4).

The fusion positions of the fourteen *dcuS[']-blaM* fusions, and the corresponding AP MICs, are shown in Fig. 4C. Fusions within hydrophilic region 2 gave MICs of 15 to 270 μ g of AP ml^{-1} , confirming that this region is indeed located in the periplasm. The reason for the relatively low MICs ($\leq 30 \mu$ g of

FIG. 3. Growth of the *dcuS* mutant SCA3 and the parent MC4100 under aerobic conditions in M9 minimal salts medium containing 0.4% glucose (A), 50 mM
succinate (B), 50 mM fumarate (C), or 50 mM malate (D). The strains use pPG2 had no effect on the growth of MC4100 or SCA3 during growth with glucose (data not shown).

AP ml^{-1}) for fusions in the 110- to 180-residue region of the periplasmic loop is unknown. However, it is possible that fusions in this region generate toxic and/or unstable BlaM fusions, as observed previously for fusions between membrane proteins and BlaM (15, 27). Fusions in hydrophilic region 3 gave MICs of 2 μ g of AP ml⁻¹, supporting the prediction that this region is cytoplasmic. Therefore, the MIC data are entirely in agreement with the topological model (Fig. 4A) showing that DcuS contains an \sim 140-residue periplasmic domain.

Structure-function relationships between DcuS-DcuR and other two-component sensor-regulators. Database searches show that the DcuS protein is closely related (24 to 35% identical) to six other proteins from the two-component sensor-kinase/transmitter family: CitA from *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae*, CitS from *Bacillus subtilis* and *Streptomyces coelicolor*, and YufL and YdbF from *B. subtilis*. Together with DcuS, these six proteins form a subgroup (the CitA-like proteins) of the transmitter family in which the sequence similarities are evenly distributed along the entire lengths of their aligned polypeptides (data not shown). Thus, the predicted transmembrane helices and periplasmic domain of DcuS are conserved throughout the subgroup, suggesting that they all sense extracytoplasmic signals. No other proteins in the databases have sequences that significantly resemble the periplasmic-sensing domain of the CitA-like proteins (other than the weakly related putative periplasmic domain of the HydH sensor-kinase of *E. coli*). This is consistent with the observation that the N-terminal sensing domains of two-component sensor-kinases are poorly conserved (25, 31, 32). It is of particular interest to note that the periplasmic domains of the respective C_4 -dicarboxylate-sensing DctS and DctB proteins of *R. capsulatus* and rhizobial species do not possess any apparent sequence similarities with that of DcuS. This is surprising given that DctS, DctB, and DcuS appear to have similar topological organiza-

Residue Number

FIG. 4. Topological organization of the DcuS protein. (A) Schematic representation of the structure of DcuS. The black boxes denote the predicted transmembrane helices and the letter H indicates the position of the putative autophosphorylated His residue. (B) The hydropathy profile of DcuS, generated with a window of 11 residues (20). The two regions of hydrophobicity (A and B) and the three regions of hydrophilicity $(1, 2, 3$ and 3) are indicated, as are two predicted membrane-spanning helices (I and II). (C) Resistance to AP of strains expressing DcuS'-BlaM fusion proteins. The positions of the fusions in each of the 14 DcuS'-BlaM proteins are shown. Solid circles represent periplasmic fusion proteins, and open circles represent cytoplasmic fusion proteins. The MICs (μ g of AP ml⁻¹) for *E. coli* strains expressing the corresponding fusion proteins are shown in brackets.

tions and are all members of the histidine-kinase two-component sensor-regulator family.

The only other member of the CitA group that has been characterized is the CitA protein of *K. pneumoniae* (3). This protein was identified as a citrate-sensing histidine kinase having a predicted topology analogous to that reported here for DcuS (3). The periplasmic location of the N-terminal domain of CitA was supported by results obtained with a single *citAphoA* fusion (3a). Together with the cognate receiver protein CitB, CitA is involved in activating the transcription of the *citAB* genes (encoding CitA and CitB) and other genes required for citrate fermentation (*citS*, *oadGAB*, and *citDEF*). However, the location of the signal (intra- or extracellular) sensed by CitA was not established (3). Interestingly, it appears that CitA and DcuS both sense carboxylic acids, which raises the possibility that all members of the CitA-like group are carboxylic-acid sensors.

The C-terminal region of DcuS (residues 331 to 543) and the

other CitA-like proteins bears strong sequence similarity to the highly conserved histidine-kinase domain of the transmitters. The five sequence motifs (the H, N, G1, F, and G2 regions [25]) that are characteristic of the kinase domain are present in the CitA-like proteins (data not shown). In particular, the histidine residue that is phosphorylated in other transmitters is conserved in all seven CitA-like proteins (data not shown). Thus it would appear that DcuS possesses all the sequence features expected of a functional transmitter protein. In addition to the N-terminal sensor domain and the C-terminal histidine-kinase domain, the CitA-like proteins possess a central region of \sim 130 residues that is well conserved within the CitA family. BLASTP searches (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/cgibin/BLAST/nph-newblast) of the nonredundant database show that an \sim 100-residue segment of this central region resembles the PAS (or S [sensory]) domains at the central or N-terminal regions of more than 50 proteins in the non-CitA-like sensor kinase or σ^{54} -dependent transcriptional activator families (se-

DouS EC 234 CitA EC 234 CitA KP 237 YdbF BS 225 YufL BS 224 CitS SC 246 CitS BS 228 ResE BS 265 PhoR BS 246 AtoS EC 274 ArcB LL 125 YaiR BS 248 22 RocR BS 21 Aer EC 37 NifL AV				GWAVDDRGEVTLINDAAQELLNYRK.SQDDEKLSTLSHSWSQVVDVSEVLRDGTPRRDEEITIKDRLLLINTVPVRSNG.VIIGAISTFRDRTE. GLIAVDPHGKITAINRNARKMLGLSSPGRQWLGKPIVEVVRPADFFTEQIDEK.RODVVANFNGLSVIANREAIRSGD.DLLGAIISFRSKDELIST GLIAIDSDYKITAINDTARRLLNLSQPEPTLIGKRISSVISQEVFFYDAPQTN.KKDEIVTFNQIKVIASRMAVILNN.EPQGWVISFRSKDDINT GVIAIDNRLVITIFNEKAKOIFEVOGDLIGKVIWEVLKDS GILAVDEHGKIKLANAEAKRLFVKMGINTNPIDODVDDILPKSRLKKVIETKKPLODRDVRINGLELVFNEVPIQLKG.QTVGAIATFRDKTELVKH GWVAIDRGGRVRLIMDEAORLLGIGGEAVGRSPDEALGAGRTADVLAGRV.TGTDLLTVRGQRVLVANRMPTDDGCAVATLRDRTELLEQ GIIATNREGWVTMMNWSAAEMLKLPEPVIHLPIDDVMPGAGLMSVLEKGEMLPNQEVSVNDQVFIINTKVMNQGG.QAYGIVVSFREKTELKK GWITIN IC TLLVIN PAERFLOAWYYEONMNIKEGDNLPPEAKELFONAVSTEKEQMIEMTLOGRSWVLLMSPLYAES.HVRGAVAVLRDMTEERRLDK khimipkaksinlvmksyakofhinpnhmlrrlyhdafeheevioLVedifmtetkkckLLrLPIKIERRYFEVDGVPIMGPDDEWKGIVLVFHDMTETKK GWIAIDROGDVTTMMPAAEVITGYORHELVGOPYSMLFDNTOFYSPVLDTLEHGTEHVALEISFPGRDRTIELSVTTSRIHNTHG.EMIGALVIFSDLTARKE GWIATDRRGNIIMANKSALHYLNTD.NDLLMQKNIVEVLNTDQYNFYDLLEKEPEITIETHDSGNESISLHIKFALFRRESG.FISGIIAVLHDMTE LAISVVIDENGIGLLINKAYTKMTGLSEKEVIGKPANTDISEGE.SMHLKVLETRRPVRGVRMKVGPNEKEVIVNVAPVIVDG.ILKGSVGVIHDMSEIKM GLHVVDEHGNTIVYNNKMMQIEDMEK.HDVLNKNLMDVFMFSKQQD.STLVQALQEGKTIKNVKQSYFNNKGQEITTINHTYPIVQDG.KIRGAVEIAKDMTKLER .MSTTDLQSYITHANDTFVQVSGYTLQELQGQPHNMVRHPDMPKAAFADMWFTLKKGEPWSGIVKNRRKNGDHYWVRANAVPMVREG.KISGYMSIRTRATD.EEIAA AISITOLKANILYANRAFRTITGYGSEEVLGKNESILSNGTTPRLVYQALWGRLAQKKPWSGVLVNRRKDKTLYLAELTVAPVLNEAGETTYYLGMHRDHSELLHE		RLPEIVERNKAVYNEEIRVSGKVIMSSRIPIVMKK.KVIGAVAIFODRTEAAK						VRK ODK
Consensus PAS consensus	GviavD G I oo N	U N	a polg o GU	EooG					q	Gav	frD TE	

FIG. 5. Alignment of the central PAS domain of the CitA-like proteins with homologous regions from eight representative proteins. Highly conserved (>70%) residues are boxed and are listed in the consensus sequence in uppercase letters, the absolutely conserved Asn residue is in boldface, relevant well-conserved (33 to 70%) residues are listed in lowercase letters. U, conserved bulky hydrophobic residues; o, conserved nonbulky hydrophobic residues. The PAS consensus sequence of Zhulin et al. (39) is shown for comparison. The S₁ and S₂ box regions are indicated by black lines (the broken lines indicate regions of conservation that extend beyond those regions reported by Zhulin et al. [38]). The residue number of the first amino acid displayed is shown for each sequence. The proteins are from the following organisms: Ec, *E. coli; Kp, K. pneumoniae; Bs, B. subtilis; Sc, S. coelicolor; LL, Lactococcus lactis;* and Av*, Azotobacter vinelandii.* Aer, NifL, AtoS, PhoR, and RseE are
sensor histidine-kinases, YqiR and RocR are mem

lected sequences are shown in Fig. 5). Good sequence similarity is restricted to two parts of the PAS domain, the S_1 box and the S_2 box, which are 50 to 60 residues apart (Fig. 5). PAS domains were first found in proteins associated with light and clock regulation in eukaryotes, where the domains are normally organized in pairs and function in protein-protein interactions (39). More recently, PAS domains have been identified in a large family of prokaryotic and eukaryotic sensor proteins involved in sensing light, oxygen, redox status, and other signals (38, 39). So far, four different redox-responsive cofactors (flavin adenine dinucleotide, heme, [2Fe-2S], and 4-hydroxycinnamoyl), presumed to provide specific sensing capabilities, have been found associated with PAS domains (38, 39). It appears likely that the PAS domains of prokaryotic sensor proteins are involved in redox or oxygen sensing (39).

The presence of PAS domains in the CitA-like proteins has, apparently, not been reported previously (see the "complete multiple alignment of PAS domains" referred to in Zhulin and Taylor [39]). This may be because the pattern of residue conservation for the CitA PAS domains differs somewhat from that of other PAS domains (Fig. 5). In particular, the S_1 box on the CitA-like proteins is extended by 10 residues relative to that described by Zhulin and Taylor (38) and the C-terminal portion is poorly conserved (Fig. 5). Also, many of the residues conserved in the S_2 box of the CitA-like proteins are not conserved in the PAS domains of other proteins (39). This suggests that the PAS domain of the CitA-like proteins may function differently from other PAS domains. Although the function of the PAS domain of the CitA-like proteins is unknown, it is likely to act either as a sensor (e.g., for oxygen or redox status) or in transmitting the signal of the sensor periplasmic domain to the C-terminal transmitter domain. Sensitivity of the DcuS-PAS domain to oxygen, nitrate, redox status, or metabolic status might partly explain the FNR-, NarL-, and CRP-independent regulation of *dcuB* expression by oxygen, nitrate, and glucose, respectively (12).

The 239-residue DcuR protein closely resembles (29 to 49% identity) the CitB-like proteins of the two-component regulator-receiver family (CitB of *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae*, YdbG, YufM, and CitR/YflQ of *B. subtilis*; "CitR" of *S. coelicolor*; and Ygd1 of *Bacillus megaterium*). Since the sensor and regulators of the CitA- and CitB-like proteins form distinct groups it is likely that the CitB-like proteins act as the partner proteins for the corresponding CitA-like proteins, as for CitA-CitB of *K. pneumoniae* and DcuS-DcuR of *E. coli*. This conclusion is supported by the close juxtapositions of the corresponding genes on the chromosomes of the host organisms. The Nterminal region (residues 1 to 127) of the DcuR protein (and the other CitB-like proteins) is very similar to the histidinekinase receiver module of other two-component regulator proteins. This region includes the aspartate residue (Asp-56 in DcuR) that is the site for phosphorylation in other receiver proteins (25). However, the C-terminal region (residues 128 to 239 for DcuR) appears to be unique to the CitB-like proteins. The C-terminal or "output" domains of response regulators are highly variable and normally function as DNA-binding domains. An analysis of the C-terminal domain of DcuR using the MOTIF program (http://www.genome.ad.jp/SIT/SIT.html) revealed a probable DNA-binding helix-turn-helix motif (residues 177 to 218) resembling that of the GntR and DeoR families of bacterial gene regulators. By analogy with other response regulators, it is likely that the C-terminal domain or output domain of DcuR is a DNA-binding domain enabling specific interaction with the promoter-operator regions of the genes in the DcuSR regulon. The DNA-binding activity of the output domain is likely to be regulated in response to the phosphorylation state of the receiver domain. The receiver domain would accept phosphate from the phosphorylated form of DcuS, which would autophosphorylate in response to the presence of external C_4 -dicarboxylates sensed by the periplasmic domain.

The CitB protein of *K. pneumoniae* is the only CitB-like protein that has been characterized (23). Gel retardation and DNase I footprinting studies showed that phospho-CitB binds with high affinity to multiple $A+T$ -rich sites between the divergent promoters of the *citC-citS* intergenic region. However, no consensus sequence for the CitB-binding site has been established (23). The low DNA-binding affinities of the isolated CitB C-terminal domain and the unphosphorylated CitB protein indicated that CitB belongs to class I of the two-domain response regulators (23), in which interaction between the receiver and output domains inhibits receiver domain dimerization (9). It is therefore likely that DcuR and the other CitB-like proteins are also class I response regulators.

DISCUSSION

The studies described here reveal that *E. coli* contains a CitA-CitB-like two-component sensor-regulator system, designated DcuS-DcuR, that activates the transcription of the *dcuB*, *frdABCD*, and *dctA* genes in response to the presence of external C_4 -dicarboxylates. The DcuS protein contains a periplasmic input domain near the N-terminus that is presumed to sense C_4 -dicarboxylates (aspartate, fumarate, malate, maleate, and succinate), a central PAS domain of uncertain function, and a C-terminal transmitter domain. The DcuR protein contains an N-terminal receiver domain and a C-terminal output domain containing a potential DNA-binding helix-turn-helix motif. Thus, the DcuS-DcuR system possesses all the features required to function as a classical two-component response-regulator.

Many of the results of Zientz et al., reported while this paper was under review, are similar to those obtained here (41) . Zientz et al. found that the DcuS-DcuR system activates *frdA* and $dcuB$ expression in response to C_4 -dicarboxylates (41). However, the degrees of regulation reported by Zientz et al. (41) were just 80- and 2.5-fold inductions, respectively, whereas our data indicate 160- and 22-fold inductions, respectively. These differences could reflect the different *lacZ* fusions and growth media employed (such as the use of dimethyl sulfoxide rather than TMAO). Furthermore, Zientz et al. found that $dctA$ expression is \sim threefold induced by succinate in both the wild-type and *dcuR* strains and that the *dcuR* mutation results in an \sim threefold lower expression for *dctA* (41). Consequently, it was concluded that *dctA* is not regulated by the DcuS-DcuR system in response to C_4 -dicarboxylates. Our results clearly show that *dctA* is regulated by DcuS-DcuR in response to C_4 -dicarboxylates. This discrepancy could once again be explained by the different growth media used (i.e., glycerol or succinate versus glycerol with or without succinate) or the use of different fusions. Zientz et al. showed that neither the *nuo* operon, the *dcuC* gene, nor the *sdhC* gene are DcuS-DcuR or C_4 -dicarboxylate regulated, and we show that the *fumA* gene is also not affected by these factors (41). The data presented here extends those of Zientz et al. (41) by revealing a growth defect for the *dcuS* mutant and by showing that a low-copy-number plasmid carrying the *dcuSR* genes complements the regulatory and growth defects of the *dcuS* mutant. In addition, we have experimentally determined the membrane topology of DcuS and shown that DcuS (and other CitA-like proteins) contains a central PAS domain. Also, our studies with a mutant deficient in anaerobic transport of C_4 -dicarboxylates show that the DcuS-DcuR system responds to external C_4 -dicarboxylates. A similar conclusion was made by Zientz et al. based on the finding that maleate (not transported by the Dcu systems) induces the DcuS-DcuR system anaerobically (41).

Some interesting questions remain to be answered concerning the nature of the DcuS-DcuR system: what factors influence *dcuSR* expression and how do these affect regulation of the DcuSR regulon; what is the nature of the DcuR DNAbinding site(s); which other genes (if any) are members of the DcuSR regulon; how does the DcuS-DcuR regulatory system regulate expression jointly with other regulators such as FNR, NarL, and CRP; does the DcuS-DcuR system contribute to the FNR-, NarL-, and CRP-independent regulation of *dcuB* by oxygen, nitrate, and glucose, respectively (12); and what is the function of the central PAS domain of DcuS? The answers to these questions await further investigation.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank P. Poole for helpful comments on the manuscript and the BBSRC for a project grant (S.C.A. and J.R.G.), an Advanced Fellowship (S.C.A.) and a Special Studentship award (S.D.).

REFERENCES

- 1. **Blattner, F. R., G. Plunkett, C. A. Bloch, N. T. Perna, V. Burland, M. Riley, J. Collado Vides, J. D. Glasner, C. K. Rode, G. F. Mayhew, J. Gregor, N. W. Davis, H. A. Kirkpatrick, M. A. Goeden, D. J. Rose, B. Mau, and Y. Shao.** 1997. The complete genome sequence of *Escherichia coli* K-12. Science **277:**1453–1462.
- 2. **Bongaerts, J., S. Zoske, U. Weidner, and G. Unden.** 1995. Transcriptional regulation of the proton translocating NADH dehydrogenase genes (*nuoA-N*) of *Escherichia coli* by electron acceptors, electron donors and gene regulators. Mol. Microbiol. **6:**521–534.
- 3. **Bott, M., M. Meyer, and P. Dimroth.** 1995. Regulation of anaerobic citrate metabolism in *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. Mol. Microbiol. **18:**533–546.
- 3a.**Bott, M., M. Meyer, and P. Dimroth.** 1995. Unpublished data.
- 4. **Broome-Smith, J. K., and B. G. Spratt.** 1986. A vector for the construction of translational fusions to TEM β -lactamase and the analysis of protein export signals and membrane protein topology. Gene **49:**341–349.
- 5. **Burland, V., G. Plunkett, H. J. Sofia, D. L. Daniels, and F. R. Blattner.** 1995. Analysis of the *Escherichia coli* genome. 6. DNA sequence of the region from 92.8 through 100 minutes. Nucleic Acids Res. **23:**2105–2119.
- 6. **Davies, S. J., P. Golby, D. Omrani, J. R. Guest, D. J. Kelly, and S. C. Andrews.** Unpublished observations.
- 7. **Devereux, J.** 1989. The GCG sequence analysis software package, version 6.0. Genetics Computer Group, Inc., Madison, Wis.
- 8. **Engel, P., R. Kramer, and G. Unden.** 1992. Anaerobic fumarate transport in *Escherichia coli* by an *fnr*-dependent dicarboxylate uptake system which is different from aerobic dicarboxylate uptake. J. Bacteriol. **174:**5533–5539.
- 9. **Fiedler, U., and V. Weiss.** 1995. A common switch in activation of the response regulators NtrC and PhoB: phosphorylation induced dimerization of receiver modules. EMBO J. **14:**3696–3705.
- 9a.**Forward, J. A., M. C. Behrendt, N. R. Wyborn, R. Cross, and D. J. Kelly.** 1997. TRAP transporters: a new family of periplasmic solute transport systems encoded by the *dctQMP* genes of *Rhodobacter capsulatus* and by homologs in diverse gram-negative bacteria. J. Bacteriol. **179:**5482–5493.
- 10. **Gibson, T. J.** 1984. Studies on the Epstein-Barr virus genome. Ph.D. thesis, Cambridge University, England.
- 11. **Golby, P., D. J. Kelly, J. R. Guest, and S. Andrews.** 1998. Topological analysis of DcuA, an anaerobic C4-dicarboxylate transporter of *Escherichia coli*. J. Bacteriol. **180:**4821–4827.
- 12. **Golby, P., D. J. Kelly, J. R. Guest, and S. C. Andrews.** 1998. Transcriptional regulation and organization of the *dcuA* and *dcuB* genes, encoding homologous anaerobic C4-dicarboxylate transporters in *Escherichia coli*. J. Bacteriol. **180:**6586–6596.
- 13. **Guest, J. R., J. S. Miles, R. E. Roberts, and S. A. Woods.** 1985. The fumarase genes of *Escherichia coli*: location of the *fumB* gene and discovery of a new gene (*fumC*). J Gen. Microbiol. **131:**2971–2984.
- 14. **Hamblin, M. J., J. G. Shaw, and D. J. Kelly.** 1993. Sequence analysis and interposon mutagenesis of a sensor-kinase (DctS) and response-regulator (DctR) controlling synthesis of the high affinity C_4 -dicarboxylate transport system in *Rhodobacter capsulatus*. Mol. Gen. Genet. **237:**215–224.
- 15. **Henderson, P. J. F.** 1993. The 12-transmembrane helix transporters. Curr. Opin. Cell Biol. **5:**708–721.
- 16. **Hofman, K., and W. Stoffel.** 1993. TMbase—a database of membrane spanning protein segments. J. Biol. Chem. **347:**166.
- 16a.**Iuchi, S., D. R. Kuritzkes, and E. C. C. Lin.** 1985. *Escherichia coli* mutant with altered respiratory control of the *frd* operon. J. Bacteriol. **161:**1023– 1028.
- 17. **Jiang, J., B. Gu, L. M. Albright, and B. T. Nixon.** 1989. Conservation between coding and regulatory elements of *Rhizobium meliloti* and *Rhizobium leguminoserum dct* genes. J. Bacteriol. **171:**5244–5253.
- 18. **Jones, H. M., and R. P. Gunsalus.** 1987. Regulation of *Escherichia coli* fumarate reductase (*frdABCD*) operon expression by respiratory electron acceptors and the *fnr* gene product. J. Bacteriol. **169:**3340–3349.
- 19. **Kay, W. W., and H. L. Kornberg.** 1971. The uptake of C_4 dicarboxylic acids by *Escherichia coli*. Eur. J. Biochem. **18:**274–281.
- 20. **Kyte, J., and R. F. Doolittle.** 1982. A simple method for displaying the hydropathic character of a protein. J. Mol. Biol. **157:**105–132.
- 21. **Lo, T. C. Y., K. Rayman, and B. D. Sanwal.** 1972. Transport of succinate in *Escherichia coli* K12. J. Biol. Chem. **247:**6323–6331.
- 22. **Martinez, E., B. Bartolome´, and F. De La Cruz.** 1988. pACYC184-derived cloning vectors containing the multiple cloning site and *lacZ*a reporter gene of pUC8/9 and pUC18/19 plasmids. Gene **68:**159–162.
- 23. **Meyer, M., P. Dimroth, and M. Bott.** 1997. *In vitro* binding of the response regulator CitB and of its carboxy-terminal domain to $A + T$ -rich DNA target sequences in the control region of the divergent *citC* and *citS* operons of *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. J. Mol. Biol. **269:**719–731.
- 24. **Miller, J. H.** 1992. A short course in bacterial genetics: a laboratory manual

and handbook for *E. coli* and related bacteria. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.

- 25. **Parkinson, J. S., and E. C. Kofoid.** 1992. Communication modules in bacterial signaling proteins. Annu. Rev. Genet. **26:**71–112.
- 26. **Sambrook, J., E. F. Fritsch, and T. Maniatis.** 1989. Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual, 2nd ed. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.
- 27. **Sarsaro, J. P., and A. J. Pittard.** 1995. Membrane topology analysis of *Escherichia coli* K-12 Mtr permease by alkaline phosphatase and β-galactosidase fusions. J. Bacteriol. **177:**297–306.
- 28. **Silhavy, T. J., M. L. Barman, and L. W. Enquist.** 1984. Experiments with gene fusions. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.
- 29. **Six, S., S. C. Andrews, G. Unden, and J. R. Guest.** 1994. *Escherichia coli* possesses two homologous anaerobic C4-dicarboxylate membrane transporters (DcuA and DcuB) distinct from the aerobic dicarboxylate transport system (Dct). J. Bacteriol. **176:**6470–6478.
- 30. **Spiro, S., R. E. Roberts, and J. R. Guest.** 1989. FNR-dependent repression of the *ndh* gene of *Escherichia coli* and metal ion requirement for FNRregulated gene expression. Mol. Microbiol. **3:**601–608.
- 31. **Stock, J. B., A. J. Ninfa, and A. M. Stock.** 1995. Protein phosphorylation and regulation of adaptive responses in bacteria. Microbiol. Rev. **53:**450–490.
- 32. **Swanson, R. V., L. A. Alex, and M. I. Simon.** 1994. Histidine and aspartate phosphorylation: two-component systems and the limits of homology. Trends Biochem. Sci. **19:**485–490.
- 33. **Takeshita, S., M. Sato, M. Toba, W. Masahashi, and T. Hashimoto-Gotoh.** 1987. High-copy-number and low-copy-number plasmid vectors for *lacZ*
- 34. **Way, J. C., M. A. Davies, D. Morisato, D. E. Roberts, and N. Kleckner.** 1984. New Tn*10* derivatives for transposon mutagenesis and for construction of *lacZ* operon fusions by transposition. Gene **32:**369–379.
- 35. **Woods, S. A., and J. R. Guest.** 1987. Differential roles of the *Escherichia coli* fumarases and *fnr*-dependent expression of fumarase B and aspartase. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. **48:**219–224.
- 36. **Yarosh, O. K., T. C. Charles, and T. M. Finan.** 1989. Analysis of C4 dicarboxylate transport genes in *Rhizobium meliloti*. Mol. Microbiol. **3:**813– 823.
- 37. **Zhang, Y., and J. K. Broome-Smith.** 1990. Correct insertion of a simple eukaryotic plasma-membrane protein into the cytoplasmic membrane of *Escherichia coli*. Gene **96:**51–57.
- 38. **Zhulin, I. B., B. L. Taylor, and R. Dixon.** 1997. PAS domain S-boxes in Archaea, Bacteria and sensors for oxygen and redox. Trends Biochem. Sci. **22:**331–333.
- 39. **Zhulin, I. B., and B. L. Taylor.** 1998. Correlation of PAS domains with electron-transport associated proteins in completely sequenced microbial genomes. Mol. Microbiol. **29:**1522–1523.
- 40. **Zientz, E., S. Six, and G. Unden.** 1996. Identification of a third secondary carrier (DcuC) for anaerobic C4-dicarboxylate transport in *Escherichia coli*: roles of the three Dcu carriers in uptake and exchange. J. Bacteriol. **178:** 7241–7247.
- 41. **Zientz, E., J. Bongaerts, and G. Unden.** 1998. Fumarate regulation of gene expression in *Escherichia coli* by the DcuSR (*dcuSR* genes) two-component regulatory system. J. Bacteriol. **178:**7241–7247.