Ferritin Mutants of *Escherichia coli* Are Iron Deficient and Growth Impaired, and *fur* Mutants are Iron Deficient

HOSSEIN ABDUL-TEHRANI, 1 AARON J. HUDSON, 1 YUNG-SHENG CHANG, 2 ANDREW R. TIMMS, 1† CHRIS HAWKINS,³ JOHN M. WILLIAMS,³ PAULINE M. HARRISON,¹ JOHN R. GUEST,¹ AND SIMON C. ANDREWS^{2*}

*Krebs Institute for Biomolecular Research, Department of Molecular Biology and Biotechnology,*¹ *and Department of Physics,*³ *University of Sheffield, Sheffield S10 2TN, and School of Animal & Microbial Sciences, University of Reading, Whiteknights, Reading RG6 6AJ,*² *United Kingdom*

Received 9 October 1998/Accepted 8 December 1998

Escherichia coli **contains at least two iron storage proteins, a ferritin (FtnA) and a bacterioferritin (Bfr). To investigate their specific functions, the corresponding genes (***ftnA* **and** *bfr***) were inactivated by replacing the chromosomal** *ftnA* **and** *bfr* **genes with disrupted derivatives containing antibiotic resistance cassettes in place of internal segments of the corresponding coding regions. Single mutants (***ftnA::spc* **and** *bfr::kan***) and a double mutant (***ftnA::spc bfr::kan***) were generated and confirmed by Western and Southern blot analyses. The iron contents of the parental strain (W3110) and the** *bfr* **mutant increased by 1.5- to 2-fold during the transition from logarithmic to stationary phase in iron-rich media, whereas the iron contents of the** *ftnA* **and** *ftnA bfr* **mutants remained unchanged. The** *ftnA* **and** *ftnA bfr* **mutants were growth impaired in iron-deficient media, but this was apparent only after the mutant and parental strains had been precultured in iron-rich media. Surprisingly, ferric iron uptake regulation (***fur***) mutants also had very low iron contents (2.5-fold less iron than Fur**¹ **strains) despite constitutive expression of the iron acquisition systems. The iron deficiencies of the** *ftnA* and *fur* mutants were confirmed by Mössbauer spectroscopy, which further showed that the low iron contents **of** *ftnA* **mutants are due to a lack of magnetically ordered ferric iron clusters likely to correspond to FtnA iron cores. In combination with the** *fur* **mutation,** *ftnA* **and** *bfr* **mutations produced an enhanced sensitivity to hydroperoxides, presumably due to an increase in production of "reactive ferrous iron." It is concluded that FtnA acts as an iron store accommodating up to 50% of the cellular iron during postexponential growth in iron-rich media and providing a source of iron that partially compensates for iron deficiency during ironrestricted growth. In addition to repressing the iron acquisition systems, Fur appears to regulate the demand for iron, probably by controlling the expression of iron-containing proteins. The role of Bfr remains unclear.**

Iron is an essential nutrient for nearly all forms of life, but its insolubility and reactivity lead to problems of poor availability and toxicity, respectively. One mechanism used to cope with the need to provide sufficient quantities of iron while maintaining it in a nontoxic state involves the use of iron storage proteins, known generically as ferritins (1, 18). Ferritins have been found in all three domains of life, where they are thought to play a housekeeping role in cellular iron homeostasis (29, 55). The ferritins are perceived to store iron in a readily available and soluble form to provide a reserve of iron for metabolism. It has also been suggested that ferritins may alleviate the harmful effects of iron by storing it in a form that is unlikely to participate in free-radical-generating reactions (6, 11, 14).

Two types of iron storage protein have been recognized: the ferritins, which are present in animals, plants, fungi, archaea, and bacteria; and the bacterioferritins, which have so far been observed only in bacteria (and possibly fungi [12]). Ferritins and bacterioferritins are distantly related in evolution but have very similar structural and functional properties (1, 29, 30). They are each composed of 24 subunits which form a spherical protein shell $(M_r \sim 500,000)$ having a central cavity that can

accommodate up to 4,500 iron atoms (55). However, bacterioferritins differ from ferritins in containing up to 12 heme groups per 24 subunits (1). The function of the heme groups is unknown, but evidence suggests that they may mediate iron release from bacterioferritin (3). Iron storage proteins are widely distributed among prokaryotes, having been found in archaea, high- and low- $G+C$ gram-positive bacteria, cyanobacteria, *Bacteroides*, *Thermotogales*, and all four subdivisions of the *Proteobacteria*, suggesting that the facility to store iron is an important general requirement of prokaryotes (1).

Escherichia coli contains at least four genes that may play roles in iron storage: *bfd* (previously *gen-64*), encoding the 64-residue [2Fe-2S]-containing bacterioferritin-associated ferredoxin (Bfd), and *bfr*, encoding bacterioferritin (Bfr or BFR), both at 75 min; and *ftnA* (*ftn*, *gen-165*, or *rsgA*), encoding a ferritin (FtnA or FTN), and *ftnB* (or *yecI*), encoding a ferritinlike protein (FtnB), both at 43 min. The *bfd* and *bfr* genes are copolar and are separated by only 71 bp (2). The *ftnB* and *ftnA* genes are also copolar but are 1.4 kb apart (10). Only Bfd, FtnA, and Bfr have been characterized. Whether FtnB functions as an iron storage protein is uncertain because its primary structure suggests that it lacks an active ferroxidase center. Furthermore, the residues involved in subunit interaction in FtnA are poorly conserved in FtnB, indicating that FtnB would not coassemble with FtnA (1). It is speculated that Bfd may interact with Bfr (or other iron complexes) in mediating iron release or delivery (23, 46).

The X-ray structures of FtnA and Bfr have revealed that

^{*} Corresponding author. Mailing address: School of Animal & Microbial Sciences, University of Reading, Whiteknights, P.O. Box 228, Reading RG6 6AJ, United Kingdom. Phone: 118-987-5123 ext. 7045. Fax: 118-931-0180. E-mail: S.C.Andrews@reading.ac.uk.

[†] Present address: Cell Mutation Unit, MRC, University of Sussex, Brighton BN1 9RR, United Kingdom.

they are structurally analogous to mammalian ferritins (21, 32). In vitro, FtnA and Bfr behave as typical iron storage proteins in their ability to take up iron (4, 33). Overproduction of FtnA or Bfr, to 14 or 18% of total cellular protein (respectively), increases the cellular iron content 2.5- to 2.6-fold, consistent with an iron-sequestering role in vivo $(4, 33)$. FtnA takes up iron \sim threefold more rapidly than does Bfr in vitro, but Bfr appears to accumulate more iron per molecule than FtnA in vivo (4, 33). Thus, it has been speculated that FtnA and Bfr play roles in short- and long-term iron storage, respectively, like the mammalian H- and L-subunit-rich ferritins (4). It has also been suggested that Bfr serves as an electron carrier for pyruvate oxidase (15), as an electron storage protein (53), or as an accessory protein in lactose transport (60). Studies with a ferritin mutant of *Campylobacter jejuni* have shown that ferritin increases resistance to redox stress and enhances growth under iron-restricted conditions (59). Inactivation of the *Brucella melitensis* bacterioferritin gene had no effect on survival and growth in human macrophages (16). In *E. coli*, the iron-induced redox stress of ferric uptake regulation (*fur*) mutants is alleviated by multiple copies of the *ftnA* gene but not of the *bfr* gene (56). This suggests that overproduced FtnA (but not Bfr) can sequester the excess free iron that apparently accumulates in the cytosol of *fur* mutants and that FtnA may therefore play a role in iron detoxification. In a $\hbar u^+$ background, both FtnA and Bfr seem able to sequester free cytosolic iron, because overexpression of either *bfr* or *ftnA* induces the *fur*-regulated *fhuF* gene (54).

The reason why *E. coli* has multiple iron storage proteins is unknown. However, other bacteria such as *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*, *Clostridium acetobutylicum*, and *Vibrio cholerae* (1) also possess both ferritin and bacterioferritin genes, suggesting that the combined presence of ferritins and bacterioferritins may be common in bacteria and that the elucidation of their respective roles would be of general relevance. In this study, the relative roles of FtnA and Bfr in *E. coli* have been investigated by inactivating the corresponding genes, singly and in combination. The results indicate that FtnA is involved in the storage of iron during stationary phase and in subsequently enhancing growth under iron starvation conditions. The role of Bfr remains uncertain. Neither FtnA nor Bfr would appear to have an iron detoxification function in *fur*⁺ backgrounds.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial strains, plasmids, bacteriophages, and growth conditions. The genotypes and sources of *E. coli* K-12 strains and plasmids are listed in Table 1. The source plasmids used for insertionally inactivating the *ftnA* and *bfr* genes were pUC18A2 (34) and pGS280 (2). M13mp18 and M13mp19 were used for subcloning, preparing templates for site-directed deletion mutagenesis, and DNA sequencing. Transduction with P1*kc* was performed by the method of Miller (40). Bacteriophage and plasmid DNA were isolated and manipulated by the method of Sambrook et al. (50). Restriction endonucleases and DNA-modifying enzymes were from Northumbria Biologicals Ltd. or Promega.

The medium used for routine subculture was Luria broth (L broth) or L agar supplemented with ampicillin (200 μ g/ml), kanamycin (25 μ g/ml), spectinomycin $(25 \mu g/ml)$, or chloramphenicol $(25 \mu g/ml)$ as required. For growth tests, bacteria were grown in 250-ml conical flasks containing 50 ml of medium with shaking at 250 rpm and 37°C in an Infors AG HT water bath. M9 minimal medium (50) was supplemented with 0.4% glucose or 40 mM succinate. The iron content of the minimal medium was lowered by passing 100 ml of 10-fold-concentrated M9 salts and 50-fold-concentrated glucose solutions through 50-ml Chelex-100 columns, and before use, all glassware was rinsed in concentrated HCl followed by Milli-Q water. Minimal medium was supplemented with iron in the form of iron citrate. A stock solution of iron citrate (iron-to-citrate ratio, 1:100) was made by dissolving ferrous sulfate (final concentration, 4 mM) in sodium citrate (final concentration, 400 mM) and adjusting the pH to 7 with NaOH. Sodium citrate was prepared in an identical way, but the ferrous sulfate was omitted. The iron concentration of L broth was reduced by extraction with 8-hydroxyquinoline by the method of Pugsley and Reeves (45). Anaerobic fermentative growth was performed in 15-ml optically matched glass tubes filled to the top with L broth plus 0.5% glucose, sealed with Subaseal caps, and incubated at 37°C in a water

TABLE 1. Strains of *E. coli* K-12 and plasmids used in this study

Strain or plasmid	Genotype	Source or reference				
Strains						
H ₁₆₇₃	W3110 fur-31 zbf::Tn10	Klaus Hantke, Tübingen				
H1941	$MC4100$ Δ fur	Klaus Hantke, Tübingen				
JM101	$\Delta (lac$ -proAB) thi supE F'[traD36 $proAB^+$ lacI ^q lacZ Δ M15]	39				
JRG2712	MC4100 ftnA::spc	This work				
JRG2157	JM101 bfr::kan, F^-	This work				
JRG2844	$MC4100$ ftn $A::spc$	This work				
JRG2846	MC4100 bfr::kan	This work				
JRG2847	JRG2846 ftnA::spc	This work				
JRG2951	W3110 bfr::kan	This work				
JRG2952	W3110 ftnA::spc	This work				
JRG2953	JRG2951 ftnA::spc	This work				
JRG3236	H1941 bfr::kan	This work				
JRG3238	JRG3236 ftnA::spc	This work				
JRG3240	H1941 ftnA::spc	This work				
MC4100	Δ ara, Δ (argF-lac) rpsL relA1 $deoCl$ pts $F25$	52				
W3110	Prototroph					
Plasmids						
pGS280	bfr amp	2				
pGS327	amp	This work				
pGS357	kan amp	This work				
pGS358	bfr::kan cml rep (Ts)	This work				
pGS635	spc amp	This work				
pGS636	$ftnA::spc$ amp	This work				
pGS637	ftnA::spc cml rep(Ts)	This work				
pMAK705	rep(Ts) lacZ cml	27				
pMTL24	amp	13				
pUC18A2	ftnA amp	34				
$pUX-\Omega$	spc	44				

bath without shaking. Anaerobic respiratory conditions were identical, except that either 40 mM sodium fumarate or 40 mM sodium nitrate was added to the medium.

Site-directed deletion mutagenesis. Site-directed mutagenesis of uracil-containing M13 template DNA was performed by the single-primer method (36). The target for mutagenesis was a single-stranded M13mp18 derivative containing the 4.9-kb *EcoRI-HindIII bfr* fragment from pGS280 (2). Single-stranded DNA was prepared (51) from BW313 with uridine (0.25 μg/ml) in the growth medium. The phosphorylated mutagenic oligonucleotide primer was annealed to the template before use in the primer extension ligation reaction and transfection into JM101 (*ung⁺*). Progeny phages were screened by single-channel tracking and nucleotide sequence analysis with the Klenow fragment of DNA polymerase by the method of Sanger et al. (51). The mutagenic primer S221 (CAACAAACT GTTGG**GGATCC**GCGAAGAAGG; coordinates 543 to 557 and 969 to 983) (2) was designed to direct the deletion of nucleotides 558 to 968 at the center of the cloned *bfr* gene, simultaneously creating a unique *Bam*HI restriction site (boldface type in the above sequence) at the point of deletion. The complete *bfr* sequence of a mutant (M13-*bfr* Δ 1) was validated with specific primers; S123 (TCATGAATGCATTGATGA; 645 to 662), S276 (CGTAAGCCGTTCTAC TC; 463 to 480), S277 (TTTCTGGAATGTCTTCCAA; 700 to 718), S271 (GG GAAATGCGCTTGTCGC; 555 to 572), and S275 (CAGGACCTGCAGAAA CTGAAC; 724 to 744), and the corresponding 1.2-kb *Eco*RI-*Pst*I fragment of replicative-form M13-*bfr*D1 was cloned in pUC119, generating pGS327.

Gene replacement. Two plasmids, pGS358 (*bfr::kan*) and pGS637 (*ftnA::spc*), containing disrupted *bfr* and *ftnA* genes, respectively (Fig. 1), were constructed for use in gene replacement (27). Plasmid pGS358 was made by cloning the *Bam*HI-treated 1.3-kb *kan* (kanamycin resistance) GenBlock fragment (Pharmacia) in pGS327 to produce pGS357. The 2.1-kb *Eco*RI-*Sph*I *bfr::kan* fragment of pGS357 was then cloned into the thermosensitive Cm^r replicon, pMAK705, to give pGS358 (Fig. 1A). Similarly, pGS637 was generated by subcloning the 2-kb *HindIII spc* (spectinomycin resistance) cassette of pUX- Ω (44) into pMTL24 (13) to generate pGS635 and provide the *spc* cassette with flanking *Acc*I sites. The 0.3-kb *Asu*II-*Cla*I segment of pUC18A2 (34), containing most of the *ftnA* gene, was then replaced by the 2.0-kb *Acc*I *spc* cassette from pGS635 to give pGS636, and the 2.4-kb *Kpn*I-*Xba*I *ftnA::spc* fragment of pGS636 was cloned into the corresponding sites of pMAK705 (27) to give pGS637 (Fig. 1B). Cointegrants were isolated from pGS637 and pGS358 transformants of *E. coli* MC4100 and

B

FIG. 1. Restriction maps of the *ftnA* (A) and *bfr* (B) regions of the *E. coli* chromosome and derived plasmids. The locations and polarities of the *ftnA* (clockwise) and *bfr* (anticlockwise) genes are shown in the *E. coli* physical map (8). Lightly shaded bars represent chromosomal DNA; thin horizontal lines represent plasmid DNA; solid bars represent antibiotic resistance cassettes (*kan*, kanamycin; *spc*, spectinomycin), and open bars represent the *ftnA* or *bfr* gene. Relevant restriction sites are shown: Ac, AccI; As, AsuII; B, BamHI; Bg, BgII; C, ClaI; D, DraI; H, HindIII; K, KpnI; Ps, PstI; Pv, PvuI; Xb, XbaI; Xh, XhoII. Ac/C and As/Ac denote hybrid restriction sites no longer recognized by the corresponding restriction enzymes, and *B** denotes an engineered *Bam*HI site flanking a site-directed internal deletion in the *bfr* gene.

JM101, respectively, by selecting Sp^r Cm^r or Km^r Cm^r colonies at 42°C (nonpermissive temperature for plasmid replication). Resolution products were obtained by culturing pools of cointegrant colonies in L broth for three to six growth cycles (30 to 60 generations) at 30°C (the permissive temperature) and then screening colonies that were Sp^r or Km^r at 42°C for Cm^s phenotypes, consistent with chromosomal gene replacement and loss of plasmid. A total of 3 of 240 Sp^r colonies and 7 of 140 Km^r colonies had the desired Cm^s phenotype.

From these, only one *ftnA::spc* derivative of MC4100 but six *bfr::kan* derivatives of JM101 were confirmed by Western blotting with FtnA- and Bfr-specific polyclonal antisera.

Chromosomal DNA isolation and Southern blotting. Representative strains, JRG2712 (MC4100 *ftnA::spc*) and JRG2157 (JM101 *bfr::kan*), were tested for disruption of the corresponding parental gene and acquisition of the resistance cassette by Southern blot analysis. The 760-bp *Hin*dIII-*Eco*RI fragment of pUC18A2 was used as an *ftnA* probe (Fig. 1A), the 0.36-kb *Dra*I-*Xho*II and 1.2-kb *Eco*RI-*Pst*I fragments of pGS280 were used as *bfr* probes (Fig. 1B), and the *spc* and *kan* cassettes served as probes for the corresponding resistance genes. The sizes of the fragments hybridizing with the *ftnA*, *bfr*, *spc*, and *kan* probes were very close to the predicted values. Those hybridizing with the *ftnA* probe in *Hin*dIII, *Eco*RI, *Bgl*I, *Kpn*I, *Pst*I, and *Pvu*II digests of MC4100 chromosomal DNA, in kilobases, were as follows for observed (predicted) values: 16.0 (17.5), 3.0 (3.3), 1.0 + 1.7 (1.0 + 1.8), 5.4 (5.8), 20.0 (20.0), and 5.0 (5.5). For JRG2712 (*ftnA*::spc), they were 5.6 + 6.7 (7.8 + 7.5), 4.6 (4.9), 1.0 + 1.5 (1.1 + 1.8), 7.2 (7.4), $10.\overline{7} + 5.\overline{8}$ (12.5 + 7.5), and 6.6 (7.2). Those hybridizing with the *bfr* probe in *Bam*HI and *Hin*dIII digests of JM101 DNA were 24 (25.1) and 11.2 (10.5) with the *Eco*RI-*Pst*I probe and 24.5 (25.1) and 11.5 (10.5) with the *Dra*I-*Xho*II probe. With JRG2157 (*bfr::kan*), the hybridizing fragments were 7.7 (8.0) and 7.0 (7.2) with the *Eco*RI-*Pst*I probe but, as expected, no hybridization was observed with the *Dra*I-*Xho*II probe. Hybridizations with the *spc* and *kan* probes further confirmed that the resistance cassettes had been inserted at the desired sites in the corresponding strains. Thus, the hybridization patterns of the mutants and parental strains (MC4100 and JM101) matched those predicted from the physical maps of the 42.9- and 74.6-min regions (Fig. 1), assuming that the desired replacements had been effected.

Southern blotting was performed by the method of Sambrook et al. (50) with restriction fragments of *E. coli* chromosomal DNA (37). Hybridization probes for detecting insertional inactivation of *ftnA* or *bfr* were prepared by random primed DNA polymerase I (Klenow)-dependent incorporation of digoxigenin-11–dUTP into freshly denatured single-stranded template DNA prepared from the *ftnA* gene (the 760-bp *Hin*dIII-*Eco*RI fragment of pUC18A2) and the *spc* cassette (the 2.0-kb *HindIII* fragment of pUX - Ω) and from the *bfr* gene (the 1.2-kb *Eco*RI-*Pst*I and the 0.36-kb *Dra*I-*Xho*II fragments of pGS28) and the *kan* cassette (the 1.3-kb *Eco*RI fragment of pGS328). The prehybridization, hybridization (68°C), and washing conditions were those of Sambrook et al. (50), and immunodetection was performed as specified by the manufacturer (Boehringer Mannheim Biochemicals). Molecular weight markers were prepared from HindIII and *HindIII-Eco*RI digests of λ DNA labeled with digoxigenin-11-dUTP by T4 DNA polymerase-dependent replacement synthesis (50).

Mössbauer spectroscopy of whole cells. ⁵⁷Fe Mössbauer absorption spectra of bacterial strains prepared by the method of Hudson et al. (33) were obtained with a constant-acceleration spectrometer, using a triangular velocity waveform. The spectrum at 20°C of metallic iron (National Bureau of Standards, 1971, iron foil Mössbauer Standard 1541) was used to calibrate the spectrometer, and spectra were stored in a 1,024-channel analyzer operating in the time mode. A 25 -mCi 57 CoRh Mössbauer source was used, and the center shifts quoted are relative to α -iron.

Other methods. Sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS)-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and Western blotting were performed as previously described (33). The iron contents of *E. coli* cells were assayed in triplicate by the method of Drysdale and Munro (17) with 1% ferrozine instead of $2,2'$ -bipyridine. An atomic absorption spectrometer (Perkin-Elmer M2100) was also used to assay the iron contents of growth media (directly) and bacteria (after extraction with 30% nitric acid at 80°C for 6 h). These two methods gave very similar results.

RESULTS

Inactivation of the chromosomal *ftnA* **and** *bfr* **genes.** The plasmid-contained *ftnA* and *bfr* genes were inactivated by replacing central segments of their coding regions with *spc* and *kan* cassettes, respectively (see Materials and Methods) (Fig. 1). The disrupted genes were then subcloned into the thermosensitive replicon, pMAK705, generating plasmids pGS358 and pGS637, which were used to replace the chromosomal genes with the disrupted genes by homologous recombination (see Materials and Methods) (Fig. 1). Potential *ftnA* and *bfr* mutants were selected by virtue of their Sp^r Cm^s and Kn^r Cm^s phenotypes and were screened for the absence of FtnA or Bfr protein by Western blot analysis (results not shown). In this way, one FtnA⁻ mutant and six Bfr⁻ mutants were obtained. The FtnA⁻ mutant, JRG2712 (MC4100 *ftnA::spc*), and one Bfr⁻ mutant, JRG2157 (JM101 *bfr::kan*), were confirmed by Southern blot analysis (see Materials and Methods). The two confirmed mutant strains served as donors for transferring the disrupted genes to W3110 (wild type) by single and sequential phage P1-mediated transductions to produce both single and double mutants: JRG2951 (*bfr::kan*), JRG2952 (*ftnA::spc*), and JRG2953 (*ftnA::spc bfr::kan*) (Table 1). Inactivation of the *ftnA* and *bfr* genes in the W3110 derivatives was confirmed by Western blotting (Fig. 2).

FIG. 2. Western blots confirming the absence of FtnA and Bfr in the corresponding *E. coli* mutants. Bacteria were grown aerobically to stationary phase in $\dot{\text{L}}$ broth before being harvested. Whole-cell *E. coli* proteins (approximately 50 μ g per lane) were electrophoresed in SDS-containing 15% polyacrylamide gels, electroblotted, and immunostained with anti-FtnA (A) or anti-Bfr (B) polyclonal serum. Lanes: 1, W3110 (wild type); 2, JRG2951 (*bfr::kan*); 3, JRG2952 (*ftnA:: spc*); 4, JRG2953 (*ftnA::spc bfr::kan*). The positions of the immunoreactive polypeptides corresponding to FtnA and Bfr are indicated.

Growth characteristics and morphology. Phase-contrast microscopy revealed no differences in either the shape or size of the mutants compared to the wild type. The growth rates and growth yields of the mutant and parental strains were identical for batch cultures grown aerobically in L broth and M9 salts medium (with 8μ M iron and either glucose or succinate as the carbon source) and also for cultures grown under anaerobic fermentative and respiratory conditions (results not shown). To determine whether high concentrations of iron might be toxic for the iron storage mutants, the wild type and mutants were grown in glucose (0.4%) minimal medium supplemented with 1 mM iron citrate (throughout the work described here, the iron-to-citrate ratio was 1:100). However, all strains grew identically, indicating that the mutants and wild type are equally tolerant to high concentrations of iron (results not shown).

Whole-cell iron contents. Any effects that the mutations might have on the iron storage capacity of *E. coli* were investigated by measuring the iron contents of the wild-type and mutant strains grown aerobically in L broth (17 μ M iron). The iron contents of all four strains $(\sim 0.014\%$ [dry weight]) were similar in midlogarithmic phase (Fig. 3A), but the iron contents of the $ftnA^+$ strains, W3110 and JRG2951 (*bfr*), were twofold higher $(\sim 0.027\%)$ in the stationary phase than in the log phase whereas those of the *ftnA* mutants, JRG2952 (*ftnA*) and JRG2953 (*ftnA bfr*), were approximately the same (0.013%) in the stationary phase as in the log phase (Fig. 3A). This indicates that *E. coli* doubles its iron content during the transition from exponential to stationary phase in rich medium and that FtnA is responsible for this postexponential accumulation of iron, amounting to $\sim 50\%$ of stationary-phase cellular iron. Lack of Bfr seems not to affect the iron content of *E. coli*, even when FtnA is also absent, suggesting that Bfr plays no significant role in iron storage. The cellular iron contents reported

FIG. 3. Iron contents of wild-type and mutant strains of *E. coli* after aerobic growth. The iron contents (percentage of dry weight) are for *E. coli* in logarithmic phase $(A_{650} = 0.3$ to 0.6: open bars in panels A to C = 1.5 *to* 3.0: solid bars in panels A to C and E; open and solid bars in panel D). Error bars denote standard deviations. The growth media were L broth (17 μ M iron) (A), 8-hydroxyquinoline-extracted L broth (3 μ M iron) (B), glucose (0.4%) M9-salts medium (1.8 μ M iron) supplemented with either 400 μ M sodium citrate (cit) or increasing concentrations of iron citrate (0 to 128 μ M) (C), glucose (0.4%) M9-salts medium (1.8 μ M iron) with no added iron (open bars) or with 16 μ M added iron citrate (solid bars) (D), and L broth (17 μ M iron) (E).

above are consistent with results of previous studies showing that after growth under iron-sufficient conditions, the iron content of stationary-phase *E. coli* is typically $\sim 0.02\%$ of the dry weight (31, 33, 49). Western blot and *lacZ* fusion studies have shown that *ftnA* and *bfr* are highly expressed in the postexponential phase of growth under iron-rich conditions (9, 24). Therefore, the failure of the *bfr* mutation to affect cellular iron content is not due to weak *bfr* expression.

The influence of iron availability on the iron contents of wild-type and mutant strains was examined by lowering the iron concentration in the growth medium from 17 to 3 μ M by extraction with 8-hydroxyquinoline. Although growth was not affected (data not shown), the cellular iron contents were lowered 1.5- to 3.4-fold to almost the same levels in both logarithmic- and stationary-phase cultures (Fig. 3B). This shows that the cellular iron content depends on iron availability and suggests that the fmA^+ strains accumulate more iron than fmA mutants only when the iron concentration in the medium is high enough to permit the deposition of intracellular iron stores.

The effects of iron availability on growth yield and bacterial iron content were further investigated by measuring aerobic growth in 0.4% glucose M9-salts medium containing up to 128 μ M iron citrate. The growth yields for all four strains (data not shown) were increased ~twofold by the addition of $\geq 2 \mu M$ iron citrate, and since no increase was observed with $400 \mu M$ sodium citrate, this indicates that the iron concentration (1.8)

 μ M) of glucose M9-salts medium is growth limiting. The stationary-phase iron contents (0.002 to 0.02% of dry weight) were directly related to the concentration of added iron citrate up to $8 \mu M$ but were not increased further by raising the concentration to 16 μ M or higher (Fig. 3C). In the logarithmic phase, cellular iron contents reached a maximum (0.015% of dry weight) with only 2 μ M iron citrate (Fig. 3C). This is presumably because $2 \mu M$ iron citrate is sufficient to support high cellular iron contents at the low cell densities achieved in the logarithmic phase but not at the higher cell densities achieved in the stationary phase. Adding sodium citrate $(400 \mu M)$ had no effect on the cellular iron content. These results confirm that the cellular iron content depends on the iron concentration of the medium, as previously suggested by Hartmann and Braun (31). The results also indicate that cellular iron uptake is tightly regulated, presumably to ensure that *E. coli* does not sequester excessive quantities of iron. The cellular iron content was lower after growth in minimal medium than in L broth (0.020 and 0.027%, respectively). The reason for this is not known, but it may reflect metabolic and/or gene expression differences in rich and minimal media.

As shown in Fig. 3D, the stationary-phase iron contents of the *ftnA* mutants (0.012%) were lower than those of the *ftnA*⁺ strains (0.018%) after growth in glucose M9-salts medium supplemented with 16 μ M iron citrate. These observations resemble those made with L-broth cultures (Fig. 3A). The effects were likewise dependent on the iron concentration of the medium, because in iron-deficient $(1.8 \mu M \text{ iron})$ M9-salts medium the cellular iron contents $(\sim 0.002\%)$ were six- to ninefold lower than in the iron-supplemented medium (Fig. 3D), and the differences between the $\text{fm}A^+$ and $\text{fm}A$ strains were abolished, as observed in Fig. 3B with iron-depleted L-broth cultures. This confirms that the iron storage defect of *ftnA* mutants is apparent only when the iron concentration of the medium is sufficient to provide opportunities for iron storage, i.e., ≥ 8 μ M.

The whole-cell iron contents of stationary-phase cultures grown in L broth (17 μ M iron) plus glucose under fermentative conditions or after anaerobic growth with nitrate or fumarate as the electron acceptor did not reveal any major differences between the wild-type and mutant strains (results not shown). Thus, it appears that neither FtnA nor Bfr is involved in the accumulation of significant quantities of cellular iron during fermentative or anaerobic respiratory growth.

Whole-cell Mössbauer spectroscopy. Although the *ftnA* mutants are clearly iron deficient in the stationary phase, the nature of the "missing iron" was not revealed. Therefore, the forms of cellular iron present in the mutant and parental strains were examined by Mössbauer spectroscopy. The strains were grown to stationary phase (\sim 18 h) in glucose (0.4%) M9salts medium containing $8 \mu \text{M}$ ⁵⁷Fe citrate. The cells were washed, cooled rapidly to -196° C, and analyzed by Mössbauer spectroscopy at 60 K (Fig. 4A). By using a computerized leastsquares approach, good fits to the data were achieved with three quadrupole doublet components: species A, corresponding to high-spin hexacoordinate Fe(III) components; and species B and C, representing discrete Fe(II) high-spin hexacoordinate components, as observed previously (33). The relative iron contents of the four strains estimated by Mössbauer spectroscopy reflect the chemically defined iron contents (Table 2) and confirm that the *ftnA* mutants have \sim 50% less iron than do *strains in stationary phase. The data also indicate that* the lower iron contents of the *ftnA* mutants are due to a 2.0- to 2.5-fold reduction in the amounts of ferric iron (component A), the amounts of total ferrous iron being approximately the same in all four strains (Table 2 and Fig. 4A). The ferric species exhibited a higher quadrupole splitting in the *ftnA* mutants (0.97 mm/s). This probably reflects the presence of smaller iron clusters, which in turn may be related to the lack of FtnA and ferric iron deficiency.

Low-temperature Mössbauer spectra of the same samples were recorded at 1.7 K to investigate the presence of magnetically ordered iron clusters (Fig. 4B). The measured ratios of ferrous to ferric iron were higher at 1.7 K than at 60 K due to an increase in the recoiless fraction at the lower temperature resulting in an improved efficiency of ferrous iron detection. Three components were required to obtain satisfactory fits to the data: A', representing nonmagnetic ferric iron; B', representing total ferrous iron; and M, corresponding to magnetic ferric cores. The spectra clearly show that the magnetic component (M), which is present in the wild type and the *bfr* mutant, is absent from the *ftnA* and *ftnA bfr* mutants (Table 3 and Fig. 4B). Furthermore, because the ferrous-to-ferric iron ratios of the non-magnetically ordered iron are approximately the same in all four strains, the lower levels of iron in the *ftnA* mutants are due to lack of magnetically ordered ferric iron clusters, likely to correspond to ferritin iron cores.

High-temperature (267 K) whole-cell Mössbauer spectra revealed an absorbing "recoilless fraction" species present in *ftnA*⁺ strains but absent from the *ftnA* mutants (Fig. 4C). The recoilless fraction is likely to correspond to ferric iron clusters within FtnA molecules. The Mössbauer studies therefore support the view that the lower iron contents of *ftnA* mutants are due to the absence of FtnA iron cores.

Growth in the presence of iron chelators. The wild-type and mutant strains grew identically in iron-sufficient M9-salts medium (data not shown). However, in iron-deficient M9-salts medium (1.8 μ M iron), growth of the *ftnA* and *bfr ftnA* mutants was slightly impaired (\sim 5%) relative to that of W3110 and the *bfr* mutant (Fig. 5A). Addition of the iron chelator diethylenetriaminepentaacetic acid (DTPA; $5 \mu M$) impaired the growth of all strains, but, significantly, this effect was far greater for the *ftnA* and *bfr ftnA* mutants, which gave \sim threefold lower growth yields relative to those obtained for the fmA^+ strains (Fig. 6A). The growth-inhibitory effects of DTPA were reversed by adding equimolar or greater amounts of iron citrate to the DTPAcontaining medium (M9-DTPA), and the growth differences between the fmA^+ and fmA strains were abolished (Fig. 6B). The addition of sodium citrate had no effect (results not shown). These results strongly suggest that the DTPA-mediated growth inhibition is due to iron chelation and therefore that the *ftnA* mutants are much more sensitive to iron starvation than are the fmA^+ strains. The fmA mutation also resulted in increased sensitivity to other chelators: 2,2'-bipyridyl (200 μ M), ferrozine (0.05 to 5 mM), desferroxamine (50 to 800 μ M), EGTA (50 to 200 μ M), EDTA (200 μ M), *ortho*-phenanthroline (10 μ M), and nitrilotriacetic acid (50 to 200 μ M) (data not shown). The increased sensitivity of *ftnA* mutants to DTPA was also observed in other types of media: minimal-morpholinepropanesulfonic acid (MOPS) medium with and without amino acids (41), minimal medium E (58), and minimal medium containing other carbon sources (0.4% maltose, 0.4% mannose, 0.4% fructose, or 0.4% xylose). However, the enhanced DTPA sensitivity of *ftnA* mutants was not observed during anaerobic growth in M9-salts medium containing 0.4% glucose with and without 40 mM fumarate or 40 mM nitrate or during aerobic or anaerobic growth in L broth, nor was it apparent during aerobic growth with succinate, fumarate, malate, or acetate (all at 40 mM) as the sole carbon source. These observations may reflect greater iron availability under anaerobic conditions (due to the presence of ferrous iron), high concentrations of iron complexes in L broth, and the possibility that carboxylic acids serve as weak iron chelators in minimal medium. *E. coli* precultured in M9-DTPA did not subsequently grow when inoculated into fresh M9-DTPA unless iron citrate $(5 \mu M)$ had been added. This indicates that growth in M9-DTPA exhausts the cellular iron reserves to such an extent that subsequent growth is possible only when supplementary iron is provided.

The possibility that the growth impairment of the *ftnA* mutants in M9-DTPA is due to the lack of iron stores in the inoculated bacteria was tested by preculturing the ftnA^+ and *ftnA* strains in iron-rich (16 μ M iron citrate) and iron-poor (no additions) M9-salts medium. The use of iron-deficient inocula (0.002% iron, compared with 0.012 or 0.018% for iron-rich inocula) dramatically reduced subsequent growth in M9-DTPA to equivalently low levels for both the ftnA^+ and *ftnA* strains (Fig. 6C). A similar but less dramatic effect was seen in the absence of DTPA (Fig. 5B). Therefore, the high iron contents of iron-rich inocula enhance subsequent growth under iron deficiency and iron-deficient growth is further improved by iron stores predeposited in FtnA. This indicates that the increased growth of the ftnA^+ strains during iron deprivation is a direct consequence of their FtnA-associated iron stores.

Because iron stores appear to be deposited postexponentially (Fig. 3A and D), it was anticipated that FtnA would confer an iron-deficient-growth advantage upon cultures inoculated with stationary-phase bacteria but not on those

spectra recorded at 60 K. Component A represents ferric iron, whereas B and C correspond to ferrous iron. (B) Mo¨ssbauer spectra recorded at 1.7 K. Component**P** represents nonmagnetic ferric iron, component ত্ represents total ferrous iron, and component M (shaded) corresponds to magnetic ferric iron cores. The parameters for components A,A', B, B', C, and M are listedin. Tables 2 and 3. (C) Mo¨ssbauer spectra recorded at 267K.

TABLE 2. Mössbauer parameters derived from the spectra shown in Fig. $4A^a$

Strain		Spectral parameter for:													Iron		
	Component A, Fe(III)				Component B, Fe(II)			Component C, $Fe(II)$				Iron content ^b	К	content ^c	Fe(II) (% of	Fe(III) $(\%$ of	
	CS (mm/s)	OS (mm/s)	W (mm/s)	\mathcal{O}_D	CS mm/s)	OS (mm/s)	W (mm/s)	$\%$	CS mm/s	QS (mm/s)	W (mm/s)	$\%$	$(\%)$	(% of dry wt)	dry wt)	dry wt)	
W3110	0.51	0.78	0.54	74	.28	3.06	0.4		1.14	2.65	0.4		100	60	0.028	0.0073	0.021
JRG2951 (bfr)	0.51	0.78	0.54	73	.28	3.06	0.4		1.14	2.65	0.4	6	98	60	0.024	0.0065	0.018
$JRG2952$ (ftnA)	0.48	0.97	0.54	56	.28	3.06	0.4	28	1.14	2.65	0.4	16	58	60	0.015	0.0066	0.0084
JRG2953 (ftnA bfr)	0.48	0.97	0.54	55	.28	3.06	0.4	35	1.14	2.65	0.4	10	55	60	0.016	0.0072	0.0088

a All center shift values (CS) are quoted relative to α -iron. Errors are typically ± 0.01 , although they may be larger for components B and C due to their partially resolved nature and low intensities. QS, quadrupole splitting; W, line width at half height.

^b Relative total iron content derived from the Mössbauer data.

^c Determined by chemical analysis and quoted as a percentage

inoculated with logarithmic-phase bacteria. To test this prediction, the growth of M9-DTPA cultures was monitored after inoculation with precultures grown to log and stationary phase in iron-rich M9-salts medium (Fig. 6D). The growth differences between the wild-type and *bfr ftnA* strains were much greater with stationary-phase inocula (\sim 3-fold) than with logphase inocula $(\sim1.3\text{-fold})$. This further supports the conclusion that FtnA accumulates iron stores mainly in the stationary phase rather than in the log phase.

Iron storage and ferric uptake regulation mutants. The Fur protein, encoded by *fur*, is an iron-sensing, global transcription regulator of *E. coli* and many other proteobacteria. Fur uses ferrous iron as a corepressor in repressing the iron acquisition apparatus and other genes under iron-sufficient conditions (18). The combined effects of the *fur* mutation (leading to derepression of the iron transporters and other Fur-repressed genes) and the iron storage lesions were studied by transferring the *ftnA* and/or *bfr* mutations to a *fur* deletion strain (H1941) and to the corresponding *fur*⁺ strain (MC4100) by P1-mediated transduction. The resulting isogenic strains were grown to stationary phase in L broth, together with W3110, H1673 (W3110 *fur*), and JRG2953 (W3110 *bfr ftnA*), and their iron contents were measured (Fig. 3E). The growth of the *fur* mutants was impaired relative to that of the $\bar{f}ur^+$ strains but was not further impaired by the *bfr* and/or *ftnA* mutations (results not shown). The iron content of MC4100 (0.022%) was somewhat lower than that of W3110 (0.027%). The reason for this is unknown. The *ftnA* mutation lowered the stationary-phase iron content of MC4100 to nearly half (Fig. 3E), which is consistent with the effect of the *ftnA* mutation on W3110 (Fig. 3A). Surprisingly, the *fur* mutation lowered the cellular iron content (0.008 to 0.009%) to a greater extent than did the *ftnA* mutation, and the iron content was not lowered any further by combining the *ftnA* and/or *bfr* mutations with the *fur* mutation (Fig. 3E). It is likely that the low iron contents of the *fur* mutants are partly due to

reduced *ftnA* expression (Fig. 7). Mössbauer spectroscopic analysis of $57Fe$ -labeled cells grown in L broth (plus 40 μ M $57Fe$ citrate) confirmed that the *fur* mutants are iron deficient and revealed that ferric and ferrous iron contents are equally lowered (results not shown). In M9-DTPA, MC4100 and its *bfr* derivative had higher growth rates and reached a higher optical density $(A_{650} = 0.25$ at 8 h) than did the corresponding *ftnA* and *ftnA bfr* derivatives $(A_{650} = 0.19$ at 8 h) in M9-DTPA. However, when combined with the *fur* deletion of H1941, growth in the presence of DTPA, although poorer than that of MC4100, was not further impaired by the iron storage defects (results not shown).

Growth under stress conditions. Since intracellular iron is thought to contribute to redox stress, it is conceivable that iron storage mutants might exhibit an enhanced sensitivity to redox stress due to an increase in free intracellular iron arising from the storage deficiency. To test whether the intracellular iron content affects sensitivity to oxidative stress, L broth $\pm 250 \mu M$ $H₂O₂$ was inoculated with bacteria having low and high iron contents (Fig. 8A). The presence of H_2O_2 extended the lag phase by up to 3 h with inocula having high iron contents (0.018%) compared to only 1 h with inocula having low iron contents (0.002%). This shows that *E. coli* is less sensitive to $H₂O₂$ toxicity when the intracellular iron content is low, and it is consistent with a previous study showing that the amount of H2O2 required to kill *Staphylococcus aureus* increases 1,000 fold when the bacteria are precultured in iron-poor medium (48). It is not known whether the high intracellular iron content is directly responsible for the enhanced H_2O_2 toxicity or whether it is due to some physiological or regulatory consequence of high cellular iron concentrations. In marked contrast, studies on the effects of extracellular iron on H_2O_2 toxicity in media containing either high $(16 \mu M)$ iron citrate) or low $(1.8 \mu M)$ iron concentrations but identical citrate concentrations showed that the H_2O_2 toxicity was lower when the

TABLE 3. Mössbauer parameters derived from the spectra shown in Fig. $4B^a$

Strain	Spectral parameter for:												
		Component A' , Fe (III)			Component B', Fe(II)			Component M, magnetic				K	
	CS. (mm/s)	OS (mm/s)	W (mm/s)	$\%$	CS (mm/s)	OS (mm/s)	W (mm/s)	$\%$	CS (mm/s)	W (mm/s)	Field (Tesla)	$\%$	
W3110	0.43	1.19	0.53	22	1.30	2.94	0.70	42	0.80	2.3	43.6	36	1.7
JRG2951 (bfr)	0.42	1.21	0.52	26	1.32	2.94	0.66	43	0.83	1.8	42.2	31	1.7
JRG2952 (ftnA)	0.42	1.20	0.50	40	1.30	2.87	0.70	60					1.7
JRG2953 (ftnA bfr)	0.45	1.20	0.51	40	1.31	2.86	0.69	60					1.7

^{*a*} All center shift values (CS) are quoted relative to α -iron. Errors are typically ± 0.01 . QS, quadrupole splitting; W, line width at half height.

FIG. 5. Effects of iron deficiency on the aerobic growth of *E. coli* wild type and iron storage mutants. The growth medium was 0.4% glucose M9-salts minimal medium (1.8 μ M iron). Precultures were grown with 16 μ M iron citrate (A) or 1.6 mM sodium citrate (B) and were washed with saline before inoculation at dilutions of 1/100. Error bars represent standard deviations of three cultures: \triangle , W3110; \heartsuit , JRG2951 (*bfr*); \diamond , JRG2952 (*ftnA*); \Box , JRG2953 (*ftnA bfr*).

extracellular iron concentration was high (Fig. 8B). The protection afforded by iron citrate is probably due to direct extracellular decomposition of H_2O_2 by iron citrate (26, 61).

The above studies suggest that intracellular iron enhances redox stress sensitivity, indicating that factors, such as iron storage proteins, that influence cellular iron could affect susceptibility to oxidative stress. Growth of the iron storage mutants (JRG2951 to JRG2953) in L broth containing hydroperoxides $(H_2O_2$ at 1 to 8 mM, *tert*-butyl hydroperoxide at 0.25 to 2 mM, or cumen hydroperoxide at 2.5 to 40 μ M), superoxide

FIG. 6. Effects of the iron chelator DTPA on the aerobic growth of *E. coli* wild-type and iron-storage mutants. Details are as for Fig. 5A except where stated. (A) Effects of DTPA (5 µM). (B) Reversal of the effects of 5 µM DTPA by iron citrate. W3110 is indicated by solid symbols, and JRG2953 (ftnA bfr) is indicated by open symbols, with iron citrate concentrations as follows: \Diamond subsequent growth in 5 μ M DTPA: precultures were grown with 1.6 mM sodium citrate instead of 16 μ M iron citrate, as in Fig. 5B. (D) Comparison of log-phase (open symbols) and stationary-phase (solid symbols) preculture on subsequent growth in 5 μ M DTPA: \blacktriangle and \triangle , W3110; \blacksquare and \Box , JRG2953 (*ftnA bfr*).

FIG. 7. Western blot analysis of FtnA in MC4100 (fur^+) and H1941 (Δfur). Strains were grown aerobically to stationary phase in L broth before being harvested. Whole-cell *E. coli* proteins (approximately 50 µg per lane) were electrophoresed in SDS-containing 15% polyacrylamide gels, electroblotted, and immunostained with anti-FtnA polyclonal serum.

generators (paraquat at 1 to 2.5 mM, menadione at 0.25 to 1.5 mM, or plumbagin at 0.025 to 0.15 mM), or NO-generating agents (*S*-nitrosoglutathione at 0.01 to 1.5 mM or sodium nitroprusside at 0.5 to 5 mM) revealed that the mutants are as sensitive to redox stress as is the parental strain, W3110 (results not shown). Sensitivity to H_2O_2 was also studied by measuring the decline in viability after exposing log- and stationary-phase cultures to various concentrations of H_2O_2 (0.25 to 16 mM). Again, no significant differences between the iron storage mutants and wild type were detected (data not shown). Similarly, no differences in $H₂O₂$ resistance were observed in filter disc diffusion assays in which zones of growth inhibition around H_2O_2 -soaked (2 to 10 μ mol) filter discs were measured on L-agar plates seeded with 5×10^7 bacteria. However, growth studies did show that a *fur* mutant (H1941) was more sensitive to the NO and superoxide generators, although this sensitivity was not further enhanced by *ftnA* and/or *bfr* mutations (results not shown). Thus, it appears that Fur plays a role in protection against O_2 ⁻ and NO, presumably through the iron-dependent regulation of either cellular iron or redox protective factors (e.g., superoxide dismutase). Significantly, when the *fur* mutation was combined with the *ftnA* and/or *bfr* mutations, the resulting strains were more sensitive to hydroperoxides (Fig. 9). This increased sensitivity was exhibited after 2 to 3 h of growth, suggesting that the toxic effect takes several hours to be expressed (as seen for paraquat [40a]) and that it may be growth phase dependent. In contrast, the combination of the *fur* and iron storage mutations did not further increase the sensitivity to NO or O_2 ⁻ generators (results not shown). Thus, when Fur is absent, FtnA and Bfr seem to contribute to protection against hydroperoxides, presumably by sequestration of the increased quantities of "redox-active iron" that arise in *fur* mutants (35). This finding is consistent with the ability of overproduced FtnA to counteract the increased toxicity of intracellular iron in *fur* mutants (56).

DISCUSSION

The physiological roles of the *E. coli* iron storage proteins, FtnA and Bfr, were investigated by insertionally inactivating the chromosomal *ftnA* and *bfr* genes and generating isogenic sets of *ftnA*, *bfr*, and *ftnA bfr* mutants. The iron contents of the *ftnA* and *ftnA bfr* strains grown to stationary phase in rich media were half those of the wild-type and *bfr* strains. However, no such difference was observed in log-phase cells or in *E. coli* grown in media with low iron concentrations. Wholecell Mössbauer spectroscopy revealed that the low stationaryphase iron contents of the *ftnA* and *ftnA bfr* strains are due to a 2- to 2.5-fold reduction in the amounts of cellular ferric iron. Subsequently, low- and high-temperature studies showed that the lower ferric iron contents of *ftnA* mutants correlate with a deficiency in magnetically ordered iron clusters. These results show that FtnA is responsible for the postexponential accumulation and storage of up to 50% of the cellular iron during iron sufficient growth. This correlates with the \sim 10-fold induction of *ftnA* expression in the postexponential growth phase under iron-rich conditions (9). In contrast, Bfr would seem to be uninvolved in, or dispensable for, iron accumulation and storage. This is supported by the finding that less than 1% of total cellular iron can be attributed to Bfr (7).

The *ftnA* and *ftnA bfr* mutants exhibited a major growth defect when cultured in iron-deficient minimal medium, but only when the mutant and parental strains had been precultured to stationary phase in iron-rich medium, giving precultures the opportunity to deposit iron stores. It would thus appear that iron stored in FtnA during iron sufficiency can

FIG. 8. Effects of intracellular and extracellular iron on hydrogen peroxide toxicity. (A) Washed suspensions of W3110 grown to stationary phase in 0.4% glucose M9-salts medium with 1.6 mM sodium citrate or 16 μ M iron citrate, to produce inocula with low (0.002%) or high (0.018%) intracellular iron contents, respectively, were diluted 100-fold in L broth containing 250 μ M H₂O₂ (solid lines) or no H₂O₂ (broken lines): low-iron inocula (\Box); high-iron inocula (\blacktriangle). (B) Low-iron inocula (as above) of W3110 were diluted 100-fold in fresh sodium citrate-containing glucose M9-salts medium with 50 μ M H₂O₂ (solid lines) or no H₂O₂ (broken lines) and 16 μ M iron citrate (\blacktriangle) or no iron citrate (\square).

FIG. 9. Effects of hydroperoxides on the growth of ferric uptake regulation and iron storage mutants. Stationary-phase inocula grown in L broth were diluted 20-fold into microtiter plates containing 200 μ l of L broth with 5 mM H₂O₂ (A), 2 mM *tert*-butyl hydroperoxide (B), 30 μ M cumen hydroperoxide (C), or no additions (D). Aerobic growth at 37°C and 400 rpm was monitored with an iEMS microtiter plate reader. Strains: \blacktriangle , H1941 (*fur*); \blacklozenge , JRG3236 (*fur bfr*); \diamond , JRG3238 (*fur ftnA*); and \Box , JRG3240 (*fur ftnA bfr*).

subsequently be used to enhance iron-limited growth. FtnA therefore fulfils the classical role assigned to ferritins, as an iron-storing protein that releases iron upon demand. This capacity should be important in *E. coli* and other bacteria that occupy environments where iron availability is variable, because FtnA facilitates growth under iron-limited conditions and would thus be expected to confer a selective advantage.

The iron content of wild-type *E. coli* grown to stationary phase in L broth was 0.027% of dry weight. Under iron-limiting conditions, the iron content was up to 14-fold lower ($\sim 0.002\%$) of dry weight), indicating that *E. coli* considerably lowers its need for cellular iron during iron-deficient growth. The total iron content (as a percentage of dry weight) of W3110, grown to stationary phase in rich broth, can be allocated as follows: iron stored in FtnA (\sim 0.013%); iron associated with Fur-regulated factors, excluding FtnA (\sim 0.006%); "residual iron" $(\sim 0.006\%)$ (the difference between the iron contents of *fur* mutants $[\sim]0.008\%]$ and iron-starved *E. coli* $[\sim]0.002\%]$; and "essential iron" ($\sim 0.002\%$). The essential iron is likely to reside in highly important proteins such as ribonucleotide reductase, tricarboxylic acid cycle enzymes such as aconitase, and respiratory-chain components. The residual iron at least partly corresponds to the redox-active iron that is enhanced in *fur* mutants (35).

The damage inflicted during redox stress depends to a large extent on the collaboration of intracellular iron (38, 48), as confirmed here by the dependence of H_2O_2 toxicity on the intracellular iron content. Iron chelators such as dipyridyl and desferrioxamine can protect cells from oxidants (22), and this implies that the sequestration of endogenous iron is a vital antioxidant strategy. Furthermore, previous studies have suggested that the iron-sequestering function of iron storage proteins is important for limiting the prooxidant hazard posed by iron (14, 56, 59). It was therefore surprising to find that sensitivity to NO, O_2^- , and H_2O_2 is not increased by a lack of FtnA and/or Bfr. However, this is consistent with previous work with *ftnA* and *bfr* mutants showing that neither FtnA nor and Bfr influences the quantity of redox-active iron in *E. coli* or sensitivity to H_2O_2 (35). It is also consistent with the finding that levels of ferrous iron are not significantly influenced by the iron storage defects (Tables 2 and 3). Apparently FtnA and Bfr do not protect against the redox stress-inducing effects of iron in vivo in *fur*⁺ backgrounds, nor do the lower iron contents of *ftnA* mutants affect resistance to redox stress. The latter observation implies that iron present in the iron cores of FtnA does not contribute to oxidative stress, supporting previous reports that the iron core provides a "safe" means of handling iron (6, 11, 14). However, in a *fur* background, *ftnA* and *bfr* mutations increase sensitivity to hydroperoxides but not to superoxide (or NO). Hydroperoxides elicit iron-dependent cytotoxicity through the Fenton reaction, in which ferrous iron is oxidized and the highly reactive hydroxyl radical is generated $(H_2O_2 + Fe^{2+} \rightarrow OH + OH^- + Fe^{3+})$. In contrast, the iron-dependent toxicity of superoxide is mediated by reduction of ferric to ferrous iron $(O_2^{\text{-}} + \text{Fe}^{3+} \rightarrow O_2 + \text{Fe}^{2+})$. The resulting ferrous iron may then participate in the Fenton reaction. Thus, the enhanced sensitivity to hydroperoxides is probably due to the presence of increased levels of "reactive" ferrous iron in the strains lacking both Fur and iron storage proteins. Therefore, in the absence of Fur, the FtnA and Bfr

FIG. 10. Schematic representation of iron metabolism in *E. coli* under iron-sufficient and -deficient conditions. The regulatory role of Fur is indicated by the thin arrows and by the +ve (activation) and -ve (repression) signs. Iron flux is indicated by the thick arrows. The abundances of ferritin, Fur, intracellular Fe(II), and ironcontaining proteins are indicated schematically. Three intracellular sources of iron are shown: free iron, FtnA iron, and iron released from intracellular iron proteins.

proteins apparently lower the levels of reactive ferrous iron. This is consistent with the ferrous iron-oxidizing activity of FtnA and Bfr.

As observed with the *E. coli ftnA* mutant, the growth of the ferritin mutant (*cft*) of *Campylobacter jejuni* was impaired by the presence of an iron chelator, but in marked contrast to the *ftnA* mutant of *E. coli*, the *C. jejuni cft* mutant exhibited an enhanced sensitivity to redox stress (59). The reason for this difference is unknown, but it could be related to the microaerophilicity of *C. jejuni*, differences in the levels of reactive intracellular ferrous iron, the presence of the *ftnB* gene in *E. coli*, or differences in the functional properties of the corresponding ferritins (they are only 46% identical in amino acid sequence). Whether the *cft* mutation lowers the iron content of *C. jejuni* was not reported, although this would be expected.

Previous studies have shown that the enhanced sensitivity of *fur* mutants to redox stress can be reversed by multiple copies of *ftnA* (but not *bfr*), by iron chelators, or by inactivation of the iron transport apparatus (56). This strongly suggests that the redox sensitivity of *fur* mutants is due to an increase in redoxactive (i.e., free or labile) iron. However, this seems to be contradicted by the present studies showing that the iron contents of *fur* mutants are 2.5-fold lower than those of $fur⁺$ strains. Nevertheless, because *fur* mutants have low levels of iron storage proteins (9, 24) and other iron-containing proteins such as fumarases A and B, aconitase A, and superoxide dismutase B (25, 42, 43, 57), as well as having a high capacity for iron transport, it is possible that the amount of redox-active iron is higher in *fur* mutants (despite their low overall contents of cellular iron), as indicated by Keyer and Imlay (35).

The weakly bound ferrous species (component B) revealed by Mössbauer spectroscopy may represent a discrete iron pool, such as free cellular iron or the redox-active iron implicated in enhancing redox stress. The concentration of the weakly bound ferrous species in the cytosol can be estimated as $\sim 200 \mu M$ from the distribution of iron in different components (Tables 2 and 3). However, this value is 20-fold higher than previous estimates of redox-active or free iron in *E. coli*, based on

electron paramagnetic resonance analysis of desferrioxamine-bound iron (35) or on the binding affinity (\sim 10 μ M) of Fur for iron (5), although it is similar to the value (300 to 500 μ M) determined by assaying the total-acid-soluble nonheme iron (35). Moreover, the amounts of weakly bound ferrous iron were 2.5-fold lower in *fur* mutants, yet such strains are reported to contain increased quantities of redox-active iron (35). Therefore, it is unlikely that the weakly bound ferrous species corresponds to the redox-active iron observed by Keyer and Imlay (35), and so it presumably represents another, more abundant cellular iron pool.

The 2.5-fold-lower iron content of the *fur* mutants is surprising in view of the enhanced rates of iron transport reported for *fur* mutants (28) and highlights a novel role for Fur in regulating cellular demand for iron. Several genes expressing ironcontaining proteins, e.g., fumarases (FumA and FumB), aconitase A, and superoxide dismutase (SodB), are known to be repressed under iron-deficient conditions (25, 42, 43, 57) in a Fur-dependent manner, and the abundances of at least nine unidentified proteins are reduced in a *fur* mutant of *Salmonella typhimurium* (20). This suggests that the low iron contents of *fur* mutants may be due to weak expression of iron-requiring proteins (Fig. 10). It would therefore appear that *E. coli* compensates for iron starvation in two ways (Fig. 10): by inducing iron transport systems, and by reducing the cellular demand for iron, which in turn can be achieved by repressing ironrequiring systems and derepressing alternative systems, such as replacing SodB by the Mn-containing SodA and FumA by the iron-free FumC and possibly by replacing ferredoxin by flavodoxin as observed for cyanobacteria (19, 42, 43, 47).

The studies reported here establish that the physiological function of FtnA in *E. coli* is to store iron under postexponential iron-sufficient conditions in order to provide a source of iron for subsequent use under iron-limited conditions. This probably reflects the general role of ferritins in other bacteria as well as in more complex organisms, including plants and animals. The other characterized iron storage protein of *E. coli*, Bfr, appears to have no important role in these processes, and its function remains obscure. The role of FtnB is being investigated. It has an unusual complement of amino acid residues at the ferroxidase center that suggests that the protein does not function as a typical ferritin (1). Fur mutants were found to be iron deficient, and this reveals a new and important role for Fur in the conservation of cellular iron resources.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was supported by a Wellcome Trust project grant (to J.R.G. and P.M.H.), a Nuffield Foundation Student Bursary (to A.R.T.), an Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council project grant (to J.M.W., S.C.A., P.M.H., and J.R.G.), an Iranian Studentship (to H.A.T.), and an Advanced Fellowship (to S.C.A.) and Research Studentship (to A.J.H.) from the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council.

We thank K. Hantke for providing strains, J. A. Imlay and K. Keyer for communicating unpublished observations, A. W. Fairburn for atomic absorption analysis, and R. E. Roberts for technical assistance.

REFERENCES

- 1. **Andrews, S. C.** 1998. Iron storage in bacteria. Adv. Microb. Physiol. **40:**281– 351.
- 2. **Andrews, S. C., P. M. Harrison, and J. R. Guest.** 1989. Cloning, sequencing, and mapping of the bacterioferritin gene (*bfr*) of *Escherichia coli* K-12. J. Bacteriol. **171:**3940–3947.
- 3. **Andrews, S. C., N. E. Le Brun, V. Barynin, A. J. Thomson, G. R. Moore, J. R. Guest, and P. M. Harrison.** 1995. Site-directed replacement of the coaxial heme ligands of bacterioferritin generates heme-free variants. J. Biol. Chem. **270:**23268–23274.
- 4. **Andrews, S. C., J. M. A. Smith, C. Hawkins, J. M. Williams, P. M. Harrison, and J. R. Guest.** 1993. Overproduction, purification and characterization of the bacterioferritin of *Escherichia coli* and a C-terminally extended variant. Eur. J. Biochem. **213:**329–338.
- 5. **Bagg, A., and J. B. Neilands.** 1987. Ferric uptake regulation protein acts as a repressor, employing iron(II) as co-factor to bind the operator of an iron transport operon in *Escherichia coli*. Biochemistry **26:**5471–5477.
- 6. **Balla, G., H. S. Jacob, J. Balla, M. Rosenberg, K. Nath, F. Apple, J. W. Eaton and G. M. Vercellotti.** 1992. Ferritin—a cytoprotective antioxidant strategem of endothelium. J. Biol. Chem. **267:**18148–18153.
- 7. **Bauminger, E. R., S. G. Cohen, D. P. E. Dickson, A. Levy, S. Ofer, and J.** Yariv. 1980. Mössbauer spectroscopy of *Escherichia coli* and its iron-storage protein. Biochim. Biophys. Acta **163:**237–242.
- 8. **Berlyn, M. B., K. B. Low, and K. E. Rudd.** 1996. Linkage map of *Escherichia coli* K-12, edition 9, p. 1715–1902. *In* F. C. Neidhardt, R. Curtiss III, J. L. Ingraham, E. C. C. Lin, K. B. Low, B. Magasarik, W. S. Reznikoff, M. Riley, M. Schaechter, and H. G. Umbarger (ed.), *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella*: cellular and molecular biology. 2nd ed. ASM Press, Washington, D.C.
- 9. **Bishop, R.** 1997. Iron metabolism in *Escherichia coli*. M. Phil. thesis. University of Sheffield, Sheffield, United Kingdom.
- 10. **Blattner, F. R., G. Plunkett, C. A. Bloch, N. T. Perna, V. Burland, M. Riley, J. Collado Vides, J. D. Glasner, C. K. Rode, G. F. Mayhew, J. Gregor, N. W. Davis, H. A. Kirkpatrick, M. A. Goeden, D. J. Rose, B. Mau, and Y. Shao.** 1997. The complete genome sequence of *Escherichia coli* K-12. Science **277:** 1453–1462.
- 11. **Bolann, B. J., and R. J. Ulvik.** 1990. On the limited ability of superoxide to release iron from ferritin. Eur. J. Biochem. **193:**899–904.
- 12. **Carrano, C. J., R. Bohnke, and B. Matzanke.** 1996. The ferritin from mycelia of *Absidia spinosa* is a bacterioferritin. FEBS Lett. **390:**261–264.
- 13. **Chambers, S. P., S. E. Prior, D. A. Barstow, and N. P. Minton.** 1988. The MTL *nic*⁻ cloning vectors. I. Improved pUC polylinker regions to facilitate the use of sonicated DNA for nucleotide sequencing. Gene **68:**139–149.
- 14. **Cozzi, A., P. Santambrogio, S. Levi, and P. Arosio.** 1990. Iron detoxification activity of ferritin. Effects of H and L human apoferritins on lipid peroxidation *in vitro*. FEBS Lett. **227:**119–122.
- 15. **Deeb, S. S., and L. P. Hager.** 1964. Crystalline cytochrome *b*¹ from *Esche-richia coli*. J. Biol. Chem. **239:**1024–1031.
- 16. **Denoel, P. A., R. M. Crawford, M. S. Zygmunt, A. Tibor, V. E. Weynants, F. Godfroid, D. L. Hoover, and J.-J. Letesson.** 1997. Survival of a bacterioferritin deletion mutant of *Brucella melitensis* 16M in human monocyte-derived macrophages. Infect. Immun. **65:**4337–4340.
- 17. **Drysdale, J. W., and H. N. Munro.** 1965. Small-scale isolation of ferritin for the assay of the incorporation of 14C-labelled amino acids. J. Bacteriol. **95:** 851–857.
- 18. **Earhart, C. F.** 1996. Uptake and metabolism of iron and molybdenum, p. 1075–1090. *In* F. C. Neidhardt, R. Curtiss III, J. L. Ingraham, E. C. C. Lin, K. B. Low, B. Magasarik, W. S. Reznikoff, M. Riley, M. Schaechter, and H. E. Umbarger (ed.), *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella*: cellular and molec-

ular biology, 2nd ed. ASM Press, Washington, D.C.

- 19. **Ferreira, F., and N. A. Straus.** 1994. Iron deprivation in cyanobacteria. J. Appl. Phycol. **6:**199–210.
- 20. **Foster, J. W., and H. K. Hall.** 1992. Effect of *Salmonella typhimurium* ferric uptake regulator (*fur*) mutations on iron- and pH-regulated protein synthesis. J. Bacteriol. **174:**4317–4323.
- 21. **Frolow, F., A. J. Kalb, and J. Yariv.** 1994. Structure of a unique twofold symetric haem-binding site. Nat. Stuct. Biol. **7:**453–460.
- 22. **Gannon, D. E., J. Varani, S. H. Phan, J. H. Ward, J. Kaplan, G. O. Till, R. H. Simon, U. S. Ryan, and P. A. Ward.** 1987. Source of iron in neutrophilmediated killing of endothelial cells. Lab. Investig. **57:**37–44.
- 23. **Garg, R. P., C. J. Vargo, X. Y. Cui, and D. M. Kurtz, Jr.** 1996. A [2Fe-2S] protein encoded by an open reading frame upstream of the *Escherichia coli* bacterioferritin gene. Biochemistry **35:**6297–6301.
- 24. **Grogan, J. M.** 1997. Regulation and function of the genes encoding bacterioferritin (Bfr) and Bfr-associated ferredoxin (Bfd) of *E. coli*. Ph.D. thesis. University of Sheffield, Sheffield, United Kingdom.
- 25. **Gruer, M. J., and J. R. Guest.** 1994. Two genetically-distinct and differentially regulated aconitases (*acnA* and *acnB*) in *Escherichia coli*. Microbiology **140:**2531–2541.
- 26. **Halliwell, B., and J. M. C. Gutteridge.** 1986. Oxygen free radicals and iron in relation to biology and medicine: some problems and concepts. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. **246:**501–514.
- 27. **Hamilton, C. M., M. Aldea, B. K. Washburn, P. Babitzke, and S. R. Kushner.** 1989. New method for generating deletions and gene replacements in *Escherichia coli*. J. Bacteriol. **171:**4617–4622.
- 28. **Hantke, K.** 1981. Regulation of ferric iron transport in *Escherichia coli* K12: isolation of a constitutive mutant. Mol. Gen. Genet. **182:**288–292.
- 29. **Harrison, P. M., and P. Arosio.** 1996. The ferritins: molecular properties, iron storage function and cellular regulation. Biochim. Biophys. Acta **1275:** 161–203.
- 30. **Harrison, P. M., P. D. Hempstead, P. J. Artymiuk, and S. C. Andrews.** 1998. Structure-function relationships in the ferritins. Metal Ions Biol. Syst. **35:** 435–477.
- 31. **Hartmann, A., and V. Braun.** 1981. Iron uptake and iron limited growth of *Escherichia coli* K-12. Arch. Microbiol. **130:**353–356.
- 32. **Hempstead, P. D., A. J. Hudson, P. J. Artymiuk, S. C. Andrews, M. J. Banfield, J. R. Guest, and P. M. Harrison.** 1994. First direct observation of the iron-binding sites in ferritin. FEBS Lett. **350:**258–262.
- 33. **Hudson, A. J., S. C. Andrews, C. Hawkins, J. M. Williams, M. Izuhara, F. C. Meldrum, S. Mann, P. M. Harrison, and J. R. Guest.** 1993. Overexpression, purification and characterization of the *Escherichia coli* ferritin. Eur. J. Biochem. **218:**985–995.
- 34. **Izuhara, M., K. Takamune, and R. Takata.** 1991. Cloning and sequencing of an *Escherichia coli* K12 gene which encodes a polypeptide having similarity to the human ferritin H subunit. Mol. Gen. Genet. **225:**510–513.
- 35. **Keyer, K., and J. A. Imlay.** 1996. Superoxide accelerates DNA-damage by elevating free-iron levels. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **193:**13635–13640.
- 36. **Kunkel, T. A.** 1985. Rapid and efficient site-specific mutagenesis without phenotypic selection. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **82:**488–492.
- 37. **Marmur, J.** 1964. A procedure for the isolation of deoxyribonucleic acid from microorganisms. Methods Enzymol. **6:**726–739.
- 38. **Mello Fihlo, A. C., M. E. Hoffman, and R. Meneghini.** 1984. Cell killing and DNA damage by hydrogen peroxide are mediated by intracellular iron. Biochem. J. **218:**273–275.
- 39. **Messing, J.** 1983. New M13 vectors for cloning. Methods Enzymol. **101:**20– 78.
- 40. **Miller, J. H.** 1972. Experiments in molecular genetics. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.
- 40a.**Minakami, H., J. W. Kitzler, and I. Fridovich.** 1990. Effects of pH, glucose, and chelating agents on lethality of paraquat to *Escherichia coli*. J. Bacteriol. **172:**691–695.
- 41. **Neidhardt, F. C., P. L. Bloch, and D. F. Smith.** 1974. Culture medium for enterobacteria. J. Bacteriol. **119:**736–747.
- 42. **Niederhoffer, E. C., C. M. Naranjo, K. L. Bradley, and J. A. Fee.** 1990. Control of *Escherichia coli* superoxide sidmuatase (*sodA* and *sodB*) genes by the ferric iron uptake regulation (*fur*) locus. J. Bacteriol. **172:**1930–1938.
- 43. **Park, S. J., and R. P. Gunsalus.** 1995. Oxygen, iron, carbon, and superoxide control of the fumarase *fumA* and *fumC* genes of *Escherichia coli*—role of the *arcA*, *fnr*, and *soxR* gene products. J. Bacteriol. **177:**6255–6262.
- 44. **Prentki, P. and H. M. Krisch.** 1984. *In vitro* insertional mutagenesis with a selectable DNA fragment. Gene **29:**303–313.
- 45. **Pugsley, A. P., and P. Reeves.** 1976. Characterization of group B colicinresistant mutants of *Escherichia coli* K-12: colicin resistance and the role of enterochelin. J. Bacteriol. **127:**218–228.
- 46. **Quail, M. A., P. Jordan, J. M. Grogan, J. N. Butt, M. Lutz, A. J. Thomson, S. C. Andrews, and J. R. Guest.** 1996. Spectroscopic and voltammetric characterisation of the bacterioferritin-associated ferredoxin of *Escherichia coli*. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. **229:**635–642.
- 47. **Razquin, P., S. Schmitz, M. F. Fillat, M. L. Peleato, and H. Bohme.** 1994. Transcriptional and translational analysis of ferredoxin and flavodoxin under

iron and nitrogen stress in *Anabaena* sp strain PCC 7120. J. Bacteriol. **176:** 7409–7411.

- 48. **Repine, J. E., R. B. Fox, and E. M. Berger.** 1981. Hydrogen peroxide kills *Staphylococcus aureus* by reacting with *Staphylococcal* iron to form hydroxyl radicals. J. Biol. Chem. **256:**7094–7096.
- 49. **Rouf, M. A.** 1964. Spectrochemical analysis of inorganic elements in bacteria. J. Bacteriol. **88:**1545–1549.
- 50. **Sambrook, J., E. F. Fritsch, and T. Maniatis.** 1989. Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual, 2nd ed. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.
- 51. **Sanger, F., A. R. Coulson, B. G. Barrell, A. J. H. Smith, and B. A. Roc.** 1980. Cloning in single-stranded bacteriophage as an aid to rapid DNA sequencing. J. Mol. Biol. **143:**161–178.
- 52. **Silhavy, T. J., M. L. Berman, and L. W. Enquist.** 1984 Experiments with gene fusions. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.
- 53. **Stiefel, E. I., and G. D. Watt.** 1979. *Azotobacter* cytochrome $b_{557.5}$ is a bacterioferritin. Nature **279:**81–83.
- 54. Stojiljkovic, I., A. J. Bäumler, and K. Hantke. 1994. Fur regulon in gramnegative bacteria. Identification and characterization of new iron-regulated *Escherichia coli* genes by a Fur titration assay. J. Mol. Biol. **236:**531–545.
- 55. **Theil, E. C.** 1987. Ferritin: structure, gene regulation, and cellular function in

animals, plants, and microorganisms. Annu. Rev. Biochem. **56:**289–315.

- 56. **Touati, D., M. Jacques, B. Tardat, L. Bouchard, and S. Despied.** 1995. Lethal oxidative damage and mutagenesis are generated by iron in delta-fur mutants of *Escherichia coli*—protective role of superoxide dismutase. J. Bacteriol. **177:**2305–2314.
- 57. **Tseng, C.-P.** 1997. Regulation of fumarase (*fumB*) gene expression in *Escherichia coli* in response to oxygen, iron and heme availability: role of the *arcA*, *fur*, and *hemA* gene products. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. **157:**67–72.
- 58. **Vogel, H. J., and D. M. Bonner.** 1955. Acetylornithinase of *Escherichia coli*: partial purification and some properties. J. Biol. Chem. **218:**97–106.
- 59. **Wai, S. N., K. Nakayama, K. Umene, T. Moriya, and K. Amako.** 1996. Construction of a ferritin-deficient mutant of *Campylobacter jejuni*—contribution of ferritin to iron storage and protection against oxidative stress. Mol. Microbiol. **20:**1127–1134.
- 60. **Yariv, J.** 1996. Circumstantial evidence for cytochrome b_1 involvement in the functioning of lac-permease in respiring *Escherichia coli*. J. Theor. Biol. **182:** 459–462.
- 61. **Zigler, J. S., H. M. Jernigan, D. Garland, and V. N. Reddy.** 1985. The effects of oxygen radicals generated in the medium on lenses in organ culture inhibition of damage by chelated iron. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. **241:**163– 172.