



Published in final edited form as:

Pediatr Hematol Oncol. 2022 September ; 39(6): 529–539. doi:10.1080/08880018.2022.2025964.

Behavioral Parenting Skills as a Novel Target for Improving Medication Adherence in Young Children: Feasibility and Acceptability of the *CareMeds* Intervention

Elizabeth G. Bouchard, PhD^{1,*}, Leonard H. Epstein, PhD², Hital Patel, BS¹, Paula C. Vincent, PhD¹, Susan A. LaValley, PhD¹, Julia A. Devonish, MD, PhD^{1,3}, Jessica Wadium, BS, CCLS⁴, Xiaozhong Wen, PhD², Kara M. Kelly, MD⁵

¹Department of Cancer Prevention and Control, Roswell Park Comprehensive Cancer Center

²Division of Behavioral Medicine, University at Buffalo

³Department of Medicine, Division of General Internal Medicine, University at Buffalo

⁴Mayo Clinic Health System – Franciscan Healthcare

⁵Department of Pediatric Oncology, Roswell Park Comprehensive Cancer Center

Abstract

In pediatric cancer care, medication non-adherence is a significant driver of avoidable suffering and death. There is a lack of interventions designed for families of young children, where patient medication refusal/avoidance is a common barrier to adherence. We developed the *CareMeds* intervention which focuses on caregiver skills training to help young children take medicine calmly and without use of restraint techniques. The goal of this preliminary study was to assess the acceptability and feasibility of the *CareMeds* intervention. Caregivers of pediatric cancer patients (ages 2–10) whose children were on a home-based oral medication regimen were recruited to participate. Feasibility was examined through study enrollment and retention rates as well as reasons for refusal and drop out. Acceptability was evaluated through usability of and engagement with intervention components and an acceptability questionnaire. *Feasibility:* We recruited N = 9 caregivers to participate in this intervention pilot study and had a 75% enrollment rate. Reasons for declining included scheduling concerns (n = 2) and lack of interest (n = 1). The participant retention rate was 100% with 100% adherence to intervention sessions. *Acceptability:* Parents rated the sessions and resource materials as acceptable and reported frequent use of skills taught in the intervention. The *CareMeds* intervention is an acceptable and feasible strategy for caregivers of pediatric cancer patients and warrants future research to examine the efficacy of behavioral parenting skills interventions to improve medication adherence in young children.

Keywords

Psychosocial; outcomes research; behavioral studies; pediatric oncology; adherence

*Correspondence to: Elizabeth Bouchard, PhD, Department of Cancer Prevention and Control, Roswell Park Comprehensive Cancer Center, Elm and Carlton Streets, Buffalo, NY, 14263 Tel.: 716-845-1300, Elizabeth.bouchard@roswellpark.org.

The authors report no conflict of interest.

Introduction

In the United States 50–88% of children do not take medication as prescribed.¹ Medication non-adherence can lead to worsening illness, avoidable and/or recurrent hospital admissions, increased health care cost, morbidity, and mortality.^{2–4} Pediatric cancer is the number one cause of death by disease in children.⁵ Yet, over 40% of patients have clinically significant non-adherence to medical treatments.⁶ For the most common pediatric cancer, Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia (ALL), children who miss just 10% of chemotherapy have a nearly 4-fold risk of cancer relapse.⁷

Administering daily medication to young children can be a difficult and stressful aspect of cancer caregiving.⁸ Caregivers must learn how to coax resistant children to swallow bitter medicine, manage medication-related tantrums, and develop strategies to overcome child medication resistance.^{6,9–12} Some treatment protocols require this be done every day for years. Research also documents that the medication-related education that doctors and nurses provide to these families can be variable, and predominantly focused on dosing schedules and medication related side effects.¹³ Pediatric clinical care offers little parenting-related support to teach parents how to get young children to take medicine calmly and without use of restraint techniques.

Within the factors that shape medication adherence in young children, evidence is converging on behavioral parenting skills as promising targets for intervention. A large body of evidence supports the efficacy of behavioral parenting interventions (for a meta-analysis see Sanders et al.¹⁴). Evidence-based behavioral parenting interventions focus on increasing parents' knowledge, skills and confidence,^{14,15} and have been effective in improving child health outcomes such as child weight management.^{15–17} Behavioral parenting training includes a toolbox of practical skills and resources, such as effective strategies for praising, encouraging, and supporting children as they learn new skills. Training in behavioral parenting skills has been successfully implemented within pediatric clinical contexts. For example, an intervention that trained pediatric residents in positive parenting techniques improved residents' parenting consultation skills, which in turn improved parents' disciplinary skills.¹⁸ To our knowledge, existing behavioral parenting skills interventions have not focused on enhancing medication adherence among pediatric cancer patients.

Building upon this research we developed the *CareMeds* intervention, which is a caregiver skills training intervention to reduce child medication refusal and avoidance. Sessions in the preliminary *CareMeds* intervention are designed to increase caregiver knowledge of strategies to reduce difficult child behavior related to medication administration. Behavioral parenting skills targeted are based upon Barber's model¹⁹ of dimensions of parenting young children: support, psychological control, and behavioral control. Given the many demands placed on families coping with pediatric cancer as well as the considerable resources involved in implementing a new intervention, it is critical to assess feasibility of intervention recruitment and implementation before initiating a larger trial.²⁰ This study sought to explore the feasibility and acceptability of the 4-week *CareMeds* intervention to support

a future full scale randomized control trial.²¹ We hypothesized that participants would meet a priori benchmarks for feasibility (75% enrollment, 80% of participants complete all study procedures) and acceptability (mean score 8 on acceptability questionnaire items; mean score of 3.5 on use of skills taught in intervention).²⁰

Methods

Design

We recruited parents/caregivers of pediatric cancer patients (ages 2–10) whose children were on a home-based oral medication regimen at Roswell Park Comprehensive Cancer Center in Buffalo New York, USA. We examined feasibility through study enrollment and retention rates as well as reasons for refusal and drop out. We examined intervention acceptability through usability of and engagement with intervention components and participant satisfaction. The study was approved and overseen by the Roswell Park Comprehensive Cancer Center Institutional Review Board. The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Procedure

Participant recruitment began in November 2019 and continued through August 2020. Patient records were used to identify and approach eligible caregivers for recruitment. Eligibility criteria were: (1) being a caregiver of a child diagnosed with cancer; (2) child age between 2 to 10 years old; (3) child on treatment that includes home-based oral medication; and (4) verbal English fluency. We asked each family to identify the caregiver with primary medication responsibility. To replicate procedures that will be used in a larger trial, participants completed REDCap surveys pre- and post-intervention to capture sociodemographic characteristics, child disease characteristics, medication knowledge, medication burden, stress, and parenting behaviors.

Intervention delivery.—The *CareMeds* intervention consists of four weekly one-on-one coaching sessions delivered either in person or by phone, designed to last approximately 30 minutes. Participants were contacted by research staff following study enrollment to set up their first parenting skills session. The dates and times of sessions 2, 3, and 4 were confirmed upon the completion of the previous session. Research staff contacted caregivers with an appointment reminder the day before each scheduled session. Initially coaching sessions were conducted in-person, however amid the COVID-19 pandemic we needed to change this procedure and all coaching sessions were switched to phone conference. Across all sessions, 31% were held in person and 69% were conducted remotely. All coaching sessions were led by one Certified Child Life Specialist from the Roswell Park pediatric clinic. The Certified Child Life Specialist received 10 hours of training on intervention delivery that included an overview of the *CareMeds* intervention, manual, and scripts. The training included presentations, interactive discussions, and observed practice leading coaching sessions. Coaching sessions were guided by a structured intervention manual. Participants were given an intervention manual to take home which included additional resources for practice and review.

The *CareMeds* intervention (see Table 1) consists of three integrated components: (1) creating consistent medication routines, (2) education in child management strategies, and (3) training in specific parental behavioral techniques such as modeling, behavioral contingencies, and reinforcement.¹⁵ One-on-one coaching sessions include behavioral practice and rehearsal, goal setting, action planning, and instructions on how to perform behaviors.²²

Measures

Sociodemographic measures.—After completing the informed consent process parents were asked to complete a short survey in REDCap. We used items replicated from the National Cancer Institute’s Health Information National Trends Survey to measure self-reported caregiver gender, age, race, ethnicity, employment status, marital status, and household income.

Child disease characteristics.—We conducted medical record reviews to capture objective measures of the child’s diagnosis, gender, child’s current age, age at diagnosis, cancer treatment plan, list of prescribed medications, and time since diagnosis. Data abstraction was guided by a standardized form.

Intervention feasibility.—Study enrollment and retention data were captured through a standardized form completed by study research coordinators for each participant approached for study participation. The form captured each family invited to participate, and the reason(s) for declining if the caregiver declined participation. If the caregiver agreed to participate, the form included projected dates of study milestones, dates of actual completion of study milestones, and reasons provided by participant for any study delays or missing data. The interventionist completed a checklist after each parenting session to capture intervention fidelity data that recorded the topics covered in each session, length of session, and format of session (in-person, phone).

Medication-taking barriers and use of behavioral parenting skills.—In the beginning of the first intervention session caregivers were asked to complete a worksheet to describe their experiences with common barriers to medication taking. The barrier questionnaire was developed based upon our previous research^{23–25} and common parenting-related barriers for adherence identified in the literature^{6,9–12} including child avoidant behaviors, medication schedules/forgetting, unclear medication instructions, and ensuring medication supply and refills. Participants completed a worksheet at the end of the intervention sessions to report on their use of specific behavioral parenting skills using a 5-point Likert scale where 1 = never and 5 = always: positive attention, specific praise, avoiding negative talk, effective instruction, active involvement, acknowledging emotions, and sharing duties.

Intervention acceptability.—After intervention completion, participants completed a feedback survey that was administered through REDCap. The survey used a 10-point Likert scale where 1 = Not at all and 10 = Extremely to assess overall intervention satisfaction (*Overall, how enjoyable were the parent sessions? How helpful were the sessions?*) and

satisfaction with specific intervention components (*How helpful were the tips and strategies for improving your child's medication use? How useful were the resource materials?*).

Data Analysis

Descriptive statistics were used to analyze quantitative data on study enrollment rates, reasons for refusal, retention rates, reasons for study drop out, usability of and engagement with intervention components and participant satisfaction. Qualitative data, including responses to open-ended questions about the program and feedback provided by participants during each parent session, were coded for themes using Microsoft Excel and illustrative quotes are presented.

Results

Participant characteristics

We were able to achieve the target sample size for this pilot study which was 9 families. All 9 caregiver participants were female, and the mean age was 39 years old (range 31–52 years). Eight participants described themselves as non-Hispanic and one identified as Hispanic. Eight participants self-described as white and one as Asian. Three participants had a high school diploma, two held an Associate's degree, and four held Master's degrees. Four participants were working full time, one was working part time, one was a full-time student, and three were not in the paid labor force. Six participants described their household structure as two-parent, and three were single/lone parents. One participant reported an annual household income less than \$10,000, three had a total household income between \$50,000 and \$74,999 and five reported a total household income greater than \$75,000.

The average age of participants' children was 6.6 years (range: 4–10 years). All but one of the children ($n = 8$) were male. Children were diagnosed with a variety of pediatric cancers, including solid tumors, lymphoma, and cancers of the blood and bone marrow. At baseline, the median number of months since the children had been diagnosed with cancer was 13 (range: 3.5–53.5 months). Parents reported that their children took their oral medication in either liquid ($n=3$), swallowed tablet ($n = 5$), or chewable tablet ($n = 1$) form.

Caregiver-reported barriers to medication adherence

At baseline the most prevalent medication barrier reported was child avoidant behaviors, with 7 of 9 participants reporting this barrier (Table 2). Six participants reported unclear medication instructions as a barrier. Three participants reported medication schedules or forgetting as a barrier, and 1 participant reported difficulty ensuring adequate medication supply and medication refills.

Intervention feasibility

Of the 12 eligible caregivers contacted about participating in the study, 9 agreed to participate and 3 declined, for a 75% enrollment rate. Among those who declined to participate, reasons cited were work schedule/not available for parent sessions ($n = 2$) and lack of interest ($n = 1$). The participant retention rate was 100% with 100% adherence

to intervention sessions. Participants demonstrated good adherence to the overall study schedule. For example, the number of days between Sessions 1 and 2 ranged from 6–9 days for all except one participant whose Session 1 and 2 were 23 days apart due to scheduling difficulty. Expected total time on study was 11–13 weeks; actual time on study ranged from 12–16 weeks. The average duration of the sessions was 42 minutes (range: 25–60 minutes). Across all parent sessions, 8% were rescheduled by parents.

Intervention acceptability

Most participants rated the sessions and resource materials as acceptable, with mean ratings ranging from 8.75 to 9.75 on a 10-point scale. For overall acceptability of the intervention, participants reported that the sessions were enjoyable (mean 9.38, range 8–10) and helpful (mean 9.75, range 9–10). Participants reported that the tips and strategies for improving the child’s medication use were helpful (mean 9.75, range 9–10) and that the resource materials were useful (mean 8.75, range 6–10). Participants reported frequent use of specific parenting skills taught in the intervention, with most participants reporting that they often or always applied positive attention, specific praise, avoiding negative talk, effective instructions, actively involving the child, acknowledging emotions, and sharing duties (Table 3).

In open-ended responses describing experiences applying intervention content during medication administration participants reflected on the helpfulness of skills taught in the *CareMeds* intervention. For example, reflecting on positive attention one participant stated: *I have been making more of a conscious effort to communicate with [child]. I will sit [child] on my lap and look into [child’s] eyes. Then we take turns talking with one another.* One participant reflected on actively involving the child in medication routines: *[Child] is very involved and will even remind me that it is medicine time.* When asked about avoiding negative behaviors another participant stated: *I have been trying to decrease yelling and work on being calm. I can see [child] being more calm and less argumentative when I am calm.* Another participant reflected: *I have been taking deep breaths and trying not to give attention when he is misbehaving. I will walk away and collect myself if needed.* Finally, related to specific praise one participant shared: *[Child] has been repeating specific praise to himself after I say it. For example, I will say ‘You did a great job holding still for that port access.’ And [the child] will say ‘I did do a great job holding still!’* Similarly, several participants reflected on the helpfulness of skills taught in the intervention for other aspects of cancer caregiving, including port accesses, treatment procedures, and wound care.

Discussion

In pediatric cancer care non-adherence to medications is a significant driver of avoidable suffering and death. Many pediatric adherence interventions have been designed for and tested in families of adolescent and young adult patients (for reviews see ^{26–28}), and few explicitly target families of young children. Previous interventions to enhance pediatric medication adherence have focused on medication tracking/reminder systems (pill organizers, calendars, medication reminders), increasing parental medication knowledge, and behavioral skill building (problem solving, goal setting).^{29–32} A recent trial in pediatric ALL used daily text message reminders and direct supervision to improve oral

medication adherence, and found that for children 12 years old and younger the daily text message intervention did not result in a significant increase in adherence.³³ These previous findings suggest that forgetfulness may not be the optimal target for adherence promoting interventions for young children. Building upon research that documents that medication refusal and avoidance is a common barrier among young children we developed the *CareMeds* intervention which is a caregiver skills training intervention. The *CareMeds* sessions include behavioral practice and rehearsal, goal setting, action planning, and instructions on how to perform behaviors to reduce child medication refusal and avoidance. The goal of this study was to assess the preliminary acceptability and feasibility of the *CareMeds* intervention.

Our hypotheses related to feasibility and acceptability were supported. We met our a priori benchmark of 75% enrollment, and met the study retention benchmark of >80% with 100% completing all study procedures. Similarly we met the acceptability benchmark of >8 with mean ratings from 8.75 to 9.75 for all acceptability items, and the reports of caregiver use of behavioral parenting skills met the benchmark of >3.5 with means ranging from 3.89 to 4.22. Notably we implemented this pilot intervention in spring through summer of 2020, when COVID-19 mitigation strategies including school, workplace, and business closures were in effect in New York State where data were collected. Despite these potential barriers, we were able to recruit 75% of potential participants approached and study retention was 100%.

Participants found the intervention to be acceptable and perceived the parenting skills to be helpful in improving medication administration experiences throughout the intervention period. Participants also reflected that they used skills taught in the *CareMeds* intervention in other aspects of their cancer caregiving, including wound care and treatment procedures. These skills may be generalizable across a variety of aspects of pediatric cancer caregiving and provide an important area for future research.

As this was a single-clinic pilot study there was a small pool of families of children in the study age range. Due to this, we included caregivers of children with multiple cancer types, varying times since diagnosis, who were on a variety of daily oral medications. This heterogeneity is a limitation of the study and precludes examination of improvements in medication adherence for this pilot study. An important next step is to examine efficacy of the intervention on medication adherence with a larger sample that is more homogeneous in terms of child's cancer diagnosis, time since diagnosis, and prescribed medication to permit examination of changes in medication adherence.

Overall, our findings show that the *CareMeds* intervention is an acceptable and feasible strategy for caregivers of pediatric cancer patients and warrants future research to examine the efficacy of behavioral parenting skills interventions in improving medication adherence in young children. Similarly, future research is warranted to examine behavioral parenting skills as a target for interventions to support caregivers in other health-related contexts.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by Roswell Park Comprehensive Cancer Center and National Cancer Institute (NCI) grant P30CA16056 and the Roswell Park Alliance Foundation.

References

1. McGrady ME & Hommel KA Medication adherence and health care utilization in pediatric chronic illness: a systematic review. *Pediatrics* 132, 730–740, doi:10.1542/peds.2013-1451 (2013). [PubMed: 23999953]
2. WHO. Adherence to long-term therapies: Evidence for action. (World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland, 2003).
3. Bosworth HB et al. Medication adherence: a call for action. *Am Heart J* 162, 412–424, doi:10.1016/j.ahj.2011.06.007 (2011). [PubMed: 21884856]
4. Osterberg L & Blaschke T Adherence to medication. *N Engl J Med* 353, 487–497, doi:10.1056/NEJMra050100 (2005). [PubMed: 16079372]
5. Siegel RL, Miller KD & Jemal A Cancer statistics, 2019. *CA Cancer J Clin* 69, 7–34, doi:10.3322/caac.21551 (2019). [PubMed: 30620402]
6. Bhatia S et al. 6MP adherence in a multiracial cohort of children with acute lymphoblastic leukemia: a Children's Oncology Group study. *Blood* 124, 2345–2353, doi:10.1182/blood-2014-01-552166 (2014). [PubMed: 24829202]
7. Bhatia S et al. Nonadherence to oral mercaptopurine and risk of relapse in Hispanic and non-Hispanic white children with acute lymphoblastic leukemia: a report from the children's oncology group. *J Clin Oncol* 30, 2094–2101, doi:10.1200/JCO.2011.38.9924 (2012). [PubMed: 22564992]
8. Gage-Bouchard E, Spencer KL, LaValley S & Devonish J in American Sociological Association (Philadelphia, PA, 2018).
9. Gupta S & Bhatia S Optimizing medication adherence in children with cancer. *Curr Opin Pediatr* 29, 41–45, doi:10.1097/MOP.0000000000000434 (2017). [PubMed: 27798425]
10. Hommel KA, Odell S, Sander E, Baldassano RN & Barg FK Treatment adherence in paediatric inflammatory bowel disease: perceptions from adolescent patients and their families. *Health & Social Care in the Community* 19, 80–88, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2524.2010.00951.x (2011). [PubMed: 21143544]
11. Hommel KA & Baldassano RN Brief Report: Barriers to Treatment Adherence in Pediatric Inflammatory Bowel Disease. *Journal of Pediatric Psychology* 35, 1005–1010, doi:10.1093/jpepsy/jsp126 (2010). [PubMed: 20026567]
12. Ingerski LM, Baldassano RN, Denson LA & Hommel KA Barriers to Oral Medication Adherence for Adolescents with Inflammatory Bowel Disease. *Journal of Pediatric Psychology* 35, 683–691, doi:10.1093/jpepsy/jsp085 (2010). [PubMed: 19776229]
13. Kahn JM et al. How Variable Is Our Delivery of Information? Approaches to Patient Education About Oral Chemotherapy in the Pediatric Oncology Clinic. *J Pediatr Health Care* 31, e1–e6, doi:10.1016/j.pedhc.2016.06.004 (2017). [PubMed: 27461368]
14. Sanders MR, Kirby JN, Tellegen CL & Day JJ The Triple P-Positive Parenting Program: a systematic review and meta-analysis of a multi-level system of parenting support. *Clin Psychol Rev* 34, 337–357, doi:10.1016/j.cpr.2014.04.003 (2014). [PubMed: 24842549]
15. Stein RI, Epstein LH, Raynor HA, Kilanowski CK & Paluch RA The Influence of Parenting Change on Pediatric Weight Control. *Obesity Research* 13, 1749–1755, doi:10.1038/oby.2005.213 (2005). [PubMed: 16286522]
16. Otterbach L et al. Community-based childhood obesity prevention intervention for parents improves health behaviors and food parenting practices among Hispanic, low-income parents. *BMC obesity* 5, 11, doi:10.1186/s40608-018-0188-2 (2018). [PubMed: 29610670]
17. Ordway MR et al. A Home Visiting Parenting Program and Child Obesity: A Randomized Trial. *Pediatrics* 141, doi:10.1542/peds.2017-1076 (2018).

18. McCormick E et al. Training pediatric residents to provide parent education: a randomized controlled trial. *Acad Pediatr* 14, 353–360, doi:10.1016/j.acap.2014.03.009 (2014). [PubMed: 24976347]
19. Barber BK, Stolz HE & Olsen JA Parental support, psychological control, and behavioral control: Assessing relevance across time, method, and culture. *Monographs of the Society for Research in Child Development*, 70 (2005).
20. Lewis M et al. Determining sample size for progression criteria for pragmatic pilot RCTs: the hypothesis test strikes back! *Pilot and Feasibility Studies* 7, 40, doi:10.1186/s40814-021-00770-x (2021). [PubMed: 33536076]
21. Hilliard ME, Mode AC & Palermo TM Improving the Quality of Pilot/Feasibility Trials Reporting in Pediatric Psychology. *Journal of Pediatric Psychology* 46, 645–649 (2021). [PubMed: 34198330]
22. Michie S et al. The Behavior Change Technique Taxonomy (v1) of 93 Hierarchically Clustered Techniques: Building an International Consensus for the Reporting of Behavior Change Interventions. *Annals of Behavioral Medicine* 46, 81–95 (2013). [PubMed: 23512568]
23. Kahn JM et al. How Variable Is Our Delivery of Information? Approaches to Patient Education About Oral Chemotherapy in the Pediatric Oncology Clinic. *Journal of Pediatric Health Care* 31, e1–e6, doi:10.1016/j.pedhc.2016.06.004 (2017). [PubMed: 27461368]
24. Gage-Bouchard EA Culture, Styles of Institutional Interactions, and Inequalities in Healthcare Experiences. *J Health Soc Behav* 58, 147–165, doi:10.1177/0022146517693051 (2017). [PubMed: 28661778]
25. Gage-Bouchard EA Social support, flexible resources, and health care navigation. *Soc Sci Med* 190, 111–118, doi:10.1016/j.socscimed.2017.08.015 (2017). [PubMed: 28858696]
26. Boutopoulou B, Koumpagioti D, Matziou V, Priftis KN & Douros K Interventions on Adherence to Treatment in Children With Severe Asthma: A Systematic Review. *Front Pediatr* 6, 232, doi:10.3389/fped.2018.00232 (2018). [PubMed: 30186824]
27. Wu YP & Pai AL Health care provider-delivered adherence promotion interventions: a meta-analysis. *Pediatrics* 133, e1698–1707, doi:10.1542/peds.2013-3639 (2014). [PubMed: 24799545]
28. Pai AL & McGrady M Systematic review and meta-analysis of psychological interventions to promote treatment adherence in children, adolescents, and young adults with chronic illness. *J Pediatr Psychol* 39, 918–931, doi:10.1093/jpepsy/jsu038 (2014). [PubMed: 24952359]
29. Burgess SW, Sly PD & Devadason SG Providing feedback on adherence increases use of preventive medication by asthmatic children. *J Asthma* 47, 198–201, doi:10.3109/02770900903483840 (2010). [PubMed: 20170329]
30. Burkhart PV, Rayens MK, Oakley MG, Abshire DA & Zhang M Testing an intervention to promote children’s adherence to asthma self-management. *J Nurs Scholarsh* 39, 133–140, doi:10.1111/j.1547-5069.2007.00158.x (2007). [PubMed: 17535313]
31. Duncan CL et al. Efficacy of a parent-youth teamwork intervention to promote adherence in pediatric asthma. *J Pediatr Psychol* 38, 617–628, doi:10.1093/jpepsy/jss123 (2013). [PubMed: 23248342]
32. Ellis DA et al. Multisystemic therapy for adolescents with poorly controlled type I diabetes: Stability of treatment effects in a randomized controlled trial. *J Consult Clin Psychol* 75, 168–174, doi:10.1037/0022-006X.75.1.168 (2007). [PubMed: 17295576]
33. Bhatia S et al. Effect of a Daily Text Messaging and Directly Supervised Therapy Intervention on Oral Mercaptopurine Adherence in Children With Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA Network Open* 3, e2014205–e2014205, doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.14205 (2020). [PubMed: 32852553]

Table 1.Overview of the *CareMeds* Intervention

Session	Skills Training Covered Session	Model Construct Addressed
1	Understanding the Role of Parents in Successful Medication Administration and Empowering Parents for Success 1. Guided evaluation of current routines and barriers 2. Setting realistic expectations 3. Organizational plans and charts 4. Introduction to behavioral reward systems	Forgetting; Logistical Barriers; Inadequate Planning
2	Communication with Children 1. Planning for success 2. Communicating with your child 3. Positive attention, specific praise 4. Behaviors to avoid (e.g., negative talk, yelling)	Support; Psychological Control; Reducing Negative Behavioral Control; Parent-Child Conflict
3	Empowering and Encouraging Children 1. Effective directions 2. Active parental involvement, empowering children 3. Acknowledging Emotions 4. Sharing Duties with your child	Support; Psychological Control; Reducing Negative Behavioral Control; Parent-Child Conflict
4	1. Review of positive parenting strategies 2. Tips and Tricks for oral medication administration (pill swallowing, direct modeling) 3. Planning for the future	Support; Psychological Control; Reducing Negative Behavioral Control; Parent-Child Conflict; Inadequate Planning

Table 2.

Caregiver Reports of Medication-Taking Barriers at Baseline

Medication-Taking Barriers	R1	R2	R3	R4	R5	R6	R7	R8	R9
Child medication refusal or avoidance	Ignores parental instructions	Barrier not reported	Tantrums; Spits out medicine	Tantrums; Spits out medicine; Will not open mouth for medicine	Barrier not reported	Tantrums; Runs away from parent; Spits out medicine; Will not open mouth for medicine	Tantrums; Hides; Runs away from parent; Spits out medicine; Will not open mouth for medicine	Tantrums; Tries to delay	Runs away from parent; Will not open mouth for medicine
Medication Schedule/Forgetting	Difficulties coordinating across multiple caregivers	Barrier not reported	Barrier not reported	Difficulties managing consistent medication timing; Difficulties managing medication schedule and other children.	Barrier not reported	Barrier not reported	Barrier not reported	Uses phone timer, sometimes forgets	Barrier not reported
Unclear Medication Instructions	Barrier not reported	Refers to other cancer parents and asked questions to providers	Questions about dosing, asked nurses	Unclear when to use some medications as preventative versus symptom management	Unclear how to deal with medication side effects	Barrier not reported	Unclear if certain medications can be given together	Barrier not reported	As needed with pain medications has been confusing to navigate
Ensuring Medication Supply/Refills	Barrier not reported	Difficulties coordinating across multiple caregivers	Barrier not reported	Barrier not reported	Barrier not reported	Barrier not reported	Barrier not reported	Barrier not reported	Barrier not reported

Table 3.

Participant-reported Use of Behavioral Parenting Skills After Intervention

Behavioral parenting skill	Mean	SD	Range
Positive attention	4.22	.44	4–5
Specific praise	3.89	.78	3–5
Avoiding negative talk	4.11	.60	3–5
Effective instruction	4.00	.87	2–5
Active involvement	4.11	.93	3–5
Acknowledging emotions	4.22	.83	3–5
Sharing duties	4.11	.78	3–5

Note. All ratings were on a scale of 1 (Never) to 5 (Always). $N=9$.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript