

Research Article

Association between Serum Total Testosterone Level and Bone Mineral Density in Middle-Aged Postmenopausal Women

JinXiao Yang,¹ Guofei Kong,² Xiaocong Yao,² and Zhongxin Zhu ^{2,3}

¹Department of Urology, The First People's Hospital of Xiaoshan District, Xiaoshan Affiliated Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang 311200, China

²Department of Osteoporosis Care and Control, The First People's Hospital of Xiaoshan District, Xiaoshan Affiliated Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang 311200, China

³Department of Clinical Research, The First People's Hospital of Xiaoshan District, Xiaoshan Affiliated Hospital of Wenzhou Medical University, Hangzhou, Zhejiang 311200, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Zhongxin Zhu; orthozzx@163.com

Received 17 June 2022; Revised 31 July 2022; Accepted 2 August 2022; Published 17 August 2022

Academic Editor: Ma Gorzata Kotula Balak

Copyright © 2022 JinXiao Yang et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Background. Hormone status strongly affects women's health and quality of life. To date, studies investigating the association between total testosterone (T) level and bone mineral density (BMD) in women are limited and have yielded contradictory conclusions. The aim of our study was to examine the association between serum total T level and lumbar BMD in postmenopausal women aged 40–59 years. **Methods.** The study group included 1,058 women from the 2011–2016 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. Multiple regression analyses were used to evaluate the association between serum total T level and lumbar BMD. **Results.** After adjusting for covariates, there was a positive association between the serum total T level and lumbar BMD (β , 1.07; 95% confidence interval, 0.17–1.97). A non-linearity in this association was identified, with a point of inflection at 30 ng/dL. **Conclusions.** Serum total T level was positively associated with lumbar BMD in middle-aged postmenopausal women up to a T level >30 ng/dL. Therefore, increasing T level in women with a low serum total T level may have beneficial outcomes on bone health.

1. Background

Hormone status strongly affects women's health and quality of life, with age-associated estrogen deficiency and hormonal imbalance having been implicated in the pathogenesis of various diseases, including osteoporosis [1]. Postmenopausal osteoporosis is the most common type of osteoporosis and is characterized by low bone mineral density (BMD), which increases the risk of fractures [2, 3]. The cause of postmenopausal osteoporosis, however, remains to be clarified.

During a normal menstrual cycle, the ovaries produce estrogen, androgens, and progesterone [4]. While estrogen deficiency is generally considered to be associated with bone loss in postmenopausal women [5], age-related reduction in testosterone (T) levels might also seriously affect bone health via its action on the androgen receptor [6,7]. In aging men,

hypogonadism is associated with reduced BMD, with androgen deprivation therapy being of potential benefit in this regard, as suggested by current guidelines [8]. Experimental data suggested that T influences bone directly via interactions with androgen receptors and indirectly via binding to estrogen receptor (ER) α and ER β after aromatization in adipose or different tissues [9]. However, studies investigating the association between serum total T levels and bone health among women is limited and have yielded contradictory conclusions [10–12]. Moreover, the rate of bone loss is notably high in the first few years of menopause for women [13]. Accordingly, our aim in this study was to evaluate the association between serum total T level and lumbar BMD in middle-aged postmenopausal women using data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES).




FIGURE 1: Flowchart of the selection of individuals from the 2011–2016 NHANES database.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Data Source and Study Population. The NHANES is a large, ongoing, cross-sectional, population-level survey regarding the health and nutrition status of a nationally representative sample of the non-institutionalized population in the United States. The NHANES uses a complex, stratified, multistage probability sampling design. The survey protocols were approved by the Institutional Review Board of the National Center for Health Statistics, and all participants provided written consent for the use of their data for research.

For our study, we pooled data from the NHANES between 2011 and 2016. The study population was limited to postmenopausal women aged 40–59 years ($n = 1,320$). We excluded individuals with missing serum total T level ($n = 74$) or lumbar BMD ($n = 174$) data, as well as those with a serum total T level above the upper limit of normal (70 ng/dL; $n = 14$). After selection, 1,058 women were included in our final analysis (Figure 1).

2.2. Study Variables. The isotope dilution liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry method was used to measure the concentration of serum total T levels, based on the reference method of the National Institute for Standards and Technology [14]. Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry was used to quantify lumbar BMD, acquired using a Hologic Discovery model A densitometer. The following covariates were included: age, race, body mass index (BMI), education level, income to poverty ratio, moderate activities, age since menopause, blood urea nitrogen, serum uric acid, total protein, serum phosphorus, and serum calcium. The detailed process for acquisition of these variables can be found on the NHANES website (<https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes/>).

2.3. Statistical Analyses. According to the analytical guideline edited by NCHS, data analyses took into account sampling weights. Weighted multiple regression analyses were then used to evaluate the association between serum total T level and lumbar BMD. Three models were constructed to provide statistical inference: model 1, no adjustment for covariates; model 2, adjustment for age and race; and model 3, adjustment for all covariates. We further performed smooth curve fitting to address potential non-linearity and performed a two-piecewise linear regression model when non-linearity was identified. Statistical analyses were performed using EmpowerStats and R software (version 3.4.3). Statistical significance was set at $P < 0.05$.

3. Results

Baseline characteristics for the 1,058 women included in our study group are presented in Table 1 by quartile of serum total T level. Compared to the Q4 group, women with lower serum total T levels had a higher level of blood urea nitrogen and lower BMI and lumbar BMD. As shown in Table 2, there was a positive association between serum total T level and lumbar BMD in all three regression models (model 1: β 1.65, 95% confidence interval (CI) 0.74–2.56; model 2: β , 1.43; 95% CI, 0.54–2.32; and model 3: β , 1.07; 95% CI, 0.17–1.97). P value for trend was significant for the three regression models across the quartile groups of serum T total levels. On subgroup analysis stratified by BMI (Table 3), the positive association remained significant for the 25–29.9 kg/m² BMI group (β , 2.60; 95% CI, 0.73–4.47) but not for the <25 kg/m² BMI group (β , 0.20; 95% CI, –1.81–2.21) or the ≥ 30 kg/m² BMI group (β , 0.27; 95% CI, –0.93–1.47). However, the positive association was no longer significant after adjusting for covariates in subgroup analysis stratified by race (Table 3).

The non-linear association between serum total T levels and lumbar BMD is shown in Figure 2, with the point of inflection point at 30 ng/dL (Table 4). For serum total T levels <30 ng/dL, the effect size of the serum total T level on BMD was 1.47 (95% CI, 0.19–2.75), with an effect size of 0.14 (95% CI, –2.15–2.44) for serum total T levels >30 ng/dL.

4. Discussion

The key finding of our study is the overall positive relationship between serum total T level and lumbar BMD in middle-aged postmenopausal women, with this association being linear up to a level of 30 ng/dL. Therefore, increasing testosterone in women with low T levels (<30 ng/dL) may improve bone health and, thus, outcomes of postmenopausal osteoporosis.

Bone growth and maintenance are significantly influenced by testosterone, which exerts strong androgenic and anabolic effects in both men and women [9]. Based on current evidence, it is unclear whether low serum T level is a potential risk factor for osteoporosis in men. Specifically, while some studies have supported a positive association between T levels and BMD [15–17], other have not [18–20]. Similarly, the association between serum T levels and BMD

TABLE 1: Weighted characteristics of study population based on serum total testosterone level quartiles.

| Serum total testosterone levels (ng/dL) | Q1 (≤ 11) | Q2 ($>11, \leq 16.15$) | Q3 ($>16.15, \leq 21.55$) | Q4 (>21.55) | <i>p</i> value |
|---------------------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|----------------|
| Age (years) | 53.2 \pm 4.8 | 52.2 \pm 5.1 | 52.9 \pm 4.7 | 52.6 \pm 4.6 | 0.101 |
| Race/ethnicity (%) | | | | | 0.012 |
| Non-Hispanic white | 66.0 | 63.9 | 73.6 | 78.1 | |
| Non-Hispanic black | 12.9 | 13.2 | 11.3 | 11.1 | |
| Mexican American | 8.9 | 9.4 | 5.3 | 3.7 | |
| Other race/ethnicity | 12.2 | 13.4 | 9.7 | 7.0 | |
| Education level (%) | | | | | 0.024 |
| Less than high school | 11.5 | 16.5 | 11.2 | 13.5 | |
| High school | 20.4 | 20.6 | 20.1 | 29.3 | |
| More than high school | 68.2 | 62.9 | 68.6 | 57.2 | |
| Age since menopause (years) | 10.5 \pm 7.9 | 8.3 \pm 6.5 | 7.6 \pm 5.9 | 8.1 \pm 7.5 | <0.001 |
| Body mass index (kg/m ²) | 29.1 \pm 7.0 | 30.5 \pm 7.0 | 30.2 \pm 6.8 | 31.6 \pm 8.3 | 0.001 |
| Income to poverty ratio | 3.3 \pm 1.6 | 3.0 \pm 1.6 | 3.2 \pm 1.7 | 3.2 \pm 1.6 | 0.448 |
| Moderate recreational activities (%) | | | | | 0.525 |
| Yes | 44.7 | 44.0 | 49.3 | 43.6 | |
| No | 55.3 | 56.0 | 50.7 | 56.4 | |
| Blood urea nitrogen (mmol/L) | 5.1 \pm 1.5 | 4.7 \pm 1.6 | 4.8 \pm 1.7 | 4.6 \pm 1.8 | 0.004 |
| Serum uric acid (umol/L) | 288.0 \pm 75.9 | 284.7 \pm 65.7 | 296.0 \pm 75.7 | 294.0 \pm 72.3 | 0.259 |
| Total protein (g/L) | 70.4 \pm 4.4 | 71.0 \pm 4.8 | 70.2 \pm 4.6 | 70.4 \pm 4.5 | 0.284 |
| Serum phosphorus (mmol/L) | 1.26 \pm 0.16 | 1.23 \pm 0.16 | 1.26 \pm 0.16 | 1.23 \pm 0.15 | <0.001 |
| Serum calcium (mmol/L) | 2.37 \pm 0.09 | 2.35 \pm 0.08 | 2.35 \pm 0.08 | 2.36 \pm 0.10 | 0.114 |
| Lumbar bone mineral density (mg/cm ²) | 951.3 \pm 139.5 | 986.1 \pm 151.8 | 992.5 \pm 149.0 | 1009.6 \pm 147.6 | <0.001 |

Mean \pm SD for continuous variables: *p* value was calculated by weighted linear regression model. % for categorical variables: *p* value was calculated by the weighted chi-square test.

TABLE 2: Association between serum total testosterone levels (ng/dL) and lumbar bone mineral density (mg/cm²).

| | Model 1 β (95% CI) | Model 2 β (95% CI) | Model 3 β (95% CI) |
|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| Serum total testosterone levels | 1.65 (0.74, 2.56)*** | 1.43 (0.54, 2.32)** | 1.07 (0.17, 1.97)* |
| Serum total testosterone levels (quartile) | | | |
| Q1 (≤ 11) | Reference | Reference | Reference |
| Q2 ($>11, \leq 16.15$) | 34.79 (8.80, 60.79) | 31.77 (6.36, 57.17) | 29.27 (4.04, 54.50) |
| Q3 ($>16.15, \leq 21.55$) | 41.19 (15.90, 66.48) | 36.83 (12.12, 61.55) | 30.10 (5.40, 54.80) |
| Q4 (>21.55) | 58.30 (33.43, 83.17) | 50.31 (25.90, 74.71) | 40.91 (16.26, 65.57) |
| <i>P</i> for trend | <0.001 | <0.001 | 0.002 |

Model 1: no covariates were adjusted. Model 2: age and race were adjusted. Model 3: age, race, body mass index, education level, income to poverty ratio, moderate activities, age since menopause, blood urea nitrogen, serum uric acid, total protein, serum phosphorus, and serum calcium were adjusted. **P* < 0.05, ***P* < 0.01, and ****P* < 0.001.

TABLE 3: Association between serum total testosterone levels (ng/dL) and lumbar bone mineral density (mg/cm²), stratified by body mass index (BMI) and race.

| | Model 1 β (95% CI) | Model 2 β (95% CI) | Model 3 β (95% CI) |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| <i>Stratified by BMI</i> | | | |
| BMI (<25 kg/m ²) | 1.48 (-0.63, 3.60) | 0.10 (-1.89, 2.10) | 0.20 (-1.81, 2.21) |
| BMI (25–29.9 kg/m ²) | 2.37 (0.55, 4.20)* | 2.33 (0.53, 4.12)* | 2.60 (0.73, 4.47)** |
| BMI (≥ 30 kg/m ²) | 1.01 (-0.19, 2.20) | 0.81 (-0.37, 1.99) | 0.27 (-0.93, 1.47) |
| <i>Stratified by race</i> | | | |
| Non-Hispanic white | 1.53 (0.11, 2.95)* | 1.51 (0.09, 2.93)* | 1.09 (-0.36, 2.54) |
| Non-Hispanic black | 2.09 (-0.02, 4.21) | 2.02 (-0.06, 4.10) | 1.81 (-0.29, 3.91) |
| Mexican American | -0.68 (-3.38, 2.03) | -1.04 (-3.63, 1.56) | -0.13 (-2.92, 2.67) |
| Other race/ethnicity | 1.29 (-0.65, 3.23) | 1.37 (-0.52, 3.26) | 1.13 (-0.79, 3.06) |

Model 1: no covariates were adjusted. Model 2: age and race were adjusted. Model 3: age, race, body mass index, education level, income to poverty ratio, moderate activities, age since menopause, blood urea nitrogen, serum uric acid, total protein, serum phosphorus, and serum calcium were adjusted. **P* < 0.05, ***P* < 0.01, and ****P* < 0.001.




FIGURE 2: The association between serum total testosterone level and bone mineral density. (a) Each black point represents a sample. (b) Solid red line represents the smooth curve fit between variables. Blue bands represent the 95% of confidence interval from the fit (adjusted for age, race, body mass index, education level, income to poverty ratio, moderate activities, age since menopause, blood urea nitrogen, serum uric acid, total protein, serum phosphorus, and serum calcium).

TABLE 4: Threshold effect analysis of serum total testosterone level (ng/dL) on lumbar bone mineral density (mg/cm^2) using two-piecewise linear regression model.

| Lumbar bone mineral density | Adjusted β (95% CI), p value |
|--------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Serum total testosterone level | |
| Fitting by standard linear model | 1.07 (0.17, 1.97) 0.020 |
| Fitting by two-piecewise linear model | |
| Inflection point | 30 (ng/dL) |
| Serum total testosterone level <30 (ng/dL) | 1.47 (0.19, 2.75) 0.025 |
| Serum total testosterone level >30 (ng/dL) | 0.14 (-2.15, 2.44) 0.903 |
| Log likelihood ratio | 0.386 |

Age, race, body mass index, education level, income to poverty ratio, moderate activities, age since menopause, blood urea nitrogen, serum uric acid, total protein, serum phosphorus, and serum calcium were adjusted.

in women remains controversial. In a cross-sectional study of 64 postmenopausal women, no significant association was identified between serum T levels and BMD [10]. Nevertheless, a prior study did report a positive association between T concentrations and increased BMD in women [11]. Moreover, in women with classic congenital adrenal hyperplasia, the androgen excess provides a protective effect on BMD [12].

Our results revealed that higher serum total T level was significantly associated with higher lumbar BMD, up to a level of >30 ng/dL, with the positive association not retained after this point. A previous study has shown that high serum T levels in women are associated with adverse health effects, including type 2 diabetes, polycystic ovary syndrome, and breast and endometrial cancers [21]. Therefore, the balance between the potential benefits and risks of higher serum T levels needs to be comprehensively considered.

Testosterone plays a role in bone formation through its direct action on osteoblasts, via the androgen receptor, as well as has indirect effects on bone metabolism through its effect on various growth factors and cytokines [22]. Moreover, testosterone can promote osteoblast differentiation and apoptosis by increasing the expression level of androgen receptor [9, 23]. Moreover, T can be converted to estradiol by the aromatase enzyme, and estradiol binds to the estrogen receptor and exerts estrogenic action [24]. Further studies are needed to explore the effects of different T concentrations on bone metabolism.

A strength of our study is our use of a representative sample of postmenopausal women from the general population of the United States and the large sample size. The limitations of our study need to be acknowledged. First, due to the cross-sectional nature of the NHANES dataset used, a causal relationship between serum total T level and lumbar BMD could not be established. Second, data regarding additional potential confounders were unavailable in the database, such as levels of gonadotropin-releasing hormone, luteinizing hormone, follicle-stimulating hormone, and sex hormone-binding globulin (2011–2012 cycle). Our findings will need to be further validated including these additional confounders. Lastly, we excluded women with serum total T level >70 ng/dL; as such, our findings cannot be applied to these women.

5. Conclusion

Our population-based analysis of postmenopausal women revealed a positive association between serum total T level and lumbar BMD up to a serum total T level >30 ng/dL. There may therefore be a benefit of appropriately increasing testosterone in women with low serum total T levels (<30 ng/dL) on bone health.

Data Availability

The data of this study are publicly available on the NHANES website.

Ethical Approval

The ethics review board of the National Center for Health Statistics approved all NHANES protocols.

Consent

Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Authors' Contributions

JXY, GFK, and ZXX contributed to data collection and analysis and wrote the manuscript. XCY and ZXX contributed to study design and edited the manuscript.

Acknowledgments

The authors appreciate the time and effort given by participants during the data collection phase of the NHANES project.

References

- [1] W. Slupski, P. Jawień, and B. Nowak, "Botanicals in postmenopausal osteoporosis," *Nutrients*, vol. 13, no. 5, p. 1609, 2021.
- [2] R. Eastell, T. W. O'Neill, L. C. Hofbauer et al., "Postmenopausal osteoporosis," *Nature Reviews Disease Primers*, vol. 2, no. 1, Article ID 16069, 2016.
- [3] S. Song, Y. Guo, Y. Yang, and D. Fu, "Advances in pathogenesis and therapeutic strategies for osteoporosis," *Pharmacology & Therapeutics*, vol. 237, Article ID 108168, 2022.
- [4] S. Rozenberg, N. Al-Daghri, M. Aubertin-Leheudre et al., "Is there a role for menopausal hormone therapy in the management of postmenopausal osteoporosis?" *Osteoporosis International*, vol. 31, no. 12, pp. 2271–2286, 2020.
- [5] C. H. Cheng, L. R. Chen, and K. H. Chen, "Osteoporosis due to hormone imbalance: an overview of the effects of estrogen deficiency and glucocorticoid overuse on bone turnover," *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, vol. 23, no. 3, p. 1376, 2022.
- [6] T. Porcelli, F. Maffezzoni, L. C. Pezzaioli, A. Delbarba, C. Cappelli, and A. Ferlin, "Management of endocrine disease: male osteoporosis: diagnosis and management - should the treatment and the target be the same as for female osteoporosis?" *European Journal of Endocrinology*, vol. 183, no. 3, pp. R75–r93, 2020.
- [7] V. Rochira, "Late-onset hypogonadism: bone health," *Andrology*, vol. 8, no. 6, pp. 1539–1550, 2020.
- [8] G. Corona, W. Vena, A. Pizzocaro et al., "Testosterone supplementation and bone parameters: a systematic review and meta-analysis study," *Journal of Endocrinological Investigation*, vol. 45, no. 5, pp. 911–926, 2022.
- [9] N. V. Mohamad, I. N. Soelaiman, and K. Y. Chin, "A concise review of testosterone and bone health," *Clinical Interventions in Aging*, vol. 11, pp. 1317–1324, 2016.
- [10] D. Arpacı, F. Saglam, F. N. Cuhaci, D. Ozdemir, R. Ersoy, and B. Cakir, "Serum testosterone does not affect bone mineral density in postmenopausal women," *Arch Endocrinol Metab*, vol. 59, no. 4, pp. 292–296, 2015.
- [11] F. Wu, R. Ames, J. Clearwater, M. C. Evans, G. Gamble, and I. R. Reid, "Prospective 10-year study of the determinants of bone density and bone loss in normal postmenopausal women, including the effect of hormone replacement therapy," *Clinical Endocrinology*, vol. 56, no. 6, pp. 703–711, 2002.
- [12] D. H. Lee, S. H. Kong, H. N. Jang et al., "Association of androgen excess and bone mineral density in women with classical congenital adrenal hyperplasia with 21-hydroxylase deficiency," *Arch Osteoporos*, vol. 17, no. 1, p. 45, 2022.
- [13] C. Holroyd, C. Cooper, and E. Dennison, "Epidemiology of osteoporosis," *Best Practice & Research Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism*, vol. 22, no. 5, pp. 671–685, 2008.
- [14] "Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS), National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey Laboratory Protocol, Sex Steroid Hormone-Serum (TST_H)," 2020, https://www.nhsc.gov/nchs/data/nhanes/2013-2014/labmethods/TST_H_MET_Total_Estradiol_and_Total_Testosterone.pdf.
- [15] J. Ye, X. Zhai, J. Yang, and Z. Zhu, "Association between serum testosterone levels and body composition among men 20-59 Years of age," *International journal of endocrinology*, vol. 2021, Article ID 7523996, 8 pages, 2021.
- [16] P. J. Snyder, D. L. Kopperdahl, A. J. Stephens-Shields et al., "Effect of testosterone treatment on volumetric bone density and strength in older men with low testosterone: a controlled clinical trial," *JAMA Internal Medicine*, vol. 177, no. 4, pp. 471–479, 2017.
- [17] R. Kacker, W. Conners, J. Zade, and A. Morgentaler, "Bone mineral density and response to treatment in men younger than 50 years with testosterone deficiency and sexual dysfunction or infertility," *The Journal of Urology*, vol. 191, no. 4, pp. 1072–1076, 2014.
- [18] Z. Y. Liu, Y. Yang, C. Y. Wen, and L. M. Rong, "Serum osteocalcin and testosterone concentrations in adult males with or without primary osteoporosis: a meta-analysis," *BioMed Research International*, vol. 2017, Article ID 9892048, 7 pages, 2017.
- [19] B. Hsu, M. J. Seibel, R. G. Cumming et al., "Progressive temporal change in serum SHBG, but not in serum testosterone or estradiol, is associated with bone loss and incident fractures in older men: the concord health and ageing in men project," *Journal of Bone and Mineral Research*, vol. 31, no. 12, pp. 2115–2122, 2016.
- [20] J. A. Cauley, S. K. Ewing, B. C. Taylor et al., "Sex steroid hormones in older men: longitudinal associations with 4.5-year change in hip bone mineral density—the osteoporotic fractures in men study," *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism*, vol. 95, no. 9, pp. 4314–4323, 2010.
- [21] K. S. Ruth, F. R. Day, J. Tyrrell et al., "Using human genetics to understand the disease impacts of testosterone in men and women," *Nature Medicine*, vol. 26, no. 2, pp. 252–258, 2020.

- [22] K. Shigehara, K. Izumi, Y. Kadono, and A. Mizokami, "Testosterone and bone health in men: a narrative review," *Journal of Clinical Medicine*, vol. 10, no. 3, p. 530, 2021.
- [23] Q. Chen, H. Kaji, M. Kanatani, T. Sugimoto, and K. Chihara, "Testosterone increases osteoprotegerin mRNA expression in mouse osteoblast cells," *Hormone and Metabolic Research*, vol. 36, no. 10, pp. 674–678, 2004.
- [24] M. Almeida, M. R. Laurent, V. Dubois et al., "Estrogens and androgens in skeletal physiology and pathophysiology," *Physiological Reviews*, vol. 97, no. 1, pp. 135–187, 2017.