

Human Immunodeficiency Virus (HIV) and Menopause Are Independently Associated With Lower Bone Mineral Density: Results From the Women's Interagency HIV Study

Anjali Sharma,¹ Donald R. Hoover,² Qiuhu Shi,³ Phyllis C. Tien,^{4,5} Kathleen M. Weber,⁶ Jayesh G. Shah,⁷ and Michael T. Yin⁷

¹Department of Medicine, Albert Einstein College of Medicine, Bronx, New York, USA; ²Department of Statistics, Institute for Health, Health Care Policy and Aging Research, Rutgers University, Piscataway, New Jersey, USA; ³School of Health Sciences and Practice, New York Medical College, Valhalla, New York, USA; ⁴Department of Medicine, San Francisco VA Medical Center, San Francisco, California, USA; ⁵University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, California, USA; ⁶Cook County Health/Hektoen Institute of Medicine, Chicago, Illinois, USA; and ⁷Department of Medicine, College of Physicians and Surgeons, Columbia University Medical Center, New York, New York, USA

Background. We previously reported lower bone mineral density (BMD) among premenopausal women with HIV (WWH) compared to women without HIV (HIV–). Rate of bone loss may be even greater for WWH during the menopausal transition.

Methods. Pre-, peri- and postmenopausal women in the Women's Interagency HIV Study (WIHS) underwent whole body DXA and central quantitative computed tomography to measure areal BMD (aBMD) and volumetric BMD (vBMD), respectively. Multivariable regression models with covariates associated with low aBMD (T score < -1.0) in univariate analyses ($P \le .05$) and known risk factors for low BMD assessed contributions of HIV and menopausal stage to the prediction of aBMD.

Results. Compared to HIV– women, in unadjusted analyses, WWH had 5–9% lower aBMD at the lumbar spine (P = .001), femoral neck (P = .04), total hip (P = .003) and the ultradistal radius (P = .004), and higher osteoporosis prevalence (T score < -2.5) at the ultradistal radius only (13.5% vs 0%, P = .0003). WWH also had lower vBMD at the spine and hip. In fully adjusted models, HIV independently predicted reduced aBMD at the lumbar spine, total hip, femoral neck, and ultradistal radius; menopausal stage remained a significant predictor of lumbar spine and ultradistal radius aBMD.

Conclusions. HIV infection and menopausal stage were independent predictors of lower BMD, and had an additive effect on lumbar spine and total hip BMD. Additional research is needed to better understand underlying mechanisms by which HIV impacts BMD as women age and transition through menopause, and develop strategies to mitigate osteoporosis and fracture risk in this growing population.

Keywords. bone mineral density; osteoporosis; menopause; HIV; aging; women.

With successful antiretroviral therapy (ART), women with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV; WWH) are increasingly transitioning through menopause and surviving into older age. Menopause is marked by estrogen depletion and accelerated bone loss, ultimately leading to musculoskeletal senescence, including clinical features of frailty, falls, and fractures [1, 2]. Menopause is associated with increased production of bone-resorbing cytokines such as receptor activator of nuclear factor- κ B ligand (RANKL) and tumor necrosis factor α (TNF- α) [3]. Moreover, T cells are now thought to play a fundamental role in the mechanisms by which estrogen deficiency causes early postmenopausal bone loss [4, 5]. Since HIV infection is associated with persistent T-cell activation as well as increased production of RANKL and TNF- α [6, 7], the adverse skeletal

Clinical Infectious Diseases[®] 2022;75(1):65–72

effects of estrogen deficiency may be exacerbated in WWH, particularly as they transition through menopause.

Our previous analyses of premenopausal women in the Women's Interagency HIV Study (WIHS) found lower bone mineral density (BMD) among WWH than women without HIV; however, serum proinflammatory cytokines, bone resorption markers, and rate of bone loss did not differ significantly by HIV status [8]. In contrast, a separate study found that postmenopausal WWH had higher rates of bone loss as well as higher serum levels of bone resorption markers and TNF- α than postmenopausal women without HIV; higher serum TNF- α level was also associated with low BMD [9, 10].

Estrogen downregulates T-cell activation and mitigates the effects of T-cell-derived, proinflammatory cytokines on osteoclast-mediated bone resorption [1]. We therefore hypothesized that estrogen attenuates the adverse skeletal effects of HIV infection in premenopausal women [11] and that the combined effects of declining estrogen levels and persistent inflammation associated with HIV infection accelerates bone remodeling and bone loss during the menopausal transition to a greater extent in WWH.

Received 25 June 2021; editorial decision 27 September 2021; published online 1 October 2021. Correspondence: A. Sharma, Department of Medicine, Albert Einstein College of Medicine, 3300 Kossuth Ave, Bronx, NY 10467 (anjali.sharma@einsteinmed.org).

[©] The Author(s) 2021. Published by Oxford University Press for the Infectious Diseases Society of America. All rights reserved. For permissions, e-mail: journals.permissions@oup.com. https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciab874

METHODS

Participants

Participants were enrolled in a Musculoskeletal Study (MSK) during 2012–2016 nested in the WIHS, an ongoing, prospective cohort study of cis-gender women with HIV and demographically similar women without HIV who were initially enrolled in 1994–1995 at 6 sites nationally (Bronx/Manhattan, New York; Brooklyn, New York; Chicago, Illinoic; Washington, DC; San Francisco, California; and Los Angeles, California), with additional enrollment in 2001–2002 and 2011–2012 [12]. Women's Interagency HIV Study semiannual visits included an interviewer-administered structured questionnaire, physical examination, and collection of specimens for laboratory testing.

The MSK enrolled 252 pre-, peri-, and postmenopausal WIHS participants aged 40–60 years with HIV (65%, n = 164) and current CD4 >100 cells/µL on ART for more than 1 year and those without HIV (35%, n = 88) from 3 WIHS sites (San Francisco, Bronx, and Chicago), with similar age, ethnicity, and risk behaviors by HIV serostatus [13]. The MSK exclusion criteria included weight greater than 264 pounds, height more than 6'1" (per dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry [DXA] limitations), currently pregnant or lactating, postpartum within 6 months, estimated glomerular filtration rate less than 60 mL/ minute, and ever use of bisphosphonates, hormone replacement therapy or hormonal birth control within 3 months, and growth hormone or glucocorticoid use within 12 months. A total of 244 participants with complete DXA data were included in analysis. The institutional review boards of all participating institutions approved WIHS and MSK study protocols, and all participants provided written informed consent.

Menopausal Stages/Status

Menopausal stages were defined using SWAN (Study of Women's Health Across the Nation) study criteria [14]: early perimenopause (at least 1 menstrual period in the last 3 months with some change in the regularity over last 12 months), late perimenopause (no bleeding in 3–11 of the last 12 months), or early postmenopausal (no bleeding for >1 but <5 years). Because the rate of bone loss is similar in premenopause and early perimenopause [14], we dichotomized menopausal comparison groups as premenopause and early perimenopause versus late perimenopause and early postmenopause and early perimenopause and early perimenopause late perimenopause versus late perimenopause and early postmenopause.

Dual-Energy X-ray Absorptiometery

Participants underwent whole-body DXA to measure areal BMD (aBMD) at 5 sites (lumbar spine, total hip, femoral neck, 1/3 distal radius, and ultradistal radius) and appendicular lean mass and total lean mass. DXA scans were performed using Lunar Prodigy densitometers (GE Medical Systems, Madison WI) at all study sites and read centrally at the Image Analysis Lab (New York, NY). Short-term in vivo precision met standards

specified by the International Society for Clinical Densitometry. T scores, which compare subjects with the peak bone mass of young individuals of the same sex and race, were derived for the hip from the third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES-III) and the manufacturer's normative database. Osteoporosis and osteopenia were defined by World Health Organization criteria: T scores less than -2.5 represent osteoporosis; T scores between -1.0 and -2.49 represent osteopenia [15]. Height and weight were measured by a Harpenden stadiometer and Detecto balance beam scale, respectively.

Quantitative Computed Tomography of the Hip and Spine

DXA measures of aBMD may be artifactually increased by adipose tissue absorption of x-rays, especially in obese individuals [16]. Central quantitative computed tomography (cQCT) was utilized to measure volumetric BMD in MSK participants between January 2012 and April 2016. Participants underwent helical (pitch = 1) cQCT scanning of the proximal femur and spine (L1-L2) at 80 kVp, 2.5-mm slice thickness (GE CTi and GE Light Speed VCT 64; General Electric Medical Systems, Milwaukee WI) with a calibration phantom (3-Bar; Image Analysis, Columbia, KY) used to calibrate cQCT images to an equivalent concentration of calcium hydroxyapatite. QCT PRO Bone Investigational Toolkit software (v2; Mindways, Austin, TX) was used to compute total (integral) volumetric density of the proximal femur and total volumetric density of lumbar spine vertebrae (L1-L2) [17]. Additional structural data from cQCT analyses are presented separately.

Laboratory Methods

Fasting morning serum stored at -80°C was batch-analyzed the Irving Institute Biomarkers Core at Columbia University Irving Medical Center. We measured 25(OH)D, and 25(OH) D, by liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry, intact parathyroid hormone by radioimmunoassay (RIA; Scantibodies, Santee, CA), N-terminal propeptide of procollagen type 1 (P1NP; Immunodiagnostic Systems [IDS], Scottsdale, AZ) by RIA, C-telopeptide (CTX; IDS) by enzymelinked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), TNF-a (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN) by ELISA, high-sensitivity interleukin-6 (IL-6; R&D Systems) by ELISA, follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH; Siemens Healthcare, Los Angeles, CA) by immunoassay, estradiol (Siemens Healthcare) by immunoassay, osteoprotegerin (OPG; Biomedica, Vienna, Austria) by ELISA, and RANKL (Immundiagnostik, Bensheim, Germany) by ELISA. Except for 25(OH)D, biomarkers were measured in duplicate and values averaged for analysis.

Statistical Analysis

The primary outcome comparisons were DXA-derived aBMD at each of 5 sites (lumbar spine, total hip, femoral neck, 1/3 distal radius, and ultradistal radius) by HIV serostatus without

adjustment for testing multiple outcomes. Continuous variables were examined for normality; RANKL was log-transformed due to right skewness. Between-group differences in categorical measures were assessed with Fisher's exact test. Spearman's and Pearson's correlation coefficients were calculated for continuous measures. Data are presented as means + standard errors unless otherwise noted. *P* values less than .05 were considered statistically significant.

Univariate associations of demographic, anthropometric, reproductive, medical, and lifestyle variables with aBMD at each site were examined to identify variables associated with low aBMD/osteopenia (T score < -1.0). Statistically significant ($P \leq .05$) variables were entered into a multiple regression model along with HIV and menopausal status, to assess the contribution of HIV and menopausal status on aBMD after accounting for other known risk factors for low BMD, and to assess for interaction (SAS Proc REG; SAS Institute, Cary, NC). Final multivariate models adjusted for body mass index (BMI), race/ethnicity, hepatitis C virus (HCV), diabetes mellitus, heavy alcohol use, cigarette smoking, and WIHS site. Bone turnover markers and cytokine levels were evaluated as modifiers of the association of HIV status with aBMD at each site. We next constructed multiple regression models with CT-measured vBMD at total hip and lumbar spine (L1 and L2) as outcomes, using the same statistical approach, as a means to confirm findings of aBMD.

RESULTS

Characteristics of the Study Population

Among WWH, the mean age was 50 years; 65% were African American, 19% Hispanic, and 16% White; 45% were obese (BMI \geq 30 kg/m²); and 36% were premenopausal/early perimenopausal and 64% were late peri-/postmenopausal (Table 1). Women with HIV had a mean CD4+ cell count of 576 + 270 cells/mm³, and 58% had HIV RNA levels below the limit of detection; mean ART duration was 9 years. Most WWH were on protease-inhibitor (49%) or non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor-containing regimens (46%); 51% were on tenofovir (TDF)-containing regimens. Women without HIV were similar to those with HIV in terms of age, race, BMI, menopausal status, opioid use, and prevalence of diabetes, HCV, renal insufficiency, prior fracture, and glucocorticoid use. Women with HIV were less likely to be current smokers (49% vs 65%; P = .01) and more likely to take calcium supplementation (12% vs 1%; P = .004) than women without HIV.

Calciotropic Hormones, Bone Turnover Markers, and Cytokine Levels

Serum 25(OH)D levels were higher in WWH than in women without HIV (Table 1); however, prevalence of severe vitamin D deficiency (<10 ng/mL) did not differ (16% WWH vs 11% HIV-; P = .28). Serum bone turnover marker levels (CTX and

P1NP) were higher in WWH than in women without HIV (Table 1). There was a trend towards higher serum TNF- α levels in WWH than in women without HIV, but IL-6, RANKL, and OPG levels did not differ by HIV status (Table 1). We found no statistically significant associations with levels of TNF- α , RANKL, or OPG with BMD in regression analyses, or between RANKL-to-OPG ratio and BMD at any site (data not shown).

Areal Bone Mineral Density

Compared with women without HIV, in unadjusted analyses, WWH had 5–9% lower aBMD at the lumbar spine (P = .001), femoral neck (P = .04), total hip (P = .003), and the ultradistal radius (P = .004) (Table 2), but 1/3 distal radius aBMD did not differ by HIV serostatus. T scores were lower in WWH than in women without HIV at all sites by 0.4 to 0.8 standard deviations (SDs), with the largest between-group difference observed at the lumbar spine. All P values were less than .01 including for 1/3 distal radius. Prevalence of osteoporosis (T score < -2.5) was low and was significantly higher in WWH than in women without HIV only at the ultradistal radius (13.5% vs 0%; P = .0003). Prevalence of low BMD/osteopenia (T score < -1.0) was significantly higher in WWH than in women without HIV at every site (Table 2).

Volumetric Bone Mineral Density

In unadjusted analyses of CT-derived volumetric BMD (vBMD), WWH had significantly lower vBMD (mean \pm SD) compared with women without HIV at the L1 lumbar spine (173.2 \pm 46.1 vs 157.2 \pm 41.8; *P* = .019), L2 lumbar spine (168.7 \pm 43.6 vs 155.3 \pm 41.9; *P* = .30), and total hip (355.9 \pm 58.9 vs 331.1 \pm 61.9; *P* = .035) (Table 2).

Determinants of Areal Bone Mineral Density Among Women With and Without HIV

We examined the impact of HIV and menopausal status on aBMD, and they appeared to be additive at the lumbar spine and total hip, with the lowest T scores in the HIV+ late perimenopause/early postmenopause group and the highest T scores in the HIV- premenopause/early perimenopause group (Figure 1). Next, we used regression analysis to assess whether HIV status and menopausal status remained independent predictors of aBMD after adjustment for known determinants of aBMD. After adjustment for race, BMI, WIHS site, smoking, alcohol use, diabetes, and HCV antibody status, HIV remained an independent predictor of reduced aBMD at the lumbar spine, total hip, femoral neck, and ultradistal radius; menopausal status also remained an independent predictor of lumbar spine aBMD and ultradistal radius aBMD (Table 3). To further clarify the role of HIV and menopause, we examined multivariate models with combined categories for HIV and menopausal status. Our findings suggested a cumulative effect at the lumbar spine and total hip, with significant differences

Table 1. Women's Interagency HIV Study Musculoskeletal Study Participant Characteristics

App. yawn AP = 6 D1 = 5 27 Motion 11 (1354) 25 (1956) 55 Back 62 (72 %) 103 (055) 50 Hissan (action of the second of the sec	Clinical Characteristics	HIV- (n = 86)	HIV+ (n = 158)	Р
Backethmicity in the set of the	Age, years	49 ± 6	50 ± 5	.26
Whie 11 113%) 25 (19%) Bick 67 (27%) 30 (19%) HispanicAbther 13 (15%) 30 (19%) HispanicAbther 13 (15%) 50 (19%) Brank 22 (137%) 60 (03%) San Francisco 37 (14%) 64 (14%) Chiango 17 (10%) 34 (21%) Brank 23 (15%) 24 (21%) Brank 23 (15%) 24 (21%) San Francisco 37 (14%) 36 (15%) San Francisco 30 (15%) 22 (14%) Brank pointencipause 20 (22%) 31 (12%) Franciscouse (15%) 22 (14%) 11 (15%) Early pointencipause 12 (14%) 36 (15%) Roward 13 (15%) 20 (13%) 11 (15%) Roward 13 (15%) 20 (13%) 11 (15%) Current 65 (15%%) 75 (49%) 36 (15%) Achelor 12 (16%) 13 (15%) 2 (13%) 15 (15%) Opatic use at index visit 1 (15%) 3 (2%) 05 (15%)	Race/ethnicity			.55
Black62 (2%)103 (6%)90Hispan.chindra12 (5%)30Hispan.chindra22 (3%)60 (48%)San Fancaco37 (43%)64 (41%)Chinago17 (20%)31 (12 %)SM16 (5%)30%30%Sho.24 8 Jgm ² 30%30%30%Sho.24 8 Jgm ² 30%40 (48%)90Sho.24 8 Jgm ² 30%30%30%Sho.24 8 Jgm ² 30%40 (5%)90Sho.24 8 Jgm ² 30%40 (25%)90Farly gammenopasia12 (5%)27 (14%)10 (13%)Farly gammenopasia12 (15%)20 (13%)90Farly gammenopasia12 (15%)20 (13%)90Farly gammenopasia12 (14%)10 (13%)90Hist gammenopasia12 (15%)90 (13%)90Farly gammenopasia12 (15%)90 (13%)90Farly gammenopasia12 (14%)13 (15%)90Hist gammenopasia2 (24%)13 (15%)90Farly gamm	White	11 (13%)	25 (16%)	
Higgangköhler 30 (15%) 30 (15%) Brörn 32 (27%) 60 (38%) 32 Brörn 37 (47%) 64 (41%) 5 San Francison 37 (44%) 64 (41%) 5 Brörn 71 (20%) 34 (21%) 5 Brörn 250 (20 Sky) 33 (25%) 33 (25%) 250 (20 Sky) 34 (22%) 5 5 Brörnencopause 20 (25%) 34 (22%) 5 Farin promencopause 21 (42%) 35 (25%) 5 Farin promencopause 13 (15%) 20 (13%) 5 Farin promencopause 13 (15%) 20 (13%) 5 Rower 13 (15%) 20 (13%) 5 Current 6 (65%) 78 (45%) .5 Current duo gu at index visit 1 (1%) 3 (28%) .00 Optita uus at index visit 2 (28) .32 .4 Optita uus at index visit 1 (1%) 3 (28%) .00 Optita uus at index visit 1 (1%) 3 (28%) .00 </td <td>Black</td> <td>62 (72%)</td> <td>103 (65%)</td> <td></td>	Black	62 (72%)	103 (65%)	
WHS sinb 92 (27%) 90 083%) 91 San Panetaco 97 (43%) 94 (41%) 91 Dhaago 17 (42%) 93 (42%) 93 BM 93 (42%) 93 93 BM 93 (42%) 93 93 18 (9-24 8 yell) 93 (42%) 93 93 25 0-23 9 light) 90 (23%) 94 (42%) 93 26 0-23 9 light) 94 (48) 93 (42%) 93 Partemopause 13 (15%) 22 (14%) 94 Late parimenpause 13 (15%) 20 (13%) 76 Partemopause 13 (15%) 20 (13%) 76 Partemopause 13 (15%) 20 (13%) 76 Partemopause 13 (15%) 78 44%) Current 56 (65%) 78 44%) 76 Acchelot 12 dinkafw 12 (14%) 13 (43%) 76 78 Acchelot 12 dinkafw 12 (14%) 13 (43%) 76 78 Acurent ta index wist 12 (14)	Hispanic/other	13 (15%)	30 (19%)	
Brow 22 (37%) 00 (0.8%) Shar Fancisco 27 (43%) 64 (41%) Chicago 17 (20%) 34 (21%) BM 23 (5%) 33 (5%) 18.0-2.6 Ja lg/m ² 30 (%) 33 % 25.0-2.9 Ja lg/m ² 30 (%) 33 % Permanogausa 20 (23%) 44 (22%) Early pointencopause 13 (15%) 19 (12%) Permanogausa 21 (12%) 19 (12%) Post 13 (15%) 22 (13%) 50 (39%) Conclast 14 (41%) 63 (25%) 50 (39%) Post 13 (15%) 20 (13%) 50 (39%) Concret 13 (15%) 20 (13%) 50 (39%) Concre 14 (14%) </td <td>WIHS site</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>.92</td>	WIHS site			.92
San Familian 37 (42%) 64 (41%) Dhiago 17 (20%) 34 (21%) BM	Bronx	32 (37%)	60 (38%)	
Chicago 17 (20%) 24 (1%)	San Francisco	37 (43%)	64 (41%)	
BMI	Chicago	17 (20%)	34 (21%)	
18.0-2.0.8 kg/m² 39% 33% >30 kg/m² 39% 33% >30 kg/m² 39% 33% >30 kg/m² 39% 33% >30 kg/m² 34 kg/m² 31 Farly parimenopsuse 20 (23%) 34 kg/m² 31 Early parimenopsuse 13 (15%) 22 (14%) 19 (12%) Early parimenopsuse 13 (15%) 20 (13%) 78 Postmonopsuse 13 (15%) 20 (13%) 78 Never 13 (15%) 20 (13%) 78 Current 56 (05%) 78 (19%) 30 Current 56 (05%) 78 (19%) 30 Opase use at index visit 2 (2%) 3 (15%) 30 Opase use at index visit 2 (2%) 3 (15%) 30 Opase use at index visit 16 (15%) 30 (19%) 34 Opase use at index visit 16 (15%) 30 (19%) 34 Opase use at index visit 16 (15%) 30 (19%) 34 Opase use at index visit 16 (15%)	BMI			.31
5.62.0.8 kg/m²30%33%308 kg/m²64%46%Menopausal status	18.0–24.9 kg/m ²	16%	23%	
>328 kg/m² 546 456 Menopausal status	25.0–29.9 kg/m ²	30%	33%	
Menopausal statua 92 (02%) 34 (02%) 1 Premenopause 13 (15%) 22 (14%) 1 Late perimenopause 12 (14%) 19 (12%) 30 (25%) Systemsonpause 14 (14%) 93 (12%) 30 (25%) Smoking status 17 (20%) 60 (69%) 76 (49%) Current 66 (69%) 78 (49%) .01 (15%) Current dinks/wk 12 (14%) 13 (8%) .01 (15%) Oplate use at index visit 2 (2%) 2 (2%) .05 (25%) Oplate use at index visit 2 (2%) 2 (2%) .00 (25%) Oplate use at index visit 2 (2%) .02 (2%) .00 (25%) Oplate use at index visit 10 (12%) .01 (14%) .00 (14%) Oplate use at index visit 10 (12%) .02 (2%) .00 (14%) Oplate use at index visit 16 (19%) .01 (14%) .00 (14%) Oplate use at index visit 16 (19%) .01 (14%) .04 (14%) Oplate use at index visit 16 (19%) .01 (14%) .04 (14%) Oplate use	>30 kg/m ²	54%	45%	
Premenspause 20 (23%) 34 (22%) Early perimenspause 13 (15%) 22 (14%) Lata perimenspause 12 (14%) 19 (12%) Postmenspause 12 (14%) 19 (12%) Rocking status	Menopausal status			.91
Early perfmenopause 13 (15%) 22 (14%) 19 (12%) Destmenopause 12 (14%) 83(52%) 01 Never 13 (15%) 20 (13%) 01 Past 17 (20%) 60 (68%) 01 Current 56 (65%) 78 (49%) 16 Alcohol >12 drinks/wk 12 (14%) 13 (8%) .66 Opiale use at index visit 2 (2%) 2 (2%) .63 Opiale use at index visit 2 (2%) .62 .63 Cocaine use at index visit 2 (2%) .63 .66 Opiale use at index visit 2 (2%) .63 .62 Cocaine use at index visit 10 (9%) .32 (2%) .63 Goldcochminutes 2 (2%) .63 .63 Opiar tacts de boro index visit .16 (19%) .91 (12%) .04 Glacocontinutes .94 .25 (2%) .93 Opiar tacts de boro index visit .16 (19%) .91 (12%) .04 Glacocontinutes .94 (25%) .94 .94 <td< td=""><td>Premenopause</td><td>20 (23%)</td><td>34 (22%)</td><td></td></td<>	Premenopause	20 (23%)	34 (22%)	
Late perimenopause 12 (14%) 19 (12%) Postmenopause 41 (48%) 83162%) Postmenopause 41 (48%) 83162%) Never 13 (15%) 20 (13%) Past 17 (20%) 60 (68%) Current 56 (66%) 78 (49%) Alcohol >12 diniks/wk 12 (14%) 13 (8%) .16 Injection drug use at index visit 2 (2%) .67 .00 Cocane use at index visit 2 (2%) .67 .00 .00 Diabetes 24 (28%) .45 (28%) .00	Early perimenopause	13 (15%)	22 (14%)	
Postmenopause 41 (48%) 83/82%) Smoking status	Late perimenopause	12 (14%)	19 (12%)	
Smoking status 0.0	Postmenopause	41 (48%)	83(52%)	
Integration 13 (15%) 20 (13%) Past 17 (20%) 60 (38%) Current 56 (65%) 78 (49%) Alcohol >12 drinks/wk 12 (14%) 13 (8%)	Smoking status		00(0270)	01
Past 17 (20%) 60 (38%) Current 56 (65%) 78 (49%) Acbond > 12 (14%) 13 (38%) .16 Injection drug use at index visit 1 (1%) 3 (2%) .67 Opiate use at index visit 2 (2%) .23 .000 Diabetes 2 (2%) .32 .000 Diabetes 24 (28%) .45 (28%) .92 Hepatitis C infection (RNA+) 19 (22%) .41 (26%) .50 Glicocorticoid use at index visit 16 (19%) .30 (19%) .44 Glicocorticoid use at index visit 16 (19%) .30 (19%) .44 Glicocorticoid use at index visit 16 (19%) .30 (19%) .44 Calcium supplement at index visit 16 (19%) .30 (19%) .44 Calcium supplement at index visit 11 (1%) .81 (23%) .000 Vitamin D supplement at index visit 10 (1%) .81 (23%) .004 Vitamin D supplement at index visit NA .57 NA .04 Auritervoral use .020 .45 .57 NA .04	Never	13 (15%)	20 (13%)	.01
Task D1 LLS 0) D0 LDS 0) Current 56 (65%) 78 (49%)	Paet	17 (20%)	60 (38%)	
Currents 30 (0.5.0) 7.0 (+0.5.0) Lachols > 12 drinks/wk 12 (14%) 13 (8%) .6 Injection drug use at index visit 1 (1%) 3 (2%) .67 Opiate use at index visit 8 (9%) 3 (2%) .53 Cocaine use at index visit 8 (9%) 3 (2%) .60 Diabetes 24 (28%) .45 (28%) .92 Hepatitis C infection (RNA+) 19 (22%) .41 (26%) .50 eGFR - 460 co/minutes 2 (2%) .8 (5%) .30 Prior fracture before index visit 16 (19%) .20 (19%) .94 Calcum supplement at index visit 11 (1%) 18 (12%) .004 Outarist Des at index visit 11 (13%) .36 (23%) .06 HV parameters	Current	56 (65%)	78 (49%)	
Incluin Sym. Incluin Sym.<	Alcohol > 12 drinkc/wk	12 (14%)	12 (9%)	16
Injection dog use at index visit 1 (1%) 3 (2%) 3.0 Opiate use at index visit 2 (2%) 3.3 Cocaine use at index visit 8 (9%) 3 (2%) 0.008 Diabetes 24 (22%) 45 (28%) .92 Hepatitis Cinfection (RNA+) 19 (22%) 41 (26%) .50 Opior fracture before index visit 16 (19%) .90 (19%) .94 Glucocorticoid use at index visit 15 (17%) .27 (17%) .94 Glucocorticoid use at index visit 11 (1%) 18 (12%) .004 Vitamin D supplement at index visit 11 (1%) 18 (12%) .004 Vitamin D supplement at index visit 11 (1%) 18 (12%) .004 Vitamin D supplement at index visit 11 (13%) .04 .04 Undertextable HIV RNA at index (<50 copies/mL)	Injection drug use at index visit	12 (1470)	2 (2%)	.10
Optime Use at index visit 2 (2.70) 2 (2.70) 3 (2.76) .005 Coccine use at index visit 8 (9%) 3 (2.76) .005 Diabetes 24 (22%) 45 (28%) .92 Hepatitis C infection (RNA+) 19 (22%) 41 (26%) .50 GEFR <50 commutes	Opiete upo et index visit	2 (29/)	3 (2 %) 2 (2 %)	.07
Oddating use at index visit 6 (9%) 3 (2%) 000 Diabetes 24 (28%) 45 (28%) .92 Hepatitis C infection (RNA+) 19 (22%) 41 (26%) .50 GEFR - 460 cc/minutes 2 (2%) 8 (5%) .30 Prior fracture before index visit 16 (19%) .30 (19%) .94 Glucocorticoid use at index visit 15 (17%) .27 (17%) .94 Calcium supplement at index visit 1 (1%) 18 (12%) .004 Vitamin D Supplement at index visit 11 (13%) .36 (23%) .06 Vitamin D Supplement at index visit 11 (13%) .36 (23%) .06 Vitamin D Supplement at index visit 11 (13%) .36 (23%) .04 Antiretroviral use		2 (2 70)	2 (2 %)	.03
Diabetes 24 (2%) 45 (2%) 34 (2%) 35 Hepatitis Cinfection (RNA+) 19 (2%) 41 (2%) 50 eGFR <60 co/minutes		8 (9%)	3 (2 %)	.008
Hepating C Infection (HNA+) 19 (22%) 41 (26%) .50 GFR -650 cor/innutes 2 (2%) 8 (5%) .30 Prior fracture before index visit 16 (19%) .30 (19%) .94 Glucocorticoid use at index visit 15 (17%) .27 (17%) .94 Calcium supplement at index visit 11 (13%) .36 (23%) .064 HIV parameters		24 (28%)	45 (28%)	.92
Beth Rob Communes 2 (2%) 8 (5%) .30 Oprior fracture before index visit 16 (19%) .30 (19%) .94 Glucocorticoid use at index visit 15 (17%) .27 (17%) .94 Calcium supplement at index visit 1 (1%) 18 (12%) .004 Vitamin D supplement at index visit 11 (13%) 36 (23%) .06 HV parameters	Hepatitis C Infection (RNA+)	19 (22%)	41 (26%)	.50
Phor fracture before index visit 16 (19%) 30 (19%) .94 Glucocorticoid use at index visit 15 (17%) 27 (17%) .94 Calcium supplement at index visit 1 (1%) 18 (12%) .004 Vitamin D supplement at index visit 11 (13%) 36 (23%) .06 IIV parameters	eGFR <60 cc/minutes	2 (2%)	8 (5%)	.30
Glucocorticoid use at index visit 16 (17%) 27 (17%)	Prior fracture before index visit	16 (19%)	30 (19%)	.94
Calcium supplement at index visit 1 (1%) 18 (12%) .004 Vitamin D supplement at index visit 11 (13%) 36 (23%) .06 IV parameters	Glucocorticoid use at index visit	15 (17%)	27 (17%)	.94
Vitamin D supplement at index visit 11 (13%) 36 (23%) .06 HIV parameters Current CD4 at index, cells/mm³ NA 576 ± 270 NA Undetectable HIV RNA at index (<50 copies/mL)	Calcium supplement at index visit	1 (1%)	18 (12%)	.004
HIV parameters Current CD4 at index, cells/mm³ NA 576 ± 270 NA Undetectable HIV RNA at index (<50 copies/mL)	Vitamin D supplement at index visit	11 (13%)	36 (23%)	.06
Current CD4 at index, cells/mm* NA 576 ± 270 NA Undetectable HIV RNA at index (<50 copies/mL)	HIV parameters			
Undetectable HIV RNA at index (<50 copies/mL) NA 103 (65%) NA Antiretroviral use X	Current CD4 at index, cells/mm ³	NA	576 ± 270	NA
Antirervoirial use NA 9.0 ± 5.7 NA Cumulative years of TDF NA 3.5 ± 3.6 NA Cumulative years of TDF NA 3.5 ± 3.6 NA TDF use at index visit NA 81 (51%) NA NRTI use at index visit NA 151 (96%) NA PI use at index visit NA 77 (49%) NA NNRTI use at index visit NA 73 (46%) NA INSTI use at index visit NA 73 (46%) NA INSTI use at index visit NA 73 (46%) NA INSTI use at index visit NA 73 (46%) NA INSTI use at index visit NA 73 (46%) NA INSTI use at index visit NA 73 (46%) NA INSTI use at index visit NA 73 (46%) NA INSTI use at index visit NA 73 (46%) NA INSTI use at index visit NA 73 (46%) NA INSTI use at index visit NA 73 (46%) NA 1	Undetectable HIV RNA at index (<50 copies/mL)	NA	103 (65%)	NA
Cumulative years of ART NA 9.0 ± 5.7 NA Cumulative years of TDF NA 3.5 ± 3.6 NA TDF use at index visit NA 81 (51%) NA NRTI use at index visit NA 151 (96%) NA NRTI use at index visit NA 77 (49%) NA NNRTI use at index visit NA 73 (46%) NA INSTI use at index visit NA 18 (12%) NA INSTI use at index visit NA 18 (12%) NA Biochemical markers Estradiol, pg/mL 79.8 ± 59.5 74.8 ± 65.4 .29 26-Hydroxyvitamin D, ng/mL 20.8 ± 11.1 25.4 ± 13.3 .009 CTX, median (IQR), ng/mL 0.25 (0.15, 0.39) 0.31 (0.22, 0.45) .01 P1NP, median (IQR), ng/mL 45.5 (34.3, 59.7) 53.3 (39.6, 75.5) .005 TNF- α , median (IQR), pg/mL 1.17 (0.87, 1.53) 1.27 (0.93, 1.71) .07 IL6, median (IQR), pg/mL 462 (370, 30 038) 662 (370, 30 000) .85 OPG, median (IQR), pmol/mL 6.36 (4.73, 8.48) 6.52	Antiretroviral use			
Cumulative years of TDFNA 3.5 ± 3.6 NATDF use at index visitNA $81 (51\%)$ NANRTI use at index visitNA $151 (96\%)$ NAPI use at index visitNA $77 (49\%)$ NANNRTI use at index visitNA $73 (46\%)$ NAINSTI use at index visitNA $73 (46\%)$ NAINSTI use at index visitNA $73 (46\%)$ NAINSTI use at index visitNA $73 (46\%)$ NABiochemical markers 79.8 ± 59.5 74.8 ± 65.4 29 25 -Hydroxyvitamin D, ng/mL 20.8 ± 11.1 25.4 ± 13.3 .009CTX, median (IQR), ng/mL $0.25 (0.15, 0.39)$ $0.31 (0.22, 0.45)$.01P1NP; median (IQR), ng/mL $0.25 (0.15, 0.39)$ $0.31 (0.22, 0.45)$.01P1NP; median (IQR), ng/mL $45.5 (34.3, 59.7)$ $53.3 (39.6, 75.5)$.005OPG, median (IQR), pg/mL $3.47 (2.01, 5.37)$ $2.70 (1.68, 4.85)$.18RANKL, median (IQR), pg/mL $462 (370, 30 038)$ $662 (370, 30 000)$.85OPG, median (IQR), pg/mL $6.36 (4.73, 8.48)$ $6.52 (4.77, 8.87)$.57Body composition by DXA 712 ± 11.3 31.1 ± 11.4 .89Trunk fat, kg 712 ± 6.6 43.0 ± 6.2 .90Total body lean mass, kg 42.9 ± 5.6 43.0 ± 6.2 .90Lower extremity lean mass, kg 14.5 ± 2.1 14.3 ± 2.4 .65	Cumulative years of ART	NA	9.0 ± 5.7	NA
TDF use at index visitNA $81 (51\%)$ NANRTI use at index visitNA151 (96%)NAPl use at index visitNA77 (49%)NANNRTI use at index visitNA73 (46%)NAINSTI use at index visitNA18 (12%)NABiochemical markers812%)NAEstradiol, pg/mL79.8 ± 59.574.8 ± 65.4.2925-Hydroxyvitamin D, ng/mL20.8 ± 11.125.4 ± 13.3.009CTX, median (IQR), ng/mL0.25 (0.15, 0.39)0.31 (0.22, 0.45).01P1NP, median (IQR), ng/mL45.5 (34.3, 59.7)53.3 (39.6, 75.5).005TNF- α , median (IQR), pg/mL1.17 (0.87, 1.53)1.27 (0.93, 1.71).07IL-6, median (IQR), pg/mL3.47 (2.01, 5.37)2.70 (1.68, 4.85).18RANKL, median (IQR), pm/mL6.36 (4.73, 8.48)6.52 (4.77, 8.87).57Body composition by DXA31.2 ± 11.331.1 ± 11.4.89Trunk fat, kg17.3 ± 6.616.9 ± 6.5.45Total body fat, kg12.2 ± 1.62.43.0 ± 6.2.90Lower extremity lean mass, kg42.9 ± 5.643.0 ± 6.2.90Lower extremity lean mass, kg14.5 ± 2.114.3 ± 2.4.65	Cumulative years of TDF	NA	3.5 ± 3.6	NA
NRTI use at index visitNA151 (96%)NAPl use at index visitNA77 (49%)NANNRTI use at index visitNA73 (46%)NAINSTI use at index visitNA73 (46%)NAINSTI use at index visitNA18 (12%)NABiochemical markers888Estradiol, pg/mL79.8 ± 59.574.8 ± 65.42.925-Hydroxyvitamin D, ng/mL20.8 ± 11.125.4 ± 13.3.009CTX, median (IQR), ng/mL0.25 (0.15, 0.39)0.31 (0.22, 0.45).01P1NP, median (IQR), ng/mL45.5 (34.3, 59.7)53.3 (39.6, 75.5).005TNF- α , median (IQR), pg/mL1.17 (0.87, 1.53)1.27 (0.93, 1.71).07IL-6, median (IQR), pg/mL3.47 (2.01, 5.37)2.70 (1.68, 4.85).18RANKL, median (IQR), pg/mL6.36 (4.73, 8.48)6.52 (4.77, 8.7).57Body composition by DXA31.2 ± 11.331.1 ± 11.4.89Trunk fat, kg17.2 ± 6.616.9 ± 6.5.45Total body fat, kg31.2 ± 11.331.1 ± 11.4.89Trunk fat, kg17.3 ± 6.616.9 ± 6.5.45Total body lean mass, kg42.9 ± 5.643.0 ± 6.2.90Lower extremity lean mass, kg14.5 ± 2.114.3 ± 2.4.65	TDF use at index visit	NA	81 (51%)	NA
Pl use at index visit NA 77 (49%) NA NNRTI use at index visit NA 73 (46%) NA INSTI use at index visit NA 73 (46%) NA Biochemical markers 8 18 (12%) NA Biochemical markers 79.8 ± 59.5 74.8 ± 65.4 29 25-Hydroxyvitamin D, ng/mL 20.8 ± 11.1 25.4 ± 13.3 .009 CTX, median (IQR), ng/mL 0.25 (0.15, 0.39) 0.31 (0.22, 0.45) .01 P1NP, median (IQR), ng/mL 45.5 (34.3, 59.7) 53.3 (39.6, 75.5) .005 TNF-α, median (IQR), pg/mL 1.17 (0.87, 1.53) 1.27 (0.93, 1.71) .07 IL6, median (IQR), pg/mL 3.47 (2.01, 5.37) 2.70 (1.68, 4.85) .18 RANKL, median (IQR), pg/mL 62 (370, 30 038) 662 (370, 30 000) .85 OPG, median (IQR), pg/mL 63.6 (4.73, 8.48) 6.52 (4.77, 8.87) .57 Body composition by DXA 57 56 .45 .45 Total body fat, kg 31.2 ± 11.3 31.1 ± 11.4 .89 Trunk fat, kg 17.3 ± 6.6	NRTI use at index visit	NA	151 (96%)	NA
NNRTI use at index visit NA 73 (46%) NA INSTI use at index visit NA 18 (12%) NA Biochemical markers Estradiol, pg/mL 79.8 ± 59.5 74.8 ± 65.4 29 25-Hydroxyvitamin D, ng/mL 20.8 ± 11.1 25.4 ± 13.3 .009 CTX, median (IQR), ng/mL 0.25 (0.15, 0.39) 0.31 (0.22, 0.45) .01 P1NP, median (IQR), ng/mL 45.5 (34.3, 59.7) 53.3 (39.6, 75.5) .005 TNF-α, median (IQR), pg/mL 1.17 (0.87, 1.53) 1.27 (0.93, 1.71) .07 IL6, median (IQR), pg/mL 3.47 (2.01, 5.37) 2.70 (1.68, 4.85) .18 RANKL, median (IQR), pg/mL 462 (370, 30 038) 662 (370, 30 000) .85 OPG, median (IQR), pg/mL 6.52 (4.77, 8.87) .57 Body composition by DXA .31.2 ± 11.3 31.1 ± 11.4 .89 Trunk fat, kg 17.3 ± 6.6 16.9 ± 6.5 .45 Total body lean mass, kg 42.9 ± 5.6 43.0 ± 6.2 .90 Lower extremity lean mass, kg 14.5 ± 2.1 14.3 ± 2.4 .65	PI use at index visit	NA	77 (49%)	NA
INSTI use at index visit NA 18 (12%) NA Biochemical markers Estradiol, pg/mL 79.8 ± 59.5 74.8 ± 65.4 .29 25-Hydroxyvitamin D, ng/mL 20.8 ± 11.1 25.4 ± 13.3 .009 CTX, median (IQR), ng/mL 0.25 (0.15, 0.39) 0.31 (0.22, 0.45) .01 P1NP, median (IQR), ng/mL 45.5 (34.3, 59.7) 53.3 (39.6, 75.5) .005 TNF-α, median (IQR), pg/mL 1.17 (0.87, 1.53) 1.27 (0.93, 1.71) .07 IL6, median (IQR), pg/mL 3.47 (2.01, 5.37) 2.70 (1.68, 4.85) .18 RANKL, median (IQR), pg/mL 6.36 (4.73, 8.48) 6.52 (4.77, 8.87) .57 Body composition by DXA Total body fat, kg 31.2 ± 11.3 31.1 ± 11.4 .89 Trunk fat, kg 173 ± 6.6 16.9 ± 6.5 .45 Total body lean mass, kg 42.9 ± 5.6 43.0 ± 6.2 .90 Lower extremity lean mass, kg 14.5 ± 2.1 14.3 ± 2.4 .65	NNRTI use at index visit	NA	73 (46%)	NA
Biochemical markers 79.8 ± 59.5 74.8 ± 65.4 .29 25-Hydroxyvitamin D, ng/mL 20.8 ± 11.1 25.4 ± 13.3 .009 CTX, median (IQR), ng/mL 0.25 (0.15, 0.39) 0.31 (0.22, 0.45) .01 P1NP, median (IQR), ng/mL 45.5 (34.3, 59.7) 53.3 (39.6, 75.5) .005 TNF-α, median (IQR), pg/mL 1.17 (0.87, 1.53) 1.27 (0.93, 1.71) .07 ILe6, median (IQR), pg/mL 3.47 (2.01, 5.37) 2.70 (1.68, 4.85) .18 RANKL, median (IQR), pg/mL 6.36 (4.73, 8.48) 6.52 (4.77, 8.87) .57 Body composition by DXA Total body fat, kg 31.2 ± 11.3 31.1 ± 11.4 .89 Trunk fat, kg 173 ± 6.6 16.9 ± 6.5 .45 Lower extremity lean mass, kg 42.9 ± 5.6 43.0 ± 6.2 .90 Lower extremity lean mass, kg 14.5 ± 2.1 14.3 ± 2.4 .65	INSTI use at index visit	NA	18 (12%)	NA
Estradiol, pg/mL 79.8 ± 59.5 74.8 ± 65.4 .2925-Hydroxyvitamin D, ng/mL 20.8 ± 11.1 25.4 ± 13.3 .009CTX, median (IQR), ng/mL $0.25 (0.15, 0.39)$ $0.31 (0.22, 0.45)$.01P1NP, median (IQR), ng/mL $45.5 (34.3, 59.7)$ $53.3 (39.6, 75.5)$.005TNF- α , median (IQR), pg/mL $1.17 (0.87, 1.53)$ $1.27 (0.93, 1.71)$.07ILe6, median (IQR), pg/mL $3.47 (2.01, 5.37)$ $2.70 (1.68, 4.85)$.18RANKL, median (IQR), pg/mL $462 (370, 30 038)$ $662 (370, 30 000)$.85OPG, median (IQR), pm/mL $6.36 (4.73, 8.48)$ $6.52 (4.77, 8.87)$.57Body composition by DXA 71.3 ± 6.6 16.9 ± 6.5 .45Total body fat, kg 17.3 ± 6.6 16.9 ± 6.5 .45Total body lean mass, kg 42.9 ± 5.6 43.0 ± 6.2 .90Lower extremity lean mass, kg 14.5 ± 2.1 14.3 ± 2.4 .65	Biochemical markers			
25-Hydroxyvitamin D, ng/mL 20.8 ± 11.1 25.4 ± 13.3 .009CTX, median (IQR), ng/mL $0.25 (0.15, 0.39)$ $0.31 (0.22, 0.45)$.01P1NP, median (IQR), ng/mL $45.5 (34.3, 59.7)$ $53.3 (39.6, 75.5)$.005TNF- α , median (IQR), pg/mL $1.17 (0.87, 1.53)$ $1.27 (0.93, 1.71)$.07IL-6, median (IQR), pg/mL $3.47 (2.01, 5.37)$ $2.70 (1.68, 4.85)$.18RANKL, median (IQR), pg/mL $462 (370, 30 038)$ $662 (370, 30 000)$.85OPG, median (IQR), pm/mL $6.36 (4.73, 8.48)$ $6.52 (4.77, 8.87)$.57Body composition by DXATotal body fat, kg 31.2 ± 11.3 31.1 ± 11.4 .89Trunk fat, kg 17.3 ± 6.6 16.9 ± 6.5 .45Total body lean mass, kg 42.9 ± 5.6 43.0 ± 6.2 .90Lower extremity lean mass, kg 14.5 ± 2.1 14.3 ± 2.4 .65	Estradiol, pg/mL	79.8 ± 59.5	74.8 ± 65.4	.29
CTX, median (IQR), ng/mL $0.25 (0.15, 0.39)$ $0.31 (0.22, 0.45)$.01P1NP, median (IQR), ng/mL $45.5 (34.3, 59.7)$ $53.3 (39.6, 75.5)$.005TNF- α , median (IQR), pg/mL $1.17 (0.87, 1.53)$ $1.27 (0.93, 1.71)$.07IL-6, median (IQR), pg/mL $3.47 (2.01, 5.37)$ $2.70 (1.68, 4.85)$.18RANKL, median (IQR), pg/mL $462 (370, 30 038)$ $662 (370, 30 000)$.85OPG, median (IQR), pm/mL $6.36 (4.73, 8.48)$ $6.52 (4.77, 8.87)$.57Body composition by DXATotal body fat, kg 31.2 ± 11.3 31.1 ± 11.4 .89Trunk fat, kg 17.3 ± 6.6 16.9 ± 6.5 .45Total body lean mass, kg 42.9 ± 5.6 43.0 ± 6.2 .90Lower extremity lean mass, kg 14.5 ± 2.1 14.3 ± 2.4 .65	25-Hydroxyvitamin D, ng/mL	20.8 ± 11.1	25.4 ± 13.3	.009
P1NP, median (IQR), ng/mL $45.5 (34.3, 59.7)$ $53.3 (39.6, 75.5)$.005TNF- α , median (IQR), pg/mL1.17 (0.87, 1.53)1.27 (0.93, 1.71).07IL-6, median (IQR), pg/mL3.47 (2.01, 5.37)2.70 (1.68, 4.85).18RANKL, median (IQR), pg/mL462 (370, 30 038)662 (370, 30 000).85OPG, median (IQR), pm/mL6.36 (4.73, 8.48)6.52 (4.77, 8.87).57Body composition by DXATotal body fat, kg 31.2 ± 11.3 31.1 ± 11.4 .89Trunk fat, kg17.3 \pm 6.616.9 \pm 6.5.45Total body lean mass, kg42.9 \pm 5.6 43.0 ± 6.2 .90Lower extremity lean mass, kg14.5 \pm 2.114.3 \pm 2.4.65	CTX, median (IQR), ng/mL	0.25 (0.15, 0.39)	0.31 (0.22, 0.45)	.01
TNF- α , median (IQR), pg/mL1.17 (0.87, 1.53)1.27 (0.93, 1.71).07IL-6, median (IQR), pg/mL3.47 (2.01, 5.37)2.70 (1.68, 4.85).18RANKL, median (IQR), pg/mL462 (370, 30 038)662 (370, 30 000).85OPG, median (IQR), pm/mL6.36 (4.73, 8.48)6.52 (4.77, 8.87).57Body composition by DXATotal body fat, kg 31.2 ± 11.3 31.1 ± 11.4 .89Trunk fat, kg17.3 \pm 6.6 16.9 ± 6.5 .45Total body lean mass, kg42.9 \pm 5.6 43.0 ± 6.2 .90Lower extremity lean mass, kg14.5 \pm 2.1 14.3 ± 2.4 .65	P1NP, median (IQR), ng/mL	45.5 (34.3, 59.7)	53.3 (39.6, 75.5)	.005
ILe6, median (IQR), pg/mL 3.47 (2.01, 5.37) 2.70 (1.68, 4.85) .18 RANKL, median (IQR), pg/mL 462 (370, 30 038) 662 (370, 30 000) .85 OPG, median (IQR), pm//mL 6.36 (4.73, 8.48) 6.52 (4.77, 8.87) .57 Body composition by DXA Total body fat, kg 31.2 ± 11.3 31.1 ± 11.4 .89 Trunk fat, kg 17.3 ± 6.6 16.9 ± 6.5 .45 Total body lean mass, kg 42.9 ± 5.6 43.0 ± 6.2 .90 Lower extremity lean mass, kg 14.5 ± 2.1 14.3 ± 2.4 .65	TNF-α, median (IQR), pg/mL	1.17 (0.87, 1.53)	1.27 (0.93, 1.71)	.07
RANKL, median (IQR), pg/mL 462 (370, 30 038) 662 (370, 30 000) .85 OPG, median (IQR), pmol/mL 6.36 (4.73, 8.48) 6.52 (4.77, 8.87) .57 Body composition by DXA Total body fat, kg 31.2 ± 11.3 31.1 ± 11.4 .89 Trunk fat, kg 17.3 ± 6.6 16.9 ± 6.5 .45 Total body lean mass, kg 42.9 ± 5.6 43.0 ± 6.2 .90 Lower extremity lean mass, kg 14.5 ± 2.1 14.3 ± 2.4 .65	IL-6, median (IQR), pg/mL	3.47 (2.01, 5.37)	2.70 (1.68, 4.85)	.18
OPG, median (IQR), pmol/mL 6.36 (4.73, 8.48) 6.52 (4.77, 8.87) .57 Body composition by DXA Total body fat, kg 31.2 ± 11.3 31.1 ± 11.4 .89 Trunk fat, kg 17.3 ± 6.6 16.9 ± 6.5 .45 Total body lean mass, kg 42.9 ± 5.6 43.0 ± 6.2 .90 Lower extremity lean mass, kg 14.5 ± 2.1 14.3 ± 2.4 .65	RANKL, median (IQR), pg/mL	462 (370, 30 038)	662 (370, 30 000)	.85
Body composition by DXA 31.2 ± 11.3 31.1 ± 11.4 .89 Total body fat, kg 17.3 ± 6.6 16.9 ± 6.5 .45 Total body lean mass, kg 42.9 ± 5.6 43.0 ± 6.2 .90 Lower extremity lean mass, kg 14.5 ± 2.1 14.3 ± 2.4 .65	OPG, median (IQR), pmol/mL	6.36 (4.73, 8.48)	6.52 (4.77, 8.87)	.57
Total body fat, kg 31.2 ± 11.3 31.1 ± 11.4 .89 Trunk fat, kg 173 ± 6.6 16.9 ± 6.5 .45 Total body lean mass, kg 42.9 ± 5.6 43.0 ± 6.2 .90 Lower extremity lean mass, kg 14.5 ± 2.1 14.3 ± 2.4 .65	Body composition by DXA			
Trunk fat, kg 17.3 ± 6.6 16.9 ± 6.5 .45 Total body lean mass, kg 42.9 ± 5.6 43.0 ± 6.2 .90 Lower extremity lean mass, kg 14.5 ± 2.1 14.3 ± 2.4 .65	Total body fat, kg	31.2 ± 11.3	31.1 ± 11.4	.89
Total body lean mass, kg 42.9 ± 5.6 43.0 ± 6.2 .90 Lower extremity lean mass, kg 14.5 ± 2.1 14.3 ± 2.4 .65	Trunk fat, kg	17.3 ± 6.6	16.9 + 6.5	.45
Lower extremity lean mass, kg 14.5 ± 2.1 14.3 ± 2.4 .65	Total body lean mass, kg	42,9 + 5.6	43.0 + 6.2	90
	Lower extremity lean mass, kg	14.5 ± 2.1	14.3 ± 2.4	.65

Data are presented as mean ± SD or n (%) unless otherwise noted. Abbreviations: AMH, anti-Müllerian hormone; ART, antiretroviral therapy; BMI, body mass index; CTX, C-terminal telopeptide of type 1 collagen; DXA, dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; IL-6, interleukin-6; INSTI, integrase strand transfer inhibitor; IQR, interquartile range; NA, not applicable; NNRTI, non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor; NRTI, nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor; OPG, osteoprotegerin; PI, protease inhibitor; PINP; procollagen type 1 N propeptide; RANKL, receptor activator of nuclear factor–κB ligand; TDF; tenofovir; TNF-α, tumor necrosis factor α; WIHS, Women's Interagency HIV Study.

Table 2. Bone Mineral Density Among Women With and Without HIV

DXA Parameters	HIV- (n = 86)	HIV+ (n = 158)	Р
Areal BMD, mean ± SD, g/cm ²			
Lumbar spine	1.30 ± 0.21	1.20 ± 0.19	.001
Femoral neck	1.15 ± 0.18	1.09 ± 0.17	.04
Total hip	1.09 ± 0.17	1.01 ± 0.15	.003
1/3 Distal radius	0.84 ± 0.11	0.81 ± 0.14	.20
Ultradistal radius	0.46 ± 0.07	0.42 ± 0.09	.004
Areal BMDT scores (mean ± SD)			
Lumbar spine	0.94 ± 1.76	0.16 ± 1.58	.002
Femoral neck	0.08 ± 1.32	-0.40 ± 0.99	.009
Total hip	0.62 ± 1.32	0.05 ± 1.20	.004
1/3 Distal radius	0.20 ± 0.92	-0.21 ± 1.24	.006
Ultradistal radius	0.46 ± 1.46	-0.46 ± 1.85	.0004
Osteoporosis (T score < -2.5), n (%)			
Lumbar spine	2 (2.4%)	7 (4.6%)	.50
Femoral neck	0%	2 (1.3%)	.55
Total hip	0%	2 (1.3%)	.54
1/3 Distal radius	1 (1.4%)	7 (4.7%)	.27
Ultradistal radius	0%	20 (13.5%)	.0003
Low BMD (T score < -1.0), ^a n (%)			
Lumbar spine	7 (8.5%)	35 (22.9%)	.007
Femoral neck	12 (14.8%)	45 (29.4%)	.02
Total hip	7 (8.6%)	31 (20.4%)	.03
1/3 Distal radius	7 (9.5%)	33 (22.3%)	.025
Ultradistal radius	11 (14.9%)	58 (39.2%)	.0002
CT parameters: volumetric BMD, mean ± SD, g/cm ³			
Lumbar spine (first vertebral body)	173.2 ± 46.1	157.2 ± 41.8	.02
Lumbar spine (second vertebral body)	168.7 ± 43.6	155.3 ± 41.9	.03
Total hip	355.9 ± 58.9	331.1 ± 61.9	.04

Abbreviations: BMD, bone mineral density; CT, computed tomography; DXA, dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry; HIV, human immunodeficiency virus.

^aReflects both osteopenia and osteoporosis.





Table 3. Factors Associated With Areal Bone Mineral Density Among Women With and Without HIV Measured by Dual-Energy X-ray Absorptiometry

	Lumbar Spine Coef- ficient (95% Cl)	Total Hip Coefficient (95% Cl)	Femoral Neck Coef- ficient (95% CI)	1/3 Distal Radius Coefficient (95% Cl)	Ultradistal Radius Co- efficient (95% CI)
HIV seropos- itive	090 ^a (142,037)	071ª (111,031)	058 ^b (104,012)	016 (052, .020)	031 ^b (053,009)
Menopausal status ^c	087 ^b (140,034)	037 (078, .003)	020 (066, .027)	029 (065, .007)	029 ^d (052,007)
BMI (per 5 kg/m²)	.020 (002, .041)	.040 ^e (.024, .056)	.029 ^b (.010, .048)	.025 ^b (.010, .040)	.024 ^e (.014, .033)
Race (Ref: Black)					
White	059 (131, .013)	042 (096, .011)	054 (117, .008)	042 (091, .006)	024 (054, .006)
Other	091 ^b (159,023)	027 (080, .026)	023 (083, .038)	020 (065, .026)	.006 (022, .034)
Hepatitis C virus	015 ^b (072, .043)	007 (051, .038)	.016 (035, .068)	018 (057, .022)	014 (038, .011)

All models are additionally adjusted for WIHS site, heavy alcohol use, cigarette smoking, and diabetes mellitus. Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval; HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; Ref, reference; WIHS, Women's Interagency HIV Study.

^cMenopausal status defined dichotomously (premenopause and early perimenopause vs late perimenopause and postmenopause).

 $^{a}P < .001$

^bP < .01.

 $^{d}P < .05$.

°P < .05.

between groups by HIV and menopausal status (Figure 1). There was no statistically significant interaction between menopausal status and HIV at any aBMD site, although the study was not adequately powered to detect an interaction between menopause and HIV.

Determinants of Volumetric Bone Mineral Density Among Women With and Without HIV

In multivariable models of vBMD, after adjustment for race, BMI, WIHS site, smoking, alcohol use, diabetes, and HCV status, HIV serostatus remained an independent predictor of reduced vBMD at L1, L2, and total hip (all P < .05) (Table 4). Menopause status was significantly associated with lower lumbar spine vBMD at both L1 and L2 but was not independently statistically associated with total hip vBMD. Other factors independently associated with reduced vBMD in multivariable models included race (at the total hip) and HCV (at L1 lumbar spine); BMI was not independently associated with vBMD.

Determinants of Areal Bone Mineral Density Among Women With HIV Only

In univariate analyses, among the subset of WWH there were no significant associations between aBMD at any of 5 sites (lumbar spine, total hip, femoral neck, 1/3 distal radius, and ultradistal radius) with current or nadir CD4 count, current HIV plasma RNA level, prior AIDS-defining illness, or ART class (either current or cumulative use). In univariate models, only cumulative TDF use was significantly associated with reduced aBMD (P = .03 at the 1/3 distal radius and ultradistal radius) and approached significance at the lumbar spine (P = .059). In multivariable models adjusting for race/ethnicity, menopausal status, BMI, smoking status, heavy alcohol use, HCV seropositivity, and diabetes, cumulative TDF use was no longer statistically associated with aBMD at any of the 5 sites (data not shown).

DISCUSSION

Women with HIV had lower BMD at the spine, hip, and radius when compared with women without HIV, with the greatest between-group difference observed at the spine. HIV seropositivity and postmenopausal status had independent negative effects on BMD, particularly at the spine and the total hip where

 Table
 4.
 Factors
 Associated
 with
 Volumetric
 Bone
 Mineral
 Density

 Measured
 by
 Computed
 Tomography
 Among
 Women
 With and
 Without HIV

	L1 Lumbar Spine Coef-	L2 Lumbar Spine Co-	Total Hip Coeffi-
	ficient (95% CI)	efficient (95% CI)	cient (95% Cl)
HIV seropos-	-16.92 (-30.99,	–13.91 (–27.71,	-30.95 (-49.52,
itive	-2.857) ^a	–.122) ^a	-12.38) ^b
Menopausal	-27.46 (-42.41,	-26.89 (-41.55,	–18.49 (–38.38,
status ^c	-12.51) ^d	-12.24) ^d	1.399)
BMI (per 5 kg/	1.747 (-3.774, 7.269)	2.804 (–2.610,	4.482 (–2.935,
m ²)		8.218)	11.899)
Race (Ref: Black)			
White	-16.49 (-34.78, 1.793)	-16.01(-33.94, 1.920)	-24.38 (-48.42, 331) ^a
Other	-3.711 (-20.20,	-4.875 (-21.04,	6.251 (–15.71,
	12.778)	11.293)	28.212)
Hepatitis C	-15.44 (-30.46,	-12.99 (-27.72,	-9.504 (-29.30,
virus	415) ^a	1.740)	10.288)

All models are additionally adjusted for WIHS site, heavy alcohol use, cigarette smoking, and diabetes mellitus. Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval; HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; Ref, reference; WIHS, Women's Interagency HIV Study.

^aP < .05.

^b P < .01.

 $^{\rm c}$ Menopausal status defined dichotomously (premenopause and early perimenopause vs late perimenopause and postmenopause).

 $^{\rm d}P < .001.$

these associations remained statistically significant after adjustment for traditional risk factors for osteoporosis including race, BMI, cigarette smoking, alcohol use, and HCV infection. While bone turnover markers were higher in WWH than in those without HIV, they were not associated with BMD, and similarly, pro-resorptive inflammatory cytokines were not associated with BMD. Although not statistically significant, deficits in BMD by menopausal status appear to be greater between women with HIV than in those without HIV, such that the difference in BMD between late peri-/postmenopausal women and pre-/early perimenopasual women is nonsignificantly wider for WWH, particularly at the distal radius and spine; a larger sample size would be needed to determine whether these differences truly exist. In our analyses, these differences cannot be attributed to traditional osteoporosis risk factors or increased inflammatory cytokines.

The between-serostatus-group differences in BMD observed in this study are generally consistent with, although perhaps slightly higher than, those observed in other studies of older persons living with HIV (PLWH). The 5-9% unadjusted difference in BMD by HIV serostatus in our analyses is slightly greater than the 2-5% difference reported in studies of middle-age women with and without HIV (mean ages of 41 and 44 years, respectively) by Arnsten [18] and Dolan [19], but is similar to the 5.9% lower total hip BMD in a New York City cohort of postmenopausal WWH [10]. However, among WWH with a median age of 50 years, we found a 23% prevalence of low BMD at the lumbar spine, which is substantially less than reports among older PLWH (67%; median age of 61) [20] or postmenopausal WWH (78%; median age of 57) [10]. These wide variations in low BMD prevalence may be due to important differences in the composition of participants within each study with respect to characteristics that affect BMD, such as age, sex, menopausal status, and race.

HIV and menopausal status appeared to be additive in their association with BMD in unadjusted models. In multivariate analysis, HIV and menopausal status remained independent predictors of BMD at the lumbar spine, total hip, and ultradistal radius. The association of HIV was similar for both lumbar spine and total hip BMD, while the association of menopausal status was much greater at the lumbar spine than total hip. Because lumbar spine is predominantly composed of the more metabolically active trabecular bone, whereas total hip BMD reflects both cortical and trabecular bone, BMD changes at the lumbar spine are usually greater with the menopausal transition than at sites that contain cortical bone [21, 22].

Lower body weight is a powerful determinant of BMD. In their meta-analysis, Bolland et al [23] argue that published differences in BMD between persons with and without HIV are largely related to lower body weight of PLWH and are clinically insignificant (2.2–2.8%) after adjustment for group differences in weight. In our study, BMD remained lower in WWH than in those without HIV after adjusting for race/ethnicity and BMI, although it is noteworthy that most participants had an overweight or obese BMI, and few had a low body weight.

Surprisingly, serum levels of inflammatory cytokines known to induce bone resorption (TNF-a, IL-6, RANKL, and RANKL:OPG) were not associated with low BMD in this study. The OPG/RANK/RANKL system is the dominant, final mediator of osteoclastogenesis [24], with the rate of bone resorption determined by the relative amounts of RANKL and OPG produced by osteoblasts [25]. Systemic hormones such as estrogen and locally secreted bone-active cytokines including TNF-a and IL-6 that increase bone resorption do so, in part, by increasing RANKL expression by bone marrow stromal cells and osteoblasts. In a previous study of postmenopausal women, TNF-a levels were higher among WWH and correlated with low BMD [10]. In our study, serum TNF-a and IL-6 levels were not higher in WWH than in women without HIV, likely reflecting effective ART use with immune reconstitution among WWH. Additionally, serum cytokine levels may not reflect cellular activation or proinflammatory cytokine level in the bone microenvironment.

The strengths of this study include its focus on menopausal WWH, a growing population at high risk of bone loss and fractures, with a well-matched uninfected comparison group. Limitations include the relatively modest sample size, which, although large enough to permit group comparisons between women with and without HIV, is too small to conduct detailed explorations of all determinants of BMD.

In conclusion, low BMD was more common in WWH than in women without HIV. Despite adjusting for BMI and traditional osteoporosis risk factors, HIV infection and menopausal status were each independently associated with lower BMD, and had additive effects on lumbar spine and total hip BMD. However, it is worth noting that, while low BMD was more common among WWH compared with women without HIV, the prevalence of osteoporosis, the most severe form of reduced BMD, did not differ by HIV status. Additional research is needed to better understand mechanisms by which HIV may impact BMD with age and menopausal transition in women, and to develop strategies to mitigate osteoporosis and fracture risk in this growing population.

Notes

Disclaimer. The contents of this publication are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health.

Financial support. The WIHS is funded primarily by the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID), with additional co-funding from the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (NICHD), the National Cancer Institute (NCI), the National Institute on Drug Abuse (NIDA), and the National Institute on Mental Health (NIMH). The MSK study was additionally supported by NIAID (grant number AI059884; to M. T. Y.).

Data in this manuscript were collected by 5 sites of the Women's Interagency HIV Study (WIHS). WIHS (Principal Investigators): Bronx

WIHS (Kathryn Anastos and Anjali Sharma) (U01-AI-035004); Brooklyn WIHS (Howard Minkoff and Deborah Gustafson) (U01-AI-031834); Chicago WIHS (Mardge Cohen and Audrey French) (U01-AI-034993); Metropolitan Washington WIHS (Seble Kassaye) (U01-AI-034994); Connie Wofsy Women's HIV Study, Northern California (Ruth Greenblatt, Bradley Aouizerat, and Phyllis Tien) (U01-AI-034989); WIHS Data Management and Analysis Center (Stephen Gange and Elizabeth Golub) (U01-AI-042590).

Potential conflicts of interest. P. C. T. reports grants or contracts paid to their institution from Merck and Gilead, outside of the submitted work. A. S. has received funding from Gilead Sciences, Inc. All other authors report no potential conflicts. All authors have submitted the ICMJE Form for Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest. Conflicts that the editors consider relevant to the content of the manuscript have been disclosed.

References

- Rapuri PB, Gallagher JC, Haynatzki G. Endogenous levels of serum estradiol and sex hormone binding globulin determine bone mineral density, bone remodeling, the rate of bone loss, and response to treatment with estrogen in elderly women. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2004; 89:4954–62.
- Cummings SR, Browner WS, Bauer D, et al; Study of Osteoporotic Fractures Research Group. Endogenous hormones and the risk of hip and vertebral fractures among older women. N Engl J Med 1998; 339:733–8.
- D'Amelio P, Grimaldi A, Di Bella S, et al. Estrogen deficiency increases osteoclastogenesis up-regulating T cells activity: a key mechanism in osteoporosis. Bone 2008; 43:92–100.
- 4. Pacifici R. T cells: critical bone regulators in health and disease. Bone 2010; 47:461-71.
- Weitzmann MN, Pacifici R. T cells: unexpected players in the bone loss induced by estrogen deficiency and in basal bone homeostasis. Ann N Y Acad Sci 2007; 1116:360–75.
- Gazzola L, Bellistri GM, Tincati C, et al. Association between peripheral T-lymphocyte activation and impaired bone mineral density in HIV-infected patients. J Transl Med 2013; 11:51.
- 7. Titanji K, Vunnava A, Foster A, et al. T-cell receptor activator of nuclear factor- κ B ligand/osteoprotegerin imbalance is associated with HIV-induced bone loss in patients with higher CD4+ T-cell counts. AIDS **2018**; 32:885–94.
- Yin MT, Lu D, Cremers S, et al. Short-term bone loss in HIV-infected premenopausal women. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 2010; 53:202–8.

- Yin MT, McMahon DJ, Ferris DC, et al. Bone loss in HIV+ postmenopausal women. Presented at: American Society for Bone and Mineral Research 31st Annual Meeting; Denver, Colorado; September 2009.
- Yin MT, McMahon DJ, Ferris DC, et al. Low bone mass and high bone turnover in postmenopausal human immunodeficiency virus-infected women. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2010; 95:620–9.
- Pacifici R. Estrogen, cytokines, and pathogenesis of postmenopausal osteoporosis. J Bone Miner Res 1996; 11:1043–51.
- Adimora AA, Ramirez C, Benning L, et al. Cohort profile: the Women's Interagency HIV Study (WIHS). Int J Epidemiol 2018; 47:393–394i.
- Ross RD, Sharma A, Shi Q, et al. Circulating sclerostin is associated with bone mineral density independent of HIV-serostatus. Bone Rep 2020; 12:100279.
- Finkelstein JS, Brockwell SE, Mehta V, et al. Bone mineral density changes during the menopause transition in a multiethnic cohort of women. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2008; 93:861–8.
- Kanis JA. Diagnosis of osteoporosis and assessment of fracture risk. Lancet 2002; 359:1929–36.
- Javed F, Yu W, Thornton J, Colt E. Effect of fat on measurement of bone mineral density. Int J Body Compos Res 2009; 7:37–40.
- Poole KE, Mayhew PM, Rose CM, et al. Changing structure of the femoral neck across the adult female lifespan. J Bone Miner Res 2010; 25:482–91.
- Arnsten JH, Freeman R, Howard AA, Floris-Moore M, Santoro N, Schoenbaum EE. HIV infection and bone mineral density in middle-aged women. Clin Infect Dis 2006; 42:1014–20.
- Dolan SE, Huang JS, Killilea KM, Sullivan MP, Aliabadi N, Grinspoon S. Reduced bone density in HIV-infected women. AIDS 2004; 18:475–83.
- Jones S, Restrepo D, Kasowitz A, et al. Risk factors for decreased bone density and effects of HIV on bone in the elderly. Osteoporos Int 2008; 19:913–8.
- Greendale GA, Sowers M, Han W, et al. Bone mineral density loss in relation to the final menstrual period in a multiethnic cohort: results from the Study of Women's Health Across the Nation (SWAN). J Bone Miner Res 2012; 27:111–8.
- Riggs BL, Melton LJ 3rd, Robb RA, et al. Population-based study of age and sex differences in bone volumetric density, size, geometry, and structure at different skeletal sites. J Bone Miner Res 2004; 19:1945–54.
- Bolland MJ, Grey AB, Gamble GD, Reid IR. CLINICAL review: low body weight mediates the relationship between HIV infection and low bone mineral density: a meta-analysis. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2007; 92:4522–8.
- Khosla S. Minireview: the OPG/RANKL/RANK system. Endocrinology 2001; 142:5050–5.
- Lacey DL, Timms E, Tan HL, et al. Osteoprotegerin ligand is a cytokine that regulates osteoclast differentiation and activation. Cell 1998; 93:165–76.