



# The Brazilian Journal of INFECTIOUS DISEASES

[www.elsevier.com/locate/bjid](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/bjid)



## Original Article

# Phenotypic and molecular characterization of resistance to macrolides, lincosamides and type B streptogramin of clinical isolates of *Staphylococcus* spp. of a university hospital in Recife, Pernambuco, Brazil



Jussyêgles Niedja da Paz Pereira \*, Marcelle Aquino Rabelo, Jailton Lobo da Costa Lima, Armando Monteiro Bezerra Neto, Ana Catarina de Souza Lopes, Maria Amélia Vieira Maciel

Department of Tropical Medicine, Universidade Federal de Pernambuco, Recife, PE, Brasil

### ARTICLE INFO

#### Article history:

Received 30 January 2016

Accepted 11 March 2016

Available online 16 April 2016

#### Keywords:

*Staphylococcus*

Clindamycin

Inducible resistance

*erm* genes

### ABSTRACT

**Introduction:** There is a mechanism of macrolide resistance in *Staphylococcus* spp. which also affects the lincosamides and type B streptogramins characterizing the so-called MLS<sub>B</sub> resistance, whose expression can be constitutive (cMLS<sub>B</sub>) or inducible (iMLS<sub>B</sub>) and is encoded mainly by *ermA* and *ermC* genes. The cMLS<sub>B</sub> resistance is easily detected by susceptibility testing used in the laboratory routine, but iMLS<sub>B</sub> resistance is not. Therapy with clindamycin in cases of infection with isolated iMLS<sub>B</sub> resistance may fail.

**Objective:** To characterize the phenotypic (occurrence of cMLS<sub>B</sub> and iMLS<sub>B</sub> phenotypes) and molecular (occurrence of *ermA* and *ermC* genes) profiles of MLS<sub>B</sub> resistance of clinical isolates of susceptible and methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* and CNS (coagulase-negative *Staphylococcus*) from patients of a university hospital, in Pernambuco.

**Methods:** The antimicrobial susceptibility of 103 isolates was determined by the disk diffusion technique in Mueller–Hinton agar followed by oxacillin screening. The iMLS<sub>B</sub> phenotype was detected by D test. Isolates with cMLS<sub>B</sub> and iMLS<sub>B</sub> phenotypes were subjected to polymerase chain reaction (PCR) for the detection of *ermA* and *ermC* genes.

**Results:** The cMLS<sub>B</sub> and iMLS<sub>B</sub> phenotypes were respectively identified in 39 (37.9%) and five (4.9%) isolates. The iMLS<sub>B</sub> phenotype was found only in four (10.8%) methicillin-susceptible *S. aureus* and one (4.5%) methicillin-resistant *S. aureus*. In the 44 isolates subjected to PCR, four (9.1%) only *ermA* gene was detected, a lower frequency when compared to only *ermC* 17 (38.6%) gene and to one (2.3%) isolate presenting both genes.

**Conclusion:** In the *Staphylococcus* spp. analyzed, the *ermC* gene was found more often than the *ermA*, although the iMLS<sub>B</sub> phenotype had been less frequent than the cMLS<sub>B</sub>. It was important to perform the D test for its detection to guide therapeutic approaches.

© 2016 Elsevier Editora Ltda. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license. (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>)

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: [ju-biomed@hotmail.com](mailto:ju-biomed@hotmail.com) (J.N.d.P. Pereira).

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bjid.2016.03.003>

1413-8670/© 2016 Elsevier Editora Ltda. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license. (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>)

## Introduction

The increasing prevalence of methicillin resistance in *Staphylococcus* spp. is a growing problem. This has renewed interest regarding the use of macrolide, lincosamides, and type B streptogramin antimicrobials for the treatment of staphylococcal infections. Clindamycin, a lincosamide, represents a common choice for some of these infections, particularly for infections of the skin and soft tissues, and an alternative in case of intolerance to penicillin or methicillin resistance.<sup>1–3</sup> In *Staphylococcus* spp., one of the resistance mechanisms consists of ribosomal target modification, affecting macrolides, lincosamides, and type B streptogramins characterizes the so-called MLS<sub>B</sub> resistance. Its expression can be constitutive (cMLS<sub>B</sub>) or inducible (iMLS<sub>B</sub>) and is encoded by *ermA* (erythromycin ribosome methylase) and *ermC* genes, which are the main determinants of resistance in staphylococci.<sup>2,4,5</sup>

It is important to know the type of MLS<sub>B</sub> resistance for establishing adequate therapy, since *Staphylococcus* spp. with constitutive resistance present *in vitro* resistance to all macrolides, lincosamides, and type B streptogramins. In addition, *in vivo* therapy with clindamycin for *Staphylococcus* spp. infection with inducible resistance can select constitutive *erm* mutants, resulting in treatment failure.<sup>3,4,6,7</sup> It is noteworthy that cMLS<sub>B</sub> resistance is easily detected by susceptibility testing used in the laboratory routine, while iMLS<sub>B</sub> resistance is not. Using these detection methods, *Staphylococcus* spp. with inducible resistance has *in vitro* resistance to erythromycin and susceptibility to clindamycin.<sup>5,6,8,9</sup>

To detect the inducible clindamycin resistance in *Staphylococcus* spp., one of the tests recommended by the CLSI (Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute) is the double-disk diffusion test (D Test) and when the isolated present such resistance, the CLSI recommends reporting them as resistant to clindamycin.<sup>10</sup> Then, data on the antimicrobial susceptibility are important in the choice of therapy against infections, but false susceptibility results can be obtained if the isolates are not subjected to tests that detect inducible resistance to clindamycin.<sup>11</sup>

Studies carried out in two Brazilian states with clinical isolates of *Staphylococcus* spp. reported the cMLS<sub>B</sub> phenotype as the most frequent.<sup>12,13</sup> Coutinho et al.<sup>13</sup> have also evaluated the occurrence of the *erm* genes among the isolates analyzed. However, the frequency of cMLS<sub>B</sub> and iMLS<sub>B</sub> resistance varies among different hospitals and there are other resistance mechanisms that confer resistance to only one or two classes of the MLS<sub>B</sub> complex.<sup>14,15</sup>

The objective of this study was to characterize the phenotypic (occurrence of cMLS<sub>B</sub> and iMLS<sub>B</sub> phenotypes) and molecular (occurrence of *ermA* and *ermC* genes) profiles of MLS<sub>B</sub> resistance of clinical isolates of susceptible and methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* and CNS (coagulase-negative *Staphylococcus*) from patients of a university hospital in Pernambuco, Brazil. Obtaining local data relating to resistance, may be helpful in guiding therapeutic approaches.

## Materials and methods

### Clinical isolates

A total of 103 clinical isolates were gathered from various samples from patients infected with *S. aureus* or SCN of a university hospital of Pernambuco, Brazil, during the year 2012 and were stored in glycerol (25%) at –20 °C. To verify the purity, the colonies were inoculated into Brain Heart Infusion broth (BHI) and after incubation at 37 °C for 48 h were plated on blood agar.

### Antimicrobial susceptibility profile

The antibiogram was performed by disk diffusion technique in Mueller–Hinton agar, using antibiotic clindamycin 2 µg, erythromycin 15 µg, ceftioxin 30 µg, and oxacillin 1 µg. The results were interpreted according to the standards determined by CLSI.<sup>10</sup>

### Screening for oxacillin resistance

Isolates with resistance or intermediate resistance to oxacillin and/or ceftioxin were submitted to oxacillin screening, as proposed by Rabelo et al.<sup>16</sup>

### D test

*S. aureus* and SCN isolates with resistance to erythromycin and susceptibility or intermediate resistance to clindamycin in the antibiogram were selected. For the execution of this test a disk of 2 µg of clindamycin was placed at a distance of 15 mm–26 mm from the edge of a disk of 15 µg of erythromycin in a plate containing Mueller–Hinton agar sown in the same way as it was for the antibiogram. After incubation at 35 °C for 16–18 h, isolates that showed no flattening of the inhibition zone around the clindamycin disk were reported as susceptible to clindamycin (negative D test) and isolates that showed flattening of the inhibition zone around the clindamycin disk adjacent to erythromycin disk (“D” zone) indicated inducible clindamycin resistance (positive D test).<sup>10</sup>

### Extraction of total DNA

To examine the presence of *ermA* and *ermC* genes, the rapid extraction of the total DNA of isolates that showed phenotypes MLS<sub>Bc</sub> and MLS<sub>Bi</sub> was performed by a modified technique of thermal lysis directly from the colony, according to Hu et al.,<sup>17</sup> after inoculation of a colony of each isolate into 5 mL of BHI and incubated at 37 °C for 24 h.

### Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) conditions

PCR was performed using the primers described by Lina et al.<sup>18</sup> for *ermA* and *ermC* genes. For the detection of *ermA* gene, each amplification reaction was prepared in a final volume of 25 µL for each tube and includes: 1 µL (40 ng) of total DNA, 1 µL (20 pmol) of each primer, 0.6 µL of deoxyribonucleotide triphosphate (dNTP) (8 mM), 5.0 µL of buffer (5×), 1.5 µL of

**Table 1 – Susceptibility profile to erythromycin and clindamycin of susceptible and methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* and CNS.**

Phenotypes	MSSA n (%)	MRSA n (%)	MSCNS n (%)	MRCNS n (%)	Total n (%)
ERY-S, CLI-S (susceptible)	25 (67.6)	5 (22.7)	4 (28.6)	7 (23.3)	41 (39.8)
ERY-S, CLI-I	1 (2.7)	0 (0)	1 (7.1)	0 (0)	2 (1.9)
ERY-S, CLI-R	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
ERY-I, CLI-S	1 (2.7)	0 (0)	2 (14.3)	0 (0)	3 (2.9)
ERY-I, CLI-I	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)
ERY-I, CLI-R	0 (0)	0 (0)	0 (0)	1 (3.3)	1 (1)
ERY-R, CLI-R (cMLS <sub>B</sub> )	4 (10.8)	15 (68.2)	3 (21.4)	17 (56.7)	39 (37.9)
ERY-R, CLI-S/I, + D Test (iMLS <sub>B</sub> )	4 (10.8)	1 (4.5)	0 (0)	0 (0)	5 (4.9)
ERY-R, CLI-S/I, – Test D (MS <sub>B</sub> )	2 (5.4)	1 (4.5)	4 (28.6)	5 (16.7)	12 (11.6)
Total	37 (35.9)	22 (21.4)	14 (13.6)	30 (29.1)	103 (100)

ERY, erythromycin; CLI, clindamycin; S, susceptible; I, intermediary; R, resistant; MSSA, methicillin-susceptible *Staphylococcus aureus*; MRSA, methicillin-resistant *S. aureus*; MSCNS, methicillin-susceptible coagulase-negative *Staphylococcus*; MRCNS, methicillin-resistant coagulase-negative *Staphylococcus*; MLS<sub>B</sub>, macrolides, lincosamides and type B streptogramins; cMLS<sub>B</sub>, phenotype of constitutive MLS<sub>B</sub> resistance; iMLS<sub>B</sub>, phenotype of inducible MLS<sub>B</sub> resistance; MS<sub>B</sub>, phenotype of resistance to macrolides and type B streptogramins.

MgCl<sub>2</sub> (25 mM), 0.4 μL of *Taq* DNA polymerase (5U). For the detection of *ermC* gene, each amplification reaction was prepared in the same manner as for *ermA* gene, except in relation to the quantity of *Taq* DNA polymerase (5U), which in this reaction was 0.3 μL. Amplification reactions were performed in the thermocycler at the following conditions: 30 cycles of 1 min at 94 °C in the denaturing step, 30 s at 49 °C in the annealing step and 30 s at 72 °C in the extension step, according to the conditions described by França et al.<sup>19</sup> with modification. In all PCR a negative control was included, corresponding to a tube containing all components of the mixture to which template DNA was not added. Identified positive isolates for the *ermA* and *ermC* genes were used as positive controls. The PCR products were subjected to electrophoresis on 1.5% agarose gel in 0.5× TBE buffer. These products were stained with blue, visualized on an ultraviolet transilluminator and photodocumented.

### Sequencing of *ermA* and *ermC* genes

A PCR product positive for the *ermA* and *ermC* genes were purified through the kit Wizard® SV Gel and PCR Clean-Up System (Promega), according to manufacturer's protocol and then, quantified by spectrophotometry. Thereafter they were submitted to sequencing and analysis of the results was performed through the softwares Chromas Lite 2.1.1, Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST), and Expert Protein Analysis System (ExPASy). The analyzed sequences of *ermA* and *ermC* genes were deposited in the GenBank with the following access numbers, respectively: KT599443 and KU232395.

## Results

Fifty-nine (57.3%) clinical isolates of *S. aureus* and 44 (42.7%) of SCN were analyzed, totaling 103 isolates. Of these, 37 (35.9%), 22 (21.4%), 14 (13.6%), and 30 (29.1%) were classified as methicillin-susceptible *S. aureus* (MSSA), methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* (MRSA), methicillin-susceptible coagulase-negative *Staphylococcus* (MSCNS) and methicillin-resistant coagulase-negative *Staphylococcus* (MRCNS), respectively (Table 1).



**Fig. 1 – Positive D test, showing the flattening of the inhibition zone around the clindamycin disk adjacent to erythromycin disk (called “D” zone).**

The susceptible phenotype (ERY-S, CLI-S) was detected in 25 (67.6%), five (22.7%), four (28.6%), and seven (23.3%) of MSSA, MRSA, MSCNS and MRCNS, respectively (Table 1). The phenotype cMLS<sub>B</sub> (ERY-R, CLI-R) was detected respectively, in four (10.8%) 15 (68.2%), three (21.4%), and 17 (56.7%) of MSSA, MRSA, MSCNS and MRCNS. The iMLS<sub>B</sub> phenotype (ERY-R, CLI-S, positive D test) (Fig. 1) was found in only four (10.8%) of the MSSA and in one (4.5%) of the MRSA. The MS<sub>B</sub> phenotype (ERY-R, CLI-S, negative D test) was detected in two (5.4%), one (4.5%), four (28.6%), and five (16.7%) of MSSA, MRSA, MSCNS, and MRCNS, respectively (Table 1).

For the identification of the *ermA* and *ermC* genes, 44 isolates with cMLS<sub>B</sub> and iMLS<sub>B</sub> phenotypes underwent PCR. Four (9.1%) isolates showed only *ermA* gene, 17 (38.6%) had only *ermC* gene, one (2.3%) had both genes, and 22 (50%) did not have the referred genes (Table 2).

## Discussion

In this study, the frequency of MSSA (25; 67.6%) was greater than MRSA (five; 22.7%) and of MSCNS (four; 28.6%) was lower

**Table 2 – Distribution of *ermA* and *ermC* genes among *Staphylococcus* spp. isolates with MLS<sub>B</sub> resistance.**

Genes	Isolates (%)
<i>ermA</i>	4 (9.1)
<i>ermC</i>	17 (38.6)
<i>ermA</i> + <i>ermC</i>	1 (2.3)
None	22 (50.0)
Total	44 (100.0)

than MRCNS (seven; 23.3%). In a study conducted in India, 313 isolates of *Staphylococcus* spp. there were 83 (64.84%) MSSA and 45 (35.15%) MRSA, in line to the present study; in contrast, there were 124 (67.02%) MSCNS and 61 (32.97%) MRCNS.<sup>5</sup> A total of 1687 isolates of *Staphylococcus* spp. investigated in Turkey consisted of 419 (24.8%) MSSA and 464 (27.5%) MRSA, unlike this study, and the rest of the isolates, similar to this study, consisted of 196 (11.6%) MSCNS and 608 (36.1%) MRCNS.<sup>11</sup>

The susceptible phenotype (ERY-S, CLI-S) found in 41 (39.8%) isolates in this study prevailed among the isolates tested. This phenotype was also prevalent in studies carried out in India, Libya, and Turkey where the referred phenotype was detected in 192 (51.5%), 87 (54.7%), and 688 (40.8%) isolates, respectively.<sup>11,20,21</sup>

In this study, the 39 (37.9%) cMLS<sub>B</sub> phenotype isolates prevailed over the five (4.9%) iMLS<sub>B</sub> phenotype and over the 12 (11.6%) MS<sub>B</sub> phenotype resistant to macrolides and type B streptogramins, which is consistent with other studies conducted in Brazil.<sup>12,13,22</sup> One of the studies was performed in São Paulo revealed 37 (25.17%) and nine (6.12%) isolates with cMLS<sub>B</sub> and iMLS<sub>B</sub> phenotypes, respectively, while the MS<sub>B</sub> was not detected.<sup>12</sup> Other studies were conducted in the state of Rio Grande do Sul; one of them identified 71 (46.7%), five (3.3%), and five (3.3%) isolates with cMLS<sub>B</sub>, iMLS<sub>B</sub>, and MS<sub>B</sub> phenotypes, respectively.<sup>13</sup> The other study detected 25 (17.9%), 11 (7.9%), and two (1.4%) isolates with cMLS<sub>B</sub>, iMLS<sub>B</sub>, and MS<sub>B</sub> phenotypes, respectively.<sup>22</sup>

In this study the cMLS<sub>B</sub> phenotype prevailed among the isolates resistant to methicillin, which is consistent with studies conducted in other countries<sup>3,5,11,15,21</sup> as well in Brazil.<sup>12,22</sup>

The MS<sub>B</sub> phenotype was more frequent (12; 11.6%) than the iMLS<sub>B</sub> (five; 4.9%) in this study. Diverging from this finding, the study done by Kumar et al.<sup>8</sup> in India found the same frequency (33; 16.9%) of MS<sub>B</sub> and iMLS<sub>B</sub> phenotypes among the *S. aureus* isolates analyzed. Merino-Díaz et al.<sup>23</sup> in Spain identified the *msrA* gene in all *Staphylococcus* spp. isolates with MS<sub>B</sub> phenotype. In *Staphylococcus* spp. this gene is responsible for an efflux mechanism which confers resistance to macrolides and type B streptogramins, but not to clindamycin.<sup>5,24</sup>

Only *S. aureus* showed the iMLS<sub>B</sub> phenotype and its frequency was higher among the MSSA (four; 10.8%) than among the MRSA (one; 4.5%) in this study. A study conducted in Chicago detected the iMLS<sub>B</sub> phenotype in 59 (20%) MSSA isolates and in 14 (7%) MRSA isolates in one hospital, and the iMLS<sub>B</sub> phenotype in 94 (19%) and in 30 (12%) MSSA and MRSA isolates, respectively, in another hospital.<sup>25</sup> In a study conducted in Turkey, eight (5.8%) MSSA isolates and two (1.7%) MRSA isolates presented the iMLS<sub>B</sub> phenotype.<sup>26</sup> In the study

conducted in São Paulo, the iMLS<sub>B</sub> phenotype was observed in seven (6.73%) MSSA and in two (4.65%) MRSA isolates.<sup>12</sup> These data from previously reported studies are similar to the findings of the present study. However, there are other studies carried out abroad, in which the iMLS<sub>B</sub> phenotype was prevalent among MRSA isolates.<sup>3,8,15,27,28</sup>

Relevant data for antimicrobial susceptibility are significant in establishing an appropriate therapy; therefore, the availability of the D test results are important.<sup>20,25</sup> Reporting an isolated *Staphylococcus* spp. as susceptible to clindamycin without verifying if it presents inducible resistance, may lead to inadequate therapy with this drug. In contrast, a negative result for inducible resistance to clindamycin, confirms the susceptibility of this antimicrobial, providing a very good therapeutic option.<sup>3,8</sup>

In this study, the *ermA* and *ermC* genes were detected individually in four (9.1%) and 17 (38.6%) isolates with MLS<sub>B</sub> resistance phenotypes, respectively. In some studies, contrary to this, the *ermA* gene was identified more frequently than the *ermC* gene, as in a study conducted in Iran, in which the *ermA* and *ermC* genes were found in 76 (60.3%) and 69 (54.8%) *S. aureus* isolates, respectively.<sup>29</sup> Schmitz et al.,<sup>30</sup> when analyzing *S. aureus* isolates from 24 European university hospitals detected the *ermA* gene in 571 (67%) isolates and the *ermC* in 192 (23%). In Korea, Jung et al.<sup>31</sup> identified among 280 *S. aureus* isolates, the *ermA* gene in 250 and the *ermC* in 14 isolates. In Iran, Moosavian et al.<sup>32</sup> detected the *ermA* and *ermC* genes, respectively in 41.1% and 17.7% of the *S. aureus* isolates studied.

In other studies, consistent with this, the *ermC* gene was predominant relatively to the *ermA* gene, as in a study from Spain, in which the *ermC* gene was more frequently detected, in both *S. aureus* and SCN isolates with constitutive and inducible phenotypes.<sup>23</sup> In Greece, Spiliopoulou et al.<sup>33</sup> identified the *ermA* and *ermC* genes in 22% and 70% of *S. aureus* isolates, respectively. Vallianou et al.<sup>34</sup> have reported that the *ermC* gene was found more frequently among *S. aureus* and SCN isolates of a university hospital also in Greece.

In this study, one (2.3%) isolate presented both *ermA* and *ermC* genes. The association of these genes in *Staphylococcus* spp. isolates,<sup>29,35,36</sup> was also observed in other studies.

The *ermB* gene was not investigated, because it is usually detected in *Staphylococcus* spp. isolates of animal origin<sup>13,23,30</sup> and it is spread mainly between streptococci and enterococci.<sup>4</sup> In Rio Grande do Sul, Coutinho et al.<sup>13</sup> reported low frequency of the *ermB* gene. They found that among 152 *Staphylococcus* spp. isolates analyzed, 77 had one or more *erm* gene, the *ermA*, *ermC*, and *ermB* genes were found respectively at 49, 29, and three isolates and, the combination of these genes was found in four isolates,<sup>13</sup> data which differed from this study. However, in a work developed in Texas, with *S. aureus* isolates of pediatric origin, among the 67 isolates evaluated, the *ermB* gene was identified in 31 of these, and the *ermA* and the *ermC* genes were detected in 12 and 24 isolates, respectively.<sup>37</sup>

Then it was possible to observe the frequency variation of the phenotypes of the MLS<sub>B</sub> resistance and of the *erm* genes amongst hospitals and geographic regions, already reported by other authors.<sup>13,15,21,29</sup> Therefore, the importance of determining these frequencies in specific location is highlighted.<sup>13,21</sup>

The good correlation between the phenotypic and genotypic methods allows us to infer the mechanism of resistance to erythromycin and clindamycin, to establish the most appropriate antimicrobial treatment and to appreciate the epidemiological differences in their distribution.<sup>23</sup>

## Conclusion

The *ermA* gene was less frequent than the *ermC* gene among *Staphylococcus* spp. isolates with cMLS<sub>B</sub> and iMLS<sub>B</sub> phenotypes. Despite the lower frequency of iMLS<sub>B</sub> than cMLS<sub>B</sub> and MSB phenotypes, it is important to perform the D test in order to identify it and guide therapeutic procedures. As the phenotypic and molecular data about a particular mechanism of antimicrobial resistance vary between hospitals and geographic regions, obtaining local data is useful, since it can be helpful to emphasize the importance of the implementation of procedures that aim at controlling the spread of this mechanism, in the hospital where the study was conducted.

## Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

## Acknowledgment

This study was supported by the National Counsel of Technological and Scientific Development (CNPq).

## REFERENCES

1. Daurel C, Huet C, Dhalluin A, Bes M, Etienne J, Leclercq R. Differences in potential for selection of clindamycin-resistant mutants between inducible *erm(A)* and *erm(C)* *Staphylococcus aureus* genes. *J Clin Microbiol.* 2007;46:546-50.
2. Fiebelkorn KR, Crawford SA, McElmeel ML, Jorgensen JH. Practical disk diffusion method for detection of inducible clindamycin resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus* and coagulase-negative staphylococci. *J Clin Microbiol.* 2003;41:4740-4.
3. Prabhu K, Rao S, Rao V. Inducible clindamycin resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from clinical samples. *J Lab Physicians.* 2011;3:25-7.
4. Leclercq R. Mechanisms of resistance to macrolides and lincosamides: nature of the resistance elements and their clinical implications. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2002;34:482-92.
5. Mahesh CB, Ramakant BK, Jagadeesh VS. The prevalence of inducible and constitutive clindamycin resistance among the nasal isolates of *Staphylococci*. *J Clin Diagn Res.* 2013;7:1620-2.
6. Drinkovic D, Fuller ER, Shore KP, Holland DJ, Ellis-Pegler R. Clindamycin treatment of *Staphylococcus aureus* expressing inducible clindamycin resistance. *J Antimicrob Chemother.* 2001;48:315-6.
7. Fokas S, Fokas S, Tsironi M, Kalkani M, Dionysopoulou M. Prevalence of inducible clindamycin resistance in macrolide-resistant *Staphylococcus* spp. *Clin Microbiol Infect.* 2005;11:337-40.
8. Kumar S, Bandyopadhyay M, Bhattacharya K, et al. Inducible clindamycin resistance in *Staphylococcus* isolates from a tertiary care hospital in eastern India. *Ann Trop Med Public Health.* 2012;5:468-70.
9. Shouval DS, Samra Z, Shalit I, et al. Inducible clindamycin resistance among methicillin-sensitive *Staphylococcus aureus* infections in pediatric patients. *Isr Med Assoc J.* 2011;13:605-8.
10. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing; twenty-second informational supplement, M100-S22. Wayne, PA: CLSI; 2012.
11. Yilmaz G, Aydin K, Iskender S, Caylan R, Koksali I. Detection and prevalence of inducible clindamycin resistance in staphylococci. *J Med Microbiol.* 2007;56:342-5.
12. Amorim DMR, Person OC, Amaral PJ, Tanaka II. Resistência induzível à clindamicina entre isolados clínicos de *Staphylococcus aureus*. *O Mundo da Saúde.* 2009;33:401-5.
13. Coutinho VL, Paiva RM, Reiter KC, de-Paris F, Barth AL, Machado AB. Distribution of *erm* genes and low prevalence of inducible resistance to clindamycin among staphylococci isolates. *Braz J Infect Dis.* 2010;14:564-8.
14. Roberts MC, Sutcliffe J, Courvalin P, Jensen LB, Rood J, Seppala H. Nomenclature for macrolide and macrolide-lincosamide-streptogramin B resistance determinants. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.* 1999;43:2823-30.
15. Seifi N, Kahani N, Askari E, Mahdipour S, Naderi NM. Inducible clindamycin resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates recovered from Mashhad, Iran. *Iran J Microbiol.* 2012;4:82-6.
16. Rabelo MA, Neto AMB, Loibman SO, et al. The occurrence and dissemination of methicillin and vancomycin-resistant *Staphylococcus* in samples from patients and health professionals of a university hospital in Recife, State of Pernambuco, Brazil. *Rev Soc Bras Med Trop.* 2014;47:437-46.
17. Hu Y, Zhang Q, Meitzler JC. Rapid and sensitive detection of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in bovine faeces by a multiplex PCR. *J Appl Microbiol.* 1999;87:867-76.
18. Lina G, Quaglia A, Reverdy ME, Leclercq R, Vandenesch F, Etienne J. Distribution of genes encoding resistance to macrolides, lincosamides, and streptogramins among staphylococci. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.* 1999;43:1062-6.
19. França CA, Peixoto RM, Cavalcante MB, et al. Antimicrobial resistance of *Staphylococcus* spp. from small ruminant mastitis in Brazil. *Pesq Vet Bras.* 2012;32:747-53.
20. Juyal D, Shamanth AS, Pal S, Sharma MK, Prakash R, Sharma N. The prevalence of inducible clindamycin resistance among *Staphylococci* in a tertiary care hospital – a study from the Garhwal Hills of Uttarakhand, India. *J Clin Diagn Res.* 2013;7:61-5.
21. Zorgani A, Showerf O, Tawil K, El-Turki E, Ghenghesh K. Inducible clindamycin resistance among staphylococci isolated from burn patients. *Libyan J Med.* 2009;4:104-6.
22. Bottega A, Rodrigues MA, Carvalho FA, et al. Evaluation of constitutive and inducible resistance to clindamycin in clinical samples of *Staphylococcus aureus* from a tertiary hospital. *Rev Soc Bras Med Trop.* 2014;47:589-92.
23. Merino-Díaz L, Cantos de la Casa A, Torres-Sánchez MJ, Aznar-Martín J. Detección de resistencia inducible a clindamicina em aislados cutáneos de *Staphylococcus* spp. por métodos fenotípicos y genotípicos. *Enferm Infecc Microbiol Clin.* 2007;25:77-81.
24. Zelazny AM, Ferraro MJ, Glennen A. Selection of strains for quality assessment of the disk induction method for detection of inducible clindamycin resistance in staphylococci: a CLSI collaborative study. *J Clin Microbiol.* 2005;43:2613-5.
25. Schreckenberger PC, Ilendo E, Ristow KL. Incidence of constitutive and inducible clindamycin resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus* and coagulase-negative staphylococci in

- a community and a tertiary care hospital. *J Clin Microbiol.* 2004;42:2777–9.
26. Tekin A, Dal T, Deveci O, Tekin R, Atmaca S, Dayan S. Assessment of methicillin and clindamycin resistance patterns in *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from a tertiary hospital in Turkey. *Infez Med.* 2013;21:111–6.
  27. Shrestha B, Pokhrel BM, Mohapatra TM. Phenotypic characterization of nosocomial isolates of *Staphylococcus aureus* with reference to MRSA. *J Infect Dev Ctries.* 2009;3:554–60.
  28. Phukan C, Ahmed GU, Sarma PP. Inducible clindamycin resistance among *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates in a tertiary care hospital of Assam. *Indian J Med Microbiol.* 2015;33:456–8.
  29. Sadari H, Emadi B, Owlia P. Phenotypic and genotypic study of macrolide, lincosamide and streptogramin B (MLS<sub>B</sub>) resistance in clinical isolates of *Staphylococcus aureus* in Tehran, Iran. *Med Sci Monit.* 2011;17:48–53.
  30. Schmitz FJ, Sadurski R, Kray A, et al. Prevalence of macrolide-resistance genes in *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Enterococcus faecium* isolates from 24 European university hospitals. *J Antimicrob Chemother.* 2000;45:891–4.
  31. Jung YH, Kim KW, Lee KM, et al. Prevalence and characterization of macrolide–lincomycin–streptogramin B-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in Korean hospitals. *J Antimicrob Chemother.* 2008;61:458–60.
  32. Moosavian M, Shoja S, Rostami S, Torabipour M, Farshadzadeh Z. Inducible clindamycin resistance in clinical isolates of *Staphylococcus aureus* due to *erm* genes, Iran. *Iran J Microbiol.* 2014;6:421–7.
  33. Spiliopoulou I, Petinaki E, Papandreou P, Dimitracopoulos G. *erm(C)* is the predominant genetic determinant for the expression of resistance to macrolides among methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* clinical isolates in Greece. *J Antimicrob Chemother.* 2004;53:814–7.
  34. Vallianou N, Evangelopoulos A, Hadjisoteriou M, Avlami A, Petrikos G. Prevalence of macrolide, lincosamide, and streptogramin resistance among staphylococci in a tertiary care hospital in Athens, Greece. *J Chemother.* 2014;27:319–23.
  35. Yildiz O, Çoban AY, Sener AG, et al. Antimicrobial susceptibility and resistance mechanisms of methicillin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from 12 hospitals in Turkey. *Ann Clin Microbiol Antimicrob.* 2014;13:44.
  36. Aleksandra AD, Misic MS, Mira ZV, et al. Prevalence of inducible clindamycin resistance among community-associated staphylococcal isolates in central Serbia. *Indian J Med Microbiol.* 2014;32:49–52.
  37. Chavez-Bueno S, Bozdogan B, Katz K, et al. Inducible clindamycin resistance and molecular epidemiologic trends of pediatric community-acquired methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in Dallas, Texas. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother.* 2005;49:2283–8.