## DNA-Binding Proteins Possibly Involved in Regulation of the Post-Logarithmic-Phase Expression of Lipoprotein P35 in *Borrelia burgdorferi*

KARL J. INDEST AND MARIO T. PHILIPP\*

*Department of Parasitology, Tulane Regional Primate Research Center, Tulane University Medical Center, Covington, Louisiana*

Received 16 July 1999/Accepted 27 October 1999

**Previously, we have shown that the transcription of** *p35***, a lipoprotein gene of** *Borrelia burgdorferi***, is upregulated or initiated during the post-logarithmic bacterial growth phase in vitro. To identify potential regulatory factors, we examined the formation of DNA-protein complexes by electromobility shift assay, using a 157-bp DNA fragment that spans the** *p35* **promoter region and cell-free extracts of spirochetes harvested from both logarithmic and stationary growth phases. The binding properties of the extracts with the promoter region of the** *flaB* **gene, a constitutively expressed, growth-phase-independent gene, were also compared. The results from these experiments demonstrate that** *B. burgdorferi* **stationary-phase cell-free extracts have a growthphase-dependent DNA binding protein that interacts specifically with the** *p35* **promoter region. We show, in addition, that a segment from the 157-bp** *p35* **promoter region which contains both a T-rich stretch and an inverted repeat is able to compete off the stationary-phase-specific complex when the segment is present in molar excess.**

*Borrelia burgdorferi*, the spirochete that causes Lyme disease, is able to survive in two very dissimilar host environments: ixodid ticks, which act as its transmission vector, and vertebrates such as rodents, which serve as its most common reservoir host. It has been postulated that *B. burgdorferi*'s adaptation to the tick and mammalian environments likely involves the expression of different surface components (12). In support of this hypothesis, several lipoproteins have been identified whose expression varies depending on the spirochete's milieu (3, 13, 18). Mechanisms of modulation of lipoprotein expression are unknown in *B. burgdorferi*. An inspection of the *B. burgdorferi* genome sequence revealed few homologs of other bacterial regulatory proteins, including only two response-regulator two-component systems (4). Only one DNA binding activity which is specific for a region upstream of the *ospAB* operon has been reported for *B. burgdorferi* (10). The development of an in vitro model in which regulatory mechanisms of differentially expressed proteins could be easily studied is desirable as a first step toward dissecting *B. burgdorferi* gene regulation. The observations that *B. burgdorferi* is able to modulate gene expression in vitro in response to changes in temperature (16, 17) and cell density or growth phase (8, 11) could be further explored to develop such a model. Previously, we demonstrated that the expression of P35, P7.5, OspC, BmpD, and several unidentified *B. burgdorferi* antigenic proteins is upregulated during post-logarithmic (post-log) spirochetal growth (8, 11). This regulation was shown to occur at the level of transcription for P35, P7.5, OspC, and BmpD (8, 11). P35 was chosen to further investigate this phenomenon. It is possible that the alteration in the rate of transcription or transcriptional initiation is positively or negatively controlled by DNA binding proteins. To identify such potential regulatory factors, we investigated the formation of DNA-protein com-

\* Corresponding author. Mailing address: T. R. P. R. C., 18703 Three Rivers Rd., Covington, LA 70433. Phone: (504) 871-6221. Fax: (504) 871-6390. E-mail: philipp@tpc.tulane.edu.

plexes by electromobility shift assays (EMSA) by using a DNA fragment that encompassed the *p35* promoter region and cellfree *B. burgdorferi* extracts that were harvested from both log and stationary phases.

Low-passage isolates of *B. burgdorferi* B31 were routinely grown in 1-liter bottles of BSK-H medium (Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, Mo.) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated young rabbit serum (Pel-Freez, Roger, Ark.), 7.5 mg of amphotericin per ml, 48  $\mu$ g of rifampin per ml, and 192  $\mu$ g of phosphomycin per ml. Cultures were incubated at 34°C in a trigas incubator set at 3%  $CO_2$ , 5%  $O_2$ , and the rest of  $N_2$ . Spirochetes were counted under a dark-field microscope. Approximately 1010 spirochetes were harvested from log-phase  $(10^6 \text{ cells/ml})$  and stationary-phase cultures  $(10^8 \text{ cells/ml})$  and used to prepare cell-free extracts for EMSA analysis. Cell-free extracts were prepared as described by Margolis and Samuel (10), and their protein concentrations were determined by the Bradford method (1). EMSA were essentially carried out as described by Chodosh (2). All EMSA presented herein were performed at least twice with the same results. EMSA substrates containing the *p35* promoter region were generated by PCR with primer sequences 5' CGCTCTAGAACTAGTGG ATC 3' and 5' GTAATTTATATTAATTAATAATTTTAAT TACCC 3' and plasmid DNA from a  $p35$  clone described previously (8). PCRs were performed at an annealing temperature of 45°C for 1 min, an extension temperature of 72°C for 1 min, and a denaturation temperature of 94°C for 1 min for a total of 30 cycles. The DNA fragment that contained the *flaB* promoter region was generated by PCR as described previously (14). EMSA substrates were digested with appropriate restriction enzymes (New England Biolabs Inc., Beverly, Mass.) and labeled with digoxigenin (DIG)-11-ddUTP and terminal transferase (Boehringer Mannheim, Indianapolis, Ind.) according to the manufacturer's instructions. A typical binding reaction mixture consisted of 23 fmol of EMSA substrate,  $2 \mu g$ of nonspecific competitor DNA [poly(dI-dC); Sigma], 300 μg of bovine serum albumin per ml (final concentration), 20 mM HEPES (pH 7.9), 40 mM KCl, 1 mM EDTA, 1 mM dithio-



FIG. 1. (A) EMSA analysis of the *B. burgdorferi p35* and *flaB* promoter regions. Twenty-three femtomoles of the 175-bp DIG-labeled *flaB* promoter probe was electrophoresed with no cell-free extract added (lane 1) or wi Twenty-three femtomoles of the 157-bp DIG-labeled *Bam*HI-*PacI p35* promoter probe was electrophoresed with no cell-free extract added (lane 4), with 7 µg of extract from spirochetes harvested in log phase (lane 5) or in stationary phase (lane 6), or with 7 μg of stationary-phase extract pretreated with 80 μg of proteinase K (lane 7) or heat-inactivated proteinase K (lane 8). (B) Analysis of P35 expression by Western immunoblotting of extracts from cultured spirochetes harvested at log phase (lane 1) and stationary phase (lane 2). The same batches of log- and stationary-phase spirochetes were used to generate cell-free lysates for EMSA analysis. The blot was reacted with a monoclonal antibody specific for P35 (8) and with the flagellin-specific monoclonal antibody H9724. Molecular size markers are indicated on the left.

threitol,  $10\%$  glycerol, and 7  $\mu$ g of cell-free extract in a final volume of  $15 \mu$ . The reaction mixture was incubated for  $15 \mu$ min at 30°C, and the DNA-protein complexes were resolved by electrophoresis through a nondenaturing 6% polyacrylamide gel by using a high-ionic-strength Tris-glycine buffer (250 mM Tris-Cl, 1.9 M glycine, 10 mM EDTA, [pH 8.0]). During competitive binding reactions, cell-free extracts were allowed to preincubate for 5 min at room temperature with the corresponding competitor DNAs added prior to the addition of labeled probe. Double-stranded competitor oligonucleotides were generated by heating a mixture of equal molar amounts of single-stranded complementary oligonucleotides at 95°C in a Perkin-Elmer Cetus 9600 thermal cycler. Double-stranded competitor oligonucleotides were then slowly annealed by allowing the thermal cycler to ramp to 4°C over a 60-min period. Following electrophoresis, resolved components were transferred from the gels to positively charged nylon membranes, probed with an anti-DIG-alkaline phosphatase-labeled antibody, and detected by chemiluminescence as described by the manufacturer (Boehringer). Western blot analysis of *B. burgdorferi* proteins was performed as described previously (8).

A comparison of the results of the EMSA experiments performed with the *p35* promoter region with those of the EMSA experiments with the constitutively expressed, growth-phaseindependent *flaB* promoter region indicates that stationaryphase cell-free extracts contain a DNA binding activity that is specific for the *p35* gene. In these experiments, a DIG-labeled 157-bp *Bam*HI-*Pac*I *p35* promoter probe (see Fig. 2A) was used as a substrate for protein binding in the EMSA reaction. This fragment includes several potential *cis* elements which might be involved in DNA-protein interactions, such as a  $-10/$  $-35$  promoter region, a T-rich segment containing an area of dyad symmetry, and a 32-bp AT-rich region. As a negative control for nonspecific binding and growth-phase-independent binding activities, a DIG-labeled 175-bp *flaB* promoter probe (14) was used as a substrate for protein binding in the EMSA

reaction (Fig. 1A, lane 1). The *flaB* promoter probe in the presence of both log-phase (Fig. 1A, lane 2) and stationaryphase (Fig. 1A, lane 3) cell-free extracts generated a shifted band pattern that consisted predominantly of an intense, slowmigrating complex. The *p35* promoter probe (Fig. 1A, lane 4) in the presence of both log-phase (Fig. 1A, lane 5) and stationary-phase (Fig. 1A, lane 6) cell-free extracts generated a similar shifted band pattern as the *flaB* promoter probe, except for an additional prominent, fast-migrating complex which was contributed only by the stationary-phase cell-free extract. This complex, designated  $C_{SS}$  for stationary (-phase)-specific complex, appears to be specific for the *p35* promoter region. EMSA extracts were treated with proteinase K to verify that the shifted bands observed were the results of a DNA-protein interaction. In the presence of 80  $\mu$ g of proteinase K, the shifted band pattern was altered such that  $C_{SS}$  and the slowmigrating complex were no longer detectable (Fig. 1A, lane 7). The band observed in lane 7 is believed to be the result of residual binding activity remaining after incomplete proteinase K digestion. To insure that the alteration in banding pattern observed in lane 7 was not due to the interference from the presence of additional protein mass contributed by the proteinase K, the same amount of heat-treated proteinase K (95°C for 10 min) was added to a control EMSA reaction. The result shows that in the presence of heat-treated proteinase K, the stationary-phase complex can be observed (Fig. 1A, lane 8). Western blot analysis of P35 expression was performed with the same log- and stationary-phase cell-free lysates that were used for the EMSA analysis (Fig. 1B, lanes 1 and 2, respectively). The blot was incubated with monoclonal antibodies specific for P35 (8) and flagellin (monoclonal antibody H9724); the latter was included to control for protein mass. The results confirm that the cultured spirochetes used to generate the cell-free extracts were harvested at the appropriate growth phase, i.e., the log-phase cells had not overgrown and begun synthesizing P35. The data from both the EMSA and Western



FIG. 2. (A) Nucleotide sequence of the 157-bp  $p35$  promoter probe used in the EMSA reactions (4). The transcription start site is indicated by the downward pointing arrow. An inverted repeat is indicated by the converging arrows. The putative promoter region is doubly underlined. A 32-nt AT tract is boxed. The arrows<br>designated K1 through K3 encompass each of the oligonucleot promoter region as competitor. Twenty-three femtomoles of the 157-bp DIG-labeled *Bam*HI-*Pac*I *p35* promoter probe was electrophoresed with no cell-free extract added (lane 1) or with 7 µg of extract from spirochetes harvested in stationary phase (lanes 2 to 4), plus a 50 M excess of the unlabeled 157-bp *BamHI-PacI p35* probe (lane 3) or a 50 M excess of the unlabeled 175-bp *flaB* promoter probe (lane 4). (C) Competition of DNA binding with small synthetic double-stranded oligonucleotides. Twenty-three femtomoles of the 157-bp DIG-labeled *Bam*HI-*Pac*I *p35* promoter probe was electrophoresed with no cell-free extract added (lane 1) or with 7 mg of extract from spirochetes harvested in stationary phase (lanes 2 to 6), plus a 100 M excess of the unlabeled 157-bp *Bam*HI-*Pac*I probe (lane 3), a 100 M excess of K3 (lane 4), a 100 M excess of K2 (lane 5), or a 100 M excess of K1 (lane 6).

blot analyses suggest that the expression of P35 positively correlates with the appearance of Css.

Additional evidence that  $C_{SS}$  is unique to the  $p35$  promoter region was demonstrated by EMSA competition experiments with the *flaB* promoter sequence as a competitor. The *p35* promoter probe, in the presence of 50 M excess cold *p35* promoter sequence, is unable to generate the shifted band pattern observed when the competitor is absent from the EMSA reaction (Fig. 2B, lane 3). The uncompeted shifted band pattern is shown in Fig. 2B, lane 2. The *p35* promoter probe, in the presence of 50 M excess *flaB* promoter sequence, is still able to selectively bind  $C_{SS}$  (Fig. 2B, lane 4), further indicating that  $C_{SS}$  is unique to the  $p35$  promoter region. Lane 1 in Fig. 2B shows the unbound DIG-labeled *p35* probe. To further localize the region of DNA sequence responsible for  $C_{SS}$  binding, small synthetic double-stranded oligonucleotides reproducing different sections of the *p35* promoter region were used as competitors in a series of EMSA experiments (Fig. 2C). The results of these experiments show that a 43-bp segment that contains both a T-rich sequence and an inverted repeat (K2; Fig. 2A) is involved in binding. The K2 fragment (59 CTTGTACCCAAAGAGAGCGCATGCTCTCTTTTTTT TTGAATTC 3') was able to selectively outcompete  $C_{SS}$  from stationary-phase extracts when the fragment was present in 100 M excess with respect to the labeled probe (Fig. 2C, lane 5). The level of inhibition of  $C_{SS}$  binding obtained with K2 is comparable to that observed when a similar molar excess of the

157-bp unlabeled *Bam*HI-*Pac*I *p35* promoter probe was used as competitor (Fig. 2C, lane 3). However, the *p35* promoter probe inhibited, in addition, the binding of the top band. The inability of K2 to inhibit the top, slower-migrating nonspecific complex further indicates that the formation of  $C_{SS}$ is the result of a sequence-specific interaction. The  $-35/-10$ region (K3, 5' TGTACAATAACAATTTTGAATTAAAGTT  $ATTAA$  3') and the sequence containing an  $AT$ -rich region (K1, 5' GACACATTATTTAAATTTAATAATAATTAAAA  $AATAAGACC$  3') are not independently involved in the formation of DNA-protein complexes, as evidenced by the probes' failure to effect competition when present in 100 M excess (Fig. 2C, lanes  $4$  [K3] and  $6$  [K1]).

In the present study, a growth-phase-dependent DNA binding protein was detected by EMSA by using the *p35* promoter region as a binding substrate. Stationary-phase, but not logphase, *B. burgdorferi* B31 cell-free extracts contain a DNA binding protein that interacts specifically with the *p35* promoter region. This binding activity was selectively inhibited by the presence of a 100 M excess of a 43-bp fragment (K2) which contained two segments that could be incriminated in regulatory activities: a T-rich section and an inverted repeat (Fig. 2A). The K2 fragment is located between positions  $-39$  and  $-81$  relative to the  $p35$  transcriptional start site. The location of the putative protein binding DNA sequence with respect to the  $p35 - 10/-35$  promoter region (6) and the positive correlation between induction of *p35* expression and detection of  $C_{SS}$  binding suggest that the protein(s) interacting with this sequence may activate transcription. The  $-35$  promoter region of the *p35* gene is poorly defined, and protein binding near this region could facilitate enhanced RNA polymerase promoter binding. Such a mechanism may require direct contact of the activator with RNA polymerase and/or may require additional protein-protein interactions. Transcriptional activation is a plausible mechanism whereby *B. burgdorferi* could control gene expression. Thus far, only one other DNA-binding activity has been reported for *B. burgdorferi* (10).

The identity of the protein(s) that binds to the upstream promoter region of *p35* cannot be inferred from our results. However, a T-rich region similar to that described herein was recently identified by Sohaskey et al. as a possible regulatory element in *B. burgdorferi* (15). Through promoter deletion analyses, these authors identified a T-rich region, located upstream of the  $ospA$  gene's  $-35$  promoter region, which positively influenced *ospA* transcription (15). Presence of this Trich region may be necessary but is not sufficient for growthphase-dependent regulation, for *ospA* is not regulated by growth phase in vitro (11). On the other hand, it is possible that the inverted repeat that is also present on the K2 fragment is, alone or in concert with the adjacent T-rich segment, responsible for the observed DNA binding and the correlated upregulation. Of the three additional genes which are regulated in a growth-phase-dependent fashion whose DNA sequences are known, namely *p7.5*, *bmpD*, and *ospC*, the first two exhibit appropriately located regulatory *cis* elements, as the one mentioned herein (Indest and Philipp, unpublished). *p7.5* and *bmpD* both contain T-rich sequences upstream from their  $-35$ promoter regions, and *bmpD*, but not *p7.5*, also has an inverted repeat in this region. On the other hand, these elements are not easily identifiable in the promoter region of the *ospC* gene. It is possible that other factors or mechanisms other than the one we suggest here are involved in growth-phase-dependent gene regulation. It is perhaps significant that the 157-bp *p35* promoter region contains a portion of a putative integration host factor (IHF) binding site (AAatATAAGACCTTG; consensus IHF binding site, WATCAA-N4-TTR) (7). The latter is located 79 bp upstream from the transcription start site of *p35*. IHF is a multifunctional DNA binding and bending protein which is found in bacteria and which can mediate proteinprotein and DNA-protein interactions. IHF has been shown to positively modulate gene expression in the context of multiple regulatory factors (5, 9). The mechanism by which IHF modulates gene expression is poorly understood. It is assumed that this protein acts as a molecular scaffold of sorts, configuring the DNA in a manner that facilitates the assembly of other protein-DNA complexes (5). AT-rich elements are associated with IHF binding sites and are thought to increase binding of IHF to its target sequence (7). Recently, a gene was isolated from *B. burgdorferi* which encodes a protein that is homologous to members of the IHF/histone utilization family of *E. coli* proteins (19).

Currently, we are trying to further characterize the DNA binding activity identified herein. Attempts at cloning this DNA binding activity by screening *B. burgdorferi* genomic DNA expression libraries with appropriate DNA probes have been unsuccessful. The possibility that this protein(s) may bind to DNA upon acquiring a particular quaternary structure has compelled us to employ DNA-affinity chromatography methods for purification. It is our goal to specifically dissect the mechanism by which the  $p35$  gene is regulated and thus hopefully gain an understanding of how *B. burgdorferi* regulates gene expression as it shuttles through diverse environments.

This work was supported by a National Research Service Award (F32 AI09980-01) to K.J.I. and grant RR00164 (NCRR-NIH).

The photographic skill of Murphy Dowouis is acknowledged with thanks.

## **REFERENCES**

- 1. **Bradford, M. M.** 1976. A rapid and sensitive method for the quantification of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. Anal. Biochem. **72:**248–254.
- 2. **Chodosh, L. A.** 1994. Mobility shift DNA-binding assay using gel electrophoresis, p. 12.2.1–12.2.7. *In* F. M. Ausubel et al. (ed.), Current protocols in molecular biology. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, N.Y.
- 3. **Das, S., S. W. Barthold, S. S. Giles, R. R. Montgomery, S. R. Telford III, and E. Fikrig.** 1997. Temporal pattern of *Borrelia burgdorferi p21* expression in ticks and the mammalian host. J. Clin. Investig. **99:**987–995.
- 4. **Fraser, C. M., S. Casjens, W. M. Huang, G. G. Sutton, R. Clayton, R. Lathigra, O. White, K. A. Ketchum, R. Dodson, E. K. Hickey, et al.** 1997. Genomic sequence of a Lyme disease spirochaete, *Borrelia burgdorferi*. Nature **390:**580–586.
- 5. **Freundlich, M., N. Ramani, E. Mathew, A. Sirko, and P. Tsui.** 1992. The role of integration host factor in gene expression in *Escherichia coli*. Mol. Microbiol. **6:**2557–2563.
- 6. **Gralla, J. D., and J. Collado-Vides.** 1996. Organization and function of transcription regulatory elements, p. 1232–1245. *In* F. C. Neidhardt, R. Curtiss III, J. L. Ingraham, E. C. C. Lin, K. B. Low, B. Magasanik, W. S. Reznikoff, M. Riley, M. Schaechter, and H. E. Umbarger (ed.), *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella*: cellular and molecular biology. ASM Press, Washington, D.C.
- 7. **Hales, L. M., R. I. Gumport, and J. F. Gardner.** 1994. Determining the DNA sequence elements required for binding integration host factor to two different target sites. J. Bacteriol. **176:**2999–3006.
- 8. **Indest, K. J., R. Ramamoorthy, M. Sole, R. D. Gilmore, B. J. Johnson, and M. T. Philipp.** 1997. Cell-density-dependent expression of *Borrelia burgdorferi* lipoproteins in vitro. Infect. Immun. **65:**1165–1171.
- 9. **Lange, R., M. Barth, and R. Hengge-Aronis.** 1993. Complex transcriptional control of the sigma s-dependent stationary-phase-induced and osmotically regulated *osmY* (*csi-5*) gene suggests novel roles for Lrp, cyclic AMP (cAMP) receptor protein-cAMP complex, and integration host factor in the stationary-phase response of *Escherichia coli*. J. Bacteriol. **175:**7910–7917.
- 10. **Margolis, N., and D. S. Samuel.** 1995. Proteins binding to the promoter of the operon encoding the major surface proteins OspA and OspB of *Borrelia burgdorferi*. Mol. Biol. Rep. **21:**159–164.
- 11. **Ramamoorthy, R., and M. T. Philipp.** 1998. Differential expression of *Borrelia burgdorferi* proteins during growth in vitro. Infect. Immun. **66:**5119– 5124.
- 12. **Schwan, T. G.** 1996. Ticks and *Borrelia*: model systems for investigating pathogen-arthropod interaction. Infect. Agents Dis. **5:**167–181.
- 13. **Schwan, T. G., J. Piesman, W. T. Golde, M. C. Dolan, and P. A. Rosa.** 1995. Induction of an outer surface protein on *Borrelia burgdorferi* during tick feeding. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **92:**2909–2913.
- 14. **Sohaskey, C. D., C. Arnold, and A. G. Barbour.** 1997. Analysis of promoters in *Borrelia burgdorferi* by use of a transiently expressed reporter gene. J. Bacteriol. **179:**6837–6842.
- 15. **Sohaskey, C. D., W. R. Zuckert, and A. G. Barbour.** 1999. The extended promoters for two outer membrane lipoprotein genes of *Borrelia* spp. uniquely included a T-rich region. Mol. Microbiol. **33:**41–51.
- 16. **Stevenson, B., T. G. Schwan, and P. Rosa.** 1995. Temperature-related differential expression of antigens in the Lyme disease spirochete, *Borrelia burgdorferi*. Infect. Immun. **63:**4535–4539.
- 17. **Stevenson, B., J. L. Bono, T. G. Schwan, and P. Rosa.** 1998. *Borrelia burgdorferi* Erp proteins are immunogenic in mammals infected by tick bite, and their synthesis is inducible in cultured bacteria. Infect. Immun. **66:**2648– 2654.
- 18. **Suk, K., S. Das, W. Sun, B. Jwang, S. W. Barthold, R. A. Flavell, and E. Fikrig.** 1995. *Borrelia burgdorferi* genes selectively expressed in the infected host. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **92:**4269–4273.
- 19. **Tilly, K., J. Fuhrman, J. Campbell, and D. S. Samuels.** 1996. Isolation of *Borrelia burgdorferi* genes encoding homologues of DNA-binding protein HU and ribosomal protein S20. Microbiology **142:**2471–2479.