VisN and VisR Are Global Regulators of Chemotaxis, Flagellar, and Motility Genes in *Sinorhizobium (Rhizobium) meliloti*†

VICTOR SOURJIK, PAUL MUSCHLER, BIRGIT SCHARF, AND RÜDIGER SCHMITT*

Lehrstuhl für Genetik, University of Regensburg, D-93040 Regensburg, Germany

Received 23 August 1999/Accepted 4 November 1999

The known 41 flagellar, chemotaxis, and motility genes of *Sinorhizobium (Rhizobium) meliloti* contained in the "flagellar regulon" are organized as seven operons and six transcription units that map to a contiguous 45-kb chromosomal region. By probing gene expression on Western blots and with *lacZ* fusions, we have identified two master regulatory genes, *visN* and *visR*, contained in one operon. The gene products probably form a heterodimer, VisNR, acting as a global transcription activator of other flagellar genes. The related 27-kDa VisN and VisR proteins are LuxR-type proteins with typical ligand- and DNA-binding domains. The *vis* operon itself is constitutively transcribed; however, to activate flagellar genes, VisNR seemingly requires the binding of a yet-unknown effector. Gene expression in tester strains with known deficiencies revealed a hierarchy of three classes of flagellar genes: class I comprises *visN* and *visR*; class II, controlled by VisNR, comprises flagellar assembly (class IIA) and motor (class IIB) genes; and class III comprises flagellin and chemotaxis genes that require functional class I and class IIA genes for expression. In contrast to their enterobacterial counterparts, *mot* genes belong to class II without exerting control over class III genes. While the general hierarchy of gene expression resembles the enterobacterial scheme, the assignment of *mot* genes to class IIB and the global control by a LuxR-type VisNR activator are new features distinguishing the *S. meliloti* flagellar gene system.

Bacterial motility and chemotaxis are essential qualities for optimum adaptation to different environments. Flagellar synthesis and motility are maximal under nutritional stress and require 2 to 3% of the energy of a cell. Therefore, the expression of some 50 genes involved in this process is strictly regulated by a hierarchy of controls (19). The current paradigm of flagellar gene regulation was derived from studies of Escherichia coli and Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium (13, 15, 18, 20, 29). The E. coli chemotaxis, flagellar, and motility genes map in four separate clusters often referred to as the flagellar regulon. The genes of this regulon are organized in three classes that are expressed in hierarchial order, although their map positions do not necessarily reflect the assignments to these classes. Class I is represented by a master operon that encodes the transcription activators, FlhC and FlhD, which in turn regulate the expression of class II (18). This includes genes that determine the flagellar basal body and the flagellinspecific export apparatus and *fliA*, which encodes a σ^{28} (σ F) transcription factor for class III.

Sinorhizobium (Rhizobium) meliloti, a member of the alpha subgroup of proteobacteria, exhibits significant deviations from the enterobacterial (gamma subgroup) paradigm of chemotaxis in its flagellar structure and mode of rotation (23). The complex, rigid flagellar filaments of *S. meliloti* consist of four closely related flagellin subunits, FlaA, FlaB, FlaC, and FlaD, encoded by four linked but independently transcribed genes

(24, 33). The right-handed flagellar helices rotate exclusively in the clockwise mode, and swimming cells respond to tactic stimuli by modulating their flagellar rotary speed (31, 32). Two novel motor proteins, MotC and MotD, are essential players in the control of flagellar rotary speed (23). The organization of the S. meliloti chemotaxis (che), flagellar (fla, flg, flh, and fli), and motility (mot) genes is distinctly different from that in enterobacteria, since all known 41 genes are clustered in one contiguous 45-kb chromosomal region (33). Among these are 10 genes of a *che* operon, four *mot* genes (23), 15 flg, flh, and fli genes encoding components of the basal body and the flagellar export apparatus, 4 flagellin (fla) genes, and 5 genes of hitherto unknown function. Notably, MotA is encoded in the same operon as FliM, FliN, and FliG, and a new gene, orf38, necessary for flagellum formation, is part of the motB-motC-motD operon (33). In keeping with established nomenclature (20), we refer to the entirety of these genes as the flagellar regulon.

We have identified in S. meliloti two related members of the LuxR family, VisN and VisR (for "vital for swimming"), formerly named Orf12 and Orf13, respectively (33), that function as global activators of the flagellar regulon. Transcriptional activators of the LuxR family have been found in various bacterial species, where they regulate such different processes as conjugation, cell division, and antibiotic production (6, 34). Typical members of this family are TraR, which regulates plant-pathogenic genes of Agrobacterium tumefaciens (5, 22), and RhiR and RaiR, which regulate the nodulation genes of Rhizobium leguminosarum and Rhizobium etli (3, 8, 26). LuxR is best known for its role in quorum sensing, i.e., the ability to monitor population density by sensing the external concentration of autoinducer molecules, notably homoserine lactones. All these transcription factors have similar structures with a ligand-binding domain and DNA-binding domains of the helixturn-helix type, which place them in the LuxR-FixJ-UhpA-NarL superfamily of transcription factors (6, 9). The LuxR-like regulators, VisN and VisR, of S. meliloti described here act as master controls of a gene cascade that encodes flagellar, motor, and chemotaxis proteins.

^{*} Corresponding author. Mailing address: Lehrstuhl für Genetik, University of Regensburg, D-93040 Regensburg, Germany. Phone: 49 (941) 9433162. Fax: 49 (941) 9433163. E-mail: rudy.schmitt@biologie .uni-regensburg.de.

[†] Dedicated to Professor Wolfram Heumann on the occasion of his 85th birthday.

[‡] Present address: Department of Molecular and Cellular Biology, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138.

[§] Present address: Institut für Organische Chemie und Biochemie, Lehrstuhl IV Biotechnologie, Technische Universität München, D-85747 Garching, Germany.

E. coli S17-1recA endA thi hsdR RP4-2 Tc::Mu::Tn7 Tp ^r Sm ^r 30S. meliloti RU10/406Wild-type strain Sm ^r ; spontaneous streptomycin-resistant derivative of RU10/406 (wild type)7, 14RU11/001Sm ^r ; spontaneous streptomycin-resistant derivative of RU10/406 (wild type)25RU11/011 ^a $\Delta(flaA-flaC)$::Sm ^r Nm ^r ; nonmotile25RU11/300ASm ^r Tc ² ; RU11/01(pRU1770)This stRU11/300BSm ^r Tc ² ; RU11/317(pRU1770)This stRU11/317Sm ^r $\Delta visR$ This stRU11/318Sm ^r $\Delta visR$ This stRU11/319Sm ^r Mn ^r Gm ² ; RU11/318(pRU1755)This stRU11/397Sm ^r Nm ^r Gm ² ; RU11/317(pRU1757)This stRU11/800Sm ^r $\Delta drif38$ This stRU11/801Sm ^r $\Delta orf38$ This stRU11/802Sm ^r $\Delta motA$ This stPlasmidspML122Km ^r Gm ^r ; promoterless $lacZ$ 16pHU1755Km ^r Gm ^r ; precombinant of pML122 and 969-bp HindIII-Xbal fragment containing visNThis stPU1755Km ^r Gm ^r ; precombinant of pML122 and 969-bp HindIII-Xbal fragment containing visNThis st	ference
S17-1recA endA thi hsdR RP4-2 Tc::Mu::Tn7 Tp' Sm'30S. meliloti RU10/406Wild-type strain Sm'; spontaneous streptomycin-resistant derivative of RU10/406 (wild type)7, 14RU11/001Sm'; spontaneous streptomycin-resistant derivative of RU10/406 (wild type)25RU11/011" A (flad-flaC):Sm' Nm'; nonmotile25RU11/300ASm' Tc'; RU11/01(pRU1770)This stRU11/300CSm' Tc'; RU11/317(pRU1770)This stRU11/317Sm' $\Delta visR$ This stRU11/318Sm' AvisNThis stRU11/319Sm' Nm' Gm'; RU11/318(pRU1755)This stRU11/397Sm' Nm' Gm'; RU11/317(pRU1757)This stRU11/800Sm' $\Delta inf A$ This stRU11/801Sm' $\Delta orf 38$ This stRU11/802Sm' $\Delta motBC$ 23RU11/801Sm' $\Delta orf 38$ This stRU11/802Sm' $\Delta motA$ This stPlasmidspML122Km' Gm'16pHU234bTc'; promoterless $lacZ$ 11pRU1755Km' Gm'; recombinant of pML122 and 969-bp HindIII-XbaI fragment containing visNThis stpNU1756Km' Gm'; recombinant of pML122 and 969-bp HindIII-XbaI fragment containing visNThis st	
S. meliloti7, 14RU10/406Wild-type strain7, 14RU11/001Sm ^r ; spontaneous streptomycin-resistant derivative of RU10/406 (wild type)25RU11/011 ^a $\Delta(flaA-flaC)$::Sm ^r Nm ^r ; nonmotile25RU11/300ASm ^r Tc ^r ; RU11/01(pRU1770)This stRU11/300BSm ^r Tc ^r ; RU11/317(pRU1770)This stRU11/300CSm ^r Tc ^r ; RU11/318(pRU1770)This stRU11/318Sm ^r \DeltavisRThis stRU11/318Sm ^r $\Delta visN$ This stRU11/318Sm ^r $\Delta visN$ This stRU11/318Sm ^r $\Delta visN$ This stRU11/319Sm ^r Nm ^r Gm ^r ; RU11/318(pRU1755)This stRU11/513Sm ^r $\Delta ord58$ This stRU11/800Sm ^r $\Delta fliM$ This stRU11/801Sm ^r $\Delta orf38$ This stRU11/802Sm ^r $\Delta motA$ This stPlasmidspML122Km ^r Gm ^r ; recombinant of pML122 and 969-bp HindIII-XbaI fragment containing visNThis stPU1755Km ^r Gm ^r ; recombinant of pML122 and 969-bp HindIII-XbaI fragment containing visNThis st	
RU10/406Wild-type strain7, 14RU11/001Sm ² ; spontaneous streptomycin-resistant derivative of RU10/406 (wild type)25RU11/011 ^a $\Delta(flaA-flaC)::Smr Nmr; nonmotile25RU11/300ASmr Tc2; RU11/01(pRU1770)This stRU11/300BSmr Tc2; RU11/317(pRU1770)This stRU11/300CSmr Tc2; RU11/318(pRU1770)This stRU11/317Smr \Delta visRThis stRU11/318Smr \Delta visRThis stRU11/319Smr Nmr Gm2; RU11/318(pRU1755)This stRU11/397Smr Mmr Gm2; RU11/317(pRU1757)This stRU11/513Smr \Delta notBC23RU11/800Smr \Delta orf38This stRU11/801Smr \Delta orf38This stRU11/802Smr \Delta motAThis stPlasmidspML122Kmr Gm2; recombinant of pML122 and 969-bp HindIII-Xbal fragment containing visNThis stPU1755Kmr Gm2; recombinant of pML122 and 969-bp HindIII-Xbal fragment containing visNThis st$	
RU11/001Sm ^r ; spontaneous streptomycin-resistant derivative of RU10/406 (wild type)25RU11/011 ^a $\Delta(flaA-flaC)::Sm^r Nm^r;$ nonmotile25RU11/300ASm ^r Tc ² ; RU11/001(pRU1770)This stRU11/300BSm ^r Tc ² ; RU11/317(pRU1770)This stRU11/300CSm ^r Tc ² ; RU11/318(pRU1770)This stRU11/317Sm ^r AvisRThis stRU11/318Sm ^r AvisNThis stRU11/318Sm ^r AvisNThis stRU11/391Sm ^r Nm ^r Gm ^r ; RU11/318(pRU1755)This stRU11/397Sm ^r Mort Gm ^r ; RU11/317(pRU1757)This stRU11/800Sm ^r $\Delta notBC$ 23RU11/801Sm ^r $\Delta orf38$ This stRU11/802Sm ^r $\Delta motA$ This stPlasmidspML122Km ^r Gm ^r 16pPHU234 ^b Tc ^r ; promoterless <i>lacZ</i> 11pRU1755Km ^r Gm ^r ; ccombinant of pML122 and 969-bp <i>Hind</i> III-XbaI fragment containing visNThis stPU1755Km ^r Gm ^r ; Recombinant of pML122 and 969-bp HindIII-XbaI fragment containing visNThis st	
RU11/011a $\Delta(flaA-flaC)::Sm^r Nm^{f}; nonmotile25RU11/300ASm^r Tc^r; RU11/001(pRU1770)This stRU11/300BSm^r Tc^r; RU11/317(pRU1770)This stRU11/300CSm r Tc^r; RU11/318(pRU1770)This stRU11/317Sm^r \Delta visRThis stRU11/318Sm^r \Delta visNThis stRU11/391Sm^r Nm^r Gm^r; RU11/318(pRU1755)This stRU11/397Sm^r Mm^r Gm^r; RU11/317(pRU1757)This stRU11/800Sm^r \Delta fliMThis stRU11/801Sm^r \Delta orf38This stRU11/802Sm^r \Delta motAThis stPlasmidspML122Kmr Gmr; promoterless lacZ16pPHU234thTcr; promoterless lacZ11pRU1755Kmr Gmr; recombinant of pML122 and 969-bp HindIII-XbaI fragment containing visNThis stRU1755Kmr Gmr; recombinant of pML122 and 969-bp HindIII-XbaI fragment containing visNThis st$	
RU11/300A Sm ^r Tc ² ; RÚ11/001(pRU1770) This st RU11/300B Sm ^r Tc ² ; RU11/317(pRU1770) This st RU11/300C Sm ^r Tc ² ; RU11/318(pRU1770) This st RU11/317 Sm ^r $\Delta visR$ This st RU11/318 Sm ^r $\Delta visN$ This st RU11/318 Sm ^r $\Delta visN$ This st RU11/318 Sm ^r $\Delta visN$ This st RU11/391 Sm ^r Nm ^r Gm ^r ; RU11/318(pRU1755) This st RU11/397 Sm ^r Nm ^r Gm ^r ; RU11/317(pRU1757) This st RU11/800 Sm ^r $\Delta motBC$ 23 RU11/800 Sm ^r $\Delta fiiM$ This st RU11/801 Sm ^r $\Delta orf38$ This st Plasmids pML122 Km ^r Gm ^r 16 pPHU234 ^b Tc ^r ; promoterless <i>lacZ</i> 11 11 pRU1755 Km ^r Gm ^r ; recombinant of pML122 and 969-bp <i>Hind</i> IIII- <i>XbaI</i> fragment containing <i>visN</i> This st PU1755 Km ^r Gm ^r ; recombinant of pML122 and 969-bp <i>Hind</i> IIII- <i>XbaI</i> fragment containing <i>visN</i> This st	
RU11/300B Sm ^r Tc ^r ; RU11/317(pRU1770) This st RU11/300C Sm ^r Tc ^r ; RU11/318(pRU1770) This st RU11/307 Sm ^r $\Delta visR$ This st RU11/318 Sm ^r $\Delta visN$ This st RU11/319 Sm ^r $\Delta visN$ This st RU11/391 Sm ^r Nm ^r Gm ^r ; RU11/318(pRU1755) This st RU11/397 Sm ^r Nm ^r Gm ^r ; RU11/317(pRU1757) This st RU11/513 Sm ^r $\Delta motBC$ 23 RU11/800 Sm ^r $\Delta fiiM$ This st RU11/801 Sm ^r $\Delta orf38$ This st RU11/802 Sm ^r $\Delta motA$ This st Plasmids pML122 fc ^r ; promoterless <i>lacZ</i> 11 pPHU234 ^b Tc ^r ; promoterless <i>lacZ</i> 11 pRU1755 Km ^r Gm ^r ; recombinant of pML122 and 969-bp <i>Hind</i> IIII- <i>XbaI</i> fragment containing <i>visN</i> This st PU1755 Km ^r Gm ^r ; recombinant of pML122 and 969-bp <i>Hind</i> IIII- <i>XbaI</i> fragment containing <i>visN</i> This st	udy
RU11/300C Sm ^r Tc ^r ; RU11/318(pRU1770) This st RU11/317 Sm ^r $\Delta visR$ This st RU11/318 Sm ^r $\Delta visN$ This st RU11/318 Sm ^r $\Delta visN$ This st RU11/319 Sm ^r Mm ^r Gm ^r ; RU11/318(pRU1755) This st RU11/397 Sm ^r Nm ^r Gm ^r ; RU11/317(pRU1757) This st RU11/513 Sm ^r $\Delta motBC$ 23 RU11/800 Sm ^r $\Delta fiiM$ This st RU11/801 Sm ^r $\Delta orf38$ This st RU11/802 Sm ^r $\Delta motA$ This st Plasmids pML122 Km ^r Gm ^r 16 pPHU234 ^b Tc ^r ; promoterless <i>lacZ</i> 11 11 pRU1755 Km ^r Gm ^r ; recombinant of pML122 and 969-bp <i>Hind</i> IIII- <i>XbaI</i> fragment containing <i>visN</i> This st PU1755 Km ^r Gm ^r ; recombinant of pML122 and 969-bp <i>Hind</i> IIII- <i>XbaI</i> fragment containing <i>visN</i> This st	udy
RU11/317Smr $\Delta visR$ This stRU11/318Smr $\Delta visN$ This stRU11/391Smr Nm^r Gmr'; RU11/318(pRU1755)This stRU11/397Smr Nmr Gm'; RU11/317(pRU1757)This stRU11/513Smr $\Delta motBC$ 23RU11/800Smr $\Delta filM$ This stRU11/801Smr $\Delta orf38$ This stRU11/802Smr $\Delta motA$ This stPlasmidspML122Kmr Gmr16pPHU234 ^b Tc'; promoterless lacZ11pRU1755Kmr Gmr'; recombinant of pML122 and 969-bp HindIII-XbaI fragment containing visNThis stPU1755Kmr Gmr'; recombinant of pML122 and 969-bp HindIII-XbaI fragment containing visNThis st	udy
RU11/318Smr $\Delta visN$ This stRU11/391Smr Nmr Gmr; RU11/318(pRU1755)This stRU11/397Smr Nmr Gmr; RU11/317(pRU1757)This stRU11/513Smr $\Delta motBC$ 23RU11/800Smr ΔfiM This stRU11/801Smr $\Delta orf38$ This stRU11/802Smr $\Delta motA$ This stPlasmidspML122Kmr GmrpPHU234 ^b Tc [*] ; promoterless lacZ11pRU1755Kmr Gmr; recombinant of pML122 and 969-bp HindIII-XbaI fragment containing visNThis stPU1755Kmr Gmr; recombinant of pML122 and 969-bp HindIII-XbaI fragment containing visNThis st	udy
RU11/391 Sm ^r Nm ^r Gm ^r ; RU11/318(pRU1755) This st RU11/397 Sm ^r Nm ^r Gm ^r ; RU11/317(pRU1757) This st RU11/513 Sm ^r $\Delta motBC$ 23 RU11/800 Sm ^r ΔfiM This st RU11/801 Sm ^r $\Delta orf38$ This st RU11/802 Sm ^r $\Delta motA$ This st Plasmids pML122 Km ^r Gm ^r 16 pPHU234 ^b Tc ^r ; promoterless <i>lacZ</i> 11 16 pRU1755 Km ^r Gm ^r ; recombinant of pML122 and 969-bp <i>Hind</i> III- <i>XbaI</i> fragment containing <i>visN</i> This st	udy
RU11/397Smr Nmr Gmr; RU11/317(pRU1757)This stRU11/513Smr $\Delta motBC$ 23RU11/800Smr $\Delta fliM$ This stRU11/801Smr $\Delta orf38$ This stRU11/802Smr $\Delta motA$ This stPlasmidspML122Kmr GmrpPHU234 ^b Tc'; promoterless lacZ11pRU1755Kmr Gmr; recombinant of pML122 and 969-bp HindIII-XbaI fragment containing visNThis stPU1755Kmr Gmr; recombinant of pML122 and 969-bp HindIII-XbaI fragment containing visNThis st	udy
RU11/513Smr $\Delta motBC$ 23RU11/800Smr $\Delta fliM$ This stRU11/801Smr $\Delta orf38$ This stRU11/802Smr $\Delta motA$ This stPlasmidspML122Kmr Gmr16pPHU234 ^b Tc ^r ; promoterless lacZ11pRU1755Kmr Gmr; recombinant of pML122 and 969-bp HindIII-XbaI fragment containing visNThis stThis stTCfKmr Gmr; recombinant of pML122 and 969-bp HindIII-XbaI fragment containing visNThis st	udy
RU11/800Smr Δ fliMThis stRU11/801Smr Δ orf38This stRU11/802Smr Δ motAThis stPlasmidspML122Kmr Gmr16pPHU234 ^b Tc ^r ; promoterless lacZ11pRU1755Kmr Gmr; recombinant of pML122 and 969-bp HindIII-XbaI fragment containing visNThis stPU1755Kmr Gmr; recombinant of pML122 and 969-bp HindIII-XbaI fragment containing visNThis st	-
RU11/801 RU11/802Smr $\Delta orf 38$ Smr $\Delta motA$ This stPlasmids pML122 pPHU234b pRU1755Kmr Gmr Tc ^r ; promoterless <i>lacZ</i> mr; recombinant of pML122 and 969-bp <i>Hind</i> III-XbaI fragment containing visN16 11 This stPU1755Kmr Gmr; recombinant of pML122 and 969-bp <i>Hind</i> III-XbaI fragment containing visNThis stPU1755Kmr Grd; recombinant of pML122 and 969-bp <i>Hind</i> III-XbaI fragment containing visNThis st	udy
RU11/802Smr $\Delta motA$ This stPlasmids pML122 pPHU234bKmr Gmr Tc ^r ; promoterless lacZ pRU175516 11 This stPU1755Kmr Gmr; recombinant of pML122 and 969-bp HindIII-XbaI fragment containing visN16 This stThis stThis st	udy
Plasmids pML122 Km ^r Gm ^r 16 pPHU234 ^b Tc ^r ; promoterless <i>lacZ</i> 11 pRU1755 Km ^r Gm ^r ; recombinant of pML122 and 969-bp <i>Hind</i> III-XbaI fragment containing visN This st DV1755 Km ^r Gm ^r ; recombinant of pML122 and 17 lb Xi L Vi L foreget exctining visN This st	udy
pML122 Km ^r Gm ^r 16 pPHU234 ^b Tc ^r ; promoterless <i>lacZ</i> 11 pRU1755 Km ^r Gm ^r ; recombinant of pML122 and 969-bp <i>Hind</i> III- <i>XbaI</i> fragment containing <i>visN</i> This st pNU1755 Km ^r Gm ^r ; recombinant of pML122 and 17.11 <i>Ki</i> / <i>k</i>	
pPHU234bTc'; promoterless lacZ11pRU1755Km ^r Gm ^r ; recombinant of pML122 and 969-bp HindIII-XbaI fragment containing visNThis stPU1755Km ^r Gm ^r ; recombinant of pML122 and 17 lb Vi L Vi L foregret containing visNThis st	
pRU1755 Km ^r Gm ^r ; recombinant of pML122 and 969-bp <i>Hind</i> III- <i>Xba</i> I fragment containing <i>visN</i> This st	
	udy
pRU1/56 Km ² Gm ² ; recombinant of pNL122 and 1.7-kb Xba1-Xho1 tragment containing Visiv and Visik I his st	udy
pRU1757 Km ^r Gm ^r ; recombinant of pML122 and 930-bp <i>SacI-XhoI</i> fragment containing <i>visR</i> This st	udy
pRU1770 Tc ^r ; <i>visN-lacZ</i> fusion This st	udy
pRU2250 Tc ^r ; <i>tlpA-lacZ</i> fusion This st	udy
pRU2269 Tc ^r ; <i>motA-lacZ</i> fusion This st	udy
pRU2274 Tc ^r ; <i>flaA-lacZ</i> fusion This st	udy
pRU2278 Tc ^r ; orf38-lacZ fusion This st	ıdy

TABLE 1. Bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study

^{*a*} This strain was erroneously defined as Δ (*flaA-flaB*) (25).

^b pPHU235 and pPHU236 are pPHU234 derivatives with different translational phasing of *lacZ* (11).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial strains and plasmids. Derivates of *E. coli* K-12 and *S. meliloti* MVII-1 (12) and the plasmids used in this study are listed in Table 1.

Media and growth conditions. *E. coli* strains were grown in Luria broth (19) at 37°C. *S. meliloti* strains were grown in TYC at 30°C (23). Motile cells prepared for immunoblots and β -galactosidase assays (see below) were grown overnight in TYC, diluted in 15 ml of RB (7) to an optical density at 600 nm (OD₆₀₀) = 0.05, layered on Bromfield plates (31), and grown on a slowly rotating platform at 30°C for 17 h to an OD₆₀₀ of ca. 0.1 to 0.5. Swarm plates containing Bromfield medium and 0.3% Bacto Agar were inoculated with 3-µl droplets of the test culture and incubated at 30°C for 2 days. Antibiotics were used at the following final concentrations: for *E. coli*, kanamycin, 50 mg/liter; gentamicin, 10 mg/liter; and tetracycline, 10 mg/liter; for *S. meliloti*, neomycin, 100 mg/liter.

DNA methods. *S. meliloti* chromosomal DNA was isolated and purified as previously described (31). Plasmid DNA was purified with Qiagen spin columns or Qiagen 100 tips, as described by the manufacturer (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). DNA fragments or PCR products were purified from agarose gels by use of the QiaEX DNA purification kit (Qiagen). PCR amplification of chromosomal DNA (33) and Southern analyses followed previously published protocols (23, 33). DNA was sequenced by the method of Sanger et al. (27) with a Quick Denature Sequences kit (Amersham Buchler, Braunschweig, Germany) or with an ABI 310 automatic sequencer (Applied Biosystems, Weiterstadt, Germany). Sequences were aligned and compared by using GCG sequence analysis software (4); similarities were calculated by the method of Henikoff and Henikoff (9).

Gene replacement and complementation. Deletions were generated in vitro by using two PCR steps as described by Higuchi (10). PCR products containing the desired deletions were cloned into the mobilizable suicide vector pK18 *mob sacB* (28) and used to transform *E. coli* S17-1. Clones were sequenced to ascertain the accuracy of PCR-generated portions. Filter crosses of *E. coli* S17-1 and *S. meliloti* and sequential selections on neomycin and on 10% sucrose were performed as previously described (31). Complementation with pML122-based recombinant genes (Table 1) followed established protocols (16).

Immunoblots. Aliquots (1 ml) of cell culture of wild-type *S. meliloti* RU11/001 and various deletion strains at an OD₆₀₀ of 0.3 were harvested by centrifugation at $20,000 \times g$ for 6 min, resuspended in 20 µl of sodium dodecyl sulfate sample buffer, and heated to 100°C for 8 min. Samples were stored at -20°C. Fla, FliM, and MotC proteins were separated electrophoretically in a 10 to 15% linear

acrylamide gradient and CheY1 was separated in a 12.5 to 20% linear gradient by the method of Laemmli (17). Electrophoretic transfer of proteins from gels to 0.45-µm-pore-size nitrocellulose (Hybond ECL; Amersham) was performed in a tank blot device (Biological Laboratories, Harvard University) for 1.5 h at 500 mA. The nitrocellulose blots were blocked overnight at room temperature in 80 mM Na₂HPO₄-20 mM NaH₂PO₄-100 mM NaCl-0.1% (vol/vol) Tween 20 (pH 7.5) (Bio-Rad)-5% instant nonfat dry milk on a shaking platform. The blots were probed with anti-FliM-MalE, anti-Fla, and anti-CheY1 polyclonal antibody was purified, as described by Platzer et al. (23), at a 1:250 dilution for 3 h. The blots were washed three times (10 min each), incubated with donkey anti-rabbit horseradish peroxidase-linked whole immunoglobulin antibody (Amersham) diluted 1:2,500, and washed four times (10 min each). Detection of bands by enhanced chemiluminescence (Amersham) was performed as specified by the manufacturer.

Construction of *lacZ* fusions and β -galactosidase assay. The broad-host range vector pPHU234 and two derivates, pPHU235 and pPHU236 (Table 1), served as vehicles for translational fusions to a promoterless lacZ (11). Five lacZ fusions were constructed to test gene expression in S. meliloti: (i) pRU1770, a recombinant of a 217-bp XbaI-HindIII visN (9-bp) fragment and pPHU234; (ii) pPHU2250, a recombinant of a 1,969-bp EcoRI-PstI tlpA (165-bp) fragment and pPHU235; (iii) pRU2269, a recombinant of a 786-bp EcoRI-HindIII motA (15bp) fragment and pPHU235; (iv) pRU2274, a recombinant of a 550-bp EcoRI-PstI flaA (62-bp) fragment and pPHU236; and (v) pRU2278, a recombinant of a 561-bp orf38 (23-bp) fragment and pPHU 234 (lengths of coding sequences are given in parentheses). The resulting lacZ fusion plasmids were used to transform E. coli S17-1 and then conjugally transferred to wild-type and mutant S. meliloti strains by a streptomycin-tetracycline double selection, as described by Labes et al. (16). Cultures of S. meliloti cells containing lacZ fusions were sampled, diluted in Z-buffer to an OD600 of 0.2, permeabilized with 1 drop of toluene, and assayed for β -galactosidase activity by the method of Miller (21).

RESULTS

VisN and VisR are LuxR-type regulatory proteins. The visN and visR genes form an operon located centrally in the *S. meliloti* flagellar regulon between *fliF* and two operons (start-

			1		
			++I	++ <i>S</i>	+ L + +T
VisN	MEMSRSDAVW	SSETAAPRVC	GRKISREQLI	RRLGEVASGA	GLGNGLAALT
LuxR		MKN	INADDTYRII	NKIKACRSNN	DINQCLSDMT
VisR		MAYLAT	EDRDDAGRSG	RNARAARAAT	LVTR - LQAMQ
		+	+ DD R	+A R+	+ + L M
	+ V + YLL	A	+ D + +	+P +	I
VisN	EYVGATHYLL	ARHDVSQDGG	LDFVVCSD	WPFDIVRRLS	GIIAGLHAKI
LuxR	KMVHCEYYLL	AIIYPHSM	VKSDISILDN	YPKKWRQYYD	DANLIKYDPI
VisR	RQINAKNFAV	LRTNGRGLPA	TRKLTCVLHN	WGASCEENAR	DLIMLYGDEL
	+ ++ + + +	+	+ +L+N	+ +	D ++ D +
			2		
	+	F	- + P	S	+ <i>F</i> +
VisN	TELEK	CLAOLOPAFH	TMPDDIGLP-	RGVSRS	YCAVTESV
LuxR	VDYSNSNHSP	INWNIFE	NNAVNKKSPN	VIKEAKTSGL	ITGFSFPIHT
VisR	LOHLDLSLLP	VLWNGOGEHO	TAEVSDFGPF	TRRLRERKLP	YSGIAFPIRI
	$\frac{-2}{+}$ + + + P	+ WN +	V+ P	+ +	+G + FPI
	3				
		ת		7	
VieN		<u>ע</u> 898D		TAVIACYUAG	
TUND	ANNGEGMI.SE	AUSEKDNVTD	ST.E-T.HACMN	TDI.TVDQI.VD	NVDVTNTANN
WigP	GAOGNGYJMF	AGSVIDASGE	OTVELHG-RS	AOTMADLLAA	DEKELEKA
VISR	GAQGNGIVMF	AGSIIDADGE		AQIMADUUAA	DERRIFRA
	66+7	A S D	+ 111 +	++ <i>D</i>	+ +++ A
				4	
		5	W . A . T T	4	
	+ LT+RE	ECL W EG	K+S +I IL	GST++	+T+KT
VisN	RECE-LTERE	LECLEWIAEG	KISDELAVIL	GISRNTINNY	ITSVMRKTAT
LuxR	KSNNDLTKRE	KECLAWACEG	KSSWDISKIL	GCSERTVTFH	LTNAQMKLNT
VisR	EALSDRE	IACLQMAGDG	HISEEIAEKM	GLSVHTVNAY	LGAATTKLDS
	L+RE	CL + +G	S +I++ +	GSTV +	L A KL++
•	R + + I A	+ +			
VisN	RTRSEAIAHA	VRNNLV	245		
LuxR	TNRCQSISKA	ILTGAIDCPY	FKN 250		
VisR	VNRIQAIAKA	IRLGYIS	246		

FIG. 1. Alignment of the LuxR (6) and VisR (33) polypeptide sequences. Comparisons of VisN and VisR each to LuxR with regard to identical (one-letter amino acid symbols) or similar residues (+; assigned according to the system of Henikoff and Henikoff [9]) are depicted above (VisN and LuxR) and below (VisR and LuxR) the sequences, respectively. LuxR-type functional domains are numbered as follows: 1, autoregulation; 2, ligand binding; 3, oligomerization; 4, transcription activation. The three components of the conserved helix-turn-helix DNA-binding motif (1, 9) are marked by black bars.

ing with *flhB* and *motA*, respectively) with opposite transcription polarity relative to all other genes (33). The derived polypeptide sequences of the structurally related 27-kDa VisN and VisR proteins revealed distinct similarities to the transcription activator LuxR (Fig. 1). LuxR-like factors typically consist of a receptor module with ligand-binding and oligomerization domains (located in the N-terminal half) and an activator module with the DNA-binding site featuring a helix-turnhelix motif and the transcription activation domain (located in the C-terminal half) (5). The entire LuxR with its autoinducer binding, oligomerization, and DNA-binding domains exhibits some 40% similarity (9) to the VisN and VisR polypeptides. The similarity to LuxR is more prominent among the DNAbinding domains with 39% identical and 60% similar residues for VisN and 42% identical and 60% similar residues for VisR, respectively. Both VisN and VisR contain the characteristic helix-turn-helix motifs, which have 36% identity and 60% sim-

ilarity to each other. With respect to LuxR, the lowest sequence conservation prevails in the ligand-binding domains of VisN and VisR, suggesting a new specificity for a yet-unknown ligand.

visN and visR knockout mutants were used to study the presumptive regulatory role of these genes. In-frame deletions of visN and visR constructed to avoid polar effects were used for allelic exchange of the wild-type genes by homologous recombination (28, 31). The resulting mutants, RU11/318 ($\Delta visN$) and RU11/317 ($\Delta visR$), were nonmotile under phase-contrast microscopy and on swarm plates (Fig. 2, spot 2). Electron microscopy revealed that both mutants were non-flagellate, pointing to impaired flagellar synthesis. Complementation by separate expression of the homologous wild-type alleles introduced on plasmids pRU1755 (visN) and pRU1757 (visR) completely restored swimming and swarming proficiency (Fig. 2, spot 3). The data clearly suggest that the gene products



FIG. 2. Effects on swarming of in-frame deletions of *visR* (A) and *visN* (B) and complementation by the wild-type alleles. (A) Swarm 1, RU11/001 (wild type); swarm 2, RU11/317 ($\Delta visR$); swarm 3, RU11/397 ($\Delta visR/visR$). (B) Swarm 1 RU11/001 (wild type); swarm 2, RU11/318 ($\Delta visN$); swarm 3 RU11/391 ($\Delta visN/visN$). Strains to be tested were transferred by micropipette (3 µl) onto Bromfield swarm plates and incubated at 30°C for 2 days. The diameter of a swarm ring reflects the motile proficiency of a given strain.

of *visN* and *visR* are acting in *trans* and are both required for flagellar synthesis.

VisN and VisR are master controls of the flagellar regulon. Features of the $\Delta visN$ and $\Delta visR$ deletion mutants, notably the total absence of flagella, led us to ask whether VisN and VisR are global regulators of the entire cluster of chemotaxis, flagellar, and motility genes (flagellar regulon). We therefore tested the expression of representative basal-body, motor, flagellin, and chemotaxis genes by Western analysis (Fig. 3) and by lacZ fusions (Table 2) in wild-type S. meliloti and in defined tester mutant background. Polyclonal antibodies against recombinant FliM, MotC, CheY1, and purified flagellar filaments (Fla) were used to probe gene expression of the four "indicator" genes, i.e., fliM, encoding a constituent of the basal flagellar body; *motC*, encoding a flagellar motor protein; *flaA* to *flaC*, encoding the flagellin subunits; and *cheY1*, encoding a chemotaxis response regulator (23, 24, 31, 32, 33). The results, shown in Fig. 3 (lanes 2 and 3), confirm the presumed role of VisN and VisR as global regulators, since none of the three genes representing major operons and the *fla* genes were expressed. neither in the $\Delta visN$ (RU11/318) nor in the $\Delta visR$ (RU11/317) mutant background. In a control experiment with wild-type S. meliloti, each indicator gene produced a strong signal (lane 1). Since visN and visR mutants each failed to express the fli, mot, fla, and che genes, we postulate that VisN and VisR may form a functional heterodimer, VisNR, that acts as transcription



FIG. 3. Western blot analysis of gene expression in wild-type and mutant *S. meliloti* by using polyclonal anti-FliM (α -FliM), anti-MotC (α -MotC), anti-flagellin (α -Fla), and anti-CheY1 (α -CheY1) antibodies. Equal amounts of total cell protein from strains 1 (RU11/001 [wild type]), 2 (RU11/317 [$\Delta visR$]), 3 (RU11/318 [$\Delta visN$]), 4 (RU11/801 [$\Delta orf38$]), 5 (RU11/800 [$\Delta fliM$]), 6 (RU11/802 [$\Delta motA$]), 7 (RU11/513 [$\Delta motBC$]), and 8 (RU11/011 [$\Delta flaA$ -flaC]) were separated by sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis, blotted on nitrocellulose, and detected with specific antibody as described in Materials and Methods. Note the lack of a signal where the indicator gene was deleted.

activator on target promoters. This is an intriguing new function for a LuxR-like regulatory protein.

Immunoblots of the four indicator genes have been extended to extracts of six additional strains bearing in-frame deletions in *orf38*, *fliM*, *motA*, *motBC*, and *flaA* to *flaC* (Fig. 3, lanes 4 to 8). By including these knockout mutants, a hierarchial order of gene expression within the flagellar regulon was derived. The results in Fig. 3 reveal differential expression of the four indicator genes depending on the mutant allele tested. The data facilitate a subdivision of the flagellar regulon into three classes of expression, with *visNR* as the master operon (class I); *orf38*, *fliM*, *motA*, and *motBC* as class II; and the *che* and *fla* genes as class III.

This scheme has been refined and confirmed by probing transcription from the promoters that correspond to the four indicator genes plus the *vis* operon itself. Table 2 lists the β -galactosidase activities of different *lacZ* fusions measured in seven tester strains and expressed in proportion to activities determined in wild-type *S. meliloti* RU11/001 (not shown). Ideally, the ratio of mutant to wild-type activity is 1 if the mutant allele exerts no control over the promoter tested and is

TABLE 2. Expression of five promoters fused to lacZ in seven different mutant tester strains

		I	mutant background	^a :			
Plasmid (lacZ fusion)	RU11/317 (ΔvisR) (class I)	RU11/318 (ΔvisN) (class I)	RU11/801 (Δorf38) (class IIA)	RU11/800 (Δ <i>fliM</i>) (class IIA)	RU11/802 (ΔmotA) (class IIB)	$\begin{array}{c} \text{RU11/513} \\ (\Delta motBC) \\ (\text{class IIB}) \end{array}$	RU11/011 (Δ <i>flaA-C</i>) (class III)
pRU1770 (vis operon) ^b	0.79	1.24	0.92	1.05	1.16	1.03	1.12
pRU2269 (<i>mofli</i> operon) ^b	0.01	0.03	1.42	1.43	1.31	1.02	1.53
pRU2278 (mot operon) ^b	0	0.04	1.23	1.31	0.65	1.26	1.20
pRU2274 (flaA)	0.04	0.02	0.34	0.22	0.97	0.96	1.52
pRU2250 (che operon) ^b	0	0	0.07	0.05	0.60	0.68	1.05

^{*a*} Relative activities are expressed as the ratio of β -galactosidase activities in a given mutant background to the wild-type background (not shown). Transcription from five promoters (left column) was determined via plasmid-borne *lacZ* fusions (see Materials and Methods). Mean values of four Miller assays (21) were averaged, with standard deviations ranging between 0.01 and 0.15.

^b Operons controlled by the specified promoters contain the following genes (33): vis, visN-R; mofli, motA fliMNG; mot, orf38 motBCD; che, tlpA orf2 cheY1AWRB Y2D orf10.

TABLE 3. In vivo vis promoter activity in exponentially growing and starved wild-type and mutant strains of *S. meliloti*

Stari-d	β-Galactosidase activity ^b (Miller units) in:			
Strain	Exponentially growing cells ^c	Cells after starvation ^a		
RU11/300A (wild type) RU11/300B (Δ <i>visR</i>) RU11/300C (Δ <i>visN</i>)	99 ± 8 98 ± 7 111 ± 8	132 ± 10 127 ± 9 149 ± 12		

^a Each strain contains the reporter plasmid pRU1770 (Table 1).

^{*b*} Values of β -galactosidase activity (21) with standard deviations were averaged from five independent experiments.

^{*c*} About 70% motile cells grown to an OD_{600} of 0.15.

^d Nonmotile cells starved in RB (7) at 30°C for 48 h.

close to 0 if the native allele is needed for gene expression. Accordingly, visN and visR (class I) are required for transcription of basal-body (fli), motor (mot), flagellin (flaA), and chemotaxis (che) genes but not for vis transcription itself (except for moderate repression exerted by VisN on vis promoter activity, as seen in strain RU11/317). fliM and orf38 (class IIA) exert control over flagellin (flaA) and chemotaxis (che) gene transcription but not over basal-body and motor genes. The mot genes (class IIB) have no control over the other classes, except for a slight stimulation of chemotaxis gene expression, which was, however, not seen on immunoblots (Fig. 3, lanes 6 and 7). The flaA gene (class III) has no control over other indicator genes. Increased expression levels listed under $\Delta flaA$ (Table 2, right-hand column) may reflect the titration of sigma factor that is normally engaged by strong *fla* promoters (24). We conclude that the vis operon lies at the top of the hierarchy as the sole class I operon, with both its gene products being absolutely required for the expression of the other genes in the flagellar regulon, i.e., those belonging to class II and class III. Class II genes have been subdivided into classes IIA (orf38 and *fliM*) and IIB (*motA* and *motBC*) depending on whether they control class III genes (fla and che) or not. Expression of the latter depends on class I and class IIA but not class IIB genes (Fig. 3 and Table 2).

Genetic control of the vis operon. The combination of a lacZfusion (pRU1770) and in-frame deletions of visN and visR used to probe transcription control of the vis genes (Table 2) has been extended to testing their expression at two extremes of bacterial growth. Exponentially growing motile cells and starved nonmotile cells (Table 3) with and without VisN or VisR were compared for expression of the vis operon. High β-galactosidase activities observed under these two conditions indicate constitutive expression (again, with some 20% repression by VisN alone [Table 2]) of visN and visR throughout all stages of growth with a 25% up-regulation in response to starvation (low energy). On the other hand, immunoblots and observations of swimming cells (data not shown) tell us that flagellar and motility genes are preferentially expressed in a "window" between early and mid-exponential growth but not in stationary or low-energy phases. Therefore, an additional regulatory element that controls the onset and close of VisNR activity (controlling motility) during growth must exist. We propose that it is the binding of a hitherto unknown effector to VisN, VisR, or both that triggers the transcription of class II genes.

DISCUSSION

The stepwise assembly of enterobacterial flagella is reflected by a regulatory cascade of three classes of genes securing the



FIG. 4. Regulation scheme of the *S. meliloti* flagellar, motility, and chemotaxis gene system falling into a hierarchy of three expression classes. The transcription polarities of operons and *flaA* are indicated by horizontal arrows drawn below the gene symbols (33). Translation to gene products (ellipsoids) is indicated by open white arrows, and positive regulatory controls are indicated by solid arrows. The postulated heterodimeric structure of VisNR, posttranslational activation by an unknown effector, and subclassification of basal-body (IIA) and motor (IIB) genes are included.

sequential biosynthesis of flagellar and motor components as needed (20). Similarly, the present study of gene expression within the S. meliloti flagellar regulon yielded three major classes of operons and genes that are expressed in hierarchical order. However, the molecular nature of two LuxR-type global activators and the different class assignment of mot genes are distinguishing new features of the S. meliloti system. The interdependence of gene expression deduced from Western blots and reporter gene assays (Fig. 3 and Table 2) is diagrammed in Fig. 4. Class I, represented by the vis operon, encodes two LuxR-type master regulatory proteins, VisN and VisR, both required for the expression of all flagellar, motility, and chemotaxis genes tested. The vis operon itself is constitutively expressed, with possible modulation by VisN and low metabolic energy; it does not require other gene products for transcription. However, quasiconstitutive transcription of vis (Table 3), on one hand, and the fact that motility is limited mostly to exponential growth, on the other hand, are contradictory unless one assumes posttranslational activation of VisNR by the binding of an effector (see below). Class II includes genes encoding basal-body components and motor proteins. Their expression requires the function of visN and visR but of no other genes of the flagellar regulon. Class III comprises cheY1, representing the chemotaxis operon (33), and *flaA*, a principal flagellin gene. Their expression requires class I and class IIA genes. The mot genes are also contained in class II operons, but they do not control chemotaxis and flagellation and have thus been assigned to class IIB.

Although a similar hierarchy of gene expression exists within the enterobacterial flagellar regulon (20), there are new features in the *S. meliloti* regulatory cascade. The global regulators, VisN and VisR, are of the LuxR type without structural resemblance to the enterobacterial master controls, FlhC and FlhD (18). Since both VisN and VisR are needed for gene activation, it is plausible to assume that they function as a heterodimer, VisNR. Multimerization is similarly reported of LuxR, the transcription activator of Vibrio fischeri luminescence (2). Like LuxR (6), VisNR supposedly requires the binding of a vet unknown effector for function. Unlike in quorum sensing (6), such ligand molecules are not present among those excreted into the medium by motile or densely grown bacteria (34), since S. meliloti cells showed no response when concentrated culture medium from motile or from densely grown cells was added (data not shown). We are currently testing endogenous metabolites and chemotactic attractants as candidate effectors of VisNR. Another complication is introduced by dissimilar polypeptide structures of the ligand-binding sites of VisN and VisR (Fig. 1) that may reflect different ligand-binding specificities. Given the heterodimeric molecular structure of functional VisNR, two different receptor domains conceivably require two different ligands for activation. Obviously, the correct mixture of two ligand molecules may not be readily available in the cell. Alternatively, the heterodimeric receptor domains may cooperate in binding a single effector molecule at their common interface.

The Mot proteins (notably MotA and MotB) of S. meliloti are encoded by different class II operons (33), unlike in E. coli and S. enterica serovar Typhimurium, where they map in one operon assigned to class III (20). The S. meliloti motA gene (class IIB) is part of an operon that also contains the C-ring component genes, fliMNG (class IIA). The motBCD genes (class IIB), on the other hand, belong to a separate operon together with orf38 (class IIA), which may encode a structural component of the basal body. Does this genetic (and regulatory) separation of the *motA* and *motBCD* genes and their linkage to basal-body genes reflect known differences in the S. meliloti mode of flagellar rotation requiring a more complex motor structure (23)? It needs to be elucidated whether the basal-body (rotor) and the four motor (stator) genes require coassembly as a way of securing their correct positioning relative to each other inside and outside the cytoplasmic membrane.

While VisN and VisR directly control class II genes, their control over class III genes (*flaA* and the *che* operon) is most likely to be an indirect one. The dependence of class III gene expression on the completion of basal-body structure and flagellar export (class IIA genes) implies a similar mode of control to that which operates in enterobacteria (20). In *E. coli* and *S. enterica* serovar Typhimurium, σ^{28} -mediated transcription of class III genes is inhibited by an anti-sigma factor, FlgM, which is expelled into the medium upon completion of the flagellar export apparatus, thus releasing σ^{28} for class III gene transcription. We expect that ongoing efforts toward completing the genetic map of the *S. meliloti* flagellar regulon (33) will, among other genes, also reveal class II genes that control class III transcription.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Andrea Brinnich for excellent technical assistance and Iris Kobl for expert artwork.

This study was supported by grant Schm68/24-3 from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft.

REFERENCES

- Baikalov, I., I. Schroder, M. Kaczor-Grzeskowiak, K. Grzeskowiak, R. P. Gunsalus, and R. E. Dickerson. 1996. Structure of the *Escherichia coli* response regulator NarL. Biochemistry 35:11053–11061.
- Choi, S. H., and E. P. Greenberg. 1992. Genetic evidence for multimerization of LuxR, the transcription activator of *Vibrio fischeri* luminescence. Mol. Mar. Biol. Biotechnol. 1:408–413.

- Cubo, M. T., A. Economou, G. Murphy, A. W. B. Johnston, and J. A. Downie. 1992. Molecular characterization and regulation of the rhizosphere-expressed genes *rhiABCR* that can influence nodulation by *Rhizobium leguminosarum* biovar viciae. J. Bacteriol. 174:4026–4035.
- Devereux, J., P. Haeberli, and O. Smithies. 1984. A comprehensive set of sequence analysis programs for the VAX. Nucleic Acids Res. 12:387–395.
- Fuqua, W. C., and S. C. Winans. 1994. A LuxR-LuxI type regulatory system activates *Agrobacterium* Ti plasmid conjugal transfer in the presence of a plant tumor metabolite. J. Bacteriol. 176:2796–2806.
- Fuqua, W. C., S. C. Winans, and P. Greenberg. 1994. Quorum sensing in bacteria: the LuxR/LuxI family of cell density-responsive transcriptional regulators. J. Bacteriol. 176:269–275.
- Götz, R., N. Limmer, K. Ober, and R. Schmitt. 1982. Motility and chemotaxis in two strains of *Rhizobium* with complex flagella. J. Gen. Microbiol. 128: 789–798.
- Gray, K. M., J. P. Pearson, J. A. Downie, B. E. A. Boboye, and E. P. Greenberg. 1996. Cell-to-cell signaling in the symbiotic nitrogen-fixing bacterium *Rhizobium leguminosarum*: autoinduction of a stationary phase and rhizosphere-expressed genes. J. Bacteriol. 178:372–376.
- Henikoff, S., and J. G. Henikoff. 1992. Amino acid substitution matrices from protein blocks. Prof. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 89:10915–10919.
- Higuchi, R. 1989. Using PCR to engineer DNA, p. 61–70. *In* H. A. Erlich (ed.), PCR technology. Principles and applications for DNA amplification. Stockton Press, New York, N.Y.
- Hübner, P., J. C. Willison, P. M. Vignais, and T. A. Bickle. 1991. Expression of regulatory *nif* genes in *Rhodobacter capsulatus*. J. Bacteriol. 173:2993– 2999.
- Kamberger, W. 1979. An Ouchterlony double diffusion study on the interaction between legume lectins and rhizobial cell surface antigens. Arch. Microbiol. 121:83–90.
- Komeda, Y., H. Suzuki, J.-I. Ishidsu, and T. Iino. 1975. The role of cAMP in flagellation of *Salmonella typhimurium*. Mol. Gen. Genet. 142:289–298.
- Krupski, G., R. Götz, K. Ober, E. Pleier, and R. Schmitt. 1985. Structure of complex flagellar filaments in *Rhizobium meliloti*. J. Bacteriol. 162:361– 366.
- Kutsukake, K., Y. Ohya, and T. Iino. 1990. Transcriptional analysis of the flagellar regulon of *Salmonella typhimurium*. J. Bacteriol. 172:741–747.
- Labes, M., A. Pühler, and R. Simon. 1990. A new family of RSF1010-derived expression and *lac*-fusion broad-host-range vectors for gram-negative bacteria. Gene 89:37–46.
- Laemmli, U. K. 1970. Cleavage of structural proteins during the assembly of the head of bacteriophage T4. Nature 227:680–685.
- Liu, X., and P. Matsumura. 1994. The FlhD/FlhC complex, a transcriptional activator of the *Escherichia coli* flagellar class II operons. J. Bacteriol. 176: 7345–7351.
- Luria, S. E., F. N. Adams, and R. C. Ting. 1960. Transduction of lactose utilizing ability among strains of *E. coli* and *S. dysenteriae* and the properties of the transducing phage particles. Virology 12:348–390.
- Macnab, R. M. 1996. Flagella and motility, p. 123–145. *In* F. C. Neidhardt, R. Curtiss III, J. L. Ingraham, E. C. C. Lin, K. B. Low, B. Magasanik, W. S. Reznikoff, M. Riley, M. Schaechter, and H. E. Umbarger (ed.), *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella*: cellular and molecular biology, 2nd ed. ASM Press, Washington, D.C.
- Miller, J. H. 1972. Experiments in molecular genetics, p. 352–355. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.
- Piper, K. R., S. Beck von Bodman, and S. K. Farrand. 1993. Conjugation factor of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* regulates Ti plasmid transfer by autoinduction. Nature (London) 362:448–450.
- Platzer, J., W. Sterr, M. Hausmann, and R. Schmitt. 1997. Three genes of a motility operon and their role in flagellar rotary speed variation in *Rhizobium meliloti*. J. Bacteriol. **179**:6391–6399.
- Pleier, E., and R. Schmitt. 1989. Identification and sequence analysis of two related flagellin genes in *Rhizobium meliloti*. J. Bacteriol. 171:1467–1475.
- Pleier, E., and R. Schmitt. 1991. Expression of two *Rhizobium meliloti* flagellin genes and their contribution to the complex filament structure. J. Bacteriol. 173:2077–2085.
- Rosemeyer, V., J. Michiels, C. Verreth, and J. Vanderleyden. 1998. *luxI* and *luxR*-homologous genes of *Rhizobium etli* CNPAF512 contribute to synthesis of autoinducer molecules and nodulation of *Phaseolus vulgaris*. J. Bacteriol. 180:815–821.
- Sanger, F., S. Nicklen, and A. R. Coulson. 1977. DNA sequencing with chain-terminating inhibitors. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 74:5463–5467.
- Schäfer, A., A. Tauch, W. Jäger, J. Kalinowski, G. Thierbach, and A. Pühler. 1994. Small mobilizable multi-purpose cloning vectors derived from the *Escherichia coli* plasmids pK18 and pK19: selection of defined deletions in the chromosome of *Corynebacterium glutamicum*. Gene 145:69–73.
- Silverman, M., and M. Simon. 1974. Characterization of *Escherichia coli* flagellar mutants that are insensitive to catabolite repression. J. Bacteriol. 120:1196–1203.
- Simon, R., M. O'Connell, M. Labes, and A. Pühler. 1986. Plasmid vectors for the genetic analysis and manipulation of *Rhizobia* and other gram-negative

- bacteria. Methods Enzymol. 18:640–659.
 Sourjik, V., and R. Schmitt. 1996. Different roles of CheY1 and CheY2 in the chemotaxis of *Rhizobium meliloti*. Mol. Microbiol. 22:427–436.
 Sourjik, V., and R. Schmitt. 1998. Phosphotransfer between CheA, CheY1, CheA, CheY1,
- and CheY2 in the chemotaxis signal transduction chain of *Rhizobium meliloti*. Biochemistry **37**:2327–2335.
- 33. Sourjik, V., W. Sterr, J. Platzer, I. Bos, M. Haslbeck, and R. Schmitt. 1998. Mapping of 41 chemotaxis, flagellar and motility genes to a single region of the *Sinorhizobium meliloti* chromosome. Gene 223:283–290.
 34. Swift, S., J. P. Throup, P. Williams, G. P. C. Salmond, and G. S. A. B.
- Stewart. 1996. Quorum sensing: a population-density component in the determination of bacterial phenotype. Trends Biochem. Sci. 21:214–219.