

## General

# Clinical Results Following Conservative Management of Tarsal Tunnel Syndrome Compared With Surgical Treatment: A Systematic Review

Neeraj Vij<sup>1 a</sup>, Heather N. Kaley<sup>2</sup>, Christopher L. Robinson<sup>3</sup>, Peter P. Issa<sup>4</sup>, Alan D. Kaye<sup>5</sup>, Omar Viswanath<sup>6</sup>, Ivan Urits<sup>7</sup>

<sup>1</sup> University of Arizona College of Medicine - Phoenix, <sup>2</sup> Creighton University School of Medicine, <sup>3</sup> Department of Anesthesia, Critical Care and Pain Medicine, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center and Harvard Medical School, <sup>4</sup> Louisiana State University Health Shreveport School of Medicine, <sup>5</sup> Louisiana State University, Department of Anesthesiology, <sup>6</sup> Louisiana State University Health Shreveport, Department of Anesthesiology; Creighton University School of Medicine, Department of Anesthesiology, <sup>7</sup> Department of Anesthesiology, Louisiana State University Health Shreveport

Keywords: foot and ankle, minimally invasive treatment, surgical decompression, surgical outcomes, evidence-based medicine

<https://doi.org/10.52965/001c.37539>

---

## Orthopedic Reviews

Vol. 14, Issue 3, 2022

---

### Introduction

Posterior tarsal tunnel syndrome involves entrapment of the posterior tibial nerve as it travels in the groove posterior to the medial malleolus. Conventional wisdom dictates that patients with tarsal tunnel syndrome be treated with conservative treatment and medical management, with surgical options available for patients with refractory symptoms and good candidacy. Minimally invasive options for neuropathic entrapment syndromes have developed in recent years and may provide a therapeutic role in tarsal tunnel syndrome.

### Objective

The present investigation provides a summary of the current state of knowledge on tarsal tunnel syndrome and a comparison between minimally invasive and surgical treatment options.

### Methods

The literature search was performed in Mendeley. Search fields were varied until redundant. All articles were screened by title and abstract and a preliminary decision to include an article was made. A full-text screening was performed on the selected articles. Any question regarding the inclusion of an article was discussed by 3 authors until an agreement was reached.

### Results

Most commonly tarsal tunnel syndrome is idiopathic. Other reported causes include post-traumatic, lipomas, cysts, ganglia, schwannomas, ganglia, varicose plantar veins, anatomic anomalies, and systematic inflammatory conditions. Several risk factors have been described including female gender, athletic participation, hypothyroidism, diabetes mellitus, systemic sclerosis, chronic renal failure, and hemodialysis use. A few recent studies demonstrate anatomic variants that have not previously been summarized. Three articles describe clinical outcomes after conservative treatment with acceptable results for first line treatment. Two primary articles report on the use of minimally invasive treatment for tarsal tunnel syndrome. Fourteen articles report on the clinical outcomes after surgical management.

---

#### <sup>a</sup> Corresponding author:

Neeraj Vij, BS, University of Arizona College of Medicine - Phoenix  
475 N 5th St, Phoenix, AZ 85004; email: [neerajvij@email.arizona.edu](mailto:neerajvij@email.arizona.edu);  
phone: 602-827-2002

## Conclusion

Clinical understanding of tarsal tunnel syndrome has evolved significantly, particularly with regards to the pathoanatomy of the tarsal canal over the past twelve years. A few novel anatomic studies shed light on variants that can be helpful in diagnosis.

Conservative management remains a good option that can resolve the symptoms of many patients. As more prospective cohorts and clinical trials are performed on minimally invasive options, pulsed radiofrequency and neuromodulation may evolve to play a larger role in the treatment of this condition. Currently, surgical treatment is only pursued in a very select group of patients with refractory symptoms that do not respond to medical or minimally invasive options. Surgical outcomes in the literature are good and current evidence is stronger than that for minimally invasive options.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Tarsal Tunnel Syndrome (TTS) is an uncommon clinical entity resulting from the compression of the tibial nerve coursing behind the medial malleolus underneath the flexor retinaculum into the plantar surface of the foot. TTS is sometimes referred to as posterior tibial nerve entrapment of the ankle. The condition was first recognized in 1933 by Koppel and Thompson as a post-traumatic peripheral nerve compression, but it was not until 1962 that the condition became known as “tarsal tunnel syndrome” and its clinical presentation related to its corollary of the hand, carpal tunnel syndrome.<sup>1</sup> Like most entrapment neuropathies, TTS presents in a variety of fashions and is complicated in that compression may be complete or partial, such that motor and/or sensory fiber involvement may be affected to varying degrees.<sup>1,2</sup>

At present, almost a century since its first description, TTS has been further characterized with regard to pertinent anatomy, epidemiology, etiology, pathophysiology, clinical presentation, and treatment.<sup>2</sup> The purpose of the present review, therefore, is to summarize TTS and describe its current treatment options.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

### SEARCH STRATEGY

The literature search was performed using Medical Search Headings (MeSH) in Mendeley version 1.19.8. Articles published between January 1975 to December 2021 were Search fields were varied until no new articles were collected at which point the search was considered exhaustive.

### STUDY SCREENING AND SELECTION

All articles were screened by title and abstract. An initial decision to include a given article was made based on relevance of the information within the abstract as determined by our inclusion/exclusion criteria ([Table 1](#)). This constructed a list of preliminarily included articles. These articles then underwent a full-text screening process. Any question regarding the inclusion of an article was discussed by all authors until an agreement was reached. The bibliographies of these articles were also hand-searched to identify any missing articles.

**Table 1. Inclusion and exclusion criteria as applied during the title/abstract screening and full-text screening.**

Inclusion Criteria	Exclusion Criteria
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Publication between 1975 - 2022</li> <li>• Use of conservative, minimally invasive, or surgical treatment options for tarsal tunnel syndrome</li> <li>• Level IV evidence and higher</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Absence of a conservative, minimally invasive, or surgical treatment option</li> <li>• Expert Opinion or Case Reports</li> </ul>

## 3. RESULTS

### 3.1. EPIDEMIOLOGY

TTS is a relatively uncommon entrapment neuropathy and is one of several entrapment neuropathies of the ankle. A numerical estimate of the prevalence of TTS has not been presented, however, estimates of a few studies report that it is likely underdiagnosed.<sup>2-4</sup> TTS is the fifth most commonly entrapment syndrome based on comparative estimates between studies.<sup>5</sup> There does not appear to be a preferential age distribution for TTS though these waters may be muddied with delay in presentation and misdiagnosis. TTS more commonly presents in active patients, likely due to repetitive stress to the peri-articular ankle region.<sup>6,7</sup>

### 3.2. ETIOLOGY

TTS can be due to several reasons, most all of which however involve compression of the tibial nerve or one of its branches as it courses posterior to the medial malleolus and onto the palmar surface of the foot. TTS generally presents idiopathically between 18% to 57% of the time.<sup>8</sup> Other causes include post-traumatic,<sup>3</sup> lipomas,<sup>5</sup> cysts,<sup>5</sup> ganglia,<sup>5</sup> schwannomas,<sup>5</sup> ganglia,<sup>5</sup> varicose plantar veins, anatomic anomalies,<sup>2,9,10</sup> and systematic inflammatory conditions.<sup>9,10</sup>

Most commonly, TTS arises post-traumatically as a result of fibrosis of the tissues periarticular to the ankle, injury to the ligament (often the medially located deltoid ligament), or bony changes.<sup>3</sup> For similar reasons, TTS is also described to be more common in athletes, especially long-distance runners, who repeatedly stress the peri-articular ankle region.<sup>6,7,11</sup> Space-occupying lesions (excluding varicosities) such as lipomas, cysts, and ganglia account for

roughly 20% of TTS cases, and is halved when considering only tumorigenic etiologies such as schwannomas and ganglia.<sup>5</sup> Varicose plantar veins and other conditions associated with venous return supply are thought to explain TTS etiology in roughly 17-25% cases, although a recent ultrasound-based study of 80 TTS patients found this number to be slightly higher at 31%.<sup>12</sup> Muscle-related disorders are also seen in TTS patients. Patients with hypertrophied muscles which cross the ankle joint, such as the abductor hallucis muscle, may present with TTS. Anatomic anomalies, such as the presence of the flexor digitorum accessorius longus or accessory abductor hallucis muscles have also been implicated as they impinge on the nerve.<sup>2,9,10</sup>

Other local etiologies of TTS include tenosynovitis, edema, tarsal coalition, and hypertrophied flexor retinacula.<sup>13</sup> TTS can also be caused by systemic pathologies, such as rheumatoid arthritis and inflammatory arthropathies, including synovitis.<sup>9,10</sup> Many systemic pathologies are also associated with TTS, including collagen-related diseases, hypothyroidism, diabetes mellitus, acromegaly, diabetes, and even sclerosis.<sup>13,14</sup>

### 3.3. RISK FACTORS

Demographic risk factors for the development of posterior tarsal tunnel syndrome include athletic participation, individuals who experience prolonged periods of repetitive weight-bearing activities<sup>4,7</sup> and the female gender.<sup>1,2</sup> Several preexisting medical conditions have also been identified as risk factors. Any include pathophysiological process that expand the volume of soft tissues within tarsal tunnel could theoretically cause tarsal tunnel syndrome through a pressure-mediated effect. Though few robust studies have examined the risk factors, a few case series and small studies have evaluated the presence of tarsal tunnel syndrome in specific groups. Identified medical conditions include hypothyroidism, diabetes mellitus, systemic sclerosis, chronic renal failure.<sup>4,7,15-21</sup> The myxedema that occurs in hypothyroidism can lead to tarsal tunnel syndrome from the increased swelling of tissues in the tarsal tunnel.<sup>17</sup> Patients affected by diabetes mellitus have higher rates of tarsal tunnel syndrome due to the chronic compression of the posterior tibial nerve which can further lead to diabetic foot ulceration.<sup>18,19</sup> Hemodialysis patients were found to have tarsal tunnel syndrome with rates up to 0.5% with increasing prevalence as maintenance dialysis went beyond 5 years most likely due to the deposition of beta-2 microglobulin.<sup>21</sup>

### 3.4. ANATOMY AND PATHOANATOMY

The tarsal tunnel is a fibro-osseous channel with borders comprised of the medial malleolus anterosuperiorly, the talus posteriorly, and calcaneus laterally.<sup>22</sup> The tarsal tunnel is anchored by the flexor retinaculum extending from the medial malleolus to the medial calcaneus and prevents the displacement of its contents (oriented from medial to lateral) - posterior tibialis tendon, flexor digitorum longus tendon, posterior tibial artery and vein, posterior tibial nerve (L4-S3), and flexor hallucis longus tendon.<sup>23,24</sup> The posterior tibial nerve bifurcates in the tarsal tunnel into the medial and plantar nerves, but in 5% of people the nerve

bifurcates before the tarsal tunnel.<sup>25</sup> The medial plantar nerve provides motor innervation to the lumbricals, abductor hallucis, flexor digitorum brevis, and flexor hallucis brevis and sensation to the medial half of the foot and first 3.5 digits.<sup>26</sup> It passes deep to the abductor hallucis and flexor hallucis longus muscle.

Whereas the lateral plantar nerve directly enters the belly of the abductor hallucis muscle providing motor innervation to the flexor digitorum brevis, quadratus plantae, and abductor digiti minimi and sensory innervation to the medial calcaneus and lateral heel. The medial calcaneal nerve provides sensory innervation to the posteromedial heel and branches off the posterior tibial nerve proximal to the tunnel; in about a quarter of patients, it branches off the lateral plantar nerve or can run superficial to the flexor retinaculum.<sup>27</sup>

Tarsal tunnel syndrome stems from the compression of the posterior tibial nerve, the lateral plantar, or medial plantar within the tunnel secondary to structural, pathologic, or biomechanical factors.<sup>22,28-30</sup> Since the tarsal tunnel is a confined space any anatomical or pressure changes may lead to pathology and can compress the neurovascular structures.<sup>4</sup> Nearly half of affected patients have had some trauma to the tarsal tunnel leading to scar tissue, bone or cartilage fragments, or bony spurs that may compress the nerve.<sup>5</sup>

### 3.5. CLINICAL PRESENTATION

Patients with tarsal tunnel syndrome (TTS) most commonly present with intermittent anesthesia, paresthesia, or dysesthesia along the plantar aspect of the foot, most often described as a burning pain.<sup>16,31,32</sup> The onset of pain is frequently insidious especially in idiopathic cases or it can begin after a trauma to the ankle.<sup>31</sup> The numbness or pain correlates with which portion of the tibial nerve is involved, meaning if the medial plantar nerve is involved the medial aspect of the foot will be where symptoms occur.<sup>33</sup> The pain often radiates distally to the entrapment and may involve the toes.<sup>34</sup> Rarely the numbness and pain can radiate proximal to the entrapment and involve the calf, this is known as the Valleix phenomenon.<sup>31,32</sup> If more distal branches of the nerve are involved, weakness of the abductor hallucis may occur.<sup>35</sup> Weakness in the smaller intrinsic muscles of the foot can rarely be appreciated on clinical exam.<sup>16</sup> The pain often worsens after prolonged standing or at night.<sup>16,31</sup> Symptoms are typically relieved with rest and elevation of the foot.<sup>31</sup> Neurological exam can show decreased sensation to touch and pinprick and some weakness in toe flexion, although, sensory testing typically is not revealing.<sup>16,35</sup> Percussion over the tibial nerve posterior to the medial malleolus will reproduce the symptoms indicating a positive Tinel's sign.<sup>16,31,32</sup> Sustained eversion or inversion or digital compression of the nerve can also reproduce the symptoms.<sup>31</sup> Exam should also assess for space occupying lesions that may be contributing to the pain or compressing the nerve.<sup>35</sup> A thorough history and exam should be performed to rule out other neuropathic conditions that may be causing the pain.<sup>35,36</sup>

### 3.6. DIAGNOSIS

To make a diagnosis of TTS the patient should have pain or dysesthesia in the area supplied by the tibial nerve, a positive Tinel's sign, and electrophysiological studies, electromyography (EMG) or nerve conduction studies (NCS), showing decreased nerve conduction.<sup>14,32</sup> Electrophysiological studies are the most reliable ways to confirm TTS.<sup>31</sup> NCS will typically show reduced sensory action potentials and a decrease in conduction speed velocity.<sup>16</sup> There may also be reductions in motor conduction velocity and compound muscle action potential amplitude, but those findings less sensitive compared to sensory nerve conduction studies.<sup>16,35</sup> When compared to other nerve entrapment syndromes, electrophysiological testing is less sensitive in TTS.<sup>37</sup> Imaging can be done to evaluate for space-occupying lesions, bony deformities or evidence of nerve entrapment.<sup>31,32,37</sup> Plain radiographs can be used to assess for bony deformities and weight-bearing films should be done on patients with suspected TTS to also assess heel alignment.<sup>31,35</sup> Ultrasound can be useful to assess for space-occupying lesions and assessing the anatomy of the patient and can also assess for pressures within the tarsal tunnel.<sup>38-40</sup> MRI is useful for treatment planning in addition to assessing for space occupying lesions that could be causing the TTS.<sup>32,35,39</sup> MRI in patients with TTS will show an abnormality in 85% of patients and can thus be a useful diagnostic tool.<sup>35</sup> Electrophysiologic studies and imaging can both assess for other neuropathic conditions causing the symptoms instead of TTS and ensure patients receive appropriate treatments.<sup>16</sup>

### 3.7. CONSERVATIVE TREATMENT

TTS is generally managed conservatively, and medical management should be thoroughly attempted before initiating minimally invasive or surgical treatments. Our literature search revealed 3 articles that explored the clinical outcomes of conservative treatment in tarsal tunnel syndrome (see [Table 2](#)). In general, conservative treatment consists of rest, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), foot orthoses (often for foot-deformity-derived TTS), physiotherapy/stretching exercises, and local anesthetics such as corticosteroid injections<sup>41</sup> and local anti-inflammatory salves. Use of NSAIDs and corticosteroid injections appear to be most effective for inflammatory-related etiologies such as tenosynovitis or rheumatoid arthritis.<sup>9,42,43</sup> Other conservative treatments indicated for TTS include extracorporeal shock wave therapy, laser, heel cups, heel pads, night splints, and arch supports.<sup>44,45</sup> However, it is worth mentioning that the current literature is inconclusive regarding the use of arch supports for the treatment of.<sup>44,45</sup>

Regarding TTS, conservative treatment is often indicated for patients with mild to moderate pain and less foot comorbidities.<sup>14</sup> The most recent empirical work looking at conservative TTS treatment found improvement in both pain and range of motion for all 28 patients when patients followed a robust 6-week physiotherapy program which included stretching, muscle strengthening exercises, and the use of medial arch supports as well as wedges.<sup>44</sup>

### 3.8. MINIMALLY INVASIVE TREATMENTS

The results of our literature search did not return any randomized controlled trials or cohort studies regarding the clinical efficacy of minimally invasive treatment of tarsal tunnel syndrome.<sup>2-4,46,47</sup> Publications exist but are limited to case series and detailing the use of minimally invasive treatments such as cryosurgery<sup>48</sup> and radio pulsed frequency.<sup>49</sup> These are summarized in [Table 3](#). Cryosurgery is a possible option when conservative management has failed with an early study demonstrating empirical effectiveness.<sup>4,48</sup> Cryosurgery has shorter recovery periods, no need for an operating room, no potential for scar formation, and complete functional capability after cryosurgery is completed.<sup>4,48</sup> Despite the empirical success, its usefulness is limited by few studies of cryosurgery in the treatment of tarsal tunnel syndrome. Pulsed frequency is another minimally invasive treatment modality with some potential in tarsal tunnel syndrome. Pulsed radiofrequency is generally conducted under ultrasound in two patients demonstrated a reduction in the visual analog scale (VAS) scores from 8-9 to 2-3 at 12 month follow up in one patient and 8 to 2 after two rounds of radiopulsed frequency in the second patient at the 8 month follow up.<sup>49,50</sup> Though providing promising data, this case series is isolated and limited by level of evidence. Large scale cohort studies and clinical trials would be needed before definitive statements regarding the clinical efficacy of minimally invasive treatments in tarsal tunnel syndrome could be made.

### 3.9. SURGICAL TREATMENTS

Surgical treatment of TTS aims to alleviate the nerve entrapment by decompressing the densely packed neurovascular space of the posterior tarsal tunnel.<sup>37,51,52</sup> The results of our literature search revealed fourteen primary studies reporting on the clinical outcomes after surgical management of tarsal tunnel syndrome. These are summarized in [Table 4](#).

Important to the discussion of surgical treatment is patient selection. Surgical intervention may be the appropriate next step for patients in whom medical management and minimally invasive treatment options fail.<sup>14,36</sup> The success rate of conservative treatment is not clear and should be tried before proceeding with surgery.<sup>14,38</sup> Patients with a known cause of TTS, such as a space occupying lesion or history of trauma, are more likely to have a satisfactory result after surgery than patients with idiopathic TTS.<sup>34,52</sup> Comorbid obesity and diabetes decrease the likelihood of having a good surgical outcome.<sup>14,53</sup>

## 4. CONCLUSIONS

Tarsal tunnel syndrome is the fifth most common entrapment syndrome and can occur at any age. It is generally idiopathic but can be due to post-traumatic inflammation, lipomas, cysts, ganglia, schwannomas, ganglia, varicose plantar veins, anatomic anomalies, and systematic inflammatory conditions. Our understanding the pathoanatomy of the tarsal canal over the past twelve years with recent cadaveric studies highlighting important anatomic variants

**Table 2. A summary of the three published outcome studies revealed by our literature search on the conservative treatment of tarsal tunnel syndrome.**

Lead Author	Year	Journal	Institution	Study Type	Level of Evidence	Number of Patients	Success Measure	Success Rate	Complication Rate	Reported Complications	Length of Follow-Up
William Gondring	2009	Foot and Ankle Surgery	Heartland Health, St. Joseph, MO, USA	Prospective		56	Pain Scale, Satisfaction	17/56	2/56	Brace leading to sleep problems	4 weeks mean (1-12 range)
Yasemin Kavlak	2011	Journal of Manipulative and Physiological Therapeutics	Orthotic Rehabilitation Department of Hacettepe University, Ankara	Prospective		14	ROM, Muscle Strength, Pain Severity, 2-point discrimination (MCN, LPN, MPN), Light touch (MCN, LPN, MPN), Paresthesia, Tinel sign, TNST	ROM (.01), Muscle Strength (.01), Pain Severity (.00), 2-point discrimination (.28, .09, .71), Light touch (.06, .22, .73), Paresthesia (1.00), Tinel sign (1.00), TNST (.50)	None	None	6 weeks
Yasemin Kavlak	2005	Fizyoterapi Rehabilitasyon	Hacettepe University Department of Orthopedics and Traumatology	Prospective		17	Pain Intensity, Functional Foot Score, Symptom Severity Scale, Foot Functional Index, Ankle Mobility, Heel-Ankle Mobility, Arc Mobility, Total Limitation, Strength	Pain Intensity (< .05), Functional Foot Score (< .05), Symptom Severity Scale (< .05), Foot Functional Index (< .05) Ankle Mobility (> .05) Heel-Ankle Mobility (< .05) Arc Mobility (< .05) Total limitation (< .05) Strength (< .05)	None	None	6 weeks

**Table 3. A summary of the two published studies exploring minimally invasive treatments as revealed by our literature search in the treatment of tarsal tunnel syndrome.**

Lead Author	Year	Journal	Institution	Modality Studied	Level of Evidence	Number of Patients	Success Measure	Success Rate	Reported Complications	Length of Follow-Up
Goldstein	2006	Podiatry Management	Not available ("private practice" mentioned in methods)	Cryoneurolysis	IV (Case Series)	13	VAS score	70%	None reported	6-12 weeks
Chon	2014	Journal of Anesthesia	Catholic University of Korea	Pulsed radiofrequency	IV (Case Series)	2	VAS score	100%	None Reported	8 or 12 months

**Table 4. A summary of the fourteen published studies with clinical outcomes for surgical intervention of tarsal tunnel syndrome.**

Lead Author	Year	Journal	Institution	Study Type	Level of evidence	Number of patients	Surgical procedure	Success Measure	Success Rate	Complication Rate	Reported Complications	Length of follow up
William H. Gondring M.D. <sup>8</sup>	2009	Foot and Ankle Surgery	Heartland Health	Prospective cohort	Level I	32	Tarsal Tunnel Release	Nursing history assessment, patient questionnaire, visual anatomic pain scale	All patients were satisfied	1 reported	Wound dehiscence	5 weeks
Xin Yu <sup>4</sup>	2020	Cell and Tissue Banking	First Hospital of Jilin University	Case series	Level IV	107	Singh method and non-singh method Tarsal Tunnel Release	Takakura evaluation criteria	62% had excellent or good outcomes	15/107	poor post operative outcome, Transient ischemia attack, long term pain medication use	5-74 months, avg-26 months
Masatoshi Yunoki <sup>13</sup>	2020	Asian Journal of Neurosurgery	Kagawa Rosai Hospital	Case series	Level IV	5	Tarsal Tunnel Release	Takakura evaluation criteria, Mondelli's scale	4 of 5 good or excellent	2 of 5	fluid leakage from the wound	none reported
Murat Gulcek <sup>7</sup>	2019	Foot and Ankle Surgery	Ankara Numune Education and Research Hospital, Ankara, Turkey	Case series	Level IV	66	Tarsal Tunnel Release	FFI index and questionnaire	45.1% very satisfied, 16.9% minor symptoms	18% no improvement, 18.3% continued symptoms	Not satisfied	9 years
Paweł Reichert <sup>10</sup>	2015	Foot and Ankle Surgery	Wroclaw Medical University	Case series	Level IV	31	Tarsal Tunnel Release	Vas and AOFAS scores	71% good/very good, 22% satisfactory	7% reported bad, 2% reported unsatisfactory	Bad, unsatisfactory surgical outcomes	12 months
Kyongsong Kim <sup>15</sup>	2014	Neurologia Medico-Chirurgica	Chiba Hokuso Hospital	Case series	Level IV	69	Neurovascular bundle decompression	Patient self satisfaction assessments	47 of 69 were satisfied	9/69	Surgical failure	none reported
Ki-sun Sung <sup>14</sup>	2009	Foot and Ankle International	Sungkyunkwan University	Case series	Level IV	20	Tarsal Tunnel Release	VAS and AOFAS scores, subjective satisfaction	54% satisfied	15/107	numbness, tingling, hypoesthesia	14.5 months
J. Jerosch <sup>5</sup>	2006	Foot and Ankle	Johanna-Etienne	Case series	Level IV	75	Tarsal Tunnel Release	Vas and AOFAS scores	43/75 satisfied, 53/75	Number not reported	Superficial Wound	6-100 months

Clinical Results Following Conservative Management of Tarsal Tunnel Syndrome Compared With Surgical Treatment: A...

Lead Author	Year	Journal	Institution	Study Type	Level of evidence	Number of patients	Surgical procedure	Success Measure	Success Rate	Complication Rate	Reported Complications	Length of follow up
		Surgery	Hospital						improved VAS		infections	(avg 39 months)
William H. Gondring M.D. <sup>23</sup>	2003	Foot and Ankle International	Heartland Health	Case series	Level IV	60	Tarsal Tunnel Release	Objective and Subjective symptom relief	85% objective, 51% subjective	none reported	n/a	None reported
G. James Sammarco <sup>2</sup>	2003	Foot and Ankle International	Tulane University School of Medicine	Case series	Level IV	62	Tarsal Tunnel Release	MFS and AOFAS scores	Statistically significant improvement in MFS scores postoperatively	none reported	n/a	58 months
Michihiro Kohno <sup>9</sup>	2000	Journal of Neurology Neurosurgery and Psychiatry	Tokyo Medical University	Case Series	Level IV	9	Tarsal tunnel opening and neurovascular decompression	Resolution on sensory disturbance	6 complete resolution, 3 partial resolution	none reported	n/a	26.8 months
Akira Mori <sup>20</sup>	1997	Orthopedics and Traumatology	Fukuoka University Chikushi Hospital	Case series	Level IV	6	Tarsal Tunnel Release	Sensory recovery	all patients had sensory recovery	none reported	n/a	none reported
Tetsuki Sato <sup>21</sup>	1991	Orthopedics and Traumatology	Fukuoka University Chikushi Hospital	Case series	Level IV	10	Tarsal Tunnel Release	Sensory recovery	all patients had sensory recovery	none reported	n/a	none reported
Yoshinori Takakura <sup>22</sup>	1991	Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery	Nara Medical University	Case series	Level IV	45	Tarsal Tunnel Release	Takakura evaluation criteria	Not reported	none reported	n/a	4 years 9 months on average

that may prove useful in extending treatment options. Conservative management remains a good option that can resolve the symptoms of many patients. As more prospective cohorts and clinical trials are performed on minimally invasive options, pulsed radiofrequency and neuromodulation may evolve to play a larger role in the treatment of this condition. Surgical outcomes are described in the literature as good and current evidence is stronger than that for minimally invasive options. However, surgical treatment should only be pursued very select group of patients with refractory symptoms that do not respond to medical or minimally invasive options.

.....

#### AUTHOR ROLES

Study concept and design: N. V.; I. U.; O.V.; Acquisition of data: N. V.; I. U.; O.V.; Analysis and Interpretation of data:

N. V.; HN.K; CL.R.; PPI.; A.K.; I.U.; O.V.; Drafting of the Manuscript: N. V.; HN.K; CL.R.; PPI.; I.U.; O.V.; critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: N. V.; HN.K; CL.R.; PPI.; I.U.; A.K.; O.V.; Statistical Analysis: none; Administrative, technical, and material support N. V.; I. U.; O.V.; Study supervision: N. V.; I. U.; A.K.; O.V.;

#### DISCLOSURES STATEMENT

We have no disclosures or potential conflicts of interests.

#### FURTHER INFORMATION

This manuscript did not receive any funding and has not been presented at any conferences.



## REFERENCES

1. Dellon AL. The Four Medial Ankle Tunnels: A Critical Review of Perceptions of Tarsal Tunnel Syndrome and Neuropathy. *Neurosurg Clin N Am.* 2008;19(4):629-648. doi:10.1016/j.nec.2008.07.003
2. Lau JTC, Daniels TR. Tarsal tunnel syndrome: A review of the literature. *Foot Ankle Int.* 1999;20(3):201-209. doi:10.1177/107110079902000312
3. Antoniadis G, Scheglmann K. Posterior tarsal tunnel syndrome: diagnosis and treatment. *Dtsch Arztebl.* 2008;105(45). doi:10.3238/arztebl.2008.0776
4. McSweeney SC, Cichero M. Tarsal tunnel syndrome—A narrative literature review. *Foot.* 2015;25(4):244-250. doi:10.1016/j.foot.2015.08.008
5. Campbell WW, Landau ME. Controversial Entrapment Neuropathies. *Neurosurg Clin N Am.* 2008;19(4):597-608. doi:10.1016/j.nec.2008.07.001
6. Jackson DL, Haglund B. Tarsal tunnel syndrome in athletes: Case reports and literature review. *Am J Sports Med.* 1991;19(1):61-65. doi:10.1177/036354659101900110
7. Jackson DL, Haglund BL. Tarsal Tunnel Syndrome in Runners. *Sport Med An Int J Appl Med Sci Sport Exerc.* 1992;13(2):146-149. doi:10.2165/00007256-199213020-00010
8. Takakura Y, Kitada C, Sugimoto K, Tanaka Y, Tamai S. Tarsal tunnel syndrome. Causes and results of operative treatment. *J Bone Jt Surg - Ser B.* 1991;73-B(1):125-128. doi:10.1302/0301-620x.73b1.1991745
9. Baylan SP, Paik SW, Barnert AL, Ko KH, Yu J, Persellin RH. Prevalence of the tarsal tunnel syndrome in rheumatoid arthritis. *Rheumatology.* 1981;20(3):148-150. doi:10.1093/rheumatology/20.3.148
10. Erickson SJ, Quinn SF, Kneeland JB, et al. MR imaging of the tarsal tunnel and related spaces: Normal and abnormal findings with anatomic correlation. *Am J Roentgenol.* 1990;155(2):323-328. doi:10.2214/ajr.155.2.2115260
11. Baltopoulos P, Kaseta M, Papadopoulou M, Tzagarakis G, Sasagiani C. Tarsal tunnel syndrome in athletes - Analysis of surgical treatment results. *Ortop i Travmatol.* 2001;37(1).
12. Mussanna A, D'Emic N, Mohammad S, Fayyaz F, Coey J, Sulaiman S. Ultrasound identification of the anatomical relations of the tibial nerve within the tarsal tunnel. *Clin Anat.* 2017;30(5).
13. Stull PA, Hunter RE. Posterior tibial nerve entrapment at the ankle. *Oper Tech Sports Med.* 1996;4(1):54-60. doi:10.1016/s1060-1872(96)80011-6
14. Gondring WH, Trepman E, Shields B. Tarsal tunnel syndrome: Assessment of treatment outcome with an anatomic pain intensity scale. *Foot Ankle Surg.* 2009;15(3):133-138. doi:10.1016/j.fas.2008.10.03
15. Radu L, Groppa L, Vudu L. MUSCULOSKELETAL IMPAIRMENT IN PRIMARY HYPOTHYROIDISM. *Rev Med Chir Soc Med Nat Iasi.* 2016;120(2).
16. Mondelli M, Giannini F, Reale F. Clinical and electrophysiological findings and follow-up in tarsal tunnel syndrome. *Electroencephalogr Clin Neurophysiol - Electromyogr Mot Control.* 1998;109(5):418-425. doi:10.1016/s0924-980x(98)00039-3
17. Schwartz MS, Mackworth-Young CG, McKeran RO. The tarsal tunnel syndrome in hypothyroidism. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry.* 1983;46(5):440-442. doi:10.1136/jnnp.46.5.440
18. Rinkel WD, Castro Cabezas M, Birnie E, Coert JH. The natural history of tarsal tunnel syndrome in diabetic subjects. *J Plast Reconstr Aesthetic Surg.* 2020;73(8):1482-1489. doi:10.1016/j.bjps.2020.02.033
19. Dellon AL. Treatment of symptomatic diabetic neuropathy by surgical decompression of multiple peripheral nerves. *Plast Reconstr Surg.* 1992;89(4):689-697. doi:10.1097/00006534-199204000-00018
20. Dellon AL, Mackinnon SE, Seiler WA IV. Susceptibility of the diabetic nerve to chronic compression. *Ann Plast Surg.* 1988;20(2):117-119. doi:10.1097/0000637-198802000-00004
21. Imai K, Ikoma K, Imai R, et al. Tarsal tunnel syndrome in hemodialysis patients: A case series. *Foot Ankle Int.* 2013;34(3):439-444. doi:10.1177/1071100712466388
22. Kim HJ, Han SJ. Relationship between tarsal tunnel depth and weight-bearing. *J Musculoskelet Pain.* 2012;20(1):51-56. doi:10.3109/10582452.2011.635845

23. Singh G, Kumar VP. Neuroanatomical basis for the tarsal tunnel syndrome. *Foot Ankle Int.* 2012;33(6):513-518. doi:10.3113/fai.2012.0513
24. Manske MC, McKeon KE, McCormick JJ, Johnson JE, Klein SE. Arterial anatomy of the posterior tibial nerve in the tarsal tunnel. *J Bone Jt Surg - Am Vol.* 2016;98(6):499-504. doi:10.2106/jbjs.15.00787
25. Torres ALG, Ferreira MC. Study of the anatomy of the tibial nerve and its branches in the distal medial leg. *Acta Ortop Bras.* 2012;20(3):157-164. doi:10.1590/s1413-78522012000300005
26. Banik S, Guria LR. Variable Branching Pattern of Tibial Nerve in the Tarsal Tunnel: A Gross Anatomical Study With Clinical Implications. *Cureus.* Published online March 6, 2021. doi:10.7759/cureus.13729
27. Kim BS, Choung PW, Kwon SW, Rhyu IJ, Kim DH. Branching patterns of medial and inferior calcaneal nerves around the tarsal tunnel. *Ann Rehabil Med.* 2015;39(1):52. doi:10.5535/arm.2015.39.1.52
28. Ricciardi-Pollini PT, Moneta MR, Falez F. The Tarsal Tunnel Syndrome: A Report of Eight Cases. *Foot Ankle Int.* 1985;6(3):146-149. doi:10.1177/107110078500600305
29. Nayagam S, Slowvik GM, Klenerman L. The tarsal tunnel syndrome: a study of pressures within the tunnel and review of the anatomy. *Foot.* 1991;1(2):93-96. doi:10.1016/0958-2592(91)90037-c
30. Inthasan C, Mahakkanukrauh P. Tarsal tunnel syndrome: Anatomical facts and clinical implications. *J Anat Soc India.* 2019;68(3):236. doi:10.4103/jasi.jasi\_14\_19
31. Sammarco GJ, Chang L. Outcome of surgical treatment of tarsal tunnel syndrome. *Foot Ankle Int.* 2003;24(2):125-131. doi:10.1177/107110070302400205
32. Seo SG, Jung HG. Heel pain syndrome (HPS). In: *Foot and Ankle Disorders: An Illustrated Reference.* Springer; 2016:403-423. doi:10.1007/978-3-642-54493-4\_12
33. Jerosch J, Saad M, Greig M, Filler T. Suprascapular nerve block as a method of preemptive pain control in shoulder surgery. *Knee Surgery, Sport Traumatol Arthrosc.* 2008;16(6):602-607. doi:10.1007/s00167-008-0520-3
34. Yu X, Jiang Z, Pang L, Liu P. Surgical efficacy analysis of tarsal tunnel syndrome: a retrospective study of 107 patients. *Cell Tissue Bank.* 2021;22(1):115-122. doi:10.1007/s10561-020-09871-y
35. Singh SK, Wilson MG, Chiodo CP. The surgical treatment of tarsal tunnel syndrome. *Foot.* 2005;15(4):212-216. doi:10.1016/j.foot.2005.07.005
36. Gulcek M, Aydin N, Oznur A. Surgical Treatment of Tarsal Tunnel Syndrome. *J Foot Ankle Surg (Asia Pacific).* 2019;6(1):13-17. doi:10.5005/jp-journals-10040-1096
37. Kohno M, Takahashi H, Segawa H, Sano K. Neurovascular decompression for idiopathic tarsal tunnel syndrome: Technical note. *J Neurosurg Psychiatr.* 2000;69(1):87-90. doi:10.1136/jnnp.69.1.87
38. Jerosch J, Schunck J, Khoja A. Results of surgical treatment of tarsal tunnel syndrome. *Foot Ankle Surg.* 2006;12(4):205-208. doi:10.1016/j.fas.2006.05.001
39. Fernández-Gibello A, Moroni S, Camuñas G, et al. Ultrasound-guided decompression surgery of the tarsal tunnel: a novel technique for the proximal tarsal tunnel syndrome—Part II. *Surg Radiol Anat.* 2019;41(1):43-51. doi:10.1007/s00276-018-2127-9
40. Iborra Marcos A, Villanueva Martinez M, Sanz-Ruiz P, Barrett SL, Zislis G. Ultrasound-Guided Proximal and Distal Tarsal Decompression: An Analysis of Pressures in the Tarsal, Medial Plantar, and Lateral Plantar Tunnels. *Foot Ankle Spec.* 2021;14(2):133-139. doi:10.1177/1938640020905423
41. Urits I, Smoots D, Francioni H, et al. Injection Techniques for Common Chronic Pain Conditions of the Foot: A Comprehensive Review. *Pain Ther.* 2020;9(1):145-160. doi:10.1007/s40122-020-00157-5
42. Küçükdeveci AA, Kutlay Ş, Seçkin B, Arasil T. Tarsal tunnel syndrome in ankylosing spondylitis. *Rheumatology.* 1995;34(5):488-489. doi:10.1093/rheumatology/34.5.488
43. Olivieri I, Gemignani G, Siciliano G, Gremignani G, Pasero G. Tarsal tunnel syndrome in seronegative spondyloarthritis. *Rheumatology.* 1989;28(6):537-539. doi:10.1093/rheumatology/28.6.537
44. Kavlak Y, Uygur F. Determining the efficacy of conservative treatment in tarsal tunnel syndrome: a randomized controlled trial [sic] [Turkish]. *Fiz Rehabil.* 2005;16(2):51-60.
45. Crawford F, Atkins D, Edwards J. Interventions for treating plantar heel pain. *Foot.* 2001;11(4):228-250. doi:10.1054/foot.2002.0689
46. Urits I, Hasegawa M, Orhurhu V, et al. Minimally Invasive Treatment of Chronic Ankle Instability: a Comprehensive Review. *Curr Pain Headache Rep.* 2020;24(3). doi:10.1007/s11916-020-0840-7

47. Hasegawa M, Urits I, Orhurhu V, et al. Current Concepts of Minimally Invasive Treatment Options for Plantar Fasciitis: a Comprehensive Review. *Curr Pain Headache Rep.* 2020;24(9). [doi:10.1007/s11916-020-00883-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11916-020-00883-7)
48. Goldstein S. Cryosurgery for the treatment of tarsal tunnel syndrome: early results suggest this is a viable option to surgical intervention. *Pod Manag.* 2006;25(8):163.
49. Chon JY, Hahn YJ, Sung CH, Jung SH, Moon HS. Pulsed radiofrequency under ultrasound guidance for the tarsal tunnel syndrome: two case reports. *J Anesth.* 2014;28(6):924-927. [doi:10.1007/s00540-014-1831-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00540-014-1831-9)
50. Chang MC. Efficacy of pulsed radiofrequency stimulation in patients with peripheral neuropathic pain: A narrative review. *Pain Phys.* 2018;21(3). [doi:10.36076/ppj.2018.3.e225](https://doi.org/10.36076/ppj.2018.3.e225)
51. Yunoki M. Analysis of surgical cases of tarsal tunnel syndrome in our department: Case series and literature review. *Asian J Neurosurg.* 2020;15(1):59. [doi:10.4103/ajns.ajns\\_257\\_19](https://doi.org/10.4103/ajns.ajns_257_19)
52. Sung KS, Park SJ. Short-term operative outcome of tarsal tunnel syndrome due to benign space-occupying lesions. *Foot Ankle Int.* 2009;30(8):741-745. [doi:10.3113/fai.2009.0741](https://doi.org/10.3113/fai.2009.0741)
53. Bouysset M, Denarié D, Coillard JY, et al. Predictive factors of effective tibial nerve release in tarsal tunnel syndrome. *Foot Ankle Surg.* 2022;28(5):610-615. [doi:10.1016/j.fas.2021.06.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fas.2021.06.009)