



OPEN ACCESS

EDITED AND REVIEWED BY
Junaid Kashir,
Alfaisal University, Saudi Arabia

*CORRESPONDENCE
Winnie Shum,
shumw@shanghaitech.edu.cn

SPECIALTY SECTION
This article was submitted to Molecular
and Cellular Reproduction,
a section of the journal
Frontiers in Cell and Developmental
Biology

RECEIVED 14 July 2022
ACCEPTED 28 July 2022
PUBLISHED 24 August 2022

CITATION
Shum W, Zhang BL, Cao AS, Zhou X,
Shi SM, Zhang ZY, Gu LY and Shi S
(2022), Corrigendum: Calcium
homeostasis in the epididymal
microenvironment: Is extracellular
calcium a cofactor for matrix gla
protein-dependent scavenging
regulated by vitamins.
Front. Cell Dev. Biol. 10:994291.
doi: 10.3389/fcell.2022.994291

COPYRIGHT
© 2022 Shum, Zhang, Cao, Zhou, Shi,
Zhang, Gu and Shi. This is an open-
access article distributed under the
terms of the [Creative Commons
Attribution License \(CC BY\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). The use,
distribution or reproduction in other
forums is permitted, provided the
original author(s) and the copyright
owner(s) are credited and that the
original publication in this journal is
cited, in accordance with accepted
academic practice. No use, distribution
or reproduction is permitted which does
not comply with these terms.

Corrigendum: Calcium homeostasis in the epididymal microenvironment: Is extracellular calcium a cofactor for matrix gla protein-dependent scavenging regulated by vitamins

Winnie Shum^{1,2*}, Bao Li Zhang^{1,2,3}, Albert Shang Cao¹,
Xin Zhou¹, Su Meng Shi¹, Ze Yang Zhang¹, Lou Yi Gu¹ and
Shuo Shi⁴

¹School of Life Science and Technology, ShanghaiTech University, Shanghai, China, ²Center for Excellence in Molecular Cell Science, Shanghai Institute of Biochemistry and Cell Biology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai, China, ³NHC Key Lab of Reproduction Regulation, Shanghai Institute for Biomedical and Pharmaceutical Technologies, Reproduction and Development Institution, Fudan University, Shanghai, China, ⁴Shanghai Institute for Advanced Immunochemical Studies, ShanghaiTech University, Shanghai, China

KEYWORDS

sperm maturation, epididymis, calcium homeostasis, luminal microenvironment, GGCX, matrix gla protein (MGP), TRPV6, TMEM16A

A Corrigendum on

[Calcium homeostasis in the epididymal microenvironment: Is extracellular calcium a cofactor for matrix gla protein-dependent scavenging regulated by vitamins](https://doi.org/10.3389/fcell.2022.827940)

by Shum W, Zhang BL, Cao AS, Zhou X, Shi SM, Zhang ZY, Gu LY and Shi S (2022). *Front. Cell Dev. Biol.* 10:827940. doi: 10.3389/fcell.2022.827940

In the published article, there was an error in [Table 1](#) as published. The footnote does not correspond to the superscript in the table. The corrected [Table 1](#) and its caption appear below.

In the published article, there was an error in the **Funding** statement. The grant support from “Science and Technology Commission of Shanghai Municipality (STCSM19140903400)” was not included in the original statement, which is:

“This work is supported by NNSFC grants (31871166; 82071704) to WWS and startup of ShanghaiTech University.”

The correct **Funding** statement appears below.

TABLE 1 Concentrations of inorganic elements (mM) and pH in blood plasma and intraluminal fluids from the excurrent duct of rats.

	Blood	Seminiferous tubule (SNT)	Rete testis	Efferent duct	Initial segment	Caput	Corpus	Cauda	Vas deferens
Na ⁺	138.65~147.2 ^{a,b,c}	109.5~135.44 ^{a,b}	130.8~141.84 ^{b,c,d}	144.2 ^c	136.8 ^c	101.8~112.1 ^{a,b,d}	57.9~93.8 ^{a,b}	20.6~37.17 ^{a,b,d}	23.3 ^a
K ⁺	4.9~5.83 ^{b,c}	39.77~46.2 ^{a,b}	12.4~16.1 ^{b,c,d}	5.7 ^c	11.6 ^c	16.0~27.6 ^{a,b,d}	37.3~38.3 ^{a,b}	39.98~55.1 ^{a,b,d}	51.9 ^a
Ca ²⁺	0.52~2.4 ^{b,c}	0.44 ^b	0.81~0.9 ^{b,c}	2.2 ^c	1.3 ^c	0.85 ^b	0.51 ^b	0.25 ^b	?
Mg ²⁺	0.37~3.3 ^{b,c}	1.19 ^b	0.39~1.5 ^{b,c}	2.7 ^c	1.7 ^c	1.97 ^b	2.61 ^b	0.9 ^b	?
Cl ⁻	98.0~122.14 ^{a,b,c}	118.0~143.37 ^{a,b}	129.7~135.76 ^{b,c}	112.8 ^c	116.7 ^c	24.25~31.0 ^{a,b}	24.4~39.09 ^{a,b}	23.6~27.04 ^{a,b}	19.3 ^a
Total P ^e	2.25~3.5 ^{b,c}	9.22 ^b	1.2~1.72 ^{b,c}	3.2 ^c	4.5 ^c	59.22~82.5 ^{b,f}	80.8~93.76 ^{b,f}	79.4~88.7 ^{b,f}	73.3 ^f
HCO ₃ ⁻	23.0~30.1 ^{a,g,h}	10.6~19 ^{a,g}	22.9 ^h	45.2 ^h	8.7~20.4 ^{g,h}	2.7~4.8 ^{a,g}	?	6.7 ^a	6.7 ^a
pH	7.39~7.5 ^{a,g,h,i}	6.93~7.31 ^{a,g,i,j}	7.34 ^h	7.66 ^h	6.79~7.26 ^{g,h,j}	6.48~6.64 ^{a,g,i,j}	7.10~7.18 ^{g,i}	6.85~6.88 ^{a,g,i}	6.85 ^a
Osmolarity ^k	299.4~311 ^{a,c}	338 ^a	306.6 ^c	303.1 ^c	300.5 ^c	315 ^a	340 ^a	329 ^a	339 ^a

^aData included from Levine and Marsh (1971).

^bData included from Jenkins et al. (1980).

^cData included from Clulow et al. (1994).

^dData included from Turner (1984).

^fData included from Hinton and Setchell (1980).

^gData included from Caffisch (1992).

^hData included from Newcombe et al. (2000).

ⁱData included from Caffisch and DuBose (1990).

^jData included from Levine and Kelly (1978).

^eTotal P represents measurements including inorganic phosphorus, glycerophosphocholine and phosphocholine.

^kOsmolarity unit: mOsm/kg.

“This work is supported by NNSFC grants (31871166; 82071704), Science and Technology Commission of Shanghai Municipality grant (19140903400), and the startup of ShanghaiTech University to WWS.”

The authors apologize for this error and state that this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.