Mutational Analysis of the OprM Outer Membrane Component of the MexA-MexB-OprM Multidrug Efflux System of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*

XIAN-ZHI LI AND KEITH POOLE*

Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario, Canada K7L 3N6

Received 24 August 2000/Accepted 4 October 2000

OprM is the outer membrane component of the MexA-MexB-OprM efflux system of Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Multiple-sequence alignment of this protein and its homologues identified several regions of high sequence conservation that were targeted for site-directed mutagenesis. Of several deletions which were stably expressed, two, spanning residues G199 to A209 and A278 to N286 of the mature protein, were unable to restore antibiotic resistance in OprM-deficient strains of P. aeruginosa. Still, mutation of several conserved residues within these regions did not adversely affect OprM function. Mutation of the highly conserved N-terminal cysteine residue, site of acylation of this presumed lipoprotein, also did not affect expression or activity of OprM. Similarly, substitution of the OprM lipoprotein signal, including consensus lipoprotein box, with the signal peptide of OprF, the major porin of this organism, failed to impact on expression or activity. Apparently, acylation is not essential for OprM function. A large deletion at the N terminus, from A12 to R98, compromised OprM expression to some extent, although the deletion derivative did retain some activity. Several deletions failed to yield an OprM protein, including one lacking an absolutely conserved LGGGW sequence near the C terminus of the protein. The pattern of permissive and nonpermissive deletions was used to test a topology model for OprM based on the recently published crystal structure of the OprM homologue, TolC (V. Koronakis, A. Sharff, E. Koronakis, B. Luisi, and C. Hughes, Nature 405:914-919, 2000). The data are consistent with OprM monomer existing as a substantially periplasmic protein with four outer membrane-spanning regions.

Pseudomonas aeruginosa is an opportunistic human pathogen characterized by high-level intrinsic and mutationally acquired resistance to multiple antibiotics, for which multidrug efflux systems have emerged as key mechanisms. A number of multidrug efflux systems, including MexAB-OprM (12, 35, 36), MexCD-OprJ (34), MexEF-OprN (18), and MexXY-OprM (1, 27, 46), have been identified in this organism; two of these, MexAB-OprM and MexXY-OprM, contribute to intrinsic resistance in wild-type cells (1, 21, 46). Hyperexpression of MexAB-OprM occurs in nalB-type multidrug-resistant mutants, while overexpression of MexXY (AmrAB) correlates with acquired aminoglycoside resistance in this organism (1, 21, 46). Expression of MexCD-OprJ and MexEF-OprN is so far restricted to nfxB (34)- and nfxC (18)-type multidrug-resistant mutants, respectively. These tripartite efflux systems include an inner membrane, presumed drug-proton antiporter of the resistance-nodulation-cell division efflux family (39) (MexB, MexD, MexF, and MexY), a periplasmic but cytoplasmic membrane-anchored membrane fusion protein (15) (MexA, MexC, MexE, and MexX), and an outer membrane efflux protein (15) (OprM, OprJ, and OprN) (31). Apparently, antibiotics entering the cytoplasm or, perhaps, the cytoplasmic membrane are captured by the pump and extruded directly into the medium (31).

The OprM protein was first reported in 1992 to be associated with acquired multiple antibiotic resistance in *P. aerugi*-

nosa (26). A component both of the MexAB-OprM and MexXY-OprM multidrug efflux systems, the protein plays a role in resistance to several antibiotics, including aminoglycosides (as part of MexXY-OprM) (1), tetracycline, chloramphenicol, quinolones, β-lactams, novobiocin, macrolides, trimethoprim, and organic solvents (as part of MexAB-OprM) (19, 21–23, 26). Hybridization studies with an oprM probe have revealed that OprM is highly conserved in all serotypes of P. aeruginosa (3), highlighting its probable significance vis-à-vis intrinsic and acquired antibiotic resistance in this organism. Expected to function in the extrusion of drugs across the outer membrane, OprM has been implicated as a channel-forming protein. Recently, channel-forming activity has been demonstrated in planar lipid bilayer membranes, although the channels observed did not seem compatible with export of the diversity and size of compounds known to be substrates of the MexAB-OprM pump (47). Still, the probable involvement of TonB, a cytoplasmic membrane energy-coupling protein, in MexAB-OprM-mediated drug efflux and resistance (51) may facilitate channel opening. This protein is known, for example, to interact with outer membrane receptors for ferric siderophore complexes, promoting conformational changes necessary for ligand passage through the receptor channel (5, 28).

OprM homologues have been identified in a variety of gramnegative bacteria (33) and include OprJ and OprN of *P. aeruginosa* (18, 34), SrpC of the *Pseudomonas putida* SrpABC pump (17), OpcM of the *Burkholderia cepacia* CeoAB-OpcM pump (6), and SmeC of the *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* SmeABC pump (GenBank accession number AF173226; X.-Z. Li, L. Zhang, and K. Poole, Abstr. 100th Gen. Meet. Am. Soc. Microbiol., abstr. A-33, 2000). Predicted to be lipoproteins, these

^{*} Corresponding author. Mailing address: Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario, Canada K7L 3N6. Phone: (613) 533-6677. Fax: (613) 533-6786. E-mail: poolek@post.queensu.ca.

all possess a characteristic lipoprotein box; indeed, acylation of OpcM has been demonstrated (6).

This study was undertaken to examine the significance of acylation for OprM function, as well as the functional importance of the highly conserved regions of the OprM family. The numerous deletions constructed as part of this process were then used to assess the accuracy of a topology model for OprM based on the recently solved crystal structure of TolC, an OprM homologue (20). This trimeric protein is comprised of monomers that span that membrane four times, producing a 12-membrane-spanning β -barrel within the outer membrane with an extensive periplasmic α -helical barrel (20).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial strains, plasmids, and growth media. Bacterial strains and plasmids used in this study are listed in Table 1. Bacteria were routinely cultured in Luria-Bertani (LB) broth (1% [wt/vol] Difco tryptone, 0.5% [wt/vol] Difco yeast extract, 0.5% [wt/vol] NaCl) at 37°C with shaking (180 rpm), except for susceptibility testing, for which cultures were not shaken. In some instances, $2 \times TY$ broth (1.6% [wt/vol] Difco tryptone, 1% [wt/vol] Difco yeast extract, 0.5% [wt/vol] Difco tryptone, 1% [wt/vol] Difco yeast extract, 0.5% [wt/vol] NaCl) was used as the growth medium. *Escherichia coli* strains carrying plasmids were cultivated in the presence of the appropriate antibiotic: ampicillin (100 μ g/ml), chloramphenicol (30 μ g/ml), kanamycin (50 μ g/ml), or tetracycline (10 μ g/ml). *P. aeruginosa* strains harboring pVLT31 and its derivatives were cultivated in the presence of tetracycline (10 μ g/ml).

DNA methodology. Basic DNA procedures, including restriction endonuclease digestions, ligations, transformations, and agarose gel electrophoresis, were performed as described by Sambrook et al. (40). The alkaline lysis method (40) or a plasmid midi kit (Qiagen Inc., Missassauga, Ontario, Canada) was used to isolate plasmids from E. coli DH5a. The genomic DNA of P. aeruginosa was extracted by the method of Barcak et al. (2). DNA fragments used in cloning were extracted from agarose gels using Prep-A-Gene (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Richmond, Calif.) according to the manufacturer's instructions. E. coli cells were made competent by the $CaCl_2$ method (40) or, when supercompetent cells of E. coli were required, the method of Inoue et al. (14). Oligonucleotides used in this study (Table 2) were designed and assessed using a Primer Design software package (version 2.01; Scientific & Educational Software, Durham, N.C.) to minimize secondary structure and dimer formation. All oligonucleotides were chemically synthesized by Cortec DNA Services Inc., Queen's University, with the exception of oprm68xz and oprm69xz, which were synthesized by MWG Biotech Inc. (High Point, N.C.). Nucleotide sequencing was carried out using universal or custom primers by Cortec DNA Services (Queen's University) or the Laboratory Services Division, University of Guelph, Guelph, Ontario, Canada). Nucleotide sequence was analyzed using the PCGene software package (Intelligenetics Inc., Mountain View, Calif.) and DNAMAN (Lynnon Biosoftware, Vaudreuil, Quebec, Canada).

Construction of the oprM deletion mutants. To delete oprM in P. aeruginosa ML5087 and its derivatives, the gene replacement suicide vector pK18mobsacB carrying an oprM deletion (pXZL04) was constructed as previously described (44). Following transformation of E. coli S17-1, pXZL04 was mobilized into P. aeruginosa ML5087 via conjugation (34). Briefly, E. coli S17-1 carrying pXZL04 was grown overnight in LB broth in the presence of kanamycin with shaking at 37°C, and the P. aeruginosa strains were grown in LB broth overnight without shaking at 42°C. Overnight donor and recipient cultures (100 µl of each) were mixed, pelleted by centrifugation (3 min in a microcentrifuge), and resuspended in 50 µl of LB broth. The cell suspensions were spotted on LB agar and incubated overnight at 37°C. The bacterial cells on LB agar were resuspended with 1 ml of LB broth, and dilutions were plated onto agar plates supplemented with kanamycin and tetracycline (to counterselect E. coli). Transconjugants carrying a copy of pXZL04 in the chromosome were recovered from kanamycin-tetracycline-containing LB agar plates and streaked onto LB agar containing 10% (wt/vol) sucrose. Sucrose-resistant colonies, which had lost pK18mobsacB sequences (kanamycin sensitive) and carried either an unaltered wild-type copy of oprM or the oprM deletion, were thus recovered. Potential oprM deletion mutants were initially screened for antibiotic susceptibility, a phenotype typical of OprM-deficient P. aeruginosa. The presence of the oprM deletion was then confirmed using PCR as described previously (52) with genomic DNA and primers oprmp1 and oprm21xz (Table 2). The absence of OprM in these mutants was also confirmed by Western immunoblotting with an antiserum specific to OprM (see below).

Cloning of the native oprM gene. The wild-type oprM gene (GenBank accession number L23839) of ca. 1.5 kb was previously cloned into pT7-7 and pVLT31, yielding pKPM-1 and pKPM-2, respectively (48). Examination of the genomic oprM sequence of P. aeruginosa (Pseudomonas genome project [http://www .pseudomonas.com]) revealed that the cloned oprM gene of pKPM-1 and pKPM-2 differed from the chromosomal counterpart at the 3' end, with the last 66 bp of native oprM (corresponds to 22 residues in OprM) replaced by 42 bp (14 residues in OprM) from the phagemid originally used to clone the gene. Still, the OprM protein encoded by pKPM-2 (48) was fully functionally and restored drug resistance to OprM-deficient strains (44, 47). To obtain a clone of the wild-type oprM gene, PCR was used to amplify 800 bp at the 3' end of the native oprM gene from the chromosome of P. aeruginosa PAO1 (or ML5087), which was then used to replace the corresponding segment of oprM on pKPM-1. Amplification was carried out using primers oprm14xz (anneals upstream of the BamHI site in oprM) and oprm21xz (contains a HindIII site) (Table 2) in a reaction mixture containing 50 ng of chromosomal DNA, 40 pmol of each primer, 0.2 mM each deoxynucleoside triphosphate, 2 mM MgSO₄, 10% (vol/vol) dimethyl sulfoxide, and 2 U of Vent DNA polymerase in 1× Thermo reaction buffer (New England Biolabs; NEB). The mixture was heated for 2 min at 94°C and then amplified by 30 cycles of 1 min at 94°C, 1 min at 56°C, and 2 min at 72°C. The PCR products were purified using a Qiaquick PCR purification kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. After digestion with BamHI and HindIII, the PCR product was cloned into BamHI-HindIII-restricted pKPM-1, which removed the incorrect 3' sequence of oprM, yielding plasmid pXZL33. Following confirmation of the correct nucleotide sequence and expression of OprM from pXZL33, an oprM-containing XbaI-HindIII fragment of pXZL33 was cloned into XbaI-HindIII-restricted-pVLT31 and -pTZ19U, yielding pXZL34 and pXZL40, respectively.

PCR-based site-directed mutagenesis of oprM. A number of deletion, insertion, and point mutations were introduced into oprM by using an approach based on PCR. To construct the A12-R98 deletion derivative, two PCRs were carried out, resulting in the amplification of sequences upstream (using primers oprm1xz and oprm68xz) and downstream (using primers oprm69xz and oprm21xz) of the deletion endpoints. The PCR mixtures were formulated as above except that 10 ng of pXZL33 was used as the template. The mixtures were heated for 2 min at 94°C and then subjected to 30 cycles of 1 min at 94°C, 1 min at 52°C, and 0.5 min (for the 100-bp upstream product) or 1.2 min (for the 1.2-kb downstream product) at 72°C. Following purification and digestion with XbaI and SstI (100-bp product) or SstI and HindIII (1.2-kb product), the PCR products were cloned into XbaI-HindIII-restricted pTZ19U via a three-piece ligation procedure to vield pXZL134. The deletion was confirmed by nucleotide sequencing, liberated on a ca. 1.3-kb XbaI-HindIII fragment, and cloned into XbaI-HindIII-restricted pVLT31 to yield pXZL137. The C1G OprM mutation was also constructed by amplifying oprM in two parts. The region upstream of the mutation site was amplified with primers oprm1xz and oprm6xz (Table 2), and that downstream was amplified with primers oprm7xz (a mutagenic primer that changes the TGC cysteine codon to the GGC glycine codon) and oprm2xz (Table 2), using reaction conditions described above. The 140-bp upstream fragment was digested with XbaI and PstI and cloned into XbaI-PstIII-restricted pRK415. This construct was then digested with PstI-HindIII to permit cloning of the PstI-HindIII-digested 1.4-kb downstream product. The resultant vector, which carries an intact oprM gene with a PstI site near the glycine codon, was digested with PstI and treated with T4 DNA polymerase (NEB) at 12°C for 20 min (40). Vector recircularization with T4 DNA ligase yielded pXZL11, which carried the desired C1G oprM mutation. The C1G mutant oprM gene of pXZL11 was then recovered on a 1.5-kb XbaI-HindIII fragment and cloned into XbaI-HindIII-digested pT7-7 to produce pXZL13. Since the oprM gene used here originated from pKPM-1, in which the 3' sequence of oprM differed from that of the native oprM (above), the C1G oprM-containing XbaI-SalI fragment (ca. 300 bp at the 5' end of oprM) of pXZL13 was used to replace the XbaI-SalI fragment of the native oprM gene of pXZL40, resulting in pXZL141. The C1G mutated oprM gene of pXZL141 was then liberated on an XbaI-HindIII fragment and cloned into XbaI-HindIII-restricted pVLT31 to yield pXZL146.

Truncation of *oprM* at the 3' end of the gene, yielding OprM derivatives lacking the last 23 (Δ L446-A468) and 18 (Δ N451-A468) amino acids, was achieved following amplification of *oprM* using primer pairs oprm14xz-oprm27xz (Δ L446-A468) and oprm14xz-oprm28xz (Δ N451-A468), respectively. Reaction mixtures were formulated as described above and heated using the same parameters. PCR products were digested with *Bam*HI and *Hind*III and cloned into *Bam*HI-*Hind*III-restricted pXZL33 to yield pXZL64 (Δ N451-A468) and pXZL65 (Δ N451-A468). The *oprM*-containing *XbaI-Hind*III-digested pVLT31 to produce pXZL66 and pXZL67, respectively.

14 LI AND POOLE

| Strain or plasmid | Description ^a | Source or reference |
|--------------------|---|--------------------------|
| | Description | Source of feference |
| Strains E. coli | | |
| DH5a | endA hsdR17 supE44 thi-1 recA1 gyrA relA1 Δ (lacZYA-argF)U169 deoR [ϕ 80dlac Δ (lacZ)M15] | Gibco-BRL |
| S17-1 | thi pro hsdR recA Tra ⁺ | 43 |
| MV1190 | $\Delta(lac-proAB)$ thi supE $\Delta(srl-recA)306::Tn10$ (Tc ^r)[F' traD36 proAB lacI ^q Z $\Delta M15$] | Bio-Rad |
| CJ236 | dut-1 ung-1 thi-1 relA1; pCJ105, Cm ^r | Bio-Rad |
| P. aeruginosa | | |
| PAO1 | Prototroph | 22 |
| ML5087 | ilv-220 thr-9001 leu-9001 met-9011 pur-67 aphA | 32 This et al. |
| K1110 K1112 | ML5087 valB | 1 his study |
| K1112 K1113 | K1112 $\Delta oprM$ | This study |
| Plasmids | | |
| pK18mobsacB | Gene replacement vector derived from plasmid pK18. Mob ⁺ sacB Km ^r | 41 |
| pRK415 | Broad-host-range cloning vector; plac MCS Tc ^r , 10.5 kb | 16 |
| pT7-7 | pBR322 derivative carrying an MCS downstream of a strong phage T7 gene 10 promoter and a ribosome-binding site; Ap ^r | 45 |
| pTZ19U | pUC-derived phagemid vector; MCS Apr | Bio-Rad |
| pVLT31 | Broad-host-range expression vector; lacI plac MCS Tcr | 9 |
| pKPM-1 | $pT7-7::oprM^b$ | 48 |
| pXZL04 | pK18mobsacB::∆oprM | 44 |
| pXZL11 | $pRK415::oprM^* (C1G)$ | This study |
| pXZL13 | pT7/(CIG) | This study |
| pXZL33 | $p_1 / f_1 op_1 M$ wt | This study This study |
| pXZL40 | pTZ19U::oprM wt ^c | This study |
| pXZL48 | $pTZ19U::oprM^*$ (Δ S93-G107) | This study |
| pXZL51 | $pXZL33::oprM^*$ (HA) ^d | This study |
| pXZL57 | $pVLT31::oprM^*$ (Δ S93-G107) | This study |
| pXZL58 | $pVLT31::oprM^*$ (HA) ^a | This study |
| pXZL64 | $p_1 /- /::oprM^* (\Delta L440-A468)$ pT7 7::oprM* (AN451 A468) | This study |
| pXZL05 pXZL66 | $p_{1/-7op_{M}} (\Delta N+31-A+08)$ $p_{VI} T_{31op_{M}} (AI 446-A468)$ | This study |
| pXZL67 | $pVLT31::oprM^*$ ($\Delta N451-A468$) | This study |
| pXZL68 | $pTZ19U::oprM^*$ ($\Delta A233-G241$) | This study |
| pXZL69 | pTZ19U:: <i>oprM</i> * (ΔP5-P10) | This study |
| pXZL70 | pTZ19U::oprM* (E125-G130) | This study |
| pXZL71 | pTZ19U:: <i>oprM</i> * (ΔA445-W450) | This study |
| pXZL72 | $pTZ19U::oprM^* (\Delta A2/8-N286)$ | This study |
| pXZL/3 | $pVL131::oprM^*$ ($\Delta A233-G241$) $pVLT21::oprM^*$ ($\Delta P5, P10$) | This study |
| pXZL74 pXZL75 | $p_{VLT31op/M} (\Delta 15-110)$ $p_{VLT31op/M} (E125-G130)$ | This study |
| pXZL76 | $pVLT31::oprM^*$ ($\Delta A445-W450$) | This study |
| pXZL77 | $pVLT31::oprM^*$ ($\Delta A278-N286$) | This study |
| pXZL78 | pTZ19U::oprM* (S2D) | This study |
| pXZL80 | pTZ19U::oprM* (ΔT28-D37) | This study |
| pXZL81 | $pTZ19U::oprM^*$ ($\Delta A145-A153$) | This study |
| pXZL82 | $pTZ19U::oprM^* (\Delta G199-A209)^c$ =TZ101U::oprM* (AE217 E226) | This study |
| pXZL83 | $p_1Z_19U_{::opr}M^* (\Delta F_{51}/-F_{520})$ $p_TT_710I_{::opr}M^* (\Delta P_{74} T_70)^e$ | This study |
| pXZL84 pXZL85 | $pTZ19U.op/M (\Delta K/4-1/9)$ $pTZ19U.op/M* (\Lambda R341-I349)$ | This study |
| pXZL86 | pVLT31::oprM* (S2D) | This study |
| pXZL88 | pVLT31:: <i>oprM</i> * (ΔT28-D37) | This study |
| pXZL89 | pVLT31::oprM* (ΔA145-A153) | This study |
| pXZL90 | $pVLT31::oprM^* (\Delta G199-A209)^e$ | This study |
| pXZL91 | pVLT31::oprM* (ΔF317-F326) | This study |
| pXZL92 | $pVL131::oprM^* (\Delta D91-Q96)^c$ | This study |
| pXZL93 pXZI 95 | $pVL131; optM^{*} (\Delta K341-1349)$ $pT710U:optM^{*} (E125K)$ | This study |
| nXZI 96 | $p_{12170pr}(\mu_{1212K})$ nTZ19U··opr M^* (F129A) | This study |
| pXZL97 | pTZ19U::op/M* (F129Y) | This study |
| pXZL98 | pTZ19U::oprM* (L446V) | This study |
| pXZL99 | pTZ19U::oprM* (L446Q) | This study |
| pXZL100 | pTZ19U::oprM* (G448T) | This study |
| pXZL101 | pTZ19U:: <i>oprM</i> * (G448A) | This study |
| pXZL102 | pTZ19U::oprM* (E279D) | This study |

| TABLE 1. | Bacterial | strains | and | plasmids | used | in | this | stud |
|----------|-----------|---------|-----|----------|------|----|------|------|
|----------|-----------|---------|-----|----------|------|----|------|------|

Continued on following page

TABLE 1-Continued.

| Strain or plasmid | Descr | iption ^a | Source or reference |
|-------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| pXZL103 | pTZ19U:: <i>oprM</i> * (E279K) | | This study |
| pXZL104 | pVLT31::oprM* (F129A) | | This study |
| pXZL105 | pVLT31::oprM* (F129Y) | | This study |
| pXZL106 | pVLT31::oprM* (L446V) | | This study |
| pXZL107 | pVLT31::oprM* (G448A) | | This study |
| pXZL108 | pVLT31::oprM* (E279K) | | This study |
| pXZL109 | pVLT31::oprM* (E125K) | | This study |
| pXZL110 | pVLT31::oprM* (L446Q) | | This study |
| pXZL111 | pVLT31::oprM* (E279D) | | This study |
| pXZL112 | pVLT31::oprM* (G448T) | | This study |
| pXZL114 | pTZ19U::oprM* (L128R) | | This study |
| pXZL117 | pTZ19U::oprM* (R131E) | | This study |
| pXZL119 | pTZ19U::oprM* (A284F) | | This study |
| pXZL120 | pTZ19U::oprM* (A284Y) | | This study |
| pXZL122 | pTZ19U::oprM* (W450Y) | | This study |
| pXZL125 | pVLT31::oprM* (L128R) | | This study |
| pXZL126 | pVLT31::oprM* (A284F) | | This study |
| pXZL127 | pVLT31::oprM* (W450Y) | | This study |
| pXZL128 | pVLT31::oprM* (R131E) | | This study |
| pXZL129 | pVLT31::oprM* (A284Y) | | This study |
| pXZL130 | pTZ19U::oprM* (ΔL257-L264) | | This study |
| pXZL133 | pVLT31::oprM* (ΔL257-L264) | | This study |
| pXZL134 | pTZ19U:: <i>oprM</i> * (ΔA12-R98) | | This study |
| pXZL137 | pVLT31:: <i>oprM</i> * (ΔA12-R98) | | This study |
| pXZL142 | pTZ19U::oprF-oprM ^f | | This study |
| pXZL146 | pVLT31::oprM* (C1G) | | This study |
| pXZL147 | pVLT31::oprF-oprM | | This study |
| | | | |

^a Mutated plasmid-borne oprM genes are indicated with asterisks, and the nature of the mutation is indicated in parentheses. Apr, ampicillin resistance; Cmr, chloramphenicol resistance; Km^r, kanamycin resistance; Tc^r, tetracycline resistance; MCS, multiple cloning site. ^b The originally cloned *oprM* gene had an incorrect 3' end which yielded a functional OprM protein in which the final 22 amino acids of the protein were replaced

with 14 amino acids derived from the phagemid used to clone the gene.

^c Using the available *P. aeruginosa* genome sequence, the correct 3' end of oprM was engineered into the originally cloned oprM gene to yield the wild-type gene. ^d The oprM gene carries the coding sequence for a nine-amino-acid HA tag inserted between bp 366 and 367 (i.e., between T106 and T107 of the mature OprM protein).

As a consequence of the deletion construction, an additional leucine codon was introduced into oprM at the position of the deletion.

^f Hybrid oprF-oprM gene in which the coding sequence for putative lipoprotein signal sequence of OprM is replaced with the coding sequence for the OprF signal sequence.

To obtain an OprM derivative which could be processed to target the outer membrane but which lacks the N-terminal consensus lipoprotein box, the signal sequence of the major outer membrane protein OprF of P. aeruginosa was fused to the N terminus of OprM. To achieve this, PCR was used to amplify the oprM gene downstream of the cysteine codon that corresponds to the first amino acids of the mature protein. A 1.4-kb oprM-containing fragment was amplified with primers oprm10xz and oprm2xz (Table 2), using conditions described above. Following digestion with SstI and HindIII, the PCR product was cloned into SstI-HindIII-restricted pT7-7, yielding pXZL14. The OprF signal peptide-encoding sequence (MKLKNTLGVVIGSLVAASAMNAFAQG) was provided by two complementary oligonucleotides (oprf2xz [93-mer] and oprf2xz [87-mer] [Table 2]) derived from the 5' sequence of the oprF gene of P. aeruginosa (GenBank accession number AF027290). Annealing of the two nucleotides (100 pmol of each) was carried out in 6 µl of H2O at 85°C for 3 min and then room temperature (23°C) for 30 min. The annealed oligonucleotides (ca. 5 µl), which possessed NdeI and SstI overhangs at either end, were ligated to NdeI-SstI-digested pXZL14, producing pXZL17. The resultant oprF-oprM chimera thus fused the first 27 amino acids of OprF plus two additional amino acids (derived from the SstI site) to the N terminus of OprM beginning at Ser2. As the oprM sequences of pXZL17 originated from pKPM-1, which carries an incorrect 3' end of the gene, a 300-bp XbaI-SalI fragment carrying the 5' end of the oprF-oprM fusion was isolated and used to replace the corresponding region of the wild-type oprM gene of pXZL40. Nucleotide sequencing confirmed that the resultant vector, pXZL142, contained the expected sequence for the OprF-OprM fusion. The oprF-oprM fusion was subsequently recovered on a 1.5-kb XbaI-HindIII fragment from pXZL142 and cloned into XbaI-HindIII-restricted pVLT31 to yield pXZL147.

A nine-residue hemagglutinin epitope (HA; YPYPDVPDY) was inserted between residues T106 and T107 of mature OprM by PCR with primers oprm21xz and oprm24xz (Table 2) and plasmid pXZL33 as template. Using conditions

described above, a ca. 1.2-kb PCR fragment comprising the 3' end of oprM, complete with epitope-encoding sequence, was amplified. Following digestions with SalI and BamHI, a 700-bp fragment carrying the epitope-encoding sequence and surrounding region of oprM was liberated from the PCR product and cloned into SalI-BamHI-digested pXZL33, yielding pXZL51. This served to replace a portion of the wild-type oprM gene on pXZL33 with the epitope-tagged sequence. Plasmids carrying HA-tagged oprM genes were identified by virtue of their cutting with MluI, as a MluI site was engineered into the HA-tagged mutagenic primer oprm24xz. The HA-tagged oprM gene was subsequently liberated on an XbaI-HindIII fragment and cloned into XbaI-HindIII restrictedpVLT31 to produce pXZL58.

Phagemid-based site-directed mutagenesis of oprM. Several deletion and most substitution mutations were constructed using a Muta-Gene phagemid in vitro mutagenesis kit (Bio-Rad) according to the manufacturer's instructions, with some modifications. To obtain a single-stranded copy of oprM as required for the mutagenesis protocol, E. coli CJ236 cells harboring the oprM-containing phagemid pXZL40 (pTZ19U::oprM) were cultured in chloramphenicol- and ampicillin-supplemented $2 \times$ TY broth to an optical density at 600 nm of 0.3. The helper phage M13K07 was then added at a multiplicity of infection of ca. 20; the cells were incubated for an additional 1 h, at which time kanamycin (70 µg/ml) was added. Following incubation overnight, bacteria were removed by centrifugation $(17,000 \times g \text{ for } 30 \text{ min at } 4^{\circ}\text{C})$, and the supernatant was recovered and recentrifuged. DNase-free RNase A (Sigma) was added to the supernatant at a final concentration of 10 µg/ml, and the supernatant was incubated at room temperature for 30 min. Then 15 ml of 3.5 M ammonium acetate-20% (wt/vol) polyethylene glycol 8000 was added to 45 ml of the phage-containing supernatant, and the mixture was incubated on ice for 30 min. Phage particles containing single-stranded pXZL40 were recovered by centrifugation (17,000 \times g for 15 min at 4°C) and resuspended in high-salt buffer (300 mM NaCl, 100 mM Tris-HCl [pH 8.0], 1 mM Na2EDTA). Single-stranded phagemid DNA was prepared from

| TABLE 2. | Oligonucleotides | used in the | e mutagenesis of <i>oprM</i> |
|----------|------------------|-------------|------------------------------|
| 1110LL 2 | Ongonucicotidos | useu m m | mutagenesis of opini |

| Name | Description ^a |
|--------------|--|
| oprm1xz | 5'-CGACTCA <u>CTATAG</u> GGAGACC-3' |
| 2 | Anneals upstream of XbaI site in vector pT7-7; XbaI site underlined |
| oprm2xz | Anneals at the 3' end of <i>oprM</i> on pKPM-1: <i>Hin</i> dIII site underlined |
| oprm6xz | 5'-TTAA <u>CTGCAG</u> ACAGCGGCTACCGCCAGG-3' |
| oprm7v7 | Anneals ca. 100 bp downstream of the <i>oprM</i> start codon; <i>Pst</i> I site underlined |
| oprin/xz | Mutagenic primer for C1G substitution in OprM; Gly codon italicized and altered base bolded; anneals downstream of |
| 10 | oprM start codon; PstI site underlined |
| oprm10xz | |
| oprm14xz | |
| 21 | Anneals upstream of <i>oprM</i> |
| oprm21xz | Anneals downstream of the wild-type <i>oprM</i> gene present in the <i>P. aeruginosa</i> chromosome ^b : <i>Hin</i> dIII site underlined |
| oprm23xz | |
| 0.0000024000 | Mutagenic primer used to construct OprM Δ S93-G107 (pXZL48) |
| oprin24xz | Mutagenic primer used to insert a 9-amino-acid HA (coding sequence bolded) into OprM: Sall and Mul sites |
| | underlined; additional Tyr codon (italics) created as a result of <i>MluI</i> site (pXZL51) |
| oprm27xz | |
| | (L446–A468; pXZL64) |
| oprm28xz | |
| | Anneals near the 3' end of <i>oprM</i> and used to construct an OprM deletion derivative lacking the last 18 amino acids |
| oprm29xz | (N451-A408, pAZL05) |
| r | Mutagenic primer used to construct OprM Δ A278-N286 (pXZL72) |
| oprm30xz | |
| oprm31xz | |
| I . | Mutagenic primer used to construct OprM Δ A445-W460 (pXZL71) |
| oprm32xz | |
| oprm33xz | |
| 1 | Mutagenic primer used to construct OprM ΔE125-G130 (pXZL70) |
| oprm34xz | |
| oprm36xz | |
| | Mutagenic primer used to construct OprM Δ T28-D37 (pXZL80) |
| oprm37xz | |
| oprm38xz | |
| | Mutagenic primer used to construct OprM Δ G199-A209 (pXZL82); <i>Pst</i> I site underlined |
| oprm39xz | 5'-CGCCAACTGTCCGGC <u>CTGCAG</u> CCGTCGATCAACCT-3' Mutagenic primer used to construct OprM AF317-F326 (pXZI 83): PstI site underlined |
| oprm40xz | |
| - | Mutagenic primer used to construct OprM Δ D91-Q96 (pXZL84); <i>Pst</i> I site underlined |
| oprm41xz | |
| oprm42xz | |
| 12 | Mutagenic primer used to construct OprM E125D (pXZL94) |
| oprm43xz | |
| oprm45xz | |
| 16 | Mutagenic primer used to construct OprM F129Y (pXZL97) |
| oprm46xz | Mutagenic primer used to construct OprM I 446V (pXZL98) |
| oprm47xz | |
| 40 | Mutagenic primer used to construct OprM L446Q (pXZL99) |
| oprm48xz | Mutagenic primer used to construct OprM G448T (pXZL100) |
| oprm49xz | |
| onrm50 | Mutagenic primer used to construct OprM G448A (pXZL101) |
| op11130xz | Mutagenic primer used to construct OprM E279D (pXZL102) |
| oprm51xz | 5'-GĂGGĊCAAGCACCAGCTCA-3' |
| | Mutagenic primer used to construct OprM E279K (pXZL103) |

| | miller 2 Communica. |
|----------|--|
| Name | Description ^a |
| oprm57xz | |
| | Mutagenic primer used to construct OprM L128R (pXZL114) |
| oprm60xz | 5'-GATCTCTTCGGCGACCTGCGCAGCCT-3' |
| | Mutagenic primer used to construct OprM R131E (pXZL117) |
| oprm62xz | 5'-CACACGCTCATGTTCGCCAACGCCAGCA-3 |
| | Mutagenic primer used to construct OprM A284F (pXZL119) |
| oprm63xz | 5'-AGCTCATGGATGCCAACGCCA-3' |
| | Mutagenic primer used to construct OprM A284Y (pXZL120) |
| oprm65xz | 5'-TCGGCGGCGGCGACAACCAGCAGACC-3' |
| | Mutagenic primer used to construct OprM W450Y (pXZL122) |
| oprm67xz | 5'-TGGACCAGACCCTGCCGTCGGACCTGCTGCA-3' |
| | Mutagenic primer used to construct OprM Δ L257-L264 (pXZL130) |
| oprm68xz | 5'-AATT <u>GAGCTC</u> GGGGCGCTGGTAGTC-3' |
| | Used to amplify the 5' end of <i>oprM</i> in constructing a OprM Δ A12-R98 derivative; SsI site underlined |
| oprm69xz | 5'-AATT <u>GAGCTC</u> CCGGGCGACCTGTCGACCA-3' |
| | Used to amplify the 3' end of <i>oprM</i> in constructing a OprM Δ A12-R98 derivative; SsI site underlined |
| oprf2xz | $5' - \underline{TA}TGAAACTGAAGAACACCTTAGGCGTTGTCATCGGCTGGCT$ |
| | TGCCCAGGGCCAG AACTCGG <u>AGCT</u> -3' |
| | Complementary to oprf3xz and encodes the OprF signal peptide; <i>oprF</i> start codon italicized; <i>NdeI</i> (TA) and <i>SstI</i> |
| | (AGCT) overhangs produced following annealing with oprf3xz underlined |
| oprf3xz | 5'-CCGAGTTCTGGCCCTGGGCAAAGGCGTTCATTGCCGAAGCGGCAACCAGCGAGCCGATGACAACGCCT |
| | AAGGTGTTCTTCAGTTTCA-3' |
| | Complementary to oprf2xz and encodes the OprF signal peptide |

TABLE 2-Continued.

^{*a*} Primer sequences are shown followed by the plasmid (in parentheses) carrying the mutated *oprM* gene that was constructed with the primer. Primers used in in vitro phagemid-based mutagenesis (oprm23xz to oprm67xz) were 5' phosphorylated.

^b The originally cloned *oprM* gene had an incorrect 3' end; therefore, it was necessary to amplify the correct sequence from the *oprM* gene on the *P. aeruginosa* chromosome.

the phage particles as described elsewhere (40) and dissolved in 10 mM Tris-HCl-1 mM EDTA (pH 8.0).

In vitro oprM mutagenesis was performed using single-stranded pXZL40 prepared from E. coli CJ236 as the template and mutagenic oligonucleotides (Table 2) as described below. All oligonucleotides were chemically phosphorylated at their 5' ends and purified by thin-layer chromatography (Cortec DNA Services). Annealing of the mutagenic oligonucleotides to the template was carried out in a 10-µl mixture of 0.2 µg of the single-stranded pXZL40 template and 20 pmol of a mutagenic oligonucleotide in 1× annealing buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.4], 2 mM MgCl₂, 50 mM NaCl). The annealing mixtures were placed in a 70°C water bath and cooled to 30°C at a rate of approximately 1°C per min. The mixtures were then placed in an ice-water bath, and 1 µl of 10× synthesis buffer (5 mM each deoxynucleoside triphosphate, 10 mM ATP, 100 mM Tris-HCl [pH 7.9], 50 mM MgCl₂, 15 mM dithiothreitol), 1 µl of T4 DNA ligase (3 U/µl), and 1 µl of unmodified T7 DNA polymerase (0.5 U/µl; Bio-Rad or NEB) was added. The mixtures were incubated on ice for 5 min, at room temperature for 5 min, and finally at 37°C for 40 min, at which time 30 µl of TE stop buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl [pH 8.0], 10 mM EDTA) was added. The reaction products were analyzed on 1% (wt/vol) agarose gels and used to transform competent E. coli MV1190. Plasmid DNA was then extracted from ampicillin-resistant E. coli MV1190 transformants and screened for the appropriate mutations by restriction analysis (when applicable) and by nucleotide sequencing. Mutated oprM genes were recovered from their pTZ19U derivatives on 1.5-kb XbaI-HindIII fragments and cloned into XbaI-HindIII-restricted pVLT31.

OprM expression in P. aeruginosa. To assess expression of mutated oprM genes in P. aeruginosa, the oprM-containing pVLT31 derivatives were mobilized from E. coli DH5α into OprM-deficient P. aeruginosa strains K1110 and K1113 via triparental mating (44). The P. aeruginosa transconjugants were selected on LB agar containing either tetracycline (10 μ g/ml) and chloramphenicol (15 to 25 µg/ml) (for K1110 or K1113 derivatives) or tetracycline (10 µg/ml) and imipenem (0.5 µg/ml) (for K1113 derivatives only). For the preparation of whole cell extracts, cells were grown overnight at 37°C in LB broth in the presence of the appropriate antibiotics, diluted 1:20 in 5 ml of LB broth, and incubated for 4 to 5 h with shaking. One milliliter of cell culture was then harvested by centrifugation (15,000 \times g for 3 min), and the cell pellet was resuspended in 100 µl of 50 mM sodium (or potassium) phosphate buffer (pH 7.2). Inner and outer membranes were prepared from cell envelopes following their separation on sucrose density gradients or by differential sarkosyl solubilization as described (30, 50). Expression of OprM derivatives in E. coli and P. aeruginosa was analyzed by sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-

PAGE) (25) and Western immunoblotting using a polyclonal anti-OprM antiserum (23, 52).

Antibiotic susceptibility assay. The susceptibility of *P. aeruginosa* strains harboring mutant *oprM* plasmids (see above) to various antimicrobial agents was tested by a twofold serial broth dilution method, with an inoculum of 5×10^5 bacteria/ml. The MIC was reported as the lowest antimicrobial concentration inhibiting visible growth after 18 to 20 h of incubation at 37°C.

Protein secondary structure prediction and sequence analysis. Prediction of OprM and TolC secondary structure involved the use of the Jpred² server (http://jura.ebi.ac.uk:8888/) (7, 8). Alignment of the OprM and TolC amino acid sequences was carried out using the PCGene (Intelligenetics) PALIGN program and the DNAMAN sequence analysis software (Lynnon Biosoft). Multiple alignment of the OprM homologues was carried out using DNAMAN.

RESULTS

Operation of mutant OprM proteins in P. aeruginosa. Alignment of OprM with its homologues in P. aeruginosa, P. putida, B. cepacia, and S. maltophilia identified a number of regions with a high degree of sequence conservation (Fig. 1). To assess their importance, if any, for OprM function, we deleted several of these conserved regions and assessed the effect on activity in the OprM-deficient strains K1110 and K1113. The latter strain is a *nalB* derivative that hyperexpresses MexA and MexB and thus provides for a greater enhancement of antibiotic resistance (compared to K1110) in the presence of functional OprM. One of the more striking examples of sequence conservation involved an LGGGW sequence near the extreme C terminus of OprM and its homologues (Fig. 1). C-terminal truncations which removed this and all downstream OprM sequence (pXZL66) abrogated OprM expression (Fig. 2, lane 19), as did an in-frame deletion of the LGGGW (residues 446 to 450) sequence only (pXZL76; Δ 13 in Fig. 3) (Fig. 2, lane 18). In contrast, deletion of sequence downstream of LGGGW (N451 to A468; pXZL67; Δ 14 in Fig. 3) yielded a protein (Fig.

| | ↓ | |
|---|---|--|
| OprM SrpC SmeC OprJ OpcM OprN Consensus | | 15 15 16 15 15 15 |
| OprM SrpC SmeC OprJ OpcM OprN Consensus | AAYPQGQAYGQNTGAAAVPAADIGWREFFRDPQLQQLI AQWPTGQAYGGQGDQRSIATALPKAKEFFKDPALVRLL AQFG.NAAIGAAEPALAMPAWRDVFLEPRLQQVI DSWSGAAAQRQG.AAIDTLDWKSFIVDAELRRLV AAFKEAPTLAAGEQAGTWKTAEPSDGRTPRRMVKVFGDPVLDSLE KIDATASKPYDRSRFESLWWKQFDDPTLNQLV 1 | 53 53 49 48 60 47 |
| OprM SrpC SmeC OprJ OpcM OprN Consensus | GVATENNRDIRVAALNVEAFRAQYRIQRADLFERIGVDGSGTRQR DAATENNRDIRIAAKNVESYRALYRIQRAERFFTLDGQASGNRTR ALATQNNRDIRVAVLQVEKERAQYRIQRAALFSVDASGSVTRSR DMATDNIRSIRQTLLDIEAARAQYRIQRADRVEGLNAAATGNRQR TQATAANQNIKAAAARVEEARAATRSARSQWFEQVGAGFGPTREG EQSISGNRDIRVAFARLRAARALRDDVANDRFEVVTSRASADIG. 1 1 ra p | 98 98 94 93 105 91 |
| OprM SrpC SmeC OprJ OpcM OprN Consensus | LPGDLSTTGSPAISSQYGVTLGTTAWEIDLFGRLRSLRDQALE LPDDLSPTGDSRIDSQYQVGLVT.AYELDLFGRIRSLSNQALE VSDANSETGVTQVTESDAVQVGISSWEIDLFGRIRSLKNEALQ QPADLSAGNRSEVASSYQVGLALPEYEIDLFGRVKSLTDAALQ LSSASQFQPQGTGPTNATLWRAQGTVSYPADLFGRVGRNVEASRA KGQQPG.VTEDRVNSERYDLGLDSAWEIDLFGRIRRQLESSDA e dlfgr | 141 140 137 136 150 133 |
| OprM SrpC SmeC OprJ OpcM OprN Consensus | QYLATEQAQRSAQTT VASVATAYLTLKADQAQLQLTKDTLGTYQ KYLATEEAQRSVQIALIGDVATTYFLWRTDQALLELTEATLTSYV NWLASAENQRAVRTSI VAEVATAWLALAADEQSLAFTQQTLDSQH QYLASEEAARAARIAI VAEVSQAYLSYDGALRRLALTRQTLVSRE DQAQSEALFRSVQLAI QADVAQNYFELRQLDSDQDLYHRTVELRE LSEAAEADLQQLQVSI IAELVDAYGQLRGAQLREKIALSNLENQK 1 | 186 185 182 181 195 178 |
| OprM SrpC SmeC OprJ OpcM OprN Consensus | KSFDLTQRSYDVEVASALLLRQAQTAVEGARATLAQYTRLVAQDQ ESLAMIESSAWACTSSELLVRQARTLVNQAQAQQALYTRRIAQDV QTLQRTEARHAQCLASGLLLSQVQTSVEAARGALAKLQAQQAQDR YSFALIDQRRAAGAATALLYQEALGLVEQARAEQERNLRQKQQAF QALKLVQRRFNECDISELLVSRAKNELASAQADAVDVARRAASE ESRQLTEQLRDACVGAELLVLRADARLAATAASVPQLQAEAERAR q ld | 231 230 227 226 240 223 |

FIG. 1. Multiple alignment of OprM and its homologues in *Pseudomonas* spp. and related organisms. Residues conserved in all proteins are highlighted in black, while those conserved in 75 and 50% of the indicated proteins are highlighted in dark and light gray, respectively. Proteins examined include SrpC of the SrpABC efflux system of *P. putida* (accession number AF029405), SmeC of the SmeABC efflux system of *S. maltophilia* (accession number AF173226), OprJ of the MexCD-OprJ efflux system of *P. aeruginosa* (accession number U57969), OpcM of the CeoAB-OprcM efflux system of *B. cepacia* (accession number U38944), and OprN of the MexEF-OprN efflux system of *P. aeruginosa* (accession number X99514). The lipoprotein box is overlined and occurs downstream of putative lipoprotein signal sequence. Numbers at the right show the position of the last residue in each line within the mature protein sequences, where the Cys residue within the lipoprotein box (indicated by an arrow) is the first amino acid of the mature proteins. Alignment was carried out using the DNAMAN software package (Lynnon Biosoft).

2, lane 20) which restored the antibiotic resistance of *P. aeruginosa* strains K1110 (Table 3) and K1113 (Table 4). Still, mutations in individual residues within this region, including L446 (Fig. 2, lanes 33 and 34), G448 (Fig. 2, lanes 35 and 36), and

W450 (Fig. 2, lane 37) failed to affect OprM expression or activity (Tables 3 and 4).

A second highly conserved sequence, ELDLFGR, occurs nearer the N terminus (residues 125 to 131 in OprM). Deletion

| OprM SrpC SmeC OprJ OpcM OprN Consensus | NALVIJICS.GIPANLPQGLGLD. NALEIJICS.KIPTDLPKNSPLA. DALQIJVCAPLDPALLPTAQALDO NALVIJICS.DDAAQAIPRSPGQF HALAIJICKAPADFAFKETPI HRIATUCQ.RPEELTVDLSPRDI l g | QTLLTEVPAGLESE MSALGKVPAGLEAE SVALAPLPANLESS PKLLQDIAPGTPSE VPVAVKIPPGLESA PAITKALFIGDEGE P | LLQRRPD LLLNRPD VLLQRPD LIERRPD LLERRPD LLRRRPN rp | 274 273 272 270 282 267 |
|---|---|---|---|--|
| OprM SrpC SmeC OprJ OpcM OprN Consensus | ILEAEHQLMAANASTCAARAAFEI IRSAEHQLMAANANICAARAAFEI VLSAEHALQAANADICAARAAFEI ILAAEHRLRARNADICAARAAFEI VSAAERAMAAANARICLAKSAYEF IRAAERRVAASTADVCVATADIEI ae a a g a f | SISLTANAGTMSRQ RISLTASAGSASSD TLALTANYGHSSTA RISLTGSFGTSSAE SSISPGRSAISVDA AGQPQRLPRLHRRA | LSGLFDA LDGLFNS LSTLFSA MSGLFDG RQPVPVV GSQIGSS | 319 318 317 315 327 312 |
| OprM SrpC SmeC OprJ OpcM OprN Consensus | GSGSWLFQPSINLPIFTAGSI GSDSWSFAPQISVPIFNAGKI GTRGWSFAPSITAPIFHAGAI GSRSCSFLPTLTLPIFDGGRN EPYFLLGPFAGTALTLPIFDGGRF AARAWSVGPSISWAAFDLGSV f g | RASLDYAKIQKDIN RANLDYAELQKDVG KASLDASKIGKDIG IRANLSLAEARKDSA JAAGVQQARAQYDEQ RARLRGAKADADAA a d | VAQYEKA VATYEKS IAQYEKA VAAYEGT GANYRQQ IASYEQQ a y | 361 360 359 357 372 354 |
| OprM SrpC SmeC OprJ OpcM OprN Consensus | IQTAFOEVADGLAARGTFTEQLQA IQTAFREVADGLAARGTYGKQLSA IQQAFSEVADALATRDHLTAQLDA IQTAFREVADALAASDTLRREEKA VLVAFREVEDNLADLRLLDDQIRA VLLALEESANAFSDYGKRQERLVS a e | QRDLVKASDEYYQL QSELVDNYKAYFSL QRALVADSQRSYTL LRALANSSNEALKL QEAAVNASRRAATL LVRQSEASRAAAQQ | ADKRYRT AQORYDQ ADARYRT AKARYES SRSEYQE AAIRYRE Y | 406 405 404 402 417 399 |
| OprM SrpC SmeC OprJ OpcM OprN Consensus | GVDNY TILLDAGRSLFTAQQQLIT GVDSY TVIDAGRELFSSQOKLIN GLDGY QSIDAGRSLYAAQQDLIA GVDNHURYIDAGRSSFINEIAFIC GVNHURYIDAGRSSFINEIAFIC GEVAY DVIDSERSVLQSQLQANG GTTDFUVLIDAEREQLSAEDAQAG g l d r | DRLNQLTSEVNLYK IDQLDQINSEVQLYK ILQQQEAGNRVTLFK GSTQRQIALVDLFR ILTGAQAVSTVNLIR AEVELYRGIVAIYR V | ALGGGWN ALGGGAD ALGGGWD ALGGGWG SLGGGWQ 1ggg | 451 450 449 447 462 444 |
| OprM SrpC SmeC OprJ OpcM OprN Consensus | QQTVTQQQTAKKEDPQA VSQN AR EGRSLVVHRGGRS NAPAPTAVGDAASGKADVAAR PSA | 468 454 451 460 483 447 | | |

FIG. 1-Continued.

of this region (pXZL75; $\Delta 5$ in Fig. 3) also abrogated OprM expression (Fig. 2, lane 14) and thus activity (Tables 3 and 4). Despite the conservation of this sequence in OprM and its homologues, substitutions at E125 (Fig. 2, lane 24), L128 (Fig. 2, lane 25), F129 (Fig. 2, lanes 26 and 27), and R131 (Fig. 2, lane 28) did not adversely affect expression (Fig. 2) or activity (Tables 3 and 4) of the mutant OprM proteins.

Although additional regions of shared similarity exist in OprM and its homologues (Fig. 1), strict sequence conservation was rather limited in the remainder of the proteins. Near the extreme N terminus of each of these proteins, from P5 to P10 of OprM, lies the sequence PDYQRP, of which P5, Y7, and P10 are strictly conserved in all OprM homologues (Fig. 1). Still, deletion of this region (pXZL74; $\Delta 1$ in Fig. 3) had no impact on OprM expression (Fig. 2, lane 4) or activity (Tables 3 and 4). The region encompassing A278 to P298 of OprM also showed some sequence conservation among the OprM homologues (Fig. 1), and deletion of residues in this region (A278 to N286; pXZL77; $\Delta 10$ in Fig. 3), while severely compromising OprM expression in *P. aeruginosa* K1110 (Fig. 2A, lane 16), had no impact on OprM expression in *P. aeruginosa* K1113 (Fig. 2B, lane 16). Moreover, the OprM $\Delta A278$ -N286 protein was inactive in both K1110 (Table 3) and K1113 (Table 4). Still, substitutions at the strictly conserved E279 (Fig. 2, lanes



FIG. 2. Immunodetection of OprM proteins expressed by $\Delta oprM P$. *aeruginosa* strain K1110 (A) and *nalB* $\Delta oprM P$. *aeruginosa* strain K1113 (B) harboring the indicated plasmids. Whole cell extracts were separated by SDS-PAGE, electroblotted, and developed with antibodies to OprM. The OprM derivative encoded by each of the plasmids is indicated in parentheses.

29 and 30) and A284 (Fig. 2, lanes 31 and 32) residues yielded well-expressed (Fig. 2) and functional (Tables 3 and 4) OprM proteins.

Influence of N-terminal acylation on OprM activity. OprM and its homologues possess a region at the N terminus, termed the lipoprotein box, immediately downstream of an N-terminal signal sequence (Fig. 1). A conserved Cys residue within this box is the first amino acid of the mature proteins and the deduced site of acylation for this family of presumed lipoproteins; indeed, acylation of OprM has been demonstrated (N. Bianco and K. Poole, unpublished data; T. Nakae, A. Nakajima, Y. Sugimoto, and H. Yoneyama, Abstr. 100th Gen. Meet. Am. Soc. Microbiol., abstr. A-28, 2000). To assess the importance of acylation for OprM expression and function, we mutated the Cys residue (to Gly) and examined expression and activity of the C1G mutant OprM (pXZL146) in K1110 and K1113. P. aeruginosa harboring pXZL146 produced a readily detectable OprM protein (Fig. 2, lane 21), and this plasmid enhanced the antibiotic resistance of K1110 and K1113 strains carrying it. To further assess the significance of OprM acylation, the lipoprotein signal (including lipoprotein box) of OprM was replaced by the type I signal sequence of OprF, the

major outer membrane protein of *P. aeruginosa*(pXZL147). Again, the OprM derivative carrying the OprF signal was well expressed (Fig. 2, lane 22), particularly in K1113 (Fig. 2B, lane 22), and highly active in both K1110 (Table 3) and K1113 (Table 4). Cell fractionation studies also confirmed that the C1G and OprF-OprM proteins were present in the outer membrane (data not shown). Thus, loss of the acylation site of OprM did not obviate OprM activity.

Lipoproteins occur in both the inner and outer membranes, and there is some indication in *E. coli*, at least, that the penultimate (in the mature proteins) residue helps define membrane localization, with aspartate favoring an inner membrane location and serine favoring an outer membrane location (37, 42). Intriguingly, the second residue in mature OprM is the expected serine residue. To assess if this plays any role in location and thus expression or function of OprM, this residue was mutated to aspartate (S2D; pXZL86). The resultant OprM protein was well expressed (Fig. 2, lane 23) and active (Tables 3 and 4) and retained its predominantly outer membrane location (data not shown).

Topological model of OprM. The recently proposed topological model that describes OprM as a β -barrel of 16 trans-

FIG. 3. Alignment of OprM and TolC, highlighting the structural features of TolC and putative membrane-spanning regions of OprM. Exact matches (|) and conserved changes (.) are indicated. Regions of TolC existing as β -sheet (\rightarrow) and α -helix (\odot) are highlighted below the TolC sequence (derived from and enumerated as described in reference 20), and the membrane-spanning β -sheets are highlighted in bold. Regions of both TolC and OprM predicted to be β -sheet by secondary structure prediction programs on the Jpred² server are underlined. OprM deletions constructed and tested in this study are highlighted above the OprM sequence, with shaded boxes representing deletions which yielded a functional protein, filled boxes representing deletions which yielded a nonfunctional protein, and open boxes representing deletions which failed to yield an OprM protein. The endpoints of the large but partially functional N-terminal deletion (Δ A12-R98; pXZL137) are indicated with vertical arrows directed upward, and a nonfunctional C-terminal truncation (Δ L446-A468; pXZL66) is indicated with a vertical arrow directed downward. The site of insertion of a nine-amino-acid HA tag is also highlighted. Numbers at the right represent the position of the last amino acid residue in each line within the mature OprM sequence, where the acylated Cys residue is residue 1. Numbering of TolC residues is relative to the precursor form of the protein.

| | Δι Δ2 | |
|------|--|-----|
| OPRM | MKRSFLSLAVAAVVLSGCSLIPDYQRPEAPVAAAYPQGQAYGQNTGAAAV | 50 |
| TOLC | MQMKKLLPILIGLSLSG | 17 |
| | Δ2 Δ3 | |
| OPRM | PAADIGWREFFRDPQLQQLIGVALENNRDLRVAALNVEAFRAQYRIQRAD | 100 |
| TOLC | FSSLSQAENLMQVYQQARLSNPELRKSAADRDAAFEKINEARSP | 61 |
| | $\Delta 4 \qquad HA \qquad \Delta 5$ | |
| OPRM | LFPRIGVDGSGTRQRLPGDLSTTGSPAISSQYGVTLGTTAWELD-LFGRL | 149 |
| TOLC | LLPQLGLGADYTYSNGYRDANGINSNATSASLQLTQSIFDMSKWRA | 107 |
| | S1 Δ6 S2 H3 | |
| OPRM | RSLRDQALEQYLATEQAQRSAQTTLVASVATAYLTLKADQAQLQLTKDTL | 199 |
| TOLC | · · · · · · · · · · | 154 |
| | нз 43 нз | |
| OPRM | GTYQKSFDLTQRSYDVGVASALDLRQAQTAVEGARATLAQYTRLVAQD | 247 |
| TOLC | • • • • • • EAIYRQLDQTTQRFNVGLVAITDVQNARAQYDTVLANELTARNNLDNAVE | 204 |
| | H3 H4 | |
| | | |
| OPRM | QNALVLLLGSGIPANLPQGLGLDQTLLTEVPAGLPSDLLQRRPD | 291 |
| TOLC | QLRQITGNYYPELAALNVENFKTDKPQPVNALLKEAEKRNLSLLQARLSQ | 254 |
| | $H4$ $\Delta 10$ $H5$ $H6$ $\Delta 11$ | |
| OPRM | ILEAEHQLMAANASIGAARAAF <u>FPSISLT</u> ANAGTMSRQLSGLFDAGSG | 339 |
| TOLC | DLAREQIRQAQDGHLP TLDLTAST GISDTSYSGSKTRGAAGTQYDDSNMG | 304 |
| | $\begin{array}{c c} H6 & S4 & S5 \\ \hline \Delta 11 & \Delta 12 \end{array}$ | |
| OPRM | SWLFQPSINLPIFTAGSLRASLDYAKIQKDINVAQYEKAIQTAFQEVADG | 379 |
| TOLC | QN <u>KVGLSFSLP</u> IYQGGMVNSQVKQAQYNFVGASEQLESAHRSVVQTVRSS | 354 |
| | $\rightarrow \rightarrow \rightarrow \rightarrow$ s5 H7 | |
| OPRM | LAARGTFTEQLQAQRDLVKASDEYYQLADKRYRTGVDNYLTLLDAQRSLF | 429 |
| TOLC | FNNINASISSINAYKQAVVSAQSSLDAMEAGYSVGTRTIVDVLDATTTLY | 404 |
| | $^{ m H7}$ $\Delta13$ $\Delta14$ $^{ m H8}$ | |
| OPRM | TAQQQLITDRLNQLTSEVNLYKALGGGWNQQTVTQQQTAKKEDPQA | 485 |
| TOLC | | 454 |
| | $\begin{array}{c} \bullet \bullet$ | |
| TOIC | Λ ΒΟΥΒΕΩΝΊΛ Τ Λ ΒΟΥ Λ ΒΒΟΩΡΆ ΡΙΙΙΟΟΥΡΟΛΡΙΜΙΟΡΙΟΙ ΟΙ ΜΙΤΡΟΙΙ | 405 |
| TOTC | YTŐTT PŐNYTYPGTYEDDEYEAAŐŐTOYKTTTONGUNELKIN | 490 |

|--|

| Strain | Dlagmid | OperM destination | OprM | | | MIC (µg | g/ml) of ^c : | | |
|--------|----------|---------------------|-------------------------|-------|-----|---------|-------------------------|-----|-----|
| Strain | Flasillu | Oprivi derivative | expression ^b | CAR | CPZ | CIP | NOR | CAM | NOV |
| ML5087 | | Wild type | + | 32-64 | 8 | 0.2 | 1 | 64 | 128 |
| K1110 | | | _ | 0.5 | 1 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 64 | 16 |
| K1110 | pVLT31 | | _ | 1 | 1 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 64 | 16 |
| | pXZL34 | Wild type | ++ | 64 | 8 | 0.2 | 1 | 128 | 128 |
| | pXZL74 | $\Delta P5-P10$ | ++ | 32 | 8 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 128 | 128 |
| | pXZL88 | ΔT28-D37 | ++ | 64 | 8 | 0.1 | 1 | 64 | 128 |
| | pXZL92 | $\Delta R74-I79$ | ++ | 32 | 4 | 0.2 | 1 | 64 | 128 |
| | pXZL57 | ΔS93-G107 | + | 64 | 4 | 0.1 | 1 | 64 | 64 |
| | pXZL137 | ΔA12-R98 | _d,e | 8-16 | 1 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 64 | 16 |
| | pXZL58 | T106-HA-T107 | ++ | 64 | 4 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 128 | 128 |
| | pXZL75 | ΔE125-R131 | - | 0.5 | 1 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 32 | 16 |
| | pXZL89 | ΔA145-A153 | _ ^e | 1 | 0.5 | 0.05 | 0.125 | 32 | 8 |
| | pXZL90 | ΔG199-A209 | + | 0.5 | 1 | 0.05 | 0.25 | 64 | 16 |
| | pXZL73 | ΔA233-G241 | +/- | 64 | 8 | 0.2 | 1 | 64 | 128 |
| | pXZL133 | Δ L257-L264 | + | 64 | 8 | 0.2 | 1 | 64 | 128 |
| | pXZL77 | ΔA278-N286 | +/- | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.05 | 0.25 | 32 | 16 |
| | pXZL91 | ΔF317-F326 | e | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 64 | 16 |
| | pXZL93 | ΔR341-I349 | - | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.05 | 0.25 | 32 | 16 |
| | pXZL76 | ΔA445-W450 | _d,e | 2 | 2 | 0.05 | 0.125 | 32 | 16 |
| | pXZL66 | Δ L446-A468 | e | 0.5 | 1 | 0.1 | 0.25 | 32 | 16 |
| | pXZL67 | $\Delta A451$ -A468 | + | 16 | 2 | 0.05 | 0.5 | 64 | 64 |
| | pXZL146 | C1G | + | 64 | 4 | 0.2 | 1 | 128 | 128 |
| | pXZL86 | S2D | ++ | 64 | 8 | 0.2 | 1 | 64 | 128 |
| | pXZL147 | OprF-OprM fusion | + | 64 | 4 | 0.2 | 1 | 128 | 128 |
| | pXZL109 | E125K | + | 64 | 8 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 64 | 128 |
| | pXZL125 | L128R | + | 32 | 8 | 0.2 | 1 | 64 | 64 |
| | pXZL104 | F129A | + | 64 | 8 | 0.2 | 1 | 64 | 128 |
| | pXZL105 | F129Y | + | 64 | 8 | 0.2 | 1 | 64 | 128 |
| | pXZL128 | R131E | + | 64 | 8 | 0.2 | 1 | 64 | 128 |
| | pXZL111 | E279D | ++ | 64 | 8 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 128 | 128 |
| | pXZL108 | E279K | + | 64 | 8 | 0.2 | 1 | 128 | 128 |
| | pXZL126 | A284F | ++ | 64 | 8 | 0.2 | 1 | 64 | 128 |
| | pXZL129 | A284Y | ++ | 64 | 8 | 0.2 | 1 | 128 | 128 |
| | pXZL106 | L446V | + | 64 | 8 | 0.2 | 1 | 64 | 128 |
| | pXZL110 | L446Q | + | 64 | 8 | 0.2 | 1 | 64 | 128 |
| | pXZL112 | G448T | + | 64 | 8 | 0.2 | 1 | 64 | 128 |
| | pXZL107 | G448A | + | 64 | 8 | 0.2 | 1 | 64 | 128 |
| | pXZL127 | W450Y | ++ | 64 | 8 | 0.2 | 1 | 64 | 128 |

^{*a*} The antibiotic susceptibility of the $\Delta oprM$ strain K1110 harboring plasmids encoding the indicated OprM derivatives was determined as described in Materials and Methods. Susceptibility of the wild type (with respect to MexAB-OprM) parent strain ML5087 is shown for comparison purposes.

^b Relative expression (taken from Fig. 2) indicated as ++ (high), + (moderate), +/- (weak), or - (none).

^c Values in italics represent MICs substantially below that provided by the wild-type OprM protein. CAR, carbenicillin; CPZ, cefoperazone; CIP, ciprofloxacin; NOR, norfloxacin; CAM, chloramphenicol; NOV, novobiocin.

^d OprM expression was detectable in cells following induction with IPTG.

^e Weakly expressed in *E. coli* DH5α.

membrane domains (47) is likely incorrect, in light of the recently solved crystal structure of TolC (20), an OprM homologue (ca. 20% identical [Fig. 3]). Accordingly, OprM likely spans the outer membrane only four times, with both the N and C termini occurring within the periplasm, and displays a substantial periplasmic component. To assess the accuracy of such a model for OprM, the Jpred² secondary structure prediction server was used to assess the secondary structure of this protein. Initially, however, the server was applied to TolC, to determine how closely the predicted secondary structure matched the crystal structure. Intriguingly, the various prediction programs of Jpred² correctly identified TolC as a protein that was substantially α -helical (data not shown) and accurately identified three of the four membrane-spanning β -sheets (S2, S4, and S5 [Fig. 3]). The first membrane-spanning β -sheet (S1) was only weakly predicted (Fig. 3). Similarly, Jpred² identified OprM as a predominantly *a*-helical protein (data not

shown) with three putative membrane-spanning β-sheets located roughly where they would be predicted following alignment of TolC and OprM (opposite S2, S4 and S5 [Fig. 3]). Alignment of the N- and C-terminal halves of OprM, which confirmed the homology of these two halves (23.7% identity and 9.8% conserved changes) and the likely gene duplication involved, also confirmed that the putative membrane-spanning regions S2 and S5 were equivalently placed within the N- and C-terminal halves of the protein (Fig. 4). Moreover, the region within the N-terminal portion of OprM which aligns with S4 of the C-terminal half is bracketed by sequences whose deletion (Δ 3 and Δ 4 [Fig. 3]) is tolerated (see below) and thus unlikely to correspond to membrane-spanning regions. As this region also overlaps sequences of OprM that align with S1 of TolC (Fig. 3), it is the only reasonable candidate for the first membrane-spanning β-sheet of OprM. In light of the internal homology, the equivalent placement of the putative membrane-

| Strain ML5087 K1112 K1113 K1113 | Plasmid | One M designation | OprM expression ^b | MIC (µg/ml) of: | | | | | |
|---|---------|-------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|------|---------|-------|--------|------|
| | | Oprivi derivative | | CAR | CPZ | CIP | NOR | CAM | NOV |
| ML5087 | | Wild type | + | 32-64 | 8 | 0.1-0.2 | 0.5-1 | 64 | 128 |
| K1112 | | Wild type | ++ | 512 | 32 | 0.8 | 2 | 256 | >512 |
| K1113 | | | _ | 1 | 0.25 | 0.0125 | 0.06 | 2 | 16 |
| K1113 | pVLT31 | | _ | 1 | 0.25 | 0.0125 | 0.06 | 2 | 16 |
| | pXZL34 | Wild type | ++ | 128-256 | 8 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 64-128 | 128 |
| | pXZL74 | ΔΡ5-Ρ10 | ++ | 128 | 8 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 128 | 128 |
| | pXZL88 | ΔT28-D37 | ++ | 128 | 8 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 128 | 64 |
| | pXZL92 | ΔR74-I79 | ++ | 64 | 4 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 64 | 128 |
| | pXZL137 | ΔA12-R98 | +/- | 32-64 | 2 | 0.05 | 0.5 | 8 | 16 |
| | pXZL57 | Δ\$93-G107 | + | 128 | 8 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 8 | 32 |
| | pXZL58 | T106-HA-T107 | ++ | 256 | 8 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 32 | 64 |
| | pXZL75 | ΔE125-R131 | _ | 1 | 0.25 | 0.0125 | 0.06 | 4 | 16 |
| | pXZL89 | ΔA145-A153 | d | 1 | 0.25 | 0.0125 | 0.06 | 8 | 8 |
| | pXZL90 | ΔG199-A209 | ++ | 1 | 0.25 | 0.0125 | 0.125 | 4 | 8 |
| | pXZL73 | ΔA233-G241 | + | 256 | 16 | 0.4 | 1 | 64 | 256 |
| | pXZL133 | ΔL257-L264 | ++ | 256 | 16 | 0.2 | 1 | 128 | 256 |
| | pXZL77 | ΔA278-N286 | + | 1 | 0.25 | 0.0125 | 0.125 | 4 | 16 |
| | pXZL91 | ΔF317-F326 | d | 1 | 0.25 | 0.0125 | 0.125 | 2 | 8 |
| | pXZL93 | ΔR341-I34 | _ | 1 | 0.25 | 0.0125 | 0.125 | 4 | 8 |
| | pXZL76 | ΔA445-W450 | _ | 8 | 1 | 0.025 | 0.125 | 8 | 16 |
| | pXZL66 | ΔL446-A468 | _ ^e | 1 | 0.25 | 0.0125 | 0.06 | 8 | 8 |
| | pXZL67 | ΔN451-A468 | + | 128 | 8 | 0.05 | 0.25 | 64 | 256 |
| | pXZL146 | C1G | ++ | 128 | 4 | 0.1 | 0.25 | 64 | 128 |
| | pXZL86 | S2D | + | 128 | 8 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 128 | 256 |
| | pXZL147 | OprF-OprM fusion | ++ | 128 | 4 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 64 | 128 |
| | pXZL109 | E125K | ++ | 64 | 4 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 64 | 128 |
| | pXZL125 | L128R | ++ | 128 | 16 | 0.2 | 0.25 | 128 | 256 |
| | pXZL104 | F129A | + | 128 | 16 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 64 | 256 |
| | pXZL105 | F129Y | + | 128 | 16 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 128 | 256 |
| | pXZL128 | R131E | ++ | 128 | 16 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 128 | 256 |
| | pXZL111 | E279D | ++ | 128 | 8 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 64 | 128 |
| | pXZL108 | E279K | + | 128 | 16 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 128 | 256 |
| | pXZL126 | A284F | + | 128 | 8 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 128 | 128 |
| | pXZL129 | A284Y | + | 128 | 16 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 128 | 256 |
| | pXZL106 | L446V | + | 128 | 16 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 128 | 256 |
| | pXZL110 | L446Q | + | 128 | 8 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 128 | 256 |
| | pXZL112 | G448T | + | 128 | 16 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 128 | 256 |
| | pXZL107 | G448A | + | 256 | 8 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 32 | 256 |
| | pXZL127 | W450Y | + | 128 | 8 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 256 | 128 |

TABLE 4. Antibiotic resistance of nalB $\Delta oprM P$. aeruginosa K1113 expressing mutant OprM proteins^a

^a The antibiotic susceptibility of the *nalB* ΔoprM strain K1113 harboring plasmids encoding the indicated OprM derivatives was determined as described in Materials and Methods. Susceptibility of the wild type (with respect to MexAB-OprM) strain ML5087 and its *nalB* derivative K1112 (the parent of K1113) is shown for comparison purposes.

^b Relative expression (taken from Fig. 2) indicated as ++ (high), + (moderate), +/- (weak), or - (none).

^c Values in italics represent MICs substantially below that provided by the wild-type OprM protein. CAR, carbenicillin; CPZ, cefoperazone; CIP, ciprofloxacin; NOR, norfloxacin; CAM, chloramphenicol; NOV, novobiocin.

^d OprM expression detectable in *E. coli* DH5α.

^e OprM expression was detectable in cells following induction with IPTG.

spanning regions within the N- and C-terminal halves of OprM supports the existence of these regions as membrane-spanning domains in OprM (Fig. 4) and support OprM adopting a structure reminiscent of TolC.

To assess the accuracy of such a structural model and to assess the functional importance of defined regions of OprM, we engineered several deletions into *oprM* and determined the effect on OprM expression and activity. While several of these deletions were originally designed with the β -barrel model (47) in mind, the phenotype of several deletions actually provided support for the TolC model. Deletion of residues F317 to F326 (pXZL91; Δ 11 in Fig. 3), which overlapped the fourth putative membrane-spanning β -sheet (S5 in the TolC model), for example, failed to yield a protein (Fig. 2A and B, lanes 12), and it is well known that membrane-spanning domains are not tolerant of deletions (13, 29, 38). Several deletions, including Δ P5-P10 (pXZL74; Δ 1 in Fig. 3), Δ T28-D37 (pXZL88; Δ 2 in Fig. 3), Δ R74-I79 (pXZL92; Δ 3 in Fig. 3), Δ S93-G107 (pXZL57; Δ 4 in Fig. 3), Δ G199-A209 (pXZL90; Δ 7 in Fig. 3), Δ A233-G241 (pXZL73; Δ 8 in Fig. 3), and Δ L257-L264 (pXZL133; Δ 9 in Fig. 3), yielded OprM products in both K1110 (Fig. 2A, lanes 4, 5, 13, 6, 10, 15, and 11) and K1113 (Fig. 2B, lanes 4, 5, 13, 6, 10, 15, and 11), consistent with these regions lying outside the membrane, as predicted by the TolC model (Fig. 3). All of these deletions were functional, with the exception of the pXZL90-encoded OprM Δ G199-A209 derivative (Tables 3 and 4), which might yield a shortened H4 α -helix (Fig. 3). Insertion of the nine-amino-acid HA tag be-

| OPRMN | CSLIPDYQRPEAPVAAAYPQGQAYGQNTGAAAVPAADIGWREFFRDPQLQ | 50 |
|-------|---|-----|
| OPRMC | QTLLTEVPAGLPSDLLQRR-P | 273 |
| | S1 | |
| OPRMN | QLIGVALENNRDLRVAALNVEAFRAQYRI QRADLFPRIGVDG -SGTRQRL | 99 |
| OPRMC | DILEAEHQLMAANASIGAARAAF FPSISLT ANAGTMSRQ | 312 |
| | S2 | |
| OPRMN | PGDLSTTGSPAISSQYGVTLGTTAWELDLFGRLRSLRDQALEQYLATEQA | 149 |
| OPRMC | I .III I . I . III I I LSGLFDAGSGS WLFQPSINL PIFTAGSLRASLDYAKIQKDINVAQ S5 | 357 |
| OPRMN | QRSAQTTLVASVATAYLTLKADQAQLQLTKDTLGTYQKSFDLTQRSYDVG | 199 |
| OPRMC | YEKAIQTAFQEVADGLAARGTFTEQLQAQRDLVKASDEYYQLADKRYRTG | 407 |
| OPRMN | VASALDLRQAQTAVEGARATLAQYTRLVAQDQNALVLLLGSGIPANLPQG | 249 |
| | | |
| OPRMC | VDNYLTLLDAQRSLFTAQQQLITDRLNQLTSEVNLYKALGGGWNQQTVTQ | 457 |
| | | |
| OPRMN | LGLD | 253 |
| OPRMC | QQTAKKEDPQA | 468 |
| | | |

FIG. 4. Internal alignment of OprM, highlighting the homology between the N-terminal (OPRMN) and C-terminal (OPRMC) halves of the protein. Exact matches (|) and conserved changes (.) are indicated. Putative membrane-spanning β -sheet regions are underlined in bold and enumerated as for TolC. Numbers at the right represent the position of the last amino acid residue in each line within the mature OprM sequence, where the acylated Cys residue is residue 1.

tween the putative S1 and S2 membrane-spanning domains (Fig. 3) also yielded a well-expressed OprM protein (Fig. 2, lane 7) that was active (Tables 3 and 4), again suggesting that this region lies outside of the membrane.

Not all nonpermissive deletions occurred within putative membrane-spanning regions, however. Deletions of E125 to G130 (pXZL75; $\Delta 5$ in Fig. 3), A145 to A153 (pXZL89; $\Delta 6$ in Fig. 3), R341 to I349 (pXZL93; $\Delta 12$ in Fig. 3), and A445 to W450 (pXZL76; $\Delta 13$ in Fig. 3) failed to yield an OprM protein (Fig. 2A and B, lanes 14, 9, 17, and 18). According to the TolC model 20, $\Delta 5$ and $\Delta 6$ would truncate H3, one of two particularly lengthy α -helices that extend into the periplasmic α -helix (Fig. 3). The nonpermissive nature of $\Delta 13$ is surprising in that it occurs between putative helices H8 and H9 (Fig. 3).

Four different deletions within the first 108 amino acids of mature OprM were expressed and functional, raising questions as to the functional significance of this portion of the protein. Deletion of much of the N terminus of OprM (A12 to R98; pXZL137) did compromise expression of OprM in *P. aeruginosa* K1110 (Fig. 2A, lane 8) although the protein was detectable in *P. aeruginosa* K1113 (Fig. 2B, lane 8). Moreover, the protein, though less active than wild-type OprM in K1113, did increase the resistance of this OprM-deficient strain to most antibiotics that were tested (Table 4).

DISCUSSION

The OprM family of outer membrane multidrug efflux proteins shares a number of regions of sequence conservation, several of which were targeted here for mutagenesis. While the expectation was that these would be important for function, only two of nine permissive deletions (AG199-A209 and Δ A278-N286) impaired OprM function, and none of the highly conserved residues that were mutated in these regions was essential for activity. Still the very highly conserved LGGGW sequence near the extreme C terminus of OprM appears to be especially critical for expression and, thus activity of this protein. Consistent with these results, deletion of the final 70 amino acids of OprM was previously shown to obviate expression of the protein (47). Intriguingly, the originally reported oprM gene possessed an anomalous sequence at its 3' end provided by the phagemid vector into which oprM had been cloned, although the resultant OprM protein was fully functional. This protein lacked the final 22 amino acids of native OprM (replaced with 14 phagemid-derived amino acids), confirming results presented here that the extreme C terminus of OprM is dispensable for activity. Perhaps importantly, however, the nature of the LGGGW sequence was retained, being changed to LWGG in this altered oprM gene. The conservation of a tryptophan residue in this region may be of some importance. A conservative substitution (W450Y) failed to alter expression or activity of OprM, while additional substitutions were unsuccessful.

Lipoproteins typically possess a signature lipoprotein box near the N terminus, immediately following a signal sequence (37). An absolutely conserved cysteine residue within this box in OprM and its homologues, though the site of acylation of these lipoproteins, was dispensable, suggesting that acylation is not a prerequisite for function. Similarly, MexA, though also a lipoprotein, need not be acylated to be functionally active (49). The role of these lipid tails, then, in the assembly or activity of the MexAB-OprM efflux pump is unclear.

In light of the recently solved crystal structure of TolC, which describes the protein as a trimeric "channel-tunnel" that spans both the outer membrane (as a β -barrel) and the periplasm (as a α -helical barrel), it is reasonable to assume that OprM, a TolC homologue, adopts much the same conformation. Such a structure contrasts with the recently published model for OprM, which describes it a monomeric β -barrel comprised of 16 membrane-spanning segments (47). Consistent with the TolC model and in contrast to the monomeric β-barrel model, however, recent analysis of the OprM sequence using a neural network approach predicted that a large portion of the protein would be periplasmic (11; A. Ferguson, personal communication). Using a variety of secondary structure prediction tools, the predominantly α -helical nature of OprM (and TolC) was confirmed, as was the placement of putative β-sheet regions that could form membrane-spanning domains. These agreed quite nicely with predictions based on alignment of the TolC and OprM sequences (Fig. 3). Interestingly, too, OprM, like TolC (20), displays internal homology, further supporting OprM adopting a TolC-like conformation. Using the TolC model, then, it was possible to assess the implications of the various deletions constructed in this study and to use this information to validate the TolC model for OprM. Surprisingly, the highly conserved LGGGW encompassed by $\Delta 13$ does not correspond to any significant structural feature in TolC, occurring as it does in a region of OprM that aligns with TolC between α -helices H8 and H9. Although one cannot be sure about the exact placement of these helices in OprM, much of the region encompassed by $\Delta 13$ is conserved between OprM and TolC, suggesting that it does not lie within OprM helix H8 or H9. While the reason for the nonpermissive nature of this deletion is unknown, the fact that deletion of downstream residues does not affect expression (or function) of OprM indicates that an H9 is unlikely to be important for OprM function or assembly. As such, the lack of expression of a $\Delta 13$ OprM derivative cannot be due to an effect on topology of this downstream helix.

The region from G199 to A209 of OprM (encompassed by Δ 7 in Fig. 3) corresponds to the beginning of H4 in TolC, although the proximity of this region to H3 and the possibility of some variation regarding the exact positioning of comparable helices in OprM suggests that either of these α -helices may be affected in OprM Δ G199-A209. The fact, however, that other deletions in the putative H3 α -helix (e.g., Δ 5 and Δ 6 in Fig. 3) are nonpermissive suggests that Δ 7 may well affect H4. Why this would abrogate function is unclear, although the placement of this region of TolC at the extreme periplasmic end of the protein (facing the cytoplasmic membrane) suggests

it may, in OprM, be important for interaction with MexA or MexB. Alternatively, since the N-terminal end of H4 contacts H7 in forming the periplasmic α -helical barrel of TolC (20), Δ 7 could disrupt this contact in OprM, perhaps affecting proper barrel formation or assembly.

 $\Delta 10$, encompassing A278 to N286 of OprM, corresponds to the C terminus of H6 in TolC (Fig. 3) which, together with the downstream α -helix H8, forms a pseudocontinuous helix that spans the length of the α -helical barrel of TolC (20). Intriguingly, the region encompassed by this deletion corresponds to a region in the TolC α -helix H6 that contacts α -helix H7 (20). Quite possibly, then, this deletion disrupts such an interaction in OprM, again compromising proper barrel formation.

With the exception of $\Delta 11$ (F317 to F326), which likely disrupts a membrane-spanning domain of OprM and thus is expected to be nonpermissive, the nonpermissive deletions identified in this study do not correspond to expected membrane-spanning regions of the protein. Interestingly, however, they all target the long helices, dubbed H3 and H7 in TolC. Given their importance in formation of the periplasmic α -helical barrel of TolC (they extend the length of the barrel), their truncation might prevent assembly of the periplasmic barrel domain, yielding an improperly folded and highly unstable protein. Moreover, in contrast to the permissive deletions in H4 and H6, which likely affect only one set of contacts, truncations in H3 and H7 would, by virtue of their shortening these helices, disrupt the positioning of residues downstream of the deletion, perhaps impacting on multiple contacts with other helices. This, too, might render the protein unstable.

The ability to delete the first ca. 100 amino acids of OprM and retain some function, though surprising, was reminiscent of the ferrichrome receptor FhuA (4). It is now known that the N terminus of this and other ferric siderophore receptors encompasses a TonB-responsive cork domain that blocks the receptor channel from the periplasmic side of the protein (10, 24). As MexAB-OprM is apparently dependent on TonB (51), it may be that the N terminus of OprM similarly functions as a TonB-responsive cork. Given the unique structure predicted for OprM, however, it likely looks and functions somewhat differently than it does in FhuA. This would explain both the lack of a TonB box (the site on traditional TonB-dependent outer membrane receptors [e.g., FhuA] that interacts with TonB) in OprM and its homologues and the differential effect of TonB mutations on drug efflux versus iron transport activity in P. aeruginosa (Q. Zhao and K. Poole, submitted for publication), since TonB would have to interact differently with OprM than with a ferric siderophore receptor. It is also interesting that OprM possesses an N-terminal extension not shared by TolC (Fig. 3) and TolC appears not to be TonB dependent (at least antibiotic resistance, and therefore AcrAB-TolC-mediated resistance, is not compromised in an E. coli tonB mutant [Q. Zhao and K. Poole, unpublished data]). Whether the additional N-terminal residues are associated with a TonB dependence of MexAB-OprM activity or simply relate to the acylation and membrane anchoring of the N terminus of OprM (TolC is not a lipoprotein) is, however, uncertain. The results of our study are in consonance with those of Hancock's group (46a).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by an operating grant from the Canadian Cystic Fibrosis Foundation (CCFF). X.-Z.L. holds a CCFF studentship. K.P. is a CCFF Martha Morton Scholar.

REFERENCES

- Aires, J. R., T. Köhler, H. Nikaido, and P. Plésiat. 1999. Involvement of an active efflux system in the natural resistance of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* to aminoglycosides. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 43:2624–2628.
- Barcak, G. J., M. S. Chandler, R. J. Redfield, and J.-F. Tomb. 1991. Genetic systems in *Haemophilus influenzae*. Methods Enzymol. 204:321–337.
- Bianco, N., S. Neshat, and K. Poole. 1997. Conservation of the multidrug resistance efflux gene oprM in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 41:853–856.
- Braun, M., H. Killmann, and V. Braun. 1999. The β-barrel domain of FhuAΔ5-160 is sufficient for TonB-dependent FhuA activity of *Escherichia coli*. Mol. Microbiol. 33:1037–1049.
- Braun, V. 1995. Energy-coupled transport and signal transduction through the gram-negative outer membrane via TonB-ExbB-ExbD-dependent receptor proteins. FEMS Microbiol. Rev. 16:293–307.
- Burns, J. L., C. D. Wadsworth, J. J. Barry, and C. P. Goodall. 1996. Nucleotide sequence analysis of a gene from *Burkholderia (Pseudomonas) cepacia* encoding an outer membrane lipoprotein involved in multiple antibiotic resistance. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 40:307–313.
- Cuff, J. A., M. E. Clamp, A. S. Siddiqui, M. Finlay, and G. J. Barton. 1998. Jpred: a consensus secondary structure prediction server. Bioinformatics 14:892–893.
- Cuff, J. A., and G. J. Barton. 1999. Evaluation and improvement of multiple sequence methods for protein secondary structure prediction. Proteins Struct. Func. Genet. 34:508–529.
- de Lorenzo, V., L. Eltis, B. Kessler, and K. Timmis. 1993. Analysis of *Pseudomonas* gene products using *lacI^q/Ptrp-lac* plasmids and transposons that confer conditional phenotypes. Gene 123:17–24.
- Ferguson, A. D., E. Hofmann, J. W. Coulton, K. Diederichs, and W. Weltes. 1998. Siderophore-mediated iron transport: crystal structure of FhuA with bound lipopolysaccharide. Science 282:2215–2225.
- Fiederichs, K., J. Preigang, S. Umhau, and K. Zeth. 1988. Prediction by a neural network of outer membrane β-strand protein topology. Protein Sci. 7:2413–2420.
- Gotoh, N., H. Tsujimoto, K. Poole, J.-I. Yamagishi, and T. Nishino. 1995. The outer membrane protein OprM of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* is encoded by *oprK* of the *mexA-mexB-oprK* multidrug resistance operon. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 39:2567–2569.
- Huang, H., D. Jeanteur, F. Pattus, and R. E. W. Hancock. 1995. Membrane topology and site-specific mutagenesis of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* porin OprD. Mol. Microbiol. 16:931–941.
- Inoue, H., H. Nojima, and H. Okayama. 1991. High efficiency transformation of *Escherichia coli* with plasmids. Gene 96:23–28.
- Johnson, J. M., and G. M. Church. 1999. Alignment and structure prediction of divergent protein families: periplasmic and outer membrane proteins of bacterial efflux pumps. J. Mol. Biol. 287:695–715.
- Keen, N. T., S. Tamaki, D. Kobayashi, and D. Trollinger. 1988. Improved broad-host-range plasmids for DNA cloning in gram-negative bacteria. Gene 70:191–197.
- Kieboom, J., J. J. Dennis, J. A. M. de Bont, and G. J. Zylstra. 1998. Identification and molecular characterization of an efflux pump involved in *Pseudomonas putida* S12 solvent tolerance. J. Biol. Chem. 273:85–91.
- Köhler, T., M. Michea-Hamzehpour, U. Henze, N. Gotoh, L. K. Curty, and J.-C. Pechere. 1997. Characterization of MexE-MexF-OprN, a positively regulated multidrug efflux system of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Mol. Microbiol. 23:345–354.
- Köhler, T., M. Kok, M. Michea-Hamzehpour, P. Plesiat, N. Gotoh, T. Nishino, L. Kocjanici Curty, and J.-C. Pechere. 1996. Multidrug efflux in intrinsic resistance to trimethoprim and sulfamethoxazole in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 40:2288–2290.
- Koronakis, V., A. Sharff, E. Koronakis, B. Luisi, and C. Hughes. 2000. Crystal structure of the bacterial membrane protein ToIC central to multidrug efflux and protein export. Nature 405:914–919.
- Li, X.-Z., H. Nikaido, and K. Poole. 1995. Role of MexA-MexB-OprM in antibiotic efflux in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 39:1948–1953.
- Li, X.-Z., L. Zhang, and K. Poole. 1998. Role of the multidrug efflux systems of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in organic solvent tolerance. J. Bacteriol. 180: 2987–2991.
- Li, X.-Z., L. Zhang, R. Srikumar, and K. Poole. 1998. β-Lactamase inhibitors are substrates of the multidrug efflux pumps of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 42:399–403.
- 24. Locher, K. P., B. Rees, R. Koebnik, A. Mitscher, L. Moulinier, J. P. Rosenbush, and D. Moras. 1998. Transmembrane signaling across the ligand-gated FhuA receptor: crystal structures of free and ferrichrome-bound states re-

veal allosteric changes. Cell 95:771-778.

- Lugtenberg, B., R. Meijiers, R. Peters, P. van der Hock, and L. van Alphen. 1975. Electrophoretic resolution of the "major outer membrane protein" of *Escherichia coli* K-12 into four bands. FEBS Lett. 58:254–258.
- Masuda, N., and S. Ohya. 1992. Cross-resistance to meropenem, cephems, and quinolones in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 36:1847–1851.
- Mine, T., Y. Morita, A. Kataoka, T. Mizushima, and T. Tsuchiya. 1999. Expression in *Escherichia coli* of a new multidrug efflux pump, MexXY, from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 43:415–417.
- Moeck, G. S., J. W. Coulton, and K. Postle. 1997. Cell envelope signaling in Escherichia coli. Ligand binding to the ferrichrome-iron receptor FhuA promotes interaction with the energy-transducing protein TonB. J. Biol. Chem. 272:28391–28397.
- Newton, S. M. C., P. E. Klebba, V. Michel, M. Hofnung, and A. Charbit. 1996. Topology of the membrane protein LamB by epitope tagging and a comparison with the X-ray model. J. Bacteriol. 178:3447–3456.
- Nikaido, H. 1994. Isolation of outer membrane. Methods Enzymol. 235:225– 234
- Nikaido, H. 1998. Multiple antibiotic resistance and efflux. Curr. Opin. Microbiol. 1:516–523.
- Okii, M., S. Iyobe, and S. Mitsuhashi. 1983. Mapping of the gene specifying aminoglycoside 3'-phosphotransferase II on the *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* chromosome. J. Bacteriol. 155:643–649.
- 33. Paulsen, I. T., J. H. Park, P. S. Choi, and M. H. Saier, Jr. 1997. A family of gram-negative bacterial outer membrane factors that function in the export of proteins, carbohydrates, drugs and heavy metals from gram-negative bacteria. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 156:1–8.
- 34. Poole, K., N. Gotoh, H. Tsujimoto, Q. Zhao, A. Wada, T. Yamasaki, S. Neshat, J.-I. Yamagishi, X.-Z. Li, and T. Nishino. 1996. Overexpression of the *mexC-mexD-oprJ* efflux operon in *nfxB* multidrug resistant strains of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Mol. Microbiol. 21:713–724.
- Poole, K., D. E. Heinrichs, and S. Neshat. 1993. Multiple antibiotic resistance in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: evidence for involvement of an efflux operon. J. Bacteriol. 175:7363–7372.
- 36. Poole, K., K. Krebes, C. McNally, and S. Neshat. 1993. Cloning and sequence analysis of an EnvCD homologue in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: regulation by iron and possible involvement in the secretion of the siderophore pyoverdine. Mol. Microbiol. 10:529–544.
- Pugsley, A. P. 1993. The complete general secretory pathway in gram-negative bacteria. Microbiol. Rev. 57:50–108.
- Rehm, B. H., and R. E. W. Hancock. 1996. Membrane topology of the outer membrane protein OprH from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: PCR-mediated insertion and deletion mutagenesis. J. Bacteriol. 178:3346–3349.
- Saier, M. H., Jr., R. Tam, A. Reizer, and J. Reizer. 1994. Two novel families of bacterial membrane proteins concerned with nodulation, cell division and transport. Mol. Microbiol. 11:841–847.
- Sambrook, J., E. F. Fritsch, and T. Maniatis. 1989. Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual, 2nd ed. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory. Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y.
- 41. Schaefer, A., A. Tauch, W. Jaeger, J. Kalinowski, G. Thierbach, and A. Puehler. Small mobilizable multi-purpose cloning vectors derived from the *Escherichia coli* plasmids pK18 and pK19: selection of defined deletions in the chromosome of *Corynebacterium glutamicum*. Gene 145:69–73.
- Seydel, A., P. Gounon, and A. P. Pugsley. 1999. Testing the '+2 role' for the lipoprotein sorting in the *Escherichia coli* cell envelope with a new genetic selection. Mol. Microbiol. 34:810–821.
- 43. Simon, R., U. Priefer, and A. Puehler. A broad host range mobilization system for *in vivo* genetic engineering: transposon mutagenesis in Gramnegative bacteria. Bio/Technology 1:784–791.
- 44. Srikumar, R., X.-Z. Li, and K. Poole. 1997. The inner membrane efflux components are responsible for the β-lactam specificity of multidrug efflux pumps in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. J. Bacteriol. **179**:7875–7881.
- Studier, F. W., and B. A. Moffatt. 1986. Use of bacteriophage T7 RNA polymerase to direct selective high-level expression of cloned genes. J. Mol. Biol. 189:113–130.
- 46. Westbrock-Wadman, S., D. R. Sherman, M. J. Hickey, S. N. Coulter, Y. Q. Zhu, P. Warrener, L. Y. Nguen, R. M. Sharwar, K. R. Folger, and C. K. Stover. 1999. Characterization of a *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* efflux pump contributing to aminoglycoside impermeability. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 43:2975–2983.
- 46a.Wong, K. K. Y., F. S. L. Brinkman, R. S. Benz, and R. E. W. Hancock. 2001. Evaluation of a structural model of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* outer membrane protein OprM, an efflux component involved in intrinsic antibiotic resistance. J. Bacteriol. 183:367–374.
- Wong, K. K. Y., and R. E. W. Hancock. 2000. Insertion mutagenesis and membrane topology model of the *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* outer membrane protein OprM. J. Bacteriol. 182:2402–2410.
 Wong, K. K. Y., K. Poole, N. Gotoh, and R. E. W. Hancock. 1997. Influence
- Wong, K. K. Y., K. Poole, N. Gotoh, and R. E. W. Hancock. 1997. Influence of OprM expression on multiple antibiotic resistance in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 41:2009–2012.
- 49. Yoneyama, H., H. Maseda, H. Kamiguchi, and T. Nakae. 2000. Function of

the membrane fusion protein, MexA, of the MexA, B-OprM efflux pump in Pseudomonas aeruginosa without an anchoring membrane. J. Biol. Chem. 275:4628-4634.

- 50. Zhang, L., X.-Z. Li, and K. Poole. 2000. Multiple antibiotic resistance in Stenofrophomonas maltophilia: involvement of a multidrug efflux system. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 44:287–293.
 51. Zhao, Q., X.-Z. Li, A. Mistry, R. Srikumar, L. Zhang, O. Lomovskaya, and

K. Poole. 1998. Influence of the TonB energy-coupling protein on effluxmediated multidrug resistance in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. **42**:2225–2231.

52. Zhao, Q., X.-Z. Li, R. Srikumar, and K. Poole. 1998. Contribution of outer membrane efflux protein OprM on antibiotic resistance in *Pseudomonas* aeruginosa independent of MexAB. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 42: 1682–1688