

# INVITED REVIEW

# Cost-benefit analysis of mesophyll conductance: diversities of anatomical, biochemical and environmental determinants

# Yusuke Mizokami<sup>1,\*,®</sup>, Riichi Oguchi<sup>2,®</sup>, Daisuke Sugiura<sup>3,®</sup>, Wataru Yamori<sup>4,®</sup>, Ko Noguchi<sup>1,®</sup> and Ichiro Terashima<sup>2,®</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Life Science, Tokyo University of Pharmacy and Life Sciences, Hachioji, Tokyo 192-0392, Japan, <sup>2</sup>Department of Biological Sciences, School of Science, The University of Tokyo, 7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-0033, Japan, <sup>3</sup>Graduate School of Bioagricultural Sciences, Nagoya University, Furo, Chikusa-ku, Nagoya 464-8601, Japan and <sup>4</sup>Graduate School of Agricultural and Life Science, Institute for Sustainable Agri-ecosystem, The University of Tokyo, 1-1-1, Midoricho, Nishitokyo, Tokyo 188-0002, Japan

\* For correspondence: E-mail yusuke.mizokami@gmail.com

Received: 5 April 2022 Returned for revision: 26 July 2022 Editorial decision: 2 August 2022 Accepted: 8 August 2022 Electronically published: 10 August 2022

• **Background** Plants invest photosynthates in construction and maintenance of their structures and functions. Such investments are considered costs. These costs are recovered by the  $CO_2$  assimilation rate (A) in the leaves, and thus A is regarded as the immediate, short-term benefit. In photosynthesizing leaves,  $CO_2$  diffusion from the air to the carboxylation site is hindered by several structural and biochemical barriers.  $CO_2$  diffusion from the intercellular air space to the chloroplast stroma is obstructed by the mesophyll resistance. The inverses is the mesophyll conductance ( $g_m$ ). Whether various plants realize an optimal  $g_m$ , and how much investment is needed for a relevant  $g_m$ , remain unsolved.

• Scope This review examines relationships among leaf construction costs (CC), leaf maintenance costs (MC) and  $g_m$  in various plants under diverse growth conditions. Through a literature survey, we demonstrate a strong linear relationship between leaf mass per area (LMA) and leaf CC. The overall correlation of CC vs.  $g_m$  across plant phylogenetic groups is weak, but significant trends are evident within specific groups and/or environments. Investment in CC is necessary for an increase in LMA and mesophyll cell surface area ( $S_{mes}$ ). This allows the leaf to accommodate more chloroplasts, thus increasing A. However, increases in LMA and/or  $S_{mes}$  often accompany other changes, such as cell wall thickening, which diminishes  $g_m$ . Such factors that make the correlations of CC and  $g_m$  elusive are identified. • Conclusions For evaluation of the contribution of  $g_m$  to recover CC, leaf life span is the key factor. The estimation of MC in relation to  $g_m$ , especially in terms of costs required to regulate aquaporins, could be essential for efficient control of  $g_m$  over the short term. Over the long term, costs are mainly reflected in CC, while benefits also include ultimate fitness attributes in terms of integrated carbon gain over the life of a leaf, plant survival and reproductive output.

Key words: Aquaporin, cooporin, leaf construction cost, leaf maintenance cost, leaf mass per area, mesophyll conductance.

# INTRODUCTION: LEAF CO $_2$ UPTAKE AND ITS CONSTRAINTS IN LAND PLANT

Because land plants face the challenge of using atmospheric  $CO_2$  for photosynthesis at the expense of  $H_2O$  loss, photosynthetic carbon (C) gain should be optimally balanced against transpiration.  $CO_2$  uptake and  $H_2O$  loss in land plants share the same diffusive pathway through the leaf boundary layer, stomata and sub-stomatal cavities, although the directions of these fluxes are opposite in the light. Additional resistances to  $CO_2$  include the intercellular air space, cell wall, plasma membrane, cytosol, chloroplast envelope and chloroplast stroma (Fig. 1).  $CO_2$  diffusion conductance, the inverse of the resistance imposed by these barriers, changes dynamically in response to environmental variables on short-term scales of minutes to

days. Such dynamic changes are attributed to the changes in stomatal aperture,  $CO_2$  permeabilities of the plasma membrane and chloroplast envelope, pH values of the cell wall solution, cytosol and the chloroplast stroma, and positions of chloroplasts. On the other hand, the stomatal density, intercellular diffusion path length and cell wall thickness are established during tissue development and do not change on short-term scales. These static traits, however, can be developmentally adjusted to enhance adaptive function in the growth environment. Similarly, for H<sub>2</sub>O diffusion, there are quickly adjustable factors such as stomatal aperture and activity and the abundance of aquaporins, in addition to factors that are not adjustable on short-term scales (e.g. vein density, cuticle thickness, stomatal density and distance from the vascular system to the stomata).



FIG. 1. Schematic CO<sub>2</sub> diffusion pathway from the atmosphere to the chloroplast stroma.  $C_a$  decreases to  $C_c$  due to  $R_b$ ,  $R_s$  and  $R_m$  (see Table 2 for definitions). The inverse of these resistances are the conductance terms  $g_b$ ,  $g_s$  and  $g_m$ , respectively.  $R_m$  consists of resistances at the intercellular air space  $(1/g_{IAS})$ , cell wall  $(1/g_w)$ , plasma membrane  $(1/g_{pm})$ , cytosol  $(1/g_{cy})$ , chloroplast envelope  $(1/g_{em})$  and chloroplast stroma  $(1/g_{sl})$ . CO<sub>2</sub> diffuses through cooporins (CO<sub>2</sub> permeable aquaporins) across the PM and CH envelope. Carbonic anhydrases (CAs) catalyse CO<sub>2</sub> hydration and H<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> dehydration in the cytosol and chloroplast stroma.

To optimize photosynthetic C gain avoiding severe water stress, plants need to optimally regulate  $CO_2$  and  $H_2O$  diffusion, and the C assimilation enzymes on both short- and long-term scales (Chavess, 1991; Fernández-Marín *et al.*, 2020). Important components of these optimal regulations within leaves are the construction costs (CC) and maintenance costs (MC) of the leaves, in additional to their respective functional attributes.

Cost-benefit analysis, originally developed in the field of economics, has been used as a useful tool to evaluate the costs vs. benefits arising from investment in various ecological possibilities. Natural selection is hypothesized to favour optimal investment patterns that maximize the fitness of an individual within a given environment (Bloom et al., 1985), e.g. various resources such as nitrogen (N) are invested into the components of photosynthesis (such as Rubisco, photosystems and the factors contributing to diffusive transport of CO2 into the leaf) to support C assimilation. When no single process in the leaf limits photosynthesis, the optimal investment pattern is such that the control over C assimilation is equally distributed among all component processes contributing to photosynthesis (Bloom et al., 1985). The assimilated C is then used for the maintenance of the leaf and other organs such as stems and roots, the construction of new organs and the storage of essential fitness functions such as reproduction of the next generation, response to loss such as fire or herbivory, and defense (Table 1). In the case of resource allocation to the components of mesophyll conductance  $(g_m)$ , too little allocation to leaf diffusive influx could hinder C assimilation by depriving Rubisco of essential CO<sub>2</sub>, while too much allocation could divert resources from other essential functions without major enhancement of C assimilation. Worse, too much investment in  $g_m$  could have costs in terms of reduced leaf survival should drought occur.

Differences in leaf CC have been studied for almost five decades (Johnson and Tieszen, 1976). However, no reviews have

explored the cost-benefit relationships in the context of CO<sub>2</sub> diffusion inside the leaf, i.e. the  $g_m$  which refers to the CO<sub>2</sub> diffusion conductance from the sub-stomatal cavity to the carboxylation site of Rubisco (Fig. 1). Because the chloroplast CO<sub>2</sub> concentration  $(C_{2})$  is well below the CO<sub>2</sub> concentration in the intercellular air spaces (C<sub>i</sub>) by 20–50 % (Niinemets et al., 2009; Tosens et al., 2012; Von Caemmerer and Evans, 2015), the value of  $g_m$  has a direct effect on the rate of photosynthetic carbon assimilation. More investment in  $g_m$  increases  $C_c$  and C assimilation, while less  $g_{\rm m}$  reduces C. The relative costs required for  $g_{\rm m}$  are discussed, as well as the derived benefits, to the degree to which the literature allows. To apply the cost-benefit analysis to our understanding of  $g_m$ , we have to evaluate both the cost required for each of the components determining  $g_m$ , and the benefit. Here, the immediate benefit means the increase in  $g_m$  and the increase in  $CO_2$ supply to Rubisco, while longer term benefits could be enhanced survival, reproductive output and, ultimately, fitness. In this review, we assume that the net CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation rate at light saturation on a leaf area basis  $(A_{sat})$ , which strongly correlates with the leaf daily CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation rate (Hikosaka and Terashima, 1995; Terashima and Hikosaka, 1995; Li et al., 2016), serves as an important proxy for the fitness gain from leaf investment into  $g_m$ . Thus, we consider  $A_{sat}$  the benefit and shall express it simply as A. With respect to costs, the available information on exacts costs of the components of  $g_m$  is lacking. Thus, we complement discussion of specific costs such as aquaporin and carbonic anhydrase (CA) investment with the discussion of CC and MC of leaves. In short, this review analyses relationships between the leaf CC of fixed (unadjustable) leaf traits, the MC related to rapidly adjustable leaf traits and their significance for  $g_m$ (Fig. 2). Our cost-benefit analysis on  $g_{\rm m}$  also considers construction costs and  $g_m$  in diverse plant taxa under various environmental conditions.

TABLE I. The key concepts and terms used in this review

Key concepts and terms	Definition in this review	Symbols and abbreviation
Investment	Total carbon used to gain benefit.	CA
Resource	Materials used for generating carbon gain and constructing the plant body (e.g. light, water, CO <sub>2</sub> , nutrients, etc).	CC C <sub>c</sub>
Cost	Carbon expenses used for maintenance and construction of present and new tissues.	$\delta_{_{ m W}}$
Benefit	Carbon gain by photosynthesis ( $CO_2$ assimilation rate).	g <sub>s</sub>
Construction cost (CC)	Carbon expenses used for producing new tissues expressed as grams of glucose per unit area. Data derived from the literature were determined via chemical composition and heat of combustion methods.	g <sub>m</sub>
Maintenance cost (MC)	Energy expenses per unit leaf area for maintaining existing tissues such as cellular homeostasis and protein turnover.	$g_{\rm w}$
Leaf mass per area (LMA)	A morphological trait determined by leaf thickness and density related to anatomical tissue and chemical composition expressed as g m <sup>-2</sup> .	$g_{\rm pm}$
Instantaneous cost– benefit relationship (A/CC)	CO <sub>2</sub> assimilation rate divided by CC as an indicator of how much carbon expenses are recovered on a short-term basis.	g <sub>env</sub>

The contents of this review are as follows: (1) the concept of  $g_m$  and its CO<sub>2</sub> diffusion processes; (2) the concept of costbenefit analysis used in this study; (3) cost-benefit analyses of long-term responses of  $g_m$  in response to environmental stress; (4) cost-benefit analyses of short-term responses of  $g_m$ including possible mechanisms of rapid  $g_m$  changes; (5) other factors affecting and/or masking the cost-benefit relationship of  $g_m$ ; and (6) phylogenetic variation in CC and  $g_m$ .

#### WHAT IS MESOPHYLL CONDUCTANCE?

Mesophyll conductance,  $g_m$ , is the conductance for CO<sub>2</sub> diffusion from the sub-stomatal cavity to the chloroplast stroma, which affects CO<sub>2</sub> concentration in the chloroplast stroma ( $C_c$ ), thus constraining A. CO<sub>2</sub> diffuses through the intercellular air space to the cell wall surface, where it dissolves in the cell wall water and diffuses through the cell wall, membrane, cytosol and chloroplast envelope, to finally enter the stroma where it is fixed by Rubisco (Fig. 1). To analyse the cost of each of the CO<sub>2</sub> diffusion processes, we summarize characteristics of the processes.

#### $CO_{2}$ and $HCO_{3}^{-}$ concentrations in the liquid phase

From the cell wall to Rubisco,  $CO_2$  diffuses in the liquid phase, and the resistance to  $CO_2$  diffusion in the liquid phase is four orders of magnitude greater than that in the gas phase. According to Henry's law,  $CO_2$  concentration in the liquid phase,  $[CO_2]$ , is proportional to the partial pressure of  $CO_2$  in the adjacent gas phase:

abbreviations CA Carbonic anhydrase CC Construction cost C. CO<sub>2</sub> concentration at chloroplast stroma Mesophyll cell wall thickness  $\delta_{...}$ CO<sub>2</sub> diffusion conductance from air to the leaf surface.  $g_{\rm b}$ The inverse of resistance at the leaf boundary layer  $(R_1)$ . CO<sub>2</sub> diffusion conductance from the leaf surface to the  $g_{s}$ intercellular air space. The inverse of resistance at the stomata (R). CO<sub>2</sub> diffusion conductance from the intercellular air space  $g_{\rm m}$ to the chloroplast stroma. The inverse of resistance at the mesophyll  $(R_m)$ . CO<sub>2</sub> diffusion conductance in the cell wall. The inverse of g... cell wall resistance  $(R_w)$ . CO<sub>2</sub> diffusion conductance across the plasma membrane.  $g_{\rm pm}$ The inverse of plasma membrane resistance  $(R_{nm})$ . CO<sub>2</sub> diffusion conductance in the cytosol. The inverse of  $g_{\rm cy}$ cytosolic resistance  $(R_{av})$ . CO<sub>2</sub> diffusion conductance across the chloroplast  $g_{\rm env}$ envelope. The inverse of chloroplast envelope resistance  $(R_{env})$ . CO<sub>2</sub> diffusion conductance in the chloroplast stroma. The  $g_{st}$ inverse of chloroplast stroma resistance  $(R_{env})$ . LMA Leaf mass per area MC Maintananca cost

IVIC	Wallie cost
PIP	Plasma membrane intrinsic protein (aquaporin)
S <sub>c</sub>	Chloroplast surface area facing the intercellular air spaces per leaf area.
S <sub>mes</sub>	Mesophyll cell surface area facing the intercellular air spaces per leaf area.

$$H = \frac{[\mathrm{CO}_2]}{P \cdot C_g} \tag{1}$$

where *H* is Henry's constant in mol m<sup>-3</sup> Pa<sup>-1</sup>, [CO<sub>2</sub>] is the CO<sub>2</sub> concentration in the liquid phase in mol m<sup>-3</sup>, *P* is the atmospheric pressure in Pa and *C*<sub>2</sub> is the CO<sub>2</sub> concentration in the gas phase in mol CO<sub>2</sub> mol<sup>g<sub>1</sub></sup>. Thus,  $P \cdot C_g$  denotes the partial pressure of CO<sub>2</sub> in Pa. Assuming that Henry's constant is 0.33 mmol m<sup>-3</sup> Pa<sup>-1</sup> at 25 °C, [CO<sub>2</sub>] in an aqueous solution equilibrated with the air containing CO<sub>2</sub> at 41 Pa is 13.5  $\mu$ M. Taking account of the effects of cellular solutes on chemical constants and coefficients, the chemical values used in this review are not for pure water but for a solution containing 5 g of sea salt kg<sup>-1</sup>, which roughly corresponds to a 90 mM NaCl solution (Fig. 3).

 $[CO_2]$  per se does not change with pH, whereas  $[HCO_3^-]$  increases with the increase in pH. Assuming that  $[HCO_3^-]$  is in equilibrium with  $[CO_2]$ , the ratio  $[HCO_3^-]/[CO_2]$  is expressed by the Henderson–Hasselbalch equation:

$$\log \frac{\left[\text{HCO}_{3}^{-}\right]}{\left[\text{CO}_{2}\right]} = \text{pH} - \text{p}K_{a},$$
(2)

Definition



FIG. 2. The relationship between traits contributing to the benefit and costs of mesophyll conductance in  $C_3$  plant leaves. Blue solid arrows indicate known positive effects; a blue dashed arrow indicates a possible positive effect; a red arrow indicate negative effects. Orange coloured variables are short-term responsive traits; blue coloured variables are long-term responsive traits. A, net CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation rate;  $g_m$ , mesophyll conductance;  $S_c$ , chloroplast surface area exposed to the intercellular air space;  $S_{mes}$ , mesophyll surface area exposed to the intercellular air space; LMA. leaf mass per area; MC, maintenance cost; CC, construction cost; CA, carbonic anhydrase.

where,  $K_{a}$  is the acidity constant expressed as:

$$K_{a} = \frac{\left[\mathrm{HCO}_{3}^{-}\right] \cdot \left[\mathrm{H}^{+}\right]}{\left[\mathrm{CO}_{2}\right]}.$$
(3)

#### Cell wall conductance

 $CO_2$  diffusion occurs preferentially along the shortest pathway from the cell wall surface to chloroplasts. Thus, it is useful to express the conductance for  $CO_2$  diffusion across the cell wall  $(g_w)$  divided by the cumulated chloroplast surface area facing the intercellular air spaces per leaf area,  $S_2$ :

$$\frac{g_{\rm w}}{S_{\rm c}} = \frac{p \cdot D \cdot (1 + \alpha \cdot \kappa) \cdot H \cdot P}{\tau \cdot \delta_{\rm w}} \tag{4}$$

where *p* is the porosity of the cell wall (m<sup>3</sup> m<sup>-3</sup>), *D* is the diffusion coefficient of CO<sub>2</sub> (see Fig. 3),  $\alpha$  is the ratio of the diffusion coefficient of HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> to that for CO<sub>2</sub> (0.56),  $\kappa$  is the ratio [HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>]/[CO<sub>2</sub>],  $\tau$  is the tortuosity of the path through the cell wall (m m<sup>-1</sup>) and  $\delta_w$  is the cell wall thickness (m). *p* expresses how much space in the cell wall is used for CO<sub>2</sub> diffusion, while the tortuosity,  $\tau$ , expresses how much the path is lengthened or convoluted in the cell wall. The pH of the apoplast liquid is typically 5 (Aloni *et al.*, 1988). Since the *pK*<sub>a</sub> of carbonic acid is 6.06, the corresponding  $\kappa$  for the cell wall solution is 0.087. *p*/ $\tau$  may range from 0.02 to 0.05 (calculated by the authors based on the data in fig. 5 in Evans *et al.* (2009) and fig. 2 in Terashima *et al.* (2011); see also Evans (2021) and the section below: 'Cost-benefit of short-term responses of  $g_m$ '). Typically, in annual herbs, such as *Helianthus annuus* and *Phaseolus vulgaris*, and broad-leaved trees, *Acer negundo* and *Quercus ilex*, the contributions of the wall resistance  $(1/g_m)$  to overall mesophyll resistance  $(1/g_m)$  ranges from 5 % to 70 % (Tomás *et al.*, 2013; Carriquí *et al.*, 2021). The contribution of  $1/g_w$  is greater in thick leaves than in thin leaves due largely to wall thickness and tortuosity. Thicker and denser walls increase CC while reducing  $g_w$  and, in turn,  $C_c$  and C assimilation.

#### Cell membrane

It was once believed that CO<sub>2</sub> permeates lipid bilayers rather easily (Gutknecht *et al.*, 1977). However, since the plasma membrane contains considerable amounts of proteins and sterols (Yoshida and Uemura, 1986), the conductance is much lower than that of the artificial lipid bilayer. Although the data are not abundant, at least some aquaporins transport CO<sub>2</sub> across membranes and thus enhance  $g_m$  (Groszmann *et al.*, 2017; see 'Cost-benefit of short-term responses of  $g_m$ '). According to Tomás *et al.* (2013) and Carriquí *et al.* (2021), the contribution of the resistance across the plasma membrane  $(1/g_{pm})$  to  $1/g_m$  is around 6 %, comparable with that of the chloroplast envelope  $(1/g_{env})$ . These values increase when the membrane porins are downregulated. For further considerations of these calculations, see 'Cost-benefit of short-term responses of  $g_m$ '.



FIG. 3. Effects of temperature and solute concentration on Henry's constant for  $CO_2$  (A),  $pK_a$  of carbonic acid (B), diffusion coefficients of  $CO_2$  and  $HCO_3^-$  (C) and effects of temperature and pH on  $CO_2$  and  $HCO_3^-$  concentrations (D). The average seawater contains 35 g of salts kg<sup>-1</sup>, which is designated as 35 S and roughly corresponds to 0.6 M NaCl solution. In (A), (B) and (C), the values for 0 S, 5 S, 10 S and 35 S are shown, while the values for 5 S are shown in (D). The values used in the main text are those for 5 S containing roughly 90 mM NaCl. Salinity relationships are from the following oceanography studies: (A) Teng *et al.* (1996); (B) Mojica Prieto and Millero (2002); (C) Ratcliff and Holdcroft (1963) and Sharqawy *et al.* (2010), and (D) Zeebe (2011). For (A), the density of 35 S seawater was assumed to be 1.024. In (D), the values for 5 S in (A) and (B) were used assuming the air containing 30 Pa  $CO_2$  (as a proxy of intercellular  $CO_2$  partial pressure) equilibrated with the 5 S solution. These relationships using seawater demonstrate how solutes in living tissue can affect  $CO_2$  and  $HCO_3^-$  availability.

#### Cytosol and chloroplast stroma

Diffusion of CO<sub>2</sub> and HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> in the cytosol and chloroplast stroma is also described by eqn (4). The thickness of the cytosol varies from 0 up to 0.5  $\mu$ m. In the cytosol,  $p/\tau$  approaches 1, while *D* is somewhat lowered because the solute concentration and viscosity of the cytosol are greater than those of the apoplast water (see Fig. 3). The typical pH of the cytosol is 7.4. Then,  $\kappa$  is 21.9, and  $(1 + \alpha\kappa)$  is  $(1 + 0.56 \times 21.9) = 13.3$ .

Chloroplast thickness ranges from 1 to 2 µm and the Rubisco content per chloroplast surface area ranges from 0.1 to 0.4 µmol m<sup>-2</sup> (Terashima *et al.*, 2011). The volume of Rubisco protein would then be 5–30 % of the stroma volume. Thus,  $p/\tau$  is considerably smaller than 1 and may be as low as 0.6. At the typical pH of the stroma in the light (i.e. pH = 8.0),  $\kappa$  is 87.2 and (1 +  $\alpha\kappa$ ) is (1 + 0.56 × 87.2) = 49.8. *D* is also lower than that in water and comparable with that in the cytosol. The conductance for CO<sub>2</sub> diffusion in the stroma would not limit photosynthesis greatly because the sum of CO<sub>2</sub> and HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> concentrations is large. For the effects of viscosity of the stroma due to concentrated Rubisco, the pH of the cytosol and chloroplasts stroma, and the roles of CAs, see 'Cost–benefit of short-term responses of  $g_m$ '.

As recent studies employing limitation analyses confirmed, the most important anatomical factors that limit  $g_m$  are cell wall thickness ( $\delta_w$ ) and chloroplast surface area exposed to the intercellular air space ( $S_c$ ) (Peguero-Pina *et al.*, 2017; Carriquí *et al.*, 2019).  $\delta_w$  is a typical fixed factor largely determined during tissue development,  $S_c$  is also often regarded as being fixed but changes considerably on the short-term scale due to chloroplast movement (Tholen *et al.*, 2008). Some biochemical factors such as pH of the compartments and membrane permeability are also adjustable on the shortterm scale during the day.

## CONSTRUCTION COST AND MAINTENANCE COST RELATED TO LEAF ANATOMICAL TRAITS

The CC of a leaf is about 160 and 420 times larger than the MC per day in *Quercus prinus* (deciduous tree) and *Eucalyptus tetrodonta* (evergreen tree), respectively (Eamus and Prichard, 1998; Nagel *et al.*, 2002). However, when both are expressed on the basis of leaf life span, the respective costs may be

comparable (Deans et al., 2020). To express CC, Williams et al. (1987) proposed the use of glucose as the energy equivalence unit. This is now widely used, including in this review, because it is directly comparable with the benefit defined as C gain. The ratio of CC to the daily C assimilation rate has been used as an important parameter for estimation of the payback time for any investment possibility (Eamus and Prichard, 1998; Nagel et al., 2002). Increasing MC through fine adjustment of cellular functions is necessary for the leaf to quickly cope with environmental variation and thereby maximize daily C gain. For example, leaves with high N contents pay a high MC to maintain protein function, as would be needed for repair of photosystem complexes damaged by high light (Eamus and Prichard, 1998). Traits such as A, dark respiration rate and leaf life span vary widely among plant species, and tend to be intercorrelated, reflecting the underlying costs as measured by CC and MC (Reich et al., 1997; Nagel et al., 2002; Wright et al., 2003).

One of the main anatomical factors determining  $g_m$  is the mesophyll surface area facing the intercellular air space,  $S_{mes}$ . which strongly correlates with leaf mass per area (LMA), the most frequently measured leaf trait. The LMA differs across species and varies in response to environmental factors such as light intensity, water availability, temperature and CO<sub>2</sub> concentration (Niinemets, 2001; Poorter *et al.*, 2009). To begin our analysis of costs associated with  $g_{\rm m}$ , we first searched the literature for studies reporting leaf CC as grams of glucose per unit area as well as photosynthetic and leaf morphological traits; however, papers reporting both CC and A are scarce. In our review of these papers, we observed a strong positive correlation between CC and LMA across plant functional types (Supplementary data Figs S1 and S2; Table S1). Thus, we can estimate CC from LMA. Since LMA is often reported together with A and  $g_{m}$ , there are many examples to exploit for analysing the relationships between  $g_{\rm m}$  and CC.

Adaptative strategies to recover the investment are diverse in land plants depending on their functional types and/or phenological groups, and enhancement of A by increasing  $g_m$  may be a vital strategy to recover the investment in the leaf (Flexas *et al.*, 2015). How much should plants invest in CC and MC to realize an optimal  $g_m$  to maximize A? In the following sections, we investigate these costs of  $g_m$  and discuss how plants adjust  $g_m$  in various environments.

# COST–BENEFIT ANALYSIS OF LONG-TERM RESPONSES OF $G_{\rm M}$ UNDER DIFFERENT ENVIRONMENTS

Plants often adjust leaf thickness in response to varying environmental conditions, such as light intensity, temperature, water availability and nutrient availability (Björkman, 1981; Boese and Huner, 1990; Poorter *et al.*, 2009; Oguchi *et al.*, 2018), each of which can affect  $g_m$  and CC. Since acclimation of leaf structure to the growth light intensity is particularly well documented (Terashima *et al.*, 2001, 2006; Yano and Terashima, 2001; Hoshino *et al.*, 2019), we start our discussion with the effects of light, then proceed to those of water and CO<sub>2</sub>.

#### Light

In response to high light, leaves are thickened and thus exhibit high LMA, often accompanied by increases in  $S_c$ ,  $g_m$ and A (Björkman, 1981; Hanba et al., 2002; Poorter et al., 2019). It has been proposed that sun leaves are thicker than shade leaves to accommodate more chloroplasts over the mesophyll cell surface, which is needed for the higher A per leaf area (Terashima et al., 2001). Having a higher amount of Rubisco per leaf area without increasing  $S_{a}$  would diminish the photosynthetic efficiency by decreasing the CO<sub>2</sub> concentration in the chloroplast stroma  $(C_c)$  (Terashima *et al.*, 2011). Therefore, the leaf responses to light environments reflect strong correlations between Rubisco content, S<sub>a</sub> and A (Oguchi et al., 2003, 2005). If the mesophyll cell surface area is already occupied by chloroplasts  $(S_c/S_{mes} \cong 1), S_{mes}$  needs to be greater to allow for more chloroplasts, which then increase A. To increase  $S_{mes}$ , plants need to increase total cell wall volume, which also directly enhances leaf CC (Fig. 4 of the CC- $g_m$  or CC-A relationship). In general,  $S_{mes}$  is strongly correlated with LMA for leaves of the same species from various light environments (e.g. Oguchi et al., 2008). It is theoretically possible to increase  $S_{mes}$  without additional CC through thinning cell walls, but such a response has not been reported, probably because a thinning cell wall reduces leaf mechanical strength and herbivory tolerance. Leaves, particularly in herbaceous and deciduous woody species in high light, often show high  $S_c/S_{mes}$ , which would be adaptive for achieving the highest  $g_m$  for a given CC. This is because complete coverage of  $S_{mes}$  by chloroplasts would realize the shortest and widest CO<sub>2</sub> diffusion pathway to Rubisco and thus the highest  $g_m$  and  $C_c$  (Hanba et al., 2001; Oguchi et al., 2003, 2005; Onoda et al., 2017). In some species, such as Acer rufinerve, A. mono and A. japonicum, even fully expanded leaves with high  $S_c/S_{mes}$  developed in low light increased leaf thickeness,  $S_{mes}$  and  $S_c$  upon transfer to high light (Oguchi *et al.*, 2005, 2006), which clearly indicates that incurring CC for increasing  $S_{mes}$  is necessary to increase  $g_m$  in the new, high-light environment.

The instantaneous cost-benefit ratios (A/CC) are similar between leaves grown at high light and low light in deciduous trees (Fig. 5, filled symbols), which suggests that the  $\delta_{w}$  does not change much with changing light (Hanba et al., 2002; Tosens et al., 2012). However, this is not true for herbaceous plants (Fig. 5, open symbols). For example, high-light-grown Nicotiana tabacum (tobacco) leaves have about 2.1 times higher  $g_m$  than those grown in low light, but CC increased by only 1.7-fold from low to high light (Yamori et al., 2010). On the other hand, high-light-grown Arabidopsis thaliana showed about 1.8 times higher  $g_{\rm m}$  than those grown in low light, but CC increased 3-fold in both mature and young leaves in high light (Carriquí et al., 2021). Although  $g_m$  tends to increase with the increase in CC, the proportions are different in herbaceous plants. In the case of A. thaliana, cell walls were thickened in high light: mesophyll cell wall thicknesses in young and mature leaves were 0.137 µm and 0.197 µm in low light, and 0.208 µm and 0.260 µm in high light, respectively. This would be one of the causes of the decrease in A/CC with the increase in growth light level. Overall, how much of the CC allocated to increase  $S_c$ ,  $S_{mes}$  and cell wall thickness in response to light intensity



FIG. 4. Correlations among A,  $g_m$ , CC and the instantaneous cost–benefit relationship (A/CC) in plants cultivated under different light levels. (A, B) Filled and blank circles indicate deciduous and herbaceous species, respectively. (C) Circles and squares indicate high- and low-light-grown plants, respectively. Datasets are compiled from Hanba *et al.* (2002), Piel *et al.* (2002), Yamori *et al.* (2010), Cano *et al.* (2011), Tosens *et al.* (2012), Cano *et al.* (2013) and Carriquí *et al.* (2021). All datasets are listed in Supplementary data file S1. Parts of the datasets were extracted from the figures using Web Plot Digitizer (Rohatgi, 2021).



FIG. 5. The relationship between the A/CC of plants grown at high and low light levels. Datasets are the same as Fig. 4. Supplementary data file S1.

determines whether plants can realize appropriate  $g_m$  in order to gain sufficient benefit. While the thickened cell wall apparently has negative effects on  $g_m$  and thus lowers the immediate benefits in terms of C gain, it might also be part of a wider strategy to recover CC by extending leaf life span and pest resistance through producing tougher leaves (see 'Other factors affecting and/or masking the cost-benefit relationship of  $g_m$ ').

## Water

Plants acclimated to water stress usually develop leaves of high LMA due to greater leaf mesophyll density and/or thickness (Niinemets, 2001; Medrano et al., 2009; Niinemets et al., 2009; Galmés et al., 2011). The LMA also increases due to progressive accumulation of non-structural carbohydrates (NSCs), typically starch (Virgona and Barlow, 1991). Water stress usually decreases  $g_m$  in trees and herbaceous plants over both the short and long term (Galmés et al., 2007; Miyazawa et al., 2008; Warren, 2008; Cano et al., 2013; Mizokami et al., 2015) but increases CC, unlike high light where both  $g_m$  and CC increase. How would these contrasting drought responses of  $g_m$  and CC be advantageous to the plant? To clarify this point, we further analyse detailed mechanisms of drought responses of key components affecting  $g_m$ , although the mechanisms for  $g_m$  reduction in droughted leaves are still controversial, especially for shortterm responses. The decrease in  $g_m$  in response to long-term drought stress is often accompanied by increases in  $\delta_w$  and declines in S<sub>c</sub> (Tosens et al., 2012; Galmés et al., 2013; Ouyang et al., 2017). The thickened cell wall and the increased mesophyll density increase CC, while reduction in  $S_c$  lowers  $g_m$  and A. The decrease in S<sub>a</sub> could be linked with decreases in the chloroplast number in a mesophyll cell, which could lower MC; however, reduction in MC is probably small. Tosens et al. (2012) showed that water stress induced by polyethylene glycol application decreased  $g_m$  by 43 % and increased CC by 9 % in *Populus* 

*tremula* grown in high light. As A/CC decreased by 36 %, there were no apparent benefits of these physiological responses to water stress. In *Triticum aestivum* (wheat),  $g_m$  decreased and  $S_c$  increased in response to severe water stress (Ouyang *et al.*, 2017). The increase in  $S_c$  would typically enhance  $g_m$ , but in wheat it was not enough to maintain  $g_m$  and A under water stress conditions. Cell wall composition can also change in response to water stress. For example, cellulose content in *Vitis vinifera* (grapevines) and lignin content in *Helianthus annuus* (sunflower) leaves both increase under water stress conditions (Roig-Oliver *et al.*, 2020*a*, 2020*b*). The increase of these cell wall components is costly, but it is ambiguous whether the increase is beneficial in the short term. At least, the increase in lignin content has been considered to decrease  $g_m$  (see 'Costbenefit analysis of short-term responses of  $g_m$ ').

Overall, it appears that plants invest considerable CC and MC in response to long-term water stress. However, they cannot gain enough short-term benefit through increasing  $g_m$ , because the cell wall thickening under water stress is relatively more expensive than the benefits of greater  $g_m$ . From a wider point of view, the ultimate benefit to the plants of thicker walls could be improved drought survival, which could be realized at the expense of other functions such as  $g_m$  and CC.

## СО,

Growing C<sub>3</sub> herbaceous plants in elevated CO<sub>2</sub> usually increases LMA and CC (Mizokami et al., 2019a, b; Jahan et al., 2021). A generally increases in elevated growth CO<sub>2</sub>, unless photosynthesis is not downregulated by sink limitation or nutrient deficiency (Ellsworth et al., 2004; Mizokami et al., 2019b). A/CC is increased by elevated  $CO_2$  in species with no photosynthetic downregulation such as wheat (Jahan et al., 2021) and Eucalyptus tereticornis (Crous et al., 2020). On the contrary, in A. thaliana, A/CC was decreased by photosynthetic downregulation due to the increases in cell wall thickness and NSC. These contrasting responses of photosynthetic downregulation that affect A and CC need to be considered to discuss A/CC in elevated growth  $CO_2$ . The former, cell wall thickening, has a substantial negative impact on  $g_m$  and A/CC. The latter, high NSC, suppressed A through reducing Rubisco content and/or activity (Drake et al., 1997; Moore et al., 1999; Rogers and Ellsworth, 2002). The high NSC, however, would exert no direct effect on  $g_m$  (Mizokami *et al.*, 2019*b*). Although these two responses both cause photosynthetic downregulation, the effect on leaf costs and  $g_m$ , and potential reversibility after the elevated CO<sub>2</sub> treatment, are different between the two responses. When the CO<sub>2</sub> level decreases, thickened cell walls are not easily reversed, while accumulated NSC rapidly declines, indicating that the cell wall thickening represents irreversible CC, but NSC accumulation may be regarded as a reversible cost affecting MC.

These contrasting CO<sub>2</sub> responses depending on the species might be due to a trade-off between CO<sub>2</sub> and other resources or the degree of sink limitation. When the sink activities of *Glycine max* and *Phaseolus vulgaris* were suppressed by defoliation of young leaves, A was downregulated due to a thickened cell wall and decreased  $g_m$  in a manner similar to that occurring in A. thaliana in elevated CO<sub>2</sub> (Sugiura et al., 2020). The sink

strength is a key to determine whether high  $CO_2$  is beneficial to plants by bringing about the increase in A without decreasing  $g_{\rm w}$ , especially in the long term.

#### COST–BENEFIT OF SHORT-TERM RESPONSES OF $G_{\rm M}$

When a plant kept in the dark is illuminated,  $g_m$  is initially low and increases gradually over 20–30 min (Sakoda *et al.*, 2021). Conversely, in response to various stresses,  $g_m$  can decrease within a few minutes (e.g. Mizokami *et al.*, 2015, 2019*a*). In this section, we first examine the CO<sub>2</sub> diffusion processes. Then, we consider factors potentially responsible for short- to medium-term changes of  $g_m$ , and the costs and benefits associated with these dynamic changes.

#### Cell walls

The diffusion coefficient of CO<sub>2</sub> in water (D) is  $1.97 \times 10^{-9} \text{ m}^2$ s<sup>-1</sup> at 25 °C, much slower than that in air, where the value is  $1.56 \times 10^{-5} \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ . Because HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> is bulkier than CO<sub>2</sub>, the diffusion coefficient of HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> in water is 56 % of the coefficient for CO<sub>2</sub> (Kigoshi and Hashitani, 1963). Thus, the diffusion coefficient for the total inorganic C is expressed as  $D \times (1 + 0.56)$  $\kappa$ ), where  $\kappa$  is [HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>]/[CO<sub>2</sub>]. When the pH in the apoplastic solution is acidic, e.g. 5.0,  $\kappa$  is 0.087 and we can assume that inorganic C species in the cell wall is virtually all CO<sub>2</sub>. However, when nitrate is abundant in the xylem sap or the soil is dry, the pH of the apoplast might increase to neutral levels (Jia and Davies, 2007). When the pH of the apoplast solution is increased to 7.0, then  $\kappa$  equals 8.7, and the wall conductance would increase by  $(1 + 0.56 \times 8.7)$  or 5.9-fold. The presence of CA in mesophyll cell walls has not been reported (Tiwari et al., 2005; DiMario et al., 2017; Ignatova et al., 2019), and hydration of  $CO_2$  in the absence of CA is slow (see below). Thus, even when the pH in the apoplast is 7,  $\kappa$  might be effectively lower than 8.7. Still,  $g_{\rm m}$  would increase slightly due to higher pH. Values of tortuosity ( $\tau$ ) and/or porosity (p) would also change with pH due to ionization/protonation of various residues and side groups. Because the  $pK_{a}$  for galacturonic acid is 3.2 (Haas et al., 2021), carboxyl groups in pectin (see below) are ionized at physiological pH. However, carboxyl groups of cell wall proteins would be ionized only at high pH, and crosslinked by divalent cations, which might decrease p (Tazawa et al., 2022). Unfortunately, there have been no experimental studies that examine the effects of apoplast pH or of ionic composition on  $g_m$  using artificial xylem sap.

Cell walls mainly consist of cellulose microfibrils, hemicellulose (xyloglucans and/or rhamnoglucans) and pectin (polyuronates such as polygalacturonate) (Cosgrove, 2016), which may correspond, respectively, to reinforcing bars, crosslinkers of the reinforcing bars and 'plastic' cement of a reinforced concrete wall. Cell walls of tracheary elements and fibres in leaf veins are lignified, and those of bundle sheath cells and bundle sheath extension cells are often lignified (Ohtsuka *et al.*, 2018). Recently, Flexas *et al.* (2021) reviewed studies examining the relationships between cell wall composition and  $g_m$ , where cell wall compositions were changed genetically or by growth environments. Lignin contents in the leaves of sunflower, altered by various water stress conditions during growth, were negatively related to  $g_{\rm m}$  (Roig-Oliver *et al.*, 2020*a*; Flexas et al., 2021). When lignin is deposited, p could decline due to the formation of cross-linkages with small molecules such as ferulate (Grabber, 2005). However, in these studies, whether mesophyll cell walls were lignified was not evaluated. The content of pectin could also be negatively related to  $g_m$  (Clemente-Moreno *et al.*, 2019), because pectin would lower p (Flexas et al., 2021). However, pectin is most abundant in the middle lamellae of the cell walls between adjacent mesophyll cells. Thus, increases in pectin content could result from the greater cell-cell contact in the mesophyll, which would reduce  $g_m$  by decreasing  $S_{\text{mes}}$ . The overall reduction in  $g_{\text{m}}$  from pectin itself could be low, unless pectin also accumulates in the cell wall facing the air spaces. The abundance of pectin in the cell walls directly exposed to the intercellular space should thus be evaluated. Cytochemical examinations should be useful.

#### Cytosol and chloroplast stroma

Equation (4) can also evaluate inorganic C diffusion into the cytosol and chloroplast stroma. In these compartments, the viscosity of the solution is thought to be important. However, it should be noted that diffusion of small molecules, such as CO<sub>2</sub>, is not affected much by the bulk viscosity increased by macromolecules such as proteins (Ratcliff and Holdcroft, 1963; Hoshino and Sato, 1967). The diffusion is only affected by the viscosity brought about by small solutes. Tholen and Zhu (2011) assumed that viscosities of the cytosol and chloroplast stroma are two and ten times that of pure water  $(0.89 \times 10^{-3} \text{ Pa s})$ , respectively, and that the diffusion coefficient of CO<sub>2</sub> is inversely proportional to the viscosity of the solution as indicated by the Stokes-Einstein relationship (Einstein, 1905; Ratcliff and Holdcroft, 1963). However, the viscosities assumed by them would be the bulk viscosities. We propose that the presence of Rubisco in the stroma in the order of 10 % (v/v) is regarded as a factor affecting  $p/\tau$  in eqn (4). Diffusion coefficients of CO<sub>2</sub> and HCO<sub>2</sub> per se would not be lowered very much by the viscosity caused by small solutes in the stroma (for the effects of concentration of small solutes on CO<sub>2</sub> and HCO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> diffusion, see Fig. 3C).

One potential cost of  $g_{\rm m}$  in cells pertains to the size and positioning of chloroplasts in C<sub>3</sub> mesophyll cells. In the mesophyll tissue of C<sub>3</sub> plants, chloroplasts tend to be relatively small and thin, and occur in high numbers appressed against the plasma membrane, forming a sheath of chloroplast that can cover >90 % of the cell periphery facing the intercellular spaces (Stata et al., 2014, 2016). This arrangement minimizes the diffusion distance from the intercellular air spaces to the stroma, which is important given that the diffusion through the liquid of the cytosol is 10 000 times slower than that through air. Maintaining this chloroplast position could result in substantial MC, especially under fluctuating light conditions which could require repositioning of the chloroplast to track light exposure. As an example of the costs of the C<sub>3</sub> arrangement, consider the pattern in C4 plants. Here, mesophyll chloroplasts lack Rubisco, and carboxylation occurs in the cytosol. Instead of a near continuous sheath of chloroplasts covering the mesophyll cell periphery, C4 plants have fewer chloroplasts than C<sub>3</sub> plants, by around 50 % on average, and they are often larger and can be recessed from the mesophyll cell periphery (Stata *et al.*, 2014, 2016). The relaxed form of  $C_4$  chloroplasts appears to be a more economical arrangement than in  $C_3$  plants, reflecting a functional imperative where light harvesting is the priority rather than chloroplast position to maximize  $S_c$  and  $g_m$ .

#### Aquaporin, cooporin and aqua-cooporin

Aquaporins in the cell membrane are called PIPs (plasma membrane intrinsic proteins) and are divided into two subfamilies, PIP1 and PIP2 (Luang and Hrmova, 2017; see reviews by Maurel et al., 2015, Groszmann et al., 2017, Kapilan et al., 2018, and a comprehensive monograph edited by Chaumont and Tyerman, 2017). Their monomers are 28-30 kDa and form not only homotetramers but also heterotetramers (Jozefkowicz et al., 2017). Nicotiana tabacum AOP1 (= NtPIP1;2) is also reported to be expressed in the chloroplast envelope (Uehlein et al., 2008). PIPs are not merely the pores for H<sub>2</sub>O, but may also transport CO<sub>2</sub>, and thus it is probable that their gating is responsible for rapid changes in  $g_{\rm m}$ . We previously proposed to call aquaporins that facilitate CO<sub>2</sub> diffusion, cooporins (Terashima et al., 2006). The meaning of this term is two-fold: first, coo  $(=CO_2)$  + porin and second, the channel can co-operate with CA to move inorganic C into the cell. Here, we propose the use of cooporins for PIPs that are channels for CO<sub>2</sub> but channel little to no H<sub>2</sub>O, and aqua-cooporins for PIPs that are permeable to both  $H_2O$  and  $CO_2$ . Most PIPs that have been reported to transport CO<sub>2</sub> are aqua-cooporins (Groszmann et al., 2017). There are some candidates for cooporins: PIP1;2 of A. thaliana, PIP1;2 of N. tabacum and PIP1;1 of Helianthemum almeriense (Groszmann et al., 2017). However, in recent studies in which AtPIP1;2 was knocked out in A. thaliana (Kromdijk et al., 2020) or overexpressed in N. tabacum (Clarke et al., 2022),  $g_{\rm m}$  and A were unaffected, implying that AtPIP1;2 may not be a cooporin. If there are cooporins with nil activity of H<sub>2</sub>O permeation, the CO<sub>2</sub> permeation can be regulated independently of the regulation of water permeation in other PIPs. Also, CO<sub>2</sub> permeation of aqua-cooporins may be regulated differentially from water permeation.

A theoretical study employing dynamic simulations of the membrane-embedded bovine AQP1 homotetramer and a ligand sampling protocol indicates that CO<sub>2</sub> passes through the central pore of the tetramer or side pores located between AQP1 monomers, while H<sub>2</sub>O passes through the central pore of each monomer (Wang et al., 2007). Thus, in aqua-cooporins, CO<sub>2</sub> permeation could be regulated differently from H<sub>2</sub>O permeation. In Hordeum vulgare (barley) PIP2s, Ile254 has been identified to be responsible for CO<sub>2</sub> permeation. The native PIP2;4 (Met254) did not transport  $CO_2$ , while a site-directed mutant of PIP2;4 (Met254Ile) did transport CO<sub>2</sub> (Mori et al., 2014). Because this site-directed mutagenesis modifies the shape of a central pore of the monomer, CO<sub>2</sub> would pass through the central pore of the monomer in HvPIP2s. If the CO<sub>2</sub> pathway is only the central pore of the monomer in these barley aquacooporins, regulation of CO<sub>2</sub> permeation independent of that of H<sub>2</sub>O would not be possible. However, as mentioned above, CO<sub>2</sub> permeation through different pathways might be possible in the barley aqua-cooporins as well.

Because aqua-cooporins and cooporins can control  $g_m$ , then they would directly determine the costs and benefits of  $\ddot{g}_{m}$  control. Greater investment in PIPs could increase  $g_m$ , but at what cost? Here, we present a simple exercise to assess protein costs of PIP investment in membranes, which in turn could influence both CC and MC. We evaluate the cost of all PIPs given the uncertainty in the relative abundance of cooporins; however, the analysis indicates low costs overall. Our reasoning is as follows: about 40-50 % of the weight of the plant cell membrane is proteins and, in spinach leaves, 15-20 % of the cell membrane proteins are PIPs (Johansson et al., 1996). We can suppose that two-thirds of the area of the cell membrane is occupied by membrane lipids with a specific density of 0.9 g cm<sup>-3</sup> (Noureddini et al., 1992). Assuming that the thickness of the lipid bilayer is 6 nm, then the weight of membrane lipids is 3.6 mg m<sup>-2</sup>. This roughly agrees with the value, 2.8 mg m<sup>-2</sup>, calculated assuming that the membrane area occupied by a diacylphosphatidylcholine molecule (750 g mol<sup>-1</sup>) is about 0.6 nm<sup>-2</sup> (Petrache *et al.*, 2000). We further assume that the proteins occupy a third of the plasma membrane area and their average thickness is 8 nm, considering the large protein complexes protruding from the membrane surfaces, and that the specific density of the protein is 1.37 g cm<sup>-3</sup> (Erickson, 2009). The membrane protein content is then estimated to be 3.7 mg m<sup>-2</sup>. If 15 % of the cell membrane proteins is PIPs, it would be 0.56 mg m<sup>-2</sup>. The density of the PIP tetramer (28 kDa  $\times$  4) is calculated to be 3000  $\mu$ m<sup>-2</sup> of the plasma membrane. Considering the total area of cell membranes is around 30 times that of the leaf area in sun leaves (Terashima et al., 2001), the weight of PIPs per leaf area amounts to about  $17 \text{ mg m}^{-2}$ . Well-fertilized spinach leaves have about 2-3 g Rubisco m<sup>-2</sup>, which typically accounts for 20-30 % of total leaf protein in C<sub>3</sub> leaves (Terashima and Evans, 1988; Hikosaka and Terashima, 1995). Thus, PIPs comprise about 0.6–0.9 % of the Rubisco fraction, and just a few-tenths of a percentage of leaf N. As already mentioned, N. tabacum AQP1 (= NtPIP1;2) is reported to be expressed in the chloroplast envelope (Uehlein et al., 2008). There have been some biochemical/proteome studies reporting the presence of PIPs in chloroplast envelopes and tonoplast intrinsic proteins (TIPs) in the envelope and thylakoids (Gao et al., 2018). If chloroplasts have abundant PIPS/TIPS, the total cost of the porin function could be greater than the above calculation that only took account of PIPs in the plasma membrane.

#### Estimation of the CC and MC of PIPs

As outlined above, the activities of PIPs are controlled in various ways. It is understandable that water movement through membranes is strictly regulated to be able to direct water flow and to prevent localized desiccation of mesophyll cells. It is not enough to tightly shut the stomata in order to save water given the consequences for loss of C acquisition, particularly if intercellular air spaces are undersaturated, as discussed by Rockwell *et al.* (2022) in this issue. However, if the CO<sub>2</sub> flux into mesophyll cells is regulated independently from that of H<sub>2</sub>O, there would be no reason for suppression of CO<sub>2</sub> permeability, and thus one might envisage high constitutive expression of cooporins, unless cooporins are particularly expensive to build and maintain. To address this issue, it is necessary to first identify cooporins and aqua-cooporins and determine their contents in leaf tissues. However, the results of  $CO_2$  permeation assays are often controversial depending on the assay systems.

For the first step, we consider the cost of PIPs in a leaf over the course of a day. As we have already calculated, the abundance of plasma membrane PIPs may be >10 mg m<sup>-2</sup> of leaf area, which corresponds to  $2.2 \times 10^{17}$  PIP monomers. Each monomer has about 280 amino acids. Synthesis of a protein needs about ten ATPs per amino acid (Noguchi et al., 2001). Thus, 1 mmol of ATP is needed for *de novo* synthesis of 10 mg of PIPs. Given that 30 mol of ATP is produced when 1 mol of glucose is respired, in a leaf respiring 1  $\mu$ mol CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, the ATP production rate is about 5  $\mu$ mol of ATP m<sup>-2</sup>  $\tilde{s}^{-1}$ . Thus, a complete turnover of plasma membrane PIPs could require the entire leaf respiratory ATP production for 200 s (3.3 min). Assuming chloroplast PIPs turn over at the same rate, the respiratory costs could be higher in direct proportion to PIP content in the chloroplast membranes. Although there are no quantitative data of abundance of PIPs in the chloroplast envelope, the density of immunogold labelling of NtPIP1;2 for the envelope was comparable with that for the plasma membrane (Uehlein et al., 2008). Since the presence of other PIPs in the envelope has not been confirmed, the respiratory costs for the envelope PIP may not be very large. While these energy costs of PIPs in the plasma membrane and chloroplast envelope may not seem high, there are many proteins which also turn over during the day and require ATP to do so. Thus, the relative cost of PIP turnover could come at the expense of other essential functions and, by doing so, may require mechanisms to economically regulate PIP expression. Temporal internalization of PIPs could be one means to reduce synthesis costs, because PIPs could be internally stored rather than resynthesized (see below). PIP internalization has been observed in roots responding to salinity stress (e.g. Kaneko *et al.*, 2015). However, in relation to  $g_m$ , the abundance of cooporins and aqua-cooporins and the associated CC and MC would be much smaller than that of total PIPs, so the overall PIP cost associated with CO<sub>2</sub> permeation may be relatively low unless their gating function also has significant metabolic costs, as discussed next.

#### Gating of PIPs would be responsible for g<sub>m</sub> downregulation

Drought treatment decreases  $g_s$  and  $g_m$ . The abscisic acid (ABA) synthesis mutant with a constitutively low ABA level, *aba1* of *Nicotiana plumbaginifolia*, showed no decline in  $g_s$  and  $g_m$  in response to drought, whereas addition of ABA to the petiole xylem rapidly decreased  $g_s$  and  $g_m$  (Mizokami *et al.*, 2015). In leaves of *Spinacia oleracea* (spinach), SoPIP2;1 is deactivated by dephosphorylation under drought conditions (Johansson *et al.*, 1996). X-ray structures of phosphorylated and dephosphorylated SoPIP2;1 have been analysed to clarify the detailed gating mechanisms (Törnroth-Horsefiel *et al.*, 2006). Although whether SoPIP2;1 is an aqua-cooporin has not been known, if it is and if CO<sub>2</sub> is transported through the pore in each monomer, the downregulation of  $g_m$  could be due to its dephosphorylation. Because ABA triggers drought

responses, we hypothesize its mode of action for  $g_m$  decline is via downregulation of activities of cooporins and/or aquacooporins. This has not been shown for any mesophyll PIPs, but a close example in support of the hypothesis can be found in stomata. In the cell membrane of stomatal guard cells, AtPIP2;1 is phosphorylated in response to application of ABA by Open Stomata 1 protein kinase (OST1). Upon phosphorylation, water permeability of the guard cell membrane is enhanced and facilitates water efflux from the guard cell, leading to rapid stomatal closure (Grondin et al., 2015). Furthermore, Wang et al. (2015) showed that AtPIP2;1 is an aqua-cooporin and responsible for enhanced SLAC1 anion channel activity in response to high CO<sub>2</sub>. In this function,  $\beta$ CA4, closely associated with PIP2;1, plays an important role. To monitor the CO<sub>2</sub> level, CO<sub>2</sub> transport activity of AtPIP2;1 should be always on, while the water transport activity is activated by phosphorylation. This could be the first example of differential regulation by ABA/ phosphorylation of CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O transport activities of an aqua-cooporin. Regulation modes of PIPs by phosphorylation/ dephosphorylation could be different depending on the tissue. Further, it is also probable that each of the PIPs in the same cell is regulated differently, for example by different kinases. Taken all these together, it is probable that the rapid regulation mechanisms of aqua-cooporins by dephosphorylation in the mesophyll cell membrane contribute to rapid decreases in  $g_m$  in response to ABA/drought as seen in SoPIP2;1. Since phosphorylation/dephosphorylation is a central mechanism of regulation of PIP activity, the ATP cost of PIP phosphorylation could substantially increase MC over the course of a day.

#### Internalization of PIPs

In leaves,  $g_{\rm m}$  could be regulated by internalization of cooporins and/or aqua-cooporins as indicated by results observed in saltstressed roots. Kaneko et al. (2015) examined porin behavior in barley roots in response to NaCl stress. Although there were significant differences in the salt tolerance among the varieties, short-term NaCl stress for a few hours caused internalization of PIPs, with the PIPs moving from the plasma membrane to the intracellular space as vesicle-like structures. When NaCl was replaced with water, the PIPs returned to the plasma membrane. When the NaCl treatments were prolonged, the PIPs were degraded by the ubiquitin system. Indeed, internalization of AtPIP2;1-green fluorescent protein (GFP) was observed in the leaves of A. thaliana in response to an NaCl treatment, whereas application of ABA did not induce internalization (M. Carriquí and Y. Sakai, unpubl. obs.). If such a mechanism exists in the mesophyll cells, plants can reduce MC by internalization of aquaporins rather than turnover of aquaporins in response to environmental variables.

Here, we conduct a simple simulation to estimate how much impact the downregulation of cooporins/aqua-cooporins might have on  $g_m$ , and in turn CO<sub>2</sub> concentration in the chloroplast  $(C_{c})$ . Based on the dataset of Carriquí et al. (2021), the contributions of the plasma membrane and chloroplast envelope resistances  $(1/g_{pm} \text{ and } 1/g_{en})$  to overall mesophyll resistance  $(1/g_m)$  are both 6 %. When cooporins/aqua-cooporins located at the plasma membrane and chloroplast envelope are downregulated, these membrane resistances become larger by about three times

hibition of  $g_m$  by the HgCl<sub>2</sub> treatment, they estimated that twothirds of  $CO_2^{m}$  passing through the membrane was via cooporins and/or aqua-cooporins. The downregulation of cooporins results in an 18 % decrease in  $g_{\rm m}$  and then a 7 % decrease in  $C_{\rm c}$ and A. Note that we assume constant  $g_{1}$  in this case. Because Carriquí et al. (2021) assumed that the viscosity in the chloroplast stroma is three times that of pure water, and that the increase in [HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>] in the stroma is negligible, the contribution of the chloroplast stroma resistance  $(1/g_{st})$  to  $1/g_{m}$  is as high as 70 %. Given that the diffusion coefficient of CO<sub>2</sub> is little affected by the bulk viscosity brought about by abundant proteins, and that  $[HCO_2^-]/[CO_2]$  approaches >80 at the stromal pH in the light, the share of  $1/g_{st}$  would be much smaller than 70 % and the contribution of other components such as  $1/g_{pm}$  and  $1/g_{en}$  to  $1/g_{\rm m}$  would be greater than in the present estimation. If so, the impact of the downregulation of cooporins/aqua-cooporins on  $g_{\rm m}$  and A would be more substantial. Since the impact of  $g_{\rm m}$  decline on  $C_{a}$  can be large, the rapid regulation mechanisms of  $g_{m}$ need to be clarified if a comprehensive cost-benefit accounting of  $g_{\rm m}$  is to become possible.

#### Carbonic anhydrases

Along the diffusion path from the cell wall surface facing the intercellular space to the stroma, the pH changes several times. When the inorganic C species passes across the cell membrane and chloroplast inner envelopes, irrespective of whether the path is through cooporins, aqua-cooporins or the lipid bilayer, the inorganic C species that permeates these membranes would be exclusively  $CO_2$ . When the apoplast is acidic, there is little conversion of inorganic C species at the outer surface of the plasma membrane. However, at the inner surface of the plasma membrane, conversion of CO<sub>2</sub> to HCO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> occurs. At the outer surface and the inner surface of the chloroplast envelope, conversions of HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> to CO<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> to HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> occur. In the absence of CA, these processes are slow (Stumm and Morgan, 1995). Thus, for rapid conversions, CAs are indispensable. By using interconversion of  $CO_2$  and  $HCO_3^-$ , plants can create a second, complementary pathway for C influx into the cell and chloroplast, enhancing  $g_m$ , but at the cost of CA synthesis (Evans and von Caemmerer, 1996).

Carbonic anhydrase is the second most abundant cellular protein in green leaves after Rubisco, comprising 1-2 % of total soluble protein in spinach (Okabe et al., 1984). It has been shown that the plasma membrane, cytosol, and chloroplast stroma and thylakoids have CAs (for reviews, see Tiwari et al., 2005; DiMario et al., 2017; Ignatova et al., 2019). For example, the presence of  $\beta$ CA at the cytosolic face was shown in several species including spinach and wheat (Utsunomiya and Muto 1993). In A. thaliana, AtBCA2 and AtBCA4 are in the plasma membrane (Fabre et al., 2007). In tobacco, the orthologue of AtBCA2 and aquaporins are concentrated in the lipid rafts (Mongrand et al., 2004).

These CAs including  $\beta$ CA1 in the stroma had been thought to facilitate hydration of  $CO_2$  and dehydration of  $H_2CO_3$  (HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> + OH<sup>-</sup>) near Rubisco. The rate constants of hydration of CO<sub>2</sub> and dehydration of H<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>2</sub> in pure water at 25 °C are 0.025–0.04 s<sup>-1</sup> and 10-20 s<sup>-1</sup> (Stumm and Morgan, 1995).

$$\operatorname{CO}_2 + \operatorname{H}_2\operatorname{O} \stackrel{k_{CO_2}}{\underset{k_{H_2CO_2}}{\rightleftharpoons}} \operatorname{H}_2\operatorname{CO}_3 \stackrel{\operatorname{very fast}}{\rightleftharpoons} \operatorname{H}^+ + \operatorname{HCO}_2$$

Let us assume  $C_c$  is 8 µM. When the pH in the stroma is 8,  $[HCO_3]/[CO_2]$  is 87. Then, the corresponding inorganic C would be 700  $\mu$ M and the leaf with S<sub>2</sub> of 30 and stroma thickness 2 µm contains 42 µmol inorganic C m<sup>-2</sup> leaf area. This much inorganic C is photosynthesized in 1-2 s. In the absence of CA, the hydration occurs only slowly and thus the CO<sub>2</sub> concentration gradient between the stroma near the envelope and the carboxylation site of Rubisco would be large and the stroma would impose considerable resistance to inorganic C diffusion. Thus, at least some CAs are needed in the stroma, particularly just inside the envelope. Considering the hydration and dehydration rate constants of CA (both  $>10^5$  s<sup>-1</sup> at physiological pH; Pocker and Ng, 1973; Pocker and Miksch, 1978), only some CA activity could be sufficient for CO<sub>2</sub> hydration and H<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> dehydration in most situations. The dehydration process is fast even in the absence of CAs, but the conversion is greatly accelerated by CAs.

Wild-type N. tabacum plants were transformed with antisense constructs directed against transcripts encoding BCA1 (Majeau et al., 1994; Price et al., 1994). Primary transformants with 1-2 % of the CA activity of the wild plants showed no significant differences in A, Rubisco activity and chlorophyll content relative to wild-type plants. This was confirmed by a similar study using an antisense line of chloroplastic CA in N. tabacum (Williams et al., 1996). Although the knockout lines of BCA1 of A. thaliana showed some defects at the seedlings stage, the mature plants showed no phenotypic differences (Ferreira *et al.*, 2008). This has been also confirmed using the double knockout lines of BCA1 and BCA5 by CRISPR/Cas9 in N. tabacum (Hines et al., 2021). However, these results do not mean that CA activity is not needed. The stroma  $\alpha$ CA1 and thylakoid CAs would contribute to both hydration and dehydration (Ignatova *et al.*, 2019). The role of abundant  $\beta$ CA1 in mature leaves is not known, although several functions including pH regulation have been suggested (Ignatova et al., 2019). The turnover of CAs has also not been well studied, although in vitro experiments show that CA activity is stable (Tiwari et al., 2005). Expression of CA is affected by environmental conditions. For example, it is enhanced in high light, and thus can potentially contribute to higher  $g_m$  following increased light during growth (DiMario *et al.*, 2017; Ignatova *et al.*, 2019).

Because the abundance of CA, i.e. 1-2 % of leaf soluble proteins in spinach leaves (Okabe *et al.*, 1984), is one order of magnitude greater than that of PIPs, more energy is needed to construct and maintain CAs. Again, given that only a part of CA activity contributes CO<sub>2</sub> hydration and H<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> dehydration, the costs in relation to  $g_m$  should be low. These considerations indicate that the benefits well outweigh the costs of increasing  $g_m$ , but the costs associated with the large reduction in CO<sub>2</sub> concentration in the stroma relative to the intercellular air spaces remain uncertain.

#### Future studies

At this stage, it is not possible to precisely estimate the benefit to  $g_m$  by short-term regulation of PIPs and other

biochemical factors such as CA, pH and membrane trafficking. Short-term decreases in  $g_m$  have been observed, indicating that biochemical control probably exists at the level of PIPs and other  $g_m$  contributors (e.g. Xiong *et al.*, 2015; Mizokami *et al.*, 2019*a*; Sakoda *et al.*, 2021). To date, the mechanisms of  $g_m$ downregulation have not been comprehensively addressed, although the gating and/or internalization of PIPs are plausible as the candidates of downregulation. We envisage that future techniques in cell biology will enable estimation of cooporin/ aqua-cooporin roles in  $g_m$  regulation, as well as other potential controls such as CA, thereby leading to improved assessments of the associated costs and benefits (Evans, 2021).

# OTHER FACTORS AFFECTING AND/OR MASKING THE COST–BENEFIT RELATIONSHIP OF $G_{\rm M}$

The positive correlation between CC and  $g_m$  tends to disappear when datasets for different species and those for different growth conditions are combined. Plants face the trade-off problem between CO<sub>2</sub> gain and acquisition or maintenance of other resources depending on the growth conditions, e.g. severe drought conditions. Looking at  $g_{\rm m}$  from the cost-benefit viewpoint, the maintenance of higher  $g_m$  should provide the obvious benefits. However, most plants emphasize drought survival above C gain on the long-term scale, reflecting that the ultimate cost-benefit ratio of importance is the fitness benefits passed onto the next generation. For example, previous studies have shown that in response to drought, plants decrease stomatal density and stomatal size to reduce transpiration rate and, by doing so, increase water use efficiency (WUE:  $A/E \text{ or } A/g_{\circ}$ ) (Xu and Zhou, 2008; Doheny-Adams et al., 2012; Bertolino et al., 2019). In this situation, where saving water is more impactful for fitness than C gain, plants adjust cost-benefit trade-offs to reduce water consumption. In such situations,  $g_m$  could also decrease, particularly when the strategy for water saving leads to thicker walls and other features which increase internal resistance to diffusion. As an example, consider investment in leaf venation, whose costs can be considerable. The increase in the vein length per unit leaf area (VLA) scales with leaf hydraulic conductance  $(K_{leaf})$ , and often enhances  $g_s$  and A (Brodribb et al., 2007; Brodribb and Feild, 2010). Having high VLA, or vascular redundancy in the sense of Sack et al. (2008), would be an effective strategy to optimize leaf investments for drought tolerance, but at the expense of greater CC. These traits are closely linked to  $g_m$ . In detail,  $K_{\text{leaf}}$  consists of hydraulic conductance inside the xylem  $(K_x)$  and outside the xylem, notably in the apoplast and symplast along the mesophyll and bundle sheath tissue  $(K_{av})$ . VLA is often associated with  $K_x$ , while  $g_m$  is closely associated with  $K_{ox}$ , because the CO<sub>2</sub> diffusion pathway into a leaf is similar to the water diffusion pathway outside the xylem (Nardini et al., 2012; Flexas et al., 2013; Xiong et al., 2017; Sonawane et al., 2021). This close relationship between  $K_{\alpha x}$  and  $g_{m}$  might indicate that an increase in  $K_{ox}$  is indispensable for improving  $g_{m}$ . A key factor for this relationship could be the PIPs, which play similar roles in balancing CO<sub>2</sub> gain against H<sub>2</sub>O loss, as evident in stomatal guard cells (Wang et al., 2007; Berry et al., 2010). High investment in PIPs, however, could be a challenge for maintaining leaf water status. In addition to the slight N cost of high PIP investment, overexpression of PIPs could disrupt the ability to regulate internal leaf water status, particularly if the intercellular air spaces are undersaturated (see Rockwell *et al.*, 2022 and Šantrůček, 2022 in this issue for a discussion of undersaturation). As understanding of PIP types and their investment increases, we should be in a stronger position to properly integrate PIP investment with other aspects of leaf structure to better understand costs vs. benefits as they pertain to  $g_{m}$ .

From the responses of plants to long-term elevated CO<sub>2</sub>, we can judge whether plants favour less H<sub>2</sub>O loss or more CO<sub>2</sub> gain. In general, in response to elevated CO<sub>2</sub>, plants close stomata on the short-term scale and reduce stomatal density of leaves through leaf development on the long-term scale; both responses reduce water loss and increase WUE (Ainsworth and Rogers, 2007). For responses of  $g_m$  to elevated CO<sub>2</sub>, aquaporins and cooporins/aqua-cooporins may be the main players to determine whether plants will emphasize less H<sub>2</sub>O loss or more CO<sub>2</sub> gain in changing CO<sub>2</sub> conditions. However, there are few studies to guide our understanding of the possibilities. In Solanum lycopersicum (tomato), expression levels of most PIPs were downregulated upon exposure to elevated CO<sub>2</sub>, which corresponded to decreases in  $g_s$  and  $K_{leaf}$  (Fang *et al.*, 2019; Li *et* al., 2021). These responses indicate that tomato plants at elevated CO<sub>2</sub> emphasize less H<sub>2</sub>O loss. We may speculate that WUE increases due to the enhanced A at elevated  $CO_2$ , even when  $g_m$  decreases; however, this may require reconsidering assumptions of water vapour saturation in the leaf interior. On the other hand, Xu *et al.* (2019) demonstrated that the expression level of *OsPIP1;2* was upregulated under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> in *Oryza sativa* (rice) and that overexpression of this PIP gene increased  $g_m$  and A. Unfortunately, the authors only showed  $g_m$ at ambient CO<sub>2</sub> and did not show  $g_m$  at elevated CO<sub>2</sub> in the wild type and the overexpression lines. However, judging from previous reports showing a rapid decrease in  $g_m$  in response to high CO<sub>2</sub> (Xiong *et al.*, 2015),  $g_m$  should have declined at elevated CO<sub>2</sub> in rice. Despite the higher expression of *OsPIP1;2*, the  $g_m$ decline could be due to other mechanisms such as a decrease in  $S_c$  or alterations in the apoplastic environment on short-term scales (pH or porosity changes, for example), and increasing wall thickness on long-term scales.

For plants with long leaf life span, leaf mechanical strength and herbivory tolerance can be more important. Increase in  $\delta_w$ can also contribute to leaf mechanical strength via an increase in tissue density and LMA (Onoda *et al.*, 2017). Therefore, species with a long leaf life span tend to have thicker cell walls at the expense of  $g_m$  and the negative correlation between LMA and  $g_m$  can be seen in the interspecific variation of leaf traits (Onoda *et al.*, 2017). However, these negative correlations between LMA and  $g_m$  do not mean that the CC for increasing



FIG. 6. Comparative analyses of A,  $g_m$ , LMA and CC in different phylogenetic groups using data from Maxwell *et al.* (1997), Hanba *et al.* (1999, 2001, 2002), De Lucia *et al.* (2003), Flexas *et al.* (2006), Priault *et al.* (2006), Galmés *et al.* (2007), Miyazawa *et al.* (2008), Tholen *et al.* (2008), Perez-Martin *et al.* (2009), Hassiotou *et al.* (2009), Tosens *et al.* (2012), Tomás *et al.* (2013), Carriquí *et al.* (2015, 2019, 2020), Xiong *et al.* (2015, 2018) and Mizokami *et al.* (2019*a*, *b).* Parts of the datasets were extracted from the figures using Web Plot Digitizer (Rohatgi, 2021). Data include the following phylogenetic types; angiosperms (n = 90), gymnosperms (n = 12), ferns (n = 9), lycophytes (n = 3), liverwort (n = 1) and moss (n = 6). Data represent the average  $\pm$  s.e. All datasets are listed in Supplementary data file S1.



FIG. 7. Correlation between CC and  $g_m$  in different phylogenetic groups. Data points represent averages of each group. The solid line is a linear regression line for all groups, and a dotted line is a linear regression line for groups except a data point of gymnosperms. Data include the following phylogenetic types: angiosperms (n = 90), gymnosperms (n = 12), ferns (n = 9), lycophytes (n = 3), liverwort (n = 1) and mosses (n = 6).

 $g_{\rm m}$  is unnecessary. As already discussed, the increase in  $S_{\rm mes}$  is definitely needed for increasing  $g_{\rm m}$ , while plants also increase  $S_{\rm mes}$  for reasons other than increasing  $g_{\rm m}$ . For example, some evergreen and vine species have leaves with very low  $S_c/S_{\rm mes}$  (Oguchi *et al.*, 2006; Onoda *et al.*, 2017), which is considered to enhance light scattering inside the leaves leading to more light absorption in shaded environments. As such, the increase in  $S_{\rm mes}$  does not necessarily lead to the higher  $g_{\rm m}$ , especially when  $S_{\rm mes}$  of various species are compared. This example demonstrates how a comprehensive understanding of fitness costs and benefits in general can facilitate understanding of the relative contribution of  $g_{\rm m}$  investment to the overall fitness landscape on which natural selection operates.

To increase total chloroplast volume for the increase in S<sub>2</sub>, plants need to invest N (Oguchi et al., 2003; Zhu et al., 2020), because photosynthetic proteins require high N costs (Mooney and Gulmon, 1979; Farquhar et al., 1980; Hikosaka and Terashima, 1995) Also, a certain amount of C cost is needed simultaneously to increase  $S_{mes}$ , which would lead to an increase in  $S_c$  and thus  $g_m$ . The importance of C cost relative to N cost changes depending on the growth conditions: N cost would limit plant growth under high light and low N availability, while C cost would limit plant growth under low light and high N availability (Sugiura and Tateno, 2011). In both cases, it is possible that  $g_m$  investment cannot be sufficient to realize the maximize benefit for A. Sugiura et al. (2020) reported that low N availability decreases  $S_c/S_{mes}$ in leaves of soybean and French bean, which leads to a decrease in  $g_m$  per CC. This means that severe N conditions can mask the positive correlation between CC and  $g_{\rm m}$ . Under severe conditions where other resources such as phosphorus and

potassium are limited, the cost of these resources becomes important (Verhoeven *et al.*, 1996; Wright *et al.*, 2011). For example,  $g_m$  increases with increases in leaf P concentration in *Gossypium hirsutum* (cotton) (Singh *et al.*, 2013; Singh and Reddy, 2016), although the underlying mechanisms still remains unclear. Further research is needed to carry out a costbenefit analysis of  $g_m$  that takes phosphorus and potassium into account in addition to N.

# PHYLOGENETIC DIFFERENCES IN CC AND $G_{\rm M}$

There have been several reports showing the trends of  $g_m$  across plant life forms, functional groups and phylogenetic groups (Flexas et al., 2008, 2012; Carriquí et al., 2015). In this review, we observed more obvious trends of  $g_m$  across the phylogenetical groups than those across the plant life forms and functional groups (Fig. 6; Supplementary data Figs S2 and S3). Although CC and  $g_{m}$  across phylogenetical groups are not strongly correlated, when the data of gymnosperms are excluded, the correlation becomes much stronger (Fig. 7). Gymnosperms showed higher LMA relative to the other phylogenetic groups due to their higher leaf density and thickness on average (Veromann-Jürgenson et al., 2017). These characteristics are due to exceptionally thick cell walls, and the low  $S_c/S_{mes}$  ratios. Therefore, if the cell walls constitute a major part of the leaf dry mass, CC would be large and the benefit would be low due to a low  $g_{\rm m}$ . Instead of their small short-term benefit in terms of C assimilation potential, gymnosperms generally have longer leaf life span, except for some deciduous conifers such as Larix and Taxodium (Kikuzawa, 1991; Reich et al., 1999). Long-lived leaves indicate that gymnosperms could recover costs of their greater leaf investment over time, such that they retain a favourable cost-benefit ratio (Reich et al., 1995, 2007). According to our dataset, gymnosperm leaves show roughly doubled CC and half the potential A compared with angiosperm leaves. Thus, by simply extending the leaf life span by four times, the initial investment could be recovered. Although further investigation is needed, higher  $g_m$  due to thinner cell walls and lower leaf CC might be one of the major strategies in angiosperms, allowing for greater A, yet lower initial investment. In terms of overall fitness, this strategy would be beneficial in favourable environments; however, where environmental stress is high, higher LMA may be necessary to minimize leaf injury, but at the cost of reduced  $g_{m}$ .

#### CONCLUDING REMARKS

We reviewed the leaf cost-benefit relationships focusing on the  $g_{\rm m}$ . The LMA, the most measured leaf trait, is the main determinant of leaf CC, and it varies within plant species and in response to environmental variables through changing mesophyll cell density and cell wall thickness.  $g_{\rm m}$  is one of the factors determining *A* as the benefit and is significantly affected by leaf morphological traits that determine leaf CC. It would seem that further analyses are needed to answer the question of whether  $g_{\rm m}$  attains a reasonable value to recover CC. Studies on leaf life span and leaf ageing processes are necessary for the complete evaluation. Relationships between leaf MC and  $g_{\rm m}$  are fairly complex, but a detailed estimation or simulation of MC of pH

homeostasis and of regulation of protein functions, especially those of PIPs in a different time scals (e.g. day/night, per day, leaf life span), could be a key to understand how plants optimize trade-offs between  $H_2O$  and  $CO_2$  fluxes, and the numerous resistances in their respective diffusion pathways.

## SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

Supplementary data are available online at https://academic. oup.com/aob and consist of the following. Table S1: results of ANOVA to clarify the similarity of two regression lines between two different CC estimation methods. Figure S1: relationship between leaf mass per area and leaf construction cost. Figure S2: comparison of two different CC estimation methods, the chemical composition method and heat of combustion method. Figure S3: comparative analyses of A,  $g_m$ , LMA and CC in different functional types. Figure S4: comparative analyses of A,  $g_m$ , LMA and CC in different life forms. Data file S1: Excel file containing a list of references and datasets used for the analyses of LMA and CC, and photosynthetic characteristics and CC.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Professor Rowan Sage, the Editor-in-Chief, for inviting us to contribute the present review to this special issue, invaluable inputs and extensive editing of the earlier drafts. We also thank Dr. Trude Schwarzacher, the reviews editor, for many helpful supports. We also thank two anonymous reviewers for insightful comments.

#### FUNDING

Our  $g_m$  studies have been supported by KAKENHI grants from Japan Society for The Promotion of Science and Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology [20K22661 and 21114007].

#### LITERATURES CITED

- Ainsworth EA, Rogers A. 2007. The response of photosynthesis and stomatal conductance to rising [CO<sub>2</sub>]: mechanisms and environmental interactions. *Plant, Cell & Environment* 30: 258–270. doi:10.1111/j.1365-3040.2007.01641.x.
- Aloni B, Daie J, Wyse RE. 1988. Regulation of apoplastic pH in source leaves of Vicia faba by gibberellic acid. Plant Physiology 88: 367–369. doi:10.1104/pp.88.2.367.
- Berry J, Beerling D, Franks P. 2010. Stomata: key players in the earth system, past and present. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* 13: 232–239.
- Bertolino LT, Caine RS, Gray JE. 2019. Impact of stomatal density and morphology on water-use efficiency in a changing world. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 10: 225. doi:10.3389/fpls.2019.00225.
- Björkman O. 1981. Responses to different quantum flux densities. In: Lange OL, Nobel PS, Osmond CB, Ziegler H, eds. *Physiological plant ecology I*. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer, 57–107.
- Bloom AJ, Chapin FS, Mooney HA. 1985. Resource limitation in plants an economic analogy. Annual Reviews of Ecology and Systematics 16: 363–392.
- Boese SR, Huner NPA. 1990. Effect of growth temperature and temperature shifts on spinach leaf morphology and photosynthesis. *Plant Physiology* 94: 1830–1836. doi:10.1104/pp.94.4.1830.

- Brodribb TJ, Feild TS. 2010. Leaf hydraulic evolution led a surge in leaf photosynthetic capacity during early angiosperm diversification. *Ecology Letters* 13: 175–183. doi:10.1111/j.1461-0248.2009.01410.x.
- Brodribb TJ, Feild TS, Jordan GJ. 2007. Leaf maximum photosynthetic rate and venation are linked by hydraulics. *Plant Physiology* 144: 1890–1898. doi:10.1104/pp.107.101352.
- Cano FJ, Sánchez-Gómez D, Gascó A, et al. 2011. Light acclimation at the end of the growing season in two broadleaved oak species. *Photosynthetica* 49: 581–592. doi:10.1007/s11099-011-0066-3.
- Cano FJ, Sánchez-Gómez D, Rodriguez-Calcerrada J, Warren CR, Gil L, Aranda I. 2013. Effects of drought on mesophyll conductance and photosynthetic limitations at different tree canopy layers. *Plant, Cell & Environment* 36: 1961–1980. doi:10.1111/pce.12103.
- Carriquí M, Cabrera HM, Conesa M, *et al.* 2015. Diffusional limitations explain the lower photosynthetic capacity of ferns as compared with angiosperms in a common garden study. *Plant, Cell & Environment* 38: 448–460.
- Carriquí M, Roig-Oliver M, Brodribb TJ, et al. 2019. Anatomical constraints to nonstomatal diffusion conductance and photosynthesis in lycophytes and bryophytes. New Phytologist 222: 1256–1270.
- Carriquí M, Nadal M, Clemente-Moreno MJ, Gago J, Miedes E, Flexas J. 2020. Cell wall composition strongly influences mesophyll conductance in gymnosperms. *The Plant Journal* 103: 1372–1385.
- Carriquí M, Nadal M, Flexas J. 2021. Acclimation of mesophyll conductance and anatomy to light during leaf aging in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Physiologia Plantarum* 172: 1894–1907. doi:10.1111/ppl.13398.
- Chaumont F, Tyerman SD, eds. 2017. Plant aquaporins: from transport to signaling. Cham: Springer International Publishing.
- Chavess MM. 1991. Effects of water deficits on carbon assimilation. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 42: 1–16.
- Clarke VC, De Rosa A, Massey B, et al. 2022. Mesophyll conductance is unaffected by expression of Arabidopsis PIP1 aquaporins in the plasmalemma of Nicotiana. Journal of Experimental Botany 73: 3625–3636.
- Clemente-Moreno MJ, Gago J, Díaz-Vivancos P, et al. 2019. The apoplastic antioxidant system and altered cell wall dynamics influence mesophyll conductance and the rate of photosynthesis. *The Plant Journal* 99: 1031–1046.
- Cosgrove DJ. 2016. Plant cell wall extensibility: connecting plant cell growth with cell wall structure, mechanics, and the action of wall-modifying enzymes. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 67: 463–476. doi:10.1093/jxb/ erv511.
- Crous KY, Campany C, Lopez R, Cano FJ, Ellsworth DS. 2020. Canopy position affects photosynthesis and anatomy in mature *Eucalyptus* globulus trees in elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. Tree Physiology 41: 206–222. doi:10.1093/ treephys/tpaa117.
- Deans RM, Brodribb TJ, Busch FA, Farquhar GD. 2020. Optimization can provide the fundamental link between leaf photosynthesis, gas exchange and water relations. *Nature Plants* 6: 1116–1125. doi:10.1038/ s41477-020-00760-6.
- **De Lucia EH, Whitehead D, Clearwater MJ. 2003.** The relative limitation of photosynthesis by mesophyll conductance in co-occurring species in a temperate rainforest dominated by the conifer *Dacrydium cupressinum*. *Functional Plant Biology* **30**: 1197–1204.
- DiMario RJ, Clayton G, Mukherjee A, Ludwig M, Moronery JV. 2017. Plant carbonic anhydrases: structures, location, evolution, and physiological roles. *Molecular Plant* 10: 30–46.
- Doheny-Adams T, Hunt L, Franks PJ, Beerling DJ, Gray JE. 2012. Genetic manipulation of stomatal density influences stomatal size, plant growth and tolerance to restricted water supply across a growth carbon dioxide gradient. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 367: 547–555. doi:10.1098/rstb.2011.0272.
- Drake BG, Gonzàlez-Meler MA, Long SP. 1997. More efficient plants: a consequence of rising atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>? Annual Review of Plant Biology and Plant Molecular Biology 48: 609–639.
- Eamus D, Prichard H. 1998. A cost–benefit analysis of leaves of four Australian savanna species. *Tree Physiology* 18: 537–545. doi:10.1093/ treephys/18.8-9.537.
- Einstein A. 1905. On the movement of small particles suspended in stationary liquids required by the molecular–kinetic theory of heat. Annalen der Physik 17: 549–560.
- Ellsworth DS, Reich PB, Naumburg ES, Koch GW, Kubiske ME, Smith SD. 2004. Photosynthesis, carboxylation and leaf nitrogen responses of 16

species to elevated  $pCO_2$  across four free-air CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment experiments in forest, grassland and desert. *Global Change Biology* **10**: 2121–2138. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2004.00867.x.

- Erickson HP. 2009. Size and shape of protein molecules at the nanometer level determined by sedimentation, gel filtration, and electron microscopy. *Biological Procedures Online* 11: 32–51. doi:10.1007/s12575-009-9008-x.
- Evans JR. 2021. Mesophyll conductance: walls, membranes and spatial complexity. *New Phytologist* 229: 1864–1876.
- Evans JR, von Caemmerer S. 1996. Carbon dioxide diffusion inside leaves. Plant Physiology 110: 339–346. doi:10.1104/pp.110.2.339.
- Evans JR, Kaldenhoff R, Genty B, Terashima I. 2009. Resistances along the CO<sub>2</sub> diffusion pathway inside leaves. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 60: 2235–2248. doi:10.1093/jxb/erp117.
- Fabre N, Reiter IM, Becuwe-Linka N, Genty B, Rumeau D. 2007. Characterization and expression analysis of genes encoding α and β carbonic anhydrases in Arabidopsis. *Plant, Cell & Environment* 30: 617–629. doi:10.1111/j.1365-3040.2007.01651.x.
- Fang L, Abdelhakim LOA, Hegelund JN, et al. 2019. ABA-mediated regulation of leaf and root hydraulic conductance in tomato grown at elevated CO<sub>2</sub> is associated with altered gene expression of aquaporins. Horticulture Research 6: 104. doi:10.1038/s41438-019-0187-6.
- Farquhar GD, von Caemmerer S, Berry JA. 1980. A biochemical model of photosynthetic CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation in leaves of C<sub>3</sub> species. *Planta* 149: 78–90. doi:10.1007/BF00386231.
- Fernández-Marín B, Gulías J, Figueroa CM, et al. 2020. How do vascular plants perform photosynthesis in extreme environments? An integrative ecophysiological and biochemical story. *The Plant Journal* 101: 979–1000.
- Ferreira FJ, Guo C, Coleman JR. 2008. Reduction of plastid-localized carbonic anhydrase activity results in reduced Arabidopsis seedling survivorship. *Plant Physiology* 147: 585–594. doi:10.1104/ pp.108.118661.
- Flexas J, Ribas-Carbo M, Hanson DT, et al. 2006. Tobacco aquaporin NtAQP1 is involved in mesophyll conductance to CO<sub>2</sub> in vivo. The Plant Journal 48: 427–439. doi:10.1111/j.1365-313x.2006.02879.x.
- Flexas J, Ribas-Carbo M, Diaz-Espejo A, Galmés J, Medrano H. 2008. Mesophyll conductance to CO<sub>2</sub>: current knowledge and future prospects. *Plant, Cell & Environment* 31: 602–621. doi:10.1111/j.1365-3040.2007.01757.x.
- Flexas J, Barbour MM, Brendel O, et al. 2012. Mesophyll diffusion conductance to CO<sub>2</sub>: an unappreciated central player in photosynthesis. *Plant Science* 193–194: 70–84.
- Flexas J, Scoffoni C, Gago J, Sack L. 2013. Leaf mesophyll conductance and leaf hydraulic conductance: an introduction to their measurement and coordination. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 64: 3965–3981. doi:10.1093/ jxb/ert319.
- Flexas J, Diaz-Espejo A, Conesa MA, et al. 2015. Mesophyll conductance to CO<sub>2</sub> and Rubisco as targets for improving intrinsic water use efficiency in C<sub>2</sub> plants. Plant, Cell & Environment 39: 965–982.
- Flexas J, Clemente-Moreno MJ, Bota J, et al. 2021. Cell wall thickness and composition are involved in photosynthetic limitation. Journal of Experimental Botany 72: 3971–3986. doi:10.1093/jxb/erab144.
- Galmés J, Medrano H, Flexas J. 2007. Photosynthetic limitations in response to water stress and recovery in Mediterranean plants with different growth forms. *New Phytologist* 175: 81–93. doi:10.1111/j.1469-8137.2007.02087.x.
- Galmés J, Flexas J, Medrano H, Niinemets, Valladares F. 2011. Ecophysiology of photosynthesis in semi-arid environments. In: Flexas J, Loreto F, Medrano H, eds. Terrestrial photosynthesis in a changing environment a molecular, physiological and ecological approach. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 448–464.
- Galmés J, Ochogavía JM, Gago J, Roldán EJ, Cifre J, Conesa MA. 2013. Leaf responses to drought stress in Mediterranean accessions of *Solanum lycopersicum*: anatomical adaptations in relation to gas exchange parameters. *Plant, Cell & Environment* 36: 920–935.
- Gao L, Lu Z, Ding L, et al. 2018. Role of aquaporins in determining carbon and nitrogen status in higher plants. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* 19: 35. doi:10.3390/ijms19010035.
- Grabber JH. 2005. How do lignin composition, structure, and cross-linking affect degradability? A review of cell wall model studies. *Crop Science* 45: 820–831. doi:10.2135/cropsci2004.0191.
- Grondin A, Rodrigues O, Verdoucq L, Merlot S, Leonhardt N, Maurel C. 2015. Aquaporins contribute to ABA-triggered stomatal closure

through OST1-mediated phosphorylation. *The Plant Cell* **27**: 1945–1954. doi:10.1105/tpc.15.00421.

- Groszmann M, Ösborn HL, Evans JR. 2017. Carbon dioxide and water transport through plant aquaporins. *Plant, Cell & Environment* 40: 938– 961. doi:10.1111/pce.12844.
- Gutknecht J, Bisson MA, Tosteson FC. 1977. Diffusion of carbon dioxide through lipid bilayer membranes. *Journal of General Physiology* 69: 779–794.
- Haas KT, Wightman R, Peaucelle A, Höfte H. 2021. The role of pectin phase separation in plant cell wall assembly and growth. *The Cell Surface* 7: 100054.
- Hanba YT, Miyazawa SI, Terashima I. 1999. The influence of leaf thickness on the CO<sub>2</sub> transfer conductance and leaf stable carbon isotope ratio for some evergreen tree species in Japanese warm-temperate forests. *Functional Ecology* 13: 632–639.
- Hanba YT, Miyazawa SI, Kogami H, Terashima I. 2001. Effects of leaf age on internal CO<sub>2</sub> transfer conductance and photosynthesis in tree species having different types of shoot phenology. *Functional Plant Biology* 28: 1075–1084.
- Hanba YT, Kogami H, Terashima I. 2002. The effect of growth irradiance on leaf anatomy and photosynthesis in Acer species differing in light demand. *Plant, Cell & Environment* 25: 1021–1030.
- Hassiotou F, Ludwig M, Renton M, Veneklaas EJ, Evans JR. 2009. Influence of leaf dry mass per area, CO<sub>2</sub>, and irradiance on mesophyll conductance in sclerophylls. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 60: 2303–2314.
- Hikosaka K, Terashima I. 1995. A model of the acclimation of photosynthesis in the leaves of C3 plants to sun and shade with respect to nitrogen use. *Plant, Cell & Environment* 18: 605–618.
- Hines KM, Chaudhari V, Edgeworth KN, Owens TG, Hanson MR. 2021. Absence of carbonic anhydrase in chloroplasts affects C3 plant development but not photosynthesis. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* 118: e2107425118. doi:10.1073/pnas.210742511.
- Hoshino R, Yoshida Y, Tsukaya H. 2019. Multiple steps of leaf thickening during sun-leaf formation in Arabidopsis. *The Plant Journal* 100: 738–753.
- Hoshino S, Sato K. 1967. The diffusion of a small molecule in a polymer solution. *Chemical Engineering* 31: 961–966.
- Ignatova L, Rudenko N, Zhurikova E, Borisova-Mubarakshina M, Iwanov B. 2019. Carbonic anhydrases in photosynthesizing cells of C3 higher plants. *Metabolites* 9: 73. doi:10.3390/metabo9040073.
- Jahan E, Thomson PC, Tissue DT. 2021. Mesophyll conductance in two cultivars of wheat grown in glacial to super-elevated CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 72: 7191–7202.
- Jia W, Davies WJ. 2007. Modification of leaf apoplastic pH in relation to stomatal sensitivity to root-sourced abscisic acid signals. *Plant Physiology* 143: 68–77.
- Johansson I, Larsson C, Ek B, Kjellbom P. 1996. The major integral proteins of spinach leaf plasma membranes are putative aquaporins and are phosphorylated in response to Ca<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup> and apoplastic water potential. *The Plant Cell* 8: 1181–1191.
- Johnson DA, Tieszen LL. 1976. Aboveground biomass allocation, leaf growth, and photosynthesis patterns in tundra plant forms in arctic Alaska. *Oecologia* 24: 159–173.
- Jozefkowicz C, Berny MC, Chaumont F, Alleva K. 2017. Heteromerization of plant aquaporins. In: Chaumont F, Tyerman SD, eds. *Plant* aquaporins: from transport to signaling. Cham: Springer International Publishing, 29–46.
- Kaneko T, Horie T, Nakahara Y, Tsuji N, Shibasaka M, Katsuhara M. 2015. Dynamic regulation of the root hydraulic conductivity of barley plants in response to salinity/osmotic stress. *Plant & Cell Physiology* 56: 875–882.
- Kapilan R, Vaziri M, Zwiazek JJ. 2018. Regulation of aquaporins in plants under stress. *Biological Research* 51: 1–11.
- Kigoshi K, Hashitani T. 1963. The self-diffusion coefficients of carbon dioxide, hydrogen carbonate ions and carbonate ions in aqueous solutions. *Bulletin of the Chemical Society of Japan* 36: 1372.
- Kikuzawa K. 1991. A cost–benefit analysis of leaf habit and leaf longevity of trees and their geographical pattern. American Naturalist 138: 1250–1263.
- Kromdijk J, Głowacka K, Long SP. 2020. Photosynthetic efficiency and mesophyll conductance are unaffected in *Arabidopsis thaliana* aquaporin knock-out lines. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 71: 318–329.
- Li S, Fang L, Hegelund JN, Liu F. 2021. Elevated  $CO_2$  modulates plant hydraulic conductance through regulation of PIPs under progressive

soil drying in tomato plants. *Frontiers in Plant Science* **12**: 666066. doi:10.3389/fpls.2021.666066.

- Li X, Schmid B, Wang F, Paine CET. 2016. Net assimilation rate determines the growth rates of 14 species of subtropical forest trees. *PLoS One* 11: e0150644.
- Luang S, Hrmova M. 2017. Structural basis of the permeation function of plant aquaporins. In: Chaumont F, Tyerman SD, eds. *Plant aquaporins:* from transport to signaling. Cham: Springer International Publishing, 1–28.
- Majeau N, Arnoldo M, Coleman JR. 1994. Modification of carbonic anhydrase activity by antisense and over-expression constructs in transgenic tobacco. *Plant Molecular Biology* 25: 377–385.
- Maurel C, Boursiac Y, Luu DT, Santoni V, Shahzad Z, Verdoucq L. 2015. Aquaporins in plants. *Physiological Reviews* 95: 1321–1358.
- Maxwell K, Von Caemmerer S, Evans JR. 1997. Is a low internal conductance to CO<sub>2</sub> diffusion a consequence of succulence in plants with crassulacean acid metabolism? *Australian Journal of Plant Physiology* 24: 777–786.
- Medrano H, Flexas J, Galmés J. 2009. Variability in water use efficiency at the leaf level among Mediterranean plants with different growth forms. *Plant and Soil* 317: 17–29.
- Miyazawa SI, Yoshimura S, Shinzaki Y, Maeshima M, Miyake C. 2008. Deactivation of aquaporins decreases internal conductance to CO<sub>2</sub> diffusion in tobacco leaves grown under long-term drought. *Functional Plant Biology* 35: 553–564.
- Mizokami Y, Noguchi K, Kojima M, Sakakibara H, Terashima I. 2015. Mesophyll conductance decreases in the wild type but not in an ABAdeficient mutant (*aba1*) of *Nicotiana plumbaginifolia* under drought conditions. *Plant, Cell & Environment* 38: 388–398.
- Mizokami Y, Noguchi K, Kojima M, Sakakibara H, Terashima I. 2019a. Effects of instantaneous and growth CO<sub>2</sub> levels and abscisic acid on stomatal and mesophyll conductances. *Plant, Cell & Environment* 42: 1257–1269.
- Mizokami Y, Sugiura D, Watanabe CKA, Betsuyaku E, Inada N, Terashima I. 2019b. Elevated CO<sub>2</sub>-induced changes in mesophyll conductance and anatomical traits in wild type and carbohydrate-metabolism mutants of Arabidopsis. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 70: 4807–4818.
- **Mojica Prieto FJ**, **Millero FJ. 2002**. The values of  $pK_1 + pK_2$  for the dissociation of carbonic acid in seawater. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* **66**: 2529–2540.
- Mongrand S, Morel J, Laroche J, Claverol S, et al. 2004. Lipid rafts in higher plant cells: purification and characterization of Triton X-100-insoluble microdomains from tobacco plasma membrane. Journal of Biological Chemistry 279: 36277–36286.
- Mooney HA, Gulmon SL. 1979. Environmental and evolutionary constraints on the photosynthetic characteristics of higher plants In: Solbrig OT, Jain S, Johnson GB, Raven PH, eds. *Topics in plant population biology*. New York: Columbia University Press, 316–337.
- Moore BD, Cheng SH, Sims D, Seemann JR. 1999. The biochemical and molecular basis for photosynthetic acclimation to elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>. *Plant, Cell & Environment* 22: 567–582.
- Mori IC, Rhee J, Shibasaka M, et al. 2014. CO<sub>2</sub> transport by PIP2 aquaporins of barley. Plant & Cell Physiology 55: 251–257.
- Nagel JM, Griffin KL, Schuster WSF, et al. 2002. Energy investment in leaves of red maple and co-occurring oaks within a forested watershed. *Tree Physiology* 22: 859–867.
- Nardini A, Pedà G, Rocca N La. 2012. Trade-offs between leaf hydraulic capacity and drought vulnerability: morpho-anatomical bases, carbon costs and ecological consequences. *New Phytologist* 196: 788–798.
- Niinemets U. 2001. Global-scale climatic controls of leaf dry mass per area, density, and thickness in trees and shrubs. *Ecology* 82: 453–469.
- Niinemets U, Diaz-Espejo A, Flexas J, Galmés J, Warren CR. 2009. Role of mesophyll diffusion conductance in constraining potential photosynthetic productivity in the field. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 60: 2249–2270.
- Noguchi K, Go CS, Miyazawa SI, Terashima I, Ueda S, Yoshinari T. 2001. Costs of protein turnover and carbohydrate export in leaves of sun and shade species. *Functional Plant Biology* 28: 37–47.
- Noureddini H, Teoh BC, Clements LD. 1992. Densities of vegetable oils and fatty acids. *Journal of the American Oil Chemists Society* 69: 1184–1188.
- Oguchi R, Hikosaka K, Hirose T. 2003. Does the photosynthetic lightacclimation need change in leaf anatomy? *Plant, Cell & Environment* 26: 505–512.

- Oguchi R, Hikosaka K, Hirose T. 2005. Leaf anatomy as a constraint for photosynthetic acclimation: differential responses in leaf anatomy to increasing growth irradiance among three deciduous trees. *Plant, Cell & Environment* 28: 916–927.
- **Oguchi R, Hikosaka K, Hiura T, Hirose T. 2006.** Leaf anatomy and light acclimation in woody seedlings after gap formation in a cool-temperate deciduous forest. *Oecologia* **149**: 571–582.
- Oguchi R, Hikosaka K, Hiura T, Hirose T. 2008. Costs and benefits of photosynthetic light acclimation by tree seedlings in response to gap formation. *Oecologia* 155: 665–675.
- Oguchi R, Onoda Y, Terashima I, Tholen D. 2018. Leaf anatomy and function. In: Adams WW III, Terashima I, eds. *The leaf: a platform for performing photosynthesis*. Cham: Springer, 97–139.
- Ohtsuka A, Sack L, Taneda H. 2018. Bundle sheath lignification mediates the linkage of leaf hydraulics and venation. *Plant, Cell & Environment* 41: 342–353.
- Okabe K, Yang SY, Tsuzuki M, Miyachi S. 1984. Carbonic anhydrase: its content in spinach leaves and its taxonomic diversity studied with antispinach leaf carbonic anhydrase antibody. *Plant Science Letters* 33: 145–153.
- Onoda Y, Wright Ian J, Evans JR, et al. 2017. Physiological and structural tradeoffs underlying the leaf economics spectrum. New Phytologist 214: 1447–1463.
- Ouyang W, Struik PC, Yin X, Yang J. 2017. Stomatal conductance, mesophyll conductance, and transpiration efficiency in relation to leaf anatomy in rice and wheat genotypes under drought. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 68: 5191–5205.
- Peguero-Pina JJ, Sisó S, Flexas J, et al. 2017. Cell-level anatomical characteristics explain high mesophyll conductance and photosynthetic capacity in sclerophyllous Mediterranean oaks. New Phytologist 214: 585–596.
- Perez-Martin A, Flexas J, Ribas-Carbó M, et al. 2009. Interactive effects of soil water deficit and air vapour pressure deficit on mesophyll conductance to CO<sub>2</sub> in *Vitis vinifera* and *Olea europaea*. Journal of Experimental Botany 60: 2391–2405.
- Petrache HI, Dodd SW, Brown MF. 2000. Area per lipid and acyl length distributions in fluid phosphatidylcholines determined by 2H NMR spectroscopy. *Biophysical Journal* 79: 3172–3192.
- Piel C, Frak E, Le Roux X, Genty B. 2002. Effect of local irradiance on CO<sub>2</sub> transfer conductance of mesophyll in walnut. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 53: 2423–2430.
- Pocker Y, Miksch RR. 1978. Plant carbonic anhydrase. Properties and bicarbonate dehydration kinetics. *Biochemistry* 17: 1119–1125.
- Pocker Y, Ng SY. 1973. Plant carbonic anhydrase. Properties and carbon dioxide hydration kinetics. *Biochemistry* 12: 5127–5134.
- Poorter H, Niinemets U, Poorter L, Wright IJ, Villar R. 2009. Causes and consequences of variation in leaf mass per area (LMA): a meta-analysis. *New Phytologist* 182: 565–588.
- Poorter H, Niinemets U, Ntagkas N, et al. 2019. A meta-analysis of plant responses to light intensity for 70 traits ranging from molecules to whole plant performance. New Phytologist 223: 1073–1105.
- Priault P, Tcherkez G, Cornic G, et al. 2006. The lack of mitochondrial complex I in a CMSII mutant of *Nicotiana sylvestris* increases photorespiration through an increased internal resistance to CO<sub>2</sub> diffusion. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 57: 3195–3207.
- Price GD, von Caemmerer S, Evans JR, et al. 1994. Specific reduction of chloroplast carbonic anhydrase activity by antisense RNA in transgenic tobacco plants has a minor effect on photosynthesis. Planta 193: 331–340.
- Ratcliff G, Holdcroft JG. 1963. Diffusivities of gases in aqueous electrolyte solutions. *Transactions of the Institution of Chemical Engineers* 41: 315–319.
- Reich PB, Koike T, Gower ST, Schoettle AW. 1995. Causes and consequences of variation in conifer leaf life-span. In: Smith WK, Hinckley TM, eds. *Ecophysiology of coniferous forests*. New York: Academic Press, 225–254.
- Reich PB, Walters MB, Ellsworth DS. 1997. From tropics to tundra: global convergence in plant functioning. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA* 94: 13730–13734.
- Reich PB, Ellsworth DS, Walters MB, et al. 1999. Generality of leaf trait relationships: a test across six biomes. Ecology 80: 1955–1969.
- Reich PB, Wright IJ, Lusk CH. 2007. Predicting leaf physiology from simple plant and climate attributes: a global glopnet analysis. *Ecological Applications* 17: 1982–1988.

- Rockwell FE, Holbrook NM, Jain P, Huber AE, Sen S, Stroock AD. 2022. Extreme undersaturation in the intercellular airspace of leaves: a failure of Gaastra or Ohm? *Annals of Botany* mcac094.
- Rogers A, Ellsworth DS. 2002. Photosynthetic acclimation of *Pinus taeda* (loblolly pine) to long-term growth in elevated pCO<sub>2</sub> (FACE). *Plant, Cell* & *Environment* 25: 851–858.
- Rohatgi A. 2021. Webplotdigitizer: Version 4.5. Available at: https://automeris. io/WebPlotDigitizer.
- Roig-Oliver M, Bresta P, Nadal M, et al. 2020a. Cell wall composition and thickness affect mesophyll conductance to CO<sub>2</sub> diffusion in *Helianthus* annuus under water deprivation. Journal of Experimental Botany 71: 7198–7209.
- Roig-Oliver M, Nadal M, Clemente-Moreno MJ, Bota J, Flexas J. 2020b. Cell wall components regulate photosynthesis and leaf water relations of *Vitis vinifera* cv. Grenache acclimated to contrasting environmental conditions. *Journal of Plant Physiology* 244: 153084.
- Sack L, Dietrich EM, Streeter CM, Sánchez-Gómez D, Holbrook NM. 2008. Leaf palmate venation and vascular redundancy confer tolerance of hydraulic disruption. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, USA 105: 1567–1572.
- Sakoda K, Yamori W, Groszmann M, Evans JR. 2021. Stomatal, mesophyll conductance, and biochemical limitations to photosynthesis during induction. *Plant Physiology* 185: 146–160.
- Šantrůček J. 2022. The why and how of sunken stomata: does the behaviour of encrypted stomata and the leaf cuticle matter? *Annals of Botany* mcac055
- Sharqawy MH, Lienhard VJ, Zubair SM. 2010. Thermophysical properties of seawater: a review of existing correlations and data. *Desalination and Water Treatment* 16: 354–380.
- Singh SK, Reddy VR. 2016. Methods of mesophyll conductance estimation: its impact on key biochemical parameters and photosynthetic limitations in phosphorus stressed soybean across CO<sub>2</sub>. *Physiologia Plantarum* 157: 234–254.
- Singh SK, Badgujar G, Reddy VR, et al. 2013. Carbon dioxide diffusion across stomata and mesophyll and photo-biochemical processes as affected by growth CO<sub>2</sub> and phosphorus nutrition in cotton. Journal of Plant Physiology 170: 801–813.
- Sonawane BV, Koteyeva NK, Johnson DM, Cousins AB. 2021. Differences in leaf anatomy determines temperature response of leaf hydraulic and mesophyll CO<sub>2</sub> conductance in phylogenetically related C4 and C3 grass species. *New Phytologist* 230: 1802–1814.
- Stata M, Sage TL, Rennie TD, et al. 2014. Mesophyll cells of C<sub>4</sub> plants have fewer chloroplasts than those of closely related C<sub>3</sub> plants. Plant, Cell & Environment 37: 2587–2600.
- Stata M, Sage TL, Hoffmann N, Convshoff S, Ka-Shu Wong G, Sage RF. 2016. Mesophyll chloroplast investment in C<sub>3</sub> and C<sub>4</sub> and C<sub>2</sub> species of the genus *Flaveria*. *Plant & Cell Physiology* 57: 904–918.
- Stumm W, Morgan JJ. 1995. Aquatic chemistry: chemical equilibria and rates in natural waters. New York: John Wiley & Sons.
- Sugiura D, Tateo M. 2011. Optimal leaf-to-root ratio and leaf nitrogen content determined by light and nitrogen availabilities. PLoS One 6: e22236.
- Sugiura D, Terashima I, Evans JR. 2020. A decrease in mesophyll conductance by cell wall thickening contributes to photosynthetic downregulation. *Plant Physiology* 183: 1600–1611.
- Tazawa M, Katsuhara M, Wayne R. 2022. Calcium control of the hydraulic resistance in cells of *Chara corallina*. *Protoplasma* doi:10.1007/ s00709-022-01772-z.
- Teng H, Masutani SM, Kinoshita CM, Nihous GC. 1996. Solubility of CO<sub>2</sub> in the ocean and its effect on CO<sub>2</sub> dissolution. *Energy Conversion and Management* 37: 1029–1038.
- **Terashima I, Evans JR. 1988**. Effects of light and nitrogen nutrition on the organization of the photosynthetic apparatus in spinach. *Plant & Cell Physiology* **29**: 143–155.
- Terashima I, Hikosaka K. 1995. Comparative ecophysiology of leaf and canopy photosynthesis. *Plant, Cell & Environment* 18: 1111–1128.
- **Terashima I, Miyazawa SI, Hanba YT. 2001.** Why are sun leaves thicker than shade leaves? Consideration based on analyses of CO<sub>2</sub> diffusion in the leaf. *Journal of Plant Research* **114**: 93–105.
- Terashima I, Hanba YT, Tazoe Y, Vyas P, Yano S. 2006. Irradiance and phenotype: comparative eco-development of sun and shade leaves in relation to photosynthetic CO<sub>2</sub> diffusion. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 57: 343–354.
- Terashima I, Hanba YT, Tholen D, Niinemets U. 2011. Leaf functional anatomy in relation to photosynthesis. *Plant Physiology* 155: 108–116.

- Tholen D, Zhu X. 2011. The mechanistic basis internal conductance: a theoretical analysis of mesophyll cell photosynthesis and CO<sub>2</sub> diffusion. *Plant Physiology* 156: 90–105.
- Tholen D, Boom C, Noguchi K, Ueda S, Katase T, Terashima I. 2008. The chloroplast avoidance response decreases internal conductance to CO<sub>2</sub> diffusion in Arabidopsis thaliana leaves. Plant, Cell & Environment 31: 1688–1700.
- Tiwari A, Kumar P, Singh S, Ansari SA. 2005. Carbonic anhydrase in relation to higher plants. *Photosynthetica* 43: 1–11.
- Tomás M, Flexas J, Copolovici L, et al. 2013. Importance of leaf anatomy in determining mesophyll diffusion conductance to CO<sub>2</sub> across species: quantitative limitations and scaling up by models. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 64: 2269–2281.
- Törnroth-Horsefiel S, Wang Y, Hedfalk K, et al. 2006. Structural mechanism of plant aquaporin gating. Nature 439: 688–694.
- Tosens T, Niinemets U, Vislap V, Eichelmann H, Castro DP. 2012. Developmental changes in mesophyll diffusion conductance and photosynthetic capacity under different light and water availabilities in *Populus tremula*: how structure constrains function. *Plant, Cell & Environment* 35: 839–856.
- Uehlein N, Otto B, Hanson DT, Fischer M, McDowell N, Kaldenhoff R. 2008. Function of *Nicotiana tabacum* aquaporins as chloroplast gas pores challenges the concept of membrane CO<sub>2</sub> permeability. *The Plant Cell* 20: 648–657.
- Utsunomiya E, Muto S. 1993. Carbonic anhydrase in the plasma membranes from leaves of C3 and C4 plants. *Physiologia Plantarum* 88: 413–419.
- Verhoeven JA, Koerselman W, Meuleman AFM. 1996. Nitrogen- or phosphorus-limited growth in herbaceous, wet vegetation: relations with atmospheric inputs and management regimes. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* 11: 494–497.
- Von Caemmerer S, Evans JR. 2015. Temperature responses of mesophyll conductance differ greatly between species. *Plant, Cell & Environment* 38: 629–637.
- Veromann-Jürgenson LL, Tosens T, Laanisto L, Niinemets U. 2017. Extremely thick cell walls and low mesophyll conductance: welcome to the world of ancient living! *Journal of Experimental Botany* 68: 1639–1653.
- Virgona J, Barlow E. 1991. Drought stress induces changes in the nonstructural carbohydrate composition of wheat stems. *Functional Plant Biology* 18: 239.
- Wang C, Hu H, Qin X, et al. 2015. Reconstitution of CO<sub>2</sub> regulation of SLAC1 anion channel and function of CO<sub>2</sub>-permeable PIP2;1 aquaporin as CARBONIC ANHYDRASE4 interactor. The Plant Cell 28: 568–582.
- Wang Y, Cohen J, Boron WF, Schulten K, Tajkhorshid E. 2007. Exploring gas permeability of cellular membranes and membrane channels with molecular dynamics. *Journal of Structural Biology* 157: 534–544.
- Warren CR. 2008. Soil water deficits decrease the internal conductance to CO<sub>2</sub> transfer but atmospheric water deficits do not. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 59: 327–334.
- Williams K, Percival F, Merino J, Mooney HA. 1987. Estimation of tissue construction cost from heat of combustion and organic nitrogen content. *Plant, Cell & Environment* 10: 725–734.
- Williams TG, Flanagan LB, Coleman JR. 1996. Photosynthetic gas exchange and discrimination against <sup>13</sup>CO<sub>2</sub> and C<sup>18</sup>O<sup>16</sup>O in tobacco plants modified by an antisense construct to have low chloroplastic carbonic anhydrase. *Plant Physiology* 112: 319–326.
- Wright IJ, Reich PB, Westoby M. 2003. Least-cost input mixtures of water and nitrogen for photosynthesis. *The American Naturalist* 161: 98–111.
- Wright SJ, Yavitt JB, Wurzburger N, et al. 2011. Potassium, phosphorus, or nitrogen limit root allocation, tree grown, or litter production in a lowland tropical forest. Ecology 92: 1616–1625.
- Xiong D, Liu XI, Liu L, et al. 2015. Rapid responses of mesophyll conductance to changes of CO<sub>2</sub> concentration, temperature and irradiance are affected by N supplements in rice. *Plant, Cell & Environment* 38: 2451–2550.
- Xiong D, Flexas J, Yu T, Peng S, Huang J. 2017. Leaf anatomy mediates coordination of leaf hydraulic conductance and mesophyll conductance to CO<sub>2</sub> in Oryza. *New Phytologist* 213: 572–583.
- Xiong D, Douthe C, Flexas J. 2018. Differential coordination of stomatal conductance, mesophyll conductance, and leaf hydraulic conductance in response to changing light across species. *Plant, Cell & Environment* 41: 436–450.
- Xu F, Wang K, Yuan W, et al. 2019. Overexpression of rice aquaporin OsPIP1;2 improves yield by enhancing mesophyll CO<sub>2</sub> conductance and phloem sucrose transport. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 70: 671–681.

- Xu Z, Zhou G. 2008. Responses of leaf stomatal density to water status and its relationship with photosynthesis in a grass. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 59: 3317–3325.
- Yamori W, Evans JR, Von Caemmerer S. 2010. Effects of growth and measurement light intensities on temperature dependence of CO<sub>2</sub> assimilation rate in tobacco leaves. *Plant, Cell & Environment* 33: 332–343.
- Yano S, Terashima I. 2001. Separate localization of light signal perception for sun or shade type chloroplast and palisade tissue differentiation in *Chenopodium album. Plant & Cell Physiology* 42: 1303–1310.
- Yoshida S, Uemura M. 1986. Lipid composition of plasma membranes and tonoplasts isolated from etiolated seedlings of mung bean (*Vigna radiata* L.). *Plant Physiology* 82: 807–812.
- **Zeebe RE. 2011.** On the molecular diffusion coefficients of dissolved CO<sub>2</sub>, HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, and CO<sub>3</sub><sup>2-</sup> and their dependence on isotopic mass. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* **75**: 2483–2498.
- Zhu K, Wang A, Wu J, et al. 2020. Effects of nitrogen additions on mesophyll and stomatal conductance in Manchurian ash and Mongolian oak. Scientific Reports 10: 10038.