

[pubs.acs.org/JPCC](pubs.acs.org/JPCC?ref=pdf) **Article**

Quantification of Adsorbates by X‑ray Absorption Spectroscopy: Getting TGA-like Information for Free

[Kirill A. Lomachenko,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Kirill+A.+Lomachenko"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf)[*](#page-3-0) [Anastasia Yu. Molokova,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Anastasia+Yu.+Molokova"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) [Cesare Atzori,](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Cesare+Atzori"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf) [and Olivier Mathon](https://pubs.acs.org/action/doSearch?field1=Contrib&text1="Olivier+Mathon"&field2=AllField&text2=&publication=&accessType=allContent&Earliest=&ref=pdf)

procedure. Essentially, it is a way to obtain the information analogous to the one delivered by temperature-programmed reduction (TPR), temperature-programmed desorption (TPD), or thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) directly from XAS at no extra cost.

■ INTRODUCTION

X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) is a versatile elementselective tool to determine the parameters of local atomic and electronic structure of materials, such as interatomic distances, bond angles, coordination numbers, and oxidation states.^{[1](#page-3-0),[2](#page-3-0)} Elemental selectivity is an advantage of the XAS-based methods, which is particularly important in chemical studies where the research interest is focused on particular minority species (active sites) arranged inside the hosting matrices. This is often the case of functionalized MOFs, metal-exchanged zeolites, supported nanoparticles, and doped oxides (the list is certainly not exhaustive). High penetration depth of hard Xrays allows to collect data under in situ and operando conditions, which is particularly useful in catalysis.

XAS measurements are mainly conducted at synchrotron beamlines, so the availability of the method is much lower compared to the laboratory techniques. To get the most from the beamtime, in situ and operando XAS experiments are often accompanied by simultaneous complementary measurements, such as X-ray diffraction, mass spectrometry, infrared spectroscopy, gas chromatography, UV−vis spectroscopy, etc. Such an approach yields complementary datasets collected in identical conditions, providing extra information about the sample and serving as diagnostics to compare the conditions at the beamline with those adopted in the laboratory.

Despite their obvious value for gas sorption and catalytic studies, thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) and techniques for gas adsorption/desorption quantification such as temperatureprogrammed desorption or reduction (TPD/TPR) are seldom

coupled with XAS measurements in the same experiment due to difficulties in constructing the combined setup and different requirements for sample preparation. To address this problem, here, we suggest a simple method to extract TGA/TPD/TPRlike information directly from XAS data, hereafter designated X-ray adsorbate quantification (XAQ).

■ METHODS

While the X-ray absorption near-edge structure (XANES) and the extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) comprise features at or above the absorption edge, XAQ focuses on the flat region at approximately 50−150 eV below the absorption edge. Adsorption or desorption of molecules caused by the variation of external conditions (temperature, gas feed, irradiation) change the total absorption coefficient of the sample, causing the vertical shift of the spectrum. The XAQ method essentially relates the amplitude of this shift to the amount of adsorbed or desorbed species.

The experimentally observed change of the total absorption $\Delta \mu_{\text{tot}}$ in two different measurements has two main contributions

Received: January 11, 2022 Revised: February 23, 2022 Published: March 14, 2022

Figure 1. (a) Normalized Cu K-edge XANES spectra collected during heating of Cu-CHA zeolite from RT to 550 °C in 10% O₂/He flow. (b) Same data without normalization. Dashed lines indicate the XAQ fitting region and solid line shows its center where the total absorption is evaluated. (c) XAQ signal during heating (left) and temperature profile (right). (d) Time derivative of the XAQ signal (left axis) and water massspectrometer signal collected simultaneously with the XAS measurements.

 λ

$$
\Delta\mu_{\rm tot} = \Delta \left(\ln \frac{I_0}{I_1} \right) = \Delta\mu_{\rm sam} + \Delta\mu_{\rm gas}
$$
 (1)

where μ_{sam} and μ_{gas} are the total absorption of the sample and the gas inside the experimental cell, respectively; I_0 and I_1 are the counts of the ionization chambers measuring the intensity of the incident and transmitted radiation, respectively.

 $\Delta \mu_{\rm tot}$ can be obtained directly from the experimental data by calculating the difference of the total absorption in the XAQ region between the first spectrum of the procedure of interest and each consecutive spectrum. To increase precision, the XAQ region of around 50−100 eV can be fitted by a straight line and its value in the center of the region can be taken as μ_{tot} for each spectrum.

 $\Delta\mu_{\rm gas}$ is negligible compared to $\Delta\mu_{\rm sam}$ when the concentration of reactive components is limited to a few hundred ppm, the rest being light He carrier gas, or when the temperature, pressure, and composition of the gas feed do not change. Otherwise, it has to be calculated using the simple expression provided in the [Supporting Information \(SI\).](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.jpcc.2c00226/suppl_file/jp2c00226_si_001.pdf)

Measuring $\Delta \mu_{\text{tot}}$ and calculating (or neglecting) $\Delta \mu_{\text{gas}}$ allows to calculate $\Delta \mu_{\text{sam}}$

$$
\Delta \mu_{\rm sam} = \Delta \mu_{\rm tot} - \Delta \mu_{\rm gas} \tag{2}
$$

which can then be directly linked to the molar surface density of adsorbed or desorbed species Θ_{ads} (that is, the amount of moles of the adsorbate per unit area of the sample surface

exposed to the X-ray beam) by the following expression (derivation provided in the [SI](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.jpcc.2c00226/suppl_file/jp2c00226_si_001.pdf))

$$
\Theta_{\rm ads} = \Delta \mu_{\rm sam} \left(2r_{\rm e} \lambda N_{\rm A} \sum_j N_j f_j'' \right)^{-1} \tag{3}
$$

where r_e is the electron radius, λ is the X-ray wavelength, N_A is the Avogadro number, N_i and f''_i are the number of atoms and imaginary part of the atomic scattering factor of jth atomic species constituting the molecule of adsorbates.

Since the mass, bulk density, elemental composition, and shape (e.g., diameter of the pressed pellet or capillary with loose powder) of the sample are usually known, obtaining Θ_{ads} then allows to calculate the adsorption capacity (in moles of adsorbates per unit mass of the sample)

$$
n_{\rm ads} = \frac{\Theta_{\rm ads}}{\rho_{\rm sam}^A} \tag{4}
$$

where $\rho_{\textrm{sam}}^A$ is the surface density of the sample. It is equally straightforward to obtain a molar ratio between the adsorbates and particular atomic species present in the sample.

Calculation (3) can be carried out using the existing codes to optimize the mass or thickness of the samples for XAS measurements, such as $XAFS$ mass, 3 all terms being either tabulated 4.5 4.5 4.5 or known from the experimental conditions. Very similar calculations with the same codes are required to

Figure 2. (a) Normalized Co K-edge XANES spectra collected during the heating of Co_{2Cl4}BTDD MOF from RT to 290 °C in He flow. (b) Same data without normalization. Dashed lines indicate the XAQ fitting region and solid line shows its center where the total absorption is evaluated. (c) XAQ signal during heating (left) and temperature profile (right). The point at 300 °C corresponds to the spectrum that was stopped after the data in the XAQ region were collected (bottom-most curve in panel b). (d) TGA of the same material performed separately. Panel (a) adapted with permission from Tulchinsky et al.,^{[9](#page-4-0)} copyright 2017 American Chemical Society.

calculate the absorption edge step for each sample, so the majority of XAS users are already familiar with them.

■ RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

To illustrate the possibilities of the XAQ approach, [Figure 1](#page-1-0) shows the activation of copper-exchanged chabazite zeolite (Cu-CHA) with $Si/Al = 6.7$ and $Cu/Al = 0.06$, employed as the deNO_x catalyst. The sample was heated in the flow of 10% $O₂$ in He flow (100 mL/min) from room temperature to 550 $^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ at the BM23 beamline of the ESRF. $^{\circ}$ This treatment causes the dehydration of the zeolite and coordination of the isolated Cu centers to the zeolitic framework ([Figure 1](#page-1-0)a).

The evolution of Cu K-edge XANES spectra agrees well with the literature data for Cu-CHA zeolites with similar Cu/Al and Si/Al ratios.^{[7](#page-4-0),[8](#page-4-0)} The usual way of presenting normalized XANES data, such as in [Figure 1a](#page-1-0), however, does not allow to appreciate the change of the total absorption level due to desorption of water. However, in the raw data, the vertical shift of the spectra is clearly visible ([Figure 1b](#page-1-0)). The corresponding XAQ signal shows a pronounced time and temperature dependence ([Figure 1](#page-1-0)c) with the dehydration being completed at around 300 °C, equivalent to desorption of ca. 53 water molecules per Cu ion, or 10.6 wt % of the whole material (details of the calculation are presented in the [SI\)](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.jpcc.2c00226/suppl_file/jp2c00226_si_001.pdf). It is in good agreement with the TGA value of 11.7 wt %, the slight discrepancy being due to the partial dehydration of the sample in the dry gas flow during the alignment of the pellet under the

beam before the first XAS spectrum was measured. Water desorption was also confirmed by a mass spectrometer connected to the outlet of the experimental cell during the XAS experiment ([Figure 1](#page-1-0)d). Notably, the derivative of the XAQ signal agrees well with the signal of $m/z = 18$ (water), showing even faster and sharper time response due to its insensitivity to the large dead volume of the experimental cell that affects the MS data.

Another example of application of the XAQ technique is dechlorination of the Co_2Cl_4BTDD (BTDD = bis(1H-1,2,3triazolo[4,5-b],[4,5-i])dibenzo[1,4]dioxin) metal-organic framework.^{[9](#page-4-0)} This material was the first MOF reported to reversibly capture and release halogens, such as chlorine and bromine. The Co(III)−Co(II) redox process accompanying the desorption of one chlorine per Co during heating to 300 $\rm{^{\circ}C}$ was revealed by XANES spectroscopy (Figure 2a).⁹ The resulting XANES spectrum of the MOF after heating was similar to the one of the parent $Co_2Cl_2BTDD Co(II)$ MOF before chlorination, thus proving the reversibility of the process. However, the amount of desorbed chlorine was not measured during the XAS experiment, which did not make possible direct comparison of XAS conditions with those employed for separate TGA measurements. The advantage of the XAQ method is that it allows such quantification even if it was not foreseen in the original experiment. Since the XAS data were collected including more than 100 eV below the absorption edge (Figure 2b), XAQ signal could be reliably

extracted from raw data ([Figure 2](#page-2-0)c). The drop in the total absorption was equivalent to the loss of around 0.71 Cl atoms per Co, constituting 9.6 wt % of the material. It is in good agreement with the value of ca. 9.5 wt % obtained by TGA ([Figure 2](#page-2-0)d). 9 These data ensure the compatibility of the conditions employed for TGA measurements with those during the synchrotron XAS experiment, thus confirming the reliability of the collected XAS data.

As demonstrated above, the XAQ method delivers the information analogous to the one typically obtained from TGA or TPD/TPR. Importantly, with XAQ, this information is collected at the same time, in the same conditions and from the same spot on the sample as the XAS data, which ensures perfect compatibility of the two datasets.

Nonetheless, there are several important points to be considered when assessing the feasibility of XAQ extraction from XAS data. In particular, $\Delta\mu_{\textrm{sam}}$ exhibits strong dependence on the atomic number of the adsorbates and the energy of the incident radiation, which can be approximately expressed as

Z \sum_{E} $\Delta \mu_{\text{sam}} \propto \frac{Z^4}{E^3}$. It makes the detection of heavy adsorbates easier compared to the light ones and leads to a significant decrease of the XAQ contrast at high energy, which makes the quantification of low amounts of light adsorbates difficult at high-energy edges.

In addition, inhomogeneities of the sample can introduce errors in the XAQ signal during the temperature ramps if the experimental cell moves with respect to the beam because of the thermal expansion. This effect is detrimental also for the XAS data quality and therefore should be avoided regardless of the need for XAQ measurements by designing the experimental cells with minimal thermal expansion, by compensating for the thermal expansion moving the sample stage, or by preparing highly homogeneous samples.

Finally, a significant temperature gradient may exist along the X-ray optical path inside the sample cell, so the average temperature of the gas mixture may be different from the sample temperature. Assessing this gradient by an additional thermocouple would allow to decrease the associated error in the calculation of $\Delta\mu_{\rm gas}$ in the experiments with varied temperature. Alternatively, $\Delta\mu_{\rm gas}$ can be measured directly by conducting XAS scans without the sample in the short preedge region at the temperatures of interest. An ultimate solution would be to use experimental cells with short optical path and diluted gas mixtures, thus decreasing the absolute value of $\Delta \mu_{\rm gas}$.

■ **CONCLUSIONS**

To summarize, the XAQ method allows to determine from the transmission XAS data the amount of guest species adsorbed on the sample during the interaction with reactive gases or liquids. While it is unreasonable to perform a synchrotron XAS experiment only to obtain XAQ data, an important advantage of XAQ is that it can be extracted from properly collected transmission XAS spectra without any modification of the experimental procedure or need for additional equipment or software. Notably, combining XAQ with XANES/EXAFS does not cause an increase in the measurement time because the data in the XAQ region usually are collected anyway, being essential for normalization of the XANES and EXAFS spectra. The method offers a possibility to get quantitative information analogous to what is usually obtained by TPD, TPR, or TGA

but simultaneously with XAS measurements and essentially at no extra cost with respect to the performed XAS experiment.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

9 Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at [https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.jpcc.2c00226.](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.jpcc.2c00226?goto=supporting-info)

Derivation of expression (3); accounting for gas inside the reactor cell; quantification of adsorbates for the cases reported in [Figures 1](#page-1-0) and [2](#page-2-0) ([PDF\)](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/suppl/10.1021/acs.jpcc.2c00226/suppl_file/jp2c00226_si_001.pdf)

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

Authors

- Anastasia Yu. Molokova − European Synchrotron Radiation Facility, 38043 Grenoble, France; Department of Chemistry and NIS Centre, University of Turin, 10125 Turin, Italy; orcid.org/0000-0003-2053-2031
- Cesare Atzori − European Synchrotron Radiation Facility, 38043 Grenoble, France; [orcid.org/0000-0002-3227-](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3227-7421) [7421](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3227-7421)
- Olivier Mathon − European Synchrotron Radiation Facility, 38043 Grenoble, France

Complete contact information is available at: [https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acs.jpcc.2c00226](https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.jpcc.2c00226?ref=pdf)

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank S. Bordiga, E. Borfecchia, and G. Berlier (University of Turin, Italy) for fruitful discussions, T.V.W. Janssens, F. Wen, and P.N.R. Vennestroem (Umicore, Denmark) for providing the Cu-CHA sample, and M. Dincă and Y. Tulchinsky (MIT, USA) for providing the TGA data of Co_2Cl_4BTDD MOF. This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska−Curie grant agreement no. 847439.

REFERENCES

(1) Bordiga, S.; Groppo, E.; Agostini, G.; van Bokhoven, J. A.; Lamberti, C. [Reactivity of Surface Species in Heterogeneous Catalysts](https://doi.org/10.1021/cr2000898?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) [Probed by In Situ X-ray Absorption Techniques.](https://doi.org/10.1021/cr2000898?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Chem. Rev. 2013, 113, 1736−1850.

(2) Timoshenko, J.; Cuenya, B. R[. In Situ/Operando Electrocatalyst](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrev.0c00396?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) [Characterization by X-ray Absorption Spectroscopy.](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemrev.0c00396?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Chem. Rev. 2021, 121, 882−961.

(3) Klementiev, K.; Chernikov, R. [XAFSmass: a program for](https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/712/1/012008) [calculating the optimal mass of XAFS samples.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/712/1/012008) J. Phys.: Conf. Ser. 2016, 712, No. 012008.

(4) Chantler, C. T. [Theoretical form-factor, attenuation and](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.555974) [scattering tabulation for Z=1-92 from E=1-10 eV to E=0.4-1.0](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.555974) [MeV.](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.555974) J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data 1995, 24, 71−591.

(5) Henke, B. L.; Gullikson, E. M.; Davis, J. C[. X-ray interactions](https://doi.org/10.1006/adnd.1993.1013) [photoabsorption, scattering, transmission, and reflection at E=50-](https://doi.org/10.1006/adnd.1993.1013) [30,000 eV, Z=1-92.](https://doi.org/10.1006/adnd.1993.1013) At. Data Nucl. Data Tables 1993, 54, 181−342. (6) Mathon, O.; Beteva, A.; Borrel, J.; Bugnazet, D.; Gatla, S.; Hino, R.; Kantor, I.; Mairs, T.; Munoz, M.; Pasternak, S.; et al[. The time-](https://doi.org/10.1107/S1600577515017786)

[resolved and extreme conditions XAS \(TEXAS\) facility at the](https://doi.org/10.1107/S1600577515017786)

Kirill A. Lomachenko − European Synchrotron Radiation Facility, 38043 Grenoble, France; \bullet [orcid.org/0000-0003-](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0238-1719) [0238-1719](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0238-1719); Email: lomachenko@esrf.fr

[European Synchrotron Radiation Facility: the general-purpose EXAFS](https://doi.org/10.1107/S1600577515017786) [bending-magnet beamline BM23.](https://doi.org/10.1107/S1600577515017786) J. Synchrotron Radiat. 2015, 22, 1548−1554.

(7) Paolucci, C.; Parekh, A. A.; Khurana, I.; Di Iorio, J. R.; Li, H.; Caballero, J. D. A.; Shih, A. J.; Anggara, T.; Delgass, W. N.; Miller, J. T.; et al[. Catalysis in a Cage: Condition-Dependent Speciation and](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.6b02651?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) [Dynamics of Exchanged Cu Cations in SSZ-13 Zeolites.](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.6b02651?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 6028−6048.

(8) Negri, C.; Martini, A.; Deplano, G.; Lomachenko, K. A.; Janssens, T. V. W.; Borfecchia, E.; Berlier, G.; Bordiga, S. [Investigating](https://doi.org/10.1039/D1CP01754C) [the role of Cu-oxo species in Cu-nitrate formation over Cu-CHA](https://doi.org/10.1039/D1CP01754C) [catalysts.](https://doi.org/10.1039/D1CP01754C) Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2021, 23, 18322−18337.

(9) Tulchinsky, Y.; Hendon, C. H.; Lomachenko, K. A.; Borfecchia, E.; Melot, B. C.; Hudson, M. R.; Tarver, J. D.; Korzyński, M. D.; Stubbs, A. W.; Kagan, J. J.; et al[. Reversible Capture and Release of](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.7b02161?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as) Cl₂ and Br₂ [with a Redox-Active Metal](https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.7b02161?urlappend=%3Fref%3DPDF&jav=VoR&rel=cite-as)–Organic Framework. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 5992−5997.