

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript *Lab Chip.* Author manuscript; available in PMC 2022 September 29.

Published in final edited form as:

Lab Chip. 2021 January 07; 21(1): 83–92. doi:10.1039/d0lc01046d.

High-Resolution Integrated Piezoresistive Sensors for Microfluidic Monitoring

Yongxiao Zhou^a, Erik M. Werner^a, Eugene Lee^b, Michael Chu^a, Thao Nguyen^c, Kevin D. Costa^{b,d}, Elliot E. Hui^a, Michelle Khine^{*,a,b}

^aDepartment of Biomedical Engineering, University of California, Irvine, CA 92697, USA.

^bNovoheart, Vancouver, Canada

^cDepartment of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, University of California, Irvine, CA, USA

^dCardiovascular Research Center, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY, USA

Abstract

Microfluidic devices are traditionally monitored by bulky and expensive off-chip sensors. We have developed a soft piezoresistive sensor capable of measuring micron-level strains that can be easily integrated into devices via soft lithography. We apply this sensor to achieve fast and localized monitoring of pressure, flow, and valve actuation.

Graphical Abstract

mkhine@uci.edu.

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [details of any supplementary information available should be included here]. See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Conflicts of interest

This work was funded in part by Novoheart Holdings. E.Lee, K.Costa and M.Khine hold equity in NovoHeart Holdings. Research conducted in this study could potentially affect the value of NovoHeart. COI has been disclosed to Oversight Committee at UC Irvine.

Unstretched



Stretched





Introduction

Microfluidic devices for various applications -- including molecular analysis, cellular analysis, and drug screening -- require precise control of parameters such as pressure and flow rate ¹⁻⁶.Fluid delivery is typically accomplished using off-chip hardware including pressure regulators for pressure driven flow and syringe pumps to control volumetric flow. While routing and switching of fluids can be accomplished on-chip using integrated valves ^{7–9}, they are ultimately controlled by external pressure sources and solenoids. Feedback from these systems, including parameters such as pressure or flow rate, are typically

provided by sensors off-chip, located either in the tubing connected to the device or integrated into the perfusion hardware.

Despite tremendous advances of micro total analysis systems in recent years, widely accessible on-chip monitoring and closed loop control of fundamental parameters are still lacking. The dearth of on-chip monitoring solutions creates inherent limitations in the responsiveness and accuracy of the measurements that can be obtained. Off-chip hydraulic and pneumatic sensors are limited by the dead volume of the interface tubing connecting the sensors to the chip. This dead volume is typically large compared to the volume of the microfluidic device itself and can be the dominant factor in determining the response time and accuracy of a measurement.

Currently available options for local measurement of these parameters are difficult to integrate into microfluidic systems. While optical sensors can produce accurate, reliable, and robust flow and pressure measurements, they still require coupling to expensive and complicated imaging systems ^{10–13}. Micro electromechanical systems (MEMS)-based sensors offer on-chip integration with high resolution but typically involve complex fabrication and contact-based measurements. For example, in-channel sensors that extend into the fluid channel affect the local flow profile and can suffer from confounding factors including fouling; increased drag force from fouling can cause inaccurate results ¹⁴. Commercially available MEMS sensors are not intended for single-use applications unlike microfluidic devices; hence this mismatch in cost and complexity has prevented more pervasive integration ¹⁵.

Soft, stretchable sensors have attracted research interest due to their ability to conform to different surfaces and their large dynamic range under deformation. These sensors convert mechanical displacement into electrical signals such as resistance or capacitance change. Liquid metal-based pressure sensors with a polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) substrate can be easily integrated into microfluidic devices. However, channels that contain liquid metal require extra precautions during fabrication or are more prone to mechanical failure. Alternatively, thin metal film-based sensors are easier and safer to fabricate and handle and offer attractive performance and robustness characteristics $^{16-18}$. Due to their physical properties, these metal thin film based sensors are able to sense mechanical deformations in various planes; the resulting electrical signals can be correlated and calibrated to physical parameters-of-interest. However, these soft strain gauges, have been typically limited to macroscale applications ^{19–21}. There are few reports of soft sensors capable of monitoring micro-scale strains. Even recent papers focused on micron-scale sensors still report monitoring deformations on the millimeter scale²². The ability to monitor deformations from extremely small forces require unique strategies. For instance, wearable sensors may not respond as linearly in this micro regime as in macro-level, and gauge factor has been reported to be different between low strain range and high strain range^{23,24}. Secondly, in micro-applications, the system may not be able to actuate the strain sensor due to limited force output (e.g. the small force generated from a monolayer of cardiomyocytes, or small pressure changes in a microfluidic channel). The stress generated by an isolated muscle strip ranges from 8 to 20.7 kPa²⁵, which is not strong enough to drive conventional rigid force gauges.

To date, there are a limited number of papers that have demonstrated the effective application of flexible sensors in micro-device monitoring^{24,26}. Parker and colleagues developed a high-sensitivity piezoresistive sensor using multi-material 3D printing to monitor stress induced by cardiac tissues, with a reported minimum tested strain of 0.0125%²⁶. Flexible sensors such as this have the potential to replace traditional optical methods to monitor tissue contractility²⁷. Wen and colleagues developed a silver powder doped-PDMS based piezoresistive pressure sensor that can be bonded to a microfluidic device²⁴. When the pressure in the channel increases, the flexible sensor is stretched.

In situations of pressure driven flow, the pressure is directly proportional to flow rate. Thus, the flow rate can be calculated from the pressure measured by a sensor in the fluid channel. While most report noncontact flow meters have a resolution of tens to hundreds of μ l/min^{28–30}, some research groups have demonstrated nanoliter resolution temperature flow sensors³¹ and 0.5 μ l/min resolution microwave flow sensors³². However, temperature flow sensors³¹ could be disturbed by non-flow effects, such as environmental heat flux flowing into sensors during experiments. Unlike other parameters, pressure is still a flow indicator that is independent from surrounding noise such as electromagnetic waves and heat flux. In the flow sensor by Sanati-Nezhad and colleagues, pressure in a microfluidic channel deforms a membrane to modulate the permittivity of a microwave resonator, thus producing a flow measurement³².

From the current literature on available sensors for micron scale in-situ monitoring, there remains the need to develop a universal sensor compatible with soft lithography that can be scaled, arrayed, and used to measure a range of critical microfluidic parameters.

In this paper, we present a completely encapsulated wrinkled metal thin film based flexible piezoresistive sensor with tunable elastic modulus that can measure micron-scale strain, microfluidic device pressure, and valve state. This soft strain sensor has a dynamic range of 50% and can detect linear displacements as small as 5 μ m (0.025% strain). The displacement of the sensor can be used to calculate the force applied to the sensor. Due to its high strain sensitivity to linear stretching and ultra-soft substrate, small pressures applied on the surface will deform the sensor, causing it to expand orthogonally to serve as a highly sensitive pressure sensor for microfluidic applications. The pressure measured from microfluidic device can be correlated to flow rate in the channel as well. Finally, the sensor can be integrated into a pneumatic valve to monitor valve actuation. To the best of our knowledge, there is no such sensor that can electrically monitor valve state in microfluidic devices.

Results and Discussion

Characterization of Sensor Performance

We first characterized our piezoresistive sensors with integrated nano-to-micro scale wrinkled structures (Figure 1). This thin film is supported on and encapsulated with a silicone elastomer. The resistance change of the sensors is based on crack formation within the wrinkled film when stretched. When the sensor is strained linearly, deformation of the substrate causes elongation of the metal thin film. In comparison to planar thin films, the wrinkled film allows for a considerably larger dynamic range because the wrinkles

unfold, align to the axis of strain, and stretch before cracks form. The subsequent cracking corresponds to a steeper increase in resistance as the cracks propagate and coalesce. In Figure 1a, wrinkles were observed to stretch along the direction of the applied strain, indicated by the arrow. Cracks were formed as well. The red pseudo-color illustrates the exposed bottom polymer substrate and the cracks around it. The wrinkles act as additional strain relief, allowing for considerably larger dynamic range, while maintaining high sensitivity, before irreversible failure.

The composition of the functional metal thin film was tuned to achieve a balance of brittleness and stability in the sensor to achieve a stretch resolution of 5 microns. The metal thin film is a bilayer of platinum and gold. Material brittleness will affect the number and size of cracks that form along with the energy required to form cracks. Platinum is a more brittle material while gold has good ductility³³. A thicker platinum layer results in more and larger cracks but leads to unstable resistance. As a more ductile material, a gold layer will lead to fewer cracks, but the change in resistance is significantly smaller. A balance can be achieved by controlling the thickness of platinum and gold, respectively. After testing various combinations, we chose a 40 nm platinum along with a 5 nm gold layer because it provided the highest signal detection while still maintaining stability. The sensor's substrate is 70 μ m thick PDMS, with an encapsulation layer of 30 μ m PDMS, with the wrinkled metal layer is sandwiched in between the PDMS layers. A detailed cross-sectional dimension of the sensor is shown in supplemental figure s1.

To calculate the conversion between mechanical displacement and corresponding force, certain approximations and assumptions were made. As the sensor is stretched at the micron scale with negligible deformation, the deformation of the sensor is assumed to be a uniform beam that is undergoing uniaxial stress and has elastic like behavior. From equation 1:

$$\sigma = E \cdot \varepsilon \tag{1}$$

Where σ is stress, *E* is Young's Modulus, and ε is strain

We can further expand on this in equation 2:

$$\frac{F}{w \cdot h} = E \cdot \frac{\Delta L}{L_0} \tag{2}$$

Where *F* is the uniaxial force, w and h are width and thickness of cross-sectional area, ΔL is the change in length, and L_0 is original length. Thus, to reduce the force required to actuate the sensor to displace 5 µm, the elastic modulus of the silicone substrate was lowered to 250kPa by adding dimethyl silicone fluid (PMX 200) to a mixture of a 20: 1 base-to-cure mass ratio Polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS), and substrate dimensions were adjusted to 20mm X 2mm X 0.1mm (1 X w X h). The combination of these constituents allows the sensor to detect as low as 20 µN uniaxial force which corresponds to 5 µm linear displacement.

Figure 1b shows a representative sensor's behavior under different stretching frequencies. It demonstrates the sensor's repeatability. The sensor's pad area is fixed on a linear actuator

(Zaber Technologies Inc) with the tip area clamped on a moving stage. The moving stage was cycled by 5μ m at 0.5, 1, and 2 Hz, respectively, while the sensor tracked the changes accordingly. A baseline shift of the stage movement was also captured at ~265s for 2 Hz. While it is not obvious in the figure, there is signal delay between the position and resistance. For 0.5, 1, and 2 Hz the response times are 169, 80, and 27 ms respectively.

To further understand the signal latency, one more experiment was performed. As shown in figure 1d, a typical sensor was stretched by 200 μ m at a speed of 200 μ m/s, held for 10 seconds, and released back by 200 μ m at a speed of 200 μ m/s. The position of the linear actuator and resistance of the sensor were both recorded. 34 tests (N=10 sensors) were performed. On average, the actuator began to move at 5.03±0.01 s while the sensor began to detect a resistance change at 5.08±0.08 s. The stop time was defined as the time at which the sensor or actuator reach 90% of value of the maximum relative change. The actuator stopped at 5.90±0.02 s and the sensor stopped at 5.95±0.1 s. The data indicates that the sensors have an average response time of 50 ms. Computer processing and device communication time, however, also contribute to this response time.

To observe signal hysteresis, the sensor was cycled to $150 \,\mu\text{m}$ and stretched at $20 \,\mu\text{m/s}$ speed for 20 times. The hysteresis for a representative sensor is shown in supplemental figure s2. From this figure, although reproducible, the sensor's resistance followed different trajectories when stretched and released at large deformations. With the loading and unloading behaviour displaying different sensitivities, it is important to know which trajectory the sensor is on when tested. Figure 1c shows a representative sensitivity curve in terms of the change in resistance versus change in length. As the sensors were initially stretched, wrinkles in the metallic thin film unfolded, resulting in minimal changes in resistance. As strain increases and cracks form and propagate, the resistance increased nonlinearly.

Calculating Flow and Pressure

The sensor was integrated with the microfluidic chip by plasma bonding the microfluidic chip directly onto the sensor. The sensor had the same structure and design as the one used for stress-strain testing, except the PDMS substrate was larger and had not been cut into dog bone shape.

When fluid is pushed through the microfluidic device, the pressure within the channel deformed the membrane of the piezoresistive sensor (supplemental figure s3) and changed the electrical resistance of the functional metal film. As shown in Figure 2a, the channel (clear, blue) overlaps with the sensing area (black).

When pressure is applied normal to the sensor surface, the sensor substrate will expand in the transverse plane. Lateral expansion of the sensor elongates the metal film causing cracks to appear; when pressure is reduced from the surface, the substrate returns to its original shape, and the fractured metal will come back into contact with each other. Due to the design difference between the trace and pad area, the pad area had a larger metal area. However, from the simulation results in Figure s4, the pressure within the region that

overlaps the sensor pad area was several folds smaller than that of the region overlapping the trace area.

We assessed several aspects of the sensor performance, including working range, resolution, accuracy, and repeatability. For our microfluidic device, with a working flow rate range of $6 \,\mu$ /min to 200 μ /min, the measured pressure from the inline pressure sensor of the inlet fluid varied between 1 kPa to 74 kPa. Figure s4 depicts a simulation of pressure within the channel under a 10 µl/min flow rate. The pressure map shows the gauge pressure which can be related to deformation on the channel wall and sensor. Gauge pressure inside the channel drops along the pathway and reaches 0 at the open-air outlet indicated in Figure 2b. With a channel width of 250 μ m and trace width of the sensor 300 μ m, the overlap area is small in comparison to the entire sensor. The deformation of a single overlap area may be too small for the signal change of the sensor to be detected. Thus, multiple sensor-channel crosses are used to increase the overlap area to boost the signal. However, from the simulation (figure s4), the pressure drops along with channel length, and the deformation of the cross area will become smaller with less pressure. As a result, more overlap will increase the total signal sensitivity, but with diminishing returns. With the variable pressure along the channel and the sensor having multiple crosses within channel to increase signal change, it is difficult to detect localized pressure. In this configuration, the sensor detects overall deformation caused by the pressure.

To confirm our results, a pressure sensitivity test was performed on the sensor. A 3mm by 15mm acrylic flat was placed over the sensor trace area. A force gauge (Mark 10 M5–025) was fixed on a test stand (Mark 10 ESM 303) and placed into contact until pressure was applied to the acrylic piece. As the pressure increased, the sensor's resistance increased as well (Figure 2c). The blue line is the average resistance across 5 runs. Red markers indicate the standard error at every 2 kPa increment. The yellow line is the linearly fitted line, which has a R^2 value of 0.942. From the graph, although the resistance value varies across sensors, they all follow the same trend and are relatively linear, especially at low pressures.

Figure 2d represents a variable flow rate test showing pressure and sensor data versus time. Flow rate increases from 0 to 50 μ l/min in 10 μ l/min increments, and the entire test is repeated three times consecutively.

The working range for our device is 6 μ l/min to 200 μ l/min. The criterium for minimum resolution is that the signal change between two different flow rates is at least 3-fold larger than root mean squared noise. In a flow rate test ranging from 0 to 30 μ l/min with 2 μ l/min increment, data shows that the minimum detectable flow rate is 6 μ l/min, and resolution is 2 μ l/min (figure s5). Although the flow rate working range for our device is tested to an upper limit of 200 μ l/min (as shown in Figure 2e), the device has been tested up to 300 μ l/min without failure (data not shown).

Although sensor data shows good correlation to changes in pressure and flow rate, the baseline signal decays when strain is removed and the sensor returns to an unstretched state (as shown in Figure 1c). The flow rate drops from 20 μ l/min to 0 μ l/min as shown in Figure 2f. Signal decay is noticeable when the sensor reading drops even when the linear actuator

or syringe pump is idle. The decaying tails observed in Figure 2d and supplemental Figure s2a illustrate the baseline decay with a time length greater than ~85 min. Similarly, signals at zero flow rate decrease in value as well (Figure 2d, four separate zero flow rate points are ~35 min, 55 min, 75 min, and 90 min). This decay complicates the data analysis and limits the duration that the resistance to pressure relationship is accurate but can be accounted for with subsequent data processing. Because baseline decay occurs in all sensor data, every test data has a different baseline value. In order to compare inter-trial data with different starting baselines, all data are subtracted by the beginning baseline resistance so that it starts at 0. Additionally, the decaying trend is compensated by data post-processing. As shown in Figure 2f, the value of each valley was extracted, and set to 0 ohms. Each valley point is used to form a linear interpolated line. The data points between the valleys were adjusted by subtracting the linearly interpolated lines, between the valley, from the signal so that the sensor signal at each zero flow rate is set to 0 Ohm.

The system elasticity is one minor issue that contributes to the signal decay; another possible contribution to the signal decay is the polymer relaxation. Relaxation is an intrinsic property of the polymer substrate. As the channel wall and sensor floor undergo mechanical hysteresis and relaxation, the formation and contact points of cracks in the embedded metal thin film are affected, resulting in an electrical hysteresis as well. Other groups of demonstrated that the hysteresis in piezo-resistive based elastomeric strain sensors can be potentially accounted for using machine learning ³⁴.

To ensure repeatability of the sensor, conditioning tests were performed on the chip device. The fluid flows through the pre-primed device at 20 μ l/min for 2 minutes and then paused for 2 minutes; this cycle is repeated 10 times. The sensor resistance difference between 0 and 20 μ l/min is compared for 10 cycles (Figure 2f). Although some decay remains, the difference in resistance decrease is greatly reduced after 3 cycles.

Valve Sensor

The microfluidic valves used in this study were normally closed elastomeric membrane valves similar those first reported by the Mathies group ⁸. A valve consists of two layers of microfluidic channels sandwiched around a thin elastomeric membrane. The valve is opened by applying vacuum to the control layer, deflecting the membrane and connecting the channels on the opposite side. The piezoresistive sensor was embedded in the elastomeric membrane with the sensing element placed directly over the seat of the valve, allowing the sensor to detect valve opening or closing when the sensor was stretched or relaxed.

We first investigated the ability of the integrated piezoresistive sensor to measure the state of a valve configured to switch fluid flow on or off (Figure 3a). An external hot wire anemometer (Zephyr HAF, Honeywell) was configured to measure the flow rate of air through the valve as it was opened and closed. Upon valve actuation, the integrated sensor produced a sharp spike in signal followed by an increase in baseline resistance when opened and a decrease when closed. These results show the membrane stretching before the valve opens followed by the membrane remaining in a partially stretched state while the valve remains open (Figure 3c). Upon closing, the sensor signal spikes again as the vacuum is released and the membrane contacts the valve seat sealing the valve closed. We observed

Page 9

that the spike that occurred during valve state changes was dependent on the orientation of the sensor and was most pronounced when the sensor was placed directly over the seat of the valve. Data from the external air flow sensor showed the valve completely opened and closed, and the sensor did not interfere with normal operation of the valve. These results indicate the piezoresistive sensor is suitable for monitoring the state change of the valve.

Normally closed elastomeric membrane valves can also be used to create digital logic gates that are well suited for building integrated microfluidic control circuitry⁹. Therefore, we next investigated the ability of the integrated piezoresistive sensor to measure the state of a valve configured as a microfluidic inverter gate. This circuit adds a pull-up resistor before the vacuum connection to the valve and an output connection upstream of the resistor to produce a digital pressure output signal that is the inverse of the input signal. The sensor reported an increase in resistance of approximately 6 ohms when the valve was opened and returned to baseline when the valve was closed, providing a clear electronic signal that corresponded to changes in the pneumatic output of the inverter gate.

Finally, to create a simple integrated microfluidic control circuit, an oscillator pump⁹ was constructed consisting of three identical inverter gates connected in a ring and three liquid handling valves, each connected to the output of an inverter gate (Figure 3g). When a constant vacuum pressure was applied to the oscillator, the pressure sequence generated by each inverter opened and closed the pump valves to create a peristaltic pumping action. The piezoresistive sensor was placed under the final valve in the pump while high speed video imaging was used to monitor the incident light reflected when the valve membrane was pulled open (Figure 3g inset). The oscillation frequency measured by the sensor agreed well with the measurements acquired using high speed video imaging, and the sensor was able to accurately measure oscillation frequencies as high as 24.9 Hz, approximately the Nyquist frequency of our acquisition device (figure 3i and supplementary figure s8). Previous work has shown that the frequency of a ring oscillator can be tuned by changing the input pressure⁹ and the average flow rate of an oscillator pump is dependent on the frequency ³⁵. Using this information, the oscillation frequency can be used to calculate the average flow rate from the pump.

When placed under the final valve in the peristaltic pump (figure 3h), the sensor readings also aligned well with the pulsatile flow rate measurements acquired from a hot wire anemometer (Zephyr HAF, Honeywell) connected to the output of the pump (figure 3j). A small backflow was detected when the final pump valve opened that was mostly negated by the closing of the middle valve in the pump. Finally, a strong forward pulse occurred when the final valve closed. Monitoring the state of the final valve in the pump, the sensor can be used to indicate the instantaneous flow rate produced by the pump. A detailed explanation of working principle of the oscillator is provided in supplementary figure s7.

Conclusions

We developed a soft, highly sensitive strain sensor that is able to capture 5 μ m linear displacement (0.025% strain for 20mm sensor length) in the normal and uniaxial direction and can be deformed with as little as 20 μ N of force (100 Pa stress). The response time of

our sensor for linear stretching is ~50 ms. In comparison to other flexible pressure and strain sensor's response times, which ranges from ~17 ms to ~ $100 \text{ms}^{16,36-38}$, our sensor shows relatively fast response.

As an indirect flow meter, our sensor also can detect on-site flow rate in-situ as low as 6 μ l/min with a resolution of 2 μ l/min in our device. However, for our current set up (with only single sensor), failure will occur if the device is clogged. This causes the pressure to build up and the sensor readings to increase, but nothing will be flowing. In future work, multiple sensors can be used such that separate measurements at each intersection of the channel can be acquired. This allows for more precise local pressure and flow monitoring. Moreover, it will allow for the detection of clogging in the channel. As the pressure increases prior to the clogged point and decreases after clogged point, the sensors will show relatively high or low readings at different intersections.

For monitoring microfluidic valve state, our integrated sensor provides a more direct method to monitor valve actuation than existing optical monitoring methods. Currently the sensor can monitor the binary status of a single valve precisely. Due to the analog output of the sensor, it could potentially detect partially opened valve rather than binary open and closed status; however, this may require individual calibration of each sensor.

The sensor still has some drawbacks. As mentioned in the results section, hysteresis and decay of the signal affect repeatability of the sensor. With hysteresis present in our system, only the loading signal path is used for analysis. For our strain and liquid flow tests and experiments, we focused on the loading trajectory rather than unloading trajectory for consistency, particularly as the decay is less severe. For the valve experiment, we are qualitatively checking for the opening and closing of the valve along with other features of the actuation (such as spikes shown in figure 3). Although hysteresis still exists, it is not a critical here and can be compensated for with machine learning algorithms ³⁴ aspect for this specific application.

Due to decay, the signal baseline varies during experiments so the starting resistance must be subtracted to zero the baseline for different experiments. Several attempts have been made to minimize hysteresis and decay. In one case, we observed that stiffer substrates demonstrate less decay; however, stiffer substrates require larger loads to deform which may decrease the detection resolution of the sensor. For some physiological applications, it is impossible to apply larger forces. Thus, adjusting stiffness according to different applications is a potential solution to minimize hysteresis and decay. Additionally, use of other substrate materials with less intrinsic hysteresis than PDMS is possible, too.

We demonstrated the ability to integrate our soft and extremely sensitive strain sensor into microfluidic devices to provide contactless detection of pressure and correlation with flow rate. The sensor can also be embedded into PDMS based valves to detect the extent of valve opening in microfluidic devices. Moreover, being PDMS-based, the sensor can be easily trimmed and bonded to any other silicone-based devices via plasma treatment. The measurement results show good linear correlation between sensor reading and flow rate and pressure in the device. The sensor has a flow rate detection range from 6 microliters per

minute (μ L/min) to 200 μ L/min and a resolution of 2 μ L/min. The sensor can confirm partial or complete valve actuation under different pressures.

Because the sensor is made of PDMS, it is compatible with soft lithography and easily integrated into microfluidic chips. The stiffness of the substrate along with the sensitivity and dimensions of the sensor can be adapted to different applications. The soft and flexible substrate also makes it possible to integrate the sensor into biological applications and monitor micron-scale tissue movement. The sensors can also be readily arrayed; for example, it can be extended from one valve to multiple valves to measure several valves' status, important for large-scale microfluidic systems that require real-time feedback to control each valve.

Methods

Sensor Fabrication

Fabrication of the soft strain sensors was improved for sensitivity from the previous protocol reported by Pegan et al²³. Specifically, we tuned the thickness of the metals, improved the shrinking protocol, developed a soft, customized PDMS substrate, and introduced an encapsulation layer on the sensors. Briefly, a layer of single-sided adhesive plastic shadow mask film is applied to a pre-stressed polystyrene sheet. The geometry of the mask is designed by laser etching, and then lifted off from the polystyrene sheet. Then a thicknesscontrolled magnetron sputter deposits 40 nm of Pt and 5 nm of Au onto the masked polystyrene sheet. The mask is removed and the polystyrene sheet is put in a convection oven set at 140 degree Celsius for 13 minutes. After the sheet shrinks under heat, the sample is placed in a 5mM 3-mercaptopropyl trimethoxysilane (MPTMS) ethanol solution for 2 hours. After rinsing away the excess MPTMS, the dried sample is covered with polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS), which has a mass ratio of 1:20:4.2 cure to base to dimethyl silicone fluid (PMX 200), and spin-coated at 800 RPM for 35 seconds. The sample is placed in vacuum to degas and is then cured at 60°C overnight. The PDMS and the functional metal thin film is lifted off from the polystyrene by submerging the sample in a heated acetone bath. The PDMS and bonded metal thin film is further cleaned by additional acetone and toluene rinsing. In order to make the metal film electrically isolated from the environment, another layer of PDMS with the same composition as above is spun on the other side at 1000 RPM for 35 seconds. The sample is placed at room temperature for at least 48 hours to cure. After curing, the final sensor geometry is designed and laser etched through. The pad area of the sensor is sandwiched by two pieces of acrylic to reduce any potential movement to the pad and connection area. The 28-gauge silicone wires are connected to the pad with silver conductive epoxy (M.G. Chemical Ltd).

5 µm Resolution Benchtop Setup

A Zaber linear actuator (Zaber Technologies Inc) is mounted onto a custom acrylic stage, and the entire system is placed within a custom acrylic box to prevent any possible environmental air flow that might affect the signal acquisition. The stage contains two parts: one part is stationary; the other part is able to slide on a track uniaxially. The driving side of the linear actuator is connected to the moving part of the stage. The pad side of the sensor is

mounted on the stationary side of the stage while the other side of the sensor is clamped onto the moving portion of the stage. A Precision LCR Meter (Keysight Technologies E4980AL) is used to acquire resistance data of the sensor. A Labview based program is used to control movement of the stage and collect stage position data from the linear actuator and sensor resistance data from the LCR meter. The linear actuator applies 6 consecutive groups of micro-cycles of 5 μ m, and each group contains 300 cycles. Then the entire process is repeated at different frequencies.

Pressure Sensitivity Test

A 3mm x 15mm x 1.5mm (w x l x h) acrylic piece is place over the sensor trace area directly and a metal probe attached to a force gauge (Mark 10 M5–025). The force gauge is mounted on the test stand (Mark 10 ESM 303) and moves down at 20 μ m/s speed until in contact with the acrylic piece. The test is repeated 5 times.

Channel and Device Dimension and Assembly

The microfluidic device contains two parts: channel and sensor. The channel is made with positive mold on a piece of PDMS (Young's modulus ~2.6MPa³⁹), and has a cross-sectional dimension of 50 μ m x 150 μ m. The total length of the channel is 241.7 mm. For flow rates from 1 μ l/min to 200 μ l/min, the Reynolds number remains smaller than 40. Thus, the working range is always stable laminar flow, and there is no noise due to turbulence.

The thin film based piezoresistive sensor consists of two layers of PDMS with customized stiffness (Young's modulus ~250KPa) and one layer of wrinkled bimetallic thin film (platinum and gold). The total thickness of the layer is ~100 μ m. The metal film is sandwiched and firmly bonded in between PDMS layers to stay insulated and prevent from wearing and scratching. The polymer layers and wrinkled metal film will deform under stretching or compression; due to the brittle wrinkled structure of the metal film, micro-cracks will form. As more and larger cracks form on the metal film, the electrical resistance increases.

The sensor is directly embedded at the bottom of the chip and serves as the base of the channel. The pressure required to drive fluid flow deforms the channel. As the upper and side walls of the channel are about 10-fold stiffer than the bottom sensor wall, most of the deformation will occur on the sensor surface. The electrical resistance of the sensor increases due to the deformation described above.

Microfluidic device fabrication

The microfluidic device comprises two parts: sensor and channel. The sensor part follows the same procedure as regular sensor fabrication until curing of the encapsulation layer. After curing, the sensor is ready for plasma treatment. The channel device is fabricated through a traditional replica molding process (detailed flow chart is shown in supplemental Figure s6). The positive mold is created by applying a layer of single-sided adhesive plastic film (Frisket Film from Grafix Art) on a piece of acrylic base. The shape of the channel is designed by laser etching the outline of the channel geometry. Excess plastic film outside the channel geometry is removed after laser etching. An acrylic well is adhered to the base

to create a mold. 10:1 base to cure ratio of PDMS is poured into the mold, degassed for 20 minutes, and cured for 2 hours under 60 °C. The PDMS channel device is removed from the positive mold and a biopsy punch is used to create an inlet and outlet. After cleaning both device and sensor with tape, the bottom side of the sensor and channel side of the device are placed in the plasma machine (Plasma Etch) and treated for 3 minutes. Then the sensor and device are placed with treated sides against each other and cured at 60 °C for over 2 hours for stronger bonding.

Microfluidic flow rate measurement

The outlet of the microfluidic chip is connected to a plastic pipeline and open to air. The inlet of the microfluidic chip is connected to a 3 ml syringe and controlled by a syringe pump. The syringe pump is programmed to deliver a specific flow rate to perform relevant working range, resolution, accuracy, repeatability and leaking tests. An inline pressure transducer (Omega PX 409) is connected to the syringe outlet via T-shaped connector. A Precision LCR Meter (Keysight Technologies) is used to acquire sensor resistance data.

Valve integration

Microfluidic valves and digital logic circuits were fabricated similarly to previous works⁹. Microfluidic channels were machined into sheets of PMMA (Polymethyl methacrylate) using a CO₂ laser (VLS 2.3, Universal Laser Systems) and devices were assembled by aligning and sandwiching the channel layers (channel has a width of 400 µm and depth of 400 μ m, resistor has a width of 200 μ m and depth of 200 μ m) around a piece of sensor-embedded PDMS (~600 µm thickness). The sensor was situated directly over the valve. For the flow control valve, a constant vacuum pressure of -85 kPa was applied to one side of the flow layer while a mass air flow meter (Zephyr HAF, Honeywell) was connected to the other side through 150 cm of 0.02" ID Tygon microbore tubing. The valve was switched on and off with a period of 10 s and a control pressure of -85 kPa delivered via a computer-controlled miniature solenoid valve (S10, Pneumadyne, Plymouth, MN) while air flow measurements were acquired at a frequency of 90 Hz. Inverter gates were constructed similarly, leaving the input to the inverter open to room air and adding a pressure sensor (PX139, Omega) to the output of the gate. Pressure measurements were acquired at a frequency of 50 Hz. The oscillator pump consisted of a ring oscillator formed from three identical inverter gates connected in a ring and three liquid handling valves each connected to the output of an inverter. The flow rate of air from the peristaltic pump was measured by a hot wire anemometer (Zephyr HAF, Honeywell) connected to the output of the pump while images of the incident light reflected from a pump valve were acquired at 240 Hz by a camera (iPhone Xr, Apple Computer). The average pixel intensity of a region of interest over the valve was extracted and processed with a custom program written using OpenCV⁴⁰.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank Lancy Lin for graciously taking the SEM images for figure 1a.

Notes and references

- 1. Whitesides GM, Nature, 2006, 442, 368–373. [PubMed: 16871203]
- 2. Han Z, Li W, Huang Y and Zheng B, Anal. Chem, 2009, 81, 5840–5845. [PubMed: 19518139]
- Elizabeth Hulme S, Shevkoplyas SS and Whitesides GM, Lab Chip, 2009, 9, 79–86. [PubMed: 19209338]
- Buchenauer A, Hofmann MC, Funke M, Büchs J, Mokwa W and Schnakenberg U, Biosens. Bioelectron, 2009, 24, 1411–1416. [PubMed: 18929478]
- 5. Clause KC, Liu LJ and Tobita K, Cell Commun. Adhes, 2010, 17, 48–54. [PubMed: 20560867]
- 6. Massenburg SS, Amstad E and Weitz DA, Microfluid. Nanofluidics, 2016, 20, 94.
- 7. Unger MA, Science (80-.), 2000, 288, 113-116.
- 8. Jensen EC, Grover WH and Mathies RA, J. Microelectromechanical Syst, 2007, 16, 1378–1385.
- Duncan PN, Nguyen TV and Hui EE, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci, 2013, 110, 18104–18109. [PubMed: 24145429]
- Wang X, Li B, Russo OL, Roman HT, Chin KK and Farmer KR, Microelectronics J, 2006, 37, 50–56.
- Poeggel S, Tosi D, Duraibabu D, Leen G, McGrath D and Lewis E, Sensors, 2015, 15, 17115– 17148. [PubMed: 26184228]
- 12. Orth A, Schonbrun E and Crozier KB, Lab Chip, 2011, 11, 3810. [PubMed: 21964718]
- Runowski M, Wo ny P, Stopikowska N, Guo Q and Lis S, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2019, 11, 4131–4138. [PubMed: 30615827]
- 14. Lien V and Vollmer F, Lab Chip, 2007, 7, 1352. [PubMed: 17896021]
- Tang L, Liu J, Hong W, Wang T, Wang X, Chen X, Yang B and Yang C, in 2017 IEEE 30th International Conference on Micro Electro Mechanical Systems (MEMS), IEEE, 2017, pp. 1300– 1303.
- 16. Liu W, Liu N, Yue Y, Rao J, Cheng F, Su J, Liu Z and Gao Y, Small, 2018, 14, 1704149.
- 17. Lipomi DJ, Vosgueritchian M, Tee BCK, Hellstrom SL, Lee JA, Fox CH and Bao Z, Nat. Nanotechnol, 2011, 6, 788–792. [PubMed: 22020121]
- Zhang Y, Howver R, Gogoi B and Yazdi N, in 2011 16th International Solid-State Sensors, Actuators and Microsystems Conference, IEEE, 2011, pp. 112–115.
- Lee J, Lim M, Yoon J, Kim MS, Choi B, Kim DM, Kim DH, Park I and Choi S-J, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2017, 9, 26279–26285. [PubMed: 28704032]
- 20. Li Y-Q, Huang P, Zhu W-B, Fu S-Y, Hu N and Liao K, Sci. Rep, 2017, 7, 45013. [PubMed: 28322301]
- 21. Wang D-Y, Tao L-Q, Liu Y, Zhang T-Y, Pang Y, Wang Q, Jiang S, Yang Y and Ren T-L, Nanoscale, 2016, 8, 20090–20095. [PubMed: 27896345]
- 22. Shi G, Lowe SE, Teo AJT, Dinh TK, Tan SH, Qin J, Zhang Y, Zhong YL and Zhao H, Appl. Mater. Today, 2019, 16, 482–492.
- Pegan JD, Zhang J, Chu M, Nguyen T, Park S-J, Paul A, Kim J, Bachman M and Khine M, Nanoscale, 2016, 8, 17295–17303. [PubMed: 27714048]
- 24. Wang L, Zhang M, Yang M, Zhu W, Wu J, Gong X and Wen W, Biomicrofluidics, 2009, 3, 034105.
- 25. Grosberg A, Alford PW, McCain ML and Parker KK, Lab Chip, 2011, 11, 4165. [PubMed: 22072288]
- 26. Lind JU, Busbee TA, Valentine AD, Pasqualini FS, Yuan H, Yadid M, Park S-J, Kotikian A, Nesmith AP, Campbell PH, Vlassak JJ, Lewis JA and Parker KK, Nat. Mater, 2017, 16, 303–308. [PubMed: 27775708]

- 27. Czirok A, Isai DG, Kosa E, Rajasingh S, Kinsey W, Neufeld Z and Rajasingh J, Sci. Rep, 2017, 7, 10404. [PubMed: 28871207]
- 28. Baldwin A, Hudson T and Meng E, in 2018 IEEE Micro Electro Mechanical Systems (MEMS), IEEE, 2018, pp. 361–364.
- 29. Tang M, Liu F, Lei J, Ai Z, Hong S-L, Zhang N and Liu K, Microfluid. Nanofluidics, 2019, 23, 118.
- 30. Etxebarria I, Elizalde J and Pacios R, Smart Mater. Struct, 2016, 25, 085022.
- Etxebarria J, Berganzo J, Elizalde J, Llamazares G, Fernández LJ and Ezkerra A, Sensors Actuators B Chem, 2016, 235, 188–196.
- 32. Zarifi MH, Sadabadi H, Hejazi SH, Daneshmand M and Sanati-Nezhad A, Sci. Rep, 2018, 8, 139. [PubMed: 29317767]
- 33. Lacour SP, Chan D, Wagner S, Li T and Suo Z, Appl. Phys. Lett, 2006, 88, 204103.
- 34. Oldfrey B, Jackson R, Smitham P and Miodownik M, Front. Robot. AI, 2019, 6.
- 35. Christoforidis T, Werner EM, Hui EE and Eddington DT, Biomed. Microdevices, 2016, 18, 74. [PubMed: 27469475]
- 36. Ge G, Cai Y, Dong Q, Zhang Y, Shao J, Huang W and Dong X, Nanoscale, 2018, 10, 10033– 10040. [PubMed: 29774921]
- 37. Gong S, Schwalb W, Wang Y, Chen Y, Tang Y, Si J, Shirinzadeh B and Cheng W, Nat. Commun, 2014, 5, 3132. [PubMed: 24495897]
- Lee S, Reuveny A, Reeder J, Lee S, Jin H, Liu Q, Yokota T, Sekitani T, Isoyama T, Abe Y, Suo Z and Someya T, Nat. Nanotechnol, 2016, 11, 472–478. [PubMed: 26809055]
- 39. Wang Z, Volinsky AA and Gallant ND, J. Appl. Polym. Sci, 2014, 131, n/a-n/a.
- 40. Bradski G, Dr Dobbs J Softw. Tools

Zhou et al.



10 20 30 40

 $\Delta L (\mu m)$

50

100

 $\Delta L (\mu m)$



Fig. 1.

60

40

20

0

0

(a) Unstretched (top) and stretched (bottom) sensors. On the right are scanning electron microscope images of sensor trace region. It is apparent on the SEM that the wrinkles align and stress in the direction of actuation. Fractures in the thin film have been illustrated by pseudo-coloring the exposed polymer layer in red. (b) Sensor's resistance response under different cyclic frequencies (from top to bottom are 0.5, 1, and 2 Hz respectively). The sensor (blue) tracks the displacement of 5 µm (red) very well, and the baseline shift is captured for 2 Hz as well (~265 s). 5 µm corresponds to 0.025% strain of the sensor. (c) Representative sensitivity curve plotting change in resistance as a function of length up to 150 µm, with inset highlighting the 0 to 50 µm range; sensitivity increases with greater stretch non-linearly. (d) Response test of a representative sensor, blue line represents sensor's resistance change while red line represents the relative change of the position of actuator. The sensor is stretched by 200 µm within 1 second. The mean latency between sensors and actuator is 50ms, (n=10).

Zhou et al.



Fig. 2.

(a) Picture of a microfluidic device with embedded sensor. (b) Schematic cross-section of device. (c) Pressure sensitivity or the sensor, the sensor is compressed 5 times. Blue line is the actual sensor sensitivity curve with red bars as standard error at each 2 kPa increments, and the yellow and purple lines are the linear fitting lines corresponding to 0-12 and 12-30 kPa pressure ranges. R² values of 0.941 is achieved for 0-12 kPa range, and 0.987 is achieved for 12-30 kPa range. (d) Pressure and sensor data for flow rate increases from 0 to 50μ /min in $10-\mu$ /min increments, and repeated 3 times. (e) Change of pressure vs. change of resistance as flow rate increases from 0 to 200μ /min. (f) Post-processed sensor resistance and pressure tracings for 10 cycles of flow rate from 0 to 20μ /min. The processed sensor signal decay stabilizes after 3 cycles.



Fig. 3.

(a) Sensor integrated into an elastomeric membrane valve for control of reagent flow. White scale bar is 1 cm (b) Valve construction details. Close up view of overhead (top inset) and cross-section of valve (bottom inset). Channels on the control and flow layers are shown in red and blue, respectively. Sensor is embedded in the membrane shown in green. Valve seat length (L) = 1.2 mm, valve seat width (W) = 2.4 mm, membrane thickness (T) = 0.6 mm. (c) Sensor resistance increases when the valve is opened or closed. (d) Sensor integrated into a microfluidic inverter logic gate for microfluidic computing. (e) Inverter gate construction details. Channels on the control and flow layers are shown in red and blue, respectively. Sensor placement shown in green. (f) Comparison of sensor resistance and inverter output over time. (g) Photo of microfluidic oscillator pump with integrated sensor. Light reflected from a single valve was used for high speed video analysis (inset). Only the sensor on the left is used. (h) Schematic of peristaltic pump controlled by integrated ring oscillator circuit. The sensor was placed under the final pump valve to detect opening and closing. (i) A comparison of sensor data and high-speed video for monitoring oscillation frequency show matching peaks at 6.71 Hz. (j) Flow rate from the peristaltic pump measured using external hot wire anemometer and corresponding sensor measurements from the final valve in the pump. All scale bars are 1cm.