

http://pubs.acs.org/journal/acsodf

Trisnor-Euphane-Type Triterpenoid and Other Constituents Isolated from *Euphorbia tanquahuete* Sessé & Moc.: Preparation and Cytotoxic Evaluation of Semisynthetic Derivatives of Euphol

Luis J. Romero-Morán, María Teresa Ramírez-Apan, Simón Hernández-Ortega, Diego Martínez-Otero, and Guillermo Delgado*



INTRODUCTION

The genus Euphorbia (family Euphorbiaceae, subfamily Euphorbioideae) is one of the largest groups of the angiosperms comprising ~2000 species that are distributed worldwide.¹ Its recognized morphological variety is reflected in the great diversity of secondary metabolites, including terpenoids, steroids, glycerols, acetophenones, and flavonoids, inter alia, displaying a wide array of biological activities²⁻⁴ considered relevant in human health.⁵ Euphorbia is also recognized as one of the most diverse genera of Mexican vascular plants.^{6,7} Following our research on the bioactive constituents of the spurge family,^{8,9} here we report (i) the chemical constituents of the bioactive extract of the aerial parts of Euphorbia tanquahuete Sessé & Moc. (Euphorbiaceae), a tree found in the central-southern region of Mexico that is used in traditional medicine to treat bone fractures^{10,11} from which we identify the cytotoxic compounds and (ii) the preparation and preliminary cytotoxic evaluation of a series of derivatives of euphol,^{12,13} the major bioactive metabolite of this plant, which led to the discovery of selectivity and enhanced cytotoxicity of the derivatives.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Structural Elucidation of Isolated Compounds. The methylene chloride/methanol extract of the aerial parts of *E. tanquahuete* exhibited activity against a panel of human cancer cells (see Table 2). This extract was subjected to successive chromatographic procedures affording an undescribed tris*nor*

triterpene (1) and seven known compounds eupha-8,24-dien- 3β -ol (euphol, 2),^{14,15} eupha-8,23-dien- 3β ,25-diol (3),^{16,17} lupeol (4),¹⁸ cycloeucalenol (5),¹⁹ β -sitosterol,²⁰ squalene,²¹ and 1-octacosanol,^{22,23} whose structures were confirmed by comparison of spectroscopic data with those reported in the literature (Figure 1).

The undescribed natural compound 1 was obtained as colorless needles (*n*-hexane). Its molecular formula was determined as $C_{27}H_{44}O_2$ by HRESIMS, which showed a pseudo-molecular ion peak at m/z 401.34118 [M + H]⁺ (calcd. for $C_{27}H_{45}O_2$ 401.34195), indicating six unsaturations. The IR spectrum indicated absorption bands for hydroxyl (3613 cm⁻¹) and carbonyl (1709 cm⁻¹) groups. A total of 27 carbon signals were observed in the ¹³C NMR spectrum (Table 1), consistent with the found molecular formula; based on DEPT-90 and DEPT-135 experiments, these carbons were classified as six methyls, ten methylenes, five methines, and six quaternary carbons including two vinylic carbons, which indicated the presence of a tetrasubstituted olefin. The ¹³C spectrum also showed a carbonyl signal at δ_C 203.30, justifying the absorption band observed in the IR spectrum, and the signal at δ_H 9.78

Received:June 24, 2022Accepted:September 8, 2022Published:September 20, 2022







Figure 1. Chemical structures of natural compounds 1–5.

Table 1. ¹H (400 MHz) and ¹³C NMR (100 MHz) Data, DEPT, and HMBC Correlations of Compound 1 in CDCl₃

position	$\delta_{ m C}$, type	$\delta_{ m H}~(J~{ m in}~{ m Hz})$	HMBC
1	35.37, CH ₂	1.19, 1.75, m	C19, C10,
2	28.07, CH ₂	0.82, 1.38, m	C1, C3, C4, C10
3	79.00, CH	3.24, dd (11.3, 4.4)	C4, C28, C29
4	39.09, C		
5	51.10, CH	1.25, dd (12.4, 2.0)	C19, C28, C29
6	19.08, CH ₂	1.42, 1.69, m	C4, C5, C10, C8, C7
7	27.80, CH ₂	1.38, 2.13, <i>m</i>	C5, C6, C8, C9, C14
8	133.55, C		
9	134.24, C		
10	37.42, C		
11	21.62, CH ₂	1.95, 2.07, m	C8, C9, C10, C12, C13
12	31.03, CH ₂	1.61–1.75, <i>m</i>	C9, C11, C13, C14, C18
13	44.28, C		
14	50.19, C		
15	29.86, CH ₂	1.22, 1.52, m	C30, C13, C14, C16, C17
16	28.23, CH ₂	0.92-1.09, m	
17	49.62, CH	1.50, <i>m</i>	C13, C18, C20, C21, C16
18	15.68, CH ₃	0.77, <i>s</i>	C12, C13, C14, C17
19	20.29, CH ₃	0.95, s	C1, C5, C9, C10
20	35.61, CH	1.52, <i>m</i>	C21, C22, C17, C13
21	18.94, CH ₃	0.85, d (6.4)	C17, C20, C22
22	41.10, CH ₂	2.32–2.50, <i>m</i>	C20, C23, C24
23	27.40, CH ₂	1.43, 1.99, m	
24	203.30, CH	9.78, t (2.0)	C22, C23
28	15.80, CH ₃	0.80, <i>s</i>	C4, C5, C3, C29
29	28.20, CH ₃	1.00, <i>s</i>	C3, C4, C5, C28
30	24.59, CH ₃	0.88, s	C8, C13, C14, C15

established the presence of an aldehyde. Therefore, this compound was determined as a tetracyclic compound with a tetrasubstituted olefin and an aldehyde, in agreement with the number of unsaturations. The ¹H NMR spectrum (Table 1) showed five methyl singlets at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.77, 0.80, 0.88, 0.95, and 1.00 (each 3H), a secondary methyl signal at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.85 (3H, *d*, *J* = 6.4 Hz), and an oxy-methine proton at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.24 (1H, *dd*, *J* = 11.3, 4.4 Hz), which could be assigned, according to the coupling constants, to a hydrogen geminal to a β -oriented hydroxyl group at C3 of the tetracyclic triterpenes. Taken together, this information suggested that compound 1 was a euphane- or tirucallane-like triterpenoid with three missing carbons. Comparison of ¹H and ¹³C NMR data of compound 1 with our sample of eupha-8,24-dien-3 β -ol (2) showed very similar chemical shifts with a remarkable absence of the vinylic

methyl singlets in 1, indicating the loss of C25, C26, and C27, and that the aldehyde group is located at C24.^{24,25} HMBC cross-peaks of H3 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.24) with C2/C4/C5/C28/C29, of H₃-19 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.95) with C1/C5/C10/C9, of H₃-18 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.77) with C13/C12/C17/C14, and of H₃-20 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.52) with C21/C22/C17/C13 confirmed the molecular connectivity for compound 1.

For further identification, compound 1 was semisynthesized from eupha-8,24-dien-3 β -ol (2) via oxidative cleavage of the olefin by treatment with *m*CPBA followed by H₅IO₆, as described by O'Keeffe et al.²⁶ The properties of semisynthetic 1 were identical to those of the natural compound. Furthermore, the acetylated form of compound 1 was previously reported, and its ¹H NMR is in agreement with



Figure 2. Reaction scheme for the preparation of derivatives of euphol (2). (a) BzCl, py; (b) Ac_2O , py; (c) Jones reagent; (d) $NH_2OH \cdot HCl$, NaOAc; (e) CH_3I , NaH; (f) SeO_2 ; (g) mCPBA; and (h) H_5IO_6 .



Figure 3. ORTEP drawing of X-ray structure of euphone (8) and 3-O-methyl euphol (10).

the expected chemical shift changes of H3 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.48 for the ester and $\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.24 for the isolated compound).¹⁴

Preparation of Semisynthetic Derivatives of Euphol (6–12). Taking into account the functional groups of euphol (2), we decided to modify the A ring and the side chain and to identify the changes in the cytotoxicity of the derivatives. Compound 2 was used as starting material for the preparation of the semisynthetic derivatives 6-12 (Figure 2). Ring A modifications consisted in varying the C3 functional group (as in 6-10), and compounds 11 and 12 carried modifications at the side chain. As mentioned previously, the oxidative cleavage of eupha-8,24-dien-3 β -ol (2) allowed the chemical correlation to obtain a new natural compound (1).

Esters 6 and 7 were synthesized by reacting euphol (2) with benzoyl chloride and acetic anhydride, respectively. Euphone (8) was obtained by reaction of 2 with Jones reagent, and ketone 8 in turn served as the starting material for the preparation of the oxime 9. The preparation of methyl ether 10 was achieved by reaction with methyl iodide in the presence of NaH. Allylic oxidation of euphol (2) with SeO₂ afforded $\alpha_{,\beta}$ unsaturated aldehyde 11. Derivative 12 was prepared by reaction of compound 2 with *m*CPBA. Epoxide 12 was used in turn for the preparation of compound 1 through oxidative cleavage with H₅IO₆. Semisynthetic compounds 6–12 were characterized by their physical and spectroscopic characteristics. It is noteworthy that although derivatives 6–9 were previously prepared, here we report the complete characterization for these compounds. Furthermore, this is the first report for semisynthetic derivatives 10–12.

X-ray Structure Analysis. Ketone 8 and methyl ether 10 afforded appropriate crystals for X-ray diffraction by recrystallization from *i*PrOH and MeOH, respectively. X-ray crystal diffraction analysis confirmed the absolute configuration of these compounds [Flack parameter: 0.09(6) and 0.09(4), respectively], and therefore, the starting material and the other derivatives have the connectivity and stereochemistry of an euphane core. Inspection of the crystalline structure (Figure 3) shows that the asymmetric unit for the crystal of derivative 8 is

Гab	le 2.	Cytotoxic	Activities	(% of	Inhibition) of [•]	the	Extract,	Natural	Products	, and	Derivatives
-----	-------	-----------	------------	-------	------------	-------------------	-----	----------	---------	----------	-------	-------------

sample	U251	PC-3	K562	HCT-15	MCF-7	SKLU-1	COS7
CH ₂ Cl ₂ /CH ₃ OH 1:1 (leaves extract)	52.31	43.6	74.63	34.5	62.45	58.35	NP
(1)	59.8	87.2	100	74.6	61.4	88.4	22.0
euphol (2)	NA	NA	26.9	2.18	36.62	4.9	NA
lupeol (5)	27.3	50.7	48.8	10.4	22.3	13.0	NP
(6)	NA	NA	33.1	15.6	1.3	10.9	NA
(7)	NA	NA	39.8	39.4	20.1	40.0	NA
(8)	NA	NA	95.0	12.2	1.5	31.3	17.2
(10)	NA	NA	57.8	4.3	4.3	21.6	NA
(12)	NA	NA	34.1	16.4	NA	4.6	NA
etoposide ^b	91.1 ^c	51.4 ^d	60.2 ^d	80.8 ^d	56.8 ^d	81.7 ^d	NP

NA: no activity; ND: not determined. Human tumor cell lines: U251 (glioblastoma), PC-3 (prostate), K562 (leukemia), HCT-15 (colon), MCF-7 (breast), and SKLU-1 (lung). COS7: noncancerous cell line of monkey kidney. ^{*a*}Concentrations: 50 μ g/mL for the extract, 50 μ M for pure compounds, DMSO vehicle. ^{*b*}Positive control. ^{*c*}Concentration at 10 μ M. ^{*d*}Concentration at 31 μ M.

composed of two stacked molecules, each one with a different orientation and conformation. For both derivatives, the side chain orientation in the crystalline structure is defined by the *R* configuration of C20, which favors an anti-periplanar arrangement of the hydrogens at the C17–C20 bond. This is consistent with the conformation found in the crystalline structure of acetyl derivative 7 reported in the literature.²⁷ Parameters on the crystallographic information file (CIF) format of compounds **8** and **10** were deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre [CCDC2178145 (**8**) and CCDC2181277 (**10**)] (details in the Supporting Information).

Cytotoxic Activity. The cytotoxic activity was evaluated for the extract, natural products 1, 2, and 5, and derivatives 6-8, 10, and 12 as percentages of inhibition of proliferation against the following tumor cell lines (see Table 2): glioblastoma (U251), prostate (PC-3), leukemia (K562), colon (HCT-15), breast (MCF-7), and lung (SKLU-1). The cytotoxic evaluation indicated that compound 1 was the most active among the natural products, in agreement with the observed activity of the extract. Complementarily, the most abundant secondary metabolites of the extract of E. tanquahuete, euphol (2) and lupeol (5), showed activity in some cell lines. The results also indicated remarkable selectivity of euphol (2) and its derivatives since they did not display activity in two cell lines (U251 and PC-3) and in the noncancerous cell line (COS7). The IC_{50} values are determined for compounds 1 and 8 and are shown in Table 3, indicating that ketone 8 displayed better activity than the natural product 1 in the leukemia cell line.

CONCLUSIONS

Squalene, 1-octacosanol, β -sitosterol, and compounds 1–5 have been reported for the first time from the aerial parts of *E. tanquahuete*, compound 1 being identified as a new natural

Table 5. I_{50} (µNI) for Compounds 1 and	Гable 3. IСտ	(μM)) for	Compounds	1	and	8
---	--------------	-----------	-------	-----------	---	-----	---

	cancerous cell lines							
sample	U251	K562	HCT-15	SKLU-1				
(1)	30.9 ± 1.3	18.8 ± 0.5	39.0 ± 2.9	39.9 ± 1.6				
(8)	ND	13.6 ± 0.7	ND	ND				
etoposide	2.4 ± 0.2	2.2 ± 0.7	4.8 ± 0.5	2.6 ± 0.3				

ND: not determined. Human tumor cell lines: U251 (glioblastoma), K562 (leukemia), HCT-15 (colon), and SKLU-1 (lung).

product displaying high toxicity against some cancer cell lines. Derivatives 6-12 were prepared from the majoritarian constituent, euphol (2). The cytotoxic evaluation of euphol (2) and its derivatives (6-8, 10, and 12) showed that they were inactive against U251 and PC-3 cell lines. Nevertheless, all of the semisynthetic derivatives showed higher cytotoxicity than the parent natural compound against the K562 and SKLU-1 cell lines, displaying significant selectivity. Derivative 8 showed the best activity in the leukemia cell line (K562). Therefore, compound 1 and the semisynthetic derivatives of the natural compound euphol (2) represent compounds of interest for further investigation as selective antiproliferative agents for certain types of cancer.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

General Experimental Procedure. Melting points were determined in a Cole-Palmer apparatus and are uncorrected. TLC was performed on Merck aluminum-backed plates coated with 0.2 mm thick silica gel 60 F₂₅₄. Column chromatography was carried out on silica gel 70-230 or 230-400 mesh from Sigma-Aldrich, eluting with mixtures of increasing polarity of *n*hexane/methylene chloride or n-hexane/ethyl acetate. Electronic impact mass spectra (EIMS) were obtained in a JEOL JMS-AX505HA spectrometer with an ionization potential of 70 eV. DART and high-resolution electro-spray ionization mass spectra (HRESIMS) were obtained in an AccuTOF JMS-T100LC spectrometer. IR spectra were recorded using a Bruker Tensor 750 FT-IR spectrophotometer. The specific rotation was determined on a PerkinElmer 343 polarimeter using chloroform as the solvent and sodium D line as the source of light. ¹H, ¹³C, and bidimensional NMR spectra were recorded in Bruker Avance III (400/100 MHz), Bruker Fourier (300/75 MHz), and Jeol Eclipse (300/75 MHz). HPLC was carried out in a Thermo Scientific Ultimate 3000 chromatographer using analytical C18 (5 μ m, 100 Å, 15 \times 4.6 mm, 5 μ m). The HPLC-grade solvents employed (*i*PrOH, MeOH, MeCN) were from the brand Fermont. The X-ray data were collected on a Bruker APEX II Duo diffractometer.

Plant Material. The aerial parts of *E. tanquahuete* were collected in October 2014 at the State Park "El Texcal" in the municipality of Jiutepec, Morelos, Mexico. The plant was identified as *E. tanquahuete* (synonym: *E. fulva*) by Prof. Clara H. Ramos (Instituto de Biología, UNAM) and a voucher specimen was deposited in the Herbario Nacional de México (MEXU) with registry number 1394140.

Extraction and Isolation. The air-dried powdered leaves (0.65 kg) of *E. tanquahuete* were extracted by maceration with a mixture of methylene chloride/methanol (DCM/MeOH) 1:1 (r.t., three times, 24 h each), affording a polar extract (328.5 g).

The methylene chloride/methanol extract was fractionated by open-column chromatography using a gradient with a mixture of n-hexane/EtOAc from 100:0 to 0:100 and washing the column with MeOH, affording eight major fractions (A-H). Fraction B (which was eluted with *n*-hexane/EtOAc 19:1) was further fractionated by column chromatography (CC) with a mixture of *n*-hexane/CHCl₃ of increasing polarity to afford squalene as a colorless oil (175 mg).²¹ From fraction D precipitated a white solid that after filtration and recrystallization from n-hexane/EtOAc afforded 1-octacosanol (1.15 g).^{22,23} The mother liquors of fraction D were concentrated and subjected to further CC to yield six subfractions (D1-D6). Subsequent CC of subfraction D2 afforded euphol (2, 1.35 g) as the majoritarian constituent. Subfraction D3 contained a mixture of 2 and a second component that was identified as lupeol (5, 234 mg).¹⁸ Subfraction D4 contained a mixture of euphol, lupeol, and a third component that after successive column chromatography was identified as cycloeucalenol (4, 10 mg).¹⁹ Subfraction D5 contained two major components that were isolated by preparative TLC using a mixture of n-hexane/DCM/EtOAc/EtOH 70:20:9:1. These compounds were identified as 25,26,27-trisnor- 3β -hydroxyeupha-24-al (1, 7 mg) and eupha-8,23-dien- 3β ,25-diol (3, 6 mg) according to the extensive spectroscopic analysis and comparison with data reported in the literature.^{16,17} The purity of the compounds (>96%) was determined by HPLC.

Evaluation of Cytotoxic Activity. The cytotoxicity of the extract and the pure compounds was tested in six human tumor cell lines as percent inhibition of proliferation using the colorimetric method of sulforhodamine B (SRB, protein binding dye).²⁸ Human tumor cell lines tested were central nervous system (U251), prostate (PC-3), leukemia (K562), colon (HCT-15), breast (MCF-7), and lung (SKLU), provided by the National Cancer Institute (NCI). Colored solutions were extracted, and optical densities were read on an Ultra Reader of Microplate (Elx 808, Bio-Tek Instruments, Inc.) at a wavelength of 515 nm.

Single-Crystal X-ray Diffraction Analysis. Crystallographic data for compounds 8 and 10 were collected on a Bruker SMART APEX DUO three-circle diffractometer equipped with an Apex II CCD detector using Cu K α radiation ($\lambda = 1.54178$ Å, Incoatec I μ microsource and Helios optic monochromator) for the correct estimation of the anomalous dispersion and an adequate determination of the absolute structure parameter due to the nature of the sample (only carbon, oxygen, and hydrogen atoms), at -173 °C. Suitable crystals were coated with Paratone hydrocarbon oil, picked up with a nylon cryoloop, and mounted on the diffractometer.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsomega.2c03963.

Spectroscopic description of the natural compounds, squalene, 1-octacosanol, β -sitosterol, and compounds 2–5; preparation of compounds 1 and 6–12; 1D and

2D NMR spectra, IR, and HRESIMS of compound 1; NMR spectra of compounds 6-12; and crystal data and structure refinement of euphone (8) and 3-O-methyl euphol (10) (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

Guillermo Delgado – Instituto de Química, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Circuito Exterior, Ciudad Universitaria, Coyoacán, 04510 Ciudad de México, México; orcid.org/0000-0002-1394-6300; Email: delgado@ unam.mx

Authors

- Luis J. Romero-Morán Instituto de Química, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Circuito Exterior, Ciudad Universitaria, Coyoacán, 04510 Ciudad de México, México;
 orcid.org/0000-0002-6204-7037
- María Teresa Ramírez-Apan Instituto de Química, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Circuito Exterior, Ciudad Universitaria, Coyoacán, 04510 Ciudad de México, México

Simón Hernández-Ortega – Instituto de Química, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Circuito Exterior, Ciudad Universitaria, Coyoacán, 04510 Ciudad de México, México

Diego Martínez-Otero – Centro Conjunto de Investigación en Química Sustentable UAEM-UNAM, Toluca 50200, México

Complete contact information is available at: https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acsomega.2c03963

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México for financial support (Dirección General de Asuntos del Personal Académico PAPIIT IG200821) and Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología (CONACYT Grant 651375). This work was taken in part from the PhD Thesis submitted by L.J.R.M. to Programa de Maestría y Doctorado en Ciencias Químicas de la UNAM. The authors thank Prof. Clara H. Ramos (Instituto de Biología, UNAM) for the collection and identification of the plant material. The authors also thank María de los Ángeles Peña, Elizabeth Huerta, María Isabel Chávez, Beatriz Quiroz, Rubén Gaviño, Rocío Patiño Maya† (1965-2021), Javier Pérez Flores, and María del Carmen García González (Instituto de Química de la UNAM) for technical assistance.

REFERENCES

(1) Dorsey, B. L.; Haevermans, T.; Aubriot, X.; Morawetz, J. J.; Riina, R.; Steinmann, V. W.; Berry, P. E. Phylogenetics, morphological evolution, and classification of *Euphorbia* subgenus *Euphorbia*. *Taxon* **2013**, *62*, 291–315.

(2) Shi, Q.-W.; Su, X.-H.; Kiyota, H. Chemical and pharmacological research of the plants in genus *Euphorbia*. *Chem. Rev.* **2008**, *108*, 4295–4327.

(3) Vasas, A.; Hohmann, J. Euphorbia diterpenes: isolation, structure, biological activity, and synthesis (2008–2012). *Chem. Rev.* **2014**, *114*, 8579–8612.

(4) Kemboi, D.; Peter, X.; Langat, M.; Tembu, J. A review of the ethnomedicinal uses, biological activities, and triterpenoids of *Euphorbia* species. *Molecules* **2020**, *25*, 4019.

(5) Salehi, B.; Iriti, M.; Vitalini, S.; Antolak, H.; Pawlikowska, E.; Kregiel, D.; Sharifi-Rad, J.; Oyeleye, S. I.; Ademiluyi, A. O.; Czopek, K.; Staniak, M.; Custódio, L.; Coy-Barrera, E.; Segura-Carretero, A.; Cádiz-Gurrea, M. L.; Capasso, R.; Cho, W. C.; Seca, A. M. L. Euphorbia-derived natural products with potential for use in health maintenance. *Biomolecules* **2019**, *9*, 337.

(6) Villaseñor, J. L. Checklist of the native vascular plants of México. *Rev. Mex. Biodiv.* **2016**, *87*, 559–902.

(7) Jiménez-Ramírez, J.; Martínez-Gordillo, M.; Cruz-Durán, R.; Juárez-Arriaga, E.; García, R.; Cervantes, A.; Mejía-Hernández, R. Los géneros de la familia Euphorbiaceae en México. *An. Inst. Biol. UNAM Ser. Bot.* **2002**, *73*, 155–281.

(8) Novillo, F.; Velasco-Barrios, E.; Nieto-Camacho, A.; López-Huerta, F. A.; Méndez-Cuesta, C. A.; Ramírez-Apan, M. T.; Chávez, M. I.; Martínez, E. M.; Hernández-Delgado, T.; Espinosa-García, F. J.; Delgado, G. 3β -Palmitoyloxy-olean-12-ene analogs from *Sapium lateriflorum* (Euphorbiaceae): Their cytotoxic and anti-inflammatory properties and docking studies. *Fitoterapia* **2021**, *155*, No. 105067.

(9) López-Huerta, F. A.; Nieto-Camacho, A.; Morales-Flores, F.; Hernández-Ortega, S.; Chávez, M. I.; Méndez-Cuesta, C. A.; Martínez, I.; Espinoza, B.; Espinosa-García, F. J.; Delgado, G. Hopane-type triterpenes from *Cnidoscolus spinosus* and their bioactivities. *Bioorg. Chem.* **2020**, 100, No. 103919.

(10) Atlas de las Plantas de la Medicina Tradicional Mexicana. 2022, http://www.medicinatradicionalmexicana.unam.mx/apmtm/termino. php?l=3&t=pegahueso (accessed June 23, 2022).

(11) Monroy Ortiz, C.; Castillo España, P. Las plantas medicinales utilizadas en el Estado de Morelos; Centro de Investigaciones Biológicas. UAE Morelos, 2000; p 207.

(12) Passos, G. F.; Medeiros, R.; Marcon, R.; Nascimento, A. F. Z.; Calixto, J. B.; Pianowski, L. F. The role of PKC/ERK1/2 signaling in the anti-inflammatory effect of tetracyclic triterpene euphol on TPA-induced skin inflammation in mice. *Eur. J. Pharmcol.* **2013**, *698*, 413–420.

(13) Dutra, R. C.; Bicca, M. A.; Segat, G. C.; Silva, K. A. B. S.; Motta, E. M.; Pianowski, L. F.; Costa, R.; Calixto, J. B. The antinociceptive effects of the tetracyclic triterpene euphol in inflammatory and neuropathic pain models: the potential role of PKC ε . Neuroscience **2015**, 303, 126–137.

(14) Bartlett, W. R.; Johnson, W. S.; Plummer, M. S.; Small, V. R. Cationic cyclization of a substrate having an internal acetylenic bond. Synthesis of euphol and tirucallol. *J. Org. Chem.* **1990**, *55*, 2215–2224.

(15) Knight, S. A. Carbon-13 NMR spectra of some tetra- and pentacyclic triterpenoids. Org. Magn. Reson. 1974, 6, 603-611.

(16) Guo, J.; Zhou, L. Y.; He, H. P.; Leng, Y.; Yang, Z.; Hao, X. J. Inhibition of 11β -HSD1 by tetracyclic triterpenoids from *Euphorbia* ansui. Molecules **2012**, *17*, 11826–11838.

(17) Leong, Y. W.; Harrison, L. J. (20R,23E)-Eupha-8,23-diene- 3β ,25-diol from Tripetalum cymosum. Phytochemistry **1999**, 50, 849–857.

(18) Burns, D.; Reynolds, W. F.; Buchanan, G.; Reese, P. B.; Enríquez, R. G. Assignment of ¹H and ¹³C spectra and investigation of hindered side-chain rotation in lupeol derivatives. *Magn. Reson. Chem.* **2000**, *38*, 488–493.

(19) Kikuchi, T.; Kadota, S.; Tsubono, K. Studies on the constituents of orchidaceus plants. IV. Proton and carbon-13 signal assignments of cycloeucalenol-type triterpenes from *Nervilia purpurea* Schlechter by two-dimensional nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **1986**, *34*, 2479–2486.

(20) Zhang, X.; Geoffroy, P.; Miesch, M.; Julien-David, D.; Raul, F.; Aoudé-Werner, D.; Marchion, E. Gram-scale chromatographic purification of β -sitosterol. Synthesis and characterization of β sitosterol oxides. *Steroids* **2005**, *70*, 886–895.

(21) Pogliani, L.; Ceruti, M.; Ricchiardi, G.; Viterbo, D. An NMR and molecular mechanics study of squalene and squalene derivatives. *Chem. Phys. Lipids* **1994**, *70*, 21–34.

(22) Cravotto, G.; Gaudino, E. C.; Barge, A.; Binello, A.; Albertino, A.; Aghemo, C. Synthesis of 1-octacosanol and GC-C-IRMS discrimination of samples from different origins. *Nat. Prod. Res.* **2010**, *24*, 428–439.

(23) Kunkuma, V. L.; Kaki, S. S.; Rao, B. V. S. K.; Prasad, R. B. N.; Devi, B. L. A. P. A simple and facile method for the synthesis of 1octacosanol. *Eur. J. Lipid Sci. Technol.* **2013**, *115*, 921–927.

(24) De Pascual Teresa, J.; Urones, J. G.; Marcos, I. S.; Basabe, P.; Sexmero Cuadrado, M. J.; Fernández Moro, R. Triterpenes from *Euphorbia broteri*. *Phytochemistry* **1987**, *26*, 1767–1776.

(25) Ponomarenko, L. P.; Kalinovsky, A. I.; Martyyas, E. A.; Doudkin, R. V.; Gorovoy, P. G.; Stonik, V. A. Terpenoid metabolites from the aerial parts of *Artemisia lagocephala*. *Phytochem. Lett.* **2012**, *5*, 118–122.

(26) O'Keeffe, R.; Kenny, O.; Brunton, N. P.; Hossain, M. B.; Rai, D. K.; Jones, P. W.; O'Brien, N.; Maguire, A. R.; Collins, S. G. Synthesis of novel 24-amino-25,26,27-trinorlanost-8-enes: Cytotoxic and apoptotic potential in U937 cells. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **2015**, *23*, 2270–2280.

(27) Nes, W. D.; Wong, R. Y.; Benson, M.; Landrey, J. R.; Nes, W. R. Rotational isomerism about the 17(20)-bond of steroids and euphoids as shown by the crystal structures of euphol and tirucallol. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **1984**, *81*, 5896–5900.

(28) Vichai, V.; Kirtikara, K. Sulforhodamine B colorimetric assay for cytotoxicity screening. *Nat. Protoc.* **2006**, *1*, 1112–1116.