Received: 27 October 2021 | Revised: 23 May 2022 | Accepted: 3 August 2022
DOI: 10.1002/sres.2897

RESEARCH PAPER

SYSTEMS and BEHAVIORAL RESEARCH SCIENCE

WILEY

Using simulation modelling and systems science to help contain COVID-19: A systematic review

Weiwei Zhang[1] | Shiyong Liu[2] | Nathaniel Osgood[3,4] | Hongli Zhu[1] | Ying Qian[5] | Peng Jia[6,7]

[1]Research Institute of Economics and Management, Southwestern University of Finance and Economics, Chengdu, China
[2]Institute of Advanced Studies in Humanities and Social Sciences, Beijing Normal University at Zhuhai, Zhuhai, China
[3]Department of Computer Science, University of Saskatchewan, Saskatoon, Canada
[4]Department of Community Health and Epidemiology, University of Saskatchewan, Saskatoon, Canada
[5]Business School, University of Shanghai for Science and Technology, Shanghai, China
[6]School of Resource and Environmental Sciences, Wuhan University, Wuhan, Hubei, China
[7]International Institute of Spatial Lifecourse Health, Wuhan University, Wuhan, Hubei, China

Correspondence
Dr. Shiyong Liu, PhD, Professor, Center for Governance Studies, Beijing Normal University at Zhuhai, 18 Jinfeng Rd, Zhuhai 519087, China.
Email: shiyongliu2006@gmail.com and liushiyong@bnu.edu.cn

Funding information
Research was supported by the Ministry of Education in China Project of Humanities and Social Sciences 21YJAZH053.

Abstract
This study systematically reviews applications of three simulation approaches, that is, system dynamics model (SDM), agent-based model (ABM) and discrete event simulation (DES), and their hybrids in COVID-19 research and identifies theoretical and application innovations in public health. Among the 372 eligible papers, 72 focused on COVID-19 transmission dynamics, 204 evaluated both pharmaceutical and non-pharmaceutical interventions, 29 focused on the prediction of the pandemic and 67 investigated the impacts of COVID-19. ABM was used in 275 papers, followed by 54 SDM papers, 32 DES papers and 11 hybrid model papers. Evaluation and design of intervention scenarios are the most widely addressed area accounting for 55% of the four main categories, that is, the transmission of COVID-19, prediction of the pandemic, evaluation and design of intervention scenarios and societal impact assessment. The complexities in impact evaluation and intervention design demand hybrid simulation models that can simultaneously capture micro and macro aspects of the socio-economic systems involved.

KEYWORDS
agent-based model, COVID-19 pandemic, discrete event simulation, system dynamics model, systematic review

1 | INTRODUCTION

At the end of 2019, a series of pneumonia cases caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) emerged in Wuhan, later formally named COVID-19 by the World Health Organization (WHO). Considering its rapid spread and highly infectious characteristics, WHO declared on 30 January 2020 that the outbreak constituted a Public Health Emergency of International Concern (PHEIC) (WHO, 2020a). Following over a year's strenuous containment efforts, COVID-19 remains poorly controlled in some countries while others witnessed effective results. As of 14 April 2022, 500 186 525 confirmed cases have been reported globally, including 6 190 349 deaths (WHO, 2020b). As a public health crisis, the outbreak of COVID-19 not only led to high morbidity and mortality but also impacted every sector of society and exacerbated the global economic recession. Although vaccinations have been created, the pandemic may yet resist rapid resolution due to limited supply and debatable efficacy, particularly against rapidly emerging variants. Therefore, it is crucial for policymakers, healthcare planners, manufacturers of medical devices and healthcare providers to use available data and appropriate tools to better understand transmission dynamics, assess the uncertainty caused by mutations and evaluate impacts of intervention measures. Situational analysis should be conducted, and optimal interventions strategy and resource portfolio employed accordingly. More importantly, relevant research and policy implementation practices can provide critical insights for future emerging infectious diseases.

Simulation models, including compartmental model, system dynamics model (SDM), discrete event simulation (DES), agent-based model (ABM), data-driven modelling approach and machine-learning techniques, have been widely used during outbreaks to characterize spreading, capture relevant driving factors, make accurate predictions on risks and turning points, help optimally allocate resources and design and evaluate public health policies. The aforementioned models have been employed to model infectious diseases including smallpox (Epstein et al., 2002), avian influenza (Casagrandi et al., 2006), Ebola (Weitz & Dushoff, 2015), Zika (Morrison & Cunha, 2020), SARS (severe acute respiratory syndrome) (Anderson et al., 2004), MERS (Middle East respiratory syndrome) (Lee et al., 2016) and COVID-19 (Jones et al., 2020; Lai et al., 2020). Compartmental models, for example, the Susceptible-Infected-Recovered (SIR) model and its extensions, represent simplified mathematical constructs, most often using ordinary differential equations (deterministic ODEs), for modelling and simulating infectious diseases (Keeling & Danon, 2009). One of the advantages of compartmental models is its simplicity and ease of implementation, allowing for quicker implementation during an outbreak. Scholars have built a variety of compartmental models to conduct transmission analysis of COVID-19 (Mohamadou et al., 2020; Rahimi et al., 2021).

Employing an identical mathematical structure to compartmental models, SDM is broadly used to capture the non-linear dynamics of complex systems over time. It helps understand counterintuitive behaviours and policy resistance in complicated socio-economic systems. It uses coupled feedback loops that capture real-world systems using stocks (e.g., material, people and money), flows (rate of change) and time delays (response of the system). SDM has many applications in routine and unexpected situations and acts as a decision support tool for policymakers (Allen, Mills, et al., 2020). SDM is a great simulation paradigm for integrating conventional compartmental models of infectious diseases into a more comprehensive structure used for strategical assessment of potential policy interventions (Bagni et al., 2002).

DES models are commonly used to simulate operation of systems as discrete sequence of events over time within particular contexts, such as hospitals (Eldabi et al., 2007; Jacobson et al., 2006; Jun et al., 1999). As a typical operations research technique, DES excels at characterizing resource-limited workflows and has been widely used to improve production processes, healthcare capacity planning, programme evaluations, evaluation of investment decisions and so forth (Liu et al., 2020).

ABMs, as a widely used computational modelling approach, are stochastic, often spatially or network explicit, discrete-time simulation models where the agents represent interacting actors or items of interest. One key feature is its usage of a synthetic social contact network to represent each individual in the population and heterogeneity that yields a realistic model of their sociodemographic attributes and social interactions (Lenormand et al., 2015; Liu et al., 2018). ABMs enable decision makers to recreate, visualize and predict the emergence of complex phenomena from heterogeneous interacting individuals with distinct characteristics and behaviours (Sun et al., 2020). Given its advantages of simulating heterogeneous agents in complex systems, ABM has found intensive use in capturing the spread dynamics of infectious diseases and evaluating the efficacy of relevant interventions (Davey & Glass, 2008; Epstein, 2009; Eubank et al., 2004; Kumar et al., 2013; Mabry et al., 2010; Temime et al., 2009).

Data-driven modelling approaches and machine-learning techniques are another class of models that have been widely used to provide new insights. Representative approaches, including Bayesian inference, gradient-boosting machine models, logistic regression, decision tree, support vector machine, artificial neural network and Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC), have been used for parameter estimation and prediction of COVID-19 outbreaks (Mbuvha & Marwala, 2020; Zoabi et al., 2021). However, the literature size in this field is enormous, and they should be categorized and reviewed separately. The current review only focuses on applying three major dynamic simulation modelling traditions, that is, SDM, DES and ABM, and their hybrid models thereof in the study of COVID-19.

This systematic review aims at achieving three objectives: (1) summarizing how three simulation models and their hybrids were used in capturing and dealing with issues with different characteristics (e.g., heterogeneous agents, aggregate behaviour of homogeneous agents and process dynamics) that arose during the outbreak of COVID-19; (2) gaining a better understanding as to how different simulation approaches can help conduct a holistic situational analysis, make accurate outbreak predictions, optimize medical resource planning, evaluate alternative interventions and develop high-leverage containment policies; and (3) demonstrating how new application trends, theoretical innovations or methodological integrations (e.g., the hybrid model of ABM and SDM) were used in those simulation approaches.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: Section 2 provides the search strategy and selection criteria and the method of search strategy, inclusion and exclusion criteria, the selection process and an overview of the data. Section 3 details the results of the systematic review. In Section 4, discussion regarding results and limitations, and public health implications are presented. Section 5 concludes this study with an executive summary and outlook on using systems simulation models in investigating emerging infectious diseases.

2 | METHODS

The review conducted is partially consistent with guidelines of Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA). The quality of search, selection and analysis are guaranteed by using AMSTAR (Assessing the Methodological Quality of Systematic Reviews) (refer to Appendix A) (McCartney et al., 2019; Shea et al., 2017).

2.1 | Search strategy and selection criteria

The following academic web portals and databases were searched within the designated time range: PubMed, MEDLINE, EMBASE, Web of Science, Scopus, ScienceDirect, EBSCO, Wiley and the WHO COVID-19 Database. The search strategy combined terms related to 'discrete event simulation', 'discrete event system simulation', 'agent-based models', 'agent-based modelling', 'individual-based model', 'multi-agent system', 'system dynamics', 'compartmental model', 'hybrid simulation', 'coronavirus disease 2019', 'COVID-19', 'COVID-2019', 'severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2', 'SARS-CoV2' and 'SARS-CoV-2'. An illustration depicting the search strategy through PubMed is provided in Appendix B.

Two independent reviewers (H.Z. and W.Z.) performed record selection by reading through papers to determine their suitability for the systematic review. Disagreements were resolved by discussion with a third person (S.L. or P.J.). Eligible papers had to meet the following inclusion criteria: (1) used any of the three simulation models (SDM, ABM and DES), their hybrid models (such as ABM + SDM and DES + SDM) or a compartmental simulation model (SIR, SEIR, modified SIR or modified SEIR, all characterized as falling into the SDM category) in investigating COVID-19; (2) included multiple naming schemes such as COVID-19, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 and SARS-CoV-2 (detailed in Table C1 in Appendix C); (3) acceptable COVID-19 topics were considered to include not only transmission dynamics, prediction, prevention and control strategies but also economical cost estimation, resource management and other related issues; (4) was an original study and not any form of review; (5) was written in English; and (6) was published in a journal and conference proceedings, or advance online publication, or appeared in preprint channels (e.g., https://www.medrxiv.org/) between 1 December 2019 and 31 December 2021.

2.2 | Data extraction and analysis

The initial search identified 4554 records; 1741 were eligible for the title and abstract screening after duplicate removal. By applying predefined criteria, 868 articles were removed by scrutinizing title, abstract or both. The remaining 873 articles were read, and 501 were excluded, which included 298 papers using compartmental models that were outside the sphere of systems simulation. As a result, 372 papers were included in the systematic review (Figure 1).

3 | RESULTS

The 372 papers cover three primary systems simulation approaches of SDM, DES, ABM and hybrids (e.g., ABM + SDM, ABM + DES and SDM + DES). Consistent with the objectives of this study, two significant categories of contribution—theoretic innovation and applications—were identified. Issues investigated by these models can be further divided into four areas: transmission dynamics of COVID-19 (72 articles), predicting trends (29 articles), intervention measures (204 articles) and impacts of COVID-19 on society



FIGURE 1 Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) flow chart for systematic review of using simulation models to help contain COVID-19. ABM, agent-based model; DES, discrete event simulation; HS, hybrid simulation; SDM, system dynamics model

(67 articles). It is worth noting that this categorization reflects the authors' judgments rather than an objective taxonomy. Figure 2 summarizes the type of simulation models, key research areas and system scale to which they were applied.

3.1 | Overview of the applications of different simulation models

Among the 372 papers, 275 (74%) used ABM. To observe emerging behaviours, the papers characterized each person or a group of persons as a heterogeneous agent interacting within a (censored) population in a synthetic social network, placing their hypothetical relationships within a region or nation (Agrawal et al., 2020; Bai, 2020; Benneyan et al., 2021; Cremonini & Maghool, 2020; Delcea et al., 2020; Raviraja et al., 2021). This social network might also contain layers of households, schools, workplaces or community and disease properties (Aleta et al., 2020; Alqithami, 2021; Altun et al., 2021; Álvarez-Pomar & Rojas-Galeano, 2021; Kamerlin & Kasson; 2020; Panovska-Griffiths et al., 2020; Rockett et al., 2020; Yang et al., 2020). To lend a more realistic context and consequently provide more interventions, six papers also incorporated GIS-enhanced geospatial data into the simulation platform (Agrawal et al., 2020; Alvarez Castro & Ford, 2021; de Vries & Rambabu, 2021; Gharakhanlou & Hooshangi, 2020; Mahmood et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2021) and five papers integrated human mobility data (Aleta et al., 2020; Kishore et al., 2021; Sewell & Miller, 2020; Wei et al., 2021; Zhou, Zhang, et al., 2021). To demonstrate the dynamics of the spread through interactions, 158 papers simulated the disease transmission via regular or modified SIR- or SEIR-based ABMs, where the labelled states of individuals are Susceptible, Infected, Recovered, Dead (SIRD) (Alsaeed et al., 2020; Mahmood et al., 2020) or Susceptible, Exposed, Infected, Recovered, Dead (SEIRD) (Benneyan et al., 2021; Gharakhanlou & Hooshangi, 2020). Some papers also introduced more COVID-19 states, such as asymptomatic (Almagor & Picascia, 2020; Head et al., 2021; Koehler et al., 2021; Moghadas et al., 2021; Talekar et al., 2020), mild and severe symptoms (Alagoz et al., 2020; Zhang, Vilches, et al., 2020) and hospitalized (Nguyen et al., 2021;



FIGURE 2 Summary of simulation models, research areas and system scale applied. *Note*: Numbered references are listed in the supporting information. ABM, agent-based model; DES, discrete event simulation; HS, hybrid simulation; SDM, system dynamics model [Colour figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

Palomo-Briones et al., 2021; Son & RISEWIDs Team, 2020; Tadić & Melnik, 2020; Xu et al., 2021). In addition, spread through contaminated surfaces and objects (Tadić & Melnik, 2020) and loss of immunity (Alsaeed et al., 2020) were also simulated.

There are 54 simulation papers using SDM, accounting for 14.5% of all selected research, where one paper used a simple SIR model (Pornphol & Chittayasothorn, 2020), one paper used a SIRD model (Ibarra-Vega, 2020), two papers used a classic SEIR model (Kumar, Priya, & Srivastava, 2021; Yusoff & Izhan, 2020) and seven papers constructed a SEIRD model (Abdolhamid et al., 2021; Khairulbahri, 2021; Liu et al., 2021; Mutanga et al., 2021; Struben, 2020; Sy et al., 2021; Zhao et al., 2020). In the modified papers, new states such as pre-symptomatic (Rahmandad et al., 2021), asymptomatic (Fair et al., 2021; Sy et al., 2020), symptomatic (Currie et al., 2020; Fair et al., 2021), quarantined (Currie et al., 2020; Kumar, Viswakarma, et al., 2021; Qian et al., 2021), isolated (Niwa et al., 2020), hospitalized or in treatment (Hu et al., 2021; Qian et al., 2021; Rahmandad et al., 2021) and vaccinated (Brereton & Pedercini, 2021; Suphanchaimat, Tuangratananon, et al., 2021) were introduced into the models. In addition, without providing particular application cases, three papers built conceptual macro-level SDMs to understand the emergence of COVID-19 and system resilience and vulnerability in response to public health emergencies, respectively (Kontogiannis, 2021; Wang et al., 2020; Wang & Mansouri, 2021).

There are 32 (8.5%) simulation papers using DES. The simulation models were mainly used to assess the impact of COVID-19 on an organization's workflow and emphasized its optimization (Allen, Bhanji, et al., 2020; Das, 2020; de Brito Jr et al., 2021; Kim et al., 2021; VanDeusen et al., 2021; Zeinalnezhad et al., 2020). Meanwhile, DES was also applied to process analysis and optimization of service facilities that had effects on COVID-19 spread, including testing facility (Çaglayan et al., 2022; El Hage et al., 2021; Gowda et al., 2021; Saidani et al., 2021; Saidani & Kim, 2021), vaccination centres (Pilati et al., 2021) and COVID-19-related hospitals (Frichi et al., 2021; Melman et al., 2021). DES research was also used to investigate different interventions for minimizing transmission risk in lab facilities (Lim et al., 2020).

There are only 11 (3%) papers concerning hybrid simulation: Six papers were a combination of ABM and DES (Asgary et al., 2020; Cimini et al., 2021; Possik et al., 2021; Qiu et al., 2021; Stapelberg et al., 2021; Tofighi et al., 2021), three papers were a combination of DES and SDM (Kang et al., 2021; Lu, Guan, et al., 2021; Warde et al., 2021) and two papers were an integration of SDM and ABM (Guo, Tong, et al., 2021; Mokhtari et al., 2021).

3.2 | Theoretic innovation and detailed application areas

3.2.1 | Theoretical innovation in simulation models

Although most research focused on specific applications of the models, 11 papers, to some extent, offered certain theoretic innovation. A study employing an individual-level network-based model (ABM) used an ensemble Kalman filter to conduct parameter estimation. The study also showed good use of non-Markovian models to better capture the spreading dynamics (Yang et al., 2020). In a school environment setting, a study proposed an artificial intelligence (AI)-powered ABM (Valtchev et al., 2021) to examine the challenges anticipated for preventative testing of COVID-19. Two studies combined machine-learning algorithms with ABM to model the COVID-19 transmission (Ozik et al., 2021) and calculated the effects of the COVID-19 pandemic on the banking system and the real economy (Polyzos et al., 2021), respectively. Six studies integrated geospatial data with ABM, which adds spatial-temporal characteristics of COVID-19 transmission to improve containment policy. In a study for improving patients' workflow in a heart clinic during COVID-19 outbreak, timed coloured Petri nets were embedded into DES to analyse and improve the healthcare organization's performance (Zeinalnezhad et al., 2020). To capture the dynamics of health resource demand and disease transmission, a study proposed the use of Bayesian-based SDMs (Yusoff & Izhan, 2020). Another study put forward a framework for treating the total population as an inhomogeneous random social network (IRSN) (Hurd, 2020) and then conducted a theoretical exploration of IRSN and IRSN-ABM and its advantages to inform public health policy and health research.

3.2.2 | Decoding transmission dynamics of COVID-19

There are 72 papers investigating COVID-19 transmission dynamics, with 4 simulating it through biosocial stochastic dynamics (Tadić & Melnik, 2020) and microscopic dynamics (Castiglione et al., 2021; Marzban et al., 2021; Tadić & Melnik, 2021). Seventeen papers simulated spreading mechanism and transmission dynamics within a particular venue, including the cruise ship Diamond Princess (Hooten et al., 2020), a long-term care facility (Smith et al., 2020), a typical large dialysis unit (Tofighi et al., 2021), a hospital (Evans et al., 2022), a construction site (Araya, 2021a, 2021b), a sporting facility (Qi et al., 2021), a school (Tupper & Colijn, 2021), a college (Gressman & Peck, 2020; Possik et al., 2021), a hypothetical facility (Cuevas, 2020), a retail store (Pantano et al., 2021; Ying & O'Clery, 2021), a supermarket (Harweg et al., 2021; Hernandez-Mejia & Hernandez-Vargas, 2020; Lu, Wang, et al., 2021; Salmenjoki et al., 2021) and a church (Farthing & Lanzas, 2021a). Nineteen papers explored COVID-19 transmission at the country level, including Australia, China, Italy, Liberia, Sierra Leone, Spain, Ukraine, the United Kingdom and the United States. Other papers also investigated the effect of some factors, including social media and individual behaviours (Du et al., 2021; Palomo-Briones et al., 2021; Zhang et al., 2022), fear-driven behaviours (Rajabi et al., 2021), human activity patterns (Wang et al., 2021), the impact of cross-reactivity induced by exposure to endemic human coronaviruses (eHCoVs) (Pinotti et al., 2021), natural disasters (de Vries & Rambabu, 2021) and misinformation diffusion (Prandi & Primiero, 2020). In addition, one article simulated transmission of the virus, and online panic and its adverse effects on the control and prevention of COVID-19 outbreak (Guo, Li, et al., 2021). Another one study explored the relationship between the spread of COVID-19 and economic activities (Kano et al., 2021).

3.2.3 | Trend prediction of COVID-19 spreading

Twenty-nine papers focused on COVID-19 epidemic prediction, of which seven tried to estimate the R_0 in different regions (Müller et al., 2021; Rypdal et al., 2021; Yang et al., 2020) and countries (Guo & Xiao, 2020; Hoertel, Blachier, Blanco, Olfson, Massetti, Rico, et al., 2020; Kolokolnikov & Iron, 2021; Krivorotko et al., 2022). Most studies made prediction regarding cumulative infections (Hunter & Kelleher, 2021; Latkowski & Dunin-K plicz, 2021) and deaths (Ghaffarzadegan & Rahmandad, 2020), mortality (Benneyan et al., 2021; Lu, Guan, et al., 2021), daily testing capacity required (Fiore et al., 2021), hospital admissions (Warde et al., 2021) and demand for intensive care unit (ICU) beds (Bartz-Beielstein et al., 2021; Garcia-Vicuña et al., 2021; Irvine et al., 2021) and so forth as different interventions, such as physical distancing (Aghaei & Lohrasebi, 2021), various lockdown (Hoertel, Blachier, Blanco, Olfson, Massetti, Rico, et al., 2020; Uansri et al., 2021) and vaccination strategy (Suphanchaimat, Nittayasoot, et al., 2021; Suphanchaimat, Tuangratananon, et al., 2021). The rest predicted the future spread under school reopening (España et al., 2021; Rypdal et al., 2021; Son & RISEWIDs Team, 2020), city reopening (Yin et al., 2021), society activities reopening (Cremonini & Maghool, 2020) and international borders reopening (Pham et al., 2021). By considering the Alpha, Gamma and Delta variants, one study (Sah et al., 2021) evaluated the dominance of these variants in the United States.

3.2.4 | Evaluation of intervention measures for control and prevention

Among the papers, 204 mainly focused on evaluation of both pharmaceutical interventions (PIs) and non-pharmaceutical interventions (NPIs). The main objectives of these papers were not to provide point or path prediction but rather to understand and evaluate the impacts of intervention measures on the transmission dynamics of COVID-19. Regarding PIs, 28 papers discussed vaccine strategies and their effects. Fatehi et al. (2021) evaluated the effectiveness of two forms of therapies, that is, remdesivir and convalescent plasma (CP) therapy. Forty-five papers evaluated the impacts of different NPIs on COVID-19 containment in specific organizations, including elementary or secondary schools (Asgary et al., 2021; Morrison et al., 2021; Zafarnejad & Griffin, 2021), colleges and universities (Bahl et al., 2021; Brennan et al., 2021; Goyal et al., 2021; Kharkwal et al., 2021; Lv et al., 2021), hospital (Campos et al., 2022; Huang et al., 2021; Mukherjee et al., 2021), army training post (Espana et al., 2021), refugee camp (Gilman et al., 2020), nursing and care home (Holmdahl et al., 2021, 2022; Kahn et al., 2022; Lasser et al., 2021; Nguyen et al., 2021; Stevenson et al., 2021), long-term care facility (Vilches et al., 2021), church (Rothrock et al., 2021), supermarket (Tong et al., 2021) and construction site (Alzu'bi et al., 2021). Three of them explored the effects of NPIs on special events, including two rituals of the Hajj (Al-Shaery et al., 2021), wedding ceremony (Alzu'bi et al., 2021) and indoor gathering (Farthing & Lanzas, 2021b).

There were 158 papers related to interventions evaluation at the national and regional levels (refer to Table 1). The six major categories of NPIs used are as follows: (1) mobility restrictions used to prevent seeding during the early outbreak period, including public transport and travel restrictions; (2) identification mechanisms, including screening, testing, diagnosing and reporting; (3) isolation and quarantine measures, including forced isolation, self-quarantine, community isolation and contact tracing of people who were suspected or confirmed to have the disease or who were exposed to the infected; (4) social distancing or contact restrictions implemented to reduce the risk of exposure at the community level, including lockdown, curfew, staying at home and workplace and school closures; (5) personal preventive measures including personal protective equipment (PPE; e.g., facemasks) and frequent handwashing; and (6) healthcare capacity or hospital capacity, including isolation or quarantine beds and ICU beds. The PI at the national and regional levels referred to vaccination strategy.

Seen from Table 1, most papers investigated the outcomes of enacting one to three types of NPIs. Isolation/quarantine and social distancing were the most widely studied NPIs. Three phases of the pandemic were often observed by these studies: (1) Lockdown was imposed to prevent rapid spread at the initial stage, necessitating strict mobility restrictions; (2) normalized prevention and control measures such as social distancing and personal protective measures were enforced when lockdown was lifted, production was resumed and schools and other service outlets were reopened; and (3) when the vaccine was developed and produced, NPIs and vaccination were combined to fight against COVID-19. Hence, it is imperative to use simulation research to understand the impacts of different interventions during distinct stages to identify cost-effective measures.

3.2.5 | Evaluating cross-sectoral impacts of the COVID-19

Apart from research on COVID-19 spread dynamics and evaluation of implementing different interventions, 67 relevant papers investigated the impacts of the pandemic and related NPIs on various sectors. Ten papers investigated the disruptions and uncertainties to the supply chain caused by the COVID-19 pandemic (Achmad et al., 2021; Burgos & Ivanov, 2021; Choudhary et al., 2021; Duan et al., 2021; Ghadge et al., 2021; Moosavi & Hosseini, 2021; Nguyen, 2021; Sinha et al., 2020) and the post-pandemic recovery strategies (Ivanov, 2021; Rahman et al., 2021). Twenty papers explored the other sectors at the national and regional levels, including industrial network (Song et al., 2020), tourism (Gu et al., 2021; Luo et al., 2021), national security (Prikazchikov et al., 2021), food–energy–water (Calder et al., 2021), economy (Chen et al., 2021; Fosco & Zurita, 2021; Inoue et al., 2021; Inoue & Todo, 2020; Sharma et al., 2021), financial (Spelta et al., 2021), social activity (de Brito Jr et al., 2021; Schmidt & Albert, 2021; Weibrecht et al., 2021), healthcare (Schlüter et al., 2021), employment (Marreros et al., 2021) and transport and land-use (Habib & Anik, 2021). As the pandemic led to great collateral damage or process disruption to a variety of organizations, including banks (Shahabi et al., 2021), airlines (Delcea et al., 2020; Milne et al., 2020, 2021), ambulatory endoscopy centres (Das, 2020), heart clinics (Zeinalnezhad et al., 2020), laboratories (Lim et al., 2020) and outpatient dialysis services (Allen, Bhanji, et al., 2020), necessary countermeasures were adopted to lower the risk of transmission and to improve effectiveness of these measures. Simulation models can help organizations across diverse sectors develop and evaluate scenarios, ask counterfactual 'what-if' questions and identify and implement cost-effective organization-level infection prevention and control mechanisms. In addition, one paper simulated the consequences of medical costs of keeping the US economy running as normal under different counterfactual paths (Chen et al., 2020). Another

TABLE 1 Evaluating pharmaceutical interventions (PIs) and non-pharmaceutical interventions (NPIs) at the national, regional and organizational levels

Study	Mobility restrictions	Identification	Isolation and quarantine	Social distancing	Self-prevention	Vaccination	Hospital capacity
188	√	×	×	×	×	×	×
85, 121, 266, 337	×	√	×	×	×	×	×
35, 56, 167, 196, 216, 231, 236, 258, 273, 311	×	×	√	×	×	×	×
8, 10, 22, 39, 41, 67, 74, 79, 106, 107, 111, 126, 143, 149, 156, 160, 168, 176, 195, 199, 203, 209, 219, 224, 226, 227, 232, 237, 253, 282, 291, 300, 312, 332, 352, 359, 364	×	×	×	√	×	×	×
19	×	×	×	×	√	×	×
6, 9, 50, 150, 198, 212, 213, 269, 275, 289, 306, 334, 336, 370	×	×	×	×	×	√	×
20, 29	√	×	√	√	×	×	×
338	√	×	√	√	√	×	×
172	√	×	√	×	×	√	×
57	√	×	×	√	×	×	×
244	√	×	×	√	√	√	×
186	√	×	×	×	√	×	×
301	√	×	×	×	×	×	√
103, 116, 133, 158, 164, 178, 184, 207, 250, 260, 369	×	√	√	×	×	×	×
66, 140, 159, 169, 205, 234, 242, 251, 305	×	√	√	√	×	×	×
5, 181, 318, 365	×	√	√	√	√	×	×
182	×	√	√	√	√	√	×
36, 131, 321	×	√	√	√	×	√	×
366	×	√	√	×	√	√	×
1, 2, 12, 37, 153, 155, 238, 265, 283, 296, 310, 340, 351, 353, 355, 368	×	×	√	√	×	×	×
183, 225, 285, 292, 319	×	×	√	√	√	×	×
61, 320	×	×	√	√	√	√	×
32	×	×	√	√	×	√	×
290	×	×	√	×	×	×	√
51, 75, 223, 325	×	×	×	√	√	×	×
240	×	×	×	√	√	√	×
95, 104, 361	×	×	×	√	×	√	×
108	×	×	×	√	×	×	√

Note: The numbered reference table is attached in the supporting information.

paper simulated the impacts of labour migration policies under different hypothetical scenarios on the economic growth of a host country during the pandemic (Kozlovskyi et al., 2020).

4 | DISCUSSION

Most papers emphasized that their research objectives were to simulate the transmission dynamics of COVID-19 under multiple interventions and inform public health decisions. About half focused on NPIs and vaccination strategies. Because interventions practised at organizations and individual levels exhibited much greater heterogeneity, only cases of NPIs and vaccination strategies implemented at the national or regional level were sorted and summarized.

4.1 | Insights of policy design on NPIs

NPIs played a critical role in slowing the spread in the absence of vaccination. Based on simulated results in Canada, without appropriate NPIs, a majority of the country's population might contract the disease, which would collapse the health system and consequently lead to even higher mortality (Ogden et al., 2020). Simulation studies in other countries suggested possible epidemic rebounds or a new wave spike if quarantine (Hoertel, Blachier, Blanco, Olfson, Massetti, Limosin, & Leleu, 2020) or social distancing (Brereton & Pedercini, 2021; Rice et al., 2020) were lifted prematurely. However, the pandemic will continue to batter the economy if stringent NPIs are not lifted (Ghaffarzadegan & Rahmandad, 2020). Therefore, it is important for a dynamically informed trade-off between designing and implementing NPIs and minimizing their adverse effects on society. Systems simulation models have contributed significantly to informing public health decisions by testing necessary assumptions from policymakers and identifying solutions by considering the timing, stringency and combination of NPIs.

4.1.1 | Timing of NPIs

A simulation paper concluded that the timing of NPI implementations, adherence to the measures and timing of lifting relevant measures have significant impacts on the development of the epidemic (Alagoz et al., 2021). A simulation paper in Shenzhen (Zhang, Cheng, et al., 2020) revealed that the proper timing of NPIs not only generated the most effective outcomes but also achieved the minimum negative social costs. Specifically, their results showed that local infection numbers could have been reduced by 35% if migrant workers or travellers coming from Hubei province followed the '14-day compulsory quarantine' 1 week ahead of schedule. By contrast, the local infection number could rise by 4% if delayed by a week, demonstrating the advantage of using simulation to identify an ideal intervention window. The simulation results also revealed that the number of local infections could have been 50% lower if patients were hospitalized immediately after symptom onset.

4.1.2 | Duration of NPIs

One paper (Ibarra-Vega, 2020) simulated the infection trends under three different lockdown arrangements: one extended 60-day lockdown, a 30-day lockdown followed by a 30-day smart lockdown and an initial 40-day lockdown followed by a 30-day smart lockdown. The results suggested that an extended initial lockdown and then gradually returning to normal activities is highly effective, demonstrating the need for policymakers/implementers to choose the lockdown duration carefully. Niwa et al.'s (2021) study showed that mild and continuous lockdown could have better containment outcomes than strong and intermittent ones. Although extended lockdown did have remarkable impacts on reductions in infections and deaths (Kersting et al., 2021), a country or region should make trade-offs between the control results of COVID-19 spread and the economic development and social well-being.

4.1.3 | Stringency of NPIs

Using the reduction in contact rate to stand for the stringency of lockdown, an ABM was used to simulate the number of infected people and death under 100%, 50%, 25% and 10% of the typical contact rate (Alsaeed et al., 2020). The results revealed that minimized contact rate—i.e., adopting stringent interventions—lowered infection and mortality compared with mild interventions. Another paper (Pornphol & Chittayasothorn, 2020) used SDM to derive similar conclusions by simulating the outbreak in Phuket, Thailand, using a contact rate of 33%, 23%, 11% and 5% of the normal. A paper (Makarov et al., 2020) developed ABM to predict the epidemiological dynamics in Moscow under three scenarios. The simulation results showed that the deployment of restrictive measures could reduce cumulative mortality counts. Another ABM simulation paper evaluated the effects of different stringency levels in social distancing (Silva et al., 2020). The results concluded that lockdown and conditional lockdown had the highest negative impacts on the economy but were also best in lowering infections and mortality. Wearing facemasks and 50% social isolation adherence was identified as the best scenario to achieve the balance between preserving lives and minimizing negative economic impact. Kersting et al.'s (2021) study proved that strict measures were an effective way of buying time to expand healthcare system capacities and improve prevention measures.

4.1.4 | The combination strategy of NPIs

Relying on a single NPI cannot effectively contain COVID-19 and mitigate side effects (e.g., supply chain disruption caused by lockdown) caused by NPI monotonicity. Upon the reopening of society coexisting with endemic SARS-CoV-2, only combining multiple NPIs can prevent subsequent waves of COVID-19 (Gharakhanlou & Hooshangi, 2020).

The variances in demographic characteristics, culture, socio-economic structures, transportation systems, healthcare systems and public health governance between regions induce differences in the transmission dynamics of COVID-19. Consequently, region-specific NPIs portfolios are demanded. A systems simulation model is optimal to help find the most feasible combination of NPIs by testing various assumptions and implementation paths. More importantly, by considering different timing and stringency of NPIs, simulation outputs can improve the public health policy and system towards the evolving pandemic. Moreover, evaluating those adopted implementation paths undoubtedly increases the system preparedness and supports appropriate countermeasures.

4.2 | Insights on vaccination

In our review, the vaccination-related literature mainly investigated delayed second-dose vaccination, vaccine compliance, vaccination effectiveness, daily vaccination rate, daily vaccine administering capacity, vaccination coverage and vaccination prioritizing strategies. Regarding prioritizing vaccination, strategies had considered age-stratified strategy, risk and vulnerable groups prioritizing strategy (Aguas et al., 2021; Moghadas et al., 2021) and spatial distribution strategy (Tatapudi et al., 2021; Zhou, Zhou, et al., 2021). However, given that many countries, especially those third-world countries, are not capable of producing vaccines, more simulation research should be carried out to understand the dynamic interplay between the vaccine supply–demand and the choice of different NPIs, which therefore can inform the public health policy. Simulation models intending to understand the interactions among the immune protection period from vaccination, vaccine effectiveness against different virus variants, vaccine administration capacity, vaccination coverage, vaccine supply capacity and hospital capacity could also be explored.

4.3 | Outlook for applying systems simulation models

4.3.1 | Demanding more application areas

This review identified under-served research areas. Only one paper simulated the transmission of COVID-19 via suburban railways (Talekar et al., 2020). With the availability of big data from air transportation, highway/railway network and public transit, spatial ABMs can be built based on mobility patterns of travellers or urban populations to simulate the transmission of COVID-19 (or other emerging infectious diseases) via intra- or intercity transport network. It is worth noting that, considering the complexity and resources needed for modelling, the purpose of modelling is to simply reality correctly by capturing critical characteristics of the target system, not entirely. Simulation models, for example, using DES, can also help public design facilities to consolidate the implementation of NPIs.

Categorized literature shows that the scales of previous research range from individual, organizational, regional to national levels. Some simulation papers evaluate the impacts of COVID-19 and NPIs on the broader socio-economic system, such as collateral damage to healthcare system, national or regional economy. A key opportunity exists to construct a macro-level SDM to better understand the cascading impacts on the interconnected global economy and, subsequently, global governance of public health. Microscopic level simulations were also not employed within the papers. Simulation models such as SDM or ABM could be used to simulate the airborne dynamics and transmission of SARS-CoV-2, which can bolster NPIs, such as face shields, more rigorous definitions of safe distance and spraying disinfectant. Within-host microscopic level simulation can illustrate the competition between the virus, immune system and associated inflammatory responses such as cytokine storm syndrome (COVID-19-CSS), evolutionary dynamics (e.g., new variants) and virus–host cell interaction dynamics.

4.4 | Demanding more theoretical innovation and ensuing applications

4.4.1 | Hybrid systems simulation models

The ability of hybrid systems simulation models to concurrently capture heterogeneities of individuals and homogeneities of the population demonstrates good use in public health, which requires public policy design from both micro and macro angles (Brailsford et al., 2019). For instance, in the research on COVID-19, hybrid models such as ABM&DES, ABM&SDM or SDM&DES can simultaneously simulate the spread within a community or city and evaluate the impact of treatment capacity improvement in hospitals and their dynamic mutual interactions. Taking another example, a hybrid DES&ABM model used for studying a hospital providing COVID-19 treatment is capable of simulating the following events and actions: (1) DES can simulate the capacity change caused by staff scheduling, process rearrangement and set-up of the quarantine area, which creates a process that might lead to the infection of staffs; (2) ABM supports simulation of the infection of staff under the settings, which informs the removal of infected staffs; (3) removal of infected staff necessitates the rescheduling of staff in *(1)*, which increases the workload on incumbent staff; and (4) overwhelmed staffs have higher risk to be infected, which further changes the status of *(2)*.

As the world heads into something closer to an endemic regime and active surveillance systems are being scaled back, there is great promise for the deployment of techniques that can aid in the early and effective detection of localized outbreaks and provide decision support needed for effective enactment of localized public health measures and (critically) triggering of surge capacity when health system utilization is likely to exceed certain thresholds. Of particular demonstrated ability and effectiveness are routinized use on daily basis of techniques such as particle MCMC (PMCMC) and particle filtering coupled with COVID-19 dynamic models to provide 'online' processing of regularly or episodically sampled passive and (where available) active localized surveillance data. Such systems inform day-to-day updated probabilistic estimation and reporting of latent epidemiological and health system quantities of interest. In addition to supporting estimation, such models can have a demonstrated effectiveness for use in probabilistically projecting forward estimated evolution of estimated epidemiology and acute-care demand in a way that can serve as the basis for triggering surge capacity, for example, in emergency care. They can also be used to examine 'what-if' counterfactuals involving public health measures. A key need is to inform such systems with sufficiently rich and current data to inform such projections. In addition to whatever public health and health system indicators are available (including data from syndromic surveillance systems in emergency departments and hospital admissions tests), such systems have a demonstrated capacity to further employ wastewater indicators, time series generated from symptom-like references on social media and online searches that may be indicative of symptoms of SARS-CoV-2 infection.

A further need involves hybrid models that tie in the representation of acute COVID-19 with Long COVID outcomes and with the patient flow for care-seeking. Understanding and effectively resourcing such patient flow is essential given the large volumes likely to be driven not only by Long COVID sequelae but also by the care needs of deferred (and often worsened) conditions, consequences of disruptions of preventive and screening processes during the pandemic and rehabilitation needs and to address mental health service delivery for needs emerging from or worsened by the pandemic.

Whereas some hybrid methods do impose added computational burden, others allow hybrid methods to significantly reduce the computational burden that would extend from a traditional DES model or (especially) ABM. A notable example is hybrid methods that use an aggregate characterization for part of a model (e.g., low-risk populations or people at earlier stages of a risk continuum) and that reserve individual-level representation for the subpopulations of focal interest (e.g., those who have been exposed to or infected by SARS-CoV-2). This approach has been used successfully in some extant but unpublished COVID-19 models and to a high degree of success for other conditions, such as dementia (Evenden et al., 2020), diabetes in pregnancy (Freebairn et al., 2020) and chronic kidney disease (Gao et al., 2017). Future research on ABM could reduce the computational burden by constructing smaller scale models (with fewer agents) to anticipate what the results would be produced by a much larger model (Osgood, 2009).

4.4.2 | Other innovations

This systematic review examined applications of GIS-enhanced geospatial data to ABM, which also offers a promising direction for tempo-spatial analysis of simulation models. Parameter estimation approaches are necessary for robust systems simulation models and their hybrids. So far, among the least square, maximum likelihood estimation (MLE), Monte Carlo (MC) and MCMC, least square is the most commonly used method (Guan et al., 2020). The PMCMC (Andrieu et al., 2010) and deep learning (Muhammad et al., 2021), as two promising parameter estimation approaches, are attracting growing attention of many scholars in systems simulation. PMCMC is a powerful method to explore high-dimensional parameter space using time-series data. Combining emerging technologies, such as AI, machine learning, big data analytics and blockchain (Muhammad et al., 2021), with traditional models is indispensable when developing high-leverage policies and interventions to mitigate the impacts of COVID-19. This is especially useful for governments, institutions and organizations to accelerate knowledge accumulation and governance learning towards future emerging diseases and their impacts on the complicated socio-economic system.

4.5 | Limitations

Although conventional epidemiology compartmental models are the basis for building SDM and ABM, they are limited in capturing the non-linear causalities between driving factors and system behaviours in the socio-economic system in which the COVID-19 epidemic is embedded and, consequently, are too narrowly scoped to evaluate the broader impacts of multiple interventions. Nevertheless, the applications of such traditional research were reviewed (Appendix D).

5 | CONCLUSIONS

This systematic review found that systems simulation models exemplified by SDM, ABM and DES have been widely used to model the COVID-19 transmission dynamics, trend prediction of the pandemic and societal impact assessment and in evaluating and designing intervention scenarios from the scales of an individual, organization, region and state. Majority of the papers focused on simulating the outcomes and impacts of alternative intervention measures, which are very suitable to inform public health policy and implementation science. ABM was the mostly common-used modelling approach and covered more research areas. Future research areas could be extended to studies on transmission dynamics of COVID along with transportation networks, evaluation of the collateral damages to the healthcare system and economy, assessment of the post-pandemic policies and microscopic level simulation for understanding the competition between virus, immune system and associated inflammatory responses. As for the innovations in simulation methods, the complexities in impact evaluation and intervention design for containing COVID-19 or future emerging infectious diseases necessitate the use of hybrid simulation models that can simultaneously capture the micro and macro aspects (e.g., understanding individual behaviours and decision-making, within-host viral dynamics and population-based interventions and resource allocation) of the socio-economic system involved.

STATEMENT ON THE CONTRIBUTION

By systematically reviewing three major system simulation approaches, that is, system dynamics model (SDM), agent-based model (ABM) and discrete event simulation (DES), and their hybrids in COVID-19-related research, our manuscript offers four major contributions. First, we attempt to summarize how three different simulation models and their hybrids were used in capturing and dealing with different issues that arose during outbreak of COVID-19. Secondly, this study is to gain better understanding as to how different simulation approaches can help conduct holistic situational analysis and counterfactual analysis, make accurate outbreak predictions, optimize medical resource planning, evaluate alternative interventions and develop high-leverage containment policies. The third contribution is to demonstrate how new application trends, theoretic innovation or methodological integration (e.g., hybrid model of ABM and SDM) were used in those simulation approaches. And the last but not the least contribution of this study is to indicate some future innovative research on the three system simulation approaches, which include (1) hybrid models simultaneously capturing micro and macro aspects of the socio-economic systems involved; (2) within-host microscopic level simulation understanding competition between the virus, immune system and associated inflammatory responses; (3) more parameter estimation methods for SDM, ABM, DES and their hybrids; and (4) models for capturing the interactions between pandemic progression and hospital service capacity planning, and so forth.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

All relevant data have been included in the supporting information.

ORCID

Shiyong Liu https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4463-9431

REFERENCES

Abdolhamid, M. A., Pishvaee, M. S., Aalikhani, R., & Parsanejad, M. (2021). A system dynamics approach to COVID-19 pandemic control: A case study of Iran. *Kybernetes*, *51*, 2481–2507. https://doi.org/10.1108/K-01-2021-0038

Achmad, A. L. H., Chaerani, D., & Perdana, T. (2021). Designing a food supply chain strategy during COVID-19 pandemic using an integrated Agent-Based Modelling and Robust Optimization.

Heliyon, *7*(11), e08448. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2021.e08448
Aghaei, F., & Lohrasebi, A. (2021). Modeling the epidemic dynamics of COVID-19: Agent-based approach including molecular dynamics simulation and SEIR type methods. *International Journal of Modeling, Simulation, and Scientific Computing*, *12*(6), 2150057. https://doi.org/10.1142/S1793962321500574
Agrawal, S., Bhandari, S., Bhattacharjee, A., Deo, A., Dixit, N. M., Harsha, P., Juneja, S., Kesarwani, P., Swamy, A. K., Patil, P., Rathod, N., Saptharishi, R., Shriram, S., Srivastava, P., Sundaresan, R., Vaidhiyan, N. K., & Yasodharan, S. (2020). City-scale agent-based simulators for the study of non-pharmaceutical interventions in the context of the COVID-19 epidemic. *Journal of the Indian Institute of Science*, *100*(4), 809–847. https://doi.org/10.1007/s41745-020-00211-3
Aguas, R., Bharath, A., White, L. J., Gao, B., Pollard, A. J., Voysey, M., & Shretta, R. (2021). Potential global impacts of alternative dosing regimen and rollout options for the ChAdOx1 nCoV-19 vaccine. *Nature Communications*, *12*(1), 6370. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-26449-8
Alagoz, O., Sethi, A. K., Patterson, B. W., Churpek, M., & Safdar, N. (2020). Impact of timing of and adherence to social distancing measures on COVID-19 burden in the US: A simulation modeling approach. medRxiv. https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.07.20124859
Alagoz, O., Sethi, A. K., Patterson, B. W., Churpek, M., & Safdar, N. (2021). Effect of timing of and adherence to social distancing measures on COVID-19 burden in the United States: A simulation modeling approach. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, *174*(1), 50–57. https://doi.org/10.7326/M20-4096
Aleta, A., Martín-Corral, D., Piontti, A. P. Y., Ajelli, M., Litvinova, M., Chinazzi, M., Dean, N. E., Halloran, M. E., Longini, I. M. Jr., Merler, S., Pentland, A., Vespignani, A., Moro, E., & Moreno, Y. (2020). Modeling the impact of social distancing, testing, contact tracing and household quarantine on second-wave scenarios of the COVID-19 epidemic. *medRxiv*. https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.06.20092841
Allen, M., Bhanji, A., Willemsen, J., Dudfield, S., Logan, S., & Monks, T. (2020). A simulation modelling toolkit for organising outpatient dialysis services during the COVID-19 pandemic. *PLOS One*, *15*(8), e0237628. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0237628
Allen, M. B., Mills, M., & Mirsaeidi, M. (2020). The COVID-19 pandemic—Can open access modeling give us better answers more quickly? *Journal of Applied Clinical Medical Physics*, *21*(6), 4–6. https://doi.org/10.1002/acm2.12941
Almagor, J., & Picascia, S. (2020). Exploring the effectiveness of a COVID-19 contact tracing appusing an agent-based model. *Scientific Reports*, *10*(1), 22235. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-79000-y
Alqithami, S. (2021). A generic encapsulation to unravel social spreading of a pandemic: An underlying architecture. *Computers*, *10*(1), 12–27. https://doi.org/10.3390/computers10010012
Alsaeed, N. I., Alqaissi, E. Y., & Siddiqui, M. A. (2020). An agent-based simulation of the SIRD model of COVID-19 Spread. *International Journal of Biology and Biomedical Engineering*, *14*, 210-217. https://doi.org/10.46300/91011.2020.14.28
Al-Shaery, A. M., Hejase, B., Tridane, A., Farooqi, N. S., & Jassmi, H. A. (2021). Agent-based modeling of the Hajj Rituals with the possible spread of COVID-19. *Sustainability*, *13*(12), 6923. https://doi.org/10.3390/su13126923
Altun, K., Altuntaş, S., & Dereli, T. (2021). An interaction-oriented multi-agent SIR model to assess the spread of SARS-CoV-2. *Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics*, *50*(5), 1548–1559. https://doi.org/10.15672/hujms.751734
Alvarez Castro, D., & Ford, A. (2021). 3D agent-based model of pedestrian movements for simulating COVID-19 transmission in university students. *ISPRS International Journal of Geo-Information*, *10*(8), 509. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijgi10080509
Álvarez-Pomar, L., & Rojas-Galeano, S. (2021). Impact of personal protection habits on the spread of pandemics: Insights from an agent-based model. *The Scientific World Journal*, *2021*, 6616654. https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/6616654
Alzu'bi, A., Abu Alasal, S., Kheirallah, K. A., & Watzlaf, V. (2021). COVID-19 simulation study-the effect of strict non-pharmaceutical interventions (NPIs) on controlling the spread of COVID-19. *PeerJ*, *9*, e11172. https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.11172
Anderson, R. M., Fraser, C., Ghani, A. C., Donnelly, C. A., Riley, S., Ferguson, N. M., Leung, G. M., Lam, T. H., & Hedley, A. J. (2004). Epidemiology, transmission dynamics and control of SARS: The 2002–2003 epidemic. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences*, *359*(1447), 1091–1105. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2004.1490
Andrieu, C., Doucet, A., & Holenstein, R. (2010). Particle Markov Chain Monte Carlo methods. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Statistical Methodology)*, *72*(3), 269–342. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9868.2009.00736.x
Araya, F. (2021a). Modeling the spread of COVID-19 on construction workers: An agent-based approach. *Safety Science*, *133*, 105022. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rinp.2020.103510
Araya, F. (2021b). Modeling working shifts in construction projects using an agent-based approach to minimize the spread of COVID-19. *Journal of Building Engineering*, *41*, 102413. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jobe.2021.102413
Asgary, A., Cojocaru, M. G., Najafabadi, M. M., & Wu, J. (2021). Simulating preventative testing of SARS-CoV-2 in schools: Policy implications. *BMC Public Health*, *21*(1), 125. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-020-10153-1
Asgary, A., Najafabadi, M. M., Karsseboom, R., & Wu, J. (2020). A drive-through simulation tool for mass vaccination during COVID-19 pandemic. *Healthcare*, *8*(4), 469. https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare8040469
Bagni, R., Berchi, R., & Cariello, P. (2002). A comparison of simulation models applied to epidemics. *Journal of Artificial Societies and Social Simulation*, *5*(3), 5. https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-2451.00379
Bahl, R., Eikmeier, N., Fraser, A., Junge, M., Keesing, F., Nakahata, K., & Reeves, L. (2021). Modeling COVID-19 spread in small colleges. *PLOS One*, *16*(8), e0255654. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0255654
Bai, S. (2020). Simulations of COVID-19 spread by spatial agent-based model and ordinary differential equations. *International Journal of Simulation and Process Modelling*, *15*(3), 268–277. https://doi.org/10.1504/IJSPM.2020.107334
Bartz-Beielstein, T., Dröscher, M., Gür, A., Hinterleitner, A., Lawton, T., Mersmann, O., Peeva, D., Reese, L., Rehbach, N.,

Rehbach, F., & Sen, A. (2021). Optimization and adaptation of a resource planning tool for hospitals under special consideration of the COVID-19 pandemic. In *2021 IEEE Congress on Evolutionary Computation (CEC)* 728-735. https://doi.org/10.1109/CEC45853.2021.9504732

Benneyan, J. C., Gehrke, C., Ilies, I., & Nehls, N. (2021). Community and campus COVID-19 risk uncertainty under university reopening scenarios: Model-based analysis. *JMIR Public Health and Surveillance*, *7*(4), e24292. https://doi.org/10.2196/24292

Brailsford, S. C., Eldabi, T., Kunc, M., Mustafee, N., & Osorio, A. F. (2019). Hybrid simulation modelling in operational research: A state-of-the-art review. *European Journal of Operational Research*, *278*(3), 721–737. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejor.2018.10.025

Brennan, R. W., Nelson, N., & Paul, R. (2021). Estimating the effect of timetabling decisions on the spread of SARS-CoV-2 in medium-to-large engineering schools in Canada: An agent-based modelling study. *Canadian Medical Association Open Access Journal*, *9*(4), E1252–E1259. https://doi.org/10.9778/cmajo.20200280

Brereton, C., & Pedercini, M. (2021). COVID-19 case rates in the UK: Modelling uncertainties as lockdown lifts. *Systems*, *9*(3), 60. https://doi.org/10.3390/systems9030060

Burgos, D., & Ivanov, D. (2021). Food retail supply chain resilience and the COVID-19 pandemic: A digital twin-based impact analysis and improvement directions. *Transportation Research. Part E, Logistics and Transportation Review*, *152*, 102412. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tre.2021.102412

Çaglayan, Ç., Thornhill, J., Stewart, M. A., Lambrou, A. S., Richardson, D., Rainwater-Lovett, K., Freeman, J. D., Pfundt, T., & Redd, J. T. (2022). Staffing and capacity planning for SARS-CoV-2 monoclonal antibody infusion facilities: A performance estimation calculator based on discrete-event simulations. *Frontiers in Public Health*, *9*, 770039. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2021.770039

Calder, R., Grady, C., Jeuland, M., Kirchhoff, C. J., Hale, R. L., & Muenich, R. L. (2021). COVID-19 reveals vulnerabilities of the food-energy-water nexus to viral pandemics. *Environmental Science & Technology Letters*, *8*(8), 606–615. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.estlett.1c00291

Campos, A. T., dos Santos, C. H., Gabriel, G. T., & Montevechi, J. A. B. (2022). Safety assessment for temporary hospitals during the COVID-19 pandemic: A simulation approach. *Safety Science*, *147*, 105642. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2021.105642

Casagrandi, R., Bolzoni, L., Levin, S. A., & Andreasen, V. (2006). The SIRC model and influenza A. *Mathematical Biosciences*, *200*(2), 152–169. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mbs.2005.12.029

Castiglione, F., Deb, D., Srivastava, A. P., Liò, P., & Liso, A. (2021). From infection to immunity: Understanding the response to SARS-CoV2 through in-silico modeling. *Frontiers in Immunology*, *12*, 646972. https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2021.646972

Chen, J., Vullikanti, A., Hoops, S., Mortveit, H., Lewis, B., Venkatramanan, S., You, W., Eubank, S., Marathe, M., Barrett, C., & Marathe, A. (2020). Medical costs of keeping the us economy open during COVID-19. *Scientific Reports*, *10*, 18422. https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.07.17.20156232

Chen, R., Chaiboonsri, C., & Wannapan, S. (2021). The perspective of Thailand economy after the effect of coronavirus-19 pandemics: Explication by dynamic IO models and agent-based simulations. *SAGE Open*, *11*(2), 21582440211021841. https://doi.org/10.1177/21582440211021841

Choudhary, N. A., Ramkumar, M., Schoenherr, T., & Rana, N. P. (2021). Assessing supply chain resilience during the pandemic using network analysis. *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management*, 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1109/TEM.2021.3124027

Cimini, C., Pezzotta, G., Lagorio, A., Pirola, F., & Cavalieri, S. (2021). How can hybrid simulation support organizations in assessing COVID-19 containment measures? *Healthcare*, *9*(11), 1412. https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare9111412

Cremonini, M., & Maghool, S. (2020). The unknown of the pandemic: An agent-based model of final phase risks. *JASSS*, *23*(4), 8. https://doi.org/10.18564/jasss.4426

Cuevas, E. (2020). An agent-based model to evaluate the COVID-19 transmission risks in facilities. *Computers in Biology and Medicine*, *121*, 103827. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compbiomed.2020.103827

Currie, D. J., Peng, C. Q., Lyle, D. M., Jameson, B. A., & Frommer, M. S. (2020). Stemming the flow: How much can the Australian smartphone app help to control COVID-19? *Public Health Research and Practice*, *30*(2), 3022009. https://doi.org/10.17061/phrp3022009

Das, A. (2020). Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the workflow of an ambulatory endoscopy center: An assessment by discrete event simulation. *Gastrointestinal Endoscopy*, *92*(4), 914–924. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gie.2020.06.008

Davey, V. J., & Glass, R. J. (2008). Rescinding community mitigation strategies in an influenza pandemic. *Emerging Infectious Diseases*, *14*(3), 365–372. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid1403.070673

de Brito Jr, I., Cunha, M. H. C., Tozi, L. A., Franzese, L. A., Frazão, M. L. S., & Bressane, A. (2021). Managing funerary systems in the pandemic: Lessons learned and an application of a scenario simulation in São Paulo city, Brazil. *Journal of Humanitarian Logistics and Supply Chain Management*, *11*(3), 481–492. https://doi.org/10.1108/JHLSCM-09-2020-0078

de Vries, M., & Rambabu, L. (2021). The impact of natural disasters on the spread of COVID-19: A geospatial, agent-based epidemiology model. *Theoretical Biology & Medical Modelling*, *18*, 20. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12976-021-00151-0

Delcea, C., Milne, R. J., & Cotfas, L. A. (2020). Determining the number of passengers for each of three reverse pyramid boarding groups with COVID-19 flying restrictions. *Symmetry*, *12*(12), 2038. https://doi.org/10.3390/sym12122038

Du, E., Chen, E., Liu, J., & Zheng, C. (2021). How do social media and individual behaviors affect epidemic transmission and control? *Science of Total Environment*, *761*, 144114. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.144114

Duan, W., Ma, H., & Xu, D. S. (2021). Analysis of the impact of COVID-19 on the coupling of the material flow and capital flow in a closed-loop supply chain. *Advances in Production Engineering & Management*, *16*(1), 5–22. https://doi.org/10.14743/apem2021.1.381

El Hage, J., Gravitt, P., Ravel, J., Lahrichi, N., & Gralla, E. (2021). Supporting scale-up of COVID-19 RT-PCR testing processes with discrete event simulation. *PLOS One*, *16*(7), e0255214. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0255214

Eldabi, T., Paul, R. J., & Young, T. (2007). Simulation modelling in healthcare: Reviewing legacies and investigating futures. *Journal of the Operational Research Society*, *58*(2), 262–270.

Epstein, J. M. (2009). Modeling to contain pandemics. *Nature*, *460*, 687. https://doi.org/10.1038/460687a

Epstein, J. M., Cummings, D. A., Chakravarty, S., Singa, R. M., & Burke, D. S. (2002). Toward a containment strategy for smallpox bioterror: An individual-based computational approach. *Brookings institution, CSED working paper*. Retrieved from https://www.brookings.edu/research/toward-a-containment-strategy-for-smallpox-bioterror-an-individual-based-computational-approach/. Accessed 8 November 2020.

España, G., Cavany, S., Oidtman, R., Barbera, C., Costello, A., Lerch, A., Poterek, M., Tran, Q., Wieler, A., Moore, S., & Perkins, T. A. (2021). Impacts of K-12 school reopening on the COVID-19 epidemic in Indiana, USA. *Epidemics*, *37*, 100487. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epidem.2021.100487

Espana, G. F. C., Perkins, A., Pollett, S., Smith, M., Moore, S. M., Kwon, P., Hall, T., Beagle, M. H., Murray, C., Hakre, S., & Peel, S. (2021). Prioritizing interventions for preventing COVID-19 outbreaks in military basic training. *medRxiv*. https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.11.28.21266969

Eubank, S., Guclu, H., Anil Kumar, V. S., Marathe, M. V., Srinivasan, A., Toroczkai, Z., & Wang, N. (2004). Modelling disease outbreaks in realistic urban social networks. *Nature*, *429*(6988), 180–184. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature02541

Evans, S., Stimson, J., Pople, D., Bhattacharya, A., Hope, R., White, P. J., & Robotham, J. V. (2022). Quantifying the contribution of pathways of nosocomial acquisition of COVID-19 in English hospitals. *International Journal of Epidemiology*, *51*(2), 393–403. https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyab241

Evenden, D., Brailsford, S., Kipps, C., Roderick, P., Walsh, B., & Alzheimer's Disease Neuroimaging Initiative. (2020). Computer simulation of dementia care demand heterogeneity using hybrid simulation methods: Improving population-level modelling with individual patient decline trajectories. *Public Health*, *186*, 197–203. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.puhe.2020.07.018

Fair, J. M., LeClaire, R. J., Dauelsberg, L. R., Ewers, M., Pasqualini, D., Cleland, T., & Rosenberger, W. (2021). Systems dynamics and the uncertainties of diagnostics, testing and contact tracing for COVID-19. *Methods*, *195*, 77–91. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ymeth.2021.03.008

Farthing, T. S., & Lanzas, C. (2021a). Assessing the efficacy of interventions to control indoor SARS-Cov-2 transmission: An agent-based modeling approach. *Epidemics*, *37*, 100524. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epidem.2021.100524

Farthing, T. S., & Lanzas, C. (2021b). When can we stop wearing masks? Agent-based modeling to identify when vaccine coverage makes nonpharmaceutical interventions for reducing SARS-CoV-2 infections redundant in indoor gatherings. *medRxiv*. https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.04.19.21255737

Fatehi, F., Bingham, R. J., Dykeman, E. C., Stockley, P. G., & Twarock, R. (2021). Comparing antiviral strategies against COVID-19 via multiscale within-host modelling. *Royal Society Open Science*, *8*(8), 210082. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsos.210082

Fiore, V. G., DeFelice, N., Glicksberg, B. S., Perl, O., Shuster, A., Kulkarni, K., O'Brien, M., Pisauro, M. A., Chung, D., & Gu, X. (2021). Containment of COVID-19: Simulating the impact of different policies and testing capacities for contact tracing, testing, and isolation. *PLOS One*, *16*(3), e0247614. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0247614

Fosco, C., & Zurita, F. (2021). Assessing the short-run effects of lockdown policies on economic activity, with an application to the Santiago Metropolitan Region, Chile. *PLOS One*, *16*(6), e0252938. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0252938

Freebairn, L., Atkinson, J. A., Qin, Y., Nolan, C. J., Kent, A. L., Kelly, P. M., Penza, L., Prodan, A., Safarishahrbijari, A., Qian, W., Maple-Brown, L., Dyck, R., McLean, A., McDonnell, G., Osgood, N. D., & Diabetes in Pregnancy Modelling Consortium. (2020). 'Turning the tide' on hyperglycemia in pregnancy: Insights from multiscale dynamic simulation modeling. *BMJ Open Diabetes Research and Care*, *8*(1), e000975. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjdrc-2019-000975

Frichi, Y., Ben Kacem, A., Jawab, F., Boutahari, S., Kamach, O., & Chafik, S. (2021). The contribution of reduced COVID-19 test time in controlling the spread of the disease: A simulation-based approach. *Journal of Public Health in Africa*, *12*(2), 1455. https://doi.org/10.4081/jphia.2021.1455

Gao, A., Osgood, N. D., Jiang, Y., & Dyck, R. F. (2017). Projecting prevalence, costs and evaluating simulated interventions for diabetic end stage renal disease in a Canadian population of aboriginal and non-aboriginal people: An agent-based approach. *BMC Nephrology*, *18*(1), 283. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12882-017-0699-y

Garcia-Vicuña, D., Esparza, L., & Mallor, F. (2021). Hospital preparedness during epidemics using simulation: The case of COVID-19. *Central European Journal of Operations Research*, *30*, 213–249. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10100-021-00779-w

Ghadge, A., Er, M., Ivanov, D., & Chaudhuri, A. (2021). Visualisation of ripple effect in supply chains under long-term, simultaneous disruptions: A system dynamics approach. *International Journal of Production Research*, 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2021.1987547

Ghaffarzadegan, N., & Rahmandad, H. (2020). Simulation-based estimation of the early spread of COVID-19 in Iran: Actual versus confirmed cases. *System Dynamics Review*, *36*(1), 101–129. https://doi.org/10.1002/sdr.1655

Gharakhanlou, N. M., & Hooshangi, N. (2020). Spatio-temporal simulation of the novel coronavirus (COVID-19) outbreak using the agent-based modeling approach (case study: Urmia, Iran). *Informatics in Medicine Unlocked*, *20*, 100403. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.imu.2020.100403

Gilman, R. T., Mahroof-Shaffi, S., Harkensee, C., & Chamberlain, A. T. (2020). Modelling interventions to control COVID-19 outbreaks in a refugee camp. *BMJ global health*, *5*(12), e003727. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjgh-2020-003727

Gowda, N. R., Khare, A., Vikas, H., Singh, A. R., Sharma, D. K., Poulose, R., & John, D. C. (2021). More from less: Study on increasing throughput of COVID-19 screening and testing facility at an apex tertiary care hospital in New Delhi using discrete-event simulation software. *Digital Health*, *7*, 20552076211040987. https://doi.org/10.1177/20552076211040987

Goyal, R., Hotchkiss, J., Schooley, R. T., de Gruttola, V., & Martin, N. K. (2021). Evaluation of severe acute respiratory syndrome Coronavirus 2 transmission mitigation strategies on a university campus using an agent-based network model. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, *73*(9), 1735–1741. https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciab037

Gressman, P. T., & Peck, J. R. (2020). Simulating COVID-19 in a university environment. *Mathematical biosciences*, *328*, 108436. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mbs.2020.108436

Gu, Y., Onggo, B. S., Kunc, M. H., & Bayer, S. (2021). Small Island Developing States (SIDS) COVID-19 post-pandemic tourism recovery: A system dynamics approach. *Current Issues in Tourism*, *25*(9), 1481–1508. https://doi.org/10.1080/13683500.2021.1924636

Guan, J., Wei, Y., Zhao, Y., & Chen, F. (2020). Modeling the transmission dynamics of COVID-19 epidemic: A systematic review. *Journal of Biomedical Research*, *34*(6), 422–430. https://doi.org/10.7555/JBR.34.20200119

Guo, L., Li, Y., & Sheng, D. (2021). Modeling and simulating online panic in an epidemic complexity system: An agent-based approach. *Complexity*, *2021*, 9933720. https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/9933720

Guo, X., Tong, J., Chen, P., & Fan, W. (2021). The suppression effect of emotional contagion in the COVID-19 pandemic: A multi-layer hybrid modelling and simulation approach. *PLOS One*, *16*(7), e0253579. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0253579

Guo, Z. Y., & Xiao, D. (2020). Analysis and prediction of the coronavirus disease epidemic in China based on an individual-based model. *Scientific Reports*, *10*(1), 22123. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-76969-4

Habib, M. A., & Anik, M. A. H. (2021). Examining the long-term impacts of COVID-19 using an integrated transport and land-use modelling system. *International Journal of Urban Sciences*, *25*(3), 323–346. https://doi.org/10.1080/12265934.2021.1951821

Harweg, T., Bachmann, D., & Weichert, F. (2021). Agent-based simulation of pedestrian dynamics for exposure time estimation in epidemic risk assessment. *Journal of Public Health*, 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10389-021-01489-y

Head, J. R., Andrejko, K. L., Cheng, Q., Collender, P. A., Phillips, S., Boser, A., Heaney, A. K., Hoover, C. M., Wu, S. L., Northrup, G. R., Click, K., Bardach, N. S., Lewnard, J. A., & Remais, J. V. (2021). School closures reduced social mixing of children during COVID-19 with implications for transmission risk and school reopening policies. *Journal of the Royal Society, Interface*, *18*(177), 20200970. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsif.2020.0970

Hernandez-Mejia, G., & Hernandez-Vargas, E. A. (2020). When is SARS-CoV-2 in your shopping list? *Mathematical Biosciences*, *328*, 108434. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mbs.2020.108434

Hoertel, N., Blachier, M., Blanco, C., Olfson, M., Massetti, M., Limosin, F., & Leleu, H. (2020). Facing the COVID-19 epidemic in NYC: A stochastic agent-based model of various intervention strategies. *medRxiv*. https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.23.20076885

Hoertel, N., Blachier, M., Blanco, C., Olfson, M., Massetti, M., Rico, M. S., Limosin, F., & Leleu, H. (2020). A stochastic agent-based model of the SARS-CoV-2 epidemic in France. *Nature Medicine*, *26*(9), 1417–1421. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-020-1001-6

Holmdahl, I., Kahn, R., Hay, J. A., Buckee, C. O., & Mina, M. J. (2021). Estimation of transmission of COVID-19 in simulated nursing homes with frequent testing and immunity-based staffing. *JAMA Network Open*, *4*(5), e2110071. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.10071

Holmdahl, I., Kahn, R., Slifka, K. J., Dooling, K., & Slayton, R. B. (2022). Modeling the impact of vaccination strategies for nursing homes in the context of increased Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 community transmission and variants. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, ciac062. https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciac062

Hooten, M., Wikle, C., & Schwob, M. (2020). Statistical implementations of agent-based demographic models. *International Statistical Review*, *88*(2), 441–461. https://doi.org/10.1111/insr.12399

Hu, B., Dehmer, M., Emmert-Streib, F., & Zhang, B. (2021). Analysis of the real number of infected people by COVID-19: A system dynamics approach. *PLOS One*, *16*(3), e0245728. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0245728

Huang, Q., Mondal, A., Jiang, X., Horn, M. A., Fan, F., Fu, P., Wang, X., Zhao, H., Ndeffo-Mbah, M., & Gurarie, D. (2021). SARS-CoV-2 transmission and control in a hospital setting: An individual-based modelling study. *Royal Society Open Science*, *8*(3), 201895. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsos.201895

Hunter, E., & Kelleher, J. D. (2021). Adapting an agent-based model of infectious disease spread in an Irish county to COVID-19. *Systems*, *9*(2), 41. https://doi.org/10.3390/systems9020041

Hurd, T. R. (2020). COVID-19: Analytics of contagion on inhomogeneous random social networks. *Infectious Disease Modelling*, *6*, 75–90. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.idm.2020.11.001

Ibarra-Vega, D. (2020). Lockdown, one, two, none, or smart. Modeling containing covid-19 infection. A conceptual model. *Science of the Total Environment*, *730*, 138917. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.138917

Inoue, H., Murase, Y., & Todo, Y. (2021). Do economic effects of the anti-COVID-19 lockdowns in different regions interact through supply chains? *PLOS One*, *16*(7), e0255031. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0255031

Inoue, H., & Todo, Y. (2020). The propagation of economic impacts through supply chains: The case of a mega-city lockdown to prevent the spread of COVID-19. *PLOS One*, *15*(9), e0239251. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0239251

Irvine, N., Anderson, G., Sinha, C., McCabe, H., & van der Meer, R. (2021). Collaborative critical care prediction and resource planning during the COVID-19 pandemic using computer simulation modelling: Future urgent planning lessons. *Future Healthcare Journal*, *8*(2), e317–e321. https://doi.org/10.7861/fhj.2020-0194

Ivanov, D. (2021). Exiting the COVID-19 pandemic: After-shock risks and avoidance of disruption tails in supply chains. *Annals of Operations Research*, 1–18. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10479-021-04047-7

Jacobson, S. H., Hall, S. N., & Swisher, J. R. (2006). Discrete-event simulation of health care systems. In *Patient flow: Reducing delay in healthcare delivery*. Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-33636-7_8

Jones, J. H., Hazel, A., & Almquist, Z. (2020). Transmission-dynamics models for the SARS Coronavirus-2. *American Journal of Human Biology*, *32*(5), e23512. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajhb.23512

Jun, J. B., Jacobson, S. H., & Swisher, J. R. (1999). Applications of discrete event simulation in health care clinics: A survey. *Journal of the Operational Research Society*, *50*, 109–123. https://doi.org/10.1057/palgrave.jors.2600669

Kahn, R., Holmdahl, I., Reddy, S., Jernigan, J., Mina, M. J., & Slayton, R. B. (2022). Mathematical modeling to inform vaccination strategies and testing approaches for Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) in nursing homes. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, *74*(4), 597–603. https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciab517

Kamerlin, S. C. L., & Kasson, P. M. (2020). Managing coronavirus disease 2019 spread with voluntary public health measures: Sweden as a case study for pandemic control. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, *71*(12), 3174–3181. https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciaa864

Kang, B. G., Park, H. M., Jang, M., & Seo, K. M. (2021). Hybrid model-based simulation analysis on the effects of social distancing policy of the COVID-19 epidemic. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, *18*(21), 11264. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph182111264

Kano, T., Yasui, K., Mikami, T., Asally, M., & Ishiguro, A. (2021). An agent-based model of the interrelation between the COVID-19 outbreak and economic activities. *Proceedings. Mathematical, Physical, and Engineering Sciences*, *477*(2245), 20200604. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.2020.0604

Keeling, M. J., & Danon, L. (2009). Mathematical modelling of infectious diseases. *British Medical Bulletin*, *92*, 33–42. https://doi.org/10.1093/bmb/ldp038

Kersting, M., Bossert, A., Sörensen, L., Wacker, B., & Schlüter, J. C. (2021). Predicting effectiveness of countermeasures during the COVID-19 outbreak in South Africa using agent-based simulation. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, *8*, 174. https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-021-00830-w

Khairulbahri, M. (2021). Understanding the first and the second waves of the COVID-19 in Germany: Is our social behavior enough to protect us from the pandemic? *Walailak Journal of Science and Technology (WJST)*, *18*(15), 22203–22211. https://doi.org/10.48048/wjst.2021.22203

Kharkwal, H., Olson, D., Huang, J., Mohan, A., Mani, A., & Srivastava, J. (2021). University operations during a pandemic: A flexible decision analysis toolkit. *ACM Transactions on Management Information Systems (TMIS)*, *12*(4), 1–24. https://doi.org/10.1145/3460125

Kim, L. G., Sweeting, M. J., Armer, M., Jacomelli, J., Nasim, A., & Harrison, S. C. (2021). Modelling the impact of changes to abdominal aortic aneurysm screening and treatment services in England during the COVID-19 pandemic. *PLOS One*, *16*(6), e0253327. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0253327

Kishore, N., Kahn, R., Martinez, P. P., de Salazar, P. M., Mahmud, A. S., & Buckee, C. O. (2021). Lockdowns result in changes in human mobility which may impact the epidemiologic dynamics of SARS-CoV-2. *Scientific Reports*, *11*(1), 6995. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-86297-w

Koehler, M., Slater, D. M., Jacyna, G., & Thompson, J. R. (2021). Modeling COVID-19 for lifting non-pharmaceutical interventions. *Journal of Artificial Societies and Social Simulation*, *24*(2), 9. https://doi.org/10.18564/jasss.4585

Kolokolnikov, T., & Iron, D. (2021). Law of mass action and saturation in SIR model with application to Coronavirus modelling. *Infectious Disease Modelling*, *6*, 91–97. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.idm.2020.11.002

Kontogiannis, T. (2021). A qualitative model of patterns of resilience and vulnerability in responding to a pandemic outbreak with system dynamics. *Safety Science*, *134*, 105077. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2020.105077

Kozlovskyi, S., Bilenko, D., Kuzheliev, M., Lavrov, R., Kozlovskyi, V., Mazur, H., & Taranych, A. (2020). The system dynamic model of the labor migrant policy in economic growth affected by COVID-19. *Global Journal of Environmental Science and Management*, *6*(Special Issue, Covid-19), 95–106. https://doi.org/10.22034/GJESM.2019.06.SI.09

Krivorotko, O., Sosnovskaia, M., Vashchenko, I., Kerr, C., & Lesnic, D. (2022). Agent-based modeling of COVID-19 outbreaks for New York state and UK: Parameter identification algorithm. *Infectious Disease Modelling*, *7*(1), 30–44. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.idm.2021.11.004

Kumar, A., Priya, B., & Srivastava, S. K. (2021). Response to the COVID-19: Understanding implications of government lockdown policies. *Journal of Policy Modeling*, *43*(1), 76–94. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpolmod.2020.09.001

Kumar, A., Viswakarma, N. K., Adlakha, A., & Mukherjee, K. (2021). How successful have the lockdowns been in controlling the (COVID-19/SARS-CoV-2) pandemic—A simulation-based analysis. *International Journal of Modeling, Simulation, and Scientific Computing*, *12*(3), 2041002. https://doi.org/10.1142/S1793962320410020

Kumar, S., Grefenstette, J. J., Galloway, D., Albert, S. M., & Burke, D. S. (2013). Policies to reduce influenza in the workplace: Impact assessments using an agent-based model. *American Journal of Public Health*, *103*(8), 1406–1411. https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2013.301269

Lai, S., Ruktanonchai, N. W., Zhou, L., Prosper, O., Luo, W., Floyd, J. R., Wesolowski, A., Santillana, M., Zhang, C., du, X., Yu, H., & Tatem, A. J. (2020). Effect of non-pharmaceutical interventions to contain COVID-19 in China. *Nature*, *585*(7825), 410–413. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2293-x

Lasser, J., Zuber, J., Sorger, J., Dervic, E., Ledebur, K., Lindner, S. D., Klager, E., Kletečka-Pulker, M., Willschke, H., Stangl, K., Stadtmann, S., Haslinger, C., Klimek, P., & Wochele-Thoma, T. (2021). Agent-based simulations for protecting nursing homes with prevention and vaccination strategies. *Journal of the Royal Society Interface*, *18*(185), 20210608. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsif.2021.0608

Latkowski, R., & Dunin-K plicz, B. (2021). An agent-based Covid-19 simulator: Extending Covasim to the Polish context. *Procedia Computer Science*, *192*, 3607–3616. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2021.09.134

Lee, J., Chowell, G., & Jung, E. (2016). A dynamic compartmental model for the Middle East respiratory syndrome outbreak in the Republic of Korea: A retrospective analysis on control interventions and superspreading events. *Journal of Theoretical Biology*, *408*, 118–126. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtbi.2016.08.009

Lenormand, M., Louail, T., Cantú-Ros, O. G., Picornell, M., Herranz, R., Murillo Arias, J., Barthelemy, M., San Miguel, M., & Ramasco, J. J. (2015). Corrigendum: Influence of sociodemographic characteristics on human mobility. *Scientific Reports*, *5*, 12188. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep12188

Lim, C. Y., Bohn, M. K., Lippi, G., Ferrari, M., Loh, T. P., Yuen, K. Y., Adeli, K., Horvath, A. R., & IFCC Task Force on COVID-19. (2020). Staff rostering, split team arrangement, social distancing (physical distancing) and use of personal protective equipment to minimize risk of workplace transmission during the COVID-19 pandemic: A simulation study. *Clinical Biochemistry*, *86*, 15–22. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinbiochem.2020.09.003

Liu, D., Zheng, X., & Zhang, L. (2021). Simulation of spatiotemporal relationship between COVID-19 propagation and regional economic development in China. *Land*, *10*(6), 599. https://doi.org/10.3390/land10060599

Liu, S., Li, Y., Triantis, K. P., Xue, H., & Wang, Y. (2020). The diffusion of discrete event simulation approaches in health care management in the past four decades: A comprehensive review. *MDM Policy & Practice*, *5*(1), 2381468320915242. https://doi.org/10.1177/2381468320915242

Liu, S., Xue, H., Li, Y., Xu, J., & Wang, Y. (2018). Investigating the diffusion of agent-based modelling and system dynamics modelling in population health and healthcare research. *Systems Research and Behavioral Science*, *35*(2), 203–215. https://doi.org/10.1002/sres.2460

Lu, S., Wang, W., Cheng, Y., Yang, C., Jiao, Y., Xu, M., Bai, Y., Yang, J., Song, H., Wang, L., Wang, J., Rong, B., & Xu, J. (2021). Food-trade-associated COVID-19 outbreak from a contaminated wholesale food supermarket in Beijing. *Journal of Biosafety and Biosecurity*, *3*(1), 58–65. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jobb.2021.04.002

Lu, Y., Guan, Y., Zhong, X., Fishe, J. N., & Hogan, T. (2021). Hospital beds planning and admission control policies for COVID-19 pandemic: A hybrid computer simulation approach. In *2021 IEEE 17th International Conference on Automation Science and Engineering* (CASE) 23-27. https://doi.org/10.1109/CASE49439.2021.9551589

Luo, Y., Li, Y., Wang, G., & Ye, Q. (2021). Agent-based modeling and simulation of tourism market recovery strategy after COVID-19 in Yunnan, China. *Sustainability*, *13*(21), 11750. https://doi.org/10.3390/su132111750

Lv, P., Zhang, Q., Xu, B., Feng, R., Li, C., Xue, J., Zhou, B., & Xu, M. (2021). Agent-based campus novel coronavirus infection and control simulation. *IEEE Transactions on Computational Social Systems*, *9*, 688–699. https://doi.org/10.1109/TCSS.2021.3114504

Mabry, P. L., Marcus, S. E., Clark, P. I., Leischow, S. J., & Méndez, D. (2010). Systems science: A revolution in public health policy research. *American Journal of Public Health*, *100*(7), 1161–1163. https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2010.198176

Mahmood, I., Arabnejad, H., Suleimenova, D., Sassoon, I., Marshan, A., Serrano-Rico, A., Louvieris, P., Anagnostou, A., Taylor, S. J. E., Bell, D., & Groen, D. (2020). FACS: A geospatial agent-based simulator for analysing COVID-19 spread and public health measures on local regions. *Journal of Simulation*, *16*, 355–373. https://doi.org/10.1080/17477778.2020.1800422

Makarov, V. L., Bakhtizin, A. R., Sushko, E. D., & Ageeva, A. (2020). COVID-19 epidemic modeling—Advantages of an agent-based approach. *Economic and Social Changes: Facts, Trends, Forecast*, *13*(4), 58–73. https://doi.org/10.15838/esc.2020.4.70.3

Marreros, R. R. A., Vanessa, K., Alberto, L., Alfonso, J., & Andrade-Arenas, L. (2021). Study of post-COVID-19 employability in Peru through a dynamic model, between 2020 and 2025. *International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications*, *12*(1), 620–625. https://doi.org/10.14569/IJACSA.2021.0120171

Marzban, S., Han, R., Juhász, N., & Röst, G. (2021). A hybrid PDE-ABM model for viral dynamics with application to SARS-CoV-2 and influenza. *Royal Society Open Science*, *8*(11), 210787. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsos.210787

Mbuvha, R., & Marwala, T. (2020). Bayesian inference of COVID-19 spreading rates in South Africa. *PLOS One*, *15*(8), e0237126. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0237126

McCartney, G., Hearty, W., Arnot, J., Popham, F., Cumbers, A., & McMaster, R. (2019). Impact of political economy on population health: A systematic review of reviews. *American Journal of Public Health*, *109*(6), e1–e12. https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2019.305001

Melman, G. J., Parlikad, A. K., & Cameron, E. (2021). Balancing scarce hospital resources during the COVID-19 pandemic using discrete-event simulation. *Health Care Management Science*, *24*(2), 356–374. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10729-021-09548-2

Milne, R. J., Cotfas, L. A., Delcea, C., Crăciun, L., & Molănescu, A. G. (2020). Adapting the reverse pyramid airplane boarding method for social distancing in times of COVID-19. *PLOS One*, *15*(11), e0242131. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0242131

Milne, R. J., Delcea, C., & Cotfas, L. A. (2021). Airplane boarding methods that reduce risk from COVID-19. *Safety Science*, *134*, 105061. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2020.105061

Moghadas, S. M., Vilches, T. N., Zhang, K., Wells, C. R., Shoukat, A., Singer, B. H., Meyers, L. A., Neuzil, K. M., Langley, J. M., Fitzpatrick, M. C., & Galvani, A. P. (2021). The impact of vaccination on coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) outbreaks in the United States. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, *73*(12), 2257–2264. https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciab079

Mohamadou, Y., Halidou, A., & Kapen, P. T. (2020). A review of mathematical modeling, artificial intelligence and datasets used in the study, prediction and management of COVID-19. *Applied Intelligence*, *50*, 3913–3925. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10489-020-01770-9

Mokhtari, A., Mineo, C., Kriseman, J., Kremer, P., Neal, L., & Larson, J. (2021). A multi-method approach to modeling COVID-19 disease dynamics in the United States. *Scientific Reports*, *11*(1), 12426. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-92000-w

Moosavi, J., & Hosseini, S. (2021). Simulation-based assessment of supply chain resilience with consideration of recovery strategies in the COVID-19 pandemic context. *Computers & industrial engineering*, *160*, 107593. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cie.2021.107593

Morrison, D. E., Nianogo, R., Manuel, V., Arah, O. A., Anderson, N., Kuo, T., & Inkelas, M. (2021). Modeling infection dynamics and mitigation strategies to support K-6 in-person instruction during the COVID-19 pandemic. *medRxiv*. https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.02.27.21252535

Morrison, R. E., & Cunha, A. Jr. (2020). Embedded model discrepancy: A case study of Zika modeling. *Chaos*, *30*(5), 051103. https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0005204

Muhammad, L. J., Algehyne, E. A., Usman, S. S., Ahmad, A., Chakraborty, C., & Mohammed, I. A. (2021). Supervised machine learning models for prediction of COVID-19 infection using epidemiology dataset. *SN Computer Science*, *2*(1), 11–13. https://doi.org/10.1007/s42979-020-00394-7

Mukherjee, U. K., Bose, S., Ivanov, A., Souyris, S., Seshadri, S., Sridhar, P., Watkins, R., & Xu, Y. (2021). Evaluation of reopening strategies for educational institutions during COVID-19 through agent-based simulation. *Scientific Reports*, *11*, 6264. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-84192-y

Müller, S. A., Balmer, M., Charlton, W., Ewert, R., Neumann, A., Rakow, C., Schlenther, T., & Nagel, K. (2021). Predicting the effects of COVID-19 related interventions in urban settings by combining activity-based modelling, agent-based simulation, and mobile phone data. *PLOS One*, *16*(10), e0259037. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0259037

Mutanga, S. S., Ngungu, M., Tshililo, F. P., & Kaggwa, M. (2021). Systems dynamics approach for modelling South Africa's response to COVID-19: A "what if" scenario. *Journal of Public Health Research*, *10*(1), 1897. https://doi.org/10.4081/jphr.2021.1897

Nguyen, L., Howick, S., McLafferty, D., Anderson, G. H., Pravinkumar, S. J., van der Meer, R., & Megiddo, I. (2021). Evaluating intervention strategies in controlling coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) spread in care homes: An agent-based model. *Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology*, *42*(9), 1060–1070. https://doi.org/10.1017/ice.2020.1369

Nguyen, T. T. B. (2021). Which node of supply chain suffers mostly to disruption in the pandemic? *Journal of Distribution Science*, *19*(11), 59–68. https://doi.org/10.15722/jds.19.11.202111.59

Niwa, M., Hara, Y., Matsuo, Y., Narita, H., Lim, Y., Sengoku, S., & Kodama, K. (2021). Superiority of mild interventions against COVID-19 on public health and economic measures. *Journal of Personalized Medicine*, *11*(8), 719. https://doi.org/10.3390/jpm11080719

Niwa, M., Hara, Y., Sengoku, S., & Kodama, K. (2020). Effectiveness of social measures against COVID-19 outbreaks in selected Japanese regions analyzed by system dynamic modeling. *International Journal of Environmental Research in Public Health*, *17*(17), 6238. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17176238

Ogden, N. H., Fazil, A., Arino, J., Berthiaume, P., Fisman, D. N., Greer, A. L., Ludwig, A., Ng, V., Tuite, A. R., Turgeon, P., Waddell, L. A., & Wu, J. (2020). Modelling scenarios of the epidemic of COVID-19 in Canada. *Implementation Science*, *46*(8), 198–204. https://doi.org/10.14745/ccdr.v46i06a08

Osgood, N. (2009). Lightening the performance burden of individual-based models through dimensional analysis and scale modeling. *System Dynamics Review*, *25*(2), 101–134.

Ozik, J., Wozniak, J. M., Collier, N., Macal, C. M., & Binois, M. (2021). A population data-driven workflow for COVID-19 modeling and learning. *The International Journal of High Performance Computing Applications*, *35*(5), 483–499. https://doi.org/10.1177/10943420211035164

Palomo-Briones, G. A., Siller, M., & Grignard, A. (2021). An agent-based model of the dual causality between individual and collective behaviors in an epidemic. *Computers in Biology and Medicine*, *141*, 104995. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compbiomed.2021.104995

Panovska-Griffiths, J., Kerr, C. C., Stuart, R. M., Mistry, D., Klein, D. J., Viner, R. M., & Bonell, C. (2020). Determining the optimal strategy for reopening schools, the impact of test and trace interventions, and the risk of occurrence of a second COVID-19 epidemic wave in the UK: A modelling study. *The Lancet Child & Adolescent Health*, *4*(11), 817–827. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2352-4642(20)30250-9

Pantano, E., Pizzi, G., Bilotta, E., & Pantano, P. (2021). Shopping with(out) distancing: Modelling the personal space to limit the spread of contagious disease among consumers in retail stores. *Journal of Marketing Management*, *37*, 1764–1782. https://doi.org/10.1080/0267257X.2021.2003422

Pham, Q. D., Stuart, R. M., Nguyen, T. V., Luong, Q. C., Tran, Q. D., Pham, T. Q., Phan, L. T., Dang, T. Q., Tran, D. N., Do, H. T., Mistry, D., Klein, D. J., Abeysuriya, R. G., Oron, A. P., & Kerr, C. C. (2021). Estimating and mitigating the risk of COVID-19 epidemic rebound associated with reopening of international borders in Vietnam: A modelling study. *The Lancet Global health*, *9*(7), e916–e924. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(21)00103-0

Pilati, F., Tronconi, R., Nollo, G., Heragu, S. S., & Zerzer, F. (2021). Digital twin of COVID-19 mass vaccination centers. *Sustainability*, *13*(13), 7396. https://doi.org/10.3390/su13137396

Pinotti, F., Wikramaratna, P. S., Obolski, U., Paton, R. S., Damineli, D. S. C., Alcantara, L. C. J., Giovanetti, M., Gupta, S., & Lourenço, J. (2021). Potential impact of individual exposure histories to endemic human coronaviruses on age-dependent severity of COVID-19. *BMC Medicine*, *19*(1), 19. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12916-020-01887-1

Polyzos, S., Samitas, A., & Kampouris, I. (2021). Economic stimulus through bank regulation: Government responses to the COVID-19 crisis. *Journal of International Financial Markets, Institutions and Money*, *75*, 101444. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intfin.2021.101444

Pornphol, P., & Chittayasothorn, S. (2020). System dynamics model of COVID-19 pandemic situation: The case of Phuket Thailand. *Proceedings of the 12th International Conference on Computer Modeling and Simulation* 77-81. https://doi.org/10.1145/3408066.3408086

Possik, J., Gorecki, S., Asgary, A., Solis, A. O., Zacharewicz, G., Tofighi, M., Shafiee, M. A., Merchant, A. A., Aarabi, M., Guimaraes, A., & Nadri, N. (2021). A distributed simulation approach to integrate AnyLogic and unity for virtual reality applications: Case of COVID-19 modelling and training in a dialysis unit. In *2021 IEEE/ACM 25th International Symposium on Distributed Simulation and Real Time Applications (DS-RT)*. https://doi.org/10.1109/DS-RT52167.2021.9576149

Prandi, L., & Primiero, G. (2020). Effects of misinformation diffusion during a pandemic. *Applied Network Science*, *5*(1), 82. https://doi.org/10.1007/s41109-020-00327-6

Prikazchikov, S. A., Yandybaeva, N. V., Bogomolov, A. S., & Shuvalov, K. I. (2021). National security indicators forecasting through the pandemic. *IFAC-PapersOnline*, *54*(13), 721–726. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ifacol.2021.10.537

Qi, B., Tan, J., Zhang, Q., Cao, M., Wang, X., & Zou, Y. (2021). Unfixed movement route model, non-overcrowding and social distancing reduce the spread of COVID-19 in sporting facilities. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, *18*(15), 8212. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18158212

Qian, Y., Xie, W., Zhao, J. D., Xue, M., Liu, S., Wang, L., Li, W., Dai, L., & Cai, Y. (2021). Investigating the effectiveness of re-opening policies before vaccination during a pandemic: SD modelling research based on COVID-19 in Wuhan. *BMC Public Health*, *21*(1), 1638. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-021-11631-w

Qiu, H., Chen, Y., Ding, S., Yi, W., Lv, R., & Wang, C. (2021). An improved agent-based model using discrete event simulation for nonpharmaceutical interventions. *IEEE Access*, *9*, 143721–143733. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3114226

Rahimi, I., Chen, F., & Gandomi, A. H. (2021). A review on COVID-19 forecasting models. *Neural Comput & Applic*, 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00521-020-05626-8

Rahman, T., Taghikhah, F., Paul, S. K., Shukla, N., & Agarwal, R. (2021). An agent-based model for supply chain recovery in the wake of the COVID-19 pandemic. *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, *158*, 107401. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cie.2021.107401

Rahmandad, H., Lim, T. Y., & Sterman, J. (2021). Behavioral dynamics of COVID-19: Estimating underreporting, multiple waves, and adherence fatigue across 92 nations. *System Dynamics Review*, *37*(1), 5–31. https://doi.org/10.1002/sdr.1673

Rajabi, A., Mantzaris, A. V., Mutlu, E. C., & Garibay, O. O. (2021). Investigating dynamics of COVID-19 spread and containment with agent-based modeling. *Applied Sciences*, *11*(12), 5367. https://doi.org/10.3390/app11125367

Raviraja, S., Asadi, R., Kourosdari, N., Basalingappa, K. M., & Hema, T. (2021). Cov-19 incidence detection using efficient intelligent multi agent system based on dynamic unsupervised feed-forward neural network. *Bioscience Biotechnology Research Communications*, *14*(5), 283–293. https://doi.org/10.21786/bbrc/14.5/51

Rice, K., Wynne, B., Martin, V., & Ackland, G. J. (2020). Effect of school closures on mortality from coronavirus disease 2019: Old and new predictions. *The BMJ*, *371*, m3588. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.m3588

Rockett, R. J., Arnott, A., Lam, C., Sadsad, R., Timms, V., Gray, K. A., Eden, J. S., Chang, S., Gall, M., Draper, J., Sim, E. M., Bachmann, N. L., Carter, I., Basile, K., Byun, R., O'Sullivan, M. V., Chen, S. C., Maddocks, S., Sorrell, T. C., … Sintchenko, V. (2020). Revealing COVID-19 transmission in Australia bySARS-CoV-2 genome sequencing and agent-based modeling. *Nature Medicine*, *26*(9), 1398–1404. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-020-1000-7

Rothrock, L., Abraham, A., Graf, A., Rodopman, M., & Nold, D. (2021). Aiding decision makers to reopening of places of worship. *Human Factors and Ergonomics in Manufacturing & Service Industries*, *31*(4), 349–359. https://doi.org/10.1002/hfm.20891

Rypdal, M., Rypdal, V., Jakobsen, P. K., Ytterstad, E., Løvsletten, O., Klingenberg, C., & Rypdal, K. (2021). Modelling suggests limited change in the reproduction number from reopening Norwegian kindergartens and schools during the COVID-19 pandemic. *PLOS One*, *16*(2), e0238268. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0238268

Sah, P., Vilches, T. N., Shoukat, A., Fitzpatrick, M. C., Pandey, A., Singer, B. H., Moghadas, S. M., & Galvani, A. P. (2021). Quantifying the potential dominance of immune-evading SARS-CoV-2 variants in the United States. *medRxiv*. https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.05.10.21256996

Saidani, M., & Kim, H. (2021). A discrete event simulation-based model to optimally design and dimension mobile COVID-19 saliva-based testing stations. *Simulation in Healthcare*, *16*(2), 151–152. https://doi.org/10.1097/SIH.0000000000000565

Saidani, M., Kim, H., & Kim, J. (2021). Designing optimal COVID-19 testing stations locally: A discrete event simulation model applied on a university campus. *PLOS One*, *16*(6), e0253869. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0253869

Salmenjoki, H., Korhonen, M., Puisto, A., Vuorinen, V., & Alava, M. J. (2021). Modelling aerosol-based exposure to SARS-CoV-2 by an agent based Monte Carlo method: Risk estimates in a shop and bar. *PLOS One*, *16*(11), e0260237. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0260237

Schlüter, J. C., Sörensen, L., Bossert, A., Kersting, M., Staab, W., & Wacker, B. (2021). Anticipating the impact of COVID19 and comorbidities on the South African healthcare system by agent-based simulations. *Scientific Reports*, *11*(1), 7901. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-86580-w

Schmidt, A., & Albert, L. A. (2021). Designing pandemic-resilient voting systems. *Socio-Economic Planning Sciences*, *80*, 101174. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seps.2021.101174

Sewell, D. K., & Miller, A. (2020). Simulation-free estimation of an individual-based SEIR model for evaluating nonpharmaceutical interventions with an application to COVID-19 in the District of Columbia. *PLOS One*, *15*(11), e0241949. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0241949

Shahabi, V., Azar, A., Faezy Razi, F., & Fallah Shams, M. F. (2021). Simulation of the effect of COVID-19 outbreak on the development of branchless banking in Iran: Case study of Resalat Qard–al-Hasan Bank. *Review of Behavioral Finance*, *13*(1), 85–108. https://doi.org/10.1108/RBF-06-2020-0123

Sharma, D., Bouchaud, J. P., Gualdi, S., Tarzia, M., & Zamponi, F. (2021). V-, U-, L- or W-shaped economic recovery after Covid-19: Insights from an Agent Based Model. *PLOS One*, *16*(3), e0247823. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0247823

Shea, B. J., Reeves, B. C., Wells, G., Thuku, M., Hamel, C., Moran, J., Moher, D., Tugwell, P., Welch, V., Kristjansson, E., & Henry, D. A. (2017). AMSTAR 2: A critical appraisal tool for systematic reviews that include randomised or non-randomised studies of healthcare interventions, or both. *The BMJ*, *358*, j4008. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.j4008

Silva, P. C., Batista, P. V., Lima, H. S., Alves, M. A., Guimarães, F. G., & Silva, R. C. P. (2020). COVID-ABS: An agent-based model of COVID-19 epidemic to simulate health and economic effects of social distancing interventions. *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, *139*, 110088. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2020.110088

Sinha, D., Bagodi, V., & Dey, D. (2020). The supply chain disruption framework post COVID-19: A system dynamics model. *Foreign Trade Review*, *55*(4), 511–534. https://doi.org/10.1177/0015732520947904

Smith, D. R., Duval, A., Pouwels, K. B., Guillemot, D., Fernandes, J., Huynh, B. T., Temime, L., Opatowski, L., & AP-HP/Universities/Inserm COVID-19 research collaboration. (2020). Optimizing COVID-19 surveillance in long-term care facilities: A modelling study. *BMC Medicine*, *18*(1), 386. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12916-020-01866-6

Son, W. S., & RISEWIDs Team. (2020). Individual-based simulation model for COVID-19 transmission in Daegu, Korea. *Epidemiology and Health*, *42*, e2020042. https://doi.org/10.4178/epih.e2020042

Song, P., Zhang, X., Zhao, Y., & Xu, L. (2020). Exogenous shocks on the dual-country industrial network: A simulation based on the policies during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Emerging Markets Finance and Trade*, *56*(15), 3554–3561. https://doi.org/10.1080/1540496X.2020.1854723

Spelta, A., Pecora, N., Flori, A., & Giudici, P. (2021). The impact of the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic on financial markets: A seismologic approach. *Annals of Operations Research*, 1–26. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10479-021-04115-y

Stapelberg, N., Smoll, N. R., Randall, M., Palipana, D., Bui, B., Macartney, K., Khandaker, G., & Wattiaux, A. (2021). A Discrete-Event, Simulated Social Agent-Based Network Transmission (DESSABNeT) model for communicable diseases: Method and validation using SARS-CoV-2 data in three large Australian cities. *PLOS One*, *16*(5), e0251737. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0251737

Stevenson, M., Metry, A., & Messenger, M. (2021). Modelling of hypothetical SARS-CoV-2 point of care tests for routine testing in residential care homes: Rapid cost-effectiveness analysis. *Health Technology Assessment*, *25*(39), 1–74. https://doi.org/10.3310/hta25390

Struben, J. (2020). The coronavirus disease (COVID-19) pandemic: Simulation-based assessment of outbreak responses and post-peak strategies. *System Dynamics Review*, *36*(3), 247–293. https://doi.org/10.1002/sdr.1660

Sun, Z., He, G., Huang, N., Chen, H., Zhang, S., Zhao, Z., Zhao, Y., Yang, G., Yang, S., Xiong, H., Karuppiah, T., Kumar, S. S., He, J., & Xiong, C. (2020). Impact of the inflow population from outbreak areas on the COVID-19 epidemic in Yunnan province and the recommended control measures: A preliminary study. *Frontiers in Public Health*, *8*, 609974. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2020.609974

Suphanchaimat, R., Nittayasoot, N., Thammawijaya, P., Teekasap, P., & Ungchusak, K. (2021). Predicted impact of vaccination and active case finding measures to control epidemic of coronavirus disease 2019 in a migrant-populated area in Thailand. *Risk Management and Healthcare Policy*, *14*, 3197–3207. https://doi.org/10.2147/RMHP.S318012

Suphanchaimat, R., Tuangratananon, T., Rajatanavin, N., Phaiyarom, M., Jaruwanno, W., & Uansri, S. (2021). Prioritization of the target population for coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) vaccination program in Thailand. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, *18*(20), 10803. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph182010803

Sy, C., Bernardo, E., Miguel, A., San Juan, J. L., Mayol, A. P., Ching, P. M., Culaba, A., Ubando, A., & Mutuc, J. E. (2020). Policy development for pandemic response using system dynamics: A case study on COVID-19. *Process Integration and Optimization for Sustainability*, *4*(4), 497–501. https://doi.org/10.1007/s41660-020-00130-x

Sy, C., Ching, P. M., San Juan, J. L., Bernardo, E., Miguel, A., Mayol, A. P., Culaba, A., Ubando, A., & Mutuc, J. E. (2021). Systems dynamics modeling of pandemic influenza for strategic policy development: A simulation-based analysis of the COVID-19 case. *Process Integration and Optimization for Sustainability*, *5*(3), 461–474. https://doi.org/10.1007/s41660-021-00156-9

Tadić, B., & Melnik, R. (2020). Modeling latent infection transmissions through biosocial stochastic dynamics. *PLOS One*, *15*(10), e0241163. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0241163

Tadić, B., & Melnik, R. (2021). Microscopic dynamics modeling unravels the role of asymptomatic virus carriers in SARS-CoV-2 epidemics at the interplay between biological and social factors. *Computers in Biology and Medicine*, *133*, 104422. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compbiomed.2021.104422

Talekar, A., Shriram, S., Vaidhiyan, N., Aggarwal, G., Chen, J., Venkatramanan, S., Wang, L., Adiga, A., Sadilek, A., Tendulkar, A., & Marathe, M. (2020). Cohorting to isolate asymptomatic spreaders: An agent-based simulation study on the Mumbai suburban railway. *ArXiv*. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2012.12839

Tatapudi, H., Das, R., & Das, T. K. (2021). Impact of vaccine prioritization strategies on mitigating COVID-19: An agent-based simulation study using an urban region in the United States. *BMC medical research methodology*, *21*, 272. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12874-021-01458-9

Temime, L., Opatowski, L., Pannet, Y., Brun-Buisson, C., Boëlle, P. Y., & Guillemot, D. (2009). Peripatetic health-care workers as potential superspreaders. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, *106*(43), 18420–18425. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0900974106

Tofighi, M., Asgary, A., Merchant, A. A., Shafiee, M. A., Najafabadi, M. M., Nadri, N., Aarabi, M., Heffernan, J., & Wu, J. (2021). Modelling COVID-19 transmission in a hemodialysis centre using simulation generated contacts matrices. *PLOS One*, *16*(11), e0259970. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0259970

Tong, Y., King, C., & Hu, Y. (2021). Using agent-based simulation to assess disease prevention measures during pandemics. *Chinese Physics B*, *30*(9), 098903. https://doi.org/10.1088/1674-1056/ac0ee8

Tupper, P., & Colijn, C. (2021). COVID-19 in schools: Mitigating classroom clusters in the context of variable transmission. *PLOS Computational Biology*, *17*(7), e1009120. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1009120

Uansri, S., Tuangratananon, T., Phaiyarom, M., Rajatanavin, N., Suphanchaimat, R., & Jaruwanno, W. (2021). Predicted impact of the lockdown measure in response to coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in Greater Bangkok, Thailand, 2021. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, *18*(23), 12816. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph182312816

Valtchev, S. Z., Asgary, A., Chen, M., Cronemberger, F. A., Najafabadi, M. M., Cojocaru, M. G., & Wu, J. (2021). Managing SARS-CoV-2 testing in schools with an artificial intelligence model and application developed by simulation data. *Electronics*, *10*(14), 1626. https://doi.org/10.3390/electronics10141626

VanDeusen, A., Saini, S. D., Kerr, E. A., Cohn, A., Hofer, T., Gawron, A., Dominitz, J. A., & Kurlander, J. E. (2021). Working smarter not harder: Using simulation to evaluate evidence-based strategies to offload colonoscopy backlogs related to COVID-19. *Gastroenterology*, *160*(6), S27–S28. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0016-5085(21)00813-1

Vilches, T. N., Nourbakhsh, S., Zhang, K., Juden-Kelly, L., Cipriano, L. E., Langley, J. M., Sah, P., Galvani, A. P., & Moghadas, S. M. (2021). Multifaceted strategies for the control of COVID-19 outbreaks in long-term care facilities in Ontario, Canada. *Preventive Medicine*, *148*, 106564. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ypmed.2021.106564

Wang, B., & Mansouri, M. (2021). Dealing with COVID-19 pandemic in complex societal system for resilience study: A systems approach. *INCOSE International Symposium*, *31*(1), 649–663. https://doi.org/10.1002/j.2334-5837.2021.00860.x

Wang, B., Xu, S., & Mansouri, M. (2020). Modeling the emergence of COVID-19: A systems approach. *2020 IEEE 15th International Conference of System of Systems Engineering* (SoSE) 445-450. https://doi.org/10.1109/SoSE50414.2020.9130555

Wang, Y. L., Li, B., Gouripeddi, R., & Facelli, J. C. (2021). Human activity pattern implications for modeling SARS-CoV-2 transmission. *Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine*, *199*, 105896. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmpb.2020.105896

Warde, P. R., Patel, S., Ferreira, T., Gershengorn, H. B., Bhatia, M. C., Parekh, D. J., Manni, K. J., & Shukla, B. S. (2021). Linking prediction models to government ordinances to support hospital operations during the COVID-19 pandemic. *BMJ Health & Care Informatics*, *28*(1), e100248. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjhci-2020-100248

Wei, Y., Wang, J., Song, W., Xiu, C., Ma, L., & Pei, T. (2021). Spread of COVID-19 in China: Analysis from a city-based epidemic and mobility model. *Cities*, *110*, 103010. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cities.2020.103010

Weibrecht, N., Rößler, M., Bicher, M., Emrich, Š., Zauner, G., & Popper, N. (2021). How an election can be safely planned and conducted during a pandemic: Decision support based on a discrete event model. *PLOS One*, *16*(12), e0261016. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0261016

Weitz, J. S., & Dushoff, J. (2015). Modeling post-death transmission of Ebola: Challenges for inference and opportunities for control. *Scientific Reports*, *5*, 8751. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep08751

World Health Organization (WHO). (2020a). https://www.who.int/news/item/30-01-2020-statement-on-the-second-meeting-of-the-international-health-regulations-(2005)-emergency-committee-regarding-the-outbreak-of-novel-coronavirus-(2019-ncov) [9 January 2021].

World Health Organization (WHO). (2020b). https://covid19.who.int/table [14 April 2022].

Xu, C., Pei, Y., Liu, S., & Lei, J. (2021). Effectiveness of non-pharmaceutical interventions against local transmission of COVID-19: An individual-based modelling study. *Infectious Disease Modelling*, *6*, 848–858. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.idm.2021.06.005

Yang, Q., Yi, C., Vajdi, A., Cohnstaedt, L. W., Wu, H., Guo, X., & Scoglio, C. M. (2020). Short-term forecasts and long-term mitigation evaluations for the COVID-19 epidemic in Hubei Province, China. *Infectious Disease Modelling*, *5*, 563–574. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.idm.2020.08.001

Yin, L., Zhang, H., Li, Y., Liu, K., Chen, T., Luo, W., Lai, S., Li, Y., Tang, X., Ning, L., Feng, S., Wei, Y., Zhao, Z., Wen, Y., Mao, L., & Mei, S. (2021). A data driven agent-based model that recommends non-pharmaceutical interventions to suppress Coronavirus disease 2019 resurgence in megacities. *Journal of the Royal Society, Interface*, *18*(181), 20210112. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsif.2021.0112

Ying, F., & O'Clery, N. (2021). Modelling COVID-19 transmission in supermarkets using an agent-based model. *PLOS One*, *16*(4), e0249821. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0249821

Yusoff, M., & Izhan, M. (2020). The use of system dynamics methodology in building a COVID-19 confirmed case model. *Computational and Mathematical Methods in Medicine*, *2020*, 9328414. https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/9328414

Zafarnejad, R., & Griffin, P. M. (2021). Assessing school-based policy actions for COVID-19: An agent-based analysis of incremental infection risk. *Computers in Biology and Medicine*, *134*, 104518. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compbiomed.2021.104518

Zeinalnezhad, M., Chofreh, A. G., Goni, F. A., Klemeš, J. J., & Sari, E. (2020). Simulation and improvement of patients' workflow in heart clinics during COVID-19 pandemic using timed coloured Petri nets. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, *17*(22), 8577. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17228577

Zhang, K., Vilches, T. N., Tariq, M., Galvani, A. P., & Moghadas, S. M. (2020). The impact of mask-wearing and shelter-in-place on COVID-19 outbreaks in the United States. *International Journal of Infectious Diseases*, *101*, 334–341. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2020.10.002

Zhang, N., Cheng, P., Jia, W., Dung, C. H., Liu, L., Chen, W., Lei, H., Kan, C., Han, X., Su, B., Xiao, S., Qian, H., Lin, B., & Li, Y. (2020). Impact of intervention methods on COVID-19 transmission in Shenzhen. *Building and Environment*, *180*, 107106. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.buildenv.2020.107106

Zhang, N., Jack Chan, P. T., Jia, W., Dung, C. H., Zhao, P., Lei, H., Su, B., Xue, P., Zhang, W., Xie, J., & Li, Y. (2021). Analysis of efficacy of intervention strategies for COVID-19 transmission: A case study of Hong Kong. *Environment International*, *156*, 106723. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2021.106723

Zhang, X., Zhou, Y., Zhou, F., & Pratap, S. (2022). Internet public opinion dissemination mechanism of COVID-19: Evidence from the Shuanghuanglian event. *Data Technologies and Applications*, *56*(2), 283–302. https://doi.org/10.1108/DTA-11-2020-0275

Zhao, J., Jia, J., Qian, Y., Zhong, L., Wang, J., & Cai, Y. (2020). COVID-19 in Shanghai: IPC policy exploration in support of work resumption through system dynamics modeling. *Risk Management and Healthcare Policy*, *13*, 1951–1963. https://doi.org/10.2147/RMHP.S265992

Zhou, H., Zhang, Q., Cao, Z., Huang, H., & Dajun Zeng, D. (2021). Sustainable targeted interventions to mitigate the COVID-19 pandemic: A big data-driven modeling study in Hong Kong. *Chaos: An Interdisciplinary Journal of Nonlinear Science*, *31*(10), 101104. https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0066086

Zhou, S., Zhou, S., Zheng, Z., & Lu, J. (2021). Optimizing spatial allocation of COVID-19 vaccine by agent-based spatiotemporal simulations. *GeoHealth*, *5*(6), e2021GH000427. https://doi.org/10.1029/2021GH000427

Zoabi, Y., Deri-Rozov, S., & Shomron, N. (2021). Machine learning-based prediction of COVID-19 diagnosis based on symptoms. *NPJ Digital Medicine*, *4*(1), 3. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41746-020-00372-6

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information can be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of this article.

How to cite this article: Zhang, W., Liu, S., Osgood, N., Zhu, H., Qian, Y., & Jia, P. (2022). Using simulation modelling and systems science to help contain COVID-19: A systematic review. *Systems Research and Behavioral Science*, 1–28. https://doi.org/10.1002/sres.2897

APPENDIX A: CRITICAL APPRAISAL PREVENTING BIASED ASSESSMENT

Critical appraisal preventing biased assessment using AMSTAR:

The quality of the reviews will be evaluated using modified AMSTAR criteria:

- Was an 'a priori' design for the review provided?
- Was a comprehensive search undertaken (including relevant search terms and at least two databases)?
- Were the studies selected for inclusion by at least two independent researchers?
- Were there clear inclusion and exclusion criteria?
- Was the status of publication ignored in the inclusion/exclusion criteria?
- Were the data extracted independently by at least two researchers?
- Was the scientific quality of the included studies assessed and documented?
- Was the scientific quality of the included studies used appropriately in formulating conclusions?
- Were the methods used to combine the findings of studies appropriate?
- Was the likelihood of publication bias assessed (if possible)?
- Were there important conflicts of interest that may have impacted on the conclusions?

APPENDIX B: EXAMPLE OF IMPLEMENTING SEARCH STRATEGY FOR LITERATURE THROUGH PubMed

1. #1 Search (((coronaviridae[Mesh:noexp] OR coronavirus[Mesh] OR 'coronavirus Infections'[Mesh] OR corona[tw] OR corona'[tw] OR 'coronavirus'[tw] OR coronavir*[tw] OR Betacoronavirus[Mesh] OR Betacoronavirus[tw])))
2. #2 Search ((((pneumonia[Mesh:noexp] OR pneumonia, viral[Mesh:noexp] OR Viruses[Mesh]) and ('Disease Outbreaks'[Mesh] OR Epidemiology [Mesh]))))
3. #3 Search ((((#1 OR #2) AND 2019/11:2020/2 [crdt])))
4. #4 Search (((2019-novel-corona*[tw] OR 2019-new-corona*[tw] OR '2019-nCOV'[tw] OR 'coronavirus disease 2019'[tw] OR 'Corona Virus Disease 2019'[tw] OR '2019 coronavirus disease'[tw] OR COVID-19[tw] OR COVID-2019[tw] OR 'severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2'[Supplementary Concept] OR 'severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2'[tw] OR SARS2[tw] OR SARS-CoV2 [tw] OR SARS-CoV-2[tw])))
5. #5 Search ((#4 OR #3))
6. #6 Search ((('discrete event simulation'[tw] OR 'Discrete event system simulation' [tw] OR DES[tw] OR 'agent-based model*'[tw] OR ABM[tw] OR 'Individual based model*'[tw] OR 'multi-agent system'[tw] OR 'system dynamics'[tw] OR SD[tw] OR 'hybrid simulation'[tw] OR 'compartmental model*'[tw])))
7. #7 Search ((#5 AND #6))

APPENDIX C: INCLUSION AND EXCLUSION CRITERIA

TABLE C1 Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Inclusion criteria	Exclusion criteria
Research topic: Focus on COVID-19. Not only the paper focuses on the spread of COVID-19, the paper related to the COVID-19 has been taken into consideration	Research topic: Not related to COVID-19. COVID-19 is only mentioned in paper, but the actual research topic has nothing to do with COVID-19
Modelling: Simulation modelling, including agent-based modelling (ABM) (or individual-based model), system dynamics (SD), discrete event simulations (DES) and hybrid simulation (combine two or more of ABM, SD and DES)	Modelling: Simulation modelling is not the main model used in paper
Study type: Paper was the original study not the any form of review paper	Study type: The type of the paper is preprint or conference abstracts
Study language: Writing in English	

APPENDIX D: QUICK REVIEW OF TRADITIONAL COMPARTMENTAL MODELS IN COVID-19 RESEARCH

Compartmental models have played a pivotal role in understanding the outbreak dynamics of epidemic and pandemic. In our quick review, we found that 298 papers employed compartmental models to investigate the

TABLE D1 Categorization for examples from traditional compartmental model studies

Key research areas	Publication
Prediction of the COVID-19	
COVID-19 outbreak progression	Chen et al., 2020; Ianni & Rossi, 2020; Santamaria-Holek & Castano, 2020; Youssef et al., 2020
Initial epidemic features	Romo & Ojeda-Galaviz, 2020; Wang, Ding, et al., 2020
Basic reproduction number (R_0) estimation	Aggarwal & Rajpu, 2020; Dharmaratne et al., 2020; Eksinchol, 2020; Kumar et al., 2020; Masud et al., 2020; Serhani & Labbardi, 2020; Sundaresan et al., 2020; Wang, Tang, et al., 2020
Estimation of transmission parameters	Deng, 2020; Kain et al., 2020; Mbuvha & Marwala, 2020; Vattay, 2020
Acute-care service demand dynamics	Dagpunar, 2020; Koeppel et al., 2020; Rivera-Rodriguez & Urdinola, 2020; Semenova et al., 2020; Singh & Bajpai, 2020
Long-term trend prediction	Zhan, Tse, Lai, et al., 2020
Investigation of the timing and size of second waves	Eguíluz et al., 2020; Friston et al., 2020; Glass, 2020
Evaluate impacts of non-pharmaceutical intervention (NPI) measures	
Mobility restrictions	Liu, He, et al., 2020; Scala et al., 2020; Sun, He, et al., 2020; Wang, Zhu, et al., 2020
Lockdown	Alrashed et al., 2020; Buonomo & Marca, 2020; Lyra et al., 2020; Morozova et al., 2021
Quarantine	Barbarossa et al., 2020; Batista et al., 2020; Khyar & Allali, 2020; Sun, Duan, et al., 2020; Zu et al., 2020
Contact restrictions	Liu, He,et al., 2020; Rădulescu et al., 2020; Yousif & Ali, 2020
Social distancing	Childs et al., 2021; Das & Samanta, 2020; Wickramaarachchi et al., 2020; Zhao & Feng, 2020
Facemask use or face cloth covering	Gondim, 2020; Khan et al., 2020
School closure	Gathungu et al., 2020; Röst et al., 2020
Exit strategies	Ghamizi et al., 2020
Other areas	
Vaccination strategies	Buckner et al., 2020; Libotte et al., 2020; Etxeberria-Etxaniz et al., 2020
Healthcare burden	Miller et al., 2020
Cost estimation of school and workplace closure	Suwantika et al., 2020
Impact of relaxing existing control measures	Currie et al., 2020
Risk of return to workplaces	Zhang, Ge, Liu, et al., 2021
Indirect transmission mechanisms (e.g., surface-based infection within public spaces)	Meiksin, 2020
Model specifics	**Publication**
Classic model	
SIR (Susceptible, Infected, Recovered)	Libotte et al., 2020; Molnár et al., 2020
SEIR (Susceptible, Exposed, Infected, Recovered)	Aggarwal & Rajput, 2020; Ahmad et al., 2020; Etxeberria-Etxaniz et al., 2020; Morrison & Cunha, 2020; Wang, Fang, et al., 2020
SEIRD (Susceptible, Exposed, Infected, Recovered, Death)	Edeki et al., 2020; Kumar et al., 2020; Rivera-Rodriguez & Urdinola, 2020
Extended or modified models added some new states	
Asymptomatic	Aràndiga et al., 2020; Batista et al., 2020; Das & Samanta, 2020; Di Giamberardino et al., 2021; Rajagopal et al., 2020; Wang, Wang, et al., 2020; Zhao et al., 2020

TABLE D1 (Continued)

Model specifics	Publication
Quarantined	Buonomo & Marca, 2020; Kumari et al., 2020; Liu, Zheng, et al., 2020; Masud et al., 2020; Serhani & Labbardi, 2020; Vyasarayani & Chatterjee, 2020; Zhao & Feng, 2020
Hospitalized	Garba et al., 2020; Ghamizi et al., 2020; Wang, Ding, et al., 2020
Insusceptible (e.g., protected by the vaccine)	Buckner et al., 2020; Kumari et al., 2020; Xu et al., 2020
Added other new insights or methods	
Age-stratified compartmental models	Rădulescu et al., 2020; Röst et al., 2020; Castilho et al., 2020; Balabdaoui & Mohr, 2020
Network-based compartmental models utilized the human migration data collected from the Baidu Migration Porta	Kumari et al., 2020; Liu, He,et al., 2020; Zhan, Tse, Fu, et al., 2020
Cellular Automata (CA)	Molnár et al., 2020; Zhan, Tse, Fu, et al., 2020
Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) recurrent neural network	Chen et al., 2020; Yang et al., 2020; Zheng et al., 2020
Machine learning	Kiruthika et al., 2020; Muhammad et al., 2021

outbreak of the COVID-19 in different regions and countries across the world, namely, Asia (e.g., China, India, Pakistan, Kazakhstan, Japan, South Korea, Bangladesh and Saudi Arabia), America (e.g., the United States, Brazil, Argentina and Mexico), Europe (e.g., Italy, the United Kingdom, Germany and Spain), Oceania (e.g., Australia and New Zealand) and Africa (e.g., South Africa and Kenya), and some papers covered more than one country or region. We simply, from key research areas and models, summarize parts of studies, and the details are shown in Table D1 in Appendix D.

Although compartmental models are simple and easy to implement and have been widely used to capture transmission dynamics of infectious diseases at the population level, they strictly rely on the assumption of homogeneous mixing, or mass action, which fails to consider individual heterogeneity within the compartmental groups and simplifies the complexities of interactions occurred in the social networks. ABM and DES can capture the heterogeneity of individuals in a system and events in a process, respectively. Therefore, SDM (compartmental model-based systems simulation), ABM and DES can work in parallel or work in a hybrid mode to simultaneously capture heterogeneity and homogeneity in a system if necessary.

REFERENCES FOR APPENDIX D

Aggarwal, R., & Rajput, A. (2020). Estimation of transmission dynamics of COVID-19 in India: The influential saturated incidence rate. *Applications & Applied Mathematics-An International Journal*, *15*(2), 1046–1071.

Ahmad, Z., Arif, M., Ali, F., Khan, I., & Nisar, K. S. (2020). A report on COVID-19 epidemic in Pakistan using SEIR fractional model. *Scientific Reports*, *10*(1), 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-79405-9

Alrashed, S., Min-Allah, N., Saxena, A., Ali, I., & Mehmood, R. (2020). Impact of lockdowns on the spread of COVID-19 in Saudi Arabia. *Informatics in Medicine Unlocked*, *20*, 100420. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.imu.2020.100420

Arándiga, F., Baeza, A., Cordero-Carrión, I., Donat, R., Martí, M. C., Mulet, P., & Yáñez, D. F. (2020). A spatial-temporal model for the evolution of the COVID-19 pandemic in Spain including mobility. *Mathematics*, *8*(10), 1677. https://doi.org/10.3390/math8101677

Balabdaoui, F., & Mohr, D. (2020). Age-stratified discrete compartment model of the COVID-19 epidemic with application to Switzerland. *Scientific Reports*, *10*(1), 21306. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-77420-4

Barbarossa, M. V., Fuhrmann, J., Meinke, J. H., Krieg, S., Varma, H. V., Castelletti, N., & Lippert, T. (2020). Modeling the spread of COVID-19 in Germany: Early assessment and possible scenarios. *PLOS One*, *15*(9), e0238559. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0238559

Batista, B., Dickenson, D., Gurski, K., Kebe, M., & Rankin, N. (2020). Minimizing disease spread on a quarantined cruise ship: A model of COVID-19 with asymptomatic infections. *Mathematical Biosciences*, *329*, 108442. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mbs.2020.108442

Buckner, J. H., Chowell, G., & Springborn, M. R. (2020). Dynamic prioritization of COVID-19 vaccines when social distancing is limited for essential workers. *medRxiv*. https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.09.22.20199174

Buonomo, B., & Marca, R. D. (2020). Effects of information-induced behavioural changes during the COVID-19 lockdowns: The case of Italy. *Royal Society Open Science*, *7*(10), 201635. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsos.201635

Castilho, C., Gondim, J. A., Marchesin, M., & Sabeti, M. (2020). Assessing the efficiency of different control strategies for the COVID-19 epidemic. *Electronic Journal of Differential Equation*, *2020*(64), 1–17.

Chen, D., Yang, Y., Zhang, Y., & Yu, W. (2020). Prediction of COVID-19 spread by sliding mSEIR observer. *Science China Information Sciences*, *63*(12), 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11432-020-3034-y

Childs, M. L., Kain, M. P., Kirk, D., Harris, M., Couper, L., Nova, N., Delwel, I., Ritchie, J., & Mordecai, E. A. (2021). The impact of long-term non-pharmaceutical interventions on COVID-19 epidemic dynamics and control. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B*, *288*, 20210811. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2021.0811

Currie, C. S., Fowler, J. W., Kotiadis, K., Monks, T., Onggo, B. S., Robertson, D. A., & Tako, A. A. (2020). How simulation modelling can help reduce the impact of COVID-19. *Journal of Simulation*, *14*(2), 83–97. https://doi.org/10.1080/17477778.2020.1751570

Dagpunar, J. S. (2020). Sensitivity of UK Covid-19 deaths to the timing of suppression measures and their relaxation. *Infectious Disease Modelling*, *5*, 525–535. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.idm.2020.07.002

Das, M., & Samanta, G. P. (2020). Optimal control of fractional order COVID-19 epidemic spreading in Japan and India 2020. *Biophysical Reviews and Letters*, *15*(04), 207–236. https://doi.org/10.1142/S179304802050006X

Deng, Q. (2020). Dynamics and development of the COVID-19 epidemic in the United States: A compartmental model enhanced with deep learning techniques. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, *22*(8), e21173. https://doi.org/10.2196/21173

Dharmaratne, S., Sudaraka, S., Abeyagunawardena, I., Manchanayake, K., Kothalawala, M., & Gunathunga, W. (2020). Estimation of the basic reproduction number (R0) for the novel coronavirus disease in Sri Lanka. *Virology Journal*, *17*(1), 144. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12985-020-01411-0

Di Giamberardino, P., Iacoviello, D., Papa, F., & Sinisgalli, C. (2021). Dynamical evolution of COVID-19 in Italy with an evaluation of the size of the asymptomatic infective population. *IEEE Journal of Biomedical and Health Informatics*, *25*(4), 1326–1332. https://doi.org/10.1109/jbhi.2020.3009038

Edeki, S. O., Adinya, I., Adeosun, M. E., & Ezekiel, I. D. (2020). Mathematical analysis of the global COVID-19 spread in Nigeria and Spain based on SEIRD model. *Communications in Mathematical Biology and Neuroscience*, *2020*, 84. https://doi.org/10.28919/cmbn/4860

Eguíluz, V. M., Fernández-Gracia, J., Rodríguez, J. P., Pericàs, J. M., & Melián, C. (2020). Risk of secondary infection waves of COVID-19 in an insular region: The case of the Balearic Islands. *Spain. Frontiers in Medicine*, *7*, 563455. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmed.2020.563455

Eksinchol, I. (2020). Monitoring the COVID-19 situation in Thailand. *2020 1st International Conference on Big Data Analytics and Practices (IBDAP)*, Bangkok, Thailand. 2020:1-6. https://doi.org/10.1109/IBDAP50342.2020.9245465

Etxeberria-Etxaniz, M., Alonso-Quesada, S., & de la Sen, M. (2020). On an SEIR epidemic model with vaccination of newborns and periodic impulsive vaccination with eventual on-line adapted vaccination strategies to the varying levels of the susceptible subpopulation. *Applied Sciences*, *10*(22), 8296. https://doi.org/10.3390/app10228296

Friston, K. J., Parr, T., Zeidman, P., Razi, A., Flandin, G., Daunizeau, J., Hulme, O. J., Billig, A. J., Litvak, V., Price, C. J., Moran, R. J., Costello, A., Pillay, D., & Lambert, C. (2020). Effective immunity and second waves: A dynamic causal modelling study. *Wellcome Open Research*, *5*, 204. https://doi.org/10.12688/wellcomeopenres.16253.2

Garba, S. M., Lubuma, J. M., & Tsanou, B. (2020). Modeling the transmission dynamics of the COVID-19 pandemic in South Africa. *Mathematical Biosciences*, *328*, 108441. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mbs.2020.108441

Gathungu, D. K., Ojiambo, V. N., Kimathi, M. E. M., & Mwalili, S. M. (2020). Modeling the effects of nonpharmaceutical interventions on COVID-19 spread in Kenya. *Interdisciplinary Perspectives on Infectious Disease*, *2020*, 6231461. https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/6231461

Ghamizi, S., Rwemalika, R., Cordy, M., Veiber, L., Bissyandé, T. F., Papadakis, M., Klein, J., & Le Traon, Y. (2020). Data-driven simulation and optimization for covid-19 exit strategies. *arXiv*:2006.07087. https://arxiv.org/pdf/2006.07087.pdf

Glass, D. H. (2020). European and US lockdowns and second waves during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Mathematical Biosciences*, *330*, 108472. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mbs.2020.108472

Gondim, J. A. M. (2020). Preventing epidemics by wearing masks: An application to COVID-19. *Chaos Solitons Fractals*, *143*, 110599. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2020.110599

Ianni, A., & Rossi, N. (2020). Describing the COVID-19 outbreak during the lockdown: Fitting modified SIR models to data. *The European Physical Journal Plus*, *135*(11), 885. https://doi.org/10.1140/epjp/s13360-020-00895-7

Kain, M. P., Childs, M. L., Becker, A., & Mordecai, E. A. (2020). Chopping the tail: How preventing superspreading can help to maintain COVID-19 control. *Epidemics*, *34*, 100430. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epidem.2020.100430

Khan, Z. S., Van Bussel, F., & Hussain, F. (2020). A predictive model for Covid-19 spread—With application to eight US states and how to end the pandemic. *Epidemiology & Infection*, *148*, e249. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0950268820002423

Khyar, O., & Allali, K. (2020). Global dynamics of a multi-strain SEIR epidemic model with general incidence rates: Application to COVID-19 pandemic. *Nonlinear Dynamics*, *2020*, 1–21. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11071-020-05929-4

Kiruthika, U., Balaji, V., & Sriram, A. (2020). Prediction of deaths caused by covid-19 using machine learning. *European Journal of Molecular & Clinical Medicine*, *7*(4), 2946–2951.

Koeppel, L., Gottschalk, C., Welker, A., Knorr, B., & Denkinger, C. M. (2020). Prediction of local COVID-19 spread in Heidelberg. *F1000Research*, *9*, 232. https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.23034.1

Kumar, S., Kumar, V., Awasthi, U., Vatsal, M., & Singh, S. K. (2020). Modified SEIR model for prediction of COVID-19 outbreak trend in India with effectiveness of preventive care. *Journal of Statistics and Management Systems*, *24*(1), 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1080/09720510.2020.1833463

Kumari, P., Singh, H. P., & Singh, S. (2020). SEIAQRDT model for the spread of novel coronavirus (COVID-19): A case study in India. *Applied Intelligence*, *2020*, 1–20. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10489-020-01929-4

Libotte, G. B., Lobato, F. S., Platt, G. M., & Neto, A. J. S. (2020). Determination of an optimal control strategy for vaccine administration in COVID-19 pandemic treatment. *Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine*, *196*, 105664. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmpb.2020.105664

Liu, P. Y., He, S., Rong, L. B., & Tang, S. Y. (2020). The effect of control measures on COVID-19 transmission in Italy:

Comparison with Guangdong province in China. *Infectious Diseases of Poverty*, *9*(1), 130. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40249-020-00730-2

Liu, X., Zheng, X., & Balachandran, B. (2020). COVID-19: Data-driven dynamics, statistical and distributed delay models, and observations. *Nonlinear Dynamics*, *2020*, 1–17. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11071-020-05863-5

Lyra, W., do Nascimento, J. D. Jr., & Belkhiria, J. (2020). COVID-19 pandemics modeling with modified determinist SEIR, social distancing, and age stratification. The effect of vertical confinement and release in Brazil. *PLOS One*, *15*(9), e0237627. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0237627

Masud, M. A., Islam, M. H., Mamun, K. A., Kim, B. N., & Kim, S. (2020). Covid-19 transmission: Bangladesh perspective. *Mathematics*, *8*(10), 1–20. https://doi.org/10.3390/math8101793

Mbuvha, R., & Marwala, T. (2020). Bayesian inference of COVID-19 spreading rates in South Africa. *PLOS One*, *15*(8), e0237126. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0237126

Meiksin, A. (2020). Dynamics of COVID-19 transmission including indirect transmission mechanisms: A mathematical analysis. *Epidemiology and Infection*, *148*, e257. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0950268820002563

Miller, I. F., Becker, A. D., Grenfell, B. T., & Metcalf, C. J. E. (2020). Disease and healthcare burden of COVID-19 in the United States. *Nature Medicine*, *26*(8), 1212–1217. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-020-0952-y

Molnár, T. G., Singletary, A. W., Orosz, G., & Ames, A. D. (2020). Safety-critical control of compartmental epidemiological models with measurement delays. *IEEE Control Systems Letters*, *5*(5), 1537–1542.

Morozova, O., Li, Z. R., & Crawford, F. W. (2021). One year of modeling and forecasting COVID-19 transmission to support policymakers in Connecticut. *Scientific Reports*, *11*, 20271. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-99590-5

Morrison, R. E., & Cunha, A. Jr. (2020). Embedded model discrepancy: A case study of Zika modeling. *Chaos*, *30*(5), 051103. https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0005204

Muhammad, L. J., Algehyne, E. A., Usman, S. S., Ahmad, A., Chakraborty, C., & Mohammed, I. A. (2021). Supervised machine learning models for prediction of COVID-19 infection using epidemiology dataset. *SN Computer Science*, *2*(1), 11. https://doi.org/10.1007/s42979-020-00394-7

Rădulescu, A., Williams, C., & Cavanagh, K. (2020). Management strategies in a SEIR-type model of COVID 19 community spread. *Scientific Reports*, *10*(1), 21256. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-77628-4

Rajagopal, K., Hasanzadeh, N., Parastesh, F., Hamarash, I. I., Jafari, S., & Hussain, I. (2020). A fractional-order model for the novel coronavirus (COVID-19) outbreak. *Nonlinear Dynamics*, *2020*, 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11071-020-05757-6

Rivera-Rodriguez, C., & Urdinola, B. P. (2020). Predicting hospital demand during the COVID-19 outbreak in Bogotá. *Colombia. Frontiers in Public Health*, *8*, 582706. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2020.582706

Romo, A., & Ojeda-Galaviz, C. (2020). It takes more than two to tango with COVID-19: Analyzing Argentina's early pandemic response (Jan 2020–April 2020). *International Journal of Environmental Research in Public Health*, *18*(1), 73. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18010073

Röst, G., Bartha, F. A., Bogya, N., Boldog, P., Dénes, A., Ferenci, T., Horváth, K. J., Juhász, A., Nagy, C., Tekeli, T., Vizi, Z., & Oroszi, B. (2020). Early phase of the COVID-19 outbreak in Hungary and post-lockdown scenarios. *Viruses*, *12*(7), 708. https://doi.org/10.3390/v12070708

Santamaria-Holek, I., & Castano, V. (2020). Possible fates of the dispersion of SARS-COV-2 in the Mexican context. *medRxiv*. https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.07.15.20154526

Scala, A., Flori, A., Spelta, A., Brugnoli, E., Cinelli, M., Quattrociocchi, W., & Pammolli, F. (2020). Time, space and social interactions: Exit mechanisms for the Covid-19 epidemics. *Scientific Reports*, *10*(1), 13764. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-70631-9

Semenova, Y., Pivina, L., Khismetova, Z., Auyezova, A., Nurbakyt, A., Kauysheva, A., Ospanova, D., Kuziyeva, G., Kushkarova, A., Ivankov, A., & Glushkova, N. (2020). Anticipating the need for healthcare resources following the escalation of the COVID-19 outbreak in the republic of Kazakhstan. *Journal of Preventive Medicine & Public Health*, *53*(6), 387–396. https://doi.org/10.3961/jpmph.20.395

Serhani, M., & Labbardi, H. (2020). Mathematical modeling of COVID-19 spreading with asymptomatic infected and interacting peoples. *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Computing*, *66*(1-2), 1–20. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12190-020-01421-9

Singh, A., & Bajpai, M. K. (2020). SEIHCRD Model for COVID-19 spread scenarios, disease predictions and estimates the basic reproduction number, case fatality rate, hospital, and ICU beds requirement. *CMES-Computer Modeling in Engineering & Sciences*, *125*(3), 991–1031. https://doi.org/10.32604/cmes.2020.012503

Sun, D., Duan, L., Xiong, J., & Wang, D. (2020). Modeling and forecasting the spread tendency of the COVID-19 in China. *Advances in Difference Equation*, *2020*(1), 489. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13662-020-02940-2

Sun, Z., He, G., Huang, N., Chen, H., Zhang, S., Zhao, Z., Zhao, Y., Yang, G., Yang, S., Xiong, H., Karuppiah, T., Kumar, S. S., He, J., & Xiong, C. (2020). Impact of the inflow population from outbreak areas on the COVID-19 epidemic in Yunnan province and the recommended control measures: A preliminary study. *Frontiers in Public Health*, *8*, 609974. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2020.609974

Sundaresan, T., Govindarajan, A., Balamuralitharan, S., Venkataraman, P., & Liaqat, I. (2020). A classical SEIR model of transmission dynamics and clinical dynamics in controlling of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) with reproduction number. *AIP Conference Proceedings*, *2277*(1), 120008. https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0025236

Suwantika, A. A., Zakiyah, N., Diantini, A., Abdulah, R., & Postma, M. J. (2020). The role of administrative and secondary data in estimating the costs and effects of school and workplace closures due to the COVID-19 pandemic. *Data*, *5*(4), 98. https://doi.org/10.3390/data5040098

Vattay, G. (2020). Forecasting the outcome and estimating the epidemic model parameters from the fatality time series in COVID-19 outbreaks. *Physical Biology*, *17*(6), 065002. https://doi.org/10.1088/1478-3975/abac69

Vyasarayani, C. P., & Chatterjee, A. (2020). Complete dimensional collapse in the continuum limit of a delayed SEIQR network model with separable distributed infectivity. *Nonlinear Dynamics*, *2020*, 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11071-020-05785-2

Wang, K., Ding, L., Yan, Y., Dai, C., Qu, M., Jiayi, D., & Hao, X. (2020). Modelling the initial epidemic trends of COVID-19 in Italy, Spain, Germany, and France. *PLOS One*, *15*(11), e0241743. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0241743

Wang, S., Fang, H., Ma, Z., & Wang, X. (2020). Forecasting the 2019-ncov epidemic in Wuhan by SEIR and cellular automata model. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, *1533*(4), 042065. https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1533/4/042065

Wang, W., Zhu, Z., & Su, H. (2020). Analysis of the influence of traffic control measures on the prevention and control of COVID-19. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, *546*(3), 032018. https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/546/3/032018

Wang, X., Tang, T., Cao, L., Aihara, K., & Guo, Q. (2020). Inferring key epidemiological parameters and transmission dynamics of COVID-19 based on a modified SEIR model. *Mathematical Modelling of Natural Phenomena*, *15*, 74. https://doi.org/10.1051/mmnp/2020050

Wang, X., Wang, S., Lan, Y., Tao, X., & Xiao, J. (2020). The impact of asymptomatic individuals on the strength of public health interventions to prevent the second outbreak of COVID-19. *Nonlinear Dynamic*, *101*(3), 2003–2012. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11071-020-05736-x

Wickramaarachchi, W. P. T. M., Perera, S. S. N., & Jayasinghe, S. (2020). COVID-19 epidemic in Sri Lanka: A mathematical and computational modelling approach to control. *Computational and Mathematical Methods in Medicine*, *2020*, 4045064. https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/4045064

Xu, C., Yu, Y., Chen, Y., & Lu, Z. (2020). Forecast analysis of the epidemics trend of COVID-19 in the USA by a generalized fractional-order SEIR model. *Nonlinear Dynamics*, *101*(3), 1621–1634. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11071-020-05946-3

Yang, Y., Yu, W., & Chen, D. (2020). Prediction of COVID-19 spread via LSTM and the deterministic SEIR model. *2020 39th Chinese Control Conference* (CCC) 2020:782-785. https://doi.org/10.23919/CCC50068.2020.9189012

Yousif, A., & Ali, A. (2020). The impact of intervention strategies and prevention measurements for controlling COVID-19 outbreak in Saudi Arabia. *Mathematical Biosciences and Engineering*, *17*(6), 8123–8137. https://doi.org/10.3934/mbe.2020412

Youssef, H. M., Alghamdi, N. A., Ezzat, M. A., El-Bary, A. A., & Shawky, A. M. (2020). A modified SEIR model applied to the data of COVID-19 spread in Saudi Arabia. *AIP Advances*, *10*(12), 125210. https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0029698

Zhan, C., Tse, C. K., Fu, Y., Lai, Z., & Zhang, H. (2020). Modeling and prediction of the 2019 coronavirus disease spreading in China incorporating human migration data. *PLOS One*, *15*(10), e0241171. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0241171

Zhan, C., Tse, C. K., Lai, Z., Hao, T., & Su, J. (2020). Prediction of COVID-19 spreading profiles in South Korea, Italy and Iran by data-driven coding. *PLOS One*, *15*(7), e0234763. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0234763

Zhang, W. B., Ge, Y., Liu, M., Atkinson, P. M., Wang, J., Zhang, X., & Tian, Z. (2021). Risk assessment of the step-by-step return-to-work policy in Beijing following the COVID-19 epidemic peak. *Stochastic Environmental Research and Risk Assessment*, *35*, 481–498. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00477-020-01929-3

Zhao, H., & Feng, Z. (2020). Staggered release policies for COVID-19 control: Costs and benefits of relaxing restrictions by age and risk. *Mathematical Biosciences*, *326*, 108405. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mbs.2020.108405

Zhao, Z. Y., Zhu, Y. Z., Xu, J. W., Hu, S. X., Hu, Q. Q., Lei, Z., Rui, J., Liu, X. C., Wang, Y., Yang, M., Luo, L., Yu, S. S., Li, J., Liu, R. Y., Xie, F., Su, Y. Y., Chiang, Y. C., Zhao, B. H., Cui, J. A., … Chen, T. M. (2020). A five-compartment model of age-specific transmissibility of SARS-CoV-2. *Infectious Disease of Poverty*, *9*(1), 117. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40249-020-00735-x

Zheng, N., Du, S., Wang, J., Zhang, H., Cui, W., Kang, Z., Yang, T., Lou, B., Chi, Y., Long, H., Ma, M., Yuan, Q., Zhang, S., Zhang, D., Ye, F., & Xin, J. (2020). Predicting COVID-19 in China using hybrid AI model. *IEEE Transactions on Cybernetics*, *50*(7), 2891–2904. https://doi.org/10.1109/TCYB.2020.2990162

Zu, J., Li, M. L., Li, Z. F., Shen, M. W., Xiao, Y. N., & Ji, F. P. (2020). Transmission patterns of COVID-19 in the mainland of China and the efficacy of different control strategies: A data- and model-driven study. *Infectious Disease of Poverty*, *9*(1), 83. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40249-020-00709-z