#### **CORRESPONDENCE**



**Translational Therapeutics** 

# Identifying optimal first-line treatment for advanced non-small cell lung carcinoma with high PD-L1 expression: a matter of debate

© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature Limited 2022

British Journal of Cancer (2022) 127:1381-1382; https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41416-022-01929-w

Following the advent of several novel treatment options in treatment-naive patients, the challenge of choosing the best firstline treatment for advanced non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) with high PD-L1 expression has emerged [1]. Clinicians are now called to choose between immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs) monotherapy and chemoimmunotherapy in wild-type NSCLC [2]. Cross-trials comparisons, albeit being a commonly used tool in clinical practice, should be avoided for decision-making, since all trials assessing ICIs, alone or in combination with chemotherapy, present important differences—e.g., in terms of the patient population, primary endpoints, and length of median follow-up.

In the current systematic review and network meta-analysis (NMA) published by Wang et al., the authors pulled together 22 randomised controlled clinical trials encompassing a total of 4289 NSCLC patients [3]. According to the results of the NMA, Wang and colleagues suggested that chemoimmunotherapy was associated with a statistically significant improvement in overall response rate (ORR) and progression-free survival (PFS) when compared with immune checkpoint inhibitors, while no significant overall survival (OS) differences were observed [3].

Wang et al. performed Bayesian NMA to optimise data extrapolation and to compare different treatments when no direct comparative trial was available, and to obtain more precise effect estimated by jointly considering direct and indirect comparisons [4]. Herein, the authors used rigorous, wellaccepted methods to assess evidence across clinical trials, also acknowledging important limitations.

However, we believe some methodological issues would deserve discussion.

Bayesian NMA—similarly to pairwise meta-analysis—may be associated with the inflation of type 1 (false positive) and type 2 (false negative) errors; since these specific errors have been suggested to play an important role validating true-positive as well as true-negative findings in meta-analyses, this issue should be carefully considered [5]. In our view, Wang et al. are to be commended for this interesting NMA aimed at evaluating a timely topic in NSCLC. A strength of this study is that the search was thorough and included the latest information on clinical trials; moreover, by assessing the quality of eligible studies, the authors highlighted that the studies included in their research were relatively well-controlled. At the same time, Bayesian NMA presents some limitations that should be carefully considered,

Received: 5 June 2022 Revised: 6 July 2022 Accepted: 15 July 2022 Published online: 5 September 2022

and this analysis has not the statistical power to replace head-tohead clinical trials comparison. Thus, the interesting piece of work by Wang et al. further emphasises the need for large-scale, welldesigned clinical trials aimed at comparing chemoimmunotherapy and ICIs monotherapy as first-line treatment for advanced NSCLC patients with high PD-L1 expression, which remains a matter of debate.

We invite the authors to share their views on these remarks.

Alessandro Rizzo 1 ™

<sup>1</sup>Struttura Semplice Dipartimentale di Oncologia Medica per la Presa in Carico Globale del Paziente Oncologico "Don Tonino Bello", I.R.C.C.S. Istituto Tumori "Giovanni Paolo II,", Viale Orazio Flacco 65, Bari 70124, Italy. <sup>™</sup>email: rizzo.alessandro179@gmail.com

## REFERENCES

- 1. Herbst RS, Morgensztern D, Boshoff C. The biology and management of non-small cell lung cancer. Nature. 2018;553:446-54. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature25183.
- 2. Ettinger DS, Wood DE, Aggarwal C, Aisner DL, Akerley W, Bauman JR, et al. NCCN guidelines insights: non-small cell lung cancer, version 1.2020. J Natl Compr Canc Netw. 2019;17:1464-72. https://doi.org/10.6004/jnccn.2019.0059.
- 3. Wang Y, Han H, Zhang F, Lv T, Zhan P, Ye M, et al. Immune checkpoint inhibitors alone vs immune checkpoint inhibitors-combined chemotherapy for NSCLC patients with high PD-L1 expression: a network meta-analysis. Br J Cancer. 2022. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41416-022-01832-4.
- 4. Higgins JP, Jackson D, Barrett JK, Lu G, Ades AE, White IR. Consistency and inconsistency in network meta-analysis: concepts and models for multi-arm studies. Res Synth Methods. 2012;3:98-110.
- 5. Rouse B, Chaimani A, Li T. Network meta-analysis: an introduction for clinicians. Intern Emerg Med. 2017;12:103-11. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11739-016-1583-7.

#### **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

AR contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

### **FUNDING**

This research received no financial support.

#### COMPETING INTERESTS

The author declares that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict

#### ETHICS APPROVAL AND CONSENT TO PARTICIPATE

Not applicable.

1382

## **CONSENT TO PUBLISH**

Not applicable.

## **ADDITIONAL INFORMATION**

**Correspondence** and requests for materials should be addressed to Alessandro Rizzo.

Reprints and permission information is available at http://www.nature.com/reprints

**Publisher's note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.