

Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews

Boswellia for osteoarthritis (Protocol)

wang Z, Singh A, Jones G, Aitken D, Lasiett LL, Hussain S, Garcia-Motina P, Ding C, Antony B	

Wang Z, Singh A, Jones G, Aitken D, Laslett LL, Hussain S, García-Molina P, Ding C, Antony B. Boswellia for osteoarthritis (Protocol). *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2022, Issue 10. Art. No.: CD014969.

DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD014969.

www.cochranelibrary.com



TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	1
BACKGROUND	2
OBJECTIVES	3
METHODS	3
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	7
REFERENCES	8
APPENDICES	11
CONTRIBUTIONS OF AUTHORS	14
DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST	14
SOURCES OF SUPPORT	14



[Intervention Protocol]

Boswellia for osteoarthritis

Zhiqiang Wang 1,2,3a , Ambrish Singh 1a , Graeme Jones 1 , Dawn Aitken 1 , Laura L Laslett 1 , Salman Hussain 4 , Pablo García-Molina 5 , Changhai Ding 1,6,7 , Benny Antony 1

¹Menzies Institute for Medical Research, University of Tasmania, Hobart, Australia. ²Clinical Research Centre, Zhujiang Hospital, Southern Medical University, Guangzhou, China. ³Department of Clinical Pharmacology, The Second Hospital of Anhui Medical University, Hefei, China. ⁴Cochrane Czech Republic, Czech National Centre for Evidence-Based Healthcare and Knowledge Translation (Czech EBHC: JBI Centre of Excellence, Masaryk University GRADE Centre), Institute of Biostatistics and Analyses, Faculty of Medicine, Masaryk University, Brno, Czech Republic. ⁵Virgen de las Nieves University Hospital, Instituto de Investigación Biosanitaria ibs.GRANADA, Granada, Spain. ⁶Clinical Research Centre, Zhujiang Hospital, Southern Medical University, Guangzhou, China. ⁷Department of Epidemiology and Preventive Medicine, School of Public Health and Preventive Medicine, Monash University, Melbourne, Australia

^aThese authors contributed equally to this work

Contact: Benny Antony, benny.eathakkattuantony@utas.edu.au.

Editorial group: Cochrane Musculoskeletal Group.

Publication status and date: New, published in Issue 10, 2022.

Citation: Wang Z, Singh A, Jones G, Aitken D, Laslett LL, Hussain S, García-Molina P, Ding C, Antony B. Boswellia for osteoarthritis (Protocol). *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2022, Issue 10. Art. No.: CD014969. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD014969.

Copyright © 2022 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

ABSTRACT

Objectives

This is a protocol for a Cochrane Review (intervention). The objectives are as follows:

 $To \ assess \ the \ benefits \ and \ harms \ of \ boswellia \ extracts \ (BEs), \ or \ formulations \ containing \ BEs \ for \ osteoarthritis.$



BACKGROUND

Description of the condition

Osteoarthritis (OA) is a common, chronic disorder of the joints that imparts a substantial and ever-increasing health burden, especially in the elderly population (Cross 2014; Glyn-Jones 2015). OA affects 7% of the global population and is responsible for 2% of total global years lived with disability (GBD 2020). OA prevalence is higher in women, and increases with advancing age and increasing body mass index (BMI (Palazzo 2016)). Due to the increasing prevalence of obesity and an ageing global population, the prevalence of OA has been steadily increasing over the past few decades (GBD 2020). OA is a leading cause of chronic pain and long-term disability in adults; its major symptoms include joint pain, stiffness, and joint functional loss (Martel-Pelletier 2016). OA most commonly affects the joints in the knees, hips, hand, foot, ankle, back, and neck (Kapoor 2015). The pathogenesis of OA is complex, and involves a dynamic process mediated by mechanical, inflammatory, and metabolic factors (Katz 2021; Mobasheri 2016).

The current treatment guidelines for OA list few management options, which include non-pharmacological and pharmacological options. Non-pharmacological treatments are the core treatment option for the management of OA, and include weight loss, self-management and education programmes, and physical exercise. Pharmacological treatments include oral nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) in those without contraindications, topical NSAIDs, and glucocorticoid injections (Bannuru 2019; Fransen 2015; Katz 2021; Messier 2013; Rini 2015). NSAIDs are often the first-line pharmacologic treatment for OA; however, they are often contraindicated in people with OA, who often have comorbidities (Honvo 2019; Mason 2004; Rannou 2016).

Description of the intervention

Frankincense (also known as olibanum) is a fragrant resinous extract from moderate-sized deciduous trees of the genus *Boswellia* (Tucker 1986; Weeks 2005). Four *Boswellia species* in the Burseraceae family produce frankincense, including *Boswellia sacra* (synonym *B. carterii*), *B. frereana*, *B. serrata*, and *B. papyrifera* (The Plant List 2013). Boswellia extract (BE) is produced from frankincense using extraction, fraction, purification, and identification techniques. Boswellic acids are the main active components of BE; they contain acetyl-keto-beta-boswellic acid (AKBA) as the key pharmacologically active ingredient (Abubakar 2020; Cameron 2014).

Pharmacokinetic studies have found that boswellic acids exhibit poor bioavailability when taken orally (Abdel-Tawab 2011; Skarke 2012). However, they are lipophilic (or fat-loving) in nature, hence, ingestion of the boswellia extract with high-fat meals have shown an increased plasma concentration of boswellic acids and AKBA (Sterk 2004). Combining BE with other herbal extracts and phospholipid-based delivery systems has also shown an increase in its bioavailability (Husch 2013;Yu 2020).

Formulations containing boswellia extracts have exhibited medicinal properties, and have been used in the management of OA, rheumatoid arthritis, Crohn's disease, and collagenous colitis (Ernst 2008; Hughes 2007). Dozens of *Boswelliaserrata* preparations are commercially available, and sold as food supplements (Ernst 2008). They are generally taken orally for OA, and are available as

capsules or tablets (Ernst 2008; Yu 2020). Several studies have found BEs to have a favourable and acceptable safety profile (Cameron 2014; Ernst 2008; Krishnaraju 2010; Yu 2020). No significant levels of toxicity have been noted; minor adverse effects of boswellia include diarrhoea, abdominal pain, and nausea (Ernst 2008; Yu 2020).

How the intervention might work

Inflammatory mechanisms and oxidative stress have been known to play an important role in the pathogenesis of OA, leading to loss of articular cartilage, synovitis (inflammation of the joint-lining), and osteophyte (bone spurs) formation (Ansari 2020; Kapoor 2015; Lepetsos 2016; Loeser 2012; Robinson 2016). The main pharmacologically active ingredients of BEs, such as α and β boswellic acids, AKBA, and other pentacyclic triterpenic acids, have been shown to inhibit pro-inflammatory processes, by their effects on 5-lipooxygenase and cyclo-oxygenase on the complement system (Ammon 2006; Ammon 2010). Treatment with BEs has been associated with the reduction of oxidative stress (Mbiantcha 2018; Zhang 2016), and reduction of inflammatory symptoms, through the combined inhibition of cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) and 5-lipoxygenase (5-LOX) pathways (Li 2016; Ren 2015).

Preclinical and clinical evidence suggests that the active compounds of BEs (α - and β -BA, AKBA) exhibit anti-inflammatory and antioxidant properties that may be relevant in the treatment of OA (Efferth 2020; Iram 2017). Previous randomised controlled trials (RCTs) have shown that boswellia extracts significantly improve pain and physical function scores in people with knee OA when compared with a placebo (Kimmatkar 2003; Sengupta 2008). Another trial compared the analgesic activity of BEs to placebo in healthy adults, and found that BEs significantly increased the pain threshold and pain tolerance force compared to placebo (Prabhavathi 2014).

A recent review has also shown the beneficial effects of boswellia on metabolic syndrome (MetS (Mahdian 2020)). There is increasing evidence on the role of MetS in the development of OA symptoms and structural abnormalities, and any effect of BE on MetS could be relevant for the management of OA (Zhuo 2012), including reduction of insulin resistance (Ammon 2019; Gomaa 2019), mitigation of hyperglycaemia (Azadmehr 2014), and alleviation of hyperlipidaemia (Mahdian 2020). Hence, BEs may present a promising option for the treatment of OA (Gupta 2011; Kimmatkar 2003; Kulkarni 2020; Shah 2010).

Why it is important to do this review

NSAIDs are the first-line pharmacologic treatment option for OA; however, their safety profile and contraindications limit their long-term use in people with OA, who often have comorbidities (Katz 2021). Intra-articular glucocorticoid injections are another pharmacologic treatment option for OA, however, they provide pain relief only for a few weeks, and their effect on cartilage is debatable (Katz 2021). The lack of effective treatment options for the management of OA highlights the need for alternative treatments. Complementary and alternative medicines (CAMs) have good acceptability among the general population, and studies have found that more than half of the people with OA reported using herbal medicines during the course of their disease (Lapane 2012; Unsal 2010). Furthermore, there is widespread over-the-counter (OTC) use of phytopharmaceuticals based on BEs (Abdel-Tawab 2011).



Three systematic reviews of RCTs evaluated the efficacy and safety of boswellia for the treatment of OA (Cameron 2014; Liu 2018; Yu 2020). Cameron 2014 evaluated oral medicinal plant products for the treatment of OA, and included five studies that compared three different boswellia extracts with placebo and valdecoxib. Liu 2018 assessed the efficacy of various dietary supplements for the treatment of OA, and found that boswellia extracts reduced pain (standardised mean difference (SMD) -1.61, 95% confidence interval (CI) -2.10 to -1.13), and improved physical function (SMD -1.15, 95% CI -1.63 to -0.68) more than other supplements at three months. Yu 2020 also found that Boswelliaserrata and its extracts may relieve pain (mean difference (MD) -8.33, 95% CI -11.19 to -5.46) better than placebo and ibuprofen.

However, these systematic reviews did not include primary studies that explored different types of extracts (non-bio-enhanced extracts, bio-enhanced extracts, etc.), or different combinations of BEs. New evidence from recent clinical trials has also become available since the publication of these systematic reviews. Therefore, it is important to do a new synthesis of existing evidence, including different combinations of BEs, to inform consumers and stakeholders about the efficacy and safety of boswellia for the management of OA.

OBJECTIVES

To assess the benefits and harms of boswellia extracts (BEs), or formulations containing BEs for osteoarthritis.

METHODS

Criteria for considering studies for this review

Types of studies

We will include randomised controlled trials (RCT). We will include studies reported as full text, those published as abstract only, and unpublished data. There will be no language restriction.

We will exclude observational, cross-over, cluster, and non-randomised trials.

Types of participants

We will include adults (18 years and older), of any sex, diagnosed with osteoarthritis (OA), at any site (e.g. knee, hip, or hand), according to the criteria proposed by the American College of Rheumatology (ACR), or other similar criteria defined in the studies (Altman 1986).

We will include studies that only have a subset of eligible participants if the outcomes are reported for eligible participants separately, or most (at least 75%) of the study population consists of eligible participants.

Types of interventions

The interventions of interest are boswellia extracts (BE) obtained from trees of the genus boswellia (Boswellia sacra, B. carterii, B. frereana, B. serrata, and B. papyrifera) and any formulations containing these BEs (The Plant List 2013). We will include trials with formulations containing BE and any bioavailability enhancing combination of BE (e.g. BE combined with piperine, soy lecithin formulation of BEs, or BEs enriched in acetyl-keto-beta-boswellic

acid (AKBA) and the non-volatile oil portion of *B. serrata* gum resin (Abdel-Tawab 2021)).

The planned comparisons are:

- 1. BE versus placebo
- 2. BE versus standard care, no treatment, or waiting-list control
- 3. BE versus nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs)

We will include studies that compare BE in combinations with other pharmacological interventions (e.g. NSAIDs, curcumin, etc.) only if the same active interventions (except BE) are present in the comparator group (e.g. both the treatment and control group received NSAIDs, curcumin, etc.).

We will exclude trials with multiherbal formulations containing BE.

Types of outcome measures

Major outcomes:

- 1. Pain
- 2. Physical function
- 3. Health-related quality of life
- Participant-reported global assessment of success, as measured by a participant-reported global impression of clinical change (much or very much improved), or similar measure (e.g. proportion achieving 30% reduction in pain)
- 5. Withdrawals due to adverse events
- 6. Serious adverse events
- 7. Adverse events

For studies reporting more than one measure of pain, we will use the following hierarchy, and extract the measure that ranks first in the list (Juni 2006):

- 1. Pain overall
- 2. Pain on walking
- 3. WOMAC (Western Ontario and McMaster Universities Arthritis Index) pain subscale
- 4. KOOS (Knee injury and Osteoarthritis Outcome Score) pain subscale
- 5. Pain on activities other than walking
- 6. WOMAC global scale
- 7. Lequesne osteoarthritis index global score
- 8. Other algofunctional scales
- 9. Participant's global assessment
- 10.Physician's global assessment

Similarly, for studies providing more than one physical function scale, we will use the following hierarchy, and extract the measure that ranks first in the list (Juni 2006):

- 1. Global disability score
- 2. Walking disability
- 3. WOMAC disability subscore
- 4. KOOS composite disability subscores
- 5. Other composite disability subscores
- 6. Disability other than walking
- 7. WOMAC global scale



- 8. KOOS global scale
- 9. Lequesne osteoarthritis index global score
- 10. Other algofunctional scales

For studies reporting more than one quality of life scale, we will use the following hierarchy, and extract the measure that ranks first in the list (Juni 2006):

- 1. Short-Form Health Survey (SF-36; mental health component)
- 2. EQ-5D (EuroQol 5-dimension guestionnaire)
- 3. EuroQoL 15D
- 4. Sickness Impact Profile (SIP)
- 5. Nottingham Health Profile (NHP)
- 6. Assessment of Quality of Life instrument (AQoL)
- 7. Other validated quality of life scores

Minor outcomes:

- The Osteoarthritis Research Society International (OARSI) recommended a set of physical performance measures for knee and hip osteoarthritis. For studies reporting more than one measure, we will use the following hierarchy, and extract the measure that ranks first in the list.
 - a. sit-to-stand (30-second chair stand test, the maximum number of chair stand repetitions possible in a 30-second period)
 - b. walking short distances (4*10 m) fast-paced walk test; a fast-paced walking test that is timed over 4×10 m (33 ft) for a total 40 m (132 ft)
 - stair negotiation (the time (in seconds) it takes to ascend and descend a flight of stairs (Dobson 2013))
- Change in OA-associated biochemical marker interleukin 6 (IL-6 (Kraus 2017; Zhu 2021))
- 3. Change in OA-associated biochemical marker tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α (Kraus 2017; Zhu 2021))
- Change in OA-associated biochemical marker high-sensitivity C-reactive protein (hs-CRP (Kraus 2017; Zhu 2021))
- 5. Change in OA-associated biochemical marker Matrix metalloproteinase-3 (MMP-3 (Kraus 2017; Zhu 2021))
- Participant adherence (numbers of pills of the investigations drugs given to the participants, counted at the beginning of the trial and at the end of the trial, the proportion of pills taken is surrogate for participant adherence)

We will not exclude studies based on the outcomes listed above.

We will consider the following time points for the extraction of outcomes: short-term (\leq 3 months), medium-term (4 to 6 months), and long-term (> 6 months).

Search methods for identification of studies

We will develop a search strategy using previous search strategies to identify studies of BE and BE formulations for the treatment of OA. Search terms for interventions will include: Boswellia,Boswellia serrata, Boswelliacarteri, Boswelliafrereana, Boswellia ru xiang (乳香, the Chinese name for Boswellia serrata), boswellic acid, Frankincense, Shallaki, Salai, aflapin, 5-loxin, and other formulations, such as Acujoint, Lanconone. Search terms for participants will include Osteoarthritis, Osteoarthritides, Osteoarthrosis, Osteoarthroses, Degenerative Arthritis, Arthritides.

Electronic searches

We will search the following sources from their inception to the present:

- 1. Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL) OVID
- 2. MEDLINE OVID
- 3. Embase OVID
- 4. China Network Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI; www.cnki.net/)
- 5. Chinese Scientific Journals Database (VIP; www.cqvip.com/)
- 6. Wan Fang data (www.wanfangdata.com.cn/index.html)
- 7. SinoMed (www.sinomed.ac.cn/)

We will also conduct a search of the US National Institutes of Health Ongoing Trials Register ClinicalTrials.gov (www.ClinicalTrials.gov) and the World Health Organization International Clinical Trials Registry Platform (www.who.int/ictrp/en/), using terms, such as boswellia and boswellic acid. We will search all databases from their inception to the present, and we will impose no restriction on the language of publication while searching the database. For detailed search strategies, see Appendix 1.

Searching other resources

We will include both published and unpublished trials, with the latter including, e.g. abstracts, conference proceedings, and posters with available data.

We will include abstract booklets from the last two years' conference proceedings; and will search abstracts and poster sessions from the web, using the online sources of major international associations involved in OA research: European League Against Rheumatism (EULAR), Osteoarthritis Research Society International (OARSI), American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons (AAOS), and ACR, using relevant keywords, such as boswellic acid and boswellia.

Data collection and analysis

Selection of studies

Two review authors (ZW and AS) will independently screen titles and abstracts for inclusion of all the potentially relevant studies identified as a result of the search, and code them as 'retrieve' (eligible, or potentially eligible, or unclear) or 'do not retrieve'. We will retrieve the full-text study reports/publication, and two review authors (ZW and AS) will independently screen the full text to identify studies for inclusion, and identify and record reasons for exclusion of the ineligible studies. We will resolve any disagreement through discussion, or if required, we will consult a third review author (BA).

We will identify and exclude duplicates, and collate multiple reports of the same study under a single reference ID so that each study, rather than each report, is the unit of interest in the review. We will record the selection process in sufficient detail to complete the characteristics of excluded studies table and a PRISMA flow diagram (Page 2020).

Data extraction and management

 Two review authors (ZW and AS) will independently perform data extraction, using a data collection form piloted on at least



one included study. We will extract the following data from each eligible study:

- a. method: study design, study duration, study locations, study setting, and funding of the trial
- b. characteristics of the population: N (sample size), mean age, sex, and body mass index (BMI)
- c. intervention details: intervention and comparator dosage, frequency, route of administration, duration, and comedications
- d. outcome measurements: mean change values of the relevant outcome, and the number of adverse event reports, and medication change
- e. notes: funding for trial, and notable declarations of interest of trial authors

Two review authors (ZW and AS) will independently extract outcome data from included studies. We will extract the number of events and number of participants per treatment group for dichotomous outcomes, and means and standard deviations and number of participants per treatment group for continuous outcomes. In the characteristics of included studies table, we will note if outcome data were not reported in a usable way, and when data were transformed or estimated from a graph. We will resolve disagreements by consensus or by involving a third review author (BA).

We will use Plot Digitizer software to extract data, in duplicate, from graphs or figures (Plot Digitizer 2021).

We will extract data according to the following rules.

- If multiple time points are reported, we will extract the outcome data closest to the three-month time point for the short-term, closest to six months for intermediate-term, and collect all other available time points as long-term follow-up.
- We will extract intention-to-treat (ITT) analysis data, if available. In the absence of ITT data, we will extract 'per protocol' or 'as treated' data.
- 3. We will extract change scores if both change and endpoint values are reported.

Main planned comparisons

The primary comparison will be BE versus placebo.

The other main comparisons will be:

- 1. BE versus standard care, no treatment, or waiting-list control
- 2. BE versus NSAIDs

Assessment of risk of bias in included studies

Two review authors (ZW and AS) will independently evaluate the risk of bias of the selected studies using Cochrane's RoB 1 (Higgins 2017). We will resolve any disagreements by discussion with our senior review author (BA).

We will assess the risk of bias according to the following domains.

- 1. Random sequence generation
- 2. Allocation concealment
- 3. Blinding of participants and personnel
- 4. Blinding of outcome assessment

- 5. Incomplete outcome data
- 6. Selective outcome reporting
- 7. Other bias

We will assess each potential source of bias as high, low, or unclear risk, and provide a quote from the study report together with a justification for our judgement in the risk of bias table. We will summarise the risk of bias judgements across different studies for each of the domains listed. We will consider blinding separately for self-reported outcomes and assessor-reported outcomes, and will judge them separately. In addition, we will consider the impact of missing data by key outcomes.

When information on risk of bias relates to unpublished data or correspondence with a trialist, we will note this in the risk of bias table.

When considering treatment effects, we will take into account the risk of bias for the studies that contribute to that outcome.

We will present the figures generated by RoB 1 to provide summary assessments of the risk of bias.

Measures of treatment effect

We will analyse continuous data as mean differences (MD) or standardised mean differences (SMD), depending on whether the same scale is used to measure an outcome, and 95% confidence intervals (CIs). We will enter data presented as a scale with a consistent direction of effect across studies. When different scales are used to measure the same conceptual outcome (e.g. disability), we will calculate SMDs, with corresponding 95% CIs. We will backtranslate the SMDs to the typical scale (e.g. 0 to 100 mm for VAS pain, and 0 to 100 for WOMAC pain) by multiplying the SMD by an average among-person standard deviation (SD), taken from the control group at baseline from the most representative trial (Higgins 2021).

In the Effects of interventions section of the results, and the What happens column of the summary of findings tables, we will report the absolute per cent change, and the relative per cent change from baseline.

We will analyse dichotomous safety data as risk ratios (RRs), or Peto odds ratios (ORs) when an outcome is a rare event (approximately less than 10%), and use 95% CIs. We will calculate the absolute per cent change from the difference in the risks between the intervention and control group using GRADEpro GDT, and express this as a percentage (GRADEpro GDT). We will calculate the relative per cent change as the RR - 1, and express this as a percentage.

Unit of analysis issues

The unit of analysis will be the individual participant for all trials. When multiple trial arms are reported in a single trial, we will include only the relevant arms. If two sets of comparisons (e.g. drug X versus placebo, and drug Y versus placebo) from a single trial are combined in the same meta-analysis, we will halve the control group to avoid double-counting.

Dealing with missing data

We will contact investigators or study sponsors to verify key study characteristics and obtain missing numerical outcome data, when possible (e.g. when a study is identified as an abstract only, or



when data are not available for all participants). When this is not possible, and the missing data are thought to introduce serious bias, we will explore the impact of including such studies in the overall assessment of results, with a sensitivity analysis. Any assumptions and imputations used to handle missing data will be clearly described, and the effect of imputation explored with sensitivity analyses.

For dichotomous outcomes (e.g. number of withdrawals due to adverse events), we will calculate the withdrawal rate using the number of participants randomised to the group as the denominator.

For continuous outcomes (e.g. mean change in pain score), we will calculate the MD or SMD based on the number of participants analysed at that time point. If the number of participants analysed is not presented for each time point, we will use the number of participants randomised to each group at baseline.

When possible, we will calculate missing standard deviations from other statistics, such as standard errors, confidence intervals, or P values, according to the methods recommended in Chapter 6 of the *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions* (Higgins 2021). If standard deviations cannot be calculated, they will be imputed (e.g. from other studies in the meta-analysis).

Assessment of heterogeneity

We will assess the clinical and methodological diversity of participants, interventions, outcomes, and study characteristics (e.g. study design, outcome measurement tools, etc.) from the included studies to determine whether a meta-analysis is appropriate, by observing these data in the characteristics of included studies tables. We will assess statistical heterogeneity by visual inspection of the forest plot to assess the direction and magnitude of effects, and the degree of overlap between CIs.

We will use the I² statistic to quantify inconsistency among the trials in each analysis. We will also consider the P value from the Chi² test. If we identify substantial heterogeneity, we will report it, and explore possible causes by prespecified subgroup analysis. When there are few studies, we will use caution in applying the thresholds below to interpret statistical heterogeneity.

We will use this approximate guide for the interpretation of an I^2 value (Deeks 2021)

- 1. I² value 0% to 40%: might not be important
- 2. I² value 30% to 60%: may represent moderate heterogeneity
- 3. I² value 50% to 90%: may represent substantial heterogeneity
- 4. I² value 75% to 100%: considerable heterogeneity

We will keep in mind that the importance of the I² value depends on the (i) magnitude and direction of effects, and (ii) strength of evidence for heterogeneity (e.g. P value from the Chi² test, or a confidence interval for I²). Uncertainty in the value of I² is substantial when fewer studies are included in the meta-analysis (Deeks 2021).

We will interpret the Chi^2 test with $P \le 0.10$ as evidence of statistical heterogeneity.

If we identify substantial heterogeneity, we will report it and investigate possible causes, by following the recommendations in section 10.10 of the *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions* (Deeks 2021).

Assessment of reporting biases

We will create and examine a funnel plot to explore possible small study biases, if we have at least 10 studies in a meta-analysis. In interpreting funnel plots, we will examine the possible reasons for funnel plot asymmetry, as outlined in Chapter 13 of the *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions*, and relate this to the results of the review. If we are able to pool more than 10 trials, we will undertake formal statistical tests to investigate funnel plot asymmetry, and will follow the recommendations in section 13.3 of the *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions* (Page 2021).

To assess outcome reporting bias, we will check trial protocols against published reports. For studies published after 1 July 2005, we will screen ClinicalTrials.gov and WHO ICTRP trial registers for the a priori trial protocol. We will evaluate whether selective reporting of outcomes is present.

Data synthesis

We will perform meta-analyses if the treatments, participants, underlying clinical question, and timing of assessments are similar enough for pooling to make sense. We will use the random-effects model for the meta-analysis, and the fixed-effect model for the sensitivity analyses. In the primary analysis, we will include all studies, regardless of the risk of bias. If the included studies do not allow pooling of data, we will present the results in a narrative format.

Subgroup analysis and investigation of heterogeneity

If there are sufficient data, we will conduct subgroup analyses to assess if pain and physical function differ for different subgroups, listed as follows:

 Different BE formulation types: non-bio-enhanced extracts or bio-enhanced extracts compared to placebo. The bio-enhanced formulations of BE may have increased bioavailability, and thus, may show better protective effects (Sengupta 2011).

We will use the formal test for subgroup intersection in Review Manager 2020, and interpret the results as advised in section 10.11.2 of the *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions* (Deeks 2021). We will compare the magnitude of the effect between subgroups by assessing the overlap between CIs of the summary effect estimates, where non-overlapping CIs indicate statistical significance.

Sensitivity analysis

We plan to carry out the following sensitivity analyses for the main comparison (BE versus placebo) to investigate the robustness of the treatment effect on pain and physical function:

- 1. Impact of including studies with high or unclear risk of selection, detection, and attrition biases
- 2. Impact of including studies with imputed data



Summary of findings and assessment of the certainty of the evidence

We will create a summary of findings table for the following major outcomes:

- 1 Pain
- 2. Physical function
- 3. Health-related quality of life
- 4. Participant-reported global assessment of success
- 5. Withdrawals due to adverse events
- 6. Serious adverse events
- 7. Adverse events

The comparison in the first summary of findings table will be BE versus placebo. The comparisons for other summary of findings tables will be BE versus standard care, no treatment, or waiting-list control; and BE versus NSAIDs.

We will follow the guidelines in the *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions*, Chapters 14 and 15 to interpret results, and will distinguish between a lack of evidence of effect and a lack of effect (Schünemann 2021; Schünemann 2021a). We will base our conclusions only on findings from the quantitative or narrative synthesis of included studies for this review. We will avoid making recommendations for practice; our implications for research will suggest priorities for future research, and outline the remaining uncertainties in the area.

Two authors (ZW and AS) will independently assess the certainty of the evidence, with disagreements resolved by discussion with the senior review author (BA). We will use the five GRADE considerations (study limitations (overall risk of bias), consistency of effect, imprecision, indirectness, and publication bias) to assess the certainty of a body of evidence, based on the studies that contribute data to the analyses for each prespecified outcome. We will report the certainty of evidence as high, moderate, low, or very low. We will justify, document, and incorporate our judgements into the reporting of results for each outcome.

We will use GRADEpro software to prepare and display the summary of findings tables (GRADEpro GDT). We will justify all decisions to downgrade the certainty of evidence for each outcome using footnotes, and we will make comments to aid the reader's understanding of the review when necessary.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We acknowledge peer reviewer Dr Ivan Shirinsky, MD, PhD, Doctor of Science; Laboratory of Clinical Immunopharmacology; Federal State Budgetary Scientific Institution Research Institute of Fundamental and Clinical Immunology; Novosibirsk, Russian Federation and consumer reviewer Ms Maureen Smith, Cochrane Consumer for their comments on this protocol. We also acknowledge the copy editor, Victoria Pennick, for copy editing the protocol.



REFERENCES

Additional references

Abdel-Tawab 2011

Abdel-Tawab M, Werz O, Schubert-Zsilavecz M. Boswellia serrata: an overall assessment of in vitro, preclinical, pharmacokinetic and clinical data. *Clinical Pharmacokinetics* 2011;**50**(6):349-69.

Abdel-Tawab 2021

Abdel-Tawab M. Considerations to be taken when carrying out medicinal plant research – what we learn from an Insight into the IC $_{50}$ values, bioavailability and clinical efficacy of exemplary anti-inflammatory herbal components. *Pharmaceuticals (Basel)* 2021;**14**(5):437.

Abubakar 2020

Abubakar AR, Haque M. Preparation of medicinal plants: basic extraction and fractionation procedures for experimental purposes. *Journal of Pharmacy & Bioallied Sciences* 2020;**12**(1):1-10.

Altman 1986

Altman R, Asch E, Bloch D, Bole G, Borenstein D, Brandt K, et al. Development of criteria for the classification and reporting of osteoarthritis: classification of osteoarthritis of the knee. *Arthritis & Rheumatism* 1986;**29**(8):1039-49.

Ammon 2006

Ammon HP. Boswellic acids in chronic inflammatory diseases. *Planta medica* 2006;**72**(12):1100-16.

Ammon 2010

Ammon HP. Modulation of the immune system by Boswellia serrata extracts and boswellic acids. *Phytomedicine* 2010;**17**(11):862-7.

Ammon 2019

Ammon HP. Boswellic extracts and 11-keto-\(\beta\)-boswellic acids prevent type 1 and type 2 diabetes mellitus by suppressing the expression of proinflammatory cytokines. *Phytomedicine* 2019;**63**:153002.

Ansari 2020

Ansari MY, Ahmad N, Haqqi TM. Oxidative stress and inflammation in osteoarthritis pathogenesis: role of polyphenols. *Biomedicine & Pharmacotherapy* 2020;**129**:110452.

Azadmehr 2014

Azadmehr A, Ziaee A, Ghanei L, Fallah Huseini H, Hajiaghaee R, Tavakoli-Far B, et al. A randomized clinical trial study: antioxidant, anti-hyperglycemic and anti-hyperlipidemice effects of olibanum gum in type 2 diabetic patients. *Iranian Journal of Pharmaceutical Research* 2014;**13**(3):1003-9.

Bannuru 2019

Bannuru RR, Osani MC, Vaysbrot EE, Arden NK, Bennell K, Bierma-Zeinstra SMA, et al. OARSI guidelines for the non-surgical management of knee, hip, and polyarticular osteoarthritis. *Osteoarthritis and Cartilage* 2019;**27**(11):1578-89.

Cameron 2014

Cameron M, Chrubasik S. Oral herbal therapies for treating osteoarthritis. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2014, Issue 5. Art. No: CD002947. [DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD002947.pub2]

Cross 2014

Cross M, Smith E, Hoy D, Nolte S, Ackerman I, Fransen M, et al. The global burden of hip and knee osteoarthritis: estimates from the global burden of disease 2010 study. *Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases* 2014;**73**(7):1323-30.

Deeks 2021

Deeks JJ, Higgins JP, Altman DG, editor(s). Chapter 10: Analysing data and undertaking meta-analyses. In: Higgins JP, Thomas J, Chandler J, Cumpston MS, Li T, Page MJ, et al, editor(s). Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions Version 6.2 (updated February 2021), Cochrane, 2021. Available from www.training.cochrane.org/handbook/archive/v6.2/.

Dobson 2013

Dobson F, Hinman RS, Roos EM, Abbott JH, Stratford P, Davis AM, et al. OARSI recommended performance-based tests to assess physical function in people diagnosed with hip or knee osteoarthritis. *Osteoarthritis and Cartilage* 2013;**21**(8):1042-52.

Efferth 2020

Efferth T, Oesch F. Anti-inflammatory and anti-cancer activities of frankincense: targets, treatments and toxicities. Seminars in Cancer Biology 2020;**80**:39-57. [DOI: 10.1016/j.semcancer.2020.01.015]

Ernst 2008

Ernst E. Frankincense: systematic review. BMJ 2008;337:a2813.

Fransen 2015

Fransen M, McConnell S, Harmer AR, Van der Esch M, Simic M, Bennell KL. Exercise for osteoarthritis of the knee. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2015, Issue 1. Art. No: CD004376. [DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD004376.pub3]

GBD 2020

Global Burden of Disease Collaborative Network. Global Burden of Disease Study 2019 (GBD 2019) results. ghdx.healthdata.org/gbdresults-tool (accessed Feb 2, 2021).

Glyn-Jones 2015

Glyn-Jones S, Palmer AJ, Agricola R, Price AJ, Vincent TL, Weinans H, et al. Osteoarthritis. *Lancet* 2015;**386**(9991):376-87.

Gomaa 2019

Gomaa AA, Makboul RM, Al-Mokhtar MA, Nicola MA. Polyphenol rich Boswellia serrata gum prevents cognitive impairment and insulin resistance of diabetic rats through inhibition of GSK3β activity, oxidative stress and pro-inflammatory cytokines. *Biomedicine & Pharmacotherapy* 2019;**109**:281-92.



GRADEpro GDT [Computer program]

McMaster University (developed by Evidence Prime) GRADEpro GDT. Hamilton (ON): McMaster University (developed by Evidence Prime). Available at gradepro.org.

Gupta 2011

Gupta PK, Samarakoon SM, Chandola HM, Ravishankar B. Clinical evaluation of Boswellia serrata (Shallaki) resin in the management of sandhivata (osteoarthritis). *Ayu* 2011;**32**(4):478-82.

Higgins 2017

Higgins JPT, Altman DG, Sterne JAC, editor(s_. Chapter 8: Assessing risk of bias in included studies. In: Higgins JPT, Churchill R, Chandler J, Cumpston MS, editor(s). Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions Version 5.2.0 (updated June 2017). The Cochrane Collaboration, 2017. Available from training.cochrane.org/handbook/archive/v5.2/.

Higgins 2021

Higgins JPT, Li T, Deeks JJ, editor(s) . Chapter 6: Choosing effect measures and computing estimates of effect. In: Higgins JPT, Thomas J, Chandler J, Cumpston M, Li T, Page MJ, et al, editor(s). Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions Version 6.2 (updated February 2021). Cochrane, 2021. Available from www.training.cochrane.org/handbook/archive/v6.2/.

Honvo 2019

Honvo G, Leclercq V, Geerinck A, Thomas T, Veronese N, Charles A, et al. Safety of topical non-steroidal anti-Inflammatory drugs in osteoarthritis: outcomes of a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Drugs Aging* 2019;**36**(Suppl 1):45-64.

Hughes 2007

Hughes K. The Incense Bible: Plant Scents that Transcend World Culture, Medicine, and Spirituality. New York, NY: Haworth Press, 2007.

Husch 2013

Hüsch J, Bohnet J, Fricker G, Skarke C, Artaria C, Appendino G, et al. Enhanced absorption of boswellic acids by a lecithin delivery form (Phytosome®) of Boswellia extract. *Fitoterapia* 2013;**84**:89-98.

Iram 2017

Iram F, Khan SA, Husain A. Phytochemistry and potential therapeutic actions of boswellic acids: a mini review. *Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Biomedicine* 2017;**7**(6):513-23.

Juni 2006

Juni P, Reichenbach S, Dieppe P. Osteoarthritis: rational approach to treating the individual. Best Practice & Research. *Clinical Rheumatology* 2006;**20**(4):721-40.

Kapoor 2015

Kapoor M, Mahomed NN, editor(s). Osteoarthritis. Switzerland: Springer International, 2015. [DOI: 10.1007/978-3-319-19560-5]

Katz 2021

Katz JN, Arant KR, Loeser RF. Diagnosis and treatment of hip and knee osteoarthritis: a review. *JAMA* 2021;**325**(6):568–78.

Kimmatkar 2003

Kimmatkar N, Thawani V, Hingorani L, Khiyani R. Efficacy and tolerability of Boswellia serrata extract in treatment of osteoarthritis of knee – a randomized double blind placebo controlled trial. *Phytomedicine* 2003;**10**(1):3-7.

Kraus 2017

Kraus VB, Collins JE, Hargrove D, Losina E, Nevitt M, Katz JN, et al. Predictive validity of biochemical biomarkers in knee osteoarthritis: data from the FNIH OA biomarkers consortium. *Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases* 2017;**76**(1):186-95.

Krishnaraju 2010

Krishnaraju AV, Sundararaju D, Vamsikrishna U, Suryachandra R, Machiraju G, Sengupta K, et al. Safety and toxicological evaluation of Aflapin®: a novel Boswellia-derived anti-inflammatory product. *Toxicology Mechanisms and Methods* 2010;**20**(9):556-63.

Kulkarni 2020

Kulkarni PD, Damle ND, Singh S, Yadav KS, Ghante MR, Bhaskar VH, et al. Double-blind trial of solid lipid Boswellia serrata particles (SLBSP) vs. standardized Boswellia serrata gum extract (BSE) for osteoarthritis of knee. *Drug Metabolism and Personalized Therapy* 2020;**35**(2):20200104. [DOI: 10.1515/dmpt-2020-0104]

Lapane 2012

Lapane KL, Sands MR, Yang S, McAlindon TE, Eaton CB. Use of complementary and alternative medicine among patients with radiographic-confirmed knee osteoarthritis. *Osteoarthritis and Cartilage* 2012;**20**(1):22-8.

Lepetsos 2016

Lepetsos P, Papavassiliou AG. ROS/oxidative stress signaling in osteoarthritis. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA)-Molecular Basis of Disease* 2016;**1862**(4):576-91.

Li 2016

Li XJ, Yang YJ, Li YS, Zhang WK, Tang HB. α-Pinene, linalool, and 1-octanol contribute to the topical anti-inflammatory and analgesic activities of frankincense by inhibiting COX-2. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology* 2016;**179**:22-6.

Liu 2018

Liu X, Machado GC, Eyles JP, Ravi V, Hunter DJ. Dietary supplements for treating osteoarthritis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *British Journal of Sports Medicine* 2018;**52**(3):167-75.

Loeser 2012

Loeser RF, Goldring SR, Scanzello CR, Goldring MB. Osteoarthritis: a disease of the joint as an organ. *Arthritis and Rheumatism* 2012;**64**(6):1697.



Mahdian 2020

Mahdian D, Abbaszadeh-Goudarzi K, Raoofi A, Dadashizadeh G, Abroudi M, Zarepour E, et al. Effect of Boswellia species on the metabolic syndrome: a review. *Iranian Journal of Basic Medical Sciences* 2020;**23**(11):1374.

Martel-Pelletier 2016

Martel-Pelletier J, Barr AJ, Cicuttini FM, Conaghan PG, Cooper C, Goldring MB, et al. Osteoarthritis. *Nature Reviews Disease Primers* 2016;**2**:16072.

Mason 2004

Mason L, Moore RA, Edwards JE, Derry S, McQuay HJ. Topical NSAIDs for chronic musculoskeletal pain: systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders* 2004;**5**(1):1-8.

Mbiantcha 2018

Mbiantcha M, Almas J, Atsamo AD, Ateufack G, Shabana SU, Bomba Tatsinkou DF, et al. Anti-inflammatory and anti-arthritic effects of methanol extract of the stem bark of Boswellia dalzielii hutch (Burseraceae) in rats. *Inflammopharmacology* 2018;**26**(6):1383-98.

Messier 2013

Messier SP, Mihalko SL, Legault C, Miller GD, Nicklas BJ, DeVita P, et al. Effects of intensive diet and exercise on knee joint loads, inflammation, and clinical outcomes among overweight and obese adults with knee osteoarthritis: the IDEA randomized clinical trial. *JAMA* 2013;**310**(12):1263-73.

Mobasheri 2016

Mobasheri A, Batt M. An update on the pathophysiology of osteoarthritis. *Annals of Physical and Rehabilitation Medicine* 2016;**59**(5-6):333-9.

Page 2020

Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC, Mulrow CD, et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *BMJ* 2021;**372**:n71.

Page 2021

Page MJ, Higgins JPT, Sterne JAC. Chapter 13: Assessing risk of bias due to missing results in a synthesis. In: Higgins JPT, Thomas J, Chandler J, Cumpston M, Li T, Page MJ, et al, editor(s). Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions Version 6.2 (updated February 2021). Cochrane, 2021. Available from www.training.cochrane.org/handbook/archive/v6.2.

Palazzo 2016

Palazzo C, Nguyen C, Lefevre-Colau MM, Rannou F, Poiraudeau S. Risk factors and burden of osteoarthritis. *Annals of Physical and Rehabilitation Medicine* 2016;**59**(3):134-8.

Plot Digitizer 2021 [Computer program]

Plot Digitizer. Huwaldt JA, Steinhorst S, Version 3. San Diego, CA: Source Forge, 2021. Available at plotdigitizer.sourceforge.net.

Prabhavathi 2014

Prabhavathi K, Chandra US, Soanker R, Rani PU. A randomized, double blind, placebo controlled, cross over study to evaluate the analgesic activity of Boswellia serrata in healthy volunteers using mechanical pain model. *Indian Journal of Pharmacology* 2014;**46**(5):475.

Rannou 2016

Rannou F, Pelletier JP, Martel-Pelletier J. Efficacy and safety of topical NSAIDs in the management of osteoarthritis: evidence from real-life setting trials and surveys. *Seminars in Arthritis and Rheumatism* 2016;**45**(4):S18-21.

Ren 2015

Ren J, Wang YG, Wang AG, Wu LQ, Zhang HJ, Wang WJ, et al. Cembranoids from the gum resin of Boswellia carterii as potential antiulcerative colitis agents. *Journal of Natural Products* 2015;**78**(10):2322-31.

Review Manager 2020 [Computer program]

The Cochrane Collaboration Review Manager 5 (RevMan 5). Version 5.4. Copenhagen: The Cochrane Collaboration, 2020.

Rini 2015

Rini C, Porter LS, Somers TJ, McKee DC, DeVellis RF, Smith M, et al. Automated internet-based pain coping skills training to manage osteoarthritis pain: a randomized controlled trial. *Pain* 2015;**156**(5):837-48.

Robinson 2016

Robinson WH, Lepus CM, Wang Q, Raghu H, Mao R, Lindstrom TM, et al. Low-grade inflammation as a key mediator of the pathogenesis of osteoarthritis. *Nature Reviews Rheumatology* 2016;**12**(10):580-92.

Schünemann 2021

Schünemann HJ, Higgins JPT, Vist GE, Glasziou P, Akl EA, Skoetz N, et al. Chapter 14: Completing 'Summary of findings' tables and grading the certainty of the evidence. In: Higgins JPT, Thomas J, Chandler J, Cumpston M, Li T, Page MJ, et al, editor(s). Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions Version 6.2 (updated February 2021). Cochrane, 2021. Available from www.training.cochrane.org/handbook/archive/v6.2.

Schünemann 2021a

Schünemann HJ, Vist GE, Higgins JPT, Santesso N, Deeks JJ, Glasziou P, et al. Chapter 15: Interpreting results and drawing conclusions. In: Higgins JPT, Thomas J, Chandler J, Cumpston M, Li T, Page MJ, et al, editor(s). Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions. Version 6.2 (updated February 2021). Cochrane, 2021. Available from www.training.cochrane.org/handbook/archive/v6.2.

Sengupta 2008

Sengupta K, Alluri KV, Satish AR, Mishra S, Golakoti T, Sarma KV, et al. A double blind, randomized, placebo controlled study of the efficacy and safety of 5-Loxin® for treatment of osteoarthritis of the knee. *Arthritis Research & Therapy* 2008;**10**(4):1-1.



Sengupta 2011

Sengupta K, Kolla JN, Krishnaraju AV, Yalamanchili N, Rao CV, Golakoti T, et al. Cellular and molecular mechanisms of anti-inflammatory effect of aflapin: a novel Boswellia serrata extract. *Molecular and Cellular Biochemistry* 2011;**354**(1):189-97.

Shah 2010

Shah MR, Mehta CS, Shukla VD, Dave AR, Bhatt NN. A clinical study of matra vasti and an ayurvedic indigenous compound drug in the management of sandhigatavata (osteoarthritis). *Ayu* 2010;**31**(2):210-7.

Skarke 2012

Skarke C, Kuczka K, Tausch L, Werz O, Rossmanith T, Barrett JS, et al. Increased bioavailability of 11-keto-beta-boswellic acid following single oral dose frankincense extract administration after a standardized meal in healthy male volunteers: modeling and simulation considerations for evaluating drug exposures. *Journal of Clinical Pharmacology* 2012;**52**(10):1592-600.

Sterk 2004

Sterk V, Buchele B, Simmet T. Effect of food intake on the bioavailability of boswellic acids from a herbal preparation in healthy volunteers. *Planta Medica* 2004;**70**(12):1155-60.

The Plant List 2013

Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew and Missouri Botanical Garden. The Plant List. www.theplantlist.org/ (accessed 1 April 2021);**Version 1.1**.

Tucker 1986

Tucker AO. Frankincense and myrrh. *Economic Botany* 1986;**40**(4):425-33.

APPENDICES

Appendix 1. Search strategies

Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL)

Unsal 2010

Unsal A, Gozum S. Use of complementary and alternative medicine by patients with arthritis. *Journal of Clinical Nursing* 2010;**19**(7-8):1129-38.

Weeks 2005

Weeks A, Daly DC, Simpson BB. The phylogenetic history and biogeography of the frankincense and myrrh family (Burseraceae) based on nuclear and chloroplast sequence data. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 2005;**35**(1):85-101.

Yu 2020

Yu G, Xiang W, Zhang T, Zeng L, Yang K, Li J. Effectiveness of boswellia and boswellia extract for osteoarthritis patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Complementary Medicine and Therapies* 2020;**20**(1):225.

Zhang 2016

Zhang Y, Jia J, Ding Y, Ma Y, Shang P, Liu T. Alpha-boswellic acid protects against ethanol-induced gastric injury in rats: involvement of nuclear factor erythroid-2-related factor 2/heme oxygenase-1 pathway. *Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmacology* 2016;**68**(4):514-22.

Zhu 2021

Zhu J, Ruan G, Cen H, Meng T, Zheng S, Wang Y, et al. Association of serum levels of inflammatory markers and adipokines with joint symptoms and structures in participants with knee osteoarthritis. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2021;**61**(3):1044-52.

Zhuo 2012

Zhuo Q, Yang W, Chen J, Wang Y. Metabolic syndrome meets osteoarthritis. *Nature Reviews Rheumatology* 2012;**8**(12):729-37.

#1 MeSH descriptor: [Osteoarthritis] explode all trees

#2 (osteoarthr*):ti,ab,kw

#3 (*arthrosis):ti,ab,kw

#4 (arthritis, degenerative):ti,ab,kw

#5 #1 OR #2 OR #3 OR #4

#6 MeSH descriptor: [Boswellia] explode all trees

#7 (Boswellia):ti,ab,kw

#8 (serrata):ti,ab,kw

#9 (aflapin):ti,ab,kw

#10 #6 OR #7 OR #8 OR #9

#11 #5 AND #10



MEDLINE Ovid SP

1	exp osteoarthritis/
2	osteoarthr\$.tw.
3	(degenerative adj2 arthritis).tw.
4	arthrosis.tw.
5	or/1-4
6	exp Boswellia/
7	Boswellia.tw.
8	serrata.tw.
9	aflapin.tw.

Embase

10

11

or/6-9 5 and 10

10 11 or/6-9

5 and 10

1	exp osteoarthritis/
2	osteoarthr\$.tw.
3	(degenerative adj2 arthritis).tw.
4	arthrosis.tw.
5	or/1-4
6	exp Boswellia/ or exp Boswellia serrata/ or exp Boswellia serrata extract/
7	Boswellia.tw.
8	serrata.tw.
9	aflapin.tw.

Chinese databases search strategies:

China Network Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI)

(SU = 乳香+薰陆+马尾香+乳头香+乳香酸) and (SU = 关节炎+骨关节炎+骨性关节炎+退化性关节炎+退行性关节炎+关节病+髋关节骨关节炎+髋关节病+膝关节炎+膝关节病+痹症+骨痹+膝痹+痹病)



(Continued)

Chinese Scientific Journals Database (VIP)

任意字段=乳香+薰陆+马尾香+乳头香+乳香酸 AND

任意字段=关节炎+骨关节炎+骨性关节炎+退化性关节炎+退行性关节炎+关节病+髋关节骨关节炎+髋关节病+膝关节炎+膝关节病 +痹症+骨痹+膝痹+痹病

Wan Fang data

主题: (乳香+薰陆+马尾香+乳头香+乳香酸) * 主题: (关节炎+骨关节炎+骨性关节炎+退化性关节炎+退行性关节炎+关节病+'髋关 节骨关节炎'+'髋关节病'+'膝关节炎'+'膝关节病'+'痹症'+'骨痹'+'膝痹'+'痹病')

SinoMed

("乳香"[常用字段:智能] OR "薰陆"[常用字段:智能] OR "马尾香"[常用字段:智能] OR "乳、香酸"[常用字段:智能]) AND("关节炎"[常用字段:智能] OR "骨关节炎"[常用字段:智能] OR "骨性关节炎"[常用字段:智能] OR "最化性关节炎"[常用字段:智能] OR "退化性关节炎"[常用字段:智能] OR "退行性关节炎"[常用字段:智能] OR "影关节病"[常用字段:智能] OR "髋关节病"[常用字段:智能] OR "膝关节病"[常用字段:智能] OR "膝关节病"[常用字段:智能] OR "療法节病"[常用字段:智能] OR "療病"[常用字段:智能] OR "療病"[常用字段:智能])

Clinical trials registers search strategies:

WHO ICTRP (Standard search)

Osteoarthr* AND Boswellia* OR
Osteoarthr* AND boswellic* OR
coxarthrosis AND Boswellia* OR
coxarthrosis AND boswellic*OR
gonarthrosis AND Boswellia* OR
gonarthrosis AND boswellic* OR
arthrosis AND Boswellia* OR
arthrosis AND boswellic* OR
arthritis, degenerative AND Boswellia* OR
arthritis, degenerative AND boswellic*

ClinicalTrials.gov (Advanced search)

(osteoarthritis OR osteoarthr* OR arthrosis OR arthritis, degenerative OR gonarthrosis OR coxarthrosis) [DISEASE] AND (Boswellia* OR boswellic*) [TREATMENT]



CONTRIBUTIONS OF AUTHORS

ZW: drafting of protocol, designing the search strategies for Chinese databases (CNKI, VIP, Wan Fang data, and SinoMed), manuscript writing, approval of the final manuscript

AS: drafting of protocol, manuscript writing, approval of the final manuscript

GJ: drafting of protocol, manuscript writing, approval of the final manuscript

DA: drafting of protocol, manuscript writing, approval of the final manuscript

LL: drafting of protocol, manuscript writing, approval of the final manuscript

SH: drafting of protocol, approval of the final manuscript

PBG: drafting of protocol, approval of the final manuscript

CD: drafting of protocol, manuscript writing, approval of the final manuscript

BA: conceiving the project, drafting of protocol, manuscript writing, approval of the final manuscript, corresponding author

DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

Zhiqiang Wang: none known

Ambrish Singh: none known

Graeme Jones: none known

Dawn Aitken: none known

Laura L Laslett: none known

Salman Hussain: none known

Pablo García-Molina: none known

Changhai Ding: none known

Benny Antony: none known

SOURCES OF SUPPORT

Internal sources

• Menzies Institute for Medical Research, University of Tasmania, Australia

Zhiqiang Wang and Ambrish Singh are PhD students at the Menzies Institute for Medical Research; they are supported by UTAS graduate research scholarship.

External sources

National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) Clinical Research Fellowship, Australia

Benny Antony would like to acknowledge the salary support from the National Health and Medical Research Council of Australia Early Career Fellowship (1070586), Australia and the University of Tasmania.

National Health and Medical Research Council of Australia Early Career Fellowship, Australia

Laura Laslett is supported by a National Health and Medical Research Council of Australia Early Career Fellowship (1070586).

• Operational Programme Research, Development, and Education Project, Czech Republic

Salman Hussain is supported by Operational Programme Research, Development, and Education – Project, Postdoc2MUNI (No. CZ.02.2.69/0.0/0.0/18_053/0016952)