

Reply to Kelman: The foundations for studying catastrophic climate risks

Luke Kemp^{a,b,1}⑩, Chi Xu (徐驰)^c⑩, Joanna Depledge^d, Kristie L. Ebi^e⑩, Goodwin Gibbins^f, Timothy A. Kohler^{g,h,i}⑩, Johan Rockström^j, Marten Scheffer^k⑩, Hans Joachim Schellnhuber^{j,l}⑩, Will Steffen^m⑩, and Timothy M. Lentonⁿ⑩

We appreciate Dr. Kelman's contribution "Connecting Disciplines and Decades" (1) in response to "Climate Endgame" (2). We naturally agree with Dr. Kelman that exploring catastrophic climate scenarios is vital, neglected, and possible.

We further agree that exploring catastrophic climate scenarios requires interdisciplinary work informed by existing research. There is a rich history to draw on when studying catastrophic climate risks. Kelman highlights some of these, but there are deeper and broader roots. In sociology, there is not only Perrow's normal accidents theory but also the concept of the risk society (3). In statistics, the pertinent area of extreme value theory underwent intensive development in the 1920s and can trace a longer lineage to the 18th century (4). Historical exploration of societal collapse and transformation dates to at least the 18th century as well (5), with a blossoming literature after the 1980s. While many of these ideas are relevant to the study of extreme climate risks, few look at the outcome of human extinction. Attention to this emerged namely post-1954 in the wake of the Castle Bravo nuclear test (6). Systematic scholarly work largely began in the 1990s with John Leslie's 1996 The End of the World (7).

"Climate Endgame" is a perspectives piece, aiming to articulate a rationale and an approach for the intensive study of catastrophic climate risk. We did not attempt to comprehensively catalog all the relevant literature or to exhaustively trace the history of mentions of extreme climate risks. Instead, we call for future efforts to do so, for example as part of the proposed Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change special report. We have, we hope, identified highly relevant studies and provided a rationale, lexicon, and sample approach for studying these scenarios, thus providing a foundation for more work in this area.

The ethos expressed in "Climate Endgame" of taking extreme risks seriously, even if they are low probability, is a familiar notion in fields such as disaster risk management. Nonetheless, it is one that is rarely applied in climate risk analysis. Moreover, it is rarely extended to the most catastrophic outcomes that we highlight, such as human extinction and collapse. This leaves an important gap.

We would like to underscore Kelman's point that understanding extreme risks means engaging with value pluralism, recognizing that risks are informed by societal values (8). This is why we call for the use of deliberative democratic methods to evaluate responses to extreme risks. Such approaches are vital to tackling catastrophic risks. They can help accommodate value pluralism, inclusively define risk, and ensure democratic safeguards (9). Drawing on historical perspectives and ensuring cultural diversity can further strengthen such approaches.

Finally, we would like to take issue with the claim that the application of concepts such as planetary boundaries and tipping points in our paper is "uncritical." These have robust bodies of underpinning literature, including responses to the criticism that Kelman cites (10). Crossing tipping points and planetary boundaries is high-risk and potentially irreversible (11). Like extreme risk analysis, these require serious consideration rather than dismissal.

Author affiliations: ^aCentre for the Study of Existential Risk, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB2 15B, United Kingdom; ^bDarwin College, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB3 9EU, United Kingdom; ^cSchool of Life Sciences, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210023, China; ^dCambridge Cambridge, Cambridge CB2 3QZ, United Kingdom; ^eCenter for Health and the Global Environment, Hans Rosling Center for Population Health, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195; ^fFuture of Humanity Institute, University of Oxford, Oxford OX2 0DJ, United Kingdom; ^bDepartment of Anthropology, Washington State University, Pullman, WA 99164; ^hSanta Fe Institute, Santa Fe, NM 87501; ⁱCluster of Excellence ROOTS, Christian-Albrechts-Universität, 24118 Kiel, Germany; ⁱPotsdam Institute for Climate Impact Research, 14473 Potsdam, Germany; ^kDepartment of Environmental Sciences, University of Wageningen, 6708PB Wageningen, The Netherlands; ⁱEarth System Science Department, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100190, China; ^mFenner School of Environment and Society, The Australian National University, Camberra, ACT 2601, Australia; and ⁿGlobal Systems Institute, University of Exeter, Exeter EX4 4QE, United Kingdom

Author contributions: L.K., C.X., J.D., K.L.E., G.G., T.A.K., J.R., M.S., H.J.S., W.S., and T.M.L. wrote the paper.

The authors declare no competing interest.

Copyright © 2022 the Author(s). Published by PNAS. This article is distributed under Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CC BY).

¹To whom correspondence may be addressed. Email: ltk27@cam.ac.uk.

Published October 10, 2022.

1. I. Kelman, Connecting disciplines and decades. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 10.1073/pnas.2213953119 (2022).

3. U. Beck, Risk Society: Towards a New Modernity (Sage Publications, 1992).

- 8. A. Reisinger et al., "The concept of risk in the IPCC Sixth Assessment Report: A summary of cross-working group discussions" (IPCC, 2020).
- C. Z. Cremer, L. Kemp, Democratising risk: In search of a methodology to study existential risk. SSRN [Preprint] (2021). https://papers.srn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=3995225 (Accessed 1 August 2022).
- 10. S. J. Lade, L. Wang-Erlandsson, A. Staal, J. C. Rocha, Empirical pressure-response relations can benefit assessment of safe operating spaces. Nat. Ecol. Evol. 5, 1078–1079 (2021).
- 11. D. I. Armstrong McKay et al., Exceeding 1.5°C global warming could trigger multiple climate tipping points. Science 377, eabn7950 (2022).

^{2.} L. Kemp et al., Climate endgame: Exploring catastrophic climate change scenarios. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 119, e2108146119 (2022).

^{4.} H. A. David, A. W. F. Edwards, Beginnings of Extreme-Value Theory (Springer, 2001), pp. 145-150.

^{5.} E. Gibbons, The History of the Decline and Fall of the Roman Empire (Penguin Classics, 1776).

^{6.} E. Torres, Human Extinction: A History of Thinking About the End of the World (Studies in the History of Science, Technology, and Medicine, Routledge, 2023).

^{7.} J. Leslie, The End of the World: The Science and Ethics of Human Extinction (Routledge, ed. 1, 1996).