



# *Article Fusarium* **Species Associated with Cherry Leaf Spot in China**

**Yueyan Zhou 1,2, Wei Zhang <sup>1</sup> , Xinghong Li <sup>1</sup> , Shuxian Ji <sup>1</sup> , Kandawatte Wedaralalage Thilini Chethana <sup>2</sup> , Kevin David Hyde <sup>2</sup> and Jiye Yan 1,\***

- <sup>1</sup> Beijing Key Laboratory of Environment Friendly Management on Fruit Diseases and Pests in North China, Institute of Plant Protection, Beijing Academy of Agriculture and Forestry Sciences, Beijing 100097, China
- <sup>2</sup> Center of Excellence in Fungal Research, School of Science, Mae Fah Luang University,
- Chiang Rai 57100, Thailand **\*** Correspondence: jiyeyan@vip.163.com

**Abstract:** Sweet cherry is an important fruit crop in China with a high economic value. From 2019 to 2020, a leaf spot disease was reported, with purplish-brown circular lesions in three cultivating regions in China. Twenty-four *Fusarium* isolates were obtained from diseased samples and were identified based on morphological characteristics and multi-locus phylogenetic analyses. Seven species, including *F. luffae* (7 isolates), *F. lateritium* (6 isolates), *F. compactum* (5 isolates), *F. nygamai* (2 isolates), *F. citri* (2 isolates), *F. ipomoeae* (1 isolate) and *F. curvatum* (1 isolate) were identified. The pathogenicity test showed that analyzed strains of all species could produce lesions on detached cherry leaves. Therefore, *Fusarium* was proved to be a pathogen of cherry leaf spots in China. This is the first report of *F. luffae*, *F. compactum*, *F. nygamai*, *F. citri*, *F. ipomoeae* and *F. curvatum* on sweet cherry in China.

**Keywords:** *Prunus avium*; *Fusarium*; phylogeny; morphology; pathogenicity



**Citation:** Zhou, Y.; Zhang, W.; Li, X.; Ji, S.; Chethana, K.W.T.; Hyde, K.D.; Yan, J. *Fusarium* Species Associated with Cherry Leaf Spot in China. *Plants* **2022**, *11*, 2760. [https://](https://doi.org/10.3390/plants11202760) [doi.org/10.3390/plants11202760](https://doi.org/10.3390/plants11202760)

Academic Editor: Ippolito Camele

Received: 31 July 2022 Accepted: 10 October 2022 Published: 19 October 2022

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license [\(https://](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [creativecommons.org/licenses/by/](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)  $4.0/$ ).

### **1. Introduction**

Sweet cherry (*Prunus avium* L.) is an economically important fruit crop widely planted in temperate regions worldwide. The cherry industry in China developed rapidly in the last decade, with 233,000 ha of cultivation area and a yield of 1,700,000 tons in 2019 [\[1,](#page-12-0)[2\]](#page-12-1). Even with ideal conditions, various diseases occur on cherries, among which leaf spot is one of the most common and widespread [\[3\]](#page-12-2). Since the first report from the USA in 1878, the disease has spread quickly and occurred in most growing areas around the world [\[4\]](#page-12-3). The disease causes premature defoliation of leaves, the reduction of tree vigor and winter hardiness, and even tree death, leading to a bad quality of cherries [\[5\]](#page-12-4). *Blumeriella jaapii* was regarded as the causal agent of cherry leaf spot disease in Europe and North America [\[6\]](#page-12-5). In addition, several other associated fungi were reported. In Israel, the pathogen of cherry leaf spot was identified as *Cercospora circumscissa*, which caused a 40% yield loss in 1975 [\[7\]](#page-12-6). Additionally, *Alternaria alternata* and *Pseudocercospora pruni-persicicola* were reported as causing leaf spot in Greece and Korea, respectively [\[8](#page-12-7)[,9\]](#page-12-8). In China, *Alternaria cerasi* and *Passalora circumscissa* were identified to cause "black spot" and "brown spot" of sweet cherry according to their morphology in the early days, respectively [\[10,](#page-12-9)[11\]](#page-12-10). In recent years, more pathogenic species have been reported based on morphological characterization coupled with phylogenetic analysis, including four *Alternaria* species, three *Colletotrichum* species and four Didymellaceae species [\[3,](#page-12-2)[12](#page-12-11)[,13\]](#page-12-12). In this study, *Fusarium* spp. were isolated from cherry leaf spots for the first time, which supplemented the pathogen variety of the disease.

### **2. Results**

### *2.1. Symptom Observation, Sample Collection and Fungal Isolation*

Fifteen leaf spot samples were collected from sweet cherry trees cultured in open fields with the following symptoms, (1) small purple-brownish spots which may merge with expansion (Figure [1A](#page-1-0),B); (2) circular or irregular brownish gray necrotic lesions with with expansion (Figure 1A,B); (2) circular or irregular brownish gray necrotic lesions with dark brown margin (Figure [1C](#page-1-0),D). Twenty-four *Fusarium* isolates were obtained by tissue dark brown margin (Figure 1C,D). Twenty-four *Fusarium* isolates were obtained by tissue isolation, among which 13 were from Beijing, 9 from Shandong and 2 from Liaoning (Table [1\)](#page-1-1). ble 1).

Fifteen leaf spot samples were collected from sweet cherry trees cultured in open

<span id="page-1-0"></span>

Figure 1. Symptoms of cherry leaf spot. (A,B) symptom type 1; (C,D) symptom type 2.

<span id="page-1-1"></span>



*JZB:* Culture collection of Institute of Plant Protection, Beijing Academy of Agriculture and Forestry Sciences,  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{P}}$  and  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{P}}$  and  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{P}}$ Beijing 100097, China.

## 2.2. Molecular Characterization and Phylogenetic Analysis

The ITS sequences of isolates showed 100% similarity to *Fusarium* spp. based on BLAST analysis. Reference sequences of *Fusarium* were downloaded from the NCBI database (Table S1). Phylogenic trees of *Fusarium incarnatum-equiseti* species complex (FIESC), *Fusarium lateritium* species complex (FLSC), *Fusarium fujikuroi* species complex (FFSC) and *Fusarium oxysporum* species complex (FOSC) were constructed using combined sequence alignment. The sequences were deposited in the GenBank, and accession numbers were obtained (Table [1\)](#page-1-1).

Phylogenetic analysis of FIESC was constructed based on the combined *CaM*, *rpb2* and *tef1* multi-locus dataset, with *Fusarium concolor* (CBS 961.87) as the outgroup taxon (Figure [2\)](#page-4-0). Both maximum likelihood and Bayesian analyses resulted in the same topology. Among the ninety-six fungal isolates in the dataset, fifteen were from the current study. Seven isolates clustered with *F. luffae* in two branches with 67% ML bootstrap and 1.0 BYPP, and 70% ML bootstrap, respectively, five clustered with *F. compactum* with 81% ML bootstrap and 0.99 BYPP, two clustered with *F. citri* with 63% ML bootstrap and 0.95 BYPP, and one isolate clustered with *F. ipomoeae* with 99% ML bootstrap and 1.0 BYPP.

Phylogenetic analysis of FLSC was constructed based on combined *rpb2*, *tef1* and *tub2* multi-locus dataset, with *Fusarium buharicum* (CBS 796.70) as the outgroup taxon (Figure [3\)](#page-5-0). Both maximum likelihood and Bayesian analyses resulted in the same topology. Six isolates clustered with *F. lateritium* with 94% ML bootstrap and 1.0 BYPP.

Phylogenetic analysis of FFSC was constructed based on the combined *tef1*, *rpb2*, *tub2* and *CaM* multi-locus dataset, with *Fusarium nirenbergiae* (CBS 744.97) as the outgroup taxon (Figure [4\)](#page-6-0). Both maximum likelihood and Bayesian analyses resulted in the same topology. Two isolates clustered with *F. nygamai* with 100% ML bootstrap and 1.0 BYPP.

Phylogenetic analysis of FOSC was constructed based on the combined *rpb2*, *tef1* and *tub2* multi-locus dataset, with *Fusarium foetens* (CBS 120665) and *Fusarium udum* (CBS 177.31) as the outgroup taxa (Figure [5\)](#page-7-0). Both maximum likelihood and Bayesian analyses resulted in the same topology. One isolate clustered with *F. curvatum* with 69% ML bootstrap and 1.0 BYPP.

#### *2.3. Morphological Observation*

Morphological characteristics were shown in Figure [6,](#page-8-0) Tables [2](#page-2-0) and [3.](#page-2-1) Based on cultural and morphological characters, *Fusarium* isolates were consistent with *F. compactum*, *F. ipomoeae*, *F. luffae*, *F. citri*, *F. nygamai*, *F. lateritium* and *F. curvatum,* as previously described [\[14](#page-12-13)[–16\]](#page-12-14).



<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Table 2.** Characteristics of colonies of *Fusarium* fungi cultivated on PDA.

<span id="page-2-1"></span>**Table 3.** Description of conidia of *Fusarium* fungi cultivated on CLA.





### **Table 3.** *Cont.*

### *2.4. Pathogenicity Test*

Three days post-inoculation, all *Fusarium* isolates were pathogenic to detached cherry leaves, resulting in lesions similar to the disease symptoms observed in the field (Figure [7\)](#page-9-0). The disease incidences [(symptomatic sites/total inoculated sites)  $\times$  100%] and lesion diameter of wounded and unwounded leaves of each species are reported in Table [4.](#page-3-0) No symptoms were observed on the control leaves. According to the result, *F. compactum*, *F. luffae* and *F. ipomoeae* were the most aggressive and caused lesion diameters of more than 10 mm. The other species were relatively less virulent, with lesion diameters varying from 7 mm to 10 mm, and lesion diameters did not differ significantly. The disease incidence of wounded leaves was slightly higher than that of unwounded leaves, while unwounded leaves of some species appeared to form larger lesion diameters. The fungi were re-isolated from the lesions successfully and identified using morphology and molecular analyses.

<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Table 4.** Features of colonies and macroconidia for *Fusarium* species isolated in this study. (lowercase letters indicate the significant differences for lesion diameters (*p* < 0.05).



<span id="page-4-0"></span>

**Figure 2.** Phylogenetic tree generated by maximum likelihood analysis (RAxML) of FIESC based on **Figure 2.** Phylogenetic tree generated by maximum likelihood analysis (RAxML) of FIESC based on the combined CaM, rpb2 and tef1 sequence data. The tree is rooted with Fusarium concolor (CBS 961.87). 961.87). The best-scoring RAxML tree with a final likelihood value of –11,974.052080 is presented. The best-scoring RAxML tree with a final likelihood value of –11,974.052080 is presented. The matrix had 701 distinct alignment patterns, with 7.69% of undetermined characters or gaps. Estimated base frequencies were as follows: A = 0.258901, C = 0.260331, G = 0.245699, T = 0.235069; substitution substitution rates AC = 1.002698, AG = 4.065670, AT = 1.349366, CG = 0.882966, CT = 10.506153, GT rates AC = 1.002698, AG = 4.065670, AT = 1.349366, CG = 0.882966, CT = 10.506153, GT = 1.000000; gamma distribution shape parameter  $\alpha$  = 0.751525. ML bootstrap support values  $\geq$ 50% and Bayesian  $\overline{\text{S}}$  posterior probabilities (BYPP)  $\geq 0.95$  are given near the nodes. The scale bar indicates 0.03 changes per site. Isolates belonging to this study are given in red.

<span id="page-5-0"></span>

**Figure 3.** Phylogenetic tree generated by maximum likelihood analysis (RAxML) of FLSC based on **Figure 3.** Phylogenetic tree generated by maximum likelihood analysis (RAxML) of FLSC based on the combined *rpb2*, *tef1* and *tub2* sequence data. The tree is rooted with *Fusarium buharicum* (CBS 796.70). The best-scoring RAxML tree with a final likelihood value of −5602.556442 is presented. The The matrix had 358 distinct alignment patterns, with 32.15% being undetermined characters or gaps. Estimated base frequencies were as follows:  $\overrightarrow{A} = 0.236754$ , C = 0.282131, G = 0.242563, T = 0.238552; substitution rates AC = 2.260928, AG = 7.239234, AT = 2.341489, CG = 1.331525, CT = 16.787035,  $GT = 1.000000$ ; gamma distribution shape parameter  $\alpha = 1.252177$ . ML bootstrap support values  $\geq$ 50% and Bayesian posterior probabilities (BYPP)  $\geq$  0.95 are given near the nodes. The scale bar indicates 0.02 changes per site. Isolates belonging to this study are given in red. 796.70). The best-scoring RAxML tree with a final likelihood value of −5602.556442 is presented.

<span id="page-6-0"></span>

**Figure 4.** Phylogenetic tree generated by maximum likelihood analysis (RAxML) of FFSC based on **Figure 4.** Phylogenetic tree generated by maximum likelihood analysis (RAxML) of FFSC based on the combined *tef1*, rpb2, tub2 and CaM sequence data. The tree is rooted with Fusarium nirenbergiae (CBS 744.97). The best-scoring RAxML tree with a final likelihood value of  $-16$ ,169.804811 is presented. The matrix had 1172 distinct alignment patterns, with 12.61% of undetermined characters or gaps. Estimated base frequencies were as follows:  $A = 0.246851$ ,  $C = 0.265429$ ,  $G = 0.243377$ ,  $T = 0.244344$ ; substitution rates AC = 1.195289, AG = 4.703565, AT = 1.258970, CG = 0.717390, CT = 9.004544,  $GT = 1.000000$ ; gamma distribution shape parameter  $\alpha = 0.838651$ . ML bootstrap support values  $\geq$ 50% and Bayesian posterior probabilities (BYPP)  $\geq$  0.95 are given near the nodes. The scale bar bar indicates 0.03 changes per site. Isolates belonging to this study are given in red. indicates 0.03 changes per site. Isolates belonging to this study are given in red.

<span id="page-7-0"></span>

**Figure 5.** Phylogenetic tree generated by maximum likelihood analysis (RAxML) of FOSC based on the combined rpb2, tef1 and tub2 sequence data. The tree is rooted with Fusarium foetens (CBS 120665) and *Fusarium udum* (CBS 177.31). The best-scoring RAxML tree with a final likelihood value −4987.801452 is presented. The matrix had 199 distinct alignment patterns, with 0.90% of undeter-of −4987.801452 is presented. The matrix had 199 distinct alignment patterns, with 0.90% of undetermined characters or gaps. Estimated base frequencies were as follows: A = 0.251044, C = 0.269288, = 0.238899, T = 0.240769; substitution rates AC = 1.155437, AG = 2.887244, AT = 0.424595, CG =  $G = 0.238899$ ,  $T = 0.240769$ ; substitution rates  $AC = 1.155437$ ,  $AG = 2.887244$ ,  $AT = 0.424595$ ,  $CG = 0.728892$ , CT = 5.651969, GT = 1.000000; gamma distribution shape parameter  $\alpha = 1.022063$ . ML bootstrap support values  $\geq$ 50% and Bayesian posterior probabilities (BYPP)  $\geq$  0.95 are given near the nodes. The scale bar indicates 0.02 changes per site. Isolates belonging to this study are given in red.

<span id="page-8-0"></span>

**Figure 6.** Morphological characteristics of *Fusarium* species isolated from cherry leaf spot. (**A**) Colony of *F. compactum*; (**B**) Sporodochia of *F. compactum* on carnation leaf; (**C**) Macroconidia of *F. compactum* on CLA; (**D**) Colony of *F. ipomoeae*; (**E**) Sporodochia of *F. ipomoeae*; (**F**) Macroconidia of *F. ipomoeae*; (**G**) Chlamydospores of *F. ipomoeae*; (**H**) Colony of *F. luffae*; (**I**) Conidiophore of *F. luffae* on aerial hyphae; (**J**) Sporodochia of *F. luffae*; (**K**) Macroconidia of *F. luffae*; (**L**) Colony of *F. citri*; (**M**) Conidiogenous cells of *F. citri* formed on sporodochia; (**N**) Macroconidia of *F. citri*; (**O**) Colony of *F. nygamai*; (**P**) Macroconidia of *F. nygamai*; (**Q**) Microconidia of *F. nygamai*; (**R**) Colony of *F. lateritium*; (**S**) Macroconidia of *F. lateritium*; (**T**) Sporodochia of *F. lateritium*; (**U**) Colony of *F. curvatum*; (**V**) Macroconidia of *F. curvatum*; (**W**) Microconidia of *F. curvatum*. scale bar: (**A**,**C**,**D**,**F**–**I**,**K**–**S**,**U**–**W**) = 10 µm; (**J**,**T**) = 20 µm;  $(**B**,**E**) = 100 \mu m$ .

<span id="page-9-0"></span>

Figure 7. The pathogenicity test of Fusarium spp. on detached cherry leaves three days postinoculation. (A-G) Detached leaves inoculation of F. luffae, F. lateritium, F. compactum, F. nygamai, side was unwounded; (**H**) Control. *F. citri*, *F. ipomoeae and F. curvatum*, respectively. Left side of the leaf was wounded before inoculation, right side was unwounded; (**H**) Control.

### case letters indicate the significant differences for lesion diameters (*p* < 0.05) **3. Discussion**

Leaf spot is a common and severe disease of sweet cherry caused by various pathogens,<br>le the occurrence of *Eusarium* on sweet cherry has not been reported before in the world while the occurrence of *Fusarium* on sweet cherry has not been reported before in the world. *Fusarium* is one of the most renowned genera, which includes a large number of saprotrophs, *F. ipomoeae* 95 75 11.8 ± 0.3 ab 12.8 ± 0.3 a many diseases with a wide range of hosts, usually causing wilts, blights, rots, and cankers *F. luffae* 100 95 12.8 ± 0.4 ab 14.5 ± 0.5 a (Ma et al., 2013). *Fusarium* can also be the causal agent of leaf spot disease in several plants *F. citri* 100 75 8.3 ± 0.1 b 8.8 ± 0.1 b including Dracaena, mango, peanut and *Bletilla striata* [\[18](#page-12-16)[–21\]](#page-12-17). endophytes or pathogens [\[17\]](#page-12-15). As a common plant pathogen, *Fusarium* spp. can cause

*Fusarium* species reported on sweet cherry are usually associated with trunk disease. Fusarium oxysporum has been reported as the pathogen of cherry root and crown rot in Canada [22], and *F. lateritium* was reported to cause trunk diseases in Australia [23]. Five *Fusarium* species have also been reported to cause postharvest rot on Chinese cherry [\[24\]](#page-12-20). In this study, *Fusarium* species were identified as causal agents of cherry leaf spot based on morphological characteristics and molecular phylogenetic analyses. Among them, seven were pathogenic to cherry leaves; specifically, *F. compactum*, *F. luffae* and *F. ipomoeae* showed relatively high virulence.

*Fusarium compactum* had been regarded as a saprophyte, while being reported as the pathogen of corm and root rot of banana, a fatal canker on Italian cypress, and a wilt and root rot of peanuts [\[25](#page-12-21)[–27\]](#page-12-22). *Fusarium ipomoeae*, *F*. *luffae* and *F*. *citri* were introduced as new species in the study reported on *Fusarium incarnatum-equiseti* complex from China [\[15\]](#page-12-23). Afterwards, *F*. *ipomoeae* was reported to cause leaf spots on peanut and *Bletilla striata* [\[20](#page-12-24)[,21\]](#page-12-17), *F*. *luffae* was reported to cause fruit rot on muskmelon in China [\[28\]](#page-13-0), and *F. citri* was initially isolated from *Citrus* [\[15\]](#page-12-23). *Fusarium nygamai* was first observed on a basal stalk and root rot of grain sorghum [\[29\]](#page-13-1) and can also cause root rot on other crops including cotton, maize,

millet, rice and sorghum [\[14\]](#page-12-13). *Fusarium lateritium* can cause wilt, tip or branch dieback, or cankers on many woody trees and shrubs including some important fruit trees and coffee [\[14\]](#page-12-13). These species all have records as pathogens; therefore, attention should be paid to the Fusarium leaf spot on sweet cherry plant, which may cause severe damage in some conditions.

Relevant results in the current study expand the pathogenic fungal species on sweet cherry, and further research is necessary to understand the influence of *Fusarium* on cherry diseases.

### **4. Materials and Methods**

### *4.1. Sample Collection and Isolation of Fungal Strains*

From 2019 to 2020, cherry leaf spot samples were collected from farmers' fields in Beijing, Liaoning and Shandong Provinces in China. Each sample contains three leaves from a tree. Lesions were selected randomly and diseased tissues at the junction of disease and healthy region were cut into  $5 \times 5$  mm squares, then surface-sterilized using 75% ethanol for 30 s and washed three times using sterile water. After drying on sterilized filter paper, tissues were transferred to potato dextrose agar (PDA) plates and incubated at 25 ◦C, and the hyphae from colony margins were transferred to fresh PDA plates after five days. After sporulation, single-spore isolation was conducted to get purified cultures.

#### *4.2. DNA Extraction, PCR Amplification and Phylogenetic Analysis*

Mycelia were collected from the cultures on PDA media for seven days, and genomic DNA was extracted using the CTAB method. The internal transcribed spacer (ITS) region was amplified first using the primers ITS5/ITS4 [\[30\]](#page-13-2), and sequences were searched using BLASTn (Basic Local Alignment Search Tool) on NCBI (National Center for Biotechnology Information) to identify reference sequences. Then, the RNA polymerase second largest subunit (*rpb2*), translation elongation factor 1-alpha (*tef-1*), beta-tubulin (*tub2*) and calmodulin (*CaM*) genes were amplified, and the primers were listed in Table [5.](#page-11-0) The 50  $\mu$ L reaction system for amplification contained 25  $\mu$ L 2 × Taq PCR Master Mix (Biomed, Beijing, China), 21 µL double-distilled water, 1 µL of each forward and reverse primers (10 µM) and 2 µL DNA template. PCR conditions were as follows: initial denaturation at  $94 \degree C$  for 3 min, followed by 34 cycles of denaturation at 94  $\degree$ C for 30 s, primer annealing at the temperatures for 1 min and extension at 72 ◦C for 1 min, and final extension at 72 ◦C for 10 min. The PCR products were examined in 1.5% agarose gel and sequenced by Tsingke Biotech Co., Ltd. (Beijing, China). The sequences generated in the current study and reference sequences of *Fusarium* species downloaded from the GenBank database of NCBI (Table S1) were aligned with MAFFT v. 7 [\(https://mafft.cbrc.jp/alignment/server/](https://mafft.cbrc.jp/alignment/server/) (accessed on 18 March 2022) [\[31\]](#page-13-3). Aligned gene regions were adjusted manually where necessary and combined with BioEdit 7.0.9.0.

Maximum likelihood (ML) phylogenic analyses of species complexes were conducted using RAxML-HPC2 on XSEDE (v8.2.8) through the CIPRES Science Gateway [\(https:](https://www.phylo.org/portal2) [//www.phylo.org/portal2](https://www.phylo.org/portal2) (accessed on 13 April 2022) [\[32\]](#page-13-4). The evolutionary model GTR + I + G was applied to all gene regions, and bootstrap support values were obtained by running 1000 pseudo replicates.

The Bayesian Inference (BI) analyses were performed based on the Markov Chain Monte Carlo sampling (BMCMC) method using MrBayes v3.1.2 to evaluate posterior probabilities (BYPP) [\[33\]](#page-13-5). Six simultaneous Markov chains were run for 2,000,000 generations and trees were sampled at every 1000th generation.

Phylogenetic trees were visualized with FigTree v1.4.0 and edited in Microsoft Office PowerPoint 2016. The ML bootstrap supports greater than or equal to 50% and Bayesian posterior probabilities greater than or equal to 0.95 are shown at the nodes in the resulting phylogenetic tree. The sequences generated in this study were deposited in the GenBank.



<span id="page-11-0"></span>**Table 5.** Primers used in this study.

### *4.3. Morphological Identification*

The isolates were cultured on PDA and carnation leaf agar (CLA) to observe colony and conidia morphology respectively [\[14\]](#page-12-13). Mycelial discs of 4 mm diam were inoculated at the center of PDA plates and incubated in the dark at  $25 \degree C$ . Colony diameters were measured after five days, and cultural features were examined and photographed. Isolates inoculated on CLA were cultured under 12/12 h light/dark cycle conditions for 10 days, the characteristics of micro- and macroconidia were observed under Axiocam 506 color Imager Z2 photographic microscope (Carl Zeiss Microscopy GmbH, Jena, Germany) and measurements were made with ZEN Pro 2012 (Carl Zeiss Microscopy).

#### *4.4. Pathogenicity Assays*

A pathogenicity test was conducted by inoculating detached leaves with spore suspension. Representative isolates of each species were cultured on PDA at 25 ◦C until sporulation. Tender, healthy-looking leaves of *P. avium* cv. 'Tieton' were collected from Tongzhou Experimental Station for Cherries, Beijing Academy of Forestry and Pomology Sciences, Beijing, China. Leaves were surface sterilized with 75% ethanol for 30 s, rinsed three times with sterile water and air-dried on sterilized filter paper. Spores collected from cultures were dispersed in sterile water and the concentration was adjusted to  $1.0 \times 10^6/\text{mL}$ using a hemocytometer. Four small holes were pierced on the left side of the vein by a sterile needle for the wounded inoculation. Then,  $20 \mu L$  spore suspension was inoculated on the wounds and non-wound treatment was performed on symmetrical halves of each leaf. Six leaves were inoculated for each isolate with three replicates. Sterile water was used as the control. Leaves were incubated in disinfected plastic boxes at 25 ◦C and 80% relative humidity, with a 12/12 h light/dark cycle. Disease incidence (%) [(symptomatic sites/total inoculated sites)  $\times$  100%] was calculated and lesion diameters were measured after the appearance of symptoms. The fungal strains used for leaves inoculation were re-isolated to confirm Koch's postulates. Data were subjected to a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) using the software IBM SPSS Statistics v20 to determine the significance of the differences. Means of different species were separated using the least significant difference test at the  $p = 0.05$  level.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following supporting information can be downloaded at: [https://](https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/plants11202760/s1) [www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/plants11202760/s1,](https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/plants11202760/s1) Table S1: Sequences of used in the phylogenetic analyses with GenBank accession numbers.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, J.Y., W.Z. and K.W.T.C.; methodology, Y.Z., W.Z. and S.J.; software, Y.Z.; validation, W.Z. and K.W.T.C.; formal analysis, Y.Z.; investigation, Y.Z., W.Z. and S.J.; resources, J.Y., W.Z. and Y.Z.; data curation, Y.Z. and W.Z.; writing—original draft preparation, Y.Z.; writing—review and editing, W.Z., X.L. and K.W.T.C.; visualization, Y.Z. and W.Z.; supervision, J.Y., W.Z., K.W.T.C. and K.D.H.; project administration, J.Y. and W.Z.; funding acquisition, J.Y. and W.Z. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research was funded by Beijing Academy of Agriculture and Forestry Sciences (KJCX20210403) and Beijing Municipal Science and Technology Project (Z201100008020014).

**Data Availability Statement:** All the newly produced sequences are deposited in the GenBank and the accession numbers are given in Table [1.](#page-1-1)

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

### **References**

- <span id="page-12-0"></span>1. Huang, Z.G.; Liu, C.L.; Li, M.; Zhao, G.R.; Li, Y.H. The development situation of sweet cherry industry in China and abroad during recent two decades and prognostication for the future. *J. Fruit Sci.* **2014**, *31*, 1–6. (In Chinese)
- <span id="page-12-1"></span>2. Duan, X.W.; Li, M.; Tan, Y.; Zhang, X.M.; Wang, B.G.; Yan, G.H.; Wang, J.; Pan, F.R.; Liu, Q.Z.; Zhang, K.C. Fruit scientific research in new China in the past 70 years: Cherry. *J. Fruit Sci.* **2019**, *36*, 1339–1351. (In Chinese)
- <span id="page-12-2"></span>3. Chethana, K.W.T.; Jayawardene, R.S.; Zhang, W.; Zhou, Y.Y.; Liu, M.; Hyde, K.D.; Li, X.H.; Wang, J.; Zhang, K.C.; Yan, J.Y. Molecular characterization and pathogenicity of fungal taxa associated with cherry leaf spot disease. *Mycosphere* **2019**, *10*, 490–530. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.5943/mycosphere/10/1/8)
- <span id="page-12-3"></span>4. Holb, I.J. Some biological features of cherry leaf spot (*Blumeriella jaapii*) with special reference to cultivar susceptibility. *Int. J. Hortic. Sci.* **2009**, *15*, 91–93. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.31421/IJHS/15/1-2/818)
- <span id="page-12-4"></span>5. Wharton, P.S.; Iezzoni, A.; Jones, A.L. Screening cherry germ plasm for resistance to leaf spot. *Plant Dis.* **2003**, *87*, 471–477. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS.2003.87.5.471) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30812945)
- <span id="page-12-5"></span>6. Schuster, M.; Tobutt, K.R. Screening of cherries for resistance to leaf spot, *Blumeriella jaapii*. *Acta Hortic.* **2004**, *663*, 239–244. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.17660/ActaHortic.2004.663.38)
- <span id="page-12-6"></span>7. Sztejnberg, A. Etiology and control of cherry leaf spot disease in Israel caused by *Cercospora circumscissa*. *Plant Dis.* **1986**, *70*, 349. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1094/PD-70-349)
- <span id="page-12-7"></span>8. Thomidis, T.; Tsipouridis, C. First report of Alternaria leaf spot on cherry trees in Greece. *Plant Dis.* **2006**, *90*, 680. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1094/PD-90-0680C)
- <span id="page-12-8"></span>9. Choi, I.Y.; Braun, U.; Park, J.H.; Shin, H.D. First report of leaf spot caused by *Pseudocercospora Pruni-Persicicola* on sweet cherry in Korea. *Plant Dis.* **2014**, *98*, 693. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS-09-13-0968-PDN)
- <span id="page-12-9"></span>10. Zhu, J.L.; Chang, Y.Y. Identification and biological characterization of the pathogen causing cherry black target spot. *China Fruits* **2004**, *3*, 9–12. (In Chinese)
- <span id="page-12-10"></span>11. Liu, B.Y.; Zhang, W.; Luan, B.H.; Wang, Y.Z. Identification of pathogen and epidemic dynamics of brown spot of sweet cherry. *J. Fruit Sci.* **2012**, *29*, 634–637. (In Chinese)
- <span id="page-12-11"></span>12. Yang, L.P.; Jin, M.J.; Cui, L.X.; Li, T.H.; An, J.; Wei, L.J.; Chang, T.; Yang, C.D. Isolation and identification of the pathogen causing cherry black spot in Gansu Province. *J. Fruit Sci.* **2020**, *37*, 891–899. (In Chinese)
- <span id="page-12-12"></span>13. Tang, Z.; Lou, J.; He, L.; Wang, Q.; Chen, L.; Zhong, X.; Wu, C.; Zhang, L.; Wang, Z.Q. First Report of *Colletotrichum fructicola* causing anthracnose on cherry (*Prunus avium*) in China. *Plant Dis.* **2022**, *106*, 317. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS-03-21-0544-PDN) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/34270914)
- <span id="page-12-13"></span>14. Leslie, J.F.; Summerell, B.A. *The Fusarium Laboratory Manual*, 1st ed.; Blackwell Pub: Ames, IA, USA, 2006.
- <span id="page-12-23"></span>15. Wang, M.M.; Chen, Q.; Diao, Y.Z.; Duan, W.J.; Cai, L. *Fusarium incarnatum-equiseti* complex from China. *Persoonia* **2019**, *43*, 70–89. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3767/persoonia.2019.43.03)
- <span id="page-12-14"></span>16. Lombard, L.; Sandoval-Denis, M.; Lamprecht, S.C.; Crous, P.W. Epitypification of *Fusarium oxysporum*—Clearing the taxonomic chaos. *Persoonia* **2019**, *43*, 1–47. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3767/persoonia.2019.43.01)
- <span id="page-12-15"></span>17. Sandoval-Denis, M.; Guarnaccia, V.; Polizzi, G.; Crous, P.W. Symptomatic citrus trees reveal a new pathogenic lineage in *Fusarium* and two new *Neocosmospora* Species. *Persoonia* **2018**, *40*, 1–25. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3767/persoonia.2018.40.01)
- <span id="page-12-16"></span>18. Baka, Z.A.M.; Krzywinski, K. Fungi associated with leaf spots of *Dracaena Ombet* (Kotschy and Peyr). *Microbiol. Res.* **1996**, *151*, 49–56. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/S0944-5013(96)80055-3)
- 19. Guo, Z.; Yu, Z.; Li, Q.; Tang, L.; Guo, T.; Huang, S.; Mo, J.; Hsiang, T.; Luo, S. *Fusarium* species associated with leaf spots of mango in China. *Microb. Pathogenesis* **2021**, *150*, 104736. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.micpath.2021.104736)
- <span id="page-12-24"></span>20. Xu, M.; Zhang, X.; Yu, J.; Guo, Z.; Li, Y.; Wu, J.; Chi, Y. First report of *Fusarium ipomoeae* causing peanut leaf spot in China. *Plant Dis.* **2021**, *105*, 3754. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS-01-21-0226-PDN)
- <span id="page-12-17"></span>21. Zhou, L.Y.; Yang, S.F.; Wang, S.M.; Lv, J.W.; Wan, W.Q.; Li, Y.H.; Zhou, H. Identification of *Fusarium ipomoeae* as the causative agent of leaf spot disease in *Bletilla Striata* in China. *Plant Dis.* **2021**, *105*, 1204. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS-09-20-1974-PDN) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33258424)
- <span id="page-12-18"></span>22. Úrbez-Torres, J.R.; Boulé, J.; Haag, P.; Hampson, C.; O'Gorman, D.T. First report of root and crown rot caused by *Fusarium oxysporum* on sweet cherry (*Prunus avium*) in British Columbia. *Plant Dis.* **2016**, *100*, 855. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS-08-15-0932-PDN)
- <span id="page-12-19"></span>23. Cook, R.P.; Dubé, A.J. *Host-Pathogen Index of Plant Diseases in South Australia*; South Australian Department of Agriculture: Adelaide, Australia, 1989.
- <span id="page-12-20"></span>24. Wang, C.; Wang, Y.; Wang, L.; Li, X.; Wang, M.; Wang, J. *Fusarium* species causing postharvest rot on Chinese cherry in China. *Crop Prot.* **2021**, *141*, 105496. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.cropro.2020.105496)
- <span id="page-12-21"></span>25. Frisullo, S.A.; Logrieco, A.; Moretti, A.; Grammatikaki, G.; Bottalico, A. Banana corm and root rot by *Fusarium compactum* in Crete. *Phytopatho. Mediterr.* **1994**, *33*, 78–82.
- 26. Madar, Z.; Kimchi, M.; Solel, Z. Fusarium canker of Italian cypress. *Eur. J. Forest Pathol.* **1996**, *26*, 107–112. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0329.1996.tb00715.x)
- <span id="page-12-22"></span>27. Saleh, O.I. Wilt, root rot and seed diseases of groundnut in El-Minia governorate, Egypt. *Egypt. J. Phytopathol.* **1997**, *25*, 1–18.
- <span id="page-13-0"></span>28. Zhang, X.P.; Cao, X.D.; Dang, Q.Q.; Liu, Y.G.; Zhu, X.P.; Xia, J.W. First report of fruit rot caused by *Fusarium luffae* in muskmelon in China. *Plant Dis.* **2022**, *106*, 1763. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS-12-21-2666-PDN)
- <span id="page-13-1"></span>29. Trimboli, D.S.; Burgess, L.W. Fungi associated with basal stalk rot and root rot of dryland grain sorghum in New South Wales. *Plant Prot. Q.* **1985**, *1*, 3–9.
- <span id="page-13-2"></span>30. White, T.J. Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. *PCR Protoc. Guide Methods Appl.* **1990**, *31*, 315–322.
- <span id="page-13-3"></span>31. Katoh, K.; Rozewicki, J.; Yamada, K.D. MAFFT online service: Multiple sequence alignment, interactive sequence choice and visualization. *Brief. Bioinform.* **2019**, *20*, 1160–1166. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1093/bib/bbx108)
- <span id="page-13-4"></span>32. Miller, M.A.; Pfeiffer, W.; Schwartz, T. Creating the CIPRES Science Gateway for inference of large phylogenetic trees. In Proceedings of the 2010 Gateway Computing Environments Workshop (GCE), New Orleans, LA, USA, 14 November 2010; pp. 1–8.
- <span id="page-13-5"></span>33. Ronquist, F.; Huelsenbeck, J.P. MrBayes 3: Bayesian phylogenetic inference under mixed models. *Bioinformatics* **2003**, *19*, 1572–1574. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btg180)
- <span id="page-13-6"></span>34. Reeb, V.; Lutzoni, F.; Roux, C. Contribution of *RPB2* to multilocus phylogenetic studies of the euascomycetes (Pezizomycotina, Fungi) with special emphasis on the lichen-forming Acarosporaceae and evolution of polyspory. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* **2004**, *32*, 1036–1060. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2004.04.012)
- <span id="page-13-7"></span>35. Liu, Y.J.; Whelen, S.; Hall, B.D. Phylogenetic relationships among ascomycetes: Evidence from an RNA polymerase II subunit. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **1999**, *16*, 1799–1808. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.molbev.a026092) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10605121)
- <span id="page-13-8"></span>36. O'Donnell, K.; Kistler, H.C.; Cigelnik, E.; Ploetz, R.C. Multiple evolutionary origins of the fungus causing panama disease of banana: Concordant evidence from nuclear and mitochondrial gene genealogies. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **1998**, *95*, 2044–2049. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.95.5.2044) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9482835)
- <span id="page-13-9"></span>37. O'Donnell, K.; Kistler, H.C.; Tacke, B.K.; Casper, H.H. Gene genealogies reveal global phylogeographic structure and reproductive isolation among lineages of *Fusarium graminearum*, the fungus causing wheat scab. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2000**, *97*, 7905–7910. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.130193297) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10869425)
- <span id="page-13-10"></span>38. O'Donnell, K.; Cigelnik, E. Two divergent intragenomic rDNA ITS2 types within a monophyletic lineage of the fungus *Fusarium* are nonorthologous. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* **1997**, *7*, 103–116. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1006/mpev.1996.0376)