

# Sufu- and Spop-mediated regulation of Gli2 is essential for the control of mammalian cochlear hair cell differentiation

Tianli Qina,b , Chin Chung Hob , Boshi Wangb , Chi-Chung Huic,d , and Mai Har Shama,b,1

Edited by Marianne Bronner, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA; received April 23, 2022; accepted September 20, 2022

Development of mammalian auditory epithelium, the organ of Corti, requires precise control of both cell cycle withdrawal and differentiation. Sensory progenitors (prosensory cells) in the cochlear apex exit the cell cycle first but differentiate last. Sonic hedgehog (Shh) signaling is required for the spatiotemporal regulation of prosensory cell differentiation, but the underlying mechanisms remain unclear. Here, we show that suppressor of fused (Sufu), a negative regulator of Shh signaling, is essential for controlling the timing and progression of hair cell (HC) differentiation. Removal of Sufu leads to abnormal Atoh1 expression and a severe delay of HC differentiation due to elevated Gli2 mRNA expression. Later in development, HC differentiation defects are restored in the Sufu mutant by the action of speckle-type PDZ protein (Spop), which promotes Gli2 protein degradation. Deletion of both Sufu and Spop results in robust Gli2 activation, exacerbating HC differentiation defects. We further demonstrate that Gli2 inhibits HC differentiation through maintaining the progenitor state of Sox2<sup>+</sup> prosensory cells. Along the basal–apical axis of the developing cochlea, the Sox2 expression level is higher in the progenitor cells than in differentiating cells and is down-regulated from base to apex as differentiation proceeds. The dynamic spatiotemporal change of Sox2 expression levels is controlled by Shh signaling through Gli2. Together, our results reveal key functions of Gli2 in sustaining the progenitor state, thereby preventing HC differentiation and in turn governing the basal–apical progression of HC differentiation in the cochlea.

Sufu | Shh signaling | Gli | hair cell | cochlea

Cochlear hair cells (HCs) are mechanoreceptor cells responsible for hearing. One row of inner HCs (IHCs) and three rows of outer hair cells (OHCs) together with different subtypes of supporting cells (SCs) form the organ of Corti (oC) along the entire cochlea. Both HCs and SCs originate from the  $Sox2<sup>+</sup>$  progenitor (prosensory) pool (1). Sox2 is an essential factor for the development of cochlear sensory HCs and is regulated both positively and negatively during HC differentiation (2–5). Sox2 is required to confer sensory competence to the prosensory cells; without Sox2, both HCs and SCs are absent (2, 3). However, Sox2 must be down-regulated during HC differentiation (4, 5). The transcription factor Atoh1 is necessary and sufficient for specifying HC lineage in the cochlea (6–9). Within the Sox2<sup>+</sup> prosensory domain, activation of Atoh1 initiates HC differentiation and down-regulates Sox2 expression level, whereas cells retaining Sox2 expression become SCs and greater epithelial ridge (GER) (Fig. 1A). The expression level of Sox2 is therefore tightly regulated in the formation and patterning of the developing oC.

During cochlea development, prosensory cells in the apical region first exit from mitosis with P27<sup>Kip1</sup> activation at embryonic day 12.5 (E12.5), and subsequently cell cycle exit spreads toward the base around E14.5 (10, 11). Remarkably, although progenitor cells in the apex exit the cell cycle first, they remain undifferentiated in neonatal mice. Around E13.5, progenitor cells in the midbase region start showing Atoh1 activation (12). IHCs in the medial side of the oC at the cochlear midbase are specified first, and then differentiation extends laterally and apically (12) (Fig. 1A). In the mature oC, IHCs are the primary sensory cells, whereas OHCs adjust sound perception (13). Positional variations of HCs along the basal–apical axis establish the tonotopic map, where sounds of high frequencies are processed in the base, and the cochlear apex decodes low-frequency sounds (14, 15). Therefore, precise differentiation and patterning of HCs are crucial for hearing functions.

Sonic hedgehog (Shh) from the spiral ganglia (SG) has been implicated in controlling the basal-apical progression of HC differentiation. Expression of Shh in the SG gradually declines from base to apex, which is similar to the HC differentiation pattern (16). In mice with Shh inactivation in the SG, HC differentiated prematurely and exhibited reversed apical-to-basal direction, which resembled the progression of cell cycle exit (17), supporting the idea that Shh signaling negatively regulates cochlear HC differentiation. Similarly, genetic ablation of *Smo*, the transducer of Shh signaling, in

## **Significance**

Sonic hedgehog (Shh) is responsible for the temporal and directional control of mammalian cochlear hair cell (HC) differentiation. We demonstrate that restriction of Gli2 expression, the major transcriptional activator of the Shh signaling pathway, is a prerequisite for cochlear HC differentiation. Gli2 blocks HC differentiation by maintaining the progenitor state of Sox2<sup>+</sup> prosensory cells. The spatiotemporal differentiation pattern of HCs along the basal–apical axis of the cochlea is achieved by Sufu- and Spopmediated control of Gli2 transcription in the sensory progenitor cells; cells expressing low Gli2 could differentiate, whereas cells with high Gli2 were locked in the progenitor state. This study unravels the mechanism underlying Shh-mediated control of sensory HC differentiation in the mammalian cochlea.

The authors declare no competing interest.

This article is a PNAS Direct Submission.

Copyright © 2022 the Author(s). Published by PNAS. This article is distributed under [Creative Commons](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) [Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/) [\(CC BY-NC-ND\).](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

<sup>1</sup>To whom correspondence may be addressed. Email: [mhsham@cuhk.edu.hk](mailto:mhsham@cuhk.edu.hk).

This article contains supporting information online at [http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.2206571119/-/DCSupplemental) [2206571119/-/DCSupplemental.](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.2206571119/-/DCSupplemental)

Published October 17, 2022.

Author contributions: T.Q. and M.H.S. designed research; T.Q., C.C.Ho, and B.W. performed research; C.C.Hui contributed new reagents/analytic tools; T.Q., C.C.Hui, and M.H.S. analyzed data; and T.Q. and M.H.S. wrote the paper.



Fig. 1. Compensation between Gli2 and Gli3 in regulating cochlear HC differentiation. (A) Left, Schematic diagram of basal-apical progression of cochlear HC differentiation. Right, Schematic diagram of the cross-section view of the development of the oC. Both HCs and SCs are originated from Sox2+ prosensory cells. Shh signaling inhibits HC differentiation. However, the underlying mechanism is not clear. Sox2 defines cochlear sensory progenitor cells. Sox2 expression level should be down-regulated during HC differentiation. (B) Schematic diagram of the Shh signaling pathway. Hh ligands bind to and inactivate the receptor Ptch1, which leads to the derepression of Smo. Activation of Smo initiates the signaling cascade by disassociating the Sufu–Gli complex. Sufu sequesters full-length Gli2/Gli3 protein in the cytoplasm, safeguards them from Spop-mediated degradation, and promotes their transition to truncated repressor form. Released full-length GliAs translocate to the nucleus and activate downstream targets. Gli2 is the major activator, whereas Gli3 is the major repressor of the pathway. Gli3 also contributes minor activator function. (C) Gli1, Gli2, and Gli3 whole-mount in situ hybridization counterstained with Myo7a antibody in the medial part of the E15.5 cochlea ( $n \geq 3$  for all samples). All pictures are oriented with the lesser epithelial ridge (LER) on Top and the GER on Bottom. Gli1 is absent in the differentiating cochlear epithelium. Gli2 is expressed in the differentiating oC and GER. Gli3 is expressed in the GER and medial part of the oC, which overlaps with IHCs. (D) Immunostaining of Myo7a showed the presence of IHCs and OHCs in E16.5 Gli3<sup>-/–</sup> (n = 3) and Gli3<sup>P1-4/P1-4</sup>  $(n = 3)$  cochlear sections. (E) Quantification of Gli3<sup>-/-</sup> (n = 7) and Gli3<sup>P1-4/P1-4</sup> (n = 4) cochlear length relative to littermate controls (n = 10) at E17.5 ([SI Appendix](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.2206571119/-/DCSupplemental), Fig. S1). Gli3<sup>-/-</sup> cochlear length varied between samples; some were normal and some were slightly shorter. Gli3<sup>P1-4/P1-4</sup> cochleae are 20% shorter than in littermate controls; WT, wild type. (*F*) Quantification of E17.5 control ( $n = 10$ ), *Gli3<sup>-1 –</sup> (n = 7*), and *Gli3<sup>P1-4/P1-*4 (n = 4) HC numbers (*[SI Appendix](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.2206571119/-/DCSupplemental)*,</sup> [Fig. S1](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.2206571119/-/DCSupplemental)). (G) Top, whole-mount Myo7a immunostaining of E17.5 control and Gli2<sup>-/–</sup> cochleae. White asterisks indicate the end of the cochlear apex. Bottom,<br>high magnification of Myo7a† HCs in the basal region indicated by trols (n = 8) at E17.5. Gli2<sup>-/–</sup> cochleae are around 15% shorter than in littermate controls. (I) Quantification of E17.5 control (n = 8) and Gli2<sup>-/–</sup> (n = 7) HC numbers. Scale bars are indicated. Data are shown as mean  $\pm$  SD; \*P  $\leq$  0.05, \*\*\*P  $\leq$  0.001, \*\*\*\*P  $\leq$  0.0001; ns, not significant (P > 0.05).

the cochlear epithelium leads to premature HC differentiation, whereas constitutive activation of *Smo* inhibits HC differentiation (18). Activation of Shh signaling also blocks HC differentiation in ex vivo cochlea culture (19). Furthermore,  $Gli3^{\triangle 699/\triangle 699}$ mice with constitutive expression of a truncated repressor form of Gli3 protein exhibit expanded sensory regions and formation of ectopic HCs (19). However, it remains unclear how Shh signaling is interpreted by prosensory cells during cochlea development to achieve the spatiotemporal differentiation pattern (Fig. 1A).

Gli transcription factors (Gli1, Gli2, and Gli3) are transducers of Shh signaling (20). While Gli1 acts as a transcriptional activator, Gli2 and Gli3 are bifunctional; they contain a transcriptional activation domain in the C terminus and a transcriptional repression domain in the N terminus (21, 22). Genetic and cell-based experiments revealed that Gli2 is the major activator of mammalian Shh signaling, whereas Gli3 functions as a major repressor of the pathway (21, 23–27). Upon pathway activation, full-length Gli2/Gli3 activate Shh target genes, including *Gli1*, which serves to amplify the signaling output (28, 29). Suppressor of fused (Sufu) negatively regulates Shh signaling through retaining full-length Gli activator (GliA) in the cytoplasm and facilitating the formation of truncated Gli repressor (GliR) (30–33). Speckle-type PDZ protein (Spop) promotes proteasome-mediated degradation of full-length Gli proteins. Sufu competes with Spop for binding to Gli2/Gli3 full-length protein (34). Deletion of Sufu would render Gli2/Gli3 vulnerable to Spop-mediated ubiquitination and degradation (34, 35). Therefore, Sufu also plays an important role in preventing the degradation of Gli2/Gli3. Shh signaling outcomes depend on the balance of GliA and GliR (Fig. 1B). Thus, Sufu–Gli regulation provides a fine-tuning system for cells to respond to Shh signaling.

Here, by using genetic mouse models, we show that inactivation of Sufu in the cochlear epithelium leads to a delay of HC differentiation. Sufu regulates HC differentiation by modulating Gli2 levels. Restoration of HCs in Sufu-mutant cochleae at a late developmental stage is due to Spop-mediated Gli2 degradation. Deletion of both Sufu and Spop causes a robust activation of Gli2 and Gli1 and severe disruption of HC formation. Furthermore, we unveil that Shh signaling controls the expression level of the progenitor maintenance factor Sox2 along the cochlear basal–apical axis. Together, these results suggest that Shh/Gli2 signaling maintains cochlear prosensory cells in the progenitor state, and down-regulation of Gli2 is a prerequisite for HC differentiation.

### Results

Compensation Between Gli2 and Gli3 in Regulating Cochlear HC Differentiation. Gli factors are transcriptional mediators of the Shh signaling pathway. To understand how Shh signaling is interpreted by cochlear epithelial cells, we examined the mRNA expression of *Gli1*, *Gli2*, and *Gli3* in the developing cochlea. At E15.5, whole-mount in situ hybridization counterstained with myosin7a (Myo7a) antibody showed that Gli1 expression was absent in the differentiating cochlear epithelium, Gli2 was expressed in the differentiating oC as well as GER, and Gli3 was expressed in the GER and medial side of the oC, which overlaps with IHCs (Fig. 1C). Activated Shh signaling negatively regulates HC differentiation and would lead to elevated Gli2A levels and reduced Gli3R levels. A previous study<br>showed that Gli3<sup>∆699/∆699</sup>-mutant (which only express Gli3R) cochleae contain ectopic HCs (19). To investigate whether reduction of Gli3R would inhibit cochlear HC differentiation, we analyzed the cochleae of  $Gli3^{-/-}$  and  $Gli3^{PI-4/D1-4}$  mice. In  $Gli3^{PL-4}$  mice, the introduction of four nonphosphorylatable amino acid residues rendered the mutant Gli3 protein incapable of processing into Gli3R (36). We found that  $Gli3^{PL^24/PL^24}$ cochleae were about 20% shorter than those in littermate con-trols (Fig. 1E and [SI Appendix](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.2206571119/-/DCSupplemental), Fig. S1), whereas  $Gli3^{-/-}$ cochlear lengths were variable, with some similar to the littermate controls and others slightly shorter (Fig. 1E). Quantification of HC numbers revealed a small increase of HC density in both  $Gli3^{-/-}$  and  $Gli3^{PI-4/PI-4}$  mutants (Fig. 1*F*). Nonetheless, the stereotypical " $1+3$ " pattern, as shown by Myo7a immunostaining, was unaffected in both  $Gli3^{-/-}$  and  $Gli3^{P1-4/P1-4}$ cochleae (Fig. 1D and [SI Appendix](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.2206571119/-/DCSupplemental), Fig. S1).

We next analyzed  $Gli2^{-/-}$  cochleae to explore whether removal of Gli2 would recapitulate the reversed apical–basal HC differentiation pattern in Shh conditional knockout (CKO) mutants (17). Noticeably,  $Gli2^{-/-}$  cochleae were about 15% shorter than those in littermate controls (Fig. 1H). Myo7a staining showed that HC differentiation still progressed normally from base to apex, as  $Myo7a<sup>+</sup>$  HCs were absent in the apex at E17.5, and HC patterning was also unaffected in  $\dot{G}$ li2<sup>-/-</sup> cochleae (Fig. 1 G and I). Taken together, analysis of Gli2- and Gli3-mutant cochleae suggests a compensatory effect between Gli2 and Gli3 in regulating HC differentiation.

Deletion of Sufu Leads to a Delay of Cochlear Hair Cell Differentiation. Due to functional compensation between Gli2 and Gli3, we aimed to make use of other regulators to modulate the activities of both Gli2 and Gli3 to gain insight of their roles in HC development. We used Emx2Cre to generate a CKO of *Sufu* in the developing cochlea to perturb the availability of Gli factors in the cochlear epithelium. Deletion of Sufu did not lead to significant changes of cochlear length at E16.5. At E18.5,  $Emx2Cre, Sufu<sup>tt</sup>$  cochleae were slightly longer (around 8%) than those in littermate controls (Fig. 2C). Whole-mount immunostaining of Myo7a showed that in E16.5 control cochleae, differentiated HCs displayed a stereotypical " $1+3$ " organization of IHCs and OHCs in the oC in the basal region (Fig. 2A). However, in E16.5 Emx2Cre, Sufu<sup>ff</sup> cochleae, OHCs were completely absent (Fig. 2  $A$  and  $A'$ ). We also examined HC differentiation defects in E18.5 Emx2Cre; Sufu<sup>fff</sup> cochleae. In control cochleae, a " $1+3$ " structure could be detected in both the base and apex (Fig. 2B). Interestingly, while OHCs were still missing in the apex of  $Emx2C$ re; Sufu<sup> $\bar{f}$ f cochleae, differentiation</sup> of OHCs was largely restored in the basal region (Fig. 2 B and  $B'$ ). These results illustrate that  $\mathit{Sufu}$  is required for the timing and progression of cochlear HC differentiation, and deletion of Sufu leads to a delay of HC differentiation.

As Atoh1 is an essential factor for HC differentiation, we examined the expression of Atoh1 in the oC by immunostaining. At E16.5, while Atoh1 expression was detected in all HCs of the control cochleae, it was lost in the presumptive OHC region, but only present in the IHCs, of  $Emx2Cre$ ;  $\hat{Sufu}$ <sup>fff</sup> oCs (Fig. 2D). Furthermore, expression of the Atoh1 downstream target Pou4f3 (37) was also lost specifically in the outer compartment of  $Emx2Cre$ ; Sufu<sup>t/f</sup> oCs (Fig. 2D). These observations further illustrate the differentiation defect of OHCs in the Sufu mutant.

Gli2 Regulates HC Differentiation in Sufu-Deficient Cochleae. It is expected that deletion of Sufu would lead to elevated Gli2A levels and reduced Gli3R levels. Given that complete loss of Gli3R did not lead to significant HC differentiation defects, we asked whether elevated Gli2A may be the primary cause of abnormal HC development in Sufu CKOs. To address this, we first examined mRNA expression of Gli2 as well as its downstream target Gli1 in the Sufu mutant by whole-mount in situ hybridization at E16.5. In the control cochleae, Gli2 was expressed in the GER region on the medial side to the differentiating oC (Fig. 3A), whereas Gli1 was not expressed in the differentiating cochlear epithelium (Fig. 3 B and C). However, in the  $Emx2Cre$ - $\textit{Sufu}^{ff}$  mutant, Gli2 was ectopically expressed in the oC, where OHCs were absent (Fig. 3D). Gli1 was ectopically expressed in the GER region but not in the oC (Fig.  $3 E$  and  $F$ ). We reasoned that the GER expression of Gli1 likely reflects the elevated activities of Gli2A in the Sufu mutant. To examine whether elevated Gli2 (major GliA) contributes to the HC differentiation defects in the Sufu mutant, we generated  $Emx2C$ re;Sufu<sup>ff</sup>;Gli2<sup>ff</sup> mice to reduce the level of Gli2 in Sufu-mutant cells. In E16.5 Emx2Cre;  $Sufu^{ff}$ ; Gli2<sup>f/f</sup> cochleae, OHCs were partially restored (Fig. 3 G and H), demonstrating that deletion of Gli2 could partially rescue HC differentiation defects in Sufu mutants. These results support the notion that elevated Gli2 levels in the Sufu mutant inhibit HC differentiation.

At E18.5, the OHC differentiation defect in the Sufu mutant was restored in the basal region but remained in the apex. To address whether this phenomenon was consistent with Gli2 levels, we further examined Gli2 and Gli1 expression in the E18.5 Sufu mutant. By E18.5, ectopic expression of both Gli2 and Gli1 in the  $Emx2Cre$ ; Sufu<sup>ff</sup> cochlear base was diminished to an extent comparable to the control (Fig.  $3$  *I–L*). However, *Gli2* was ectopically expressed in the Sufu-mutant cochlear apex where OHCs were still absent (Fig. 3 M and O). Absence of Gli1 expression in the Sufu-mutant cochlear apex suggested that the level of Gli2A



Fig. 2. Sufu is required for timing of cochlear HC differentiation. (A) Whole-mount immunostaining of Myo7a showed significantly reduced HC numbers in both the base and apex of E16.5 Sufu-CKO cochleae ( $n = 4$ ) compared to controls ( $n = 4$ ). (A') Quantification of IHCs and OHCs in the base and apex of E16.5 control and EmxCre;Sufu<sup>f/f</sup> cochleae. (B) Whole-mount immunostaining of Myo7a showed that HC differentiation is recovered in the base of E18.5 Sufu-CKO cochleae ( $n = 4$ ) compared to controls ( $n = 4$ ). OHC numbers are significantly reduced in the apex of E18.5 Sufu-CKO cochleae ( $n = 4$ ). (B<sup>r</sup>) Quantification of IHCs and OHCs in the base and apex of E18.5 control and *EmxCre;Sufu<sup>py</sup>* cochleae. (C) Quantification of *EmxCre;Sufu<sup>py</sup>* cochlear length relative to littermate controls at both E16.5 and E18.5 ( $n \geq 3$  for all samples). (D) Immunostaining of Atoh1 and downstream targets Pou4f3 and Myo7a showed that essential differentiation factors are absent in the outer compartment of E16.5 EmxCre;Sufu<sup>f/f</sup> cochleae (n = 3) compared to in controls (n = 3). (Scale bar, 50 µm.) Data are shown as mean  $\pm$  SD; \*\* $P \le 0.01$ , \*\*\*\* $P \le 0.0001$ ; ns, not significant (P > 0.05).

was insufficient to activate *Gli1* but could still inhibit OHC differentiation in the apical region (Fig.  $3$  N and P), resembling the status of E15.5 control cochleae (Fig. 1C). These results coincide with the delay of HC differentiation in  $Emx2Cre, Sufu<sup>ty</sup>$ -mutant cochleae. Taken together, gene expression analysis and genetic rescue experiments highlight the inhibitory role of Gli2(A) in the regulation of cochlear HC differentiation.

Inhibition of Gli2 Is a Prerequisite for HC Differentiation. Next, we asked how reduction of both *Gli2* and *Gli1* levels from E16.5 to E18.5 may be achieved in the basal region of  $Emx2Cre, Sufu<sup>tf</sup>$ -mutant cochleae. Deletion of Sufu leads to Spop-mediated proteasomal degradation of Gli2 (34, 35). We hypothesized that Spop could be involved in the downregulation of Gli2 in E18.5 Sufu-mutant cochleae. To test this, we deleted both Sufu and Spop in the developing cochlea by generating *Emx2Cre*; Sufu<sup>ff</sup>; Spop<sup>fff</sup> embryos. While *Emx2Cre*;  $\frac{\text{Sufu}^{ff}}{\text{Spo}p^{ff}}$  cochlear length was comparable to that of the littermate controls (Fig. 4S), we found widespread expression of both *Gli2* and *Gli1* in the  $Emx2Cre, Sufu<sup>ff</sup>; Spop<sup>ff</sup> cochlear$ epithelium at E16.5 (Fig. 4 A–F and [SI Appendix](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.2206571119/-/DCSupplemental), Fig. S2). Consistent with the notion that Gli2 inhibits HC differentiation, HC differentiation was severely disrupted in Emx2Cre;

 $\mathit{Sufu}^{ff}$ ; Spop<sup>fff</sup> cochleae; only few Myo7a<sup>+</sup> HCs could be found in the mutant cochleae (Fig.  $4$  D-F and T and [SI Appendix](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.2206571119/-/DCSupplemental), [Fig. S4\)](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.2206571119/-/DCSupplemental). Noticeably, at E18.5, ectopic expression of both Gli2 and *Gli1* was maintained in the mutant cochleae, and HC differentiation was largely compromised in  $Emx2Cre, Sufu<sup>ty</sup>; Spop<sup>ty</sup>$ cochleae (Fig. 4 *J–O* and *T* and *SI Appendix*[, Figs. S2 and S4](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.2206571119/-/DCSupplemental)). This ectopic Gli2 and Gli1 expression was detected along the entire length of the mutant cochleae (*[SI Appendix](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.2206571119/-/DCSupplemental)*, Fig. S3). We reasoned that the expanded expression of *Gli2* and *Gli1* in both E16.5 and E18.5  $Emx2Cre, Sufu<sup>eff</sup>; Spop<sup>eff</sup> cochleae could be due$ to further elevation of Gli2A activities at both RNA and protein levels. These results suggest that Spop contributes to the degradation of Gli2 and restoration of HC differentiation in E18.5  $Emx2Cre; Sufu<sup>tt</sup>$  cochleae. Nonetheless, removing one allele of *Spop* did not result in a more severe phenotype in the Sufu-mutant cochleae (Fig. 4  $U$  and V). Furthermore, HC differentiation was not affected by the deletion of Spop in the Sufu heterozygous mutant background (Fig.  $4$  U and V). Therefore, although Spop plays regulatory roles in modulating Gli2 levels in the Sufu-mutant cochleae, it appears dispensable for cochlear HC differentiation in the normal context.

In addition to its role as the receptor, Patched1 (Ptch1) is also a negative regulator of Hh signaling. After binding with Hh ligands,



Fig. 3. Gli2 regulates HC differentiation in Sufu-deficient cochleae. (A, B, D, and E) Gli2 and Gli1 whole-mount in situ hybridization counterstained with Myo7a antibody in the basal region of E16.5 control and Emx2Cre;Sufu<sup>f/f</sup> cochleae (n  $\geq$  3 for all samples). (C and F) Diagrams of the cross-section view summarizing expression patterns of both Gli2 and Gli1 relative to the HCs in E16.5 control and Emx2Cre;Sufu<sup>f/f</sup> cochleae. (G) Whole-mount immunostaining of Myo7a in the cochlear basal region show that the OHC differentiation defect is partly rescued in Emx2Cre;Sufu<sup>f/f</sup>;Gli2<sup>f/f</sup> mice (n = 3) compared to in Emx2Cre; Sufu<sup>f/f</sup> mice (n = 4) at E16.5. (H) Quantification of OHC numbers in E16.5 control (n = 3), Emx2Cre;Sufu<sup>f/f</sup> (n = 3), and Emx2Cre;Sufu<sup>f/f</sup>;Gli2<sup>f/f</sup> (n = 3) cochleae. (I–P) Gli2 and Gli1 whole-mount in situ hybridization counterstained with Myo7a antibody in E18.5 control and Emx2Cre;Sufu<sup>f/f</sup> cochleae (n ≥ 3 for all samples). Base and apex are indicated. All whole-mount in situ hybridization and Myo7a staining images in Fig. 3 are oriented with LER on top and GER on bottom. (Scale bar, 50 μm.) Data are shown as mean  $\pm$  SD; \*P  $\leq$  0.05, \*\*\*P  $\leq$  0.001; ns, not significant (P > 0.05).

Ptch1 is inactivated, which results in derepression of Smoothened (Smo). Smo promotes dissociation of the Sufu–Gli complex. Fulllength Gli proteins then activate downstream targets (20). Therefore, removal of *Ptch1* would lead to a significant activation of Gli2. We hypothesized that *Ptch1* CKO cochleae may also exhibit ectopic Gli2 activation together with HC differentiation defects. We examined expression of Gli2 and Gli1 as well as HC differentiation in the  $Emx2Cre, Ptoh1<sup>eff</sup>$ -mutant cochleae. At E16.5, both Gli2 and Gli1 were widely expressed in the  $Emx2Cre, Ptoh1<sup>eff</sup>$ cochlear epithelium, and few differentiating HCs could be detected (Fig. 4  $G-I$  and  $T$  and  $SI$  Appendix[, Figs. S2 and S4](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.2206571119/-/DCSupplemental)). At E18.5, both Gli2 and Gli1 were enriched in the cochlear epithelium, and ectopic expression was persistent along the entire cochlea; HC differentiation was severely disrupted (Fig. 4  $P-R$  and  $T$  and  $SI$ Appendix[, Figs. S2](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.2206571119/-/DCSupplemental)-S4). While Emx2Cre;Ptch1<sup>ff</sup> cochleae were slightly shorter (7%) than those of littermate controls (Fig. 4S), robust elevation of Gli2 and Gli1 expression as well as severe defects of HC differentiation in the  $Emx2Cre;Ptch1<sup>tt</sup>$ -mutant cochleae were similar to that observed in  $Emx2Cre; Sufu^{tf}; Spop^{tf}$ cochleae. Taken together, these results show that restriction of Gli2 levels by negative regulators of the Shh signaling pathway, such as Sufu, Spop, and Ptch1, is essential for cochlear HC differentiation.

Sufu/Gli2 Control the Dynamic Spatiotemporal Expression of Sox2 in the Developing Cochlea. The down-regulation of Gli2 levels and restoration of HC differentiation in the E18.5

 $Emx2C$ re;Sufu<sup>t/f</sup> mutant prompted us to address whether the prosensory cells were locked in the progenitor state upon Sufu deletion. Given the essential functions of Sox2 as a progenitor factor in the oC  $(2-5)$ , we examined Sox2 expression in Sufu-CKO cochleae. At E16.5, Sox2 expression could be clearly detected in the differentiating HCs, SCs, and GER in the control. However,  $Sox2^+$  cells appeared disorganized in the  $Emx2Cre$ ,  $\mathit{Sufu}^{fff}$  oC (Fig. 5A), resembling the Sox2 expression pattern at E14.5 ([SI Appendix](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.2206571119/-/DCSupplemental), Fig. S5A). At E14.5, there was a small increase in Sox2<sup>+</sup> cell numbers in the  $Emx2Cre; Sufu<sup>tt</sup>$  cochleae ([SI Appendix](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.2206571119/-/DCSupplemental), Fig. S5 A and B). At E18.5, while Sox2 expression was down-regulated in the HCs of control oCs, it was still maintained in the differentiating HCs of  $Emx2Cre; Sufu<sup>ft</sup>$ oCs (Fig. 5A). Moreover, in  $Emx2Cre; Sufu<sup>ty</sup>; Spop<sup>ty</sup>$ -mutant cochleae, there was a significant expansion of the  $Sox2^+$ domain compared to the control (Fig. 5A and *[SI Appendix](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.2206571119/-/DCSupplemental)*, Fig. [S5](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.2206571119/-/DCSupplemental)D). This broader Sox2 expression is not due to cochlear outgrowth defects, as  $Emx2Cre$ ; Sufu<sup>ff</sup>; Spop<sup>ff</sup>-mutant cochleae are not shorter than the control cochleae (Fig. 4S). Together, these results demonstrate that elevated Gli2 levels in the cochleae cause prolonged maintenance of Sox2 expression and expansion of the Sox2<sup>+</sup> domain.

The expression level of Sox2 is critical for cell differentiation in the oC. Loss of Sox2 leads to depletion of HCs, whereas high levels of Sox2 inhibit HC differentiation (2–5). It remains unclear how Sox2 expression is spatially and temporally regulated, as



**Fig. 4.** Low level of Gli2 is a prerequisite for HC differentiation. (A–R) Gli2 and Gli1 whole-mount in situ hybridization counterstained with Myo7a antibody in<br>E16.5 and E18.5 control, *Emx2Cre;Sufu<sup>1/1</sup>;Spop<sup>1/1</sup>,* and marizing expression patterns of both Gli2 and Gli1 relative to the HCs in the E16.5 and E18.5 control, Emx2Cre;Sufu<sup>ff</sup>;Spop<sup>1f</sup>, and Emx2Cre;Ptch1<sup>ff</sup> cochleae. (S) Quantification of E16.5 *Emx2Cre;Sufu<sup>f/f</sup>;Spop<sup>f/f</sup>,* and *Emx2Cre;Ptch1<sup>f/f</sup> c*ochlear length compared to in littermate controls (n ≥ 3 for all samples). (T) Quantification of E16.5 and E18.5 control, *Emx2Cre;Sufu<sup>lf/</sup>;Spop<sup>f/f</sup>, a*nd *Emx2Cre;Ptch1<sup>f/f</sup> HC numbers (n ≥* 3 for all samples). (U) Whole-mount immunostaining of Myo7a in E16.5 Emx2Cre;Sufu<sup>p+</sup>;Spop<sup>f/f</sup> cochleae (n = 3) showed that HC differentiation is normal when Spop is deleted. Removing one allele of Spop only in the Sufu-mutant background (E16.5 Emx2Cre;Sufu<sup>f/f</sup>;Spop<sup>f/+</sup> [n = 3]) cochleae does not exacerbate the HC differentiation defect. (V) Quantification of HC numbers in E16.5 control,  $\bar{E}$ mx2Cre;Sufu<sup>f/+</sup>;Spop<sup>f/f</sup>, Emx2Cre;Sufu<sup>f/f</sup>, and Emx2Cre;Sufu<sup>f/f</sup>;Spop<sup>f/+</sup> cochleae. All whole-mount in situ hybridization and Myo7a staining images in Fig. 4 are oriented with LER on top and GER on bottom. (Scale bar, 50 µm.) Data are shown as mean  $\pm$  SD;  $**P \le 0.01$ ,  $***P \le 0.0001$ , ns, not significant (P > 0.05).

HCs differentiate from base to apex of the cochlea. We hypothesized that Sox2 is differentially expressed along the basal–apical axis, which is consistent with the directional progression of HC differentiation. To further investigate the dynamic spatiotemporal regulation of Sox2 along the basal–apical axis, we analyzed enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP) signals driven from the Sox2 locus using Sox2<sup>EGFP/+</sup> cochleae. Higher EGFP intensity was detected in the undifferentiated apex than in the differentiating base at E16.5. Moreover, differentiating cells from base to midapex of E16.5  $Sox2^{EGPP/4}$  cochleae exhibited lower EGFP intensity than undifferentiated progenitors from base to midapex of E13.5 (Fig. 5 B and C). These results showed that Sox2 expression level is higher in the undifferentiated prosensory cells than in the differentiating oC, and the level of Sox2 is downregulated from cochlear base to apex.

The decreasing Sox2 level from base to apex is consistent with the diminishing pattern of Shh expression in the SG (16). Ptch1 is the receptor and also a downstream target of Shh signaling. We examined the expression pattern of *Ptch1* at E13.5 and E16.5 by using *Ptch1<sup>LacZ/+</sup>* reporter mice. *LacZ* expression revealed that Ptch1 was expressed along the entire length of the cochlea at E13.5 and became restricted to the apical region at

E16.5 (Fig. 5D). The basal–apical *Ptch1* expression pattern mimics the dynamic changes of Sox2 expression. We hypothesized that down-regulation of Shh signaling activity is responsible for the down-regulation of Sox2 expression from base to apex in the developing cochlea. To address this notion, we analyzed EGFP intensity of  $Emx2Cre$ ; Sufu<sup>ff</sup>; Sox2<sup>EGFP/+</sup> cochleae. EGFP intensity at E16.5 revealed elevated Sox2 expression levels throughout the cochlea in  $Emx2Cre; Sufu^{ff};Sox2^{EGFP/+}$  mice compared to the littermate  $Sox2^{EGFP/4}$  controls (Fig. 5 E and F). These results showed that Shh signaling/Gli2 maintain high Sox2 expression levels and enhance progenitor maintenance in the oC throughout the entire cochlea and suggested that differential expression of Sox2 along the basal–apical axis of developing cochlea is modulated by Shh signaling.

### **Discussion**

Shh signal from the SG has been shown to regulate the basal–apical differentiation pattern of cochlear HCs, thereby separating the cell cycle exit process from subsequent differentiation (17). Nevertheless, it is not clear how Shh signal is decoded by the prosensory cells to control temporal and spatial



Fig. 5. Sufu/Gli2 control the spatiotemporal dynamic expression of Sox2 in the developing cochlea. (A) Immunostaining of Sox2 on sections of E16.5 and E18.5 control, Emx2Cre;Sufu<sup>pti</sup>, and Emx2Cre;Sufu<sup>pti</sup>;Spop<sup>pti</sup> cochleae (n  $\geq$  3 for all samples). Left Bottom, magnified view of the white rectangle circled areas. White asterisks indicate down-regulation of Sox2 in HCs of E18.5 control cochleae. (B) EGFP expression of E13.5 and E16.5 Sox2<sup>EGFP/+</sup> cochleae (n = 6 for both stages). (C) Quantification of EGFP intensity of Sox2<sup>EGFP/+</sup> cochleae from apex to base at both E13.5 and E16.5 showed that the Sox2 expression level is higher in the undifferentiated progenitor cells (E16.5 apex and E13.5 midbase to apex) than in differentiating cells (E16.5 base to medial part). Data are<br>shown as mean ± SD; A.U., arbitrary units. (*D*) X-gal staining of cochlear apex during development. (E) EGFP expression of E16.5 Sox2<sup>EGFP/+</sup> and Emx2Cre;Sufu<sup>f/f</sup>;Sox2<sup>EGFP/+</sup> cochleae (n = 4). (F) Quantification of EGFP intensity of E16.5 Sox2<sup>EGFP/+</sup> and Emx2Cre;Sufu<sup>f/f</sup>;Sox2<sup>EGFP/+</sup> cochleae from apex to base showed elevated Sox2 level throughout cochlea when Sufu is removed. Data are shown as mean ± SD. (Scale bar, 100 μm.) (G) Summary diagram. Left, in the prosensory cells, Sufu sequesters Gli2 full-length activator (Gli2A) in the cytoplasm. At the same time, Sufu secures a pool of Gli2A by preventing them from Spop-promoted degradation. Binding of Shh ligands to Ptch1 leads to derepression of Smo activity. Activated Smo separates Gli2A from Sufu. Gli2A translocates into the nucleus and activates downstream targets. Prosensory cells are thus maintained in their progenitor state. Right, Gli2, subjected to a tight regulation of Shh signaling modulators, maintains the progenitor state of prosensory cells and thereby inhibits HC differentiation. Down-regulation of Shh signaling activity/Gli2 from base to apex releases the cells from the progenitor state, and HC differentiation progresses from base to apex. When Shh signaling/Gli2 is elevated, cells are locked in the progenitor state, and HC differentiation is severely disrupted.

progression of differentiation. Due to functional compensation between Gli2 and Gli3 in HC differentiation, delineating their regulatory roles in this process has been challenging. The functions of the intracellular factors Sufu and Spop in modulating GliA and GliR levels in the prosensory cells were not previously known. Through analysis of Sufu and Spop genetic mutants, this study provides mechanistic insight into the regulatory roles of Gli factors in HC differentiation. We have demonstrated that Gli2(A) promotes progenitor maintenance of cochlear prosensory cells, and down-regulation of Gli2(A) by multiple regulators (Sufu, Spop, and Ptch1 in this study) is a prerequisite for HC differentiation in the oC.

At around E13.5, Shh is broadly expressed in the SG. During development, Shh expression gradually declines from base to apex in the SG (16). Consistently,  $Ptch1^{LacZ/+}$  reporter shows that *Ptch1* expression is down-regulated from cochlear base to apex. As a negative regulator of the Shh signaling pathway, Ptch1 represses the activity of Smo. Without Shh ligands, Gli full-length activators are transformed into GliR. In the presence of Shh, Ptch1 is inactivated, and Smo facilitates separation of Gli full-length activators (GliA) and Sufu. GliA would

translocate into the nucleus and activate downstream targets. Indeed, removal of *Ptch1* results in robust activation of both Gli2 and Gli1 and severe HC differentiation defects at both E16.5 and E18.5. However, deletion of  $Sufu$ , encoding another negative regulator of the pathway, causes milder HC differentiation defects and weaker ectopic *Gli1/Gli2* expression at E16.5 than Ptch1 CKO. Moreover, defects of HC differentiation and Gli1/Gli2 expression in Sufu CKO cochleae are gradually alleviated at E18.5. We attributed these differences between Sufu and *Ptch1* CKOs to the Spop-mediated degradation of fulllength Gli2 activators. Without Sufu, Gli2 is susceptible to Spop-mediated degradation so that Gli2 level is mildly up-regulated at E16.5 and then diminished at E18.5. Indeed, when Spop is deleted in the Sufu-mutant background, expression of both *Gli2* and *Gli1* is significantly up-regulated, and HC differentiation is severely compromised at both E16.5 and E18.5, which are similar to the *Ptch1* CKO. Interestingly, removal of Spop alone does not affect cochlear HC differentiation. Given that Sufu and Spop competitively bind to full-length Gli2 proteins (34), it is likely that Sufu-mediated regulation of Gli is sufficient to tune down the level of full-length Gli2 activators

when *Spop* is absent. The following is the model that we present here (Fig. 5*G*): in the prosensory cells, Sufu binds to full-length Gli2A to prevent Spop-mediated degradation and maintains a sufficient amount of Gli2A in the cytoplasm. Ptch $1^{+}/S_{0}x2^{+}$  prosensory cells receive Shh ligands secreted from the SG. Binding of Shh to Ptch1 leads to activation of Smo and the subsequent dissociation of Sufu and Gli2A. Gli2A then accumulates in the nucleus to activate downstream targets, including Gli1 and Ptch1. Therefore, the prosensory cells are maintained in the progenitor state. Without Shh ligands, Sufu sequesters Gli2A in the cytoplasm, and prosensory cells are released from their progenitor state. Hence, HCs differentiate from cochlear base to apex, following the pattern of *Shh* decline.

While the absence of Gli3(R) does not affect cochlear HC differentiation,  $Gli3^{PL-4/D1-4}$ -mutant cochleae are significantly shortened (20% shorter than in littermate controls), which is a phenotype also observed in  $Gli3^{\triangle 699/\triangle 699}$  cochleae (50% shorter than in littermate controls) (19, 38). In  $Gli3^{PL-4/D1-4}$ mutants, Gli3 proteins only exist in the full-length form, and no Gli3R could be generated. By contrast,  $Gli3^{\triangle 699/\triangle 699}$ mutants only express Gli3R. This revealed that the ratio of Gli3A to Gli3R may be important for normal outgrowth of the cochlear duct. Unlike  $Gli3^{-/-}$  or  $Gli3^{PL-4/PL-4}$  mutants, there are ectopic HCs in the  $\textit{Gli3}^{\triangle 699/\triangle 699}$  cochleae, which could result from an expanded prosensory domain. Therefore, it is possible that excessive Gli3R could affect cochlear prosensory specification in an Shh-independent manner, as also seen in the limb bud where Gli3R establishes anteroposterior asymmetry independently of Shh (39). Moreover, excessive Gli3R might affect normal development of the GER region such that some of the nonsensory cells in the GER were not able to maintain their identity and switched to a sensory cell fate.

Strikingly,  $Gli2^{-/-}$  cochleae do not exhibit an apical–basal HC differentiation pattern observed in the Shh CKO (17), and removal of Gli2 in the Sufu-mutant background at E16.5 does not completely rescue the defects. Given that Gli3 could also act as a weak activator, these observations suggest that Gli3 could partly compensate for the function of GliA when Gli2 is absent in the cochlea.

While Sufu regulates Gli protein posttranslationally, it is interesting to note that Gli2 mRNA is ectopically expressed in the E16.5 Sufu-mutant oC. This indicates that Gli2 may autoregulate itself to sustain high Gli2 protein expression in the oC. In the kidney, Gli2 could bind to its own promoter (40). Autoregulation of Gli2 may help to ensure sufficient supply of Gli2 protein for an acute response to Shh signaling. Due to the lack of robust antibody for the detection of Gli2 protein localization and expression pattern in the cochlea, we analyzed expression of Gli1, a transcriptional target of Gli2 protein, to indirectly assess Gli2 protein expression and function. Intriguingly, ectopic Gli1 mRNA expression could only be found in the GER region but not in the oC of the Sufu mutant. It is possible that in the  $\textit{Sufu-CKO}$  oC, Gli2 protein expression level can inhibit HC differentiation but is insufficient to activate Gli1. Consistent with this notion, we showed that *Gli1* expression is broadly detected in the floor of the cochlear epithelium when Gli2 is stabilized from protein degradation in the Sufu; Spop-mutant cochleae. Of note, OHCs are specifically lost in the E16.5  $Emx2Cre; Sufu<sup>ty</sup>$ cochleae, and some IHCs still form in the  $Emx2Cre; Sufrf$  $f$ ;Spop $^{f\!f}$  and  $\emph{Emx2Cre}$ ;Ptch1 $^{f\!f}$  mutants, suggesting that OHCs are more susceptible to elevated Shh signaling activity/Gli2 than IHCs, and there is differential regulation of IHCs and OHCs during development.

Sox2 is a transcription factor essential for cochlear sensory development. Absence of Sox2 causes loss or significant reduction of prosensory regions and HC differentiation (2, 3). Sox2 is required to confer competence to the sensory progenitor cells. Importantly, Sox2 has to be tuned down during subsequent differentiation processes, as continued Sox2 expression inhibits HC differentiation (4, 5). Due to the essential roles of Sox2 in HC development, a tight control of Sox2 level is critical in ensuring proper HC differentiation. Despite that several factors/signaling pathways (e.g., Fibroblast growth factor signaling [41], Notch signaling [42], and Gata3 [43], etc.) have been identified to regulate Sox2 expression in the prosensory cells, the spatiotemporal mechanisms of tuning down Sox2 expression during HC differentiation remain unclear. Although forced expression of Atoh1 would turn off Sox2 expression (4), removal of Atoh1 does not affect Sox2 down-regulation in the oC (44), suggesting the involvement of other signaling pathways or factors in the suppression of Sox2. By analyzing EGFP intensity of  $Sox2^{EGFP/4}$  cochleae, we found that Sox2 expression level is higher in the undifferentiated progenitor and is gradually down-regulated from base to apex during the time window of HC differentiation (Fig. 5). Through inactivation of Sufu in the  $Sox2^{EGFP}$  background, we showed that elevated Shh signaling activity prevents the down-regulation of Sox2 during HC development. Our results indicate that decline of Gli2/ Shh signaling activity mediated by Sufu and other modulators of the Shh pathway triggers the down-regulation of Sox2 as the progenitors commit to differentiation in a spatial-specific manner. Although a high level of Gli2 is capable of boosting Sox2 expression level in the oC, it is not a prerequisite for Sox2 expression, as Sox2 is still expressed, and HCs form in Shh-CKO (Foxg1Cre;  $Shh<sup>box/</sup>$ ) cochleae (17). Thus, the function of Gli2/Shh signaling in the oC is to adjust the expression level of Sox2 and activate other progenitor genes to maintain the progenitor state, thereby preventing HC differentiation (Fig. 5G). Indeed, restoration of HC formation in Sufu mutants over time indicates that those cells are kept in the progenitor state at E16.5 and are capable of differentiation once the Gli2 level is reduced at E18.5.

It has been shown by Assay for Transposase-Accessible Chromatin with high-throughput sequencing (ATAC-seq) analysis of Sox2<sup>+</sup> cells in the cochlea that the Gli-binding motif is enriched in the peaks with decreased accessibility from E12 to E16 (45). Consistently, in several other systems and cancers, Sox2 could also be activated by Gli2 or Shh (46–52). In telencephalic neuroepithelial cells, Gli2 is capable of binding to the enhancer of *Sox2* and activating *Sox2* expression (51). Gli and Sox2 could cooperatively regulate Shh target genes in the developing neural tube (52). It is possible that in the cochlear prosensory cells, Gli2 may also regulate Sox2 expression directly or cooperatively. Therefore, identification and characterization of functional Sox2 enhancers in the cochlear prosensory cells are essential to decipher how Sox2 is precisely regulated during HC development. Comprehensive profiling of Gli2- and Sox2 binding regulatory regions in the cochlear prosensory cells would be required to address the molecular mechanisms.

In summary, by using genetic approaches in this study, we have established the inhibitory functions of Gli2A in cochlear HC differentiation. Gli2, modulated by Sufu, Spop, and Ptch1, is the key transcriptional regulator of the Shh signaling pathway in preventing HC differentiation. High levels of Gli2 activate Sox2 and maintain the progenitor state of the prosensory cells, and HC differentiation is stalled consequently. Therefore, Gli2 levels are rigorously controlled to allow proper HC differentiation to occur. Given that hearing loss was observed in human patients with Ptch1 mutation (53), our study in deciphering the mechanisms of Shh-mediated regulation of HC development has shed light on the understanding of the etiology of hearing impairment in human patients. As demonstrated here, Shh signaling/Gli2 promote the maintenance of the progenitor cell state in the cochlea, suggesting that Gli2 is involved in the gene regulatory networks responsible for maintaining prosensory identity and could be deployed for regeneration of HCs in therapeutic applications.

### Materials and Methods

**Mice.** Emx2Cre (54), Sufu<sup>f/f</sup> (55), Spop<sup>f/f</sup> (56), Ptch1<sup>f/f</sup> (57), Gli2<sup>f/f</sup> (58), Sox2<sup>EGFP/+</sup> (59), Gli2<sup>+/-</sup> (60), Gli3<sup>+/-</sup> (61), Gli3<sup>P1-4/+</sup> (36), and Ptch1<sup>LacZ/+</sup> (62) mice were described before. All experiments were approved by the Committee on the Use of Live Animals in Teaching and Research at the University of Hong Kong (CULATR 5025-19 and 4771-18). The day vaginal plug was observed was considered as E0.5. PCR primers for genotyping are listed in the [SI Appendix](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.2206571119/-/DCSupplemental), Table S1.

Immunohistochemistry and Whole-Mount Immunostaining. Embryo heads were bisected and fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) overnight at 4 °C. Heads were then incubated in 15% sucrose solution overnight at 4 °C and embedded in gelatin. Samples were sectioned at 10 μm and subjected to immunohistochemistry. For whole-mount immunostaining, fixed inner ears were dissected to remove bony capsule and vestibule. Cochleae were further dissected to remove the cochlear roof epithelia, and the oC was exposed. Sections or whole-mount tissues were blocked in 10% horse serum (Gibco, 26050-088) with 0.1% Triton X-100 (Sigma-Aldrich,  $\times$ 100) at room temperature for 1 h and incubated with diluted primary antibody in 10% horse serum with 0.1% Triton X-100 overnight at 4 °C. The following primary antibodies were used: rabbit anti-Atoh1 (Proteintech, 21215-1-AP), rabbit anti-Myo7a (Proteus, 26-6790), mouse anti-Pou4f3 (Santa Cruz, sc-81980), and goat anti-Sox2 (Neuromics, GT15098). Samples were then washed and incubated with Alexa Fluor–labeled secondary antibodies (Thermo Fisher Scientific) for 1 h at room temperature.

Whole-Mount In Situ Hybridization. Embryo heads were bisected and fixed in 4% PFA overnight at 4 °C and dehydrated through a methanol gradient. After rehydration, cochleae were dissected from the bony capsule, treated with proteinase K (Sigma-Aldrich, P6556), postfixed in PFA/glutaraldehyde, and incubated with DIG-labeled riboprobes at 65 °C overnight. After washing in maleic acid buffer containing 0.1% Tween 20 (MABT), samples were blocked in MABT supplemented with 10% blocking reagent (Roche, 11585614910) and 20% horse serum, incubated with anti-digoxigenin antibody conjugated to alkaline

- 1. M. L. Basch, R. M. Brown II, H. I. Jen, A. K. Groves, Where hearing starts: The development of the mammalian cochlea. J. Anat. 228, 233–254 (2016).
- 2. A. E. Kiernan et al., Sox2 is required for sensory organ development in the mammalian inner ear. Nature 434, 1031–1035 (2005).
- 3. J. S. Kempfle, J. L. Turban, A. S. Edge, Sox2 in the differentiation of cochlear progenitor cells. Sci. Rep. 6, 23293 (2016).
- 4. A. Dabdoub et al., Sox2 signaling in prosensory domain specification and subsequent hair cell differentiation in the developing cochlea. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 105, 18396–18401 (2008).
- 5. C. Puligilla, M. W. Kelley, Dual role for Sox2 in specification of sensory competence and regulation of Atoh1 function. Dev. Neurobiol. 77, 3–13 (2017).
- 6. N. A. Bermingham et al., Math1: An essential gene for the generation of inner ear hair cells. Science 284, 1837-1841 (1999).
- 7. S. P. Gubbels, D. W. Woessner, J. C. Mitchell, A. J. Ricci, J. V. Brigande, Functional auditory hair cells produced in the mammalian cochlea by in utero gene transfer. Nature 455, 537-541 (2008).
- 8. M. Izumikawa et al., Auditory hair cell replacement and hearing improvement by Atoh1 gene therapy in deaf mammals. Nat. Med. 11, 271–276 (2005).
- 9. J. L. Zheng, W. Q. Gao, Overexpression of Math1 induces robust production of extra hair cells in postnatal rat inner ears. Nat. Neurosci. 3, 580–586 (2000).
- 10. Y. S. Lee, F. Liu, N. Segil, A morphogenetic wave of p27Kip1 transcription directs cell cycle exit during organ of Corti development. Development 133, 2817–2826 (2006).
- 11. P. Chen, N. Segil, p27(Kip1) links cell proliferation to morphogenesis in the developing organ of Corti. Development 126, 1581–1590 (1999).
- 12. T. Tateya et al., Three-dimensional live imaging of Atoh1 reveals the dynamics of hair cell induction and organization in the developing cochlea. *Development* **146**, dev177881 (2019).
- 13. I. Jahan, N. Pan, J. Kersigo, B. Fritzsch, Beyond generalized hair cells: Molecular cues for hair cell types. Hear. Res. 297, 30–41 (2013).
- 14. Z. F. Mann, M. W. Kelley, Development of tonotopy in the auditory periphery. Hear. Res. 276, 2–15 (2011).
- 15. A. K. Groves, K. D. Zhang, D. M. Fekete, The genetics of hair cell development and regeneration. Annu. Rev. Neurosci. 36, 361–381 (2013).

phosphatase (Roche, 11093274910) at 4 °C overnight, and washed for 5 h at room temperature and overnight at 4 °C. BM purple (Roche, 11442074001) was applied to the samples until the signal was fully developed. Probes for Gli1 and Gli2 have been described before (56).

Imaging. Images were acquired using an Olympus BX53 fluorescence microscope, Leica MZ10F fluorescence stereomicroscope, or Carl Zeiss 700/780 laser scanning confocal microscope. All Sox2–EGFP images were taken using an Olympus BX53 fluorescence microscope under the same settings and the same exposure times for signal intensity comparison. Images were analyzed using Photoshop CC 2015 or ImageJ software (version 1.53).

**X-gal Staining.** β-galactosidase activity of Ptch1<sup>LacZ/+</sup> reporter mice was analyzed as described previously (63). Briefly, inner ears were dissected from fresh embryos in cold phosphate-buffered saline, fixed for 30 min at 4 °C, washed at room temperature, and incubated with X-gal (Sigma-Aldrich, 7240906) in the dark at room temperature overnight.

Statistics. Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism 8. Twotailed  $t$  tests with Welch's correction were used in comparing two independent groups of samples. For Figs. 2A and 3H, one-tailed t tests with Welch's correction were used in comparing numbers of OHCs, as cell numbers would not be less than the value of zero ([SI Appendix](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.2206571119/-/DCSupplemental), Table S2). Statistical data are included in [SI Appendix](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.2206571119/-/DCSupplemental), Table S2; \*,  $P \le 0.05$ ; \*\*,  $P \le 0.01$ ; \*\*\*,  $P \le 0.001$ ; \*\*\*\*,  $P \le 0.0001$ ; ns, not significant (P > 0.05). *n* represents the numbers of cochleae in each group.

Data, Materials, and Software Availability. All study data are included in the article and/or [SI Appendix](http://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.2206571119/-/DCSupplemental).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. We thank all the members in the M.H.S. lab for their discussions and suggestions, Mr. Aaron Kwok and Dr. Yue Liu for help in illustrations, and the Transgenic Core Facility of the University of Hong Kong for the mouse reagents. This work was supported by the Research Grant Council in Hong Kong (RGC GRF 17159316). C.C.Hui's research is supported by Canadian Institutes of Health Research and the Canada Research Chairs program.

Author affiliations: <sup>a</sup>School of Biomedical Sciences, The Chinese University of Hong<br>Kong, Shatin, Hong Kong SAR, China; <sup>b</sup>School of Biomedical Sciences, Li Ka Shing<br>Faculty of Medicine, The University of Hong Kong, Pokf Toronto, ON, M5G 0A4, Canada; and <sup>d</sup>Department of Molecular Genetics, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, M5S 1A8, Canada

- 16. Z. Liu, T. Owen, L. Zhang, J. Zuo, Dynamic expression pattern of Sonic hedgehog in developing cochlear spiral ganglion neurons. Dev. Dyn. 239, 1674–1683 (2010).
- 17. J. Bok, C. Zenczak, C. H. Hwang, D. K. Wu, Auditory ganglion source of Sonic hedgehog regulates timing of cell cycle exit and differentiation of mammalian cochlear hair cells. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 110, 13869–13874 (2013).
- 18. T. Tateya et al., Hedgehog signaling regulates prosensory cell properties during the basal-to-apical wave of hair cell differentiation in the mammalian cochlea. Development 140, 3848–3857 (2013).
- 19. E. C. Driver et al., Hedgehog signaling regulates sensory cell formation and auditory function in mice and humans. J. Neurosci. 28, 7350–7358 (2008).
- 20. C. C. Hui, S. Angers, Gli proteins in development and disease. Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol. 27, 513–537 (2011).
- 21. H. Sasaki, Y. Nishizaki, C. Hui, M. Nakafuku, H. Kondoh, Regulation of Gli2 and Gli3 activities by an amino-terminal repression domain: Implication of Gli2 and Gli3 as primary mediators of Shh signaling. Development 126, 3915–3924 (1999).
- 22. P. Dai et al., Sonic Hedgehog-induced activation of the Gli1 promoter is mediated by GLI3. J. Biol. Chem. 274, 8143–8152 (1999).
- 23. M. P. Matise, D. J. Epstein, H. L. Park, K. A. Platt, A. L. Joyner, Gli2 is required for induction of floor plate and adjacent cells, but not most ventral neurons in the mouse central nervous system. Development 125, 2759–2770 (1998).
- 24. Q. Ding et al., Diminished Sonic hedgehog signaling and lack of floor plate differentiation in Gli2 mutant mice. Development 125, 2533–2543 (1998).
- 25. C. B. Bai, A. L. Joyner, Gli1 can rescue the in vivo function of Gli2. Development 128, 5161–5172 (2001).
- 26. C. B. Bai, D. Stephen, A. L. Joyner, All mouse ventral spinal cord patterning by hedgehog is Gli dependent and involves an activator function of Gli3. Dev. Cell 6, 103-115 (2004).
- 27. Y. Pan, C. B. Bai, A. L. Joyner, B. Wang, Sonic hedgehog signaling regulates Gli2 transcriptional activity by suppressing its processing and degradation. Mol. Cell. Biol. 26, 3365-3377 (2006).
- 28. C. B. Bai, W. Auerbach, J. S. Lee, D. Stephen, A. L. Joyner, Gli2, but not Gli1, is required for initial Shh signaling and ectopic activation of the Shh pathway. Development 129, 4753–4761 (2002).
- 29. H. L. Park et al., Mouse Gli1 mutants are viable but have defects in SHH signaling in combination with a Gli2 mutation. Development 127, 1593–1605 (2000).
- 30. P. Kogerman et al., Mammalian suppressor-of-fused modulates nuclear-cytoplasmic shuttling of Gli-1. Nat. Cell Biol. 1, 312–319 (1999).
- 31. M. Murone et al., Gli regulation by the opposing activities of fused and suppressor of fused. Nat. Cell Biol. 2, 310–312 (2000).
- 32. Y. Kise, A. Morinaka, S. Teglund, H. Miki, Sufu recruits GSK3beta for efficient processing of Gli3. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 387, 569–574 (2009).
- 33. J. Jia et al., Suppressor of Fused inhibits mammalian Hedgehog signaling in the absence of cilia. Dev. Biol. 330, 452–460 (2009).
- 34. C. Wang, Y. Pan, B. Wang, Suppressor of fused and Spop regulate the stability, processing and function of Gli2 and Gli3 full-length activators but not their repressors. Development 137, 2001–2009 (2010).
- 35. M. H. Chen et al., Cilium-independent regulation of Gli protein function by Sufu in Hedgehog signaling is evolutionarily conserved. Genes Dev. 23, 1910-1928 (2009).
- 36. C. Wang, U. Rüther, B. Wang, The Shh-independent activator function of the full-length Gli3 protein and its role in vertebrate limb digit patterning. Dev. Biol. 305, 460–469 (2007).
- 37. M. Masuda et al., Regulation of POU4F3 gene expression in hair cells by 5' DNA in mice. Neuroscience 197, 48–64 (2011).
- 38. J. Bok et al., Opposing gradients of Gli repressor and activators mediate Shh signaling along the dorsoventral axis of the inner ear. Development 134, 1713–1722 (2007).
- 39. O. Zhulyn et al., A switch from low to high Shh activity regulates establishment of limb progenitors and signaling centers. Dev. Cell 29, 241-249 (2014).
- 40. M. C. Hu et al., GLI3-dependent transcriptional repression of Gli1, Gli2 and kidney patterning genes disrupts renal morphogenesis. Development 133, 569–578 (2006).
- 41. K. Ono et al., FGFR1-Frs2/3 signalling maintains sensory progenitors during inner ear hair cell formation. PLoS Genet. 10, e1004118 (2014).
- 42. A. E. Kiernan, J. Xu, T. Gridley, The Notch ligand JAG1 is required for sensory progenitor development in the mammalian inner ear. PLoS Genet. 2, e4 (2006).
- 43. X. J. Luo et al., GATA3 controls the specification of prosensory domain and neuronal survival in the mouse cochlea. Hum. Mol. Genet. 22, 3609–3623 (2013).
- 44. T. Zhang, J. Xu, P. Maire, P. X. Xu, Six1 is essential for differentiation and patterning of the mammalian auditory sensory epithelium. PLoS Genet. 13, e1006967 (2017).
- 45. B. A. Wilkerson et al., Open chromatin dynamics in prosensory cells of the embryonic mouse cochlea. Sci. Rep. 9, 9060 (2019).
- 46. R. J. Vanner et al., Quiescent Sox2<sup>+</sup> cells drive hierarchical growth and relapse in sonic hedgehog subgroup medulloblastoma. Cancer Cell 26, 33–47 (2014).
- 47. D. Castillo-Azofeifa et al., SOX2 regulation by hedgehog signaling controls adult lingual epithelium homeostasis. Development 145, dev164889 (2018).
- 48. Y. Jia et al., The role of GLI-SOX2 signaling axis for gemcitabine resistance in pancreatic cancer. Oncogene 38, 1764–1777 (2019).
- 49. N. Bora-Singhal, D. Perumal, J. Nguyen, S. Chellappan, Gli1-mediated regulation of Sox2 facilitates self-renewal of stem-like cells and confers resistance to EGFR inhibitors in non-small cell lung cancer. Neoplasia 17, 538–551 (2015).
- 50. J. Ahlfeld et al., Sox2 requirement in sonic hedgehog-associated medulloblastoma. Cancer Res. 73, 3796–3807 (2013).
- 51. H. Takanaga et al., Gli2 is a novel regulator of Sox2 expression in telencephalic neuroepithelial cells. Stem Cells 27, 165–174 (2009).
- 52. K. A. Peterson et al., Neural-specific Sox2 input and differential Gli-binding affinity provide context and positional information in Shh-directed neural patterning. Genes Dev. 26, 2802-2816 (2012).
- 53. C. D. Durmaz et al., A novel PTCH1 frameshift mutation leading to nevoid basal cell carcinoma syndrome. Cytogenet. Genome Res. 154, 57–61 (2018).
- 54. J. Kimura et al., Emx2 and Pax6 function in cooperation with Otx2 and Otx1 to develop caudal forebrain primordium that includes future archipallium. J. Neurosci. 25, 5097–5108 (2005).
- 55. Z. J. Li et al., Kif7 regulates Gli2 through Sufu-dependent and -independent functions during skin development and tumorigenesis. Development 139, 4152–4161 (2012).
- 56. W. C. Yin et al., Dual regulatory functions of SUFU and targetome of GLI2 in SHH subgroup medulloblastoma. Dev. Cell 48, 167–183.e5 (2019).
- 57. A. Uhmann et al., The Hedgehog receptor Patched controls lymphoid lineage commitment. Blood 110, 1814–1823 (2007).
- 58. J. D. Corrales, S. Blaess, E. M. Mahoney, A. L. Joyner, The level of sonic hedgehog signaling regulates the complexity of cerebellar foliation. Development 133, 1811–1821 (2006).
- 59. K. Arnold et al., Sox2<sup>+</sup> adult stem and progenitor cells are important for tissue regeneration and survival of mice. Cell Stem Cell 9, 317-329 (2011).
- 60. R. Mo et al., Specific and redundant functions of Gli2 and Gli3 zinc finger genes in skeletal patterning and development. Development 124, 113–123 (1997).
- 61. C. C. Hui, A. L. Joyner, A mouse model of Greig cephalopolysyndactyly syndrome: The extra-toesJ mutation contains an intragenic deletion of the Gli3 gene. Nat. Genet. 3, 241–246 (1993).
- 62. L. V. Goodrich, L. Milenković, K. M. Higgins, M. P. Scott, Altered neural cell fates and medulloblastoma in mouse patched mutants. Science 277, 1109–1113 (1997).
- 63. J. Whiting et al., Multiple spatially specific enhancers are required to reconstruct the pattern of Hox-2.6 gene expression. Genes Dev. 5, 2048–2059 (1991).