

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript Drug Dev Res. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2022 November 09.

Published in final edited form as:

Drug Dev Res. 2020 May ; 81(3): 338–349. doi:10.1002/ddr.21625.

New 3-unsubstituted isoxazolones as potent human neutrophil elastase inhibitors: Synthesis and molecular dynamic simulation

Maria Paola Giovannoni1, **Letizia Crocetti**1, **Niccolò Cantini**1, **Gabriella Guerrini**1, **Claudia Vergelli**1, **Antonella Iacovone**1, **Elisabetta Teodori**1, **Igor A. Schepetkin**2, **Mark T. Quinn**2, **Samuele Ciattini**3, **Patrizia Rossi**4, **Paola Paoli**⁴

¹Neurofarba, Pharmaceutical and Nutraceutical Section, University of Florence, Sesto Fiorentino, Italy

²Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Montana State University, Bozeman, Montana

³Center of Structural Crystallography, University of Florence, Sesto Fiorentino, Italy

⁴Department of Industrial Engineering, University of Florence, Florence, Italy

Abstract

Human neutrophil elastase (HNE) is a proteolytic enzyme belonging to the serine protease family and is involved in a variety of pathologies. Thus, compounds able to inhibit HNE represent promising therapeutics for the treatment of inflammatory diseases. Here, we report the further elaboration of our previously reported 3-methylisoxazolone derivatives, synthesizing a new series of 3-nor-derivatives bearing different substituents at the 4-phenyl ring. The most potent compounds **3a**, **3g**, and **3h**, had IC₅₀ values of 16, 11, and 18 nM, respectively. Molecular modeling studies and molecular dynamic (MD) simulations demonstrated no substantial differences between the 3-methylisoxazole derivatives previously tested and the corresponding 3-unsubstituted derivatives in the snapshot conformations sampled during the MD simulations, which is consistent with their similar levels of HNE inhibitory activity. Thus, we conclude that the isoxazolone scaffold is a good scaffold for developing HNE inhibitors, as it tolerates several modifications when adhering to basic scaffold requirements, and the resulting derivatives are quite potent HNE inhibitors.

Keywords

human neutrophil elastase inhibitors; molecular and crystal structures; molecular modeling

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Correspondence: Letizia Crocetti, Dipartimento di Neurofarba, University of Florence, Via Ugo Schiff 6, Sesto Fiorentino, Firenze 50019, Italy. letizia.crocetti@unifi.it.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

C.L. and G.M.P. designed the compounds and wrote the manuscript; C.N., G.G., I.A., V.C., and T.E. synthesized the compounds, wrote the experimental section, and checked the final version of the manuscript. in vitro studies (inhibition essay) were performed by S.I.A. and Q.M.T. and they wrote the pharmacological section. The crystal structure was made by C.S.; molecular dynamic (MD) simulations and the corresponding analysis of data were performed by R.P. and P.P. All of the authors have given approval to the final version of the manuscript.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of this article.

1 | INTRODUCTION

Neutrophils are one of primary immune cell populations in humans and produce inflammatory mediators that target invading microorganisms and foreign materials (Korkmaz, Horwitz, Jenne, & Gauthier, 2010; Stapels, Gijsbrecht, & Rooijakkers, 2015). Neutrophils adhere to the endothelium, migrate to sites of infection/inflammation, and release granule components, such as human neutrophil elastase (HNE). HNE is a small, basic, and soluble glycoprotein of about 30 kDa (Sinha et al., 1987) and is stored in neutrophil azurophilic granules with other serine proteases. HNE and other serine proteases are key enzymes involved in inflammation, coagulation, and host defense (Massberg et al., 2010; Pham, 2006). On the other hand, HNE also plays a central role in several inflammatory diseases, such as chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (Lucas, Costa, Guedes, & Moreira, 2013; Pandey, De, & Mishra, 2017), cystic fibrosis (Twigg et al., 2015), rheumatoid arthritis (Hilbert, Schiller, Arnhold, & Arnold, 2002), cancer (Akizuki et al., 2007; Lerman et al., 2017; Lerman & Hammes, 2017; Vaguliene, Zemaitis, Lavinskiene, Miliauskas, & Sakalauskas, 2013), and other disorders with an inflammatory component (Bronze-da-Rocha & Santos-Silva, 2018; Marto et al., 2018). Because of their potential for proteolytic tissue damage, neutrophil protease activity is regulated by specific endogenous (α-1 antitrypsin, secretory leucocyte protease inhibitor and elafin) and exogenous inhibitors, thereby preventing several inflammatory diseases with severe impact on organ tissue integrity (von Nussbaum et al., 2016; von Nussbaum & Li, 2015). Therefore, HNE is an attractive therapeutic target, and the design of new small molecule inhibitors of this enzyme is an area of extensive investigation. Nevertheless, only two HNE inhibitors are commercially available: Sivelestat (Elaspol $^{\circledR}$ 100), which is in use for the treatment of ALI and ARDS only marketed in Korea and Japan (Iwata et al., 2010), and Prolastin (purified α1-AT), which is use for the treatment of α1-antitrypsin deficiency (Bayer Corporation, 2002). In order to develop new compounds that modulate the HNE proteolytic activity, our research group has investigated several molecular scaffolds, such as indazoles (Crocetti et al., 2011, 2013), indoles (Crocetti et al., 2016), azaindoles (Crocetti et al., 2018; Giovannoni et al., 2019), cinnolinones (Giovannoni et al., 2016), and thiazolones (Crocetti et al., 2017). Most recently, we focused on the synthesis isoxazol- $5(2H)$ -one derivatives (Giovannoni et al., 2018; Vergelli et al., 2017). These new isoxazolone-based derivatives exhibited HNE inhibitory activity in the nanomolar range $(IC_{50} = 20-96 \text{ nM})$, and molecular modeling studies allowed us to determine that the endocyclic carbonyl at Position 5 of the isoxazolone was the group attacked by Ser195 of the catalytic triad. The most potent isoxazolones were further evaluated for chemical stability, and the results showed that these derivatives were more stable than our previously described HNE inhibitors with a bicyclic scaffold. Finally, kinetic experiments demonstrated that these compounds acted as competitive HNE inhibitors, and structure–activity relationship (SAR) analyses established that the amide function at Position 2 was important for the activity, and the best substituents at this position were m-tolylcarbonyl and cyclopropylcarbonyl groups (Giovannoni et al., 2018). In order to complete SAR analysis for this class of HNE inhibitors, we designed and synthesized a new series of 4-phenyl-3-unsubstituted derivatives (Figure 1) bearing different substituents on the 4-phenyl ring while maintaining the best fragments at Position 2 of the isoxazolone scaffold (i.e., m-tolylcarbonyl or cyclopropylcarbonyl groups).

2 | EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

2.1 | Material and methods

All melting points were determined on a Büchi apparatus (New Castle, DE) and are uncorrected. Extracts were dried over $Na₂SO₄$, and the solvents were removed under reduced pressure. Merck F-254 commercial plates (Merck, Durham, NC) were used for analytical TLC to follow the course of the reactions. Silica gel 60 (Merck 70–230 mesh, Merck, Durham, NC) was used for column chromatography. 1 H-NMR, 13 C-NMR, 1 H- 13 C HSQC, and ¹H-¹³C HMBC bidimensional spectra were recorded on an Avance 400 instrument (Bruker Biospin Version 002 with SGU, Bruker Inc., Billerica, MA). Chemical shifts (δ) are reported in ppm to the nearest 0.01 ppm using the solvent as an internal standard. Coupling constants (J values) are given in Hz and were calculated using the TopSpin 1.3 software (Nicolet Instrument Corp., Madison, WI) and are rounded to the nearest 0.1 vHz. Mass spectra (m/z) were recorded on an ESI-TOF mass spectrometer (Brucker Micro TOF, Bruker Inc., Billerica, MA), and reported mass values are within the error limits of ± 5 ppm mass units. Microanalyses indicated by the symbols of the elements or functions were performed with a Perkin–Elmer 260 elemental analyzer (PerkinElmer, Inc., Waltham, MA) for C, H, and N, and the results were within $\pm 0.4\%$ of the theoretical values, unless otherwise stated. Reagents and starting material were commercially available.

2.2 | Chemistry

2.2.1 | Synthesis of 4-(5-Oxo-2,5-dihydroisoxazol-4-yl)benzonitrile (2h)—A suspension of intermediate **1h** (Alves, Dazinger, Veiros, & Kirchner, 2010; 1.38 mmol) in about 6 ml of MeOH/H₂O (10:1) was heated at 100° C, and 2.76 mmol of hydroxylamine hydrochloride was added. The mixture was stirred at reflux for 4 hr. After cooling, the solvent was evaporated under vacuum to obtain the crude compound, which was purified by column chromatography using dichloromethane/methanol 8:2 as the eluent. Yield = 82%; mp = 141–143°C (EtOH). ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 8.72 (s, 1H, CH), 7.79 (d, 2H, Ar, $J = 8.0$ Hz), 7.58 (d, 2H, Ar, $J = 8.0$ Hz). ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 169.2 (C), 147.1 (CH), 136.8 (C), 132.1 (2 × CH), 127.1 (2 × CH), 111.8 (C), 111.6 (C), 96.4 (C). ESI-MS calcd. For C₁₀H₆N₂O₂, 186.17; found: m/z 187.05 [M + H]⁺. Anal. C₁₀H₆N₂O₂ (C, H, N).

2.2.2 | General procedure for compounds 3a-i and 4a-i—To a suspension of the appropriate substrate **2a-i** (Beccalli, La Rosa, & Marchesini, 1984; Snyder et al., 2004; 0.86 mmol) in 10 ml of anhydrous THF, 1.72 mmol of sodium hydride (60% dispersion in mineral oil) and 1.03 mmol of cyclopropanecarbonyl chloride or m-toluoyl chloride were added. The mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight. The solvent was concentrated in vacuo and the resulting mixture was quenched by adding cold water (10 ml). The suspension was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 15 \text{ mL})$, dried on anhydrous sodium sulfate, and then filtered to obtain the final compounds **3a-i** and **4a-i**, which were purified by crystallization from ethanol (**3a**, **3c**, **3e-3i**, **4d**-**f**, and **4h**) or by column chromatography

2-(Cyclopropanecarbonyl)-4-phenylisoxazol-5(2H)-one (3a).: Yield = 28% ; mp = 171−172°C (EtOH). 1H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 8.72 (s, 1H, CH), 7.78 (d, 2H, Ar, J = 7.2 Hz), 7.42 (t, 1H, Ar, J = 7.2 Hz), 7.36 (d, 2H, Ar, J = 6.0 Hz), 2.45−2.35 (m, 1H, CH cC₃H₅), 1.31–1.26 (m, 2H, CH₂ cC₃H₅), 1.20–1.15 (m, 2H, CH₂ cC₃H₅). ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ 166.7 (C), 165.9 (C), 136.0 (CH), 128.9 (CH), 128.6 (CH), 127.4 (C), 125.8 (CH), 108.1 (C), 11.8 (CH), 11.2 (CH2). IR ѵ (cm−1): 1,591 (C=C isox), 1,693 (C=O amide), 1,759 (C=O lactone), 3,103 (CH isox). ESI-MS calcd. for $C_{13}H_{11}NO_3$, 229.24; found: m/z 230.08 $[M + H]^{+}$. Anal. C₁₃H₁₁NO₃ (C, H, N).

2-(Cyclopropanecarbonyl)-4-(p-tolyl)isoxazol-5(2H)-one (3b).: Yield = 41%; mp = 130– 133°C (EtOH). ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.67 (s, 1H, CH), 7.67 (d, 2H, Ar, *J* = 8.2 Hz), 7.22 (d, 2H, Ar, $J = 8.2$ Hz), 2.45–2.35 (m, 4H, 1H CH cC₃H₅ + 3H CH₃), 1.31–1.26 (m, 2H, CH₂ cC₃H₅), 1.19−1.14 (m, 2H, CH₂ cC₃H₅). ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 166.7 (C), 166.0 (C), 138.7 (C), 135.5 (CH), 129.6 (CH), 125.7 (CH), 124.4 (C), 108.3 (C), 21.3 (CH₃), 11.7 (CH), 11.0 (CH₂). IR v (cm^{−1}): 1,600 (C=C isox), 1,678 (C=O amide), 1,770 (C=O lactone), 3,095 (CH isox). ESI-MS calcd. for $C_{14}H_{13}NO_3$, 243.26; found: m/z 244.09 $[M + H]$ ⁺. Anal. C₁₄H₁₃NO₃ (C, H, N).

2-(Cyclopropanecarbonyl)-4-(m-tolyl)isoxazol-5(2H)-one (3c).: Yield = 22%; mp = 155– 157°C (EtOH). 1H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 8.69 (s, 1H, CH), 7.61 (s, 1H, Ar), 7.57 (d, 1H, Ar, $J = 8.0$ Hz), 7.30 (t, 1H, Ar, $J = 7.6$ Hz), 7.16 (d, 1H, Ar, $J = 7.2$ Hz), 2.44–2.38 (m, 4H, 1H CH cC₃H₅ + 3H CH₃), 1.28–1.23 (m, 2H, CH₂ cC₃H₅), 1.21–1.16 (m, 2H, CH₂ cC3H5). 13C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ 166.7 (C), 166.0 (C), 138.7 (C), 135.9 (CH), 129.4 (CH), 128.8 (CH), 127.3 (C), 126.4 (CH), 122.9 (CH), 108.2 (C), 21.4 (CH₃), 11.8 (CH), 11.1 (CH2). IR ѵ (cm−1): 1,589 (C=C isox), 1,647 (C=O amide), 1,768 (C=O lactone), 3,103 (CH isox). ESI-MS calcd. for $C_{14}H_{13}NO_3$, 243.26; found: m/z 244.09 [M + H]⁺. Anal. $C_{14}H_{13}NO_3$ (C, H, N).

2-(Cyclopropanecarbonyl)-4-(o-tolyl)isoxazol-5(2H)-one (3d).: Yield = 60%; mp = 90– 92°C (EtOH). ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.49 (s, 1H, CH), 7.48 (d, 1H, Ar, J = 7.2 Hz), 7.28−7.22 (m, 3H, Ar), 2.45−2.35 (m, 4H, 1H CH cC₃H₅ + 3H CH₃), 1.30−1.25 (m, 2H, CH₂ cC₃H₅), 1.20−1.15 (m, 2H, CH₂ cC₃H₅). ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 166.8 (C), 165.2 (C), 138.5 (CH), 136.7 (C), 130.8 (CH), 129.6 (CH), 129.0 (CH), 126.4 (C), 126.1 (CH), 108.5 (C), 20.6 (CH3), 11.8 (CH), 11.0 (CH2). IR ѵ (cm−1): 1,602 (C=C isox), 1,697 (C=O amide), 1,761 (C=O lactone), 3,097 (CH isox). ESI-MS calcd. for $C_{14}H_{13}NO_3$, 243.26; found: m/z 244.09 [M + H]⁺. Anal. C₁₄H₁₃NO₃ (C, H, N).

4-(4-Bromophenyl)-2-(cyclopropanecarbonyl)isoxazol-5(2H)-one (3e).: Yield = 30%; mp $= 143-145^{\circ}$ C (EtOH). ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.72 (s, 1H, CH), 7.66 (d, 2H, Ar, J $= 8.0$ Hz), 7.55 (d, 2H, Ar, J = 8.0 Hz), 2.40–2.35 (m, 1H, CH cC₃H₅), 1.34–1.28 (m, 2H, CH₂ cC₃H₅), 1.22–1.17 (m, 2H, CH₂ cC₃H₅). ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 166.1 (C), 165.8 (C), 136.0 (CH), 132.1 (CH), 127.2 (CH), 126.4 (C), 122.6 (C), 109.5 (C), 11.8 (CH), 11.3 (CH2). IR ѵ (cm−1): 1,606 (C=C isox), 1,685 (C=O amide), 1,759 (C=O lactone),

3,086 (CH isox). ESI-MS calcd. for $C_{13}H_{10}BrNO_3$, 308.13; found: m/z 308.98 [M + H]⁺. Anal. $C_{13}H_{10}BrNO_3 (C, H, N)$.

4-(4-Chlorophenyl)-2-(cyclopropanecarbonyl)isoxazol-5(2H)-one (3f).: Yield = 64%; mp $= 144-146^{\circ}$ C (EtOH). ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.71 (s, 1H, CH), 7.73 (d, 2H, Ar, J $= 8.4$ Hz), 7.38 (d, 2H, Ar, J = 8.4 Hz), 2.40–2.35 (m, 1H, CH cC₃H₅), 1.34–1.29 (m, 2H, CH₂ cC₃H₅), 1.21–1.16 (m, 2H, CH₂ cC₃H₅). ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 166.7 (C), 165.8 (C), 136.0 (CH), 134.5 (C), 129.2 (CH), 127.0 (CH), 125.9 (C), 107.0 (C), 11.8 (CH), 11.3 (CH2). IR ѵ (cm−1): 1,606 (C=C isox), 1,693 (C=O amide), 1,759 (C=O lactone), 3,099 (CH isox). ESI-MS calcd. for $C_{13}H_{10}CINO_3$, 263.68; found: m/z 264.04 [M + H]⁺. Anal. $C_{13}H_{10}CINO_3 (C, H, N)$.

2-(Cyclopropanecarbonyl)-4-(4-methoxyphenyl)isoxazol-5(2H)-one (3g).: Yield = 25%; mp = 198–200°C (EtOH). ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.62 (s, 1H, CH), 7.72 (d, 2H, Ar, $J = 8.2$ Hz), 6.94 (d, 2H, Ar, $J = 8.2$ Hz), 3.83 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 2.40–2.35 (m, 1H, CH cC₃H₅), 1.30−1.25 (m, 2H, CH₂ cC₃H₅), 1.20−1.14 (m, 2H, CH₂ cC₃H₅). ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ 166.7 (C), 166.2 (C), 159.9 (C), 134.8 (CH), 127.2 (CH), 119.7 (C), 114.4 (CH), 108.2 (C), 55.3 (CH3), 11.7 (CH), 11.0 (CH2). IR ѵ (cm−1): 1,597 (C=C isox), 1,676 (C=O amide), 1,762 (C=O lactone), 3,095 (CH isox). ESI-MS calcd. for C14H13NO4, 259.26; found: m/z 260.09 [M + H]⁺. Anal. C₁₄H₁₃NO₄ (C, H, N).

4-(2-(Cyclopropanecarbonyl)-5-oxo-2,5-dihydroisoxazol-4-yl)benzonitrile (3h).: Yield = 31% ; mp = $208-210^{\circ}$ C (EtOH). ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.82 (s, 1H, CH), 7.92 (d, 2H, Ar, J = 8.2 Hz), 7.70 (d, 2H, Ar, J = 8.2 Hz), 2.43–2.38 (m, 1H, CH cC₃H₅), 1.35–1.30 (m, 2H, CH₂ cC₃H₅), 1.23–1.18 (m, 2H, CH₂ cC₃H₅). ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 166.7 (C), 165.8 (C), 136.8 (C), 132.1 (CH), 127.1 (CH), 126.0 (CH), 118.6 (C), 111.8 (C), 109.6 (C), 11.9 (CH), 11.6 (CH₂). IR v (cm⁻¹): 1,587 (C=C isox), 1,712 (C=O amide), 1,753 (C=O lactone), 2,223 (CN), 3,082 (CH isox). ESI-MS calcd. for C₁₄H₁₀N₂O₃, 254.24; found: m/z 255.07 [M + H]⁺. Anal. C₁₄H₁₀N₂O₃ (C, H, N).

2-(Cyclopropanecarbonyl)-4-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)isoxazol-5(2H)-one (3i).: Yield $= 31\%$; mp $= 210-212$ °C (EtOH). ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.80 (s, 1H, CH), 7.92 (d, 2H, Ar, $J = 8.0$ Hz), 7.67 (d, 2H, Ar, $J = 8.0$ Hz), 2.44–2.39 (m, 1H CH cC₃H₅), 1.35–1.30 (m, 2H, CH₂ cC₃H₅), 1.24−1.19 (m, 2H, CH₂ cC₃H5). ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 166.8 (C), 165.7 (C), 136.8 (CH), 134.9 (C), 131.1 (C), 125.9 (CH), 124.1 (C), 106.5 (C), 11.8 (CH), 11.5 (CH₂). IR v (cm-1): 1,598 (C=C isox), 1,693 (C=O amide), 1,759 (C=O lactone), 3,089 (CH isox). ESI-MS calcd. for $C_{14}H_{10}F_3NO_3$, 297.23; found: m/z 298.06 [M $+ H$]⁺. Anal. C₁₄H₁₀F₃NO₃ (C, H, N).

2-(3-Methylbenzoyl)-4-phenylisoxazol-5(2H)-one (4a).: Yield = 27%; mp = 120–121°C (EtOH). 1H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 8.89 (s, 1H, CH), 7.85−7.80 (m, 4H, Ar), 7.45−7.37 (m, 5H, Ar), 2.45 (s, 3H, $m\text{-}CH_7\text{-}Ph$). ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 166.1 (C), 160.7 (C), 138.7 (C), 138.0 (CH), 134.6 (CH), 130.5 (CH), 129.6 (C), 129.2 (CH), 129.0 (CH), 128.8 (CH), 128.6 (CH), 127.3 (CH), 126.9 (CH), 125.9 (CH), 124.2 (C), 108.7 (C), 21.3 (CH3). IR ѵ (cm−1): 1,589 (C=C isox), 1,672 (C=O amide), 1,768 (C=O lactone), 3,099 (CH isox).

ESI-MS calcd. for C₁₇H₁₃NO₃, 279.29; found: m/z 280.09 [M + H]⁺. Anal. C₁₇H₁₃NO₃ (C, H, N).

2-(3-Methylbenzoyl)-4-(p-tolyl)isoxazol-5(2H)-one (4b).: Yield = 47%; mp = 90–92°C (EtOH). ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.83 (s, 1H, CH), 7.80 (d, 2H, Ar, *J* = 8.2 Hz), 7.71 (d, 2H, Ar, $J = 8.2$ Hz), 7.46–7.39 (m, 2H, Ar), 7.23 (d, 2H, Ar, $J = 7.6$ Hz), 2.44 (s, 3H, $m\text{-}CH_7\text{-}Ph$), 2.37 (s, 3H, $p\text{-}CH_7\text{-}Ph$). ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 166.2 (C), 160.6 (C), 138.9 (C), 138.6 (C), 137.5 (CH), 134.5 (CH), 130.5 (CH), 129.6 (CH), 129.3 (C), 128.5 (CH), 127.2 (CH), 125.8 (CH), 124.3 (C), 108.8 (C), 21.4 (CH3), 21.3 (CH3). IR ѵ (cm−1): 1,597 (C=C isox), 1,660 (C=O amide), 1,759 (C=O lactone), 3,099 (CH isox). ESI-MS calcd. for $C_{18}H_{15}NO_3$, 293.32; found: m/z 294.11 [M + H]⁺. Anal. $C_{18}H_{15}NO_3$ (C, H, N).

2-(3-Methylbenzoyl)-4-(m-tolyl)isoxazol-5(2H)-one (4c).: Yield = 42%; mp = 135–137°C (EtOH). ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.86 (s, 1H, CH), 7.81 (d, 2H, Ar, $J = 8.0$ Hz), 7.64 $(s, 1H, Ar)$, 7.61 (d, 1H, Ar, $J = 8.0$ Hz), 7.46–7.39 (m, 2H, Ar), 7.31 (t, 1H, Ar, $J = 7.6$ Hz), 7.17 (d, 1H, Ar, $J = 7.2$ Hz), 2.44 (s, 3H, m - CH_7 -Ph-CO), 2.39 (s, 3H, m - CH_7 -Ph). ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 165.8 (C), 157.6 (C), 138.6 (C), 138.0 (CH), 134.5 (CH), 132.4 (C), 130.5 (CH), 129.6 (CH), 129.3 (C), 128.8 (CH), 128.5 (CH), 127.2 (CH), 126.5 (CH), 125.0 (C), 123.0 (CH), 109.6 (C), 21.4 (CH₃), 21.3 (CH₃). IR v (cm⁻¹): 1,610 (C=C isox), 1,672 (C=O amide), 1,759 (C=O lactone), 3,105 (CH isox). ESI-MS calcd. for $C_{18}H_{15}NO_3$, 293.32; found: m/z 294.11 [M + H]⁺. Anal. $C_{18}H_{15}NO_3$ (C, H, N).

2-(3-Methylbenzoyl)-4-(o-tolyl)isoxazol-5(2H)-one (4d).: Yield = 60%; mp = 95–96°C (EtOH). ¹H-NMR (400 mHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.68 (s, 1H, CH), 7.83 (d, 2H, Ar, J = 8.4 Hz), 7.51 (d, 1H, Ar, J = 7.2 Hz), 7.45−7.40 (m, 2H, Ar), 7.34−7.29 (m, 3H, Ar), 2.45 (s, 3H, $m-\text{CH}_3-\text{Ph}$), 2.42 (s, 3H, σ CH₃-Ph). ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 166.8 (C), 160.8 (C), 140.6 (CH), 138.6 (C), 136.3 (C), 134.6 (CH), 130.9 (CH), 130.5 (CH), 129.6 (C), 129.1 (CH), 128.5 (CH), 127.3 (CH), 126.3 (C), 126.2 (CH), 102.2 (C), 21.3 (CH₃), 20.7 (CH₃). IR ѵ (cm−1): 1,605 (C=C isox), 1,681 (C=O amide), 1,766 (C=O lactone), 3,097 (CH isox). ESI-MS calcd. for $C_{18}H_{15}NO_3$, 293.32; found: m/z 294.11 [M + H]⁺. Anal. $C_{18}H_{15}NO_3$ (C, H, N).

4-(4-Bromophenyl)-2-(3-methylbenzoyl)isoxazol-5(2H)-one (4e).: Yield = 62%; mp = 159–162°C (EtOH). 1H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 8.90 (s, 1H, CH), 7.81 (d, 2H, Ar, ^J $= 8.0$ Hz), 7.71 (d, 2H, Ar, $J = 8.4$ Hz), 7.56 (d, 2H, Ar, $J = 8.4$ Hz), 7.46–7.40 (m, 2H, Ar), 2.45 (s, 3H, CH₃). ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 165.8 (C), 160.6 (C), 138.7 (C), 138.1 (CH), 134.7 (CH), 132.2 (CH), 130.5 (CH), 129.0 (C), 128.6 (CH), 127.4 (CH), 127.3 (CH), 126.3 (C), 122.8 (C), 109.6 (C), 21.3 (CH3). IR ѵ (cm−1): 1,604 (C=C isox), 1,670 (C=O amide), 1,757 (C=O lactone), 3,105 (CH isox). ESI-MS calcd. for $C_{17}H_{12}BrNO_3$, 358.19; found: m/z 359.00 [M + H]⁺. Anal. C₁₇H₁₂BrNO₃ (C, H, N).

4-(4-Chlorophenyl)-2-(3-methylbenzoyl)isoxazol-5(2H)-one (4f).: Yield = 32%; mp = 135–137°C (EtOH). 1H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 8.90 (s, 1H, CH), 7.81−7.76 (m, 4H, Ar), 7.46−7.39 (m, 4H, Ar), 2.45 (s, 3H, CH₃). ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 165.9 (C), 160.6 (C), 138.7 (C), 138.1 (CH), 134.7 (CH), 132.1 (CH), 130.5 (CH), 129.2 (CH), 129.1

(C), 128.6 (CH), 127.3 (CH), 127.1 (CH), 125.8 (C), 107.5 (C), 21.3 (CH₃). IR \vee (cm⁻¹): 1,605 (C=C isox), 1,660 (C=O amide), 1,770 (C=O lactone), 3,096 (CH isox). ESI-MS calcd. for C₁₇H₁₂ClNO₃, 313.74; found: m/z 314.05 [M + H]⁺. Anal. C₁₇H₁₂ClNO₃ (C, H, N).

4-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-2-(3-methylbenzoyl)isoxazol-5(2H)-one (4g).: Yield = 28%; mp = 168–170°C (EtOH). 1H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 8.82 (s, 1H, CH), 7.84−7.77 (m, 4H, Ar), 7.49−7.44 (m, 2H, Ar), 6.98 (d, 2H, Ar, $J = 8.8$ Hz), 3.87 (s, 3H, OCH₃), 2.48 (s, 3H, m-CH3-Ph). 13C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ 165.8 (C), 157.0 (C), 159.8 (C), 138.5 (C), 134.4 (CH), 130.4 (CH), 129.3 (CH), 129.0 (CH), 128.6 (C), 128.5 (CH), 127.4 (CH), 127.2 (CH), 114.4 (C), 114.1 (CH), 109.8 (C), 55.3 (CH3), 21.4 (CH3). IR ѵ (cm−1): 1,604 (C=C isox), 1,668 (C=O amide), 1,763 (C=O lactone), 3,093 (CH isox). ESI-MS calcd. for $C_{18}H_{15}NO_4$, 309.32; found: m/z 310.10 $[M + H]$ ⁺. Anal. $C_{18}H_{15}NO_4$ (C, H, N).

4-(2-(3-Methylbenzoyl)-5-oxo-2,5-dihydroisoxazol-4-yl)benzonitrile (4h).: Yield = 28%; mp = 165–167°C (EtOH). ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.02 (s, 1H, CH), 7.96 (d, 2H, Ar, $J = 8.0$ Hz), 7.83 (d, 2H, Ar, $J = 8.4$ Hz), 7.72 (d, 2H, Ar, $J = 8.4$ Hz), 7.49 (d, 1H, Ar, J $= 7.2$ Hz), 7.44 (t, 1H, Ar, J = 7.0 Hz), 2.46 (s, 3H, CH₃). ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 165.4 (C), 160.6 (C), 139.6 (CH), 138.8 (C), 135.0 (CH), 132.7 (CH), 132.1 (C), 130.6 (CH), 128.7 (CH), 127.4 (CH), 126.2 (CH), 118.4 (C), 112.0 (C), 106.4 (C), 21.3 (CH3). IR ѵ (cm−1): 1,678 (C=C isox), 1,722 (C=O amide), 1,737 (C=O lactone), 2,222 (CN), 3,080 (CH isox). ESI-MS calcd. for $C_{18}H_{12}N_2O_3$, 304.30; found: m/z 305.09 [M + H]⁺. Anal. $C_{18}H_{12}N_2O_3$ (C, H, N).

2-(3-Methylbenzoyl)-4-(4-[trifluoromethyl]phenyl)isoxazol-5(2H)-one (4i).: Yield = 72%; mp = 164–166°C (EtOH). ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.00 (s, 1H, CH), 7.96 $(d, 2H, Ar, J = 8.0 Hz), 7.83 (d, 2H, Ar, J = 8.8 Hz), 7.69 (d, 2H, Ar, J = 8.0 Hz),$ 7.49−7.41 (m, 2H, Ar), 2.45 (s, 3H, CH3). 13C-NMR (CDCl3) δ 165.83 (C), 157.0 (C), 139.0 (CH), 138.5 (C), 135.8 (C), 134.4 (CH), 134.1 (C), 131.0 (CH), 130.6 (CH), 130.2 (C), 129.8 (CH), 128.6 (CH), 127.4 (CH), 126.0 (CH), 125.9 (CH), 124.1 (C), 109.6 (C), 21.3 (CH₃). IR v (cm⁻¹): 1,598 (C=C isox), 1,693 (C=O amide), 1,759 (C=O lactone), 3,089 (CH isox). ESI-MS calcd. for $C_{18}H_{12}F_3NO_3$, 347.29; found: m/z 348.08 [M + H]⁺. Anal. $C_{18}H_{12}F_3NO_3$ (C, H, N).

2.3 | Pharmacology

Compounds were dissolved in 100% DMSO at 5 mM stock concentrations. The final concentration of DMSO in the reactions was 1%, and this level of DMSO had no effect on enzyme activity. The HNE inhibition assay was performed in black flat-bottom 96-well microtiter plates. Briefly, a solution containing 200 mM Tris–HCl, pH 7.5, 0.01% bovine serum albumin, 0.05% Tween-20, and 20 mU/ml of HNE (Calbiochem) was added to wells containing different concentrations of each compound. The reaction was initiated by addition of 25 μM elastase substrate (N-methylsuccinyl-Ala-Ala-Pro-Val-7 amino-4-methylcoumarin, Calbiochem) in a final reaction volume of 100 μl/well. Kinetic measurements were obtained every 30 s for 10 min at 25°C using a Fluoroskan Ascent FL fluorescence microplate reader (Thermo Electron, MA) with excitation and emission

wavelengths at 355 and 460 nm, respectively. For all compounds tested, the concentration of inhibitor that caused 50% inhibition of the enzymatic reaction (IC_{50}) was calculated by plotting % inhibition versus logarithm of inhibitor concentration (at least six points). The data are presented as the mean values of at least three independent experiments with relative standard deviations of <15%.

2.4 | Single crystal X-ray diffraction

Data for Compound **A** were collected at 100 K on an Xcalibur PX Ultra CCD four-circle diffractometer using a graphite monochromator and Cu Ka radiation. A reference frame was monitored every 50 frames to control for stability of the crystal, and the system revealed no intensity decay. The data set was corrected for Lorentz, polarization effects, and absorption corrections were performed by the ABSPACK multiscan procedure of the CrysAlis (CrysAlis RED, n.d., version 171.37.35) data reduction package. The structure was solved using the direct method with the SHELXS-2011 (Sheldrick, 1990) software, and refinement was performed using the SHELXL-2013 (Sheldrick, 2008) software package. All nonhydrogen atoms were located from the initial solution or from subsequent electron density difference maps during the initial course of the refinement. After locating the nonhydrogen atoms, the models were refined against \mathcal{F}^2 , first using isotropic and finally anisotropic thermal displacement parameters. Hydrogen atoms have been introduced from subsequent electron density difference maps at the end of the refinement. Programs used in the crystallographic calculations included WinGX (Farrugia, 2012) and ORTEP (Farrugia, 1997) for graphics. Crystal and refinement data are listed in Table S1. Crystal structural data are available from the Cambridge Crystallographic CCDC 1937512.

2.5 | Molecular modeling procedures

Compounds **3a**, **4a**, **A**, and **B** were considered in the modeling studies. The molecular structure of **A**, as obtained from X-ray diffraction (details in the related sections), was used as the starting geometry in the computational study for Compound **A** and as a building block for **3a**. The starting geometry for **4a** and **B** was derived by the structure of the closely related isoxazolone derivative 3-ethyl-2-(3-methylbenzoyl)isoxazol-5(2H)-one (Vergelli et al., 2017). The 3D arrangement of all molecules was improved by geometry optimization procedures, followed by molecular dynamic (MD) simulations at 300 and 600 K (time step $= 1$ fs, equilibration time $= 100$ ps, production time $= 1,000$ ps). MD simulations were performed both in vacuum and in implicit solvent, the latter was simulated using distance-dependent dielectric constants set to 4r. Ten snapshot conformations with evenly spaced intervals were extracted from MD trajectories (performed at 600 K with $\varepsilon = 4r$) and subsequently minimized (by using the steepest descent and conjugate gradient algorithms) in order to obtain starting geometries for the subsequent quantum chemical calculations (QC) and MD simulations with HNE. The structure of HNE complexed with a peptide chloromethyl ketone inhibitor was used for the MD simulations (1HNE [Navia et al., 1989] entry of the Protein Data Bank). The bound inhibitor was removed, as well as all of the water molecules, and hydrogen atoms were added prior to starting simulations. MD simulations on each ligand-HNE assembly were performed at 300 K under vacuum (time step $= 1$ fs, equilibration time $= 100$ ps, production time 5,000 ps). The programs used for the energy minimization and MD were the simulation protocols Minimization, Standard

Dynamics Cascade, and Analyze Trajectory, implemented in Accelrys Discovery Studio 2.1 (Accelrys Discovery, 2019). The Force Field used for all simulations was CHARMm (Brooks et al., 1983). Molecular plots were produced by the program Discovery Studio Visualizer (v 4.5; Dassault Systemes BIOVIA, 2015). GAUSSIAN09 (Rev. C01; Frisch et al., 2016) was used for QC calculations by using the B97D (Grimme, 2006) functional. The basis set was $6-31 + G(d,p)$ (Hehre, Ditchfield, & Pople, 1972). The Berny algorithm was used (Peng, Ayala, Schlegel, & Frisch, 1996). The reliability of the stationary points was assessed by evaluation of the vibrational frequencies. For each inhibitor, different conformational isomers chosen among the low-energy lying conformers (as found in MD simulations and subsequent geometry optimization) were considered in QC calculations. Finally, CrystalExplorer17 (Turner et al., 2017) was used to compute the Hirshfeld surface (HS).

3 | RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 | Chemistry

All new compounds were synthesized as reported in Figure 2, and the structures were confirmed on the basis of analytical and spectral data. Starting from 2-aryl-2 formylethylacetate derivatives **1a-i** (Alves et al., 2010; Beccalli et al., 1984; Liu, Chen, Wu, & Tang, 2016; Rajput et al., 2014), we performed cyclization with hydroxylamine hydrochloride and piperidine in ethanol at reflux temperature (for compounds **2a-g**; Beccalli et al., 1984; Snyder et al., 2004) or with hydroxylamine hydrochloride in a mixture MeOH/H2O 10:1 at reflux (for compounds **2h** and **2i** [Snyder et al., 2004]) to obtain the key intermediates with an isoxazolone core of Type **2**. Despite the possibility that this nucleus can exist in three different tautomers (Cencioni, Franchini, & Orienti, 1968; Franchini, 1968; Frolund et al., 2005), we confirmed in our previous work (Giovannoni et al., 2018) and here that acylation of intermediates **2a-i** (Beccalli et al., 1984; Snyder et al., 2004) resulted exclusively in the N–CO derivatives **3a-i** and **4a-i** when used the appropriate acyl/aroyl chloride and sodium hydride in anhydrous tet-rahydrofuran at room temperature. This result indicates that the NH form is the only product formed under these reaction conditions. In order to confirm our findings, assignment of the structure of final compounds of Types **3** and **4** was performed using ¹H-NMR, ¹³C-NMR, IR spectroscopy, and 2D NMR (¹H-¹³C HSQC, 1 H- 13 C HMBC).

3.2 | Biological evaluation and SAR analysis

All compounds were evaluated for their ability to inhibit HNE in comparison with Sivelestat. All the biological results are reported in Table 1, as compounds of Type **3** (Ncyclopropylcarbonyl derivatives) and of Type 4 (N-m-tolylcarbonyl derivatives) containing the same substituents R in the phenyl ring at Position 4 (\overline{R} is shown in the central column). For comparison, we also include our previous reported compounds **A** and **B**, which belong to the series of the 3-methylisoxazolones (Giovannoni et al., 2018). Our biological results verify that all compounds of Type **3** and **4** are potent HNE inhibitors, as their HNE inhibitory activity was in the low nanomolar range $(IC_{50} = 11-81 \text{ nM})$, with most compounds being more active than Sivelestat $(IC_{50} = 44 \text{ nM})$. Starting from compounds 3a and **4a**, which are derivatives of the reference compounds **A** and **B**, respectively, elimination

of the methyl group at Position 3 increased activity of both compounds ~fourfold for **3a** and twofold for **4a** (**3a** vs. **A**: $IC_{50} = 16$ nM vs. 59 nM; **4a** vs. **B**: $IC_{50} = 46$ nM vs. 77 nM). On the other hand, the introduction of different substituents on the phenyl ring at Position 4 led to compounds which, regardless of the group at Position 2 of the amide function (i.e., mtolyl or cyclopropyl) had the same potency, such as when the substituent was a methyl group (**3b-d** and **4b-d**), but also when Cl, Br, or methoxy groups were present (**3e-g** and **4e-g**). Slightly greater differences were observed for **3h** and **4h**, where the N-cyclopropylcarbonyl derivative **3h** was about ~fourfold more potent than the N-m-tolylcarbonyl derivative **4h** $(IC_{50} = 18 \text{ vs. } 78 \text{ nM},$ respectively). The same trend was observed for the p-CF₃-phenyl derivatives **3i** and **4i** ($IC_{50} = 33$ and 81 nM, respectively).

Overall, we found that the nature of the substituent (CH₃, Br, Cl, OCH₃, CN, CF₃) and/or their position (para, meta, or ortho) in the phenyl ring do not seem to significantly influence HNE inhibitory potency, indicating that the pocket of the enzyme hosting the aromatic ring is wide and able to hold bulky groups with different chemical and electronic properties.

3.3 | Molecular structure from single crystal X-ray diffraction and molecular modeling

The solid-state molecular structure of compound **A** (Giovannoni et al., 2018) was obtained from single crystal X-ray diffraction. A crystallizes in the $P2_12_12_1$ with one independent molecule in the asymmetric unit. Figure 3 shows an ORTEP-3 representation of the compound together with atom labeling. Bond distances and angles are within the expected ranges. The conformation of the compound, as defined by the dihedral angles τ_1 (−179.1[2]), τ_2 (−38.5[5]), and τ_3 (−175[2]) (see Figure 4a), can be described as *anti*gauche-anti (Klyne & Prelog, 1960). A search in the Cambridge Structural Database 5.40 (CSD; Groom, Bruno, Lightfoot, & Ward, 2016) investigating the usual range of values for τ_1 , τ_2 , and τ_3 found that 53 hits with the fragment sketched in Figure 4b are present in the CSD; of these, only two (AMIXPO and GUJJIF refcodes) feature a C=O bound to 2-N. The related torsion angle τ_1 adopts an *eclipsed* and *anti*-conformation, respectively; finally, an *anti*-conformation for τ_1 was also observed in the isoxazolone derivative 3-ethyl-2-(3methylbenzoyl)isoxazol-5(2H)-one (Vergelli et al., 2017). No comparisons were made for τ_2 due to the lack of suitable reference structures. The τ_3 dihedral adopted the usual conformation.

Molecular dynamic simulations at 300 and 600 K were performed in order to explore the conformational space accessible to compound **A** and, eventually, to find other low-energy conformers. For comparison, the same approach (MD at 300 and 600 K) was used to sample the conformational space and identify low-energy conformers of closely related compounds **3a**, **4a**, and **B** (Giovannoni et al., 2018; sketched in Table 1).

The overall shape of each molecule was not affected by either the different simulation medium (vacuum and $\varepsilon = 4r$) or the temperature (300 and 600 K), as shown by the values adopted by the dihedral angles τ_1 , τ_2 , and τ_3 (Figure 4a,c) throughout the MD simulations. In particular, snapshot conformations collected from MD trajectories at 300 and 600 K all had τ_1 dihedrals in an *anti*-conformation, which was maintained throughout the simulations. As expected, a larger variety of conformations was observed for τ_2 and τ_3 (-Figures S1–S4). In addition, all the molecules had an almost identical overall shape that can be roughly

described as an elongated cylinder, as shown in Figure 5 where superimposition of the minimized conformers obtained from a sampling of the corresponding MD trajectories (^T $= 600$ K, $\varepsilon = 4r$) is shown for each molecule. As expected, results for compounds **4a** and **B** correlated well with those of the closely related isoxazolone derivatives, as we reported previously (Giovannoni et al., 2018).

The different conformational isomers optimized by the QC method converge to the same conformer for compounds **3a** and **A** (anti-eclipsed-anti and anti-gauche-anti, respectively), which, in the case of compound **A**, was identical to that found in the solid state (Figure S5a). For the m-toluoyl derivatives, the optimization procedure led to different conformers (Figure S5b) that in both cases (i.e., **4a** and **B**) essentially differed in the conformations adopted by τ_3 (τ_1 was in all the cases close to 180° and τ_2 was *gauche*). Regardless of the orientation of the m-toluoyl group, differences in the energy content were negligible. Finally, as estimated by the Mullikan and APT charge-derivation schemes, there were not significant differences in atomic charge of the 5-C=O carbon atom, which is involved in the attack of Ser195, on moving from the 3-methylisoxazoles (**A** and **B**) to the corresponding nor-derivatives (**3a** and **4a**).

In summary, conformation of the τ_1 dihedral in the cyclopropyl (3a and **A**) and m-tolyl (4a and **B**) derivatives appears frozen, regardless of the environment (MD simulation in vacuum and $\varepsilon = 4r$, crystal) or the temperature (MD simulations at 300 and 600 K). In contrast, τ_2 and τ_3 accessed almost all conformations during MD simulations (300 and 600 K). For **4a** and **B**, small differences in orientation of the m-tolouyl group did not affect the relative energy content of the corresponding conformational isomers. All of the compounds had a cylindrical overall shape.

3.4 | Intermolecular binding propensity from single crystal X-ray diffraction and molecular modeling

Given that N–C=O plays the role of anchoring the molecule to the subpocket of the binding site through H-bond interactions with the receptor, we analyzed the intermolecular contacts involving this group in the crystal lattice of compound **A** to assess its propensity to act an H-bond acceptor. In the crystalline solid (Figure 6), the oxygen atom belonging to the N–C=O group acts as an acceptor for the hydrogen atom provided by a symmetry-related molecule: $O(3) \cdots H(4)C(4)^{iv}$ (iv = 1.5 – x, 1 – y, -0.5 + z). This interaction is quite weak (Desiraju & Steiner, 1999) as determined by the O⋯H distance (2.41(3)Å) and the related angle (143(3)°).

The oxygen atom of the 5-C=O group (whose carbon atom appears to be involved in the attack of Ser195) also contributes to the net intermolecular contacts acting as a tri-furcated acceptor for the hydrogen atoms provided by three distinct symmetry-related molecules: O(1)…H(13A)C(13)ⁱ ($i = 2 - x$, 0.5 + y, 0.5 – z), O(1)…H(10C)C (10)ⁱⁱ (ii = -0.5 + x, 1.5 $-$ y, 1 – z) and O(1)…H(3)C(3)ⁱⁱⁱ (iii = 1.5 – x, 2 – y, -0.5 + z). These interactions also are quite weak [39], as determined by the O…H distances (2.55(4), 2.65(4), and 2.67(3)Å, respectively, and the related angles (160(3), 124(3), and 136(3)°). The relative strength of these interactions is clearly evident in the HS of **A** shown in Figure 7 (the brightest red

spots are associated with the closest intermolecular contacts), which also shows the closest neighboring molecules involved in the H-bonds.

Following the same approach previously adopted (Giovannoni et al., 2018), the exposure to the environment of both carbonyl groups in molecule **A** and **3a** was further assessed by the dimension of two spheres centered on the 5-C=O carbon atom and on the N–C=O oxygen atom. In both cases, a sphere having radius 2.5 Å appears suitable to map the accessible region about the two carbonyl groups (Figure 8A). Thus, as with the N–C=O oxygen atom, the different substituents at the 3-position $(-CH₃$ vs H) did not seem to affect its accessibility to the enzyme. Identical results were found for the m-tolyl derivatives **4a** and **B** (Figure 8B). Finally, we can also conclude that substitution with cyclopropyl or m-tolyl did not appear to have consequences on exposure of the anchoring carbonyl group. In summary, no significant differences were detected both within each class of inhibitors (**3a** vs **A**; **4a** vs **B**) and also between the two families (cyclopropyl vs m-toluoyl derivatives) in terms of the accessibility of the two functional groups more specifically involved in the interaction with HNE.

According to our previous modeling (Giovannoni et al., 2018), the ligand–receptor binding modes were assessed by MD simulations (in vacuum, simulation time 5 ns, $T = 300$ K), with the atoms outside of the binding sphere constrained to fixed points in space in order to save computational time.

Regarding the ligand-enzyme interactions, no substantial differences were observed between the 3-methylisoxazole derivatives (**A** and **B**) and the corresponding nor-derivatives (**3a** and **4a**) in the snapshot conformations sampled during the MD simulations; the same applied for the cyclopropyl/m-toluoyl families (**A** vs **B** and **3a** vs **4a**). In all cases, the ligandenzyme interaction involved anchoring of the N–C=O group to Gly193 via H-bonding. Consequently, the 5-CO group is quite close to the HNE active site (distance \leq 5 Å in a large fraction of the snapshot conformations), which is consistent with our previously studies on the closely related isoxazolone derivatives (Giovannoni et al., 2018).

4 | CONCLUSION

With the aim to understand the importance of substituents at Position 3 of the potent isoxazolones previously published by us (Giovannoni et al., 2018; Vergelli et al., 2017), we synthesized a new series of 3-unsubstituted isoxazolones containing a phenyl group at Position 4 with various substituents. Elimination of the substituent at Position 3, as well as the introduction of various groups (CH₃, Br, Cl, OCH₃, CN, CF₃) at different positions (para, meta, and ortho) of the 4-phenyl ring did not influence HNE inhibitory activity. In fact, all new isoxazolones were highly active, with IC_{50} values comparable to those of the three-substituted analogues. The most potent compounds were **3a**, **3g**, and **3h**, which had IC50 values of 16, 11, and 18 nM, respectively. The crystal structure of compound **A** shows that the carbonyl oxygen atoms, which are both involved in the inhibitory activity, act as H-bond acceptors toward symmetry-related molecules. Molecular modeling on the closely related **3a**, **A**, **4a**, and **B** suggests that the exposure of the N–C=O oxygen atom does not appear affected both by the substituent at three-position $(-CH_3 \text{ vs } H)$ and the cyclopropyl

versus m-tolyl groups. Moreover the conformational behavior of the four compounds, as tested by MD simulations, is almost identical. Consistently, no significant differences were observed in the ligand–enzyme interactions: MD simulations have confirmed the pivotal role of the N–CO group as anchoring point to Gly193, as reported in our previously works (Giovannoni et al., 2018; Vergelli et al., 2017).

Thus, we conclude that the isoxazolone scaffold is a good scaffold for developing HNE inhibitors, as it tolerates several modifications when the following fundamental points are considered: (a) the N–CO group at Position 2 is important for interaction with HNE; (b) Position 3 can be unsubstituted or substituted with small alkyl groups (such as methyl, ethyl, isopropyl, etc.) that appears not to interact with the enzyme; (c) Position 4 tolerates bulky groups, indicating the presence of a broad lipophilic pocket; and (d) a CO at Position 5 is fundamental for HNE inhibitory activity, as it is the point of attack by Ser195 of the catalytic triad.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported in part by National Institutes of Health IDeA Program COBRE Grant GM110732; USDA National Institute of Food and Agriculture Hatch project 1009546; and the Montana State University Agricultural Experiment Station.

REFERENCES

- Accelrys Discovery. (2019). Accelrys Discovery Studio version 2.1. San Diego, CA: Accelrys Software Inc.
- Akizuki M, Fukutomi T, Takasugi M, Takahashi S, Sato T, Harao M, … Yamashita J (2007). Prognostic significance of immunoreactive neutrophil elastase in human breast cancer: Longterm follow-up results in 313 patients. Neoplasia, 9(3), 260–264. 10.1593/neo.06808 [PubMed: 17401466]
- Alves LG, Dazinger G, Veiros LF, & Kirchner K (2010). Unusual anion effects in the iron-catalyzed formation of 3-hydroxyacrylates from aromatic aldehydes ethyl diazoacetate. European Journal of Inorganic Chemistry, 20, 3160–3166. 10.1002/ejic.201000240
- Bayer Corporation. (2002). Bayer Corp Prolastin, Company World Wide Web Site.
- Beccalli EM, La Rosa C, & Marchesini A (1984). Oxidation of 4-aryl-substituted isoxazolin-5-ones. A new synthesis of 2,5-diaryl-1,3-oxazin-6-ones. Journal of Organic Chemistry, 49, 4287–4290. 10.1021/jo00196a034
- Bronze-da-Rocha E, & Santos-Silva A (2018). Neutrophil elastase inhibitors and chronic kidney disease. International Journal of Biological Sciences, 14(10), 1343–1360. 10.7150/ijbs.26111 [PubMed: 30123081]
- Brooks BR, Bruccoleri RE, Olafson BD, States DJ, Swaminathan S, & Karplus M (1983). CHARMM: A program for macromolecular energy, minimization, and dynamics calculations. Journal of Computational Chemistry, 4, 187–217. 10.1002/jcc.540040211
- Cencioni R, Franchini PF, & Orienti M (1968). Dipole moments of 5-substitued isoxazoles. I. Dipole moments and tautomerism of isoxazolin-5-one. Tetrahedron, 24, 151–166. 10.1016/0040-4020(68)89017-9
- Crocetti L, Bartolucci G, Cilibrizzi A, Giovannoni MP, Guerrini G, Iacovone A, … Vergelli C (2017). Synthesis and analytical characterization of new thiazol-2-(3H)-ones as human neutrophil elastase

(HNE) inhibitors. Chemistry Central Journal, 11, 127. 10.1186/s13065-017-0358-1 [PubMed: 29214393]

- Crocetti L, Giovannoni MP, Schepetkin IA, Quinn MT, Khlebnikov AI, Cilibrizzi A, … Vergelli C (2011). Design, synthesis and evaluation of N-benzoylindazole derivatives and analogues as inhibitors of human neutrophil elastase. Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry, 19, 4460–4472. 10.1016/j.bmc.2011.06.036 [PubMed: 21741848]
- Crocetti L, Giovannoni MP, Schepetkin IA, Quinn MT, Khlebnikov AI, Cantini N, … Vergelli C (2018). 1H-pyrrolo[2,3-b] pyridine: A new scaffold for human neutrophil elastase (HNE) inhibitor. Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry, 26, 5583–5595. 10.1016/j.bmc.2018.09.034 [PubMed: 30385225]
- Crocetti L, Schepetkin IA, Ciciani G, Giovannoni MP, Guerrini G, Iacovone A, … Vergelli C (2016). Synthesis and pharmacological evaluation of indole derivatives as deaza analogues of potent human neutrophil elastase inhibitors. Drug Development Research, 77(6), 285–299. 10.1002/ ddr.21323 [PubMed: 27474878]
- Crocetti L, Schepetkin IA, Cilibrizzi A, Graziano A, Vergelli C, Giomi D, … Giovannoni MP (2013). Optimization of N-benzoylindazole derivatives as inhibitors of human neutrophil elastase. Journal of Medicinal Chemistry, 56, 6259–6272. 10.1021/jm400742j [PubMed: 23844670]
- CrysAlis RED. (n.d.). Oxford diffraction (version 171.37.35). Abingdon: (2015) Oxford Diffraction Ltd.
- Dassault Systèmes BIOVIA. (2015). Discovery Studio Visualizer, Release 4.5. San Diego, CA: Dassault Systèmes.
- Desiraju GR, & Steiner T (1999). The weak hydrogen bond, IUcr Monographs on Crystallography. Oxford Science Publications, Oxford University press: Oxford and New York.
- Farrugia LJ (1997). ORTEP-3 for windows-a version of ORTEP-III with a graphical user interface (GUI). Journal of Applied Crystallography, 30, 565. 10.1107/S0021889897003117
- Farrugia LJ (2012). WinGX and ORTEP for windows: An update. Journal of Applied Crystallography, 45, 849–854. 10.1107/S0021889812029111
- Franchini OF (1968). Dipole moments and tautomerism of isoxazol-5-ones. Corsie Sem Chimie, 14, 23–25.
- Frisch MJ, Trucks GW, Schlegel HB, Scuseria GE, Robb MA, Cheeseman JR, …, Fox DJ (2016). Wallingford, CT: Gaussian, Inc.
- Frolund B, Jensen LS, Guandalini L, Canillo C, Vestergaard HT, Kristiansen U, … Liljefors T (2005). Potent 4-aryl- or 4-arylalkyl-substituted 3-isoxazolol GABAA antagonists: Synthesis, pharmacology, and molecular modeling. Journal of Medicinal Chemistry, 48, 427–439. 10.1021/ jm049256w [PubMed: 15658856]
- Giovannoni MP, Cantini N, Crocetti L, Guerrini G, Iacovone A, Schepetkin IA, … Quinn MT (2019). Further modifications of 1H-pyrrolo[2,3-b]pyridine derivatives as inhibitors of human neutrophil elastase. Drug Development Research, 80(5), 617–628. 10.1002/ddr.21539 [PubMed: 31002441]
- Giovannoni MP, Schepetkin IA, Crocetti L, Ciciani G, Cilibrizzi A, Guerrini G, … Vergelli C (2016). Cinnoline derivatives as human neutrophil elastase inhibitors. Journal of Enzyme Inhibition and Medicinal Chemistry, 31(4), 628–639. 10.3109/14756366.2015.1057718 [PubMed: 26194018]
- Giovannoni MP, Schepetkin IA, Quinn MT, Cantini N, Crocetti L, Guerrini G, … Vergelli C (2018). Synthesis, biological evaluation, and molecular modelling studies of potent human neutrophil elastase (HNE) inhibitors. Journal of Enzyme Inhibition and Medicinal Chemistry, 33(1), 1108– 1124. 10.1080/14756366.2018.1480615 [PubMed: 29969929]
- Grimme S (2006). Semiempirical GGA-type density functional constructed with a long-range dispersion correction. Journal of Computational Chemistry, 27(15), 1787–1799. 10.1002/jcc.20495 [PubMed: 16955487]
- Groom CR, Bruno IJ, Lightfoot MP, & Ward SC (2016). The Cambridge structural database. Acta Crystallographica Section B, B72, 171–179. 10.1107/S2052520616003954
- Hehre WJ, Ditchfield R, & Pople JA (1972). Self-consistent molecular orbital methods. XII. Further extensions of Gaussian-type basis sets for use in molecular orbital studies of organic molecule. The Journal of Chemical Physics, 56, 2257–2261. 10.1063/1.1677527

- Hilbert N, Schiller J, Arnhold J, & Arnold K (2002). Cartilage degradation by stimulated human neutrophils: Elastase is mainly responsible for cartilage damage. Bioorganic Chemistry, 30, 119– 132. 10.1006/bioo.2002.1242 [PubMed: 12020136]
- Iwata K, Doi A, Ohji G, Oka H, Oba Y, Takimoto K, … Shimada T (2010). Effect of neutrophil elastase inhibitors (Sivelestat sodium) in the treatment of acute lung injury (ALI) and acute respiratory distress (ARDS): A systematic review and meta-analysis. International Medicine, 49, 2423–2432. 10.2169/internalmedicine.49.4010
- Klyne W, & Prelog V (1960). Description of steric relationships across single bonds. Experentia, 16, 521–523. 10.1007/BF02158433
- Korkmaz B, Horwitz MS, Jenne DE, & Gauthier F (2010). Neutrophil elastase, proteinase 3, and cathepsin G as therapeutic targets in human diseases. Pharmacological Reviews, 62, 726–759. 10.1124/pr.110.002733 [PubMed: 21079042]
- Lerman I, Garcia-Hernandez ML, Rangel-Moreno J, Chiriboga L, Pan C, Nastiuk KL, … Hammes SR (2017). Infiltrating myeloid cells exert protumorigenic actions via neutrophil elastase. Molecular Cancer Research, 15(9), 1138–1152. 10.1158/1541-7786.MCR-17-0003 [PubMed: 28512253]
- Lerman I, & Hammes SR (2017). Neutrophil elastase in the tumor microenvironment. Steroids, 133, 96–101. 10.1016/j.steroids.2017.11.006 [PubMed: 29155217]
- Liu J, Chen C, Wu F, & Tang J (2016). Study on the synthesis and biological activities of α-substituted arylacetates derivatives. Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters, 26, 1715–1719. 10.1016/ j.bmcl.2016.02.055 [PubMed: 26920798]
- Lucas SD, Costa E, Guedes RC, & Moreira R (2013). Targeting COPD: Advances on low-molecularweight inhibitors of human neutrophil elastase. Medicinal Research Reviews, 33, E73–E101. 10.1002/med.20247 [PubMed: 21681767]
- Marto J, Ruivo E, Lucas SD, Goncalves LM, Simoes S, Gouveia LF, … Almeida AJ (2018). Starch nanocapsules containing a novel neutrophil elastase inhibitor with improved pharmaceutical performance. European Journal of Pharmaceutics and Biopharmaceutics, 127, 1–11. 10.1016/ j.ejpb.2018.01.011 [PubMed: 29409864]
- Massberg S, Grahl L, von Bruehl ML, Manukyan D, Pfeiler S, Goosmann C, … Engelmann B (2010). Reciprocal coupling of coagulation and innate immunity via neutrophil serine proteases. Nature Medicine, 16, 887–896. 10.1038/nm.2184
- Navia MA, McKeever BM, Springer JP, Tsau-Yen L, Williams HR, Fluder EM, … Hoogsteen K (1989). Structure of human neutrophil elastase in complex with a peptide chloromethyl ketone inhibitor at 1.84- angstrom resolution. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 86, 7–11. 10.1073/pnas.86.1.7 [PubMed: 2911584]
- Pandey KC, De S, & Mishra PK (2017). Role of proteases in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Frontiers in Pharmacology, 8, 512. 10.3389/fphar.2017.00512 [PubMed: 28848433]
- Peng C, Ayala PY, Schlegel HB, & Frisch MJ (1996). Using redundant internal coordinates to optimize equilibrium geometries and transition states. Journal of Computational Chemistry, 17, 49–56.
- Pham CT (2006). Neutrophil serine proteases: Specific regulators of inflammation. Nature Reviews Immunology, 6, 541–550. 10.1038/nri1841
- Rajput S, Gardner RC, Failes TW, Arndt GM, Black D, & Kumar N (2014). Synthesis and anticancer evaluation of 3-substituted quinolin-4-ones and 2,3-dihydroquinolin-4-ones. Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry, 22, 105–115. 10.1016/j.bmc.2013.11.047 [PubMed: 24332654]
- Sheldrick GM (1990). Phase annealing in SHELX-90: Direct methods for larger structures. Acta Crystallogr. Sect. A, A46, 467–473. 10.1107/S0108767390000277
- Sheldrick GM (2008). A short history of SHELX. Acta Crystallogr. Sect. A, A64, 112–122. 10.1107/ S0108767307043930
- Sinha S, Watorek W, Karr S, Giles J, Bode W, & Travis J (1987). Primary structure of human neutrophil elastase. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 84, 2228–2232. 10.1073/pnas.84.8.2228 [PubMed: 3550808]
- Snyder LB, Meng Z, Mate R, D'Andrea SV, Marinier A, Quesnelle CA, … Bronsona JJ (2004). Discovery of isoxazolinone antibacterial agents. Nitrogen as a replacement for the stereogenic center found in oxazolidinone antibacterials. Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters, 14, 4735–4739. 10.1016/j.bmcl.2004.06.076 [PubMed: 15324898]

- Stapels DA, Gijsbrecht BV, & Rooijakkers SH (2015). Neutrophil serine proteases in antibacterial defense. Current Opinion in Microbiology, 23, 42–48. 10.1016/j.mib.2014.11.002 [PubMed: 25461571]
- Turner MJ, McKinnon JJ, Wolff SK, Grimwood DJ, Spackman PR, Jayatilaka D, & Spackman MA (2017). CrystalExplorer17. University of Western Australia: Crawley, Australia, 2017. [http://](http://hirshfeldsurface.net) hirshfeldsurface.net.
- Twigg MS, Brockbank S, Lowry P, FitzGerald SP, Taggart C, & Weldon S (2015). The role of serine proteases and antiproteases in the cystic fibrosis lung. Mediators of Inflammation, 2015, 293053. [PubMed: 26185359]
- Vaguliene N, Zemaitis M, Lavinskiene S, Miliauskas S, & Sakalauskas R (2013). Local and systemic neutrophil inflammation in patients with lung cancer and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. BMC Immunology, 14, 36. 10.1186/1471-2172-14-36 [PubMed: 23919722]
- Vergelli C, Schepetkin IA, Crocetti L, Iacovone A, Giovannoni MP, Guerrini G, … Quinn MT (2017). Isoxazol-5(2H)-one: A new scaffold for potent human neutrophil elastase (HNE) inhibitors. Journal of Enzyme Inhibition and Medicinal Chemistry, 32, 821–831. 10.1080/14756366.2017.1326915 [PubMed: 28612630]
- von Nussbaum F, & Li VMJ (2015). Neutrophil elastase inhibitors for the treatment of (cardio) pulmonary disease: Into clinical testing with pre-adaptive pharmacophores. Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters, 25, 4370–4381. 10.1016/j.bmcl.2015.08.049 [PubMed: 26358162]
- von Nussbaum F, Li VMJ, Meibom D, Anlauf S, Bechem M, Delbeck M, … Schamberger J (2016). Potent and selective human neutrophil elastase inhibitors with novel equatorial ring topology: in vivo efficacy of the polar pyrimidopyridazine BAY-8040 in a pulmonary arterial hypertension rat model. ChemMedChem, 11(2), 199–206. 10.1002/cmdc.201500269 [PubMed: 26333652]

 $IC_{50} = 20-96$ nM^[26,27]

New isoxazolones

FIGURE 1.

Previously synthesized isoxazolones (Giovannoni et al., 2018; Vergelli et al., 2017) and new derivatives

FIGURE 2.

Reagents and conditions. (a) for **2a-g**: EtOH, piperidine, NH2OH·HCl, 80°C, 2 hr; for **2h,i**: MeOH/H₂O (10:1), NH₂OH·HCl, 100°C, 4 h; (b) m-CH₃-Ph-COCl or cC₃H₅-COCl, dry THF, NaH (60% dispersion in mineral oil), r.t., 24 hr

 $=$ any type of bond, T3=3atoms bound

FIGURE 4.

(a) Schematic of the cyclopropyl derivatives **A** and **3a** and the related dihedral angles defining the molecule's conformation; (b) fragment searched in the Cambridge Structural Database; (c) schematic of the m-tolylcarbonyl derivatives **B** and **4a** and the related dihedral angles defining the molecule's conformation

FIGURE 5.

Superimposition of the minimized conformers of **A** (top left), **3a** (top right), **B** (bottom left), and **4a** (bottom right)

FIGURE 6.

Crystal packing of compound **A** highlighting the carbonyl oxygen atoms (space filling representation) involved in intermolecular contacts with the hydrogen atoms of neighboring molecules (space filling representation)

Giovannoni et al. Page 24

FIGURE 8.

(a) Accessibility of the 5-C O and N–C=O groups estimated by the dimension of a sphere (r) $= 2.5$ Å) centered on the carbon atom (red) and on the oxygen atom (blue). Left: (a) right: **3a**; (b) accessibility of the 5-C O and N–C=O groups estimated by the dimension of a sphere $(r = 2.5 \text{ Å})$ centered on the carbon atom (red) and on the oxygen atom (blue). Left: (b) right: **4a**

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Abbreviation: HNE, human neutrophil elastase.

Abbreviation: HNE, human neutrophil elastase.

 Author ManuscriptAuthor Manuscript

 4 The experiments were performed in triplicate using N-methylsuccinyl-Ala-Ala-Pro-Val-7-amino-4-cumarin as substrate for HNE. The experiments were performed in triplicate using N-methylsuccinyl-Ala-Ala-Pro-Val-7-amino-4-cumarin as substrate for HNE.

Giovannoni et al., 2018.

*