Research Article

Detection of Bone Metastases by ⁶⁸Ga-DOTA-SSAs and ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT: A Two-Center Head-to-Head Study of Gastroenteropancreatic Neuroendocrine Neoplasms

Rui Tian¹, Qing Xie¹, Fei Yu¹, Changzhi Du¹, Xiaochen Yao¹, Shiming Zang¹, Chuan Zhang¹, Pengjun Zhang¹, Guoqiang Shao¹, Zhi Yang¹, Feng Wang¹, and Jiangyuan Yu¹,

¹Department of Nuclear Medicine, Nanjing First Hospital, Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing 210006, China ²Key Laboratory of Carcinogenesis and Translational Research (Ministry of Education/Beijing), Key Laboratory for Research and Evaluation of Radiopharmaceuticals (National Medical Products Administration), Department of Nuclear Medicine, Peking University Cancer Hospital and Institute, Beijing 100142, China

Correspondence should be addressed to Feng Wang; fengwangcn@hotmail.com and Jiangyuan Yu; yujiangyuan@aliyun.com

Received 24 August 2022; Accepted 8 October 2022; Published 7 November 2022

Academic Editor: Luca Filippi

Copyright © 2022 Rui Tian et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Purpose. This study aimed to assess the efficacy of dual-tracer [68Ga-DOTA-somatostatin receptor analogs (SSAs) and ¹⁸F-fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG)] positron emission tomography/computed tomography (PET/CT) imaging for detecting bone metastases (BMs) in patients with gastroenteropancreatic neuroendocrine neoplasms (GEP-NENs). Methods. We retrospectively enrolled 74 GEP-NEN patients with BMs from two centers, who underwent dual-tracer PET/CT from January 2014 to March 2021. We compared and analyzed effectiveness of the dual PET/CT imaging techniques on the BMs, based on ¹⁸F-FDG and ⁶⁸Ga-DOTA-SSAs. Specifically, we analyzed the imaging results using χ^2 tests for classification variables, paired-sample tests for number of BMs, Wilcoxon's signed rank test for number of lesions, and the Kruskal-Wallis test for standard uptake value (SUV) ratio comparison. The correlation of dual-tracer SUVmax with Ki-67 index was analyzed by Spearman's correlation coefficient. Results. The detection efficiencies of dual-tracer PET/CT imaging in patients with different pathologies showed discordant for detecting liver metastases and BMs in group neuroendocrine tumor (NET) G3, 68Ga-DOTA-SSAs was better at detecting BMs for NET G3 (P = 0.049 for SUV_{T/B} and P = 0.026 for the number of metastatic lesions). In addition, statistical significance was found among osteogenesis group, osteolysis group, and the no-change group (for bone SUV_{T/B} value detected by ¹⁸F-FDG and Ki-67 index, osteogenesis group < osteolysis group; for bone SUV_{T/B} detected by ⁶⁸Ga-DOTA-SSAs, osteogenesis group > the no-change group). What is more, liver and bone SUVmax and Ki-67 index were positively correlated in ¹⁸F-FDG imaging (P < 0.001 for liver; P = 0.002 for bone), and negatively correlated in ⁶⁸Ga-DOTA-SSAs imaging (P < 0.001 for liver; P = 0.039 for bone). Conclusions. ⁶⁸Ga-DOTA-SSAs was superior to ¹⁸F-FDG for detecting BMs in NET G1/G2 (well and moderately differentiated NETs), as well as in NET G3 (poorly differentiated NETs). Relatively good differentiation was observed in the osteogenesis group. In addition, dual-tracer PET/CT imaging results were observably correlated with tumor differentiation.

1. Introduction

Gastroenteropancreatic neuroendocrine neoplasms (GEP-NENs) are heterogeneous neoplasms that show neuroendocrine differentiation, with peculiar histomorphological and clinical features [1]. NENs are usually considered rare cancers (~0.5% of all malignancies); however, the apparent incidence of NENs has increased rapidly and gained recent attention in line with the development of modern imaging techniques [2, 3]. Distant metastases are often observed at diagnosis in patients with GEP-NENs, most frequently in the liver [3]. Bone metastases (BMs), frequently accompanied by the development of liver metastases (LMs), are usually considered to be a late event in NENs and predictive of a poor prognosis; however, tumor grading and evaluation of the biological characteristics, they often remain undetected [4–6]. This may be due to the limitations of previous imaging methods and the longer survival of NEN patients. BMs may present with pain as a main symptom, while other common skeletal-related events include pathological bone fractures, spinal cord compression, and hypercalcemia, which can have a strong impact on the patient's quality of life [4]. The patient's general deterioration may also lead to treatment discontinuation, resulting in tumor progression, in patients with GEP-NENs. In addition, BMs are a significant prognostic factor affecting overall survival, which is significantly reduced in patients with BMs compared with those with other distant metastases [7]. It is therefore necessary to detect and evaluate bone involvement as early as possible.

In the past, the diagnosis of NENs was relied on structural imaging, including computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), which was less effective. The combined use of single-photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) and CT strongly supports the molecular imaging of NENs with somatostatin receptor radiopharmaceuticals; the fusion images provide higher specificity and accurate localization of neuroendocrine tumors (NETs) [8]. Positron emission tomography (PET), the more advanced application of nuclear medicine imaging, has more extensive use over SPECT in the diagnostics for NETs, e.g., PET has higher spatial resolution and unique sensitivity to visualize in vivo cellular metabolism, in addition, PET has advantages on the inherently quantitative nature [9]. PET/CT-based molecular imaging has become indispensable for the management of GEP-NENs, and NEN receptor expression or metabolism can be characterized by employing different PET radiopharmaceuticals. Currently used radiopharmaceuticals include ¹⁸F-fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG) and ⁶⁸Ga somatostatin receptor analogs (SSAs), including ⁶⁸Ga-DOTA-Tyr3-octreotate (⁶⁸Ga-DOTA-TATE), ⁶⁸Ga-DOTA-D-Phe1-Tyr3-octreotide (68Ga-DOTA-TOC), and ⁶⁸Ga-DOTA-1-Nal3-octreotide (⁶⁸Ga-DOTA-NOC), which are targeted to somatostatin receptors [10–12]. ⁶⁸Ga-DOTA-TATE and ⁶⁸Ga-DOTA-TOC showed high affinity for SSTR2 and SSTR5, which are main SSTR subtypes found in NENs. ⁶⁸Ga-DOTA-NOC targeted a wider range of somatostatin subtype receptors, including SSTR2, SSTR3, and SSTR5. Despite differences in receptor affinity, there is no clear evidence showing any of these imaging agents a convincing advantage. These ⁶⁸Ga-DOTA-SSAs have frequently been used for staging, restaging, and therapy response assessment, as well as for selecting patients eligible for radioligand therapy [13–15].

The two types of tracers show complementary characteristics and have thus been compared and investigated in previous studies [16–21]. However, few studies [16] have focused on the detection or evaluation of GEP-NENs with BMs using dual-tracer PET/CT imaging, and the sample sizes were too small to explore the correlation between imaging and pathological tumor changes. The current two-center retrospective head-to-head study aimed to evaluate the detection efficiency of dual-tracer PET/CT imaging and the correlation between imaging results and tumor proliferation in patients with histologically proven GEP-NENs with BMs.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Patient Population. We collected data from GEP-NEN patients with BMs who underwent dual-tracer PET/CT (68 Ga-DOTA-SSAs and 18 F-FDG) over the same period from January 2014 to March 2021. We have 74 patients of GEP-NENs with BMs included in our retrospective analysis. The overall median age of patients was 55.93 (±11.025, range 26–77), and the number of male patients is 47 (63.51%). According to the recent consensus statements from the European Neuroendocrine Tumor Society, all the specimens were pathologically confirmed and graded based on the mitotic count and Ki-67 index. Molecular differences, including immunohistochemical staining of TP53, RB1, ATRX, and DAXX, were also considered when identifying NET G3 and neuroendocrine carcinoma (NEC) tumors [22].

Patient data were obtained from the Department of Nuclear Medicine, Peking University Cancer Hospital and the Institute and Department of Nuclear Medicine, Nanjing First Hospital. All BMs were confirmed by pathology or clinical follow-up. Dual-tracer PET/CT examinations were performed within a maximum interval of 4 weeks (median 7.75 days), which was considered sufficiently short given the relatively slow progression of NENs. No patients were treated during this interval.

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Peking University Cancer Hospital and Nanjing First Hospital. All subjects signed informed consent forms before participating in this study.

2.2. Image Acquisition. PET/CT scans were performed using a Siemens PET/CT scanner (Biograph64; Siemens, Erlangen, Germany) at the Nuclear Medicine Department of Peking University Cancer Hospital, or a uMI 780 PET/CT scanner (United Imaging Healthcare, Shanghai, China) at the Nuclear Medicine Department of Nanjing First Hospital.

Patients fasted for at least 6 hr before PET/CT scanning. Images were acquired 60 ± 10 min after the injection of ¹⁸F-FDG (3.7 MBq/kg) or ⁶⁸Ga-DOTA-SSAs (100–200 MBq). Blood glucose levels were checked before ¹⁸F-FDG injection and fasting blood glucose levels were <11 mmol/L in all patients.

The PET and CT acquisition range was consistent, and whole-body scanning (skull top to mid-thigh) was performed in the supine position with the following parameters: CT exposure factors 120 kV and 100 mA, scanning layer thickness 3 mm, pitch 0.8 mm, and nine beds collected by PET (Siemens PET/CT), or CT exposure factors 120 kV and 100–500 mA, scanning layer thickness 5 mm, pitch 0.9875 mm, and four beds collected by PET (uMI 780 PET/CT). The ordered subsets expectation maximization method was used for PET image reconstruction. The numbers of iterations and subsets of iterations were 3 and 33, respectively, for the Siemens Inveon PET/CT, and 2 and 20 for the uMI 780 PET/CT. CT images were used to correct the PET emission data for photon attenuation.

2.3. Image Analysis. Two senior nuclear medicine physicians read the imaging data for all patients separately. Manually

Basics	Total	G1 + G2	NET G3	NEC	Р
N (%)	74	50	12	12	
Age at image (mean \pm SD)	55.93 ± 11.025	55.66 ± 10.817	51.58 ± 8.028	61.42 ± 12.930	0.086
Sex, M/F (%)	47/27 (63.51/36.49)	32/18 (64.00/36.00)	8/4 (66.67/33.33)	7/5 (58.33/41.67)	0.905
Primary sites (n, %)					
Stomach	6 (8.11)	3 (6)	1 (8.33)	2 (16.67)	0.546
Intestine	34 (45.95)	28 (56) _a	4 (33.33) _b	2 (16.67) _c	0.028
Pancreas	26 (35.14)	17 (34)	4 (33.33)	5 (41.67)	0.933
Esophagus	3 (4.05)	1 (2)		2 (16.67)	0.133
Biliary tract	2 (2.70)		1 (8.33)	1 (8.33)	0.102
Unknown primary origin	3 (4.05)	1 (2)	2 (16.67)		0.133
Other extra-osseous metastases	s (n, %)				
Liver metastases	69 (93.24)	48 (96)	11 (91.67)	10 (83.33)	0.482
Lymph node metastases	50 (67.57)	36 (72)	5 (41.67)	9 (75)	0.134
Symptoms	15 (20.27)	7 (14)	3 (25)	5 (41.67)	0.073
CT findings (n, %)					
Osteogenesis	41 (55.41)	34 (68) _a	5 (41.67) _b	2 (16.67) _c	0.003
Osteolysis	18 (24.32)	9 (18)	5 (4.05)	4 (33.33)	0.245
No change	15 (20.27)	7 (14) _b	2 (16.67) _b	6 (50) _a	0.026

TABLE 1: Patient characteristics (n = 74).

CT, computed tomography; M/F, male/female; $a_{b, b}$, and c (where a > b > c) mark statistically significant differences between each group.

defined circular regions of interest were drawn to measure the maximum standard uptake value (SUVmax) for each lesion. The diagnostic results of dual-tracer PET/CT were scored as positive if the highest radiation uptake in all lesions was higher than the normal liver background. BMs were defined as abnormal bone density (except for benign bone diseases such as degenerative degeneration) with higher local radioactivity distribution than the surrounding normal bone background. SUV_{T/B} denotes the target-to-background SUV ratio. SUVmax values were measured with a diameter of 2 cm. The SUVmax of normal bone was measured in the second lumbar vertebral body, or in other thoracic or lumbar vertebrae if the second lumbar spine had BMs, and normal bone in the axial skeleton was measured in the case of diffuse multiple bone lesions. The SUVmax of normal liver was measured in the right liver lobe in a relatively large plane while avoiding large blood vessels in the liver parenchyma, and in other normal liver parenchyma in patients with multiple metastases in the right lobe.

2.4. Statistical Analysis. Normally distributed variables such as age were expressed as mean \pm standard deviation or range. Other patient characteristics were recorded as absolute numbers or percentages. Differences between dual-tracer imaging groups in terms of classification variables were analyzed by χ^2 tests and numbers of BMs in each site were compared by paired samples tests. SUV_{T/B} and the number of lesions were compared using Wilcoxon's signed rank test, the difference in SUV_{T/B} between groups was assessed by the Kruskal–Wallis test, and the correlation between dual-tracer SUVmax values and Ki-67 index was calculated using Spearman's correlation coefficient. A *P* value < 0.05 in two-tailed tests was considered statistically significant. Statistical analysis was

performed using SPSS version 24.0 software (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY).

3. Results

3.1. Patient Characteristics. A total of 74 patients with pathologically confirmed GEP-NENs with BMs were included in this retrospective analysis. Among all the cases, 5 were confirmed pathologically and 69 were further confirmed by bone lesion progression or other imaging during follow-up. The basic characteristics of the patients are summarized in Table 1. Altogether, 29, 17, and 28 patients underwent surgical resection, endoscopic procedure, and puncture biopsy, respectively. Pathological evaluation showed that 3 patients (4.05%) had G1, 47 (63.51%) had G2, and 12 (16.22%) had NET G3, and 12 patients (16.22%) had NEC. For statistical analysis, we integrated G1/G2 into one group and included NET G3 and NEC as two additional groups.

The interval time between pathological examination and imaging was 509.23 days (range, 1–2,570 days). The primary tumor locations were the stomach, intestine, pancreas, esophagus, biliary tract, and unknown (Table 1). 32 patients (43.24%) underwent resection of the primary lesion and 10 (13.51%) underwent partial hepatectomy of LMs before PET/CT examination. 31, 6, 8, 25, and 21 patients received chemotherapy, radiotherapy, interventional therapy, octreotide therapy, and peptide receptor radionuclide therapy, respectively. In total, 69 patients had LMs, 50 had lymph node involvement, and 9 patients had other distant metastases, including lung, kidney, paranephros, pelvic metastases. In total, 15 patients (20.27%) had a medical history of bone pain, of whom 10 (13.51%) had required additional analgesics for pain relief. Considering the CT findings, 41 patients



FIGURE 1: Distributions of bone metastases in the spine, pelvis, ribs, sternum-clavicle-scapula, proximal limbs, and skull detected in dualtracer imaging (χ^2 tests for comparison between ⁶⁸Ga-DOTA-SSAs and ¹⁸F-FDG groups, P < 0.01 for each group).

mainly had osteogenesis, 18 mainly had osteolysis, and 15 patients mainly had no changes.

3.2. Detection Efficiencies of Dual-Tracer PET/CT Imaging. It turned out that the dual-tracer imaging did not show consistent results. ⁶⁸Ga-DOTA-SSAs imaging was positive in 61 cases and negative in 13 cases, while ¹⁸F-FDG imaging was positive in 42 cases and negative in 32 cases ($\chi^2 = 8.121$, P = 0.004).

3.3. Detection Numbers. The distribution and number of BMs detected by dual-tracer imaging are demonstrated in Figure 1. ⁶⁸Ga-DOTA-SSAs detected more patients with BMs for all sites, including spine, pelvis, ribs, sternumclavicle-scapula, proximal limbs, and skull, compared with ¹⁸F-FDG (t=6.435, P = 0.001).

3.4. SUV Value Comparison. We compared the detection efficiencies of dual-tracer PET/CT imaging in patients with different pathologies (Table 2). Patients were divided into three groups: G1 + G2 (well and moderately differentiated NETs), NET G3 (poorly differentiated NETs), and NEC. $SUV_{T/B}$ and the number of metastatic lesions detected by each tracer PET/CT were observed for LMs and BMs.

 68 Ga-DOTA-SSAs imaging performed better in patients with lower tumor proliferation while 18 F-FDG imaging was better in patients with higher proliferation. 68 Ga-DOTA-SSAs imaging achieved better detection results in group G1 + G2 in terms of numbers of lesions and LMs and BMs SUV_{T/B} ratios than 18 F-FDG imaging (P < 0.05 for all items).

However, the efficiency of dual-tracer imaging for detecting LMs and BMs in group NET G3 was discordant: ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT showed slightly superior detection efficiency for LMs while ⁶⁸Ga-DOTA-SSAs imaging showed significantly better detection of BMs (shown in the penult row of Table 2). The imaging advantages of ⁶⁸Ga-DOTA-SSAs for BM lesions in patients with NET G3 are illustrated in a patient with liver, lymph node, and BMs of an unknown primary in Figure 2.

⁶⁸Ga-DOTA-SSAs imaging also showed better results for BM detection in patients with NEC, but the difference was not significant, while ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT was significantly better than ⁶⁸Ga-DOTA-SSAs imaging for detecting LMs.

3.5. Correlation between Dual-Tracer PET/CT Imaging and Tumor Differentiation

3.5.1. Correlation between CT Appearance and Tumor Differentiation. The patients were divided into three groups according to the changes in bone density on CT. There were 41 cases in the osteogenesis group, 18 in the osteolysis group, and 15 in the no-change group.

As shown in Figure 3, patients in the osteogenesis group had higher BM SUV_{T/B} values than those in the nochange group for ⁶⁸Ga-DOTA-SSAs imaging (Figure 3(a), P = 0.046). However, for ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT the value was lower in the osteogenesis group compared with the osteolysis group (Figure 3(b), P = 0.021). In addition, we explored tumor differentiation as determined by Ki-67 index (Figure 3(c)), which showed that patients in the osteogenesis group had relatively higher tumor differentiation (P = 0.048). A patient with a rectal G2 and osteogenesis, with a higher SUV_{T/B} on ⁶⁸Ga-DOTA-SSAs PET/CT and lower bone SUV_{T/B} on ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT, is shown in Figure 4.

3.5.2. Correlation between SUV Value and Tumor Differentiation for Each Imaging Method. The SUV value differed significantly across histologic subtypes (Figure 5).

		L	ABLE 2: Comparison	of the detection SUV va	lue of ¹⁸ F-FDG PI	ET/CT and	68Ga-DOTA-S	SAs PET/CT imagin	g.	
10			Liver meta	stases				Bone meta	astases	
rarı	Ν	Type	¹⁸ F-FDG	68Ga-DOTA-SSAs	Wilcoxon P	Ν	Type	¹⁸ F-FDG	68Ga-DOTA-SSAs	Wilcoxon P
Leto F	07	$SUV_{T/B}$	2.29 ± 1.48	4.92 ± 4.50	≤0.001	ľ	$SUV_{T/B}$	3.03 ± 2.64	25.70 ± 24.26	≤0.001
1 0141	60	Amount	10.67 ± 23.05	21.53 ± 31.41	0.010	/4	Amount	9.81 ± 22.89	25.91 ± 36.87	≤0.001
	10	$SUV_{T/B}$	1.70 ± 0.78	6.11 ± 4.83	≤0.001	01	$SUV_{T/B}$	2.04 ± 1.33	30.29 ± 25.90	≤0.001
75+15	40	Amount	5.44 ± 14.50	22.77 ± 30.53	≤0.001	00	Amount	7.36 ± 20.24	25.62 ± 36.39	≤0.001
í	:	$SUV_{T/B}$	3.45 ± 2.17	2.31 ± 2.34	0.110	5	$SUV_{T/B}$	4.32 ± 2.95	16.88 ± 15.75	0.049
5	11	Amount	17.00 ± 28.79	16.64 ± 29.22	0.722	17	Amount	13.75 ± 28.50	24.50 ± 37.10	0.026
	01	$SUV_{T/B}$	3.98 ± 1.21	2.26 ± 1.77	0.280	5	$SUV_{T/B}$	5.50 ± 3.95	12.76 ± 16.39	0.272
NEC	10	Amount	29.90 ± 37.90	22.70 ± 40.92	0.025	17	Amount	15.00 ± 28.23	22.33 ± 36.81	0.531

Contrast Media & Molecular Imaging



FIGURE 2: Dual-tracer imaging in a 46-year-old woman with NET G3 (Ki-67 index 22%) accompanied by liver, lymph node, and bone metastases of unknown primary origin: (a1, a2) Maximum intensity projection of dual-tracer imaging; (b1, b2) Sternum lesion with somatostatin receptor (SSTR) expression on ⁶⁸Ga-DOTA-SSAs PET/CT but no bone metastases were detected by ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT; (c1, c2) ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT imaging of liver lesions showing liver metastases (LMs) with higher FDG metabolism, while ⁶⁸Ga-DOTA-SSAs PET/CT imaging showed LMs with lower SSTR expression.



FIGURE 3: Correlation between computed tomography appearance and tumor differentiation: (a) bone metastases (BMs) SUVT/B detected by ⁶⁸Ga-DOTA-SSAs; (b) BMs SUVT/B detected by ¹⁸F-FDG; (c) Ki-67 index. Patients were divided into osteogenesis, osteolysis, and nochange groups. *Kruskal–Wallis test for comparison between osteogenesis and osteolysis groups; [#]Kruskal–Wallis test for comparison between osteogenesis and no change groups.

In pairwise comparisons, the LMs SUV_{T/B} and BMs SUV_{T/B} for ¹⁸F-FDG were significantly lower in the G1 + G2 group compared with the NET G3 group (P < 0.005 for both comparisons), and the LMs SUV_{T/B} for ⁶⁸Ga-DOTA-SSAs was significantly higher in the G1 + G2 compared with the NET G3 group (P < 0.005). The BMs SUV_{T/B} of ⁶⁸Ga-DOTA-SSAs had an advantage in group G1 + G2 compared with

group NET G3, but the difference was not significant (P = 0.066). The LMs SUV_{T/B} and BMs SUV_{T/B} were significantly lower in the G1 + G2 group compared with the NEC group for ¹⁸F-FDG but significantly higher for ⁶⁸Ga-DOTA-SSAs imaging (P < 0.005 for both comparisons). There was no difference between the NET G3 and NEC groups in terms of SUV_{T/B} for either tracer.



FIGURE 4: Dual-tracer imaging in a 57-year-old man with rectal NET G2 (Ki-67 index 3%), accompanied by liver, lymph node, and bone metastases. The patient suffered from systemic diffuse bone metastases, including in the spine, pelvis, ribs, sternum-clavicle-scapula, proximal limbs, and skull. Computed tomography findings mainly manifested osteogenesis changes. b1 and c1 show more bone lesions than ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT imaging. (a–c) Maximum intensity projection, osteogenesis in the pelvis, and osteogenesis in the spine, respectively, detected by dual-tracer imaging.

3.6. Correlation between SUVmax and Ki67 Index for Each Imaging Method. The SUVmax for dual-tracer PET/CT imaging was significantly correlated with tumor differentiation. There were significant positive correlations between the SUVmax values of both LMs and BMs detected by ¹⁸F-FDG and Ki-67 index (Spearman's r = 0.452, P < 0.001; Spearman's r = 0.355, P = 0.002, respectively), but significant negative correlations in ⁶⁸Ga-DOTA-SSAs imaging (Spearman's r = -0.440, P < 0.001; Spearman's r = -0.240, P = 0.039, respectively) (Figure 6).

4. Discussion

This study aimed to evaluate the efficacy of dual-tracer PET/CT imaging for detecting BMs in patients with GEP-NENs and to investigate the correlation between the SUV value and tumor differentiation for each tracer. ⁶⁸Ga-DOTA-SSA imaging was superior to ¹⁸F-FDG in terms of the number of detected lesions and radioactivity uptake by lesions in patients with well-differentiated GEP-NENs. In addition, ⁶⁸Ga-DOTA-SSAs detected a higher bone SUV_{T/B} in the osteogenesis group. Furthermore, the detection efficiency of ⁶⁸Ga-DOTA-SSAs differed between LMs and BMs in the NET G3 group, with LMs detected slightly better by ¹⁸F-FDG

PET/CT and BMs detected better by 68 Ga-DOTA-SSAs. The number of detected BMs and the bone SUV_{T/B} were significantly higher according to 68 Ga-DOTA-SSAs, further explaining the advantage of 68 Ga-DOTA-SSAs imaging for the detection of BMs in patients with GEP-NENs.

We considered the possible reasons for the advantages of ⁶⁸Ga-DOTA-SSAs imaging for detecting BMs in patients with GEP-NENs. First, ⁶⁸Ga-DOTA-SSAs imaging detects radioactivity uptake by the tumor lesions, due to the relatively low-level blood pool and the background SUV of normal bone, making it easy to detect small lesions. Second, ⁶⁸Ga-DOTA-SSAs is a functional imaging agent that binds somatostatin receptors expressed on NET cells with variable affinity, making it useful for not only detecting NET lesions but also providing valuable diagnostic information on the expression of tumor cell receptors [23]. This might explain the higher uptake by potential BMs. Finally, apart from malignant lesions, ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT has also been found to detect benign skeletal lesions [24, 25]. Considering the glucose metabolism-imaging mechanism of ¹⁸F-FDG, its high sensitivity and low specificity may account for false positive diagnoses in cases of trauma, infection, inflammation, and other benign conditions. In contrast, ⁶⁸Ga-DOTA-SSAs imaging is less-affected than ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT by



FIGURE 5: Detection efficiency of dual-tracer PET/CT imaging in different pathological groups. *Kruskal–Wallis test for comparison between G1 + G2 and G3 groups; [#]Kruskal–Wallis test for comparison between G1 + G2 and NEC groups.

such conditions, leading to better specificity and detection accuracy of ⁶⁸Ga-DOTA-SSAs for BMs [26]. Notably, a previous study came to a similar conclusion regarding the advantages of ⁶⁸Ga-DOTA-SSAs for detecting BMs but failed to demonstrate a statistical difference [17].

CT performance of BMs also correlated with pathological tumor changes. The mechanism responsible for BMs is currently unclear, but recent studies [27] suggested the existence of related regulatory effects between tumor cells and changes in the bone microenvironment, even in the absence of clinical symptoms of BMs. For example, the ratio of RANK-ligand/ osteoprotegerin produced by osteoblasts is unbalanced, leading to changes in bone remodeling [28]. Damage to this pathway is common to different types of tumors, including GEP-NENs and bronchopulmonary NENs [29]. In the current retrospective study, we analyzed BMs of GEP-NENs from a clinical perspective, to clarify the association between GEP-NEN tumor differentiation and CT imaging findings. We observed that the Ki-67 index was significantly lower in patients with osteogenesis, while there were no significant differences in patients in the osteolysis and no-change groups. The use of appropriate imaging technology may allow the earlier diagnosis of BM, thus preventing the development of skeletal-related events and guaranteeing patient quality of life. We suggest that the CT findings of osteogenic changes in BMs may indicate that the GEP-NENs are well differentiated, thus providing promising evidence for the differentiation of GEP-NENs.

In this study, we observed a significant correlation between dual-tracer PET/CT imaging and tumor differentiation. ¹⁸F-FDG performs well for the evaluation and management of high-grade NENs (poorly differentiated and aggressive) [30], but has limitations for the determination of metastatic lesions in well-differentiated NENs, because ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT is positively correlated with the degree of tumor malignancy and is less sensitive in well-differentiated NETs. There is thus a significant positive correlation between the SUVmax of BMs detected by ¹⁸F-FDG and Ki-67 index [31]. In contrast, ⁶⁸Ga-DOTA-SSAs imaging is better at detecting low-grade NENs, with a negative correlation that presents complementary characteristics for the diagnosis and staging of NENs and the selection of an appropriate treatment regimen [32]. "Flip-flop" was a phenomenon proposed in thyroid carcinoma to describe an inverse relationship between iodine and ¹⁸F-FDG accumulation: thyroid cancer cells tend to lose radioiodine avidity, and start to take up ¹⁸F-FDG when tumor get differentiated [33]. This phenomenon has also been adopted prevalently in NEN: a poorer tumor differentiation exhibits a decreased DOTATATE expression and an increased FDG uptake [34]. However, it should be noted that this



FIGURE 6: Correlation between maximum standard uptake value and Ki-67 index in liver and bone in single-tracer imaging.

dedifferentiation process represents a spectrum rather than a yes/no question; quite a few patients demonstrated features of both SSTR high expression and FDG high uptake [35]. Thus, the integration of these two tracers may provide a more comprehensive imaging strategy [36].

The current study conducted more investigations than previous studies of BMs in patients with GEP-NENs. For example, Scharf et al. [7] examined the incidence and clinical and prognostic impacts of BMs in patients with NENs but failed to provide any imaging-related analysis of the cohort. Another recent study [37] confirmed the high sensitivity of ⁶⁸Ga-DOTATOC-PET/CT for detecting vertebral metastases in a larger cohort of NEN patients (n = 535), but the authors failed to compare the morphological and functional imaging results. Zhang et al. [16] demonstrated the advantages of ⁶⁸Ga-DOTATATE in dual-tracer imaging (better performance in 62.5% of cases) in patients with GEP-NENs, but the sample size was very small (n = 8) and there was analysis of the efficacy of dual-tracer imaging for detecting BMs in relation to tumor differentiation. To the best of our knowledge, the current study provides the first evidence for the performance of dual-tracer imaging with ⁶⁸Ga-DOTA-SSAs and ¹⁸F-FDG in patients with well, moderately, and poorly differentiated NENs accompanied by BMs. In addition, we analyzed cases from two centers over 7 years, leading to more representative conclusions. In recent years, sodium fluoride labeled ¹⁸F (NaF) PET/CT tends to be a useful alternative to detect primary malignant bone tumors and BMs [38]. Since there are few related studies for patients with GEP-NENs using NaF, in the future work we will pay

more attention to this research direction. Another promising new tracer of NENs for PET/CT imaging is ⁶⁸Ga-DOTA-JR11, which performs better in detecting LMs while worse in BMs compared with ⁶⁸Ga-DOTATATE [39]. An emerging and promising radiotracer for PET/CT imaging is [⁶⁸Ga] Ga-FAPI, which indicated high uptake of [⁶⁸Ga]Ga-FAPI in NET of different origins in several case reports [40, 41]. Although these studies are very preliminary, they may facilitate novel diagnostic as well as therapeutic options for NEN patients, and further studies are needed to better ascertain their clinical value.

The current study also had some limitations. The sample size was small for the NET G3 and NEC groups. Besides, despite using $SUV_{T/B}$ to reduce the impacts caused by different tomographs from two centers, we should not ignore this data distribution problem in the analysis. In addition, this retrospective analysis has an inherent limitation: conclusions need to be confirmed in future randomized controlled studies.

5. Conclusion

In this study, we compared the multidimensional performances of dual-tracer PET/CT imaging in GEP-NEN patients with BMs. ⁶⁸Ga-DOTA-SSAs was superior to ¹⁸F-FDG for detecting BMs in terms of the number and $SUV_{T/B}$ of the detected lesions. We analyzed patients with tumors with different degrees of differentiation separately, including G1, G2, and G3 NET groups. We also observed that patients with osteogenesis showed relatively well-differentiated lesions, which might provide promising evidence for NEN differentiation. In addition, dual-tracer PET/CT imaging showed strong complementary correlations with tumor differentiation. Further randomized controlled trials are needed to explore the detection advantages of dual-tracer imaging in patients GEP-NENs with BMs.

Abbreviations

BMs:	Bone metastases	
FDG:	¹⁸ F-fluorodeoxyglucose	
⁶⁸ Ga-DOTA-NOC:	⁶⁸ Ga-DOTA-1-Nal3-octr	reotide
⁶⁸ Ga-DOTA-TATE:	⁶⁸ Ga-DOTA-Tyr3-octree	otate
⁶⁸ Ga-DOTA-TOC:	⁶⁸ Ga-DOTA-D-Phe1-Ty	r3-octreotide
GEP-NENs:	Gastroenteropancreatic n	euroendocrine
	neoplasms	
LMs:	Liver metastases	
PET/CT:	Positron emission	tomography/
	computed tomography.	

Data Availability

The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Ethical Approval

All procedures performed in this study involving human participants were carried out in accordance with the ethical standards of the Peking University Cancer Hospital, the Nanjing Medical University, and the National Research Committee and with the 1964 Helsinki Declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Authors' Contributions

Rui Tian was responsible for clinical data collection and performed manuscript writing. Qing Xie was responsible for clinical data collection. Fei Yu performed statistical analysis. Changzhi Du was responsible for imaging acquisition. Xiaochen Yao and Shiming Zang were responsible for imaging interpretation. Chuan Zhang was responsible for clinical management and study design. Changzhi Du and Pengjun Zhang performed radiolabelling. Guoqiang Shao and Zhi Yang were responsible for experimental design guidance. Feng Wang was responsible for experimental design guidance and manuscript review. Jiangyuan Yu was responsible for manuscript review and final approval and submission. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Rui Tian and Qing Xie contributed equally to this article.

Funding

This research was supported by Jiangsu Provincial Key Research and Development Special Fund (BE2017612),

Nanjing Medical Foundation (ZKX17027), Health Commission of Jiangsu Province (H2019091), Nanjing Medical and Health International Joint Research and Development Project (201911042), The Second Round Fund of Nanjing Clinical Medical Center "Nanjing Nuclear Medicine Center."

References

- A. Faggiano, P. Ferolla, F. Grimaldi et al., "Natural history of gastro-entero-pancreatic and thoracic neuroendocrine tumors. Data from a large prospective and retrospective Italian epidemiological study: the NET management study," *Journal of Endocrinological Investigation*, vol. 35, no. 9, pp. 817–823, 2012.
- [2] J. C. Yao, M. Hassan, A. Phan et al., "One hundred years after "Carcinoid": epidemiology of and prognostic factors for neuroendocrine tumors in 35,825 cases in the United States," *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, vol. 26, no. 18, pp. 3063–3072, 2008.
- [3] J. Hallet, C. H. L. Law, M. Cukier, R. Saskin, N. Liu, and S. Singh, "Exploring the rising incidence of neuroendocrine tumors: a population-based analysis of epidemiology, metastatic presentation, and outcomes," *Cancer*, vol. 121, no. 4, pp. 589–597, 2015.
- [4] B. Kos-Kudła, D. O'Toole, M. Falconi et al., "ENETS consensus guidelines for the management of bone and lung metastases from neuroendocrine tumors," *Neuroendocrinology*, vol. 91, no. 4, pp. 341–350, 2010.
- [5] R. E. Coleman, "Metastatic bone disease: clinical features, pathophysiology and treatment strategies," *Cancer Treatment Reviews*, vol. 27, no. 3, pp. 165–176, 2001.
- [6] K. Van Loon, L. Zhang, J. Keiser et al., "Bone metastases and skeletal-related events from neuroendocrine tumors," *Endocrine Connections*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 9–17, 2015.
- [7] M. Scharf, V. Petry, H. Daniel, A. Rinke, and T. M. Gress, "Bone metastases in patients with neuroendocrine neoplasm: frequency and clinical, therapeutic, and prognostic relevance," *Neuroendocrinology*, vol. 106, no. 1, pp. 30–37, 2018.
- [8] C. Fuccio, E. G. Spinapolice, S. Chondrogiannis et al., "Evolving role of SPECT/CT in neuroendocrine tumors management: staging, treatment response, and follow-up," *Clinical Nuclear Medicine*, vol. 38, no. 10, pp. e384–e389, 2013.
- [9] A. Pfeifer, U. Knigge, T. Binderup et al., "⁶⁴Cu-DOTATATE PET for neuroendocrine tumors: a prospective head-to-head comparison with ¹¹¹In-DTPA-octreotide in 112 patients," *Journal of Nuclear Medicine*, vol. 56, no. 6, pp. 847–854, 2015.
- [10] S. A. Deppen, E. Liu, J. D. Blume et al., "Safety and efficacy of ⁶⁸Ga-DOTATATE PET/CT for diagnosis, staging, and treatment management of neuroendocrine tumors," *Journal of Nuclear Medicine*, vol. 57, no. 5, pp. 708–714, 2016.
- [11] I. Buchmann, M. Henze, S. Engelbrecht et al., "Comparison of ⁶⁸Ga-DOTATOC PET and ¹¹¹In-DTPAOC (Octreoscan) SPECT in patients with neuroendocrine tumours," *European Journal of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging*, vol. 34, no. 10, pp. 1617–1626, 2007.
- [12] Y. Krausz, N. Freedman, R. Rubinstein et al., "⁶⁸Ga-DOTA-NOC PET/CT imaging of neuroendocrine tumors: comparison with ¹¹¹In-DTPA-octreotide (OctreoScan[®])," *Molecular Imaging and Biology*, vol. 13, pp. 583–593, 2011.
- [13] F. Muffatti, S. Partelli, R. Cirocchi et al., "Combined ⁶⁸Ga-DOTA-peptides and ¹⁸F-FDG PET in the diagnostic workup of neuroendocrine neoplasms (NEN)," *Clinical and Translational Imaging*, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 181–188, 2019.

- [14] L. Urso, A. Nieri, I. Rambaldi et al., "Radioligand therapy (RLT) as neoadjuvant treatment for inoperable pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors: a literature review," *Endocrine*, vol. 78, no. 2, pp. 255–261, 2022.
- [15] T. Binderup, U. Knigge, A. Loft, B. Federspiel, and A. Kjaer, ^{«18}F-fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography predicts survival of patients with neuroendocrine tumors," *Clinical Cancer Research*, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 978–985, 2010.
- [16] P. Zhang, J. Yu, J. Li et al., "Clinical and prognostic value of PET/CT imaging with combination of ⁶⁸Ga-DOTATATE and ¹⁸F-FDG in gastroenteropancreatic neuroendocrine neoplasms," *Contrast Media & Molecular Imaging*, vol. 2018, Article ID 2340389, 9 pages, 2018.
- [17] B. Nilica, D. Waitz, V. Stevanovic et al., "Direct comparison of ⁶⁸Ga-DOTA-TOC and ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT in the follow-up of patients with neuroendocrine tumour treated with the first full peptide receptor radionuclide therapy cycle," *European Journal of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging*, vol. 43, pp. 1585–1592, 2016.
- [18] S. Paiella, L. Landoni, S. Tebaldi et al., "Dual-tracer (⁶⁸Ga-DOTATOC and ¹⁸F-FDG-)-PET/CT scan and G1-G2 nonfunctioning pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors: a single-center retrospective evaluation of 124 nonmetastatic resected cases," *Neuroendocrinology*, vol. 112, no. 2, pp. 143–152, 2022.
- [19] E. Panagiotidis, A. Alshammari, S. Michopoulou et al., "Comparison of the impact of ⁶⁸Ga-DOTATATE and ¹⁸F-FDG PET/CT on clinical management in patients with neuroendocrine tumors," *Journal of Nuclear Medicine*, vol. 58, no. 1, pp. 91–96, 2017.
- [20] L. Urso, S. Panareo, A. Castello et al., "Glucose metabolism modification induced by radioligand therapy with [¹⁷⁷Lu]Lu/ [⁹⁰Y]Y-DOTATOC in advanced neuroendocrine neoplasms: a prospective pilot study within FENET-2016 trial," *Pharmaceutics*, vol. 14, no. 10, Article ID 2009, 2022.
- [21] S. Oh, V. Prasad, D. S. Lee, and R. P. Baum, "Effect of peptide receptor radionuclide therapy on somatostatin receptor status and glucose metabolism in neuroendocrine tumors: intraindividual comparison of Ga-68 DOTANOC PET/CT and F-18 FDG PET/CT," *International Journal of Molecular Imaging*, vol. 2011, Article ID 524130, 7 pages, 2011.
- [22] M. H. Shah, W. S. Goldner, A. B. Benson et al., "Neuroendocrine and adrenal tumors, version 2.2021, NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology," *Journal of the National Comprehensive Cancer Network*, vol. 19, no. 7, pp. 839–868, 2021.
- [23] C. von Falck, A. R. Boerner, M. Galanski, and W. H. Knapp, "Neuroendocrine tumour of the mediastinum: fusion of ¹⁸F-FDG and ⁶⁸Ga-DOTATOC PET/CT datasets demonstrates different degrees of differentiation," *European Journal of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging*, vol. 34, Article ID 812, 2007.
- [24] F. Feldman, R. van Heertum, and C. Manos, "¹⁸FDG PET scanning of benign and malignant musculoskeletal lesions," *Skeletal Radiology*, vol. 32, pp. 201–208, 2003.
- [25] T. C. Kwee, J. M. H. de Klerk, M. Nix, B. G. F. Heggelman, S. V. Dubois, and H. J. A. Adams, "Benign bone conditions that may be FDG-avid and mimic malignancy," *Seminars in Nuclear Medicine*, vol. 47, no. 4, pp. 322–351, 2017.
- [26] N. Naswa, P. Sharma, S. K. Gupta et al., "Dual tracer functional imaging of gastroenteropancreatic neuroendocrine tumors using ⁶⁸Ga-DOTA-NOC PET-CT and ¹⁸F-FDG PET-CT: competitive or complimentary?" *Clinical Nuclear Medicine*, vol. 39, no. 1, pp. e27–e34, 2014.

- [27] R. N. Kaplan, S. Rafii, and D. Lyden, "Preparing the "soil": the premetastatic niche," *Cancer Research*, vol. 66, no. 23, pp. 11089–11093, 2006.
- [28] S. K. Tat, M. Padrines, S. Theoleyre et al., "OPG/membranous —RANKL complex is internalized via the clathrin pathway before a lysosomal and a proteasomal degradation," *Bone*, vol. 39, no. 4, pp. 706–715, 2006.
- [29] F. Milone, C. Pivonello, F. Cariati et al., "Assessment and clinical implications of RANK/RANKL/OPG pathway as markers of bone tumor progression in patients with NET harboring bone metastases," *Biomarkers*, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 121–125, 2013.
- [30] J. R. Howe, "The supporting role of ¹⁸FDG-PET in patients with neuroendocrine tumors," *Annals of Surgical Oncology*, vol. 22, pp. 2107–2109, 2015.
- [31] J. Yu, N. Li, J. Li et al., "The correlation between [⁶⁸Ga]DOTA-TATE PET/CT and cell proliferation in patients with GEP-NENs," *Molecular Imaging and Biology*, vol. 21, pp. 984–990, 2019.
- [32] M. Bauckneht, D. Albano, S. Annunziata et al., "Somatostatin receptor PET/CT imaging for the detection and staging of pancreatic NET: a systematic review and meta-analysis," *Diagnostics*, vol. 10, no. 8, Article ID 598, 2020.
- [33] U. Feine, R. Lietzenmayer, J. P. Hanke, H. Wöhrle, and W. Müller-Schauenburg, "¹⁸FDG whole-body PET in differentiated thyroid carcinoma. Flipflop in uptake patterns of ¹⁸FDG and ¹³¹I," *Nuklearmedizin*, vol. 34, no. 4, pp. 127–134, 1995.
- [34] M. Hofman, "Principles and application of molecular imaging for personalized medicine and guiding interventions in neuroendocrine tumors," in *Diagnostic and Therapeutic Nuclear Medicine for Neuroendocrine Tumors. Contemporary Endocrinology*, K. Pacak and D. Taïeb, Eds., pp. 219–238, Humana Press, Cham, 2017.
- [35] D. A. Pattison and M. S. Hofman, "Role of fluorodeoxyglucose PET/computed tomography in targeted radionuclide therapy for endocrine malignancies," *PET Clinics*, vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 461–476, 2015.
- [36] L. Evangelista, I. Ravelli, A. Bignotto, D. Cecchin, and P. Zucchetta, "Ga-68 DOTA-peptides and F-18 FDG PET/CT in patients with neuroendocrine tumor: a review," *Clinical Imaging*, vol. 67, pp. 113–116, 2020.
- [37] M. Gauthé, N. Testart Dardel, F. Ruiz Santiago et al., "Vertebral metastases from neuroendocrine tumours: how to avoid false positives on ⁶⁸Ga-DOTA-TOC PET using CT pattern analysis?" *European Radiology*, vol. 28, pp. 3943–3952, 2018.
- [38] S. Bastawrous, P. Bhargava, F. Behnia, D. S. W. Djang, and D. R. Haseley, "Newer PET application with an old tracer: role of ¹⁸F-NaF skeletal PET/CT in oncologic practice," *Radio-Graphics*, vol. 34, no. 5, pp. 1295–1316, 2014.
- [39] W. Zhu, Y. Cheng, X. Wang et al., "Head-to-head comparison of ⁶⁸Ga-DOTA-JR11 and ⁶⁸Ga-DOTATATE PET/CT in patients with metastatic, well-differentiated neuroendocrine tumors: a prospective study," *Journal of Nuclear Medicine*, vol. 61, no. 6, pp. 897–903, 2020.
- [40] H. Wang, Z. Du, Q. Huang et al., "The superiority of [⁶⁸Ga] Ga-FAPI-04 over [¹⁸F]-FDG in a case of neuroendocrine tumour with hepatic metastasis," *European Journal of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging*, vol. 48, pp. 3005–3006, 2021.
- [41] Z. Cheng, S. Zou, S. Cheng, S. Song, and X. Zhu, "Comparison of ¹⁸F-FDG, ⁶⁸Ga-FAPI, and ⁶⁸Ga-DOTATATE PET/CT in a patient with pancreatic neuroendocrine tumor," *Clinical Nuclear Medicine*, vol. 46, no. 9, pp. 764–765, 2021.