RESEARCH

Open Access

Epidemiologicpatterns of biliary tract cancer in the United States: 2001–2015

Jill Koshiol¹, Binbing Yu², Shaum M. Kabadi³, Katherine Baria⁴ and Rachna T. Shroff^{5*}

Abstract

Background: Biliary tract cancer (BTC) includes intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma (ICC), extrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma, gallbladder cancer, and ampulla of Vater cancer (AVC). Although BTC is rare in the US, incidence is increasing and elevated in certain populations. This study examined BTC epidemiology in the US by age, sex, race/ethnicity, geographic region, and anatomic site.

Methods: BTC incidence, prevalence, mortality, and survival from 2001 to 2015 were evaluated using the National Cancer Institute's Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results Program and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's National Program of Cancer Registries databases. Incidence and mortality rates were calculated and reported as age-standardized rates. Data were assessed by age, anatomic sites, geographic region, and race/ethnicity, and a joinpoint regression model was used to predict trends for age-adjusted BTC incidence and mortality rates.

Results: BTC incidence increased during the study period (annual percent change = 1.76, 95% confidence interval [1.59–1.92]), with the highest increase in ICC (6.65 [6.11–7.19]). Incidence of unspecifed BTC initially increased but has recently begun to drop. Hispanic, Asian/Pacifc Islander, Black, or American Indian/Alaska Native race/ethnicity was associated with higher BTC mortality rates than White race/ethnicity. Patients with ICC had the highest mortality rate (age-standardized rate=1.87/100,000 person-years [1.85–1.88]). Five-year survival was 15.2% for all BTC, ranging from 8.5% (ICC) to 34.5% (AVC), and patients with distant disease at diagnosis had lower survival (3%) compared with those with regional (19.1%) or locally advanced disease (31.5%).

Conclusions: BTC incidence increased, survival was low across all subtypes, and mortality was greatest in patients with ICC. This underscores the serious, increasing unmet need among patients with BTC. Treatment options are limited, although clinical studies investigating immunotherapy, targeted therapies, and alternative chemotherapy combinations are ongoing. Epidemiological insights may improve patient care and inform the integration of novel therapies for BTC.

Keywords: Biliary tract cancer, Intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma, Extrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma, Gallbladder cancer, Ampulla of Vater cancer

*Correspondence: rshrof@email.arizona.edu

⁵ Division of Hematology and Oncology, University of Arizona Cancer Center, 1515 N. Campbell Ave, PO Box 245024, Tucson, AZ 85724-5024, USA Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

NBMC

Background

Biliary tract cancer (BTC) forms a heterogeneous group of hepatic and perihepatic tumors, including gallbladder cancer (GBC), cholangiocarcinoma (extrahepatic [ECC] and intrahepatic [ICC]), and ampulla of Vater cancer (AVC) [[1\]](#page-10-0). In the US, liver and intrahepatic bile duct cancer are predicted to account for approximately 41,260 new cases in 2022, and GBC and other biliary cancers for approximately 12,130 new diagnoses in 2022

© The Author(s) 2022. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit [http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver ([http://creativeco](http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) [mmons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/](http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/)) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data. [[2\]](#page-10-1). In the US, American Indian and Hispanic individuals are at greater risk for BTC, constituting an increased public health burden in these populations [[3–](#page-10-2)[5\]](#page-10-3). Late diagnosis, poor prognosis, and limited treatment options also increase disease burden related to BTC $[1, 6, 7]$ $[1, 6, 7]$ $[1, 6, 7]$ $[1, 6, 7]$ $[1, 6, 7]$ $[1, 6, 7]$. The most defnitive BTC therapy includes surgical resection; however, only around 20% of tumors are considered resectable as most cases present at an advanced stage, and, as resection alone confers a high rate of recurrence, adjuvant chemotherapy and/or radiotherapy is needed to improve relapse-free and overall survival rates [\[8](#page-10-6)]. Advanced cancer treatment includes primarily chemotherapy, though areas of research interest include liver transplant, liver-directed therapies, immunotherapy, and targeted therapy $[9-15]$ $[9-15]$. Recently, durvalumab, an anti-PD-L1 antibody, in combination with gemcitabine and cisplatin was approved by the US Food and Drug Administration for treatment of individuals with advanced BTC, based on the results of the global, randomized, Phase III TOPAZ-1 study [\[16](#page-10-9), [17](#page-10-10)].

Epidemiologic research for BTC is often limited to one anatomic site (e.g. ICC) or does not diferentiate BTC from liver cancer $[5, 7, 18-20]$ $[5, 7, 18-20]$ $[5, 7, 18-20]$ $[5, 7, 18-20]$ $[5, 7, 18-20]$ $[5, 7, 18-20]$ $[5, 7, 18-20]$, making it difficult to extract relevant information about this cancer group. BTC subtypes have distinct risk factors, prognoses, and likely respond to treatment diferently, so monitoring the epidemiology of BTC and its anatomic sites will inform clinical program development and research.

There is an emerging interest in BTC because of the discovery of targetable genetic alterations [[21](#page-10-13)], particularly for ICC tumors [\[22](#page-10-14)], while a smaller proportion of ECCs and GBCs have such mutations [\[23\]](#page-10-15). BTC molecular characterization studies are ongoing [[24](#page-10-16)]. Molecular changes provide potential new therapy targets and may inform etiology. Understanding descriptive patterns of BTC incidence, survival, mortality, and prevalence can complement emerging insight into the molecular basis of these tumors, generating etiologic hypotheses and identifying gaps in clinical care.

US trends in BTC incidence, prevalence, mortality, and survival by age, sex, anatomic site, geographic region (state), and race/ethnicity were analyzed in this study. Real-world overall BTC rates are reported for comparison with other studies [[4,](#page-10-17) [25](#page-10-18), [26\]](#page-10-19), as well as rates for each anatomic site, to identify unique epidemiological features for these disparate cancer types.

Methods

The aim of this study was to describe BTC epidemiology and identify unique epidemiological features for BTC subtypes to improve patient care and inform the integration of novel therapies for BTC.

Statistical analysis

BTC incidence and mortality data from the 2001–2015 National Program of Cancer Registries-Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (NPCR-SEER) database [\[27](#page-11-0)], mortality data from the SEER 18 Incidence-based mortality database [[28\]](#page-11-1), and BTC prevalence and survival data from the 2001–2015 SEER 18 database were evaluated [[29\]](#page-11-2). Mortality rates for BTC, GBC, and ICC were evaluated from the NPCR-SEER database while mortality rates for ECC, AVC, and overlapping/not otherwise specifed (Overlapping & NOS) were taken from the SEER 18 Incidence-based mortality database. The NPCR supports central cancer registries in 46 states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, the US Pacifc Island Jurisdictions, and the US Virgin Islands, representing 97% of the US population $[30]$ $[30]$. The SEER 18 database comprises 18 registries representing approximately 28% of the US population based on the US 2010 census. Although a few states are funded both by CDC as NPCR registries and NCI as SEER registries, each state only contributed data once for each analysis. The Supplementary Methods (Additional File [1](#page-9-0)) includes additional details of the data sources, cancer classifcations and excluded lymphohematologic tumors (Supplementary Table 1, Additional File [1\)](#page-9-0).

The analysis included a defined population of patients aged \geq 20 years who were diagnosed with BTC as a primary cancer during 2001–2015. BTC incidence rate was defned as the number of new cases of BTC divided by the number of persons at risk for BTC during a given year. Mortality rate was defned as the number of BTCrelated deaths divided by the total population for a given year. BTC incidence and mortality rates were reported as age-standardized rates (ASRs; per 100,000 personyears) using the 2000 US standard population. Five-year relative survival for patients with BTC was defned as the percentage of patients who survived the efects of their cancer for 5 years, excluding the risk of dying from other causes. BTC prevalence, a function of past incidence and survival, was defned as the number of patients alive as of January 1, 2015, who were diagnosed with BTC between January 1, 2010 and January 1, 2015, and was reported per 100,000 person-years.

Data were assessed by age (20–29, 30–39, 40–49, 50–59, 60–69, 70–79, and ≥ 80 years), sex, anatomic site (ICC, ECC, GBC, and AVC), geographic region (state), and race/ethnicity (White, Hispanic, Black, American Indian/Alaska Native, and Asian/Pacifc Islander), including rate ratios using White individuals as the reference group. Survival was also stratifed by BTC cancer stage (localized, regional, and distant). For mortality analyses, BTC, ICC and GBC were evaluated using NPCR-SEER data while ECC, AVC and Overlapping &

NOS mortality data were generated based on the SEER Incidence-based mortality database, as NPCR-SEER does not distinguish between the latter sites. In the SEER database, Hispanic and Asian individuals are more likely than White or Black individuals to be lost to follow-up; this creates infated survival estimates for Hispanic and Asian populations $[31]$. To assess the impact of bias by race/ethnicity in mortality analyses, we assessed the loss to follow-up in each race/ethnicity group and calculated the adjusted survival estimates if all race/ethnicity groups had the same loss to follow-up probability (Supplementary Methods, Additional File [1](#page-9-0)). Estimates of annual percent change (APC) in BTC incidence were calculated using the weighted least-squares method. Statistics were calculated using SEER*Stat software [\[32](#page-11-5)] version 8.3.8.

A joinpoint regression model was ftted to depict the trend for age-adjusted BTC incidence and mortality rates [[33\]](#page-11-6). The number and location of joinpoints were identified by a sequential testing procedure $[34]$ $[34]$ $[34]$. The Joinpoint Regression Program 4.6.0.0 was used for these analyses.

A sensitivity analysis was performed to verify the end cases of the joinpoint analysis for ECC incidence and Overlapping & NOS incidence. Because SEER*Stat does not provide the delayed-adjusted estimates for NPCR incidence data for the whole US, trends were generated from 2000 to 2017 from the SEER 21 registry [[35](#page-11-8)] for the sensitivity analysis. As the populations are diferent from the earlier analyses, the age-adjusted incidence rates are slightly diferent.

Results

Incidence and prevalence

From 2001 to 2015 in the US, the ASR per 100,000 person-years for BTC overall was 5.04 (95% confdence interval [95% CI], 5.02–5.06) (Table [1\)](#page-2-0). Incidence was higher for males versus females overall (5.31 versus 4.85), and for all anatomic sites except GBC (Table [1](#page-2-0)). GBC and AVC had the highest and lowest overall incidence rates, respectively.

Compared with White individuals, Hispanic and Asian/ Pacifc Islander individuals had higher incidence across all BTC sites and were the top two race/ethnicity groups for all anatomic site categories except GBC (Fig. [1](#page-3-0); Supplementary Table 2, Additional File [1\)](#page-9-0). For GBC, Hispanic individuals had the highest incidence (2.95 [95% CI, 2.88–3.02]), followed by American Indian/Alaska Native (2.57 [95% CI, 2.36–2.80]), Black (2.19 [95% CI, 2.14–2.24]), Asian/Pacifc Islander (1.89 [95% CI, 1.82– 1.97]), and White (1.33 [95% CI, 1.31–1.34]) individuals (Fig. [1;](#page-3-0) Supplementary Table 2, Additional File [1\)](#page-9-0).

During the analysis period, BTC total incidence increased (APC, 1.76 [95% CI, 1.59–1.92]), and the greatest change in anatomic subgroup was observed for ICC (APC, 6.65 [95% CI, 6.11–7.19]) (Supplementary Table 3, Additional File [1](#page-9-0)). APCs by sex, race/ethnicity, and age are shown in Supplementary Figs. 1–3, Additional File [1](#page-9-0). The greatest APC in BTC by race/ethnicity was observed in Black (2.78 [95% CI, 2.23–3.34]) and White (1.62 [95% CI, 1.44–1.79]) individuals (Supplementary Fig. 2, Additional File [1](#page-9-0)). For GBC, the APC decreased in all races/ ethnicities, except for Black individuals, where APC increased (1.78 [95% CI, 1.07–2.49]), and Asian/Pacifc Islander individuals, where APC remained stable. GBC also decreased in individuals aged \geq 80 years (Supplementary Fig. 3a, Additional File [1\)](#page-9-0) while there is a trend toward an increasing GBC APC in individuals aged 20–59 (0.78 [95% CI, 0.38–1.18]) (Supplementary Fig. 3b, Additional File [1](#page-9-0)). ICC incidence increased regardless of age, race/ethnicity, or sex. ECC rates increased modestly overall (0.72 [95% CI, 0.34–1.10]) and for men and women, White and Black individuals, and individuals aged 50–79 years. For AVC, the incidence increased for individuals aged 40–59 years, but remained generally stable for other ages, males and females, and all races/ethnicities. The incidence of BTC diagnosed as "overlapping and biliary tract, NOS" increased across all demographic groups, except individuals aged ≥ 80 years.

To further characterize these trends, joinpoint modeling was performed. No joinpoints were found for GBC

Incidence rates are age-standardized and reported as cases per 100,000 person-years

ASR Age-standardized rate, *AVC* Ampulla of Vater cancer, *BTC* Biliary tract cancer, *CI* Confdence interval, *ECC* Extrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma, *GBC* Gallbladder cancer, *ICC* intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma, *NOS* Not otherwise specifed

or AVC (Fig. [2](#page-4-0)a, d). ICC incidence rose from 2001 to 2006, and then increased at a faster rate from 2006 to 2015 (Fig. [2b](#page-4-0)). ECC incidence increased from 2001 to 2011, and then decreased (Fig. [2c](#page-4-0)). For Overlapping & NOS, where the anatomic site was not indicated, incidence increased from 2001 to 2013, and then decreased (Fig. [2](#page-4-0)e). Analysis of Overlapping & NOS designation (Supplementary Fig. 4, Additional File [1\)](#page-9-0) showed an increase in NOS coding.

A sensitivity analysis for ECC trend showed that instead of decreasing near the end of the period, they may be leveling off (Supplementary Fig. 5, Additional File [1](#page-9-0)), while for Overlapping & NOS, the sensitivity analysis supported the decrease in incidence from 2013 (Supplementary Fig. 6, Additional File [1](#page-9-0)).

BTC incidence across the US (Fig. [3a](#page-5-0)) was highest in several Northeastern states (Rhode Island, New York, New Jersey, and Massachusetts), Illinois in the Midwest, and California in the Southwest ($ASR \geq 5.5$), and lowest in Nevada, Arkansas, and Tennessee (ASR< 4.0). BTC incidence by anatomic site is shown in Fig. [3](#page-5-0)b– 3f. Although rates were similar, compared with BTC overall, GBC incidence was highest in New York, New Jersey, Illinois, and New Mexico (ASR> 1.9), and lowest in Nevada (ASR, 1.09). ICC incidence was highest in Rhode Island and Hawaii (ASR> 1.5), and lowest in Nevada (ASR, 0.58). ECC incidence was highest in Rhode Island and Alaska (ASR> 1.5), and lowest in Nevada, Oregon, and Tennessee $(ASR \leq 0.95)$. AVC incidence was highest in Rhode Island and California (ASR> 0.90), and lowest in South Dakota (ASR, 0.45).

The 10-year BTC prevalence patterns mirrored those for incidence, with an overall rate of 10.8 per 100,000 (Supplementary Table 4, Additional File [1](#page-9-0)). Hispanic and Asian/Pacifc Islander individuals had higher 10-year BTC prevalence (16.6 and 13.8, respectively) than the other race/ethnicity groups (Supplementary Fig. 7; Supplementary Table 4; Additional File [1](#page-9-0)). GBC was the most prevalent type of BTC for all races/ethnicities.

Mortality and survival

The overall mortality ASR for BTC patients was 3.40 (95% CI, 3.38–3.42) (Table [2\)](#page-6-0). Compared with White individuals (3.25 [95% CI, 3.23–3.28]), all other race/ethnicity groups had a higher mortality rate for BTC with the highest rates among Hispanic (4.43 [95% CI, 4.34– 4.52]; rate ratio, 1.36 [95% CI, 1.33–1.39]) and Asian/ Pacifc Islander (4.30 [95% CI, 4.18–4.42]; rate ratio, 1.32 [95% CI, 1.28–1.36]) individuals (Table [2;](#page-6-0) Supplementary Fig. 8, Additional File [1](#page-9-0)). Among the anatomic sites, the mortality rate was highest for ICC (1.87 [95% CI, 1.85– 1.88]), particularly for Asian/Pacifc Islander individuals (2.57 [95% CI, 2.48–2.66]). Mortality was lowest in Overlapping & NOS (0.29 [95% CI, 0.28–0.30]), particularly for American Indian/Alaska Native individuals (0.25 [95% CI, 0.14–0.40]). Mortality decreased by 1% per year for GBC and increased by 3.5% per year for ICC without

Mortality rates are age-standardized and reported as deaths per 100,000 person-years. A) Mortality rates for total BTC, ICC and GBC, evaluated using NPCR-SEER data. Total BTC rates from the NPCR-SEER database includes ICC, GBC, and Other Biliary Cancers. B) ECC, AVC and Overlapping & NOS mortality data, evaluated using the SEER 18 Incidence-based mortality database

ASR Age-standardized rate, *BTC* Biliary tract cancer, *CI* Confdence interval, *GBC* Gallbladder cancer, *ICC* Intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma, *SEER* Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results

any changes observed in the rate of decrease or increase over the study period (Fig. [4](#page-6-1)).

BTC mortality across the US (Supplementary Fig. 9) was highest in several Northeastern (Rhode Island, Massachusetts), Midwestern (Minnesota, Wisconsin, Illinois), and Western (New Mexico, Hawaii, Washington) states (ASR>3.8). BTC mortality was lowest in several Southern (Mississippi, Arkansas, Alabama, Louisiana, Oklahoma) and Western (Nevada, Utah, Arizona) states (ASR<2.8). Rates of BTC mortality by anatomic site varied compared with BTC overall. GBC mortality was highest in New York, Illinois, the Northeastern State of New Jersey, Minnesota, New Mexico, and the Southern state of Delaware (ASR>1.0), and lowest in Utah and Wyoming in the West (ASR<0.6). ICC mortality was highest in Hawaii, Rhode Island, Washington, Connecticut, Wisconsin, and Minnesota (ASR>2.3) and lowest in Arkansas and Mississippi (ASR<1.1). In Other Biliary Cancers, mortality rates were highest in Rhode Island, the Northeastern state of Vermont, New Mexico and the Midwestern state

of Nebraska (ASR>0.8) and generally low in most states, with the lowest rate in Alabama ($ASR = 0.52$).

Five-year survival for BTC was 15.2% (95% CI, 14.8– 15.7). The lowest survival was reported for ICC (8.5%) [95% CI, 7.8–9.2]) and the highest for AVC (34.5% [95% CI, 33.0–36.0]) (Fig. [5;](#page-7-0) Supplementary Table 5, Additional File [1](#page-9-0)). BTC survival among race/ethnicity groups were largely consistent with the overall population across anatomic site. Black individuals had the lowest survival (13.8% [95% CI, 12.4–15.2]), and Hispanic individuals had the highest (16.6% [95% CI, 15.4–17.7]) (Fig. [5,](#page-7-0) Supplementary Table 5). Loss to follow-up varied by race/ethnicity and stage (Additional File [1,](#page-9-0) Supplementary Table 6). After adjusting for loss to follow-up, all 5-year relative survival estimates were lower than the original estimates (Supplementary Table 7). Black individuals still had the lowest survival (12.5%), but Hispanics no longer had the highest survival. Instead, American Indian/Alaska Native individuals had the highest survival (14.7%), demonstrating how loss to follow-up can bias survival estimates (Additional File [1,](#page-9-0) Supplementary Table 7). Five-year survival was low for patients with distant disease at frst diagnosis (3.0% [95% CI, 2.6–3.4]), compared with regional (19.1% [95% CI, 18.3–20.0]) or localized (31.5% [95% CI, 30.3–32.7]) disease (Supplementary Fig. 10, Additional File [1](#page-9-0)).

Discussion

This study reports the incidence, prevalence, mortality, and survival for BTC overall and for each anatomic site using cancer registry data that are representative of the US population.

BTC incidence increased from 2001 to 2015; the highest rates were observed in Hispanic and Asian/Pacifc Islander individuals, while the greatest increase in incidence over time was among Black individuals. BTC mortality was highest in Hispanic and Asian/Pacifc Islander individuals. Five-year survival for BTC was poor, with a marked diference for patients with distant disease at diagnosis compared with regional or localized disease (3.0% versus 19.1% and 31.5%). After adjusting for loss to follow-up, Black individuals also had the poorest fve-year survival. Our fndings are consistent with other studies showing an increase in BTC incidence and low survival [\[4](#page-10-17), [36–](#page-11-9)[38](#page-11-10)].

GBC incidence and prevalence were the highest of the BTC subtypes. GBC incidence and prevalence were higher in Hispanic individuals, which is consistent with the higher rate of GBC observed in several Latin American countries [\[39](#page-11-11)]. In our study, GBC incidence decreased or remained stable, except in Black individuals, among whom it increased between 2001 and 2015. This fnding is consistent with a report that used registry data from 38 states and examined BTC incidence from 1999 to 2013 $[4]$ $[4]$. They reported GBC incidence in Black individuals increased by 1.8% per year during the study period, although the incidence of GBC declined overall by 0.4% per year, and among White, Hispanic, and other races/ ethnicities by 0.9%, 1.8%, and 1.2% per year, respectively $[4]$ $[4]$. The overall decrease in GBC incidence may be driven by decreasing incidence in older age groups, where there are more cases. We observed a 1% per year decrease in GBC mortality, which was consistent with the decreasing

GBC mortality rate observed in most countries by L. A. Torre et al. [\[25](#page-10-18)].

ICC incidence increased the most of all subtypes — 6.7% per year, from 2001 to 2015. Similarly, A. L. Van Dyke et al. found that ICC increased by 3.2% per year from 1999 to 2013 $[4]$. The higher rate in our analysis may be due to diferences in the included states. Additionally, our joinpoint analysis showed an acceleration of the increase in ICC rate after 2006, likely due to inclusion of data up to 2015. Mortality rate and 5-year survival for ICC were poorest among the BTC subtypes in our analysis.

While prevalence of ECC was the lowest among all BTC, it increased by 0.72% per year from 2001 to 2015. Similarly, A. L. Van Dyke et al. found that ECC increased by 1.8% per year from 1999 to 2013 [[4\]](#page-10-17). However, conficting studies have reported that ECC incidence and mortality rates were stable or decreasing [[26](#page-10-19), [40](#page-11-12)]. S. K. Saha et al. found ECC incidence was stable from 1973 to 2012, using data from the SEER database, although the coding approach in this study difered from that presented here $[40]$. The incidence rate ratio trends for ECC by race/ethnicity showed that, compared with White individuals, the incidence in Black individuals increased over time [[40\]](#page-11-12), consistent with our fndings. Additionally, our joinpoint and sensitivity analyses showed that ECC incidence leveled out after 2003, consistent with the results presented by S. K. Saha et al. [\[40\]](#page-11-12). Five-year survival for ECC was the second lowest and approached that of ICC. Unfortunately, ECC mortality was not assessed by anatomic site and is not defned in cause of death in the SEER mortality database. However, P. Bertuccio et al. demonstrated that, on a global scale, mortality from ECC decreased in most countries from 1995 to 2016 [[26\]](#page-10-19).

Incidence rate was lowest for AVC, highlighting its rarity. Five-year survival of AVC was highest among BTC subtypes and exceeded the<20% 5-year survival observed in other subtypes. Similarly to ECC, assessment of AVCspecifc mortality was prevented due to anatomic site not being defned in cause of death in the SEER database.

Our data are in agreement with, and build upon, that presented by T. D. Ellington et al. [\[41](#page-11-13)] in a study of BTC incidence in the US between 2013–2017 using population-based data from the NPCR-SEER dataset. Ageadjusted incidence of AVC was rare, at 0.45 per 100,000 standard population whilst ICC, GBC and ECC were more common (ASRs of 1.49, 1.11 and 0.96 per 100,000 standard population, respectively). Similar to our fndings, incidence was also higher in males versus females and Hispanic or Asian/Pacifc Islander individuals versus all races/ethnicities combined for all anatomic sites except GBC. However, unlike T. D. Ellington et al., our study also provided joinpoint analysis of incidence and mortality over time; an important addition given the differences observed in temporal trend by anatomic subgroup and subpopulation.

Interestingly, we found that the incidence of Overlapping & NOS lesions increased over time, due to an increase in NOS, not overlapping lesions. However, joinpoint and sensitivity analyses showed that since 2012 the use of NOS may be decreasing. This decreasing trend coincides with the start of next generation sequencing and recognition of the molecular landscape in BTC, which have been instrumental in the drive to specify anatomic subsite. Similarly, the number of clinical trials increased dramatically around 2010, many of which require subsite specifcations. Accurate site specifcation of BTC continues to be important for diagnosis and treatment and will beneft from a continued reduction in NOS coding.

Many factors may impact the incidence, prevalence, mortality, and survival trends of BTC observed in this study. Increasing rates of obesity and fatty liver disease, which have been associated with increased risk of BTC, may contribute to rising disease incidence [[42](#page-11-14)[–44](#page-11-15)]. As we found a higher incidence of BTC in Hispanic, Asian/ Pacifc Islander, Black and American Indian/Alaska Native individuals, compared with White individuals, population diferences may further account for the geographic diferences in incidence observed. In particular, there is a greater Hispanic population in California and Native American population in New Mexico, two states with high BTC incidence rates [\[3,](#page-10-2) [7](#page-10-5)]. Discrepancies among race/ethnicity in the US may refect socioeconomic and healthcare access diferences or may refect improved reporting or diagnosis over time. One study showed race/ethnicity and socioeconomic disparities in the receipt of initial treatment of cholangiocarcinoma, but not for receipt of multimodality therapy (radiation in patients who received chemotherapy and radiation and/ or chemotherapy in post-surgical patients) $[45]$ $[45]$. These data suggest a potential barrier to initial access to care or navigation of the healthcare system for these patients; once patients accessed the healthcare system, all races/ ethnicities appeared to receive treatment at the same rate. The observed geographic differences in incidence support that diferences in exposure, population, socioeconomic status, and access to care between states may account for some of the observed variation.

While hypotheses can be made, the descriptive design of this study prevents direct evaluation of explanations for the observed trends and patterns of BTC incidence, prevalence, mortality, and survival. Prevalence and survival data are taken from the SEER 18 database, representing a subset of the US population, and thus results may not be generalizable to the entire

population. Another caveat of using the NPCR database is that the completeness of data varies by state; for example, Nevada was only certified by NPCR in 2017, limiting the accuracy of comparisons by state. However, removal of a single state with a small population, such as Nevada, will not significantly impact national incidence. Thus, all states were included in the analysis. Additionally, mortality data for all BTC, ICC and GBC were taken from the NPCR-SEER database, while mortality data for ECC, AVC, and Overlapping & NOS were generated based on the SEER Incidence-based mortality database, meaning rates between subtypes may not be directly comparable. Another limitation of our study is that the denominator in the analysis may include individuals who have had a cholecystectomy and who are not at risk of developing GBC because their gallbladder has been removed. Finally, Hispanic and Asian/Pacific Islander individuals are more likely to be lost to follow-up compared with White or Black individuals and may be falsely assumed to be surviving [[31](#page-11-4)]. This may result in biases that produce an overestimation of survival among Hispanic and Asian/Pacific Islander individuals [\[31\]](#page-11-4). While we addressed this bias by calculating adjusted survival estimates based on loss to follow-up probability, the survival rates reported for Hispanic and Asian/Pacific Islander individuals are likely to be overestimated and should be interpreted with caution.

Molecular characteristics of BTC vary by geographic and ethnic populations, as demonstrated in ICC: tumors from Chinese individuals have been found to have a higher rate of DNA repair gene mutations and a higher tumor mutational burden, compared with a mostly White population located in the US [[22](#page-10-14)]. In contrast, alterations in actionable driver genes were more common in patients in the US. These differences may be due to differing etiologies in Asia (liver fluke, hepatitis B virus), compared with Western populations (metabolic syndrome, inflammatory bowel disease) [[22](#page-10-14)]. Further molecular studies on sub-populations within the US are needed to better understand differences in molecular characteristics by ethnicity. Evaluation of tumors arising in populations with increasing incidence rates may also identify unique traits that are amenable to targeted treatments. Furthermore, as genetic features vary by anatomic site in the biliary tract, it is critical to accurately identify the anatomic origin of the tumor.

Conclusions

From 2001 to 2015 in the US, BTC incidence increased, with the greatest increase occurring in ICC. Hispanic and Asian/Pacifc Islanders generally had the highest incidence rates, while the greatest APC was found in Black individuals. Survival was low across all subtypes and races/ethnicities, with lowest survival observed amongst those with distant disease at diagnosis. The US BTC incidence and mortality patterns reported here underscore the serious and unmet need among patients with BTC overall, and for each subtype. With limited treatment options for BTC, insights into its epidemiology will inform healthcare providers to help patients access better care and enable the integration of novel therapies.

Abbreviations

APC: Annual percent change; ASR: Age-standardized rate; AVC: Ampulla of Vater cancer; BTC: Biliary tract cancer; CI: Confdence interval; ECC: Extrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma; FGFR: Fibroblast growth factor receptor; GBC: Gallbladder cancer; ICC: Intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma; IDH1: Isocitrate dehydrogenase 1; mOS: Median overall survival; mPFS: Median progressionfree survival; NOS: Not otherwise specifed; NPCR: National Program of Cancer Registries; SEER: Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results.

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12885-022-10286-z) [org/10.1186/s12885-022-10286-z.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12885-022-10286-z)

Additional fle 1: Supplementary Methods. Supplementary Table 1. Codes used for cancer classifcations. **Supplementary Table 2.** BTC incidence rate by race/ethnicity: 2001–2015. **Supplementary Table 3.** Annual percent change in BTC rates: 2001–2015. **Supplementary Table 4.** The 10-year prevalence of BTC overall and by anatomic site across diferent races/ethnicities in 2015. **Supplementary Table 5.** Five-year relative survival for patients with BTC overall and by anatomic site across diferent races/ethnicities. **Supplementary Table 6.** Percentage lost to follow-up by race/ethnicity and historic stage. **Supplementary Table 7.** Original and adjusted 5-year relative survival (%) after adjusting for higher lost-tofollow-up for the race-ethnicity minority groups. **Supplementary Fig. 1.** Comparison of APC by sex. **Supplementary Fig. 2.** Comparison of APC by race/ethnicity. **Supplementary Fig. 3.** Comparison of APC by age group. **Supplementary Fig. 4.** BTC incidence: overlapping & NOS. **Supplementary Fig. 5.** Sensitivity analysis of extrahepatic bile duct incidence. **Supplementary Fig. 6.** Sensitivity analysis of overlapping & NOS incidence. **Supplementary Fig. 7.** The 10-year prevalence of BTC by anatomic site and race/ethnicity in 2015. **Supplementary Fig. 8.** Mortality rate trends. **Supplementary Fig 9.** Mortality rate of BTC overall and by anatomic site in the United States: 2001–2015. **Supplementary Fig. 10.** Comparisons of relative survival between historical stages.

Additional fle 2. Original survival data for the Asian/Pacifc Islander population with distant BTC and the SEER*Stat Survival Session.

Acknowledgements

Medical writing support was provided by Lauren D. Van Wassenhove, PhD (Parexel, Hackensack, NJ), in accordance with Good Publication Practice (GPP 2022) guidelines and was funded by AstraZeneca. Editorial support was provided by Laura Park, MSc, CMC Connect, a division of IPG Health Medical Communications, in accordance with Good Publication Practice (GPP 2022) guidelines and was funded by AstraZeneca.

Authors' contributions

AstraZeneca conforms to the Good Publication Practice (GPP3) guidelines, the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors (ICMJE) Recommendations for Authorship, and the International Society of Medical Publication Professionals (ISMPP). All authors were involved in the study design, data analysis/interpretation, and drafting of the article. All authors have approved the submitted version of the manuscript. Conceptualization: RS, KB, JK. Data curation: BY. Formal analysis: SK, RS, BY. Funding acquisition: KB. Investigation: SK, RS, KB, JK. Methodology: SK, RS, KB, JK, BY. Project administration: SK. Software: BY. Supervision: SK, RS, KB, JK. Validation: RS. Visualization: RS, BY. Writing—original draft; Writing—review & editing: All authors.

Funding

This study was funded by AstraZeneca.

Availability of data and materials

The data that support the fndings of this study are available from the NPCR-SEER Program of the National Cancer Institute (NCI), but restrictions apply to the availability of these data, which were used under license for the current study. Data for this analysis are however available from the authors upon reasonable request and with permission of the NCI*.* Please contact the corresponding author for further information.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This is a secondary analysis of population-level data from the National Program of Cancer Registries-Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (NPCR-SEER) databases; thus, all data were anonymized before use, and ethics approval and patient consent to participate in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki were not required.

Consent for publication

Not applicable as this is a secondary analysis of population-level data from the NPCR-SEER databases.

Competing interests

J.K. declares that they have no competing interests. B.Y. and K.B. are employees and stockholders of AstraZeneca. S.M.K was an employee of AstraZeneca during the initial conceptual development of the study through the second draft of the manuscript, is currently an employee of Sanof, and is currently a stockholder of AstraZeneca and Sanof. R.T.S. serves as an advisory board member for Agios, Clovis, Debiopharm, Exelixis Pharmaceuticals, Incyte, Merck, QED, and Seattle Genetics, and has received research funding from Exelixis Pharmaceuticals, Merck, Pieris, Rafael Pharmaceuticals, and Taiho.

Author details

¹ Division of Cancer Epidemiology and Genetics, National Cancer Institute, Bethesda, MD, USA. ² Oncology Biometrics, AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals, Gaithersburg, MD, USA.³ Medical Affairs Epidemiology and Real-World Evidence, AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals, Gaithersburg, MD, USA. ⁴Global Medical Affairs, AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals, Gaithersburg, MD, USA. ⁵Division of Hematology and Oncology, University of Arizona Cancer Center, 1515 N. Campbell Ave, PO Box 245024, Tucson, AZ 85724-5024, USA.

Received: 23 March 2022 Accepted: 6 November 2022

References

- 1. Tariq NU, McNamara MG, Valle JW. Biliary Tract Cancers: Current Knowledge, Clinical Candidates And Future Challenges. Cancer Manag Res. 2019;11:2623–42.
- 2. American Cancer Society. Cancer Facts & Figures. 2022. [https://www.](https://www.cancer.org/content/dam/cancer-org/research/cancer-facts-and-statistics/annual-cancer-facts-and-figures/2022/2022-cancer-facts-and-figures.pdf) [cancer.org/content/dam/cancer-org/research/cancer-facts-and-stati](https://www.cancer.org/content/dam/cancer-org/research/cancer-facts-and-statistics/annual-cancer-facts-and-figures/2022/2022-cancer-facts-and-figures.pdf) [stics/annual-cancer-facts-and-fgures/2022/2022-cancer-facts-and-fgur](https://www.cancer.org/content/dam/cancer-org/research/cancer-facts-and-statistics/annual-cancer-facts-and-figures/2022/2022-cancer-facts-and-figures.pdf) [es.pdf](https://www.cancer.org/content/dam/cancer-org/research/cancer-facts-and-statistics/annual-cancer-facts-and-figures/2022/2022-cancer-facts-and-figures.pdf). Accessed 28 Oct 2022.
- 3. Nemunaitis JM, Brown-Glabeman U, Soares H, Belmonte J, Liem B, Nir I, Phuoc V, Gullapalli RR. Gallbladder Cancer: Review Of A Rare Orphan Gastrointestinal Cancer With A Focus On Populations Of New Mexico. BMC Cancer. 2018;18(1):665.
- 4. Van Dyke AL, Shiels MS, Jones GS, Pfeifer RM, Petrick JL, Beebe-Dimmer JL, Koshiol J. Biliary Tract Cancer Incidence And Trends In The United States By Demographic Group, 1999–2013. Cancer. 2019;125(9):1489–98.
- 5. Castro FA, Koshiol J, Hsing AW, Devesa SS. Biliary Tract Cancer Incidence In The United States-Demographic And Temporal Variations By Anatomic Site. Int J Cancer. 2013;133(7):1664–71.
- 6. Kirstein MM, Vogel A. Epidemiology And Risk Factors Of Cholangiocarcinoma. Visc Med. 2016;32(6):395–400.
- 7. Randi G, Franceschi S, La Vecchia C. Gallbladder Cancer Worldwide: Geographical Distribution And Risk Factors. Int J Cancer. 2006;118(7):1591–602.
- 8. Shroff RT, Kennedy EB, Bachini M, Bekaii-Saab T, Crane C, Edeline J, El-Khoueiry A, Feng M, Katz MHG, Primrose J, et al. Adjuvant Therapy For Resected Biliary Tract Cancer: ASCO Clinical Practice Guideline. J Clin Oncol. 2019;37(12):1015–27.
- 9. Abdel-Rahman O, Elsayed Z, Elhalawani H. Gemcitabine-Based Chemotherapy For Advanced Biliary Tract Carcinomas. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2018;4(4):CD011746.
- 10. Deleon TT, Ahn DH, Bogenberger JM, Anastasiadis PZ, Arora M, Ramanathan RK, Aqel BA, Vasmatzis G, Truty MJ, Oklu R, et al. Novel Targeted Therapy Strategies For Biliary Tract Cancers And Hepatocellular Carcinoma. Future Oncol. 2018;14(6):553–66.
- 11 Blair AB, Murphy A. Immunotherapy As A Treatment For Biliary Tract Cancers: A Review Of Approaches With An Eye To The Future. Curr Probl Cancer. 2018;42(1):49–58.
- 12. Alabraba E, Joshi H, Bird N, Grifn R, Sturgess R, Stern N, Sieberhagen C, Cross T, Camenzuli A, Davis R, et al. Increased Multimodality Treatment Options Has Improved Survival For Hepatocellular Carcinoma But Poor Survival For Biliary Tract Cancers Remains Unchanged. Eur J Surg Oncol. 2019;45(9):1660–7.
- 13. Goldaracena N, Gorgen A, Sapisochin G. Current Status Of Liver Transplantation For Cholangiocarcinoma. Liver Transpl. 2018;24(2):294–303.
- 14. Sahai V, Catalano PJ, Zalupski MM, Lubner SJ, Menge MR, Nimeiri HS, Munshi HG, Benson AB, 3rd, O'Dwyer PJ. Nab-Paclitaxel And Gemcitabine As First-Line Treatment Of Advanced Or Metastatic Cholangiocarcinoma: A Phase 2 Clinical Trial. JAMA Oncol. 2018;4(12):1707–12.
- 15 Valle JW, Lamarca A, Goyal L, Barriuso J, Zhu AX. New Horizons For Precision Medicine In Biliary Tract Cancers. Cancer Discov. 2017;7(9):943–62.
- 16. U.S. Food And Drug Administration. FDA Approves Durvalumab For Locally Advanced Or Metastatic Biliary Tract Cancer. 2022. [https://](https://www.fda.gov/drugs/resources-information-approved-drugs/fda-approves-durvalumab-locally-advanced-or-metastatic-biliary-tract-cancer) [www.fda.gov/drugs/resources-information-approved-drugs/fda-appro](https://www.fda.gov/drugs/resources-information-approved-drugs/fda-approves-durvalumab-locally-advanced-or-metastatic-biliary-tract-cancer) [ves-durvalumab-locally-advanced-or-metastatic-biliary-tract-cancer](https://www.fda.gov/drugs/resources-information-approved-drugs/fda-approves-durvalumab-locally-advanced-or-metastatic-biliary-tract-cancer). Accessed 28 Oct 2022.
- 17. Imfnzi (Durvalumab). Prescribing Information. AstraZeneca. 2022. [https://](https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2022/761069s035lbl.pdf) [www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2022/761069s035lbl.pdf.](https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2022/761069s035lbl.pdf) Accessed 1 Oct 2022.
- 18. Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Siegel RL, Torre LA, Jemal A. Global Cancer Statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN Estimates Of Incidence And Mortality Worldwide For 36 Cancers in 185 Countries. Ca Cancer J Clin. 2018;68(6):394–424.
- 19. Wang H, Yu B, Baria K. Biliary Tract Cancer (BTC) Epidemiology In The United States. Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf. 2020;29(Suppl 3):2469.
- 20. Torre LA, Sauer AM, Chen Ms, Jr, Kagawa-Singer M, Jemal A, Siegel RL. Cancer Statistics For Asian Americans, Native Hawaiians, And Pacifc Islanders, 2016: Converging Incidence In Males And Females. Ca Cancer J Clin. 2016;66(3):182–202.
- 21. Nakamura H, Arai Y, Totoki Y, Shirota T, Elzawahry A, Kato M, Hama N, Hosoda F, Urushidate T, Ohashi S, et al. Genomic Spectra Of Biliary Tract Cancer. Nat Genet. 2015;47(9):1003–10.
- 22. Cao J, Hu J, Liu S, Meric-Bernstam F, Abdel-Wahab R, Xu J, Li Q, Yan M, Feng Y, Lin J, et al. Intrahepatic Cholangiocarcinoma: Genomic Heterogeneity Between Eastern And Western Patients. JCO Precis Oncol. 2020;4:PO.18.00414.
- 23. Chakrabarti S, Kamgar M, Mahipal A. Targeted Therapies In Advanced Biliary Tract Cancer: An Evolving Paradigm. Cancers (Basel). 2020;12(8):2039.
- 24. Nepal C, Zhu B, O'Rourke CJ, Bhatt DK, Lee D, Song L, Wang D, Van Dyke A, Choo-Wosoba H, Liu Z, et al. Integrative Molecular Characterization Of Gallbladder Cancer Reveals Microenvironment-Associated Subtypes. J Hepatol. 2021;74(5):1132–44.
- 25. Torre LA, Siegel RL, Islami F, Bray F, Jemal A. Worldwide Burden Of And Trends In Mortality From Gallbladder And Other Biliary Tract Cancers. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2018;16(3):427–37.
- 26. Bertuccio P, Malvezzi M, Carioli G, Hashim D, Bofetta P, El-Serag HB, La Vecchia C, Negri E. Global Trends In Mortality From Intrahepatic And Extrahepatic Cholangiocarcinoma. J Hepatol. 2019;71(1):104–14.
- 27. National Program Of Cancer Registries And Surveillance, Epidemiology, And End Results SEER*Stat Database: NPCR And SEER Incidence – U.S. Cancer Statistics Public Use Research Database, Nov 2017 Submission (2001–2015), United States Department Of Health And Human Services, Centers For Disease Control And Prevention And National Cancer Institute. Released June 2018, Based On November 2017 Submissions. [www.](https://www.cdc.gov/cancer/public-use) [cdc.gov/cancer/public-use.](https://www.cdc.gov/cancer/public-use) Accessed 14 Sept 2020.
- 28. Surveillance, Epidemiology, And End Results (SEER) Program SEER*Stat Database: Incidence-Based Mortality - SEER 18 Regs (Excl Louisiana) Research Data, Nov 2017 Sub (2000–2015) <Katrina/Rita Population Adjustment> - Linked To County Attributes - Time Dependent (1990– 2019) Income/Rurality, 1969–2020 Counties, National Cancer Institute, DCCPS, Surveillance Research Program, Released April 2018, Based On The November 2017 Submission. www.seer.cancer.gov. Accessed 31 May 2022.
- 29. Surveillance, Epidemiology, And End Results (SEER) Program SEER*Stat Database: Incidence - SEER 18 Regs Research Data + Hurricane Katrina Impacted Louisiana Cases, Nov 2017 Sub (2000–2015) <Katrina/Rita Population Adjustment> - Linked To County Attributes - Time Dependent (1990–2019) Income/Rurality, 1969–2020 Counties, National Cancer Institute, DCCPS, Surveillance Research Program, Released April 2018, Based On The November 2017 Submission. [www.seer.cancer.gov.](https://www.seer.cancer.gov) Accessed 14 Sept 2020.
- 30. Centers For Disease Control And Prevention: National Program Of Cancer Registries (NPCR) - About The Program. 2018. [https://www.cdc.gov/](https://www.cdc.gov/cancer/npcr/about.html) [cancer/npcr/about.html.](https://www.cdc.gov/cancer/npcr/about.html) Accessed 20 Aug 2021.
- 31. Pinheiro PS, Morris CR, Liu L, Bungum TJ, Altekruse SF. The Impact Of Follow-Up Type And Missed Deaths On Population-Based Cancer Survival Studies For Hispanics And Asians. J Natl Cancer Inst Monogr. 2014;2014(49):210–7.
- 32. NIH National Cancer Institute. Surveillance Epidemiology And End Results Program: SEER*Stat Software. [www.seer.cancer.gov/seerstat.](https://www.seer.cancer.gov/seerstat) Accessed 12 Feb 2021.
- 33. NIH National Cancer Institute. Division Of Cancer Control & Population Sciences: Joinpoint Trend Analysis Software. [https://surveillance.cancer.](https://surveillance.cancer.gov/joinpoint/) [gov/joinpoint/.](https://surveillance.cancer.gov/joinpoint/) Accessed 10 Feb 2021.
- 34. Kim HJ, Fay MP, Feuer EJ, Midthune DN. Permutation Tests For Joinpoint Regression With Applications To Cancer Rates. Stat Med. 2000;19(3):335– 51. [Erratum In Stat Med 2001;2020(2004):2655].
- 35. Surveillance, Epidemiology, And End Results (SEER) Program SEER*Stat Database: Incidence - SEER Research Limited-Field Data, 21 Registries, Nov 2019 Sub (2000–2017) - Linked To County Attributes - Time Dependent (1990–2017) Income/Rurality, 1969–2018 Counties, National Cancer Institute, DCCPS, Surveillance Research Program, Released April 2020, Based On The November 2019 Submission. [www.seer.cancer.gov.](https://www.seer.cancer.gov) Accessed 12 Jan 2021.
- 36. Altekruse SF, Petrick JL, Rolin AI, Cuccinelli JE, Zou Z, Tatalovich Z, McGlynn KA. Geographic Variation Of Intrahepatic Cholangiocarcinoma, Extrahepatic Cholangiocarcinoma, And Hepatocellular Carcinoma In The United States. PLoS One. 2015;10(3):e0120574.
- 37. Randi G, Malvezzi M, Levi F, Ferlay J, Negri E, Franceschi S, La Vecchia C. Epidemiology Of Biliary Tract Cancers: An Update. Ann Oncol. 2009;20(1):146–59.
- 38. Pulte D, Weberpals J, Schröder CC, Emrich K, Holleczek B, Katalinic A, Luttmann S, Sirri E, Jansen L, Brenner H, et al. Survival Of Patients With Hepatobiliary Tract And Duodenal Cancer Sites In Germany And The United States In The Early 21st Century. Int J Cancer. 2018;143(2):324–32.
- 39 Weaver AJ, Staford R, Hale J, Denning D, Sanabria JR, GBD Collaborators. Geographical And Temporal Variation In The Incidence And Mortality Of Hepato-Pancreato-Biliary Primary Malignancies: 1990–2017. J Surg Res. 2020;245:89–98.
- 40. Saha SK, Zhu AX, Fuchs CS, Brooks GA. Forty-Year Trends In Cholangiocarcinoma Incidence In The U.S.: Intrahepatic Disease On The Rise. Oncologist. 2016;21(5):594–9.
- 41. Ellington TD, Momin B, Wilson RJ, Henley SJ, Wu M, Ryerson AB. Incidence And Mortality Of Cancers Of The Biliary Tract, Gallbladder, And Liver By Sex, Age, Race/Ethnicity, And Stage At Diagnosis: United States, 2013–2017. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2021;30(9):1607–14.
- 42. Li L, Gan Y, Li W, Wu C, Lu Z. Overweight, Obesity And The Risk Of Gallbladder And Extrahepatic Bile Duct Cancers: A Meta-Analysis Of Observational Studies. Obesity (Silver Spring). 2016;24(8):1786–802.
- 43. Jackson SS, Van Dyke AL, Zhu B, Pfeifer RM, Petrick JL, Adami HO, Albanes D, Andreotti G, Beane Freeman LE, Berrington De Gonzalez A, et al. Anthropometric Risk Factors For Cancers Of The Biliary Tract In The Biliary Tract Cancers Pooling Project. Cancer Res. 2019;79(15):3973–82.
- 44. Ruhl CE, Everhart JE. Fatty Liver Indices In The Multiethnic United States National Health And Nutrition Examination Survey. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2015;41(1):65–76.
- 45. Lee RM, Liu Y, Gamboa AC, Zaidi MY, Kooby DA, Shah MM, Cardona K, Russell MC, Maithel SK. Race, Ethnicity, And Socioeconomic Factors In Cholangiocarcinoma: What Is Driving Disparities In Receipt Of Treatment? J Surg Oncol. 2019;120(4):611–23.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional afliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- **•** fast, convenient online submission
- **•** thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- **•** gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- **•** maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

