

Reply to Fillmore et al.: Additional evidence of non–SARS-CoV-2 coronavirus cross-immunity

Matthew D. Solomon^{a,b,1}, Lawrence Steinman^{c,d}, and Vincent X. Liu^{a,e}

We are glad that our paper inspired Fillmore et al. (1) to report their findings about potential preexisting immunity to common non-SARS-CoV-2 human coronaviruses (HCoVs). Swadling et al. (2) have done extensive studies showing that the proteins involved in the replication of different coronaviruses are highly conserved. Swadling et al. (2) and others (3-6) reinforce the concept that some degree of existing immunity to common coronaviruses is protective to SARS-CoV-2. Our work on a large sample from Kaiser Permanente Northern California, where we care for >4.5 million persons, helps provide some epidemiologic evidence to help explain the molecular immunology (7). The papers fit together to provide an understanding of how populations of individuals gain robust immunity to this family of viruses. However, the findings of Fillmore et al. (1) do warrant a closer examination.

First, the authors examined only a 1-y period during the start of the COVID-19 pandemic to compare incidence rate ratios for the outcome of COVID-19 infection in those with positive HCoV test results vs. those with negative HCoV test results. In our opinion, COVID-19 infection rates are the least meaningful outcome related to the COVID-19 pandemic compared with measurements of COVID-19– related hospitalization, need for the intensive care unit, or mortality. The risk of COVID-19 infection depends upon many factors, including the extent of exposure (which was not measured), which may vary greatly between groups. In addition, the time at risk for patients in the HCoV-positive vs. -negative groups after an HCoV test result is not clarified. Without clarification, there might exist a bias in the results. For example, if those with positive results—of whom there were only 332 of a total of 58,263, 0.5% of all the patients with both SARS-CoV-2 and HCoV tests—had much less time at risk after their HCoV-positive test, this might skew the results.

In addition, the very low rate of HCoV test positivity among those tested is curious. Could it have been a result of the shelter-in-place phenomenon during the first year of the pandemic? Under this policy, social exposures to typical circulating viral illnesses were minimized. Finally, the analysis that compared more distant HCoV exposure 5 y prior to the study period with the risk of COVID-19 infection during the pandemic's first year requires more detail.

Overall, we appreciate the interest and findings by the authors and encourage them to elaborate further in more detailed analyses.

Author contributions: M.D.S., L.S., and V.X.L. designed research; M.D.S., L.S., and V.X.L. performed research; M.D.S., L.S., and V.X.L. analyzed data; and M.D.S. wrote the paper. The authors declare no competing interest.

Copyright © 2022 the Author(s). Published by PNAS. This article is distributed under Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CC BY).

¹To whom correspondence may be addressed. Email: Matthew.D.Solomon@kp.org.

Published November 7, 2022.

- 3. A. Grifoni et al., Targets of T cell responses to SARS-CoV-2 coronavirus in humans with COVID-19 disease and unexposed individuals. Cell 181, 1489–1501.e15 (2020).
- 4. K. W. Ng et al., Preexisting and de novo humoral immunity to SARS-CoV-2 in humans. Science 370, 1339-1343 (2020).
- 5. J. Mateus et al., Selective and cross-reactive SARS-CoV-2 T cell epitopes in unexposed humans. Science 370, 89-94 (2020).
- 6. J. Braun et al., SARS-CoV-2-reactive T cells in healthy donors and patients with COVID-19. Nature 587, 270–274 (2020).
- 7. M. D. Solomon et al., Risk of severe COVID-19 infection among adults with prior exposure to children. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 119, e2204141119 (2022).

Author affiliations: ^aDivision of Research, Kaiser Permanente Northern California, Oakland, CA 94611; ^bDepartment of Cardiology, Kaiser Oakland Medical Center, Oakland, CA 94611; ^cDepartment of Pediatrics, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305; ^dDepartment of Neurology and Neurological Sciences, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305; and ^cDepartment of Critical Care Medicine, Kaiser Santa Clara Medical Center, Santa Clara, CA 95051

^{1.} N. R. Fillmore et al., Recent common human coronavirus infection protects against SARS-CoV-2 infection: A VA cohort study. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., 10.1073/pnas.2213783119 (2022).

^{2.} L. Swadling et al.; COVIDsortium Investigators, Pre-existing polymerase-specific T cells expand in abortive seronegative SARS-CoV-2. Nature 601, 110–117 (2022).