## Outer Membrane Proteins as a Carrier for Detoxified Lipooligosaccharide Conjugate Vaccines for Nontypeable *Haemophilus influenzae*

TING-HUAI WU AND XIN-XING GU\*

*Laboratory of Immunology, National Institute on Deafness and Other Communication Disorders, Rockville, Maryland 20850*

Received 18 May 1999/Returned for modification 22 June 1999/Accepted 22 July 1999

**Nontypeable** *Haemophilus influenzae* **(NTHi) is a common cause of otitis media and respiratory tract infections. Outer membrane proteins (OMP) and lipooligosaccharide (LOS) are major surface antigens of NTHi and potential vaccine candidates. De-O-acylated LOS (dLOS) or oligosaccharide (OS) was coupled to total OMP to form dLOS-OMP and OS-OMP conjugates, while a dLOS-tetanus toxoid (TT) was synthesized for comparison. These conjugates were evaluated in mice and rabbits for immunogenicity. dLOS-OMP elicited a better boostable antibody response against LOS than did dLOS-TT, while OS-OMP was not immunogenic. Formulation of the conjugates with Ribi adjuvant significantly enhanced the immunogenicity of dLOS-OMP and dLOS-TT but not that of OS-OMP. In addition, rabbit antisera elicited by dLOS-OMP but not dLOS-TT (or OMP alone) demonstrated bactericidal activity against 40% of the NTHi strains tested. These results indicate that dLOS is a better derivative of LOS than OS and that OMP is a good carrier for NTHi LOS-based conjugate vaccines.**

Nontypeable *Haemophilus influenzae* (NTHi) is a common cause of otitis media (OM) and respiratory tract infections (6, 28). Unlike *H. influenzae* type b, for which a highly successful vaccine is available, there is no vaccine against NTHi-induced diseases. Efforts to develop NTHi vaccines have been focused on surface antigens such as outer membrane proteins (OMP), pili/fimbriae, and lipooligosaccharide (LOS) (3, 5, 9, 12, 27, 32). These antigens are believed to play an important role in the interaction of the bacteria with the hosts in vivo (23). OMP and LOS are two major surface antigens that are considered to be potential vaccine candidates because they induced bactericidal antibodies in humans (4, 8) and conferred protection against experimental NTHi OM in animals (12, 20), although both antigens showed antigenic variation among NTHi strains (26, 29).

Previously, two LOS-based protein conjugates were synthesized in our laboratory (15). The conjugates elicited anti-LOS antibodies with bactericidal activity against homologous strains and a large percentage of heterologous strains and conferred protection against experimental NTHi OM in chinchillas. To further improve the immunogenicity and biological activity of the LOS-based conjugate, total OMP were selected as an alternative carrier to explore whether a conjugate with two different surface components from NTHi would serve as a vaccine candidate offering broader and better protection against NTHi infections than either LOS or OMP alone. To investigate the feasibility of such an approach, two different modified LOSs, de-O-acylated LOS (dLOS) and oligosaccharide (OS), were used to covalently couple to the OMP to form dLOS-OMP and OS-OMP. As a control, dLOS-tetanus toxoid (TT) was also synthesized, and the immunological properties of these conjugates were investigated in vitro and in animals.

**Purification and characterization of OS, dLOS, and OMP from NTHi.** The conditions for the growth of strain 9274 were described previously (15). LOS was purified from strain 9274 by a modified phenol-water extraction method (14). Two approaches were used for the detoxification of the LOS which was hydrolyzed with acetic acid to produce OS (36) and with hydrazine to produce dLOS (16). The yield was approximately 50% for OS or 60% for dLOS. The purity of dLOS and OS was analyzed by sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) followed by silver staining (33). There was no detectable LOS in  $5 \mu g$  of dLOS or OS loaded on the gels, indicating that the residual LOS in dLOS or OS preparations was less than 1% compared with that of the LOS standard.

A published method (7) was used for the purification of OMP with modifications. Briefly, strain 12 was grown (15), suspended in 0.1 M Tris buffer (pH 8.5) containing 0.2 mM EDTA (TE), sheared with a blender for 10 min, sonicated with a Labsonic 1000 (B. Braun Biotech Inc., Allentown, Pa.) under conditions of circle for 0.3 s and output 100 for 10 min, and then centrifuged at  $120,000 \times g$  at 4°C for 3 h. The resulting pellets were dissolved in TE buffer, incubated at 37°C for 10 min, and purified by a Sephadex G-50 column (1.6 by 85 cm) eluted with 0.02 M Tris buffer (pH 8.5) containing 2 mM EDTA,  $1\%$  Na-deoxycholate, and  $0.01\%$  NaN<sub>3</sub>. A peak around the void volume was pooled and designated total OMP (or OMP). The yield of OMP preparation was 0.1 to 0.3% of the wet cell mass. The protein profile of OMP by SDS-PAGE is typical for gram-negative bacteria composed of approximately 20 proteins, 4 to 6 of which are major components (Fig. 1) (24). A major band with an apparent molecular mass of 37 kDa corresponding to P2 or porin (17) accounts for approximately 65% by density. The residual LOS in OMP was 1.4% (wt/wt) by SDS-PAGE and silver staining analysis.

**Synthesis and characterization of conjugates.** A method (13) for synthesizing OS-TT was tried first, but OMP was precipitated under acid conditions. Therefore, a method described by Verheul et al. (35) was adopted with modifications.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Mailing address: NIDCD, NIH, 5 Research Court, 2A31, Rockville, MD 20850. Phone: (301) 402-2581. Fax: (301) 402-4200. E-mail: guxx@nidcd.nih.gov.



FIG. 1. Coomassie blue-stained 12% gel of OMP from NTHi strain 12 after SDS-PAGE. Lane 1, molecular weight markers (in thousands); lane 2, OMP (22  $\mu$ g). Arrow indicates a molecular mass of 37 kDa.

Briefly, OS or dLOS (10 mg/ml) was coupled to cystamine by using 1-ethyl-3-(3-dimethylaminopropyl) carbodiimide and sulfo-NHS at pH 4.8. The modified OS or dLOS was incubated in 0.1 M  $\text{Na}_2\text{HPO}_4$  buffer (pH 8.1) containing 0.2 M dithiothreitol to generate free SH groups. After the bromoacetylation of OMP or TT with *N*-succinimidyl bromoacetae, the resulting OMP or TT was further conjugated to OS-SH or dLOS-SH in a ratio of 1 to 5 (wt/wt). After each reaction, three conjugates were synthesized and referred to as OS-OMP, dLOS-OMP, or dLOS-TT.

The compositions and yields of the conjugates were analyzed (Table 1). The weight ratios of OS or dLOS to OMP and dLOS to TT were 0.12, 0.58, and 1.6, respectively. The yields calculated from the modified OS or dLOS were 0.6, 2.1, and 12.6% for OS-OMP, dLOS-OMP, and dLOS-TT. The yield for OMP was approximately 50%. To determine the antigenicity of the conjugates in vitro, a double immunodiffusion assay was used (Fig. 2). dLOS-OMP, dLOS-TT, and LOS formed identical precipitation lines with two monoclonal antibodies against LOS (34), indicating that the conjugates retained the epitopes on LOS. OS and OS-OMP did not form precipitation lines.

**Immunization and antibody production.** Female mice (NIH/ Swiss), 5-week-old (10 mice per group), were subcutaneously injected with 5 mg of each conjugate (carbohydrate) alone or with Ribi-700 adjuvant. The mixtures of 5  $\mu$ g of dLOS and 8  $\mu$ g of OMP (or 5  $\mu$ g of TT), designated dLOS + OMP or dLOS + TT, were used as controls. The mice were given three injec-

TABLE 1. Composition and yield of conjugates

Conjugate		Amount $(\mu g/ml)$	Wt ratio of OS	Yield <sup>a</sup> $(\%)$
	OS or dLOS	Protein	or dLOS to protein	
OS-OMP	36	303	0.12	0.6
dLOS-OMP	132	228	0.58	2.1
$d$ LOS-TT	580	370	1.6	12.6

*<sup>a</sup>* Based on the starting amounts of modified OS or dLOS contained in conjugates as measured by the phenol-sulfuric acid method.

tions at 14-day intervals and bled 14 days after the first injection and 7 days after the second and third injections. Female New Zealand White rabbits weighing 2 to 3 kg (two per group) were given a total of two subcutaneous and intramuscular injections at 28-day intervals with 50  $\mu$ g of each conjugate alone or with the adjuvant and were bled before and 14 days after each injection. The mixtures of 50  $\mu$ g of dLOS and 80  $\mu$ g of OMP or 50 mg of TT were used as controls. Antibody levels in serum were detected by an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) and expressed as ELISA units (15) or titers (OMP).

In mice, the levels of anti-LOS antibodies elicited by OS-OMP did not increase after three injections (Table 2). However, dLOS-OMP and dLOS-TT elicited significant amounts of LOS antibodies, especially for immunoglobulin G (IgG).

TABLE 2. Murine antibody response to NTHi 9274 LOS elicited by conjugates

Immunogen	Injection	GM ( $\pm$ SD range) ELISA units <sup><i>a</i></sup> for:		
	no.	IgG	IgM	
OS-OMP	1 $\frac{2}{3}$	$2(1-4)$ $2(1-3)$ $1(1-2)$	$1(1-2)$ $2(1-5)$ $1(1-2)$	
$OS-OMP + adjuvant$	$\mathbf{1}$ $\frac{2}{3}$	$2(1-3)$ $3(2-7)$ $3(2-5)$	$2(1-5)^{+}$ $8(6-12)^{++}$ $7(4-12)$	
dLOS-OMP	$\mathbf{1}$ $\frac{2}{3}$	$6(3-10)^+$ $6(3-10)^+$ 269 (60-1,202) <sup>++</sup> 59 (23-148) <sup>++</sup> 417 (145–1,202)	$16(6-40)$	
$dLOS-OMP + adjuvant$	$\mathbf{1}$ $\frac{2}{3}$	$42(19-91)^{+}$ 27 $(10-76)^{+}$ 912 $(214-3,891)^{++}$ 132 $(38-475)^{++}$ $5,248$ $(1,514-18,197)$ $47$ $(14-155)$		
$dLOS + OMP$	$\mathbf{1}$ $\frac{2}{3}$	$2(1-3)^+$ 7 $(3-16)^{++}$ $5(2-11)$	$3(1-6)$ 7(5-12) $8(4-15)$	
dLOS-TT	1 $\overline{2}$ $\overline{3}$	$3(1-5)^{+}$ $16(4-71)^{++}$ $63(16-246)$	$^{1(1-2)^{+}}_{9(4-22)^{++}}$ $3(1-5)$	
$dLOS-TT + adjuvant$	1 $\mathbf{2}$ $\overline{3}$	$275(123 - 617)^+$ $1,122(447-2,818)^{++}$ 141 $(65-309)^{++}$ 3,020 (1,072-8,511) 178 (85-372)	$52(24-115)^+$	
$dLOS + TT$	1 $\overline{2}$ 3	$1(1-2)$ $2(1-4)$ $3(1-14)$	$1(1-2)$ $2(1-4)$ $2(1-3)$	

*<sup>a</sup>* Based on a reference serum against strain 9274 (2,400 or 800 U for IgG or IgM) and 9274 LOS as a coating antigen (10  $\mu$ g/ml). Presera were  $\leq$ 3 U for IgG and IgM. Symbols:  $+$  versus  $++$ ,  $P < 0.01$ . GM, geometric mean.



FIG. 2. Double immunodiffusion assay. The central wells contain mouse monoclonal antibodies against 9274 LOS (34). (A) 6245B4; (B) 6347C11. Wells: 1, LOS (1 mg/ml); 2, dLOS (1 mg/ml); 3, dLOS-TT (0.29 mg/ml) (carbohydrate content); 4, TT (0.37 mg/ml); 5, dLOS-OMP (carbohydrate content) (0.132 mg/ml); 6, OMP (0.228 mg/ml). Each well was loaded with a 10- $\mu$ l sample.

There was a 139-fold increase of anti-LOS IgG elicited by dLOS-OMP and a 21-fold increase of anti-LOS IgG elicited by dLOS-TT after three injections. dLOS-OMP elicited higher levels of LOS antibodies than dLOS-TT ( $P < 0.01$ ). Formulation of the conjugates with Ribi adjuvant enhanced the antibody response in both dLOS-OMP and dLOS-TT but not in the OS-OMP group. Since OS-OMP was not immunogenic in mice, only the other two conjugates were further tested for their immunogenicity in rabbits (Table 3). dLOS-OMP elicited a 115-fold increase of anti-LOS IgG, while dLOS-TT elicited a 27-fold increase after two injections. Formulation of both conjugates with Ribi adjuvant further enhanced the antibody response in rabbits.

All conjugates elicited significant anti-protein IgG with booster responses in mice (Table 4) and rabbits (Table 5) after two or three injections. Formulation of the conjugates with Ribi adjuvant enhanced their IgG antibody responses. Non-

TABLE 3. Rabbit antibody response to NTHi 9274 LOS elicited by conjugates

	Injection no.	$GM$ (range) of ELISA units <sup>a</sup> for:		
Immunogen		IgG	IgM	
dLOS-OMP	1	$4(1-27)$	$3(1-9)$	
	$\overline{c}$	115 (81–243)	38 (27–81)	
$dLOS-OMP + adjuvant$	1 $\overline{c}$	$9(1-81)$ $2(1-3)$ 246 (81–729)	$141(81-243)$	
$dLOS + OMP$	1	$2(1-3)$	1	
	$\overline{c}$	$5(3-9)$	1	
$d$ LOS-TT	1	$1(1-3)$	$2(1-3)$	
	$\overline{c}$	27	$5(3-9)$	
$dLOS-TT + adjuvant$	1	$5(3-9)$	$2(1-3)$	
	$\overline{c}$	141 (81–243)	$81(27-243)$	
$dLOS + TT$	1 $\overline{c}$	$2(1-3)$	$1(0.3-1)$ 1	

*<sup>a</sup>* Based on a reference serum against strain 9274 (720 or 25 U for IgG or IgM) and strain 9274 LOS as a coating antigen. Presera were  $\leq$ 1 U for IgG or IgM. GM, geometric mean.

conjugated OMP and TT elicited higher IgG antibody levels than those of the conjugates in rabbits but not in mice.

**Bactericidal activity (15).** Mouse antisera elicited by the conjugates were not bactericidal to strain 9274. Only one of four rabbit antisera to dLOS-TT showed a low level of bacte-





*<sup>a</sup>* Based on a reference serum against OMP (2,430 or 90 U for IgG or IgM) or TT (810 or 30 U for IgG or IgM). OMP (10  $\mu$ g/ml) or TT (5  $\mu$ g/ml) was used as a coating antigen. Presera were  $\leq 3$  U for each Ig. Symbols:  $*$  versus  $**$ ,  $P < 0.05$ ;  $+$  versus  $+$ ,  $P < 0.01$ . GM, geometric mean.





*<sup>a</sup>* Based on a reference serum against TT (720 or 80 U for IgG or IgM) and TT as a coating antigen. Presera were  $\leq 1$  U for IgG or IgM.  $b$  Due to the high OMP background of rabbit presera, titers are expressed a

dilution fold higher than those of presera. OMP was used as a coating antigen. GM, geometric mean.

ricidal activity against strain 9274 at a titer of 1:2. However, all four rabbit antisera to dLOS-OMP showed bactericidal activities against strain 9274 at titers of 1:2 to 1:64. Table 6 shows a comparison between bactericidal activities and levels of antibodies to LOS and OMP among six rabbit antisera elicited by dLOS-OMP or the mixture of dLOS and OMP. A linear regression analysis showed a correlation between LOS IgG (not IgM) levels and bactericidal titers against strain 9274 ( $r = 0.98$ ,  $P < 0.001$ ) or strain 12 ( $r = 0.81, P = 0.048$ ) and no correlation between OMP IgG or IgM levels and bactericidal titers against strain 9274 or strain 12 ( $P > 0.3$ ) among the rabbit antisera.

The bactericidal activities of the rabbit antisera (no. 2, 4, and 6) were further assayed with 20 strains from the United States and Japan (Table 7). The rabbit antisera elicited by dLOS-OMP alone or with adjuvant demonstrated bactericidal activities to 8 of 20 strains (40%), while the antiserum elicited by the mixture of dLOS and OMP or OMP with Ribi adjuvant showed bactericidal activities to 1 of 20 strains (5%) and 3 of 20 strains (15%), respectively.

**Summary.** The aim of this study was to investigate the effects of OMP as an alternative carrier of immunological properties of LOS-based conjugates. By the method of Verheul et al. (35), in which the reaction pH ranged from 6 to 8, dLOS and OS were coupled to OMP without precipitation. Although the yields for both saccharides were low, more dLOS than OS was bound to OMP. Immunological analysis revealed that OS-OMP was not immunogenic in mice even with Ribi adjuvant, consistent with the observation that NTHi OS-CRM<sub>197</sub> (a nontoxic mutant of diphtheria toxin) synthesized by reductive amination was a poor immunogen in mice (10). However, a meningococcal OS-TT conjugate was immunogenic in both mice and rabbits (13). The reason for the poor immunogenicity of the NTHi OS-based conjugates in mice is unclear. Besides the low ratio of OS to OMP (Table 1), one possible interpretation is that the removal of the whole lipid A portion may result in the relaxation of the conformation of the LOS molecule as well as the loss of its adjuvant effect (18).

The immunogenicities of dLOS-OMP and dLOS-TT were compared in mice and rabbits. Both conjugates induced boostable IgG antibody responses against LOS, and the antibody levels elicited by dLOS-OMP were considerably higher than those of dLOS-TT. A possible explanation for the fact that OMP is a better carrier is its mitogenic activity for lymphocytes (22) and stimulatory effect on macrophages (1). OMP may have adjuvant effects, since it is a part of a gram-negative bacterial cell wall including a minor amount of LOS, which has a variety of biological activities (19), such as an effective immunomodulating agent and adjuvant (18, 25).

To investigate the protective capacity of the conjugate-induced antisera, a bactericidal assay was performed with NTHi clinical strains. Rabbit antisera but not mouse antisera showed bactericidal activity, consistent with our previous observations with meningococcal LOS-derived OS-TT and NTHi dLOSprotein conjugates (13, 15). However, with *Moraxella catarrhalis* dLOS-protein conjugates, 20 to 45% of mouse antisera showed bactericidal activity (11). Many factors may result in the lack of bactericidal antibodies generated by the conjugates in mice. These factors include bacterial strains and species, sources of complement, antibody levels and affinities, and immunization routes. The bactericidal levels elicited by dLOS-OMP varied among rabbit antisera from each group but correlated with the levels of anti-LOS IgG and not the levels of anti-OMP. Two selected antisera elicited by dLOS-OMP also demonstrated bactericidal activities against 8 of 20 NTHi strains, while the antisera elicited by the mixture of  $dLOS +$ OMP or OMP showed bactericidal activities in 1 and 3 of 20 strains, respectively. These data indicate that LOS is the major

Antiserum <sup>a</sup>	Rabbit no.		Titers of anti- OMP IgG	Bactericidal titer <sup>b</sup>	
		Units of anti- LOS IgG		Strain 9274 (dLOS)	Strain 12 (OMP)
dLOS-OMP		81 243	270 810	1:2 1:8	<1:2 1:32
$dLOS-OMP + adjuvant$		81 729	810 2,430	1:2 1:64	<1:2 1:128
$dLOS + OMP$	<sub>b</sub>	9	2,430 7,290	1:2 $\leq 1:2$	1:16 1:64

TABLE 6. Correlation between levels of antibodies to conjugates and titers of bactericidal activities

" Correlation between levels of serum anti-LOS IgG and bactericidal titers to strain 9274 was significant  $(P < 0.01)$ .<br>"Highest dilution of antiserum killing >50% of bacteria compared with preserum-containing wells. Strain of OMP.

	Bactericidal titer					
Strain <sup>a</sup>	dLOS-OMP (no. 2)	dLOS-OMP with Ribi (no. 4)	$OMP +$ dLOS (no. 6)	OMP with Ribi		
F3	$\overline{b}$					
F <sub>4</sub>						
F <sub>5</sub>						
F7	1:8	1:16		$\overline{\phantom{0}}$		
F <sub>16</sub> HN						
F25				$\frac{1}{1}$		
10A						
16A						
18A						
22HA	1:8	1:32		1:16		
23	1:64	1:32		1:8		
25						
27	1:4	1:16		$\overline{\phantom{0}}$		
31				$\overline{\phantom{0}}$		
41	1:4	1:8		$\overline{\phantom{a}}$		
45						
46						
47	1:16	1:32	1:4	1:8		
51	1:8	1:8				
55	1:4	1:16				
Positive rate	$8/20(40\%)$	$8/20(40\%)$	1/20(5%)	3/20(15%)		

TABLE 7. Bactericidal activities of rabbit antisera elicited by dLOS-OMP or OMP

*<sup>a</sup>* Twenty clinical isolates from the United States (F3 through 22HA) and Japan (23 through 55).<br>*b* —, <1:4.

target of bactericidal antibody and that OMP and dLOS have synergetic effects on the dLOS-OMP conjugate. In addition, the adjuvant could enhance the level of bactericidal activity but could not expand the spectrum for dLOS-OMP.

In this study, dLOS-TT exhibited very little bactericidal activity and was not as immunogenic as dLOS-OMP. In contrast, a previously synthesized dLOS-TT (ADH) was very immunogenic (15), and the rabbit antisera demonstrated bactericidal activities against to 45 to 75% of the 20 strains described above, although the levels of bactericidal activities were lower than those of the rabbit sera elicited by dLOS-OMP (data not shown). The immunogenic differences between dLOS-TT and dLOS-TT (ADH) probably resulted from differences in two conjugation methods, different ratios of dLOS to TT (1.6 in dLOS-TT and 0.5 to 1 in dLOS-TT [ADH]), different coupling groups for TT (dLOS was coupled to amino groups of TT by the present method instead of carboxyl groups in dLOS-TT [ADH]), and different distances between dLOS and TT (approximately 15 Å at dLOS-TT and 20 Å at dLOS-TT [ADH]). It has been reported that, besides the size of the carbohydrates and the choice of the carrier protein (2, 21, 30, 31), the ratios of carbohydrate to protein, the use of spacers, the distance between carbohydrates and carrier proteins, and the methods of coupling have significant effects on the immunogenicity of conjugate vaccines. In conclusion, OMP is a good and alternative carrier for NTHi or other conjugate vaccines.

We thank M. A. Apicella, S. J. Barenkamp, H. Faden, and G. Mogi for providing strains.

## **REFERENCES**

1. **Ambrosino, D. M., D. Bolon, H. Collard, R. Van Etten, M. V. Kanchana, and R. W. Finberg.** 1992. Effect of *Haemophilus influenzae* polysaccharide outer membrane protein complex conjugate vaccine on macrophages. J. Immunol. **149:**3978–3983.

- 2. **Anderson, P. W., M. E. Pichichero, E. C. Stein, S. Porcelli, R. F. Betts, D. M. Onnuck, D. Korones, R. A. Insel, J. M. Zahradnik, and R. Eby.** 1989. Effect of oligosaccharide chain length, exposed terminal group, and hapten loading on the antibody response of human adults and infants to vaccines consisting of *Haemophilus influenzae* type b capsular antigen unterminally coupled to the diphtheria protein CRM197. J. Immunol. **142:**2464–2468.
- 3. **Barenkamp, S. J.** 1996. Immunization with high-molecular-weight adhesion proteins of nontypeable *Haemophilus influenzae* modifies experimental otitis media in chinchillas. Infect. Immun. **64:**1246–1251.
- 4. **Barenkamp, S. J., and F. F. Bordor.** 1990. Development of serum bactericidal activity following nontypeable *Haemophilus influenzae* acute otitis media. Pediatr. Infect. Dis. J. **9:**333–339.
- 5. **Brinton, C. C., Jr., M. J. Carter, D. B. Derber, S. Kar, J. A. Kramarik, A. C. C. To, S. C. M. To, and S. W. Wood.** 1989. Design and development of pilus vaccines for *Haemophilus influenzae* diseases. Pediatr. Infect. Dis. J. **8:**S54–S61.
- 6. **Coffey, J. D., H. N. Booth, and A. D. Martin.** 1996. Otitis media in the practice of pediatrics. Bacteriological and clinical observations. Pediatrics **38:**25–32.
- 7. **Frasch, C. E.** 1990. Production and control of *Neisseria meningitis* vaccines, p. 123. *In* A. Mizrahi (ed.), Bacterial vaccine, Alan R. Liss Inc., New York, N.Y.
- 8. **Gnehm, H. E., S. I. Pelton, S. Gulati, and P. A. Rice.** 1985. Characterization of antigens from nontypable *Haemophilus influenzae* recognized by human bactericidal antibodies. Role of *Haemophilus* outer membrane proteins. J. Clin. Investig. **75:**1645–1658.
- 9. **Green, B. A., J. E. Farley, T. Quinn-Dey, R. A. Deich, and G. W. Zlotnick.** 1991. The e (P4) membrane protein of *Haemophilus influenzae* biologic activity of anti-e serum and cloning and sequencing of the structural gene. Infect. Immun. **59:**3191–3198.
- 10. **Green, B. A., E. S. Meredith, L. Edwards, and K. F. Jones.** 1994. Nontypeable *Haemophilus influenzae* lipooligosaccharide conjugates as vaccine candidates against NTHi. Vaccine **94:**125–129.
- 11. **Gu, X. X., J. Chen, S. J. Barenkamp, J. B. Robbins, C. M. Tsai, D. J. Lim, and J. Battey.** 1998. Synthesis and characterization of lipooligosaccharidebased conjugates as vaccine candidates for *Moraxella* (*Branhamella*) *catarrhalis*. Infect. Immun. **66:**1891–1897.
- 12. **Gu, X. X., J. Sun, S. Jin, S. J. Barenkamp, D. J. Lim, J. B. Robbins, and J. Battey.** 1997. Detoxified lipooligosaccharide from nontypeable *Haemophilus influenzae* conjugated to proteins confers protection against otitis media in chinchillas. Infect. Immun. **65:**4488–4493.
- 13. **Gu, X. X., and C. M. Tsai.** 1993. Preparation, characterization, and immunogenicity of meningococcal lipooligosaccharide-derived oligosaccharide protein conjugates. Infect. Immun. **61:**1873–1880.
- 14. **Gu, X. X., C. M. Tsai, M. A. Apicella, and D. J. Lim.** 1995. Quantitation and biological properties of released and cell-bound lipooligosaccharide from nontypeable *Haemophilus influenzae*. Infect. Immun. **63:**4115–4120.
- 15. **Gu, X. X., C. M. Tsai, T. Ueyama, S. J. Barenkamp, J. B. Robbins, and D. J. Lim.** 1996. Synthesis, characterization, and immunologic properties of detoxified lipooligosaccharide from nontypeable *Haemophilus influenzae* conjugated to proteins. Infect. Immun. **64:**4047–4053.
- 16. **Gupta, R. K., S. C. Szu, R. A. Finkelstein, and J. B. Robbins.** 1992. Synthesis, characterization, and some immunological properties of conjugates composed of the detoxified lipopolysaccharides of *Vibro cholerae* O1 serotype Inaba bound to cholera toxin. Infect. Immun. **60:**3201–3208.
- 17. **Haase, E. M., K. Yi, G. D. Morse, and T. F. Murphy.** 1994. Mapping of bactericidal epitopes on the P2 porin protein of nontypeable *Haemophilus influenzae*. Infect. Immun. **62:**3712–3722.
- 18. **Hadjipetrou-Kourounakis, L., and E. Moller.** 1984. Adjuvants influence the immunoglobulin subclass distribution of immune responses *in vivo*. Scand. J. Immunol. **19:**219–225.
- 19. **Holst, O., A. J. Ulmer, H. Brade, H.-D. Flad, and E. T. Rietschel.** 1996. Minireview: biochemistry and cell biology of bacterial endotoxins. FEMS Immunol. Med. Microbiol. **16:**83–104.
- 20. **Karasic, R. B., C. E. Trumpp, H. E. Gnehm, P. A. Rice, and S. I. Pelton.** 1985. Modification of otitis media in chinchillas rechallenged with nontypeable *Haemophilus influenzae* and serological response to outer membrane antigens. J. Infect. Dis. **151:**273–279.
- 21. **Laferriere, C. A., R. K. Sood, J. M. de Muys, F. Michon, and H. J. Jennings.** 1997. The synthesis of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* polysaccharide-tetanus toxoid conjugates and the effect of chain length on immunogenicity. Vaccine **15:**179–186.
- 22. **Liu, M. A., A. Friedman, A. I. Oliff, J. Tai, D. Martinez, R. R. Deck, J. T. Shieh, T. D. Jenkins, J. J. Donnelly, and L. A. Hawe.** 1992. A vaccine carrier derived from *Neisseria meningitidis* with mitogenic activity for lymphocytes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **89:**4633–4637.
- 23. **Liu, V. C., and A. L. Smith.** 1992. Molecular mechanisms of HI pathogenicity. Antibiot. Chemother. **45:**30–51.
- 24. **Loeb, M. R., A. L. Zachary, and D. H. Smith.** 1981. Isolation and partial characterization of outer and inner membranes from encapsulated *Haemophilus influenzae* type b. J. Bacteriol. **145:**596–604.
- 25. **Louis, J. A., and P. H. Lambert.** 1979. Lipopolysaccharides: from immuno-

stimulation to autoimmunity. Springer Semin. Immunopathol. **2:**215–219.

- 26. **Murphy, T. F., and M. A. Apicella.** 1985. Antigenic heterogeneity of outer membrane proteins of nontypeable *Haemophilus influenzae* is a basis for a serotyping system. Infect. Immun. **50:**15–21.
- 27. **Murphy, T. F., L. C. Bartos, P. A. Rice, M. B. Nelson, K. C. Dudas, and M. A. Apicella.** 1986. Identification of a 16,600-dalton outer membrane protein on nontypeable *Haemophilus influenzae* as a target for human serum bactericidal antibody. J. Clin. Investig. **78:**1020–1027.
- 28. **Musher, D. M., K. R. Kubitshck, J. Crennaan, and R. E. Baughn.** 1983. Pneumonia and acute febrile tracheobronchitis due to *H. influenzae*. Annu. Infect. Med. **99:**344–350.
- 29. **Patrick, C. C., A. Kimura, M. A. Jackson, L. Hermanstorfer, A. Hood, G. H. McCracken, Jr., and E. J. Hansen.** 1987. Antigenic characterization of the oligosaccharide portion of the lipooligosaccharide of nontypeable *Haemophilus influenzae*. Infect. Immun. **55:**2902–2911.
- 30. **Peeters, C. C., A. M. Tenbergen-Meekes, D. E. Evenberg, J. T. Poolman, B. J. Zegers, and G. T. Rijkers.** 1991. A comparative study of the immunogenicity of pneumococcal type 4 polysaccharide and oligosaccharide tetanus toxoid conjugates in adult mice. J. Immunol. **146:**4308–4314.
- 31. **Seppala, I., and O. Makela.** 1989. Antigenicity of dextran-protein conjugates in mice. Effect of molecular weight of the carbohydrate and comparison of two modes of coupling. J. Immunol. **143:**1259–1264.

*Editor:* D. L. Burns

- 32. **Sirakova, T., P. E. Kolattukudy, D. Murwin, J. Billy, E. Leake, D. Lim, T. DeMaria, and L. O. Bakaletz.** 1994. Role of fimbriae expressed by nontypeable *Haemophilus influenzae* in pathogenesis of and protection against otitis media and relatedness of the fimbrin subunit to outer membrane protein A. Infect. Immun. **62:**2002–2020.
- 33. **Tsai, C. M., C. E. Frasch, E. Rivera, and H. D. Hochstein.** 1989. Measurements of lipopolysaccharide (endotoxin) in meningococcal protein and polysaccharide preparations for vaccine usage. J. Biol. Stand. **17:**249–258.
- 34. **Ueyama, T., X. X. Gu, C. M. Tsai, A. B. Karpas, and D. J. Lim.** 1999. Identification of common lipooligosaccharide types in isolates from patients with otitis media by monoclonal antibodies against nontypeable *Haemophilus influenzae* 9274. Clin. Diagn. Lab. Immunol. **6:**96–100.
- 35. **Verheul, A. F. M., G. J. P. H. Boons, G. A. Van der Marel, J. H. Van Boom, H. J. Jennings, H. Snippe, J. Verhoef, P. Hoogerhout, and J. T. Poolman.** 1991. Minimal oligosaccharide structures required for induction of immune responses against meningococcal immunotype L1, L2, and L3,7,9 lipopolysaccharides determined by using synthetic oligosaccharide-protein conjugates. Infect. Immun. **59:**3566–3573.
- 36. **Westphal, O., and K. Jann.** 1965. Bacterial lipopolysaccharide extraction with phenol:water and further application of the procedure. Methods Carbohydr. Chem. **5:**83–91.