



# *Article* **Electrophysiological and Behavioral Responses of** *Apis mellifera* **and** *Bombus terrestris* **to Melon Flower Volatiles**

**Jiangchao Zhang <sup>1</sup> [,](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7274-2300) Jinjia Liu <sup>1</sup> , Fei Gao <sup>1</sup> , Min Chen <sup>1</sup> , Yusuo Jiang <sup>1</sup> , Huiting Zhao <sup>2</sup> and Weihua Ma 3,\***

- <sup>1</sup> College of Animal Science, Shanxi Agricultural University, Taigu 030801, China<br><sup>2</sup> College of Life Sciences, Shanxi Agricultural University, Taigu 030801, China
- <sup>2</sup> College of Life Sciences, Shanxi Agricultural University, Taigu 030801, China<br><sup>3</sup> Callege of Unitedly as Chancil Agricultural University, Triguese 030021, China
- <sup>3</sup> College of Horticulture, Shanxi Agricultural University, Taiyuan 030031, China
- **\*** Correspondence: mawh1997@163.com

**Simple Summary:** Honeybees (*Apis mellifera*) and bumblebees (*Bombus terrestris*) are often used to pollinate melon flowers in facilities. The researchers identified the volatiles in male and female flowers of a common melon species (*Cucumis melo*) grown in facilities and measured the EAG and behavioral responses of honeybees and bumblebees when exposed to isolated volatiles from the melon flowers. These results provide basic data for the rational utilization of bees.

**Abstract:** As important pollinators, honeybees and bumblebees present a pollination behavior that is influenced by flower volatiles through the olfactory system. In this study, volatile compounds from melon flowers were isolated and identified by headspace solid-phase microextraction (HS-SPME) and gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (GC-MS), and their effects on *Apis mellifera* and *Bombus terrestris* were investigated by electroantennogram (EAG) and behavior tests (Y-tube olfactometer). The results showed that 77 volatile compounds were detected in melon flowers, among which the relative content of aldehydes was the highest (61.34%; 82.09%). *A. mellifera* showed a strong EAG response to e-2-hexenal, e-2-octenal, and 1-nonanal. *B. terrestris* showed a strong EAG response to e-2-hexenal, e-2-octenal, 2,5-dimethyl-benzaldehyde, benzaldehyde and benzenepropanal. In behavior tests, the volatiles with the highest attractive rate to A. mellifera were e-2-hexenal (200  $\mu$ g/ $\mu$ L, 33.33%) and e-2-octenal (300 µg/µL, 33.33%), and those to *B. terrestris* were e-2-hexenal (10 µg/µL, 53.33%) and 2,5-dimethyl-benzaldehyde (100  $\mu$ g/ $\mu$ L, 43.33%). E-2-hexenal and e-2-octenal were more attractive to *A. mellifera* than *B. terrestris*, respectively (10 µg/µL, 10 µg/µL, 200 µg/µL). In conclusion, the volatiles of melon flowers in facilities have certain effects on the electrophysiology and behavior of bees, which is expected to provide theoretical and technical support for the pollination of *A. mellifera* and *B. terrestris* in facilities.

**Keywords:** *Apis mellifera*; *Bombus terrestris*; flower volatiles; electroantennography (EAG); olfactory behavior; melon

### **1. Introduction**

Honeybees and bumblebees are regarded as the ideal pollinators in the world, playing an important role in improving ecological economic benefits and protecting the balance of the ecosystem [\[1–](#page-16-0)[3\]](#page-16-1). They pollinate fruit and vegetable crops and play an important role in increasing yields and incomes [\[4](#page-16-2)[–6\]](#page-16-3).

Plant flowers regulate the interaction between pollinators and plants by releasing volatiles. Pollinators can identify volatiles of flowers according to their nutrition and reproductive needs, judge distance and location, and conduct pollen collection [\[7,](#page-16-4)[8\]](#page-16-5). The volatile compounds of flowers have important effects on pollinator searching behavior [\[9,](#page-16-6)[10\]](#page-16-7). Pollinators and flowering plants interact [\[11\]](#page-16-8). Pollinator collection relies not only on vision but also on olfactory signals. It has been found that pollinator visitors rely more on olfactory signals when visiting flowers [\[12,](#page-16-9)[13\]](#page-17-0). Therefore, flower volatiles play a key role in the interaction between pollinators and plants.



**Citation:** Zhang, J.; Liu, J.; Gao, F.; Chen, M.; Jiang, Y.; Zhao, H.; Ma, W. Electrophysiological and Behavioral Responses of *Apis mellifera* and *Bombus terrestris* to Melon Flower Volatiles. *Insects* **2022**, *13*, 973. [https://doi.org/10.3390/](https://doi.org/10.3390/insects13110973) [insects13110973](https://doi.org/10.3390/insects13110973)

Received: 9 September 2022 Accepted: 21 October 2022 Published: 22 October 2022

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license [\(https://](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [creativecommons.org/licenses/by/](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)  $4.0/$ ).

Melon, *Cucumis melon* (*Cucurbitaceae*), is monoecious and cross-pollinated. Facility agriculture can shorten the production cycle and meet the demand for out-of-season fruits. Honeybees and bumblebees are important pollinators of facility crops.

In this study, solid-phase microextraction (SPME) and gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (GC-MS) were used to determine the volatiles in melon flowers [\[14\]](#page-17-1), and the volatile components with a strong tendency were screened out by electroantennogram (EAG) techniques and behavior tests, and the response of *Apis mellifera* and *Bombus terrestris* to volatiles was observed. The aim was to select suitable pollinators for melon pollination and use volatile regulation to improve the pollination effect. Moreover, studying the effects of flower volatiles on *A. mellifera* and *B. terrestris* provides more scientific guidance for crop pollination.

### **2. Materials and Methods**

#### *2.1. Insects*

Honeybees (*A. mellifera ligustica* Spin.) used in the experiment came from the apiary of the College of Animal Science and Technology of Shanxi Agricultural University. Bumblebees (*B. terrestris*) were purchased from Hebei Hengshui WoFeng Biotechnology Company. Bees were collected for the EAG recording and behavioral tests at 9–10 am at the entrance of the hive by using two tweezers to capture those with obvious pollen on their legs. They were placed in a wooden box and supplied with a 50% sugar solution.

#### *2.2. Plants*

The tested melon, Xing-tian melon, a local variety, was grown in greenhouses at Dongshandi Village base, Taigu County, Jinzhong City, Shanxi Province. Male and female melon flowers were collected and sent to Qingdao Kechuang Quality Testing Co., Ltd. (Qingdao, China) for volatile content determination.

#### *2.3. Identification of Volatile Compounds*

The collected flower was weighed 2 g and placed in a 20 mL headspace bottle, sealed with 3 mL saturated NaCl solution (Manufacturer: Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China), balanced at 80 °C for 30 min, and extracted with an extraction needle (Model: 100 µL PDMS fiber tip; Manufacturer: Supelco, Bellefonte, PA, USA) for 30 min. After the extraction, the extraction needle was desorbed at the injection port for 5 min.

Gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (GC-MS) (Model: 6890N-5975B; Manufacturer: Agilent Technologies Spain, S.L., Madrid, Spain) was used for qualitative and semiquantitative analysis. The GC was equipped with a HP-5MS elastic quartz capillary column (30 m  $\times$  0.25 mm  $\times$  0.25 µm). Helium (Purity  $\geq$  99.999%) was used as the carrier gas at a constant flow rate of 1.0 mL/min. The inlet temperature was 240  $^{\circ}$ C, without split injection. The oven temperature was kept at 45 °C for 5 min, then it was raised to 130 °C at a rate of 6 °C/min, finally increased to 240 °C at a rate of 10 °C/min, and maintained isothermally for 8 min. For MS conditions, an electron impact (EI) ionization system was used at 70 eV in full-scan acquisition mode with a mass range of 40–450 U. The temperature of the interface was 280 °C; the temperature of the ion source was 230 °C [\[15\]](#page-17-2).

Volatile compound analysis and identification were conducted using a GCMS-QP2010 Plus with Nist 107 and Wiley 229 mass spectral libraries. After removing impurities, combined with the manual retrieval of qualitative analysis components, the total ion graph peak area normalization method was used for quantitative analysis, using the equation as follows:

Area (%) = area of single compound peak  $\times$  100/area of total compound peak

#### *2.4. EAG Recording*

The standard compounds for EAG recording were determined according to the identification results of volatile compounds in female and male flowers of melon. The standard compounds for EAG recording are shown in Table [1.](#page-2-0)

<span id="page-2-0"></span>



The antennae of *A. mellifera* and *B. terrestris* were stimulated by volatiles, and antennae potentiometers (Ockenfels Syntech GMBH, Buchenbach, Germany) were used to measure potential changes to illustrate the sensitivity of antennae to volatiles.

A pair of bee antennas were cut with a surgical blade and connected to an electrode with conductive adhesive (SpectraR360). The electrode was connected to a DC/AC amplifier (Syntech IDAC-4), and the output end of the amplifier signal was connected to a computer. The filter paper (3 cm  $\times$  1.5 cm) was folded into a "V" shape, 10  $\mu$ L of the sample to be measured was dropped onto the filter paper and put into the sample tube, and the end of the sample tube was connected with the odor stimulation control device. The antennae potentiometer stimulus gas controller (Syntech CS-55) sent out two test air streams blowing together to the separated antennae: one was a continuous air stream directly blown out after filtration and humidification, and the other was a stream carrying the pungent odor of the sample. The airflow port and antennae were vertical, about 9 mm apart. The stimulated flow was 40 mL/min and the continuous flow was 500 mL/min.

With liquid paraffin as the solvent, the standard compound was prepared into 6 concentration gradients of 10, 100, 200, 300, 400, and 500  $\mu$ g/ $\mu$ L to be tested, and the test was carried out with paraffin as control. Each antenna was alternately tested by liquid paraffin and sample, with the sample concentration from low to high. The antennae were replaced after one repeat of each sample, and the test was repeated 3 times in total. To prevent the antennae from being stimulated by the sample, bees should be kept away from the sample before each cutting of the antennae. The response of bees to volatiles was

expressed by EAG relative response value (means  $\pm$  standard error). The EAG relative response values (rEAG) are calculated as follows:

rEAG (
$$
\%
$$
) = (EAG(X) – EAG(std))/EAG(std)

where  $EAG(X)$  is the amplitude (mV) of the EAG response to a compound and  $EAG(std)$  is the amplitude (mV) of the EAG response to the reference liquid paraffin of each recording session.

#### *2.5. Behavior Tests*

Based on EAG determination, the samples that could induce a strong reaction of the antennae of *A. mellifera* and *B. terrestris* were selected as the test compounds for olfactory behavior response (Table [1\)](#page-2-0). The concentration gradient of the compounds to be measured is the same as that at the EAG recording.

Behavior experiments were carried out using a Y-tube olfactometer (Nanjing Possum Instrument Co., Ltd., Nanjing, Jiangsu, China) in a dark room. The tests were conducted between 08:00 and 12:00 am. The sequence of gas passing through the device was as follows: air pump (Beijing Kean Labor Bao New Technology Company, Beijing, China) → activated carbon drying tube  $\rightarrow$  distilled water humidifying bottle  $\rightarrow$  gas flow meter  $\rightarrow$  volatile matter flavor source bottle  $\rightarrow$  Y-tube olfactometer. The devices were connected by silica gel tubes. Then 10 µL samples and liquid paraffin (control) were added to two pieces of filter paper prepared in advance (3  $\times$  1.5 cm<sup>2</sup>), and the two pieces of filter paper were placed in the flavor source bottle as the odor source, and the gas flow rate was 200 mL/min. The air pump was turned on for about 10 s to fill the Y-tube olfactometer with gas. The bees were then placed in the middle of the Y-tube olfactometer, and when the bees were familiar with the environment around the Y-tube olfactometer, the air pump was turned on again to observe the bees' selection of smell and record it.

The evaluation conditions of bees' odor selection were as follows: the observation time of each collecting bee was at least 10 min, and if the collecting bee entered the odor bottle or stayed in the front 1/3 area of the odor bottle for more than 4 min, it was regarded as the selection of samples in the odor bottle. Samples and paraffin in the vials should be replaced every time they are used (5 honeybees per test). The test was repeated for 3 groups with 10 honeybees in each group. After testing two honeybees, the olfactory machine was reversed or replaced to eliminate interference. After one sample was tested, the odor bottle and olfactory apparatus were cleaned with 75% ethanol and distilled water to eliminate residual odors for future use.

The results of behavioral tests were expressed by the repellent rate and attractive rate. The following equation is used to calculate the attractive rate and the repellent rate:

Attractive Rate (%) = total number of bees in treatment arm/total number of bees tested  $\times$  100%

Repellent Rate (%) = total number of bees in control arm/total number of bees tested  $\times$  100%

#### *2.6. Statistical Analysis*

EAG relative response values (rEAG), attractive rate, and repellent rate were calculated by the formula as described in the Method section. The results of EAG tests were analyzed by one-way ANOVA, Fisher's protected least-significant-difference (LSD) multiple-comparison procedure was used to analyze the EAG response of *A. mellifera* and *B. terrestris* to volatiles at different concentrations. Independent sample t-test was used to analyze the difference in EAG response among different volatiles at the same concentration and different bee species. The results of behavioral tests were analyzed by the chi-square test. SPSS21 was used for data analysis, and Excel and GraphPad5.0 were used for drawing.

## *3.1. Identification of Volatile Compounds*

The results of volatile compound identification showed that 77 volatiles were detected in the female and male flowers of melon, including aldehyde, alkane, ether, alkyne, alkene, ester, alcohol, aromatics, ketone, and others (Table [2\)](#page-4-0). There were similarities and differences in the types and contents of volatiles in flowers.

<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Table 2.** Volatile compounds of female and male flowers.





### **Table 2.** *Cont.*



**Table 2.** *Cont.*

The volatiles of female melon flowers contained 39 compounds in 9 groups. There were 9 aldehydes (61.34%), 5 alkanes (28.08%), 7 ketones (4.75%), 5 aromatics (1.82%), 4 esters  $(1.59\%)$ , 4 alcohols  $(0.61\%)$ , 1 alkene  $(0.27\%)$ , 2 alkynes  $(0.21\%)$ , and 2 other compounds (1.35%). The volatiles of male melon flowers contained 52 compounds in 10 groups. There were 13 aldehydes (82.09%), 3 alkanes (5.69%), 9 ketones (3.51%), 9 esters (3.48%), 7 aromatics (2.72%), 6 alcohols (0.92%), 1 alkyne (0.85%), 1 alkene (0.38%), 1 ether (0.12%), and 2 other compounds (0.24%) (Table [2,](#page-4-0) Figure [1\)](#page-6-0).

<span id="page-6-0"></span>



Fifteen compounds were detected in both female and male flowers of melon. The female and male flowers, respectively. Benzaldehyde had the highest relative content in both female and male flowers of mel[on](#page-6-0) (48.83% and 74.39%, respectively) (Table 3, Figure 1). relative contents of these volatiles accounted for 64.87% and 83.02% of the total volatiles in

# rEAG of *A. mellifera* to 3-phenyl-2-propenal and e-2-decenal at high concentrations were 3.2. EAG Response of Bees to Volatiles<br>2.2.4. The C.P. phthalate and heptadecane at low concentrations were significantly higher than that at 3.2.1. EAG Response of *A. mellifera*

*A. mellifera* had different rEAG of the same volatiles in different concentrations. The rEAG of *A. mellifera* to 3-phenyl-2-propenal and e-2-decenal at high concentrations were<br>rights the higher than the other than concentrations (*n* 5.0.05). The rEAG of dimethed  $\alpha$  phthalate and heptadecane at low concentrations were significantly higher than that at phthalate and heptadecane at low concentrations were significantly higher than that at high concentrations ( $p < 0.05$ ). The rEAG of benzaldehyde and e-2-hexenal showed an increasing trend and then decreased with the increase in the concentration. For 1-nonanal and phytol, their rEAG increased with the increase in the concentration and reached the  $\text{maximum (Table A1)}.$ significantly higher than that at low concentrations ( $p < 0.05$ ). The rEAG of dimethyl

For *A. mellifera*, there were some volatiles with high rEAG, including 1-nonanal, e-2-hexenal, e-2-octenal, and benzaldehyde. The rEAG of *A. mellifera* to 1-nonanal was the highest among all the concentrations. At the concentration of 10  $\mu$ g/ $\mu$ L, the rEAG of 1-nonanal was significantly higher than that of phytol ( $p < 0.05$ ). At the concentration of 100  $\mu$ g/ $\mu$ L to 300  $\mu$ g/ $\mu$ L, the rEAG of 1-nonanal was significantly higher than that of e-2-hexenal and benzaldehyde (*p* < 0.05). (Table [A1\)](#page-15-0).

<span id="page-7-0"></span>**Table 3.** Both female and male melon flowers contain volatile compounds.



#### 3.2.2. EAG Response of *B. terrestris*

*B. terrestris* had different rEAGs of the same volatiles in different concentrations. The rEAGs of 2,5-dimethyl-benzaldehyde, 1,2-dimethoxy-4-(1-propenyl)-benzene, and dodecanal at high concentrations were significantly higher than those at low concentrations (*p* < 0.05). The rEAG of 1-nonanal increased with the increase in the concentration and reached the maximum when the concentration was  $400 \mu g / \mu L$  (Table [A2\)](#page-16-10).

For *B. terrestris*, there were some volatiles with higher rEAG, including benzaldehyde, e-2-octenal, e-2-hexenal, and benzenepropanal. At the concentration of 10  $\mu$ g/ $\mu$ L, benzaldehyde was significantly higher than that of dimethyl phthalate ( $p < 0.05$ ). At the concentration of 100 and 500  $\mu$ g/ $\mu$ L, the rEAG of e-2-octenal was significantly higher than those of benzenepropanal and e-2-hexenal ( $p < 0.05$ ). At the concentration of 200  $\mu$ g/ $\mu$ L to  $400 \mu g/\mu L$ , the rEAG of e-2-octenal was significantly higher than that of benzenepropanal (*p* < 0.05) (Table [A2\)](#page-16-10).

#### 3.2.3. Comparison of EAG responses between *A. mellifera* and *B. terrestris*

When bees were stimulated with the same concentration of volatiles, the rEAG of *B. terrestris* to e-2-octenal, benzenepropanal, hexadecane, 2,5-dimethyl-benzaldehyde, benzaldehyde, dimethyl phthalate, dodecanal, and decanal were higher than those of *A. mellifera*. However, the rEAGs of *A. mellifera* to 1-nonanal, 3-nonen-2-one, nonadecane, phytol, 1,2-dimethoxy-4-(1-propenyl)-benzene, and 1,2-benzene dicarboxylic acid, butyl octyl ester were higher than those of *B. terrestris* (Figures [2](#page-9-0) and [3\)](#page-10-0). The concentration of

volatiles that caused the strong EAG reaction was mainly concentrated at  $500 \mu g/\mu L$  in *A. mellifera*, but at 400 µg/µL in *B. terrestris*.

The rEAG of *A. mellifera* to 1-nonanal was the highest among all the concentrations. At the concentration of 10  $\mu$ g/ $\mu$ L, the rEAG of *B. terrestris* to 3-phenyl-2-propenal was significantly higher than that of *A. mellifera*. At the concentration of 300  $\mu$ g/ $\mu$ L, the rEAG of *B. terrestris* to 2,5-dimethyl-benzaldehyde was significantly higher than that of *A. mellifera*. At the concentration of 500  $\mu$ g/ $\mu$ L, the rEAG of *B. terrestris* to dodecanal was significantly higher than that of *A. mellifera*. For other compounds, there were no significant differences between the two bee species (Figure [4\)](#page-11-0).

#### *3.3. Behavior Tests*

#### 3.3.1. Behavior Tests of *A. mellifera*

According to the EAG response test results of *A. mellifera*, three compounds with higher relative reaction values of EAG were selected for the behavior test: e-2-hexenal, e-2-octenal, and 1-nonanal.

At the concentration of 200  $\mu$ g/ $\mu$ L, the attractive rate of e-2-hexenal to *A. mellifera* (33.33%) was higher than the repellent rate (30.00%). In the concentration of e-2-octenal at 10 µg/µL, 200 µg/µL, 400 µg/µL, and 500 µg/µL, *A. mellifera* preferred liquid paraffin (*p* < 0.05). Compared with 1-nonanal, *A. mellifera* preferred liquid paraffin (*p* < 0.05), but there was no significant difference at 10  $\mu$ g/ $\mu$ L ( $p > 0.05$ ). At the same concentration of different volatiles, from 10 to 500  $\mu$ g/ $\mu$ L, the volatiles with the highest attractive rate to honeybees were: 1-nonanal (26.67%), e-2-hexenal and e-2-octenal (26.67%), e-2-hexenal (33.33%), e-2-octenal (33.33%), e-2-hexenal (26.67%), and e-2-hexenal (26.67%) (Figure [5\)](#page-11-1).

#### 3.3.2. Behavior Tests of *B. terrestris*

According to the EAG response test results of *B. terrestris*, five compounds with higher relative reaction values of EAG were selected for the behavior test: e-2-hexenal, e-2-octenal, benzaldehyde, 2,5-dimethyl-benzaldehyde, and benzenepropanal.

At the concentration of 10 µg/µL, the attractive rates of the five volatiles to *B. terrestris* were not significant, and the attractive rates of e-2-hexenal and 2,5-dimethyl-benzaldehyde were higher than the repellent rates ( $p > 0.05$ ). At the concentration of 200 to 500  $\mu$ g/ $\mu$ L, the repellent rate of e-2-hexenal was significantly higher than the attractive rate  $(p < 0.05)$ . At 100  $\mu$ g/ $\mu$ L and 400  $\mu$ g/ $\mu$ L, *B. terrestris* preferred liquid paraffin to e-2-octenal (*p* < 0.05), while other concentrations were not significant. At the concentration of 100 to 500  $\mu$ g/ $\mu$ L, *B. terrestris* preferred liquid paraffin to benzaldehyde ( $p < 0.05$ ). At 400  $\mu$ g/ $\mu$ L, benzenepropanal was not as popular as liquid paraffin (*p* < 0.05). Compared with 2,5-dimethylbenzaldehyde, *B. terrestris* preferred liquid paraffin (*p* > 0.05). At the same concentration of different volatiles, from 10 to 500  $\mu$ g/ $\mu$ L, the volatiles with the highest attractive rate to honeybees were: e-2-hexenal (53.33%), 2,5-dimethyl-benzaldehyde (43.33%), e-2-octenal (36.67%), 2,5-dimethyl-benzaldehyde and e-2-octenal (33.33%), 2,5-dimethyl-benzaldehyde  $(36.67%)$ , and 2,5-dimethyl-benzaldehyde  $(40%)$  (Figure [6\)](#page-11-2).

<span id="page-9-0"></span>

**Figure 2.** Comparison of EAG responses between *A. mellifera* and *B. terrestris*. The rEAGs of *B. terrestris* higher than those of *A. mellifera*: (**a**) 2,5-dimethyl-benzaldehyde, (**b**) e-2-octenal, (**c**) benzenepropanal, (**d**) benzaldehyde, (**e**) decanal, (**f**) dimethyl phthalate, (**g**) dodecanal, (**h**) hexadecane.

<span id="page-10-0"></span>

Figure 3. Comparison of EAG responses between A. mellifera and B. terrestris. The rEAGs of A. mellifera are higher than those of *B. terrestris*: (a) 3-nonen-2-one, (b) 1,2-benzene dicarboxylic acid, butyl octyl ester, (c) nonadecane, (**d**) 1,2-dimethoxy-4-(1-propenyl)-benzene, (**e**) 1-nonanal, (**f**) phytol.

<span id="page-11-0"></span>

<span id="page-11-1"></span>Figure 4. Comparison of EAG responses between A. mellifera and B. terrestris to 3-phenlyl-2-propenal, 2,5-dimethyl-benzaldehyde, and dodecanal. "\*" means significant difference ( $p < 0.05$ ).



<span id="page-11-2"></span>Figure 5. Behavior tests of A. mellifera. "\*" means significant difference ( $p < 0.05$ ), "\*\*" means  $\frac{1}{2}$  and 3.68 section of 2.68 section and 2.68 section and 2,5-dimension ( $\frac{1}{2}$  extremely significant difference ( $p < 0.01$ ). **igure 5.** Behavior tests of A. mellitera. "\*" means significant difference ( $p < 0.05$ ), "\*\*" means



**according to the EAG response test response test response test response test response test in the compound with**  $\mathbf{p} \in \mathbf{0}$ **.** extremely significant difference ( $p < 0.01$ ). **Figure 6.** Behavior tests of *B. terrestris.* "\*" means significant difference ( $p < 0.05$ ), "\*\*" means

#### <span id="page-12-0"></span>3.3.3. Comparison of Behavior Tests between *A. mellifera* and *B. terrestris*

Both e-2-hexenal and e-2-octenal were used in behavior tests of *A. mellifera* and *B. terrestris*. The attractive rate of e-2-hexenal to *B. terrestris* at 10 µg/µL (53.3%) was higher than that of *A. mellifera* (23.3%), but at other concentrations, *A. mellifera* was higher. The maximum attractive rate of e-2-hexenal to *B. terrestris* was at a low concentration of 10  $\mu$ g/ $\mu$ L, but to *A. mellifera* was found at 200  $\mu$ g/ $\mu$ L (Figure [7a](#page-12-0)). E-2-octenal was more attractive to *B. terrestris* than *A. mellifera* at concentrations of 10 μg/μL and 200 μg/μL. E-2-octenal had the maximum attractiveness to *B. terrestris* at 200 µg/µL and *A. mellifera* at 300 µg/µL (Figure [7b](#page-12-0)).



Figure 7. The attractive rate of compounds to A. mellifera and B. terrestris. (a) e-2-hexenal; (**b**) e-2-octenal.

# **4. Discussion 4. Discussion**

and color of flowers [\[16\]](#page-17-3). Floral fragrances are secondary metabolites released by flowering plants and are composed of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) mixed in varying proportions [17]. Volatiles released by flowers can influence the pollination behavior of pollinators such as bees [10,18–20]. The flower smells mainly include fragrant and malodorous types; however, both of these can help pollinate plants. For example, honeybees and butterflies can identify floral aromas to find reward food, and fetid odors will attract flies or beetles  $[8,18,20-22]$  $[8,18,20-22]$  $[8,18,20-22]$  $[8,18,20-22]$ . In the nature, pollinators are attracted or shunted by factors such as the scent, shape,

# 4.1. Identification of Volatile Compounds from Melon Flowers

and GC-MS. A total of 77 compounds in 10 groups were detected in the female and male flowers of melon: ethers, alkynes, alkanes, alkenes, esters, aldehydes, alcohols, aromatics, ketones, and others. Among these volatiles, aldehydes, such as benzaldehyde and e-2-hexenal, had the most kinds and the highest relative content. Aldehydes are ubiquitous in flowering plants and play an important role in helping insects locate plants,<br>used the constructed attractive melling ton 521. The valative contrate the meld shock in  $h$  female and male melon flowers was the highest  $(48.83\%)$ ;  $74.39\%$ ). Benzaldehyde is a common volatile organic compound and is the main component of flower fragrance in several crop plants [\[24\]](#page-17-9), with an extremely complex chemical structure and a special aroma, mostly found in plant stems, leaves, and seeds. Fernandes identified both male and hermaphrodite flowers of five commercial types of melon *Cucumis melo* (Cantaloupe, Charentais, Galia, Piel de Sapo, and Yellow) and found only 1–2 aldehydes, of which benzaldehyde was identified only in Cantaloupe and Galia, and benzaldehyde was the most abundant among all volatiles in Cantaloupe hermaphrodite flowers [\[25\]](#page-17-10). The contents<br>Life of the characteristic state in Cantaloupe hermaphrodite flowers [25]. The contents and types of volatile compounds in melon flowers of these varieties are different, which In this study, the volatiles of male and female melon flowers were analyzed by SPME avoiding pests, and attracting pollinators [\[23\]](#page-17-8). The relative content of benzaldehyde in

may be due to the genotypes, or environmental factors such as temperature and humidity, soil, water conditions, analysis conditions of volatile compounds, and precision of GC-MS instruments [\[26\]](#page-17-11).

### *4.2. EAG Response of Bees to Volatiles*

Examination of the EAG responses of *A. mellifera* and *B. terrestris* to the volatiles of male and female melon flowers showed that all the volatiles could induce electrophysiological responses of both species in different concentrations.

*A. mellifera* showed a strong EAG reaction to e-2-hexenal, e-2-octenal and 1-nonanal. *B. terrestris* showed a strong EAG reaction to benzaldehyde, e-2-hexenal, e-2-octenal, 2,5 dimethyl-benzaldehyde and benzenepropanal. In previous studies, 1-nonanal induced a strong EAG response to *A. mellifera* and *B. terrestris* [\[27–](#page-17-12)[29\]](#page-17-13). In addition, 1-nonanal also causes EAG reactions in many insects, e.g., *D.helophoroides*, *A. glabripennis, B. horsfieldi*, and female adults of *Cydia molesta* [\[30](#page-17-14)[–33\]](#page-17-15). E-2-octenal can induce a strong EAG response in *Tessaratoma papillosa* (Drury) adults [\[34\]](#page-17-16). Benzaldehyde can cause a strong EAG reaction in female adults of *Cydia molesta* [\[33\]](#page-17-15). E-2-hexenal can cause a strong EAG reaction in female *Bactrocera dorsalis* [\[35\]](#page-17-17). These results suggest that aldehydes, as volatile compounds of aromatics odors, may play a key role in insect olfactory recognition and selection.

The olfactory system of *A. mellifera* and *B. terrestris* is sensitive, and they can show different responses to different concentrations of the same volatiles. The level of odor concentration has a great influence on the electrophysiological responses of the two bee species. In this experiment, the electrophysiological responses of the two bee species to the volatiles of melon flowers showed different trends. The rEAG of *A. mellifera* to benzaldehyde, e-2-hexenal and e-2-octenal decreased with the increase in the concentration. The relative EAG response of *A. mellifera* and *B. terrestris* to 1-nonanal increased with the increase in the concentration. The recognition of odor by *A. mellifera* and *B. terrestris* would be affected by the concentration of volatile substances, possibly because the electrophysiological response of *A. mellifera* and *B. terrestris* has a certain threshold [\[36\]](#page-17-18). The optimal response concentration of *A. mellifera* and *B. terrestris* to different volatiles is different.

*A. mellifera* and *B. terrestris* on the same concentration of different volatiles have different EAG responses, possibly because of the different physical properties of volatiles, such as the different volatilization rate, which leads to the different time required for antennae to receive chemical signals. It is also possible that honeybees differ in their sensitivity to these volatiles [\[37\]](#page-17-19), such as honeybees being more sensitive to 1-nonanal than other odors [\[27\]](#page-17-12).

When the antennae of bees were stimulated by the same volatiles, the EAG response induced by some volatiles was stronger in *B. terrestris* than in *A. mellifera*, while others were just the opposite. This is possibly caused by the difference in the physiological structure of smell and smell sensitivity between *A. mellifera* and *B. terrestris* [\[37,](#page-17-19)[38\]](#page-17-20).

#### *4.3. Behavior Tests*

Behavior tests with the volatiles which could induce the strong EAG response of *A. mellifera* and *B. terrestris* showed the reaction of *A. mellifera* and *B. terrestris* to different concentrations of volatiles and showed different degrees of attraction and repellency.

The EAG response of *A. mellifera* to e-2-hexenal was strongest at 200  $\mu$ g/ $\mu$ L, and the attractive rate (33.33%) was higher than the repellent rate (30.00%) ( $p > 0.05$ ). The EAG responses of *A. mellifera* to e-2-octenal and 1-nonanal were the highest at  $400 \mu g / \mu L$ , but the attractive rate to liquid paraffin was higher. In the behavior test of *B. terrestris*, at the concentration of 10  $\mu$ g/ $\mu$ L, the attractive rate of e-2-hexenal and 2,5-dimethyl-benzaldehyde was higher than the repellent rate (*p* > 0.05). However, the EAG responses to e-2-hexenal and 2,5-dimethyl-benzaldehyde were the strongest at 100  $\mu$ g/ $\mu$ L and 300  $\mu$ g/ $\mu$ L, respectively. The electrophysiological response is not always presented in the behavioral response, and the phenomenon of inconsistency between the EAG results of antennae and the behavioral response often appears in the study of insect olfactory behavior [\[39](#page-17-21)[–41\]](#page-18-0). It is possible

that monomer compounds cannot cause directional reactions, and the combined effects of various odor volatiles should be considered [\[42](#page-18-1)[,43\]](#page-18-2). The EAG response of all neurons in the antenna to the compound was measured by the potentiometer, and the response of the sensors in the antenna to the compound was measured by a monocesthesia recorder. Compared with the former, the EAG response values measured by the monocesthesia recorder were more similar to the results of the behavioral experiment [\[44\]](#page-18-3). The behavior selection of volatiles by insects is affected by many factors, such as environmental factors and their physiological conditions, rather than by a single active substance, which may be the main reason for the different results.

The attractive rate of e-2-hexenal to *B. terrestris* (53.3%) at 10  $\mu$ g/ $\mu$ L was higher than that of *A. mellifera* (23.3%), but at other concentrations, the attractive rate to *A. mellifera* was higher. It suggests that the concentration of volatiles could affect the selection behavior of bees. The highest attractive rate of e-2-hexenal to *B. terrestris* was at the low concentration of 10  $\mu$ g/ $\mu$ L, but to *A. mellifera* was found at 200  $\mu$ g/ $\mu$ L. E-2-octenal had the maximum attraction to *B. terrestris* at 200 µg/µL and *A. mellifera* at 300 µg/µL. This may be caused by the difference in odor sensitivity between *A. mellifera* and *B. terrestris* [\[37\]](#page-17-19). Different volatiles or different volatile concentration can affect the behavior selection of bees, which is speculated to be one of the reasons why bees have a preference for different flowers. At the same time, different bee species have different preferences for flowers, which may also result in different bee species' ability to collect the same crops. It was found that the appropriate concentration of 2,5-dimethyl-benzaldehyde and e-2-hexenal could attract *A. mellifera* and *B. terrestris*.

Insects are closely related to the volatiles of flowers, studies have shown that honeybees are attracted to flowers by flowers' color and size, honeybees' olfactory responses, and food rewards [\[45](#page-18-4)[,46\]](#page-18-5). Insects may respond to visual stimuli or olfactory stimuli [\[12](#page-16-9)[,47](#page-18-6)[,48\]](#page-18-7), while others may respond to both [\[49,](#page-18-8)[50\]](#page-18-9), e.g., honeybees. Moreover, odors were more attractive to honeybees than visual cues [\[12](#page-16-9)[,51\]](#page-18-10). In our experiments, we collected and identified plant volatiles, of which we selected monomers and a variety of compounds to conduct electrophysiological and behavioral experiments, then screened out monomers with strong electrophysiological activity and mixed compounds in different proportions. However, since an EAG test can determine whether a single compound has reaction activity to insects and the optimal concentration range, which can reduce the range for the behavioral test and reduce blindness. Only a single compound was used to measure the EAG and behavioral responses of *A. mellifera* and *B. terrestris*. Moreover, the indoor behavioral environment is different from the natural environment. Therefore, compounds should be mixed in different proportions in the future to verify the pollination of *A. mellifera* and *B. terrestris* under greenhouse conditions, and to provide theoretical and technical support for the pollination of *A. mellifera* and *B. terrestris* in the facility cultivation of melon.

#### **5. Conclusions**

Floral fragrances play an important role in the pollination process of honeybees. Thirty-nine compounds (9 groups) and fifty-two compounds (10 groups) were isolated and identified from female and male melon flowers, respectively, among which aldehydes accounted for the most. Different concentrations of volatiles could induce EAG responses of *A. mellifera* and *B. terrestris*. *A. mellifera* showed strong EAG response to e-2-hexenal, e-2-octenal, and 1-nonanal. *B. terrestris* showed a strong EAG reaction to e-2-hexenal, e-2-octenal, benzaldehyde, 2,5-dimethyl-benzaldehyde, and benzenepropanal. In behavior tests, it was found that the appropriate concentration of e-2-hexenal and 2,5-dimethylbenzaldehyde could attract *A. mellifera* and *B. terrestris*.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, J.Z. and J.L.; methodology, W.M., J.Z., and Y.J.; software, J.Z.; validation, J.Z.; formal analysis, F.G. and H.Z.; investigation, M.C.; resources, M.C.; data curation, F.G. and J.Z.; writing—original draft preparation, J.Z.; writing—review and editing, H.Z.; visualization, J.L. and J.Z.; supervision, W.M. and H.Z.; project administration, Y.J.; funding acquisition, W.M. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This work was supported by China Agriculture Research System (Honeybee) (NO: CARS-44-KXJ22) and Shanxi Basic Research Program (NO: 202103021224150).

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** Not applicable.

**Data Availability Statement:** All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this article.

**Acknowledgments:** We thank Shen Jinshan and Li Lixin for helping to collect samples.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### **Appendix A**

<span id="page-15-0"></span>**Table A1.** The relative EAG response values of *Apis mellifera*.



Data in the table are mean  $\pm$  SE. Lowercase letters mean the significance between different volatiles at the same concentration (same column), and uppercase letters mean the significance between the same volatiles at different concentrations (same row)  $(p < 0.05)$ .

	<b>Relative EAG Response Values (rEAG)</b>					
Compounds	$10 \mu g / \mu L$	$100 \mu g / \mu L$	$200 \mu g/\mu L$	$300 \mu g/\mu L$	$400 \mu g/\mu L$	$500 \mu g/\mu L$
heptadecane	$0.30 \pm 0.09$ Ac	$0.17 + 0.04$ Ae	$0.35 + 0.12$ Ac	$0.38 + 0.17$ Acd	$0.42 + 0.22$ Ac	$0.14 + 0.02$ Ac
3-phenyl-2- propenal	$0.54 + 0.03$ Abc	$0.48 \pm 0.17$ Ae	$0.42 \pm 0.26$ Ac	$0.43 + 0.16$ Acd	$0.58 \pm 0.16$ Ac	$0.54 + 0.21$ Abc
hexadecane	$0.41 \pm 0.21$ Abc	$0.21 \pm 0.09$ Ae	$0.26 \pm 0.09$ Ac	$0.32 \pm 0.12$ Acd	$0.37 \pm 0.22$ Ac	$0.54 \pm 0.29$ Abc
2,5-dimethyl- benzaldehvde	$0.30 \pm 0.18$ Bc	$1.73 \pm 0.63$ ABbcde	$2.35 \pm 0.94$ ABbc	$2.50 \pm 0.50$ Abcd	$2.50 \pm 0.86$ Abc	$2.18 \pm 0.77$ ABbc
dimethyl phthalate	$0.89 \pm 0.21$ Abc	$0.56 \pm 0.25$ Acde	$0.64 \pm 0.32$ Ac	$0.67 \pm 0.29$ Acd	$0.44 \pm 0.15$ Ac	$0.65 \pm 0.29$ Abc
hexadecanoic acid, methyl ester	$0.46 \pm 0.15$ Abc	$0.25 \pm 0.06$ Ae	$0.33 \pm 0.12$ Ac	$0.30 \pm 0.10$ Acd	$0.13 \pm 0.03$ Ac	$0.34 \pm 0.11$ Abc
benzaldehyde e-2-hexenal benzenepropanal benzeneacetaldehyde	$3.00 \pm 1.99$ Aa $0.78 \pm 0.38$ Abc $0.88 \pm 0.51$ Abc $0.84 \pm 0.18$ Abc	$2.83 \pm 2.07$ Abcd $2.85 \pm 1.13$ Abc $3.16 \pm 1.72$ Ab $0.54 \pm 0.21$ Ade	$3.58 \pm 2.69$ Ab $2.41 \pm 1.13$ Abc $2.81 \pm 1.66$ Abc $0.38 \pm 0.19$ Ac	$4.04 \pm 2.77$ Ab $2.63 \pm 1.24$ Abcd $3.06 \pm 1.72$ Abc $0.32 \pm 0.06$ Acd	$3.71 \pm 2.90$ Aab $2.70 \pm 1.28$ Abc $2.88 \pm 1.69$ Abc $1.11 \pm 0.62$ Abc	$1.56 \pm 1.26$ Abc $2.78 \pm 1.25$ Ab $2.32 \pm 1.29$ Abc $0.57 \pm 0.06$ Abc
1-nonanal $1,3-bis(1,1-$	$0.77 \pm 0.46$ Abc	$1.40 \pm 1.09$ Abcde	$1.44 \pm 1.23$ Abc	$1.80 \pm 1.49$ Abcd	$1.98 \pm 1.45$ Abc	$1.97 \pm 1.44$ Abc
dimethylethyl)- benzene	$0.37 \pm 0.09$ Abc	$0.21 \pm 0.09$ Ae	$0.50 \pm 0.24$ Ac	$0.63 \pm 0.08$ Acd	$0.50 \pm 0.09$ Ac	$0.59 \pm 0.10$ Abc
trans-ß-ionone 3-nonen-2-one	$0.57 \pm 0.29$ Abc $0.48 \pm 0.13$ Abc	$0.32 \pm 0.14$ Ae $0.26 \pm 0.08$ Ae	$0.52 \pm 0.22$ Ac $0.31 \pm 0.12$ Ac	$0.19 \pm 0.11$ Acd $0.26 \pm 0.11$ Acd	$0.35 \pm 0.12$ Ac $0.45 \pm 0.09$ Ac	$0.28 \pm 0.09$ Abc $0.46 \pm 0.19$ Abc
nonadecane $2,2,4$ -trimethyl-1,3-	$0.44 \pm 0.16$ Abc	$0.26 \pm 0.04$ Ae	$0.22 \pm 0.08$ Ac	$0.33\pm0.20$ Acd	$0.26 \pm 0.05$ Ac	$0.15 \pm 0.08$ Ac
pentanediol diisobutyrate	$0.36 \pm 0.15$ Ac	$0.48 \pm 0.26$ Ae	$0.36 \pm 0.12$ Ac	$0.46 \pm 0.10$ Acd	$0.45 \pm 0.04$ Ac	$0.22 \pm 0.13$ Ac
e-2-decenal	$0.37 \pm 0.05$ Abc	$0.95 \pm 0.42$ Abcde	$1.11 \pm 0.59$ Abc	$1.20 \pm 0.56$ Abcd	$1.41 \pm 0.47$ Abc	$1.36 \pm 0.62$ Abc
hexadecanoic acid, ethyl ester	$0.42 \pm 0.08$ Abc	$0.62 \pm 0.12$ Acde	$0.35 \pm 0.22$ Ac	$0.22 \pm 0.11$ Acd	$0.36 \pm 0.11$ Ac	$0.30 \pm 0.16$ Abc
e-2-octenal decanal phytol $1.2 -$	$1.75 \pm 0.81$ Aab $0.69 \pm 0.33$ Abc $0.31 \pm 0.15$ Ac	$6.02 + 2.17$ Aa $0.80 \pm 0.45$ Acde $0.24 \pm 0.15$ Ae	$6.92 \pm 2.70$ Aa $0.83 \pm 0.37$ Ac $0.27 \pm 0.11$ Ac	$7.03 + 2.96$ Aa $1.66 \pm 0.83$ Abcd $0.17 \pm 0.04$ Ad	$5.91 \pm 2.78$ Aa $0.73 \pm 0.39$ Ac $0.54 \pm 0.28$ Ac	$7.33 + 3.19$ Aa $1.44 \pm 0.41$ Abc $0.28 \pm 0.08$ Abc
benzenedicarboxylic acid, butyl octyl ester	$0.41 \pm 0.19$ bAc	$0.30 \pm 0.11$ Ae	$0.38 \pm 0.13$ Ac	$0.30 \pm 0.20$ Acd	$0.50 \pm 0.23$ Ac	$0.71 \pm 0.35$ Abc
dodecanal 1,2-dimethoxy-4-	$0.18 \pm 0.14$ Bc	$0.88 \pm 0.57$ ABbcde	$0.96 \pm 0.40$ ABbc	$1.20 \pm 0.49$ ABbcd	$1.46 \pm 0.74$ ABbc	$1.78 \pm 0.61$ Abc
$(1$ -propenyl $)$ - benzene	$0.30 \pm 0.09$ Bc	$0.22 \pm 0.12$ Be	$0.34 \pm 0.19$ ABc	$0.55 + 0.09$ ABcd	$0.66 \pm 0.20$ Ac	$0.43 \pm 0.09$ ABbc

<span id="page-16-10"></span>**Table A2.** The relative EAG response values of *Bombus terrestris*.

Data in the table are mean  $\pm$  SE. Lowercase letters mean the significance between different volatiles at the same concentration (same column), and uppercase letters mean the significance between the same volatiles at different concentrations (same row)  $(p < 0.05)$ .

#### **References**

- <span id="page-16-0"></span>1. Gallai, N.; Salles, J.M.; Settele, J.; Vaissière, B.E. Economic valuation of the vulnerability of world agriculture confronted with pollinator decline. *Ecol. Econ.* **2009**, *68*, 810–821. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2008.06.014)
- 2. Jung, C.E. Economic value of honeybee pollination on major fruit and vegetable crops in Korea. *J. Apic.* **2008**, *23*, 147–152.
- <span id="page-16-1"></span>3. Williams, P.H.; Osborne, J.L. Bombus vulnerability and conservation world-wide. *Apidologie* **2009**, *40*, 367–387. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1051/apido/2009025)
- <span id="page-16-2"></span>4. Aizen, M.A.; Aguiar, S.; Biesmeijer, J.C.; Garibaldi, L.A.; Inouye, D.W.; Jung, C.; Martins, D.J.; Medel, R.; Morales, C.L.; Ngo, H.; et al. Global agricultural productivity is threatened by increasing pollinator dependence without a parallel increase in crop diversification. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* **2019**, *25*, 3516–3527. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14736) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31293015)
- 5. Wahengbam, J.; Raut, A.M.; Satinder, P.; Najitha Banu, A. Role of bumble bee in pollination. *Ann. Biol.* **2019**, *35*, 290–295.
- <span id="page-16-3"></span>6. Sajid, Z.; Ramzan, M.; Akhtar, N. A review: Foraging behavior and pollination ecology of bumblebee and honey bee in pakistan. *J. Innov. Sci.* **2020**, *6*, 126–131. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.17582/journal.jis/2020/6.2.126.131)
- <span id="page-16-4"></span>7. Brown, M.; Brow, M.J.F. Nectar preferences in male bumblebees. *Insectes Sociaux* **2020**, *67*, 221–228. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1007/s00040-020-00751-y)
- <span id="page-16-5"></span>8. Howell, A.D.; Alarcón, R. *Osmia* bees (Hymenoptera: Megachilidae) can detect nectar-rewarding flowers using olfactory cues. *Anim. Behav.* **2007**, *74*, 199–205. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.anbehav.2006.11.012)
- <span id="page-16-6"></span>9. Fan, J.; Zhang, W.; Zhang, D.; Wang, G.; Cao, F. Flowering Stage and Daytime Affect Scent Emission of *Malus ioensis* "Prairie Rose". *Molecules* **2019**, *24*, 2356. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3390/molecules24132356)
- <span id="page-16-7"></span>10. Dötterl, S.; Vereecken, N.J. The chemical ecology and evolution of bee flower interactions: A review and perspectives. *Can. J. Zool.* **2010**, *88*, 668–697. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1139/Z10-031)
- <span id="page-16-8"></span>11. Wakamura, S.; Arakaki, N.; Moriyama, D.; Kanayama, S.; Oike, M.; Kimura, A.; Wajima, S.; Ono, H.; Yasui, H. Does the orchid *Luisia teres* attract its male chafer pollinators (Scarabaeidae: *Protaetia pryeri pryeri*) by sexual deception? *Chemoecology* **2020**, *30*, 49–57. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1007/s00049-019-00297-x)
- <span id="page-16-9"></span>12. Dötterl, S.; Milchreit, K.; Schäffler, I. Behavioural plasticity and sex differences in host finding of a specialized bee species. *J. Comp. Physiol. A* **2011**, *197*, 1119–1126. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1007/s00359-011-0673-2) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21904915)
- <span id="page-17-0"></span>13. Ma, W.; Long, D.; Wang, Y.; Li, X.; Huang, J.; Shen, J.; Su, W.; Jiang, Y.; Li, J. Electrophysiological and behavioral responses of Asian and European honeybees to pear flower volatiles. *J. Asia Pac. Entomol.* **2021**, *24*, 221–228. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.aspen.2020.12.011)
- <span id="page-17-1"></span>14. Būda, V.; Radžiutė, S.; Apšegaitė, V.; Blažytė-Čereškienė, L.; Čepulytė, R.; Bumbulytė, G.; Mozūraitis, R. Electroantennographic and behavioural responses of European cherry fruit fly, *Rhagoletis cerasi*, to the volatile organic compounds from sour cherry, *Prunus cerasus*, fruit. *Insects* **2022**, *13*, 114. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3390/insects13020114)
- <span id="page-17-2"></span>15. Akar, Z. Chemical compositions by using LC-MS/MS and GC-MS and antioxidant activities of methanolic extracts from leaf and flower parts of *Scabiosa columbaria* subsp. *columbaria* var. *columbaria* L. *Saudi J. Biol. Sci.* **2021**, *28*, 6639–6644. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/j.sjbs.2021.07.039)
- <span id="page-17-3"></span>16. Parachnowitsch, A.L.; Kessler, A. Pollinators exert natural selection on flower size and floral display in Penstemon digitalis. *New Phytol.* **2010**, *188*, 393–402. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2010.03410.x)
- <span id="page-17-4"></span>17. Moghbeli Gharaei, A.; Ziaaddini, M.; Frérot, B.; Nejad Ebrahimi, S.; Jalali, M.A.; Reddy, G.V. Identification and evaluation of four cucurbitaceous host plant volatiles attractive to *Diaphania indica* (Saunders) (Lep.: Pyralidae). *Chemoecology* **2020**, *30*, 173–182. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1007/s00049-020-00308-2)
- <span id="page-17-5"></span>18. Schiestl, F.P. The evolution of floral scent and insect chemical communication. *Ecol. Lett.* **2010**, *13*, 643–656. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2010.01451.x)
- 19. Andersson, S.; Dobson, H.E. Behavioral foraging responses by the butterfly *Heliconius melpomene* to *Lanatana camara* floral scent. *Chem. Ecol.* **2003**, *29*, 2303–2318. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1023/A:1026226514968)
- <span id="page-17-6"></span>20. Wright, G.A.; Schiestl, F.P. The evolution of floral scent: The influence of olfactory learning by insect pollinators on the honest signalling of floral rewards. *Funct. Ecol.* **2009**, *23*, 841–851. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2435.2009.01627.x)
- 21. Goulson, D.; Chapman, J.W.; Hughes, W.O.H. Discrimination of unrewarding flowers by bees; direct detection of rewards and use of repellent scent marks. *Insect Behav.* **2001**, *14*, 669–678. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1023/A:1012231419067)
- <span id="page-17-7"></span>22. Majetic, C.J.; Raguso, R.A.; Ashman, T.L. The sweet smell of success: Floral scent affects pollinator attraction and seed fitness in *Hesperis matronalis*. *Funct. Ecol.* **2009**, *23*, 480–487. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2435.2008.01517.x)
- <span id="page-17-8"></span>23. Dudareva, N.; Pichersky, E.; Gershenzon, J. Biochemistry of Plant Volatiles. *Plant Physiol.* **2004**, *135*, 1893–1902. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1104/pp.104.049981) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15326281)
- <span id="page-17-9"></span>24. Farré-Armengol, G.; Fernández-Martínez, M.; Filella, I.; Junker, R.R.; Peñuelas, J. Deciphering the biotic and climatic factors that influence floral scents: A systematic review of floral volatile emissions. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2020**, *11*, 1154. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2020.01154)
- <span id="page-17-10"></span>25. Fernandes, N.D.S.; Silva, F.A.N.; De Aragão, F.A.S.; Zocolo, G.; Freitas, B.M. Volatile organic compounds role in selective pollinator visits to commercial melon types. *J. Agric. Sci.* **2019**, *11*, 93–108. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.5539/jas.v11n3p93)
- <span id="page-17-11"></span>26. Silva, F.A.N.; da Silva, A.A.; de Sousa Fernandes, N.; Rodrigues, T.H.; Canuto, K.M.; do Nascimento, R.F.; de Brito, E.S.; de Aragão, F.A.S.; Freitas, B.M.; Zocolo, G.J. Evaluation of Headspace Solid-Phase Microextraction Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry for the characterization of volatile organic compounds from Melon (*Cucumis melo* L.) Flowers. *Chromatographia* **2018**, *81*, 1231–1239. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1007/s10337-018-3550-0)
- <span id="page-17-12"></span>27. Luo, C.; Huang, Z.Y.; Li, K.; Chen, X.; Chen, Y.; Sun, Y. EAG responses of *Apis cerana* to floral compounds of a biodiesel plant, *Jatropha curcas* (Euphorbiaceae). *Econ. Entomol.* **2013**, *106*, 1653–1658. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1603/EC12458)
- 28. Liu, Y.B.; Zeng, Z.J.; Barron, A.B.; Ma, Y.; He, Y.Z.; Liu, J.F.; Li, Z.; Yan, W.Y.; He, X.J. The involvement of a floral scent in plant-honeybee interaction. *Sci. Nat.* **2022**, *109*, 30. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1007/s00114-022-01802-z)
- <span id="page-17-13"></span>29. Twidle, A.M.; Barker, D.; Seal, A.G.; Fedrizzi, B.; Suckling, D.M. Identification of floral volatiles and pollinator responses in kiwifruit cultivars, *actinidia chinensis* var. *chinensis. Chem. Ecol.* **2018**, *44*, 406–415. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1007/s10886-018-0936-2)
- <span id="page-17-14"></span>30. Ren, L.L.; Balakrishnan, K.; Luo, Y.Q.; Schütz, S. EAG response and behavioral orientation of *Dastarcus helophoroides* (Fairmaire) (Coleoptera: Bothrideridae) to synthetic host-associated volatiles. *PLoS ONE* **2017**, *12*, e0190067. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0190067)
- 31. Wickham, J.D.; Xu, Z.; Teale, S.A. Evidence for a female-produced, long range pheromone of *Anoplophora glabripennis* (Coleoptera: Cerambycidae). *Insect Sci.* **2012**, *19*, 355–371. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-7917.2012.01504.x)
- 32. Zhuge, P.P.; Luo, S.L.; Wang, M.Q.; Zhang, G. Electrophysiological responses of *Batocera horsfieldi* (Hope) adults to plant volatiles. *J. Appl. Entomol.* **2010**, *134*, 600–607. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0418.2010.01540.x)
- <span id="page-17-15"></span>33. Lu, P.F.; Qiao, H.L. Peach volatile emission and attractiveness of different host plant volatiles blends to Cydia molesta in adjacent peach and pear orchards. *Sci. Rep.* **2020**, *10*, 13658. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-70685-9) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32788645)
- <span id="page-17-16"></span>34. Wang, Y.J.; Zhao, D.X.; Gao, J.L.; Peng, Z.Q.; Li, X.N.; Wang, Y. Electrophysiological and Behavioral Activity of Compounds in Metathoracic Glands of Adults of *Tessaratoma Papillosa* (Hemiptera: Pentatomidae). *Appl. Mech. Mater.* **2011**, *108*, 301–307.
- <span id="page-17-17"></span>35. Siderhurst, M.S.; Jang, E.B. Female-biased attraction of oriental fruit fly, *Bactrocera dorsalis* (Hendel), to a blend of host fruit volatiles from *Terminalia catappa* L. *J. Chem. Ecol.* **2006**, *32*, 2513–2524. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1007/s10886-006-9160-6)
- <span id="page-17-18"></span>36. Wright, G.A.; Skinner, B.D.; Smith, B.H. Ability of honeybee, *Apis mellifera*, to detect and discriminate odors of varieties of canola (*Brassica rapa* and *Brassica napus*) and snapdragon flowers (*Antirrhinum majus*). *J. Chem. Ecol.* **2002**, *28*, 721–740. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1023/A:1015232608858)
- <span id="page-17-19"></span>37. Sun, X.-L.; Wang, G.-C.; Gao, Y.; Chen, Z.-M. Screening and field evaluation of synthetic volatile blends attractive to adults of the tea weevil, *Myllocerinus aurolineatus*. *Chemoecology* **2012**, *22*, 229–237. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1007/s00049-012-0110-1)
- <span id="page-17-20"></span>38. Spaethe, J.; Brockmann, A.; Halbig, C.; Tautz, J. Size determines antennal sensitivity and behavioral threshold to odors in bumblebee workers. *Naturwissenschaften* **2007**, *94*, 733–739. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1007/s00114-007-0251-1)
- <span id="page-17-21"></span>39. Canale, A.; Benelli, G.; Germinara, G.S.; Fusini, G.; Romano, D.; Rapalini, F.; Desneux, N.; Rotundo, G.; Raspi, A.; Carpita, A. Behavioural and electrophysiological responses to overlooked female pheromone components in the olive fruit fly *Bactrocera oleae* (Diptera:Tephritidae). *Chemoecology* **2015**, *25*, 147–157. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1007/s00049-014-0183-0)
- 40. Williams, L.; Blackmer, J.L.; Rodriguez-Saona, C.; Zhu, S. Plant Volatiles Influence Electrophysiological and Behavioral Responses of *Lygus hesperus*. *J. Chem. Ecol.* **2010**, *36*, 467–478. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1007/s10886-010-9778-2)
- <span id="page-18-0"></span>41. Dötterl, S.; Jürgens, A.; Seifert, K.; Laube, T.; Weißbecker, B.; Schütz, S. Silene latifolia moths nursery pollination: Smell in the antenna and the role of behavioral response. *J. New Bot.* **2006**, *169*, 707–718.
- <span id="page-18-1"></span>42. Ishiwari, H.; Suzuki, T.; Maeda, T. Essential Compounds in Herbivore-Induced Plant Volatiles that Attract the Predatory Mite *Neoseiulus womersleyi*. *J. Chem. Ecol.* **2007**, *33*, 1670. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1007/s10886-007-9344-8)
- <span id="page-18-2"></span>43. Hern, A.; Dorn, S. A female-specific attractant for the codling moth, *Cydia pomonella*, from apple fruit volatiles. *Naturwissenschaften* **2004**, *91*, 77–80. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1007/s00114-003-0484-6) [\[PubMed\]](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14991144)
- <span id="page-18-3"></span>44. Anderson, P.; Hilker, M.; Hansson, B.; Bombosch, S.; Klein, B.; Schildknecht, H. Oviposition deterring components in larval frass of *Spodoptera littoralis* (Boisd.)(Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), A behavioural and electrophysiological evaluation. *J. Insect Physiol.* **1993**, *39*, 129–137. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1910(93)90104-Y)
- <span id="page-18-4"></span>45. Clement, W.M. Flower color, a factor in attractiveness of alfalfa clones for honeybees. *Crop Sci.* **1965**, *5*, 267–268. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.2135/cropsci1965.0011183X000500030023x)
- <span id="page-18-5"></span>46. Kauffeld, N.M.; Sorensen, E.L. *Interrelations of Honeybee Preference and Alfalfa Clones and Flower Color, Aroma, Nectar Volume and Sugar Concentration*; Agricultural Experiment Station, Kansas State University of Agriculture and Applied Science: Manhattan, NY, USA, 1971; p. 14.
- <span id="page-18-6"></span>47. Balkenius, A.; Rosen, W.; Kelber, A. The relative importance of olfaction and vision in a diurnal and a nocturnal hawkmoth. *J. Comp. Physiol. A* **2006**, *192*, 431–437. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1007/s00359-005-0081-6)
- <span id="page-18-7"></span>48. Omura, H.; Honda, K. Priority of color over scent during flower visitation by adult *Vanessa indica* butterflies. *Oecologia* **2005**, *142*, 588–596. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-004-1761-6)
- <span id="page-18-8"></span>49. Burger, H.; Dotterl, S.; Ayasse, M. Host plant finding and recognition by visual and olfactory floral cues in an oligolectic bee. *Funct. Ecol.* **2010**, *24*, 1234–1240. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2435.2010.01744.x)
- <span id="page-18-9"></span>50. Milet-Pinheiro, P.; Ayasse, M.; Schlindwein, C.; Dobson, H.E.M.; Dotterl, S. Host location by visual and olfactory floral cues in an oligolectic bee: Innate and learned behavior. *Behav. Ecol.* **2012**, *23*, 531–538. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1093/beheco/arr219)
- <span id="page-18-10"></span>51. Dötterl, S.; Glück, U.; Jürgens, A.; Woodring, J.; Aas, G. Floral reward, advertisement and attractiveness to honey bees in dioecious Salix caprea. *PLoS ONE* **2014**, *9*, e93421. [\[CrossRef\]](http://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0093421)