Rolling microswarms along acoustic virtual wallen

Received: 30 March 2022

Accepted: 17 November 2022

Published online: 29 November 2022

Check for updates

Zhiyuan Zhang ®^{[1](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6019-1863)}, Alexander Sukhov², Jens Hartin[g](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9200-6623) ®^{[2](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9200-6623),3}, Paolo Malgaretti ®² & Daniel Ahmed \mathbf{D}^1 \mathbf{D}^1 \boxtimes

Rolling is a ubiquitous transport mode utilized by living organisms and engineered systems. However, rolling at the microscale has been constrained by the requirement of a physical boundary to break the spatial homogeneity of surrounding mediums, which limits its prospects for navigation to locations with no boundaries. Here, in the absence of real boundaries, we show that microswarms can execute rolling along virtual walls in liquids, impelled by a combination of magnetic and acoustic fields. A rotational magnetic field causes individual particles to self-assemble and rotate, while the pressure nodes of an acoustic standing wave field serve as virtual walls. The acoustic radiation force pushes the microswarms towards a virtual wall and provides the reaction force needed to break their fore-aft motion symmetry and induce rolling along arbitrary trajectories. The concept of reconfigurable virtual walls overcomes the fundamental limitation of a physical boundary being required for universal rolling movements.

Classically, rolling is regarded as a type of motion that combines the rotational motion of an object with its translation across a physical boundary. Rolling motion is ubiquitous throughout nature, occurring at scales from the macro to the nano. Tumbleweeds¹, web-toed salamanders², and golden wheeling spiders³ all utilize rolling to disperse seeds or avoid predators. Vampire amoebae⁴ and neutrophils⁵ adopt rolling to propel along cellular or vascular walls. The rolling motion is also commonly used in engineered systems such as trains, automobiles, and robots^{6,7}. Being well-established as an effective transport mechanism, rolling is also increasingly studied for the navigation and manipulation of artificial objects at the micro/nano-scale, where viscous forces usually dominate inertial effects^{[8](#page-8-0),[9](#page-8-0)}.

To date, self-assembly microswarms that generally propel by means of a rolling-type motion $10-13$ $10-13$ are becoming attractive since they do not require special prefabricated elements (e.g., sophisticated structures¹⁴, assembly steps¹⁵, surface modifications¹⁶, and so on) and due to their flexible motility, which confers more degrees of freedom for navigation in lab-on-chip microsystems 17 and complex in vivo vasculature network[s18,19.](#page-8-0) However, to convert rotational motion into rolling motion in liquid, existing strategies predominantly rely on a physical boundary (liquid–solid interface) to introduce spatially asymmetrical hydrodynamic interactions $20-22$ $20-22$ $20-22$. Whether involving a smooth or textured surface topography, the strong dependence of these rolling strategies on the presence of a boundary is a fundamental limitation that impairs microswarm maneuverability.

In tandem, a variety of strategies for microswimmer and microswarm motility have been developed, including ones based on chemical gradients^{[23](#page-8-0)-27}, bacterial power^{28,29}, or external fields such as magnetic^{[30](#page-8-0)-[37](#page-8-0)} and electric^{[38,39](#page-8-0)} fields, as well as energy from light⁴⁰⁻⁴², heat^{43,44}, and ultrasound^{45-[58](#page-9-0)}. Among those, strategies using magnetic and acoustic modalities are intriguing since they allow for the manipulation of microobjects without contact, they are unscreened (in contrast to electrostatic interactions), unaffected by object opacity (in contrast to optical traps), and they can generate a broad range of forces $59-62$ $59-62$.

Here, we report a novel strategy, based on a combination of acoustic and magnetic fields, to realize rolling of chain-shaped microswarms (microchains) along a virtual wall in liquid in the absence of real walls. Figure [1a](#page-1-0) illustrates the virtual wall concept: while a conventional wall-reliant microchain (gray) has to passively follow the real physical boundary in order to break its motion symmetry and roll 63 , our

¹Acoustic Robotics Systems Laboratory, Institute of Robotics and Intelligent Systems, Department of Mechanical and Process Engineering, ETH Zurich, Zurich 8803, Switzerland. ²Helmholtz Institute Erlangen-Nürnberg for Renewable Energy (IEK-11), Forschungszentrum Jülich, Erlangen 91058, Germany. ³Department of Chemical and Biological Engineering and Department of Physics, Friedrich-Alexander-Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg, Nuremberg 90429, Germany. \boxtimes e-mail: dahmed@ethz.ch

microchain (green) can directly roll left-to-right along a virtual wall distant from the real physical boundary. Within our strategy, when microparticles are introduced into an acoustic standing wave field, the acoustic radiation force (ARF) attracts and aligns them toward the pressure nodal lines that serve as the virtual walls. Then, when a rotational homogeneous magnetic field having its rotational axis perpendicular to the acoustic standing wave field is employed, neighboring magnetic particles self-assemble into multiple microchains and start rotating on account of the magnetic dipole-dipole interactions and the induced magnetic moment $11,64$ $11,64$ (Fig. 1b and Supplementary Movie 1). The distributed and time-varying ARF acting on the rotating microchain effectively breaks its motion symmetry and induces rolling. To further understand and describe this observation, we develop an experiment-supported model, which is consistent with our experimental data and provides significant insights into the rolling motion. Finally, by dynamically building a reconfigurable acoustic virtual wall and switching the rotational direction of the magnetic field, we achieve manipulation of microchains along arbitrary trajectories in liquid while far from real surfaces or boundaries (Fig. 1c).

Results

System design

Our experimental setup, shown in Fig. 1d, consists of an acoustic manipulation chamber and a magnetic manipulation system. During experiments, we developed two different acoustic manipulation chambers. The first one is within a confined glass capillary where an acoustic pressure nodal line can be developed at the middle of the capillary by two oppositely placed piezoelectric transducers. Within this chamber, we characterized the rolling behavior of microchains along the one-dimensional (1D) virtual wall. The second one is an open acoustic chamber which comprises a square chassis with four piezoelectric transducers that are indicated as transducer pairs $A_{1,2}$ and $B_{1,2}$

(Supplementary Fig. 1). Within this second chamber, we performed two-dimensional (2D) dynamic rolling. As the energy of the acoustic field scales quadratically with the excitation voltage (see "Methods" for details), the transducer pair with the higher excitation voltage will dominate the acoustic standing wave field in terms of intensity. Therefore, the orientation (parallel to the x-axis or y-axis, respectively) of the acoustic virtual walls constituted by the pressure nodal lines can be dynamically switched by tuning the excitation voltage of the originating transducers (Supplementary Fig. 2a to 2c and Movie 2).

The magnetic manipulation system comprises a 5-axis robotic arm and a magnetic end-effector positioned around the acoustic chamber. The end-effector consists of a horseshoe-shaped gripper that uses a pair of permanent magnets (with one north pole points to the other south pole) on its sidearms to establish a homogeneous magnetic field. As the robotic arm executes a programmed rotation, the permanent magnets revolve around the chamber, generating a rotational magnetic field in the horizontal x−y plane, $\mathbf{B}(t) = B_0 \cos \omega t \mathbf{e}_x + B_0 \sin \omega t \mathbf{e}_y$, where B_0 is the intensity of the magnetic field, ω is the angular frequency, and e_y and e_y are the unit vectors. The calculation based on the Biot-Savart law⁶⁵ and the simulation in COMSOL Multiphysics of the magnetic intensity (see "Methods" for details) show that the magnetic field between a pair of permanent magnets is unidirectional. The magnetic field gradient is close to zero in the range of −1000 μm to $+1000 \,\mu$ m, which is three orders of magnitude larger than the diameter (1.63 μm) of the magnetic particles used (Supplementary Fig. 2d to 2f). Control experiments have shown that in a static magnetic field, while the microchains gradually increase in length with time, no noticeable motion is observed (Supplementary Fig. 3). Accordingly, the microchains in the central region of the acoustic chamber experience a nearly homogeneous magnetic field, and hence the magnetic field gradient does not contribute to the displacement of microchains.

rolling motion along the acoustic virtual wall as soon as the rotational magnetic field (MF) is introduced. The curved black arrow indicates the magnetic rotational direction. The red arrow shows the rolling direction. c Schematic of microchains rolling along two-dimensional dynamic acoustic virtual walls, realized by switching the orientation of the acoustic standing wave field and the rotational direction of the magnetic field. d Schematic of the experimental setup, consisting of an acoustic manipulation chamber and a magnetic manipulation system. The whole setup is mounted on an inverted microscope, and we image the rolling motion using highspeed and high-sensitivity cameras. The inset shows the piezoelectric transducer pairs $A_{1,2}$ and $B_{1,2}$.

Fig. 2 | Characterization of microchains rolling along the single acoustic virtual wall in a confined glass capillary. a Schematic of the experimental setup. The capillary is held by a polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) polymer substrate with two piezoelectric transducers (PZT) attached on the substrate's lateral surfaces. The inset illustrates a cross-sectional view of the glass capillary with the microchain trapped at the pressure node of an acoustic standing wave field. **b** Image sequence of microchains rolling from bottom to top. The pink curved arrow, yellow arrow, and white dotted line respectively denote the clockwise magnetic rotational direction, net translational direction and displacement. Scale bar, 100 μm. c Plot characterizing the translational velocity of a single microchain (length 65.63 μm)

versus magnetic rotational velocity at selected acoustic voltages. The dotted lines are the corresponding linear fits. d Plot characterizing the translational velocity of a single microchain (length 65.63 μm) versus acoustic voltage at different magnetic rotational velocities. e Plot of the translational velocity versus microchain length under an acoustic excitation voltage and frequency of 20 V_{PP} and 2.02 MHz, respectively. The magnetic rotational velocity and the magnetic intensity were 24 rpm and 15 mT, respectively. These fittings were performed with the linear function model, $y = ax$. Each data point represents the average translational velocity analyzed from 3-5 microchains (Source Data). Error bars represent the standard deviation (s.d.) of data.

Rolling motion

To characterize the rolling motion of microchains in the combined acoustic and magnetic field, a set of 1D manipulation experiments with a variety of excitation parameters (namely varying the excitation voltage of the acoustic field and the rotational velocity of the magnetic field) has been performed in a narrow, closed glass capillary with a circular cross-section, as illustrated in Fig. 2a. In the combined presence of acoustic and magnetic fields, microchains exhibited rolling along the acoustic virtual wall (Fig. 2b). During experiments, we observed three rows of rolling microchains in the capillary: one on each side rolling along the capillary boundary, and the third in the middle rolling along the virtual wall (Supplementary Fig. 4). Since all three rows of microchains can be clearly observed under a single microscope focus setting, the virtual wall is implied to be developed in the middle, far from the bottom boundary of the circular capillary. Therefore, experiments in the capillary confirm realization of rolling in the absence of real walls. We then tracked and analyzed a single microchain (with the length of 65.63 μm). Fig. 2c demonstrates the translational velocity of a microchain against the magnetic rotational velocity at excitation acoustic voltages of 10 (red) and 20 (green) V_{PP} (peak-to-peak voltage). As we increase the rotational velocity of the magnetic field, the translational velocity of the microchain increases, revealing a linear relationship, $v \propto \omega$. The translational velocity also increases with acoustic voltage, which can be attributed to a higher ARF acting on the microchain (Fig. 2d). In addition, the translational velocity is proportional to the length of the microchains for a fixed acoustic voltage and magnetic rotational velocity as well (Fig. 2e).

Bidirectional manipulation

To demonstrate the flexibility and stability of our manipulation strategy, we tested the bidirectional rolling of multiple microchains along 1D acoustic virtual walls in the open acoustic chamber (Supplementary Movie 3). In particular, we checked that the direction of rolling can be reverted immediately upon flipping the rotational direction of the magnetic field, as shown in Fig. [3a](#page-3-0). The rotational angular velocity of microchains precisely follows the rotational frequency of the magnetic field during multiple rolling cycles (Supplementary Fig. 5). Then, the rolling microchains come to a stop instantaneously by switching off the rotation of the magnetic field. Upon switching the orientation of the acoustic standing wave field with 90 degree, the microchains move in the orthogonal direction (Fig. [3](#page-3-0)b). In addition, Fig. [3](#page-3-0) shows that

Fig. 3 | Bidirectional rolling of microchains along the acoustic virtual wall. a Bidirectional rolling motion (the direction is indicated by a yellow arrow) along the x-axial acoustic virtual wall upon flipping the rotational direction of the magnetic field (green curved arrow). The white dotted line denotes the net displacement. b Bidirectional rolling motion along the y-axial acoustic virtual wall. The pink

curved arrows denote the magnetic rotational direction. For both panels, the acoustic excitation voltage and frequency were 20 V_{PP} and 1.55 MHz, respectively. The magnetic intensity was 21 mT. The magnetic rotational velocity as depicted in panel (a) and (b) was 24 rpm and 30 rpm, respectively. Scale bar, 100 μm.

when multiple microchains are present they may cluster and, within the same cluster, they have different velocities. This can be due to the dispersive nature of microchain properties such as the length, shape, and magnetic moment, which further affects the interaction of microchains with the combined field and surrounding liquid. Concerning the nature of the external fields, the translational velocity of microchains in the open acoustic chamber was also found to increase with increasing magnetic rotational velocity, stronger magnetic intensity, higher acoustic voltage, as well as higher acoustic frequency (Supplementary Fig. 6). Furthermore, microchains demonstrated synchronous rolling along the acoustic virtual walls in multiple suspended planes in the open acoustic chamber suggesting that the chamber's bottom substrate does not contribute to rolling along acoustic virtual walls (Supplementary Fig. 7 and Movie 4).

Rolling mechanism and modeling

To gain better insight into this rolling motion, we investigated the behavior of microchains in a rotational magnetic field both without and with an acoustic standing wave field. The results show that in the absence of any acoustic wave fields, most of the microchains started symmetrical rotation around their geometric center upon switching on the rotational magnetic field. Although the rotation center of some microchains deviated from the geometric center, the microchains exhibited no net displacement. Figure [4](#page-4-0)a shows that the final rotational profile of a microchain resembled a circle, implying the motion symmetry was not broken, In contrast, within the presence of a 1D acoustic standing wave field, the rotating microchain exhibited not only an offcenter rotation but also a net displacement along the pressure nodal line (Fig. [4](#page-4-0)b and Supplementary Fig. 8). Control experiments suggest that the rotational magnetic field alone cannot break the time reversibility to induce rolling.

We then tracked and analyzed a single microchain rotating in the combined acoustic and magnetic field with a constant magnetic rotational velocity of 12 rpm (i.e., a rotational period of 5 s) (Supplementary Fig. 9 and Movie 5). The image superposition, Fig. [4](#page-4-0)c, shows that the microchain has different angular spacings, with values decreasing in frames 1–8 (when the microchain rotates to become perpendicular to the acoustic pressure nodal line) and then increasing in frames 8–16 (when the microchain rotates to become parallel to the acoustic pressure nodal line). Meanwhile, the tracked trajectories and velocities of the microchain's two endpoints (indicated as P_1 and P_2) show that in a single rotational cycle, the microchain first decelerates and then accelerates (Fig. [4d](#page-4-0) and Supplementary Fig. 10). Because the ARF acting on the microchain always points to the pressure nodal line, its effective magnitude varies according to the orientation of the microchain (Supplementary Fig. 11). We then calculated the trajectories of the geometric center (indicated as C) and rotational center (indicated as A) of the microchain (Fig. [4d](#page-4-0)), and they demonstrate that the distance *l* between the geometric and rotational centers varies across the rotational cycle, with a sinusoidal dependence on rotational time (Fig. [4e](#page-4-0)). When the long axis of the microchain is parallel to the virtual wall, the center of rotation nearly overlaps with the geometric center; whereas when the long axis becomes perpendicular to the virtual wall, the distance goes to its maximum value. In contrast, the tracking and analysis of a single microchain rotating in the rotational magnetic field without the acoustic standing wave field reveals no apparent rhythmic offset between the geometric and rotational centers (Supplementary Fig. 12). This finding suggests that the action of the time-varying ARF on the microchain is critical for its net displacement.

With this in mind, we developed a theoretical model to capture the net displacement of the rolling microchains along the acoustic virtual wall. In the model, the self-assembly microchain is regarded as a uniform rigid body, as shown in Fig. [4f](#page-4-0). According to our previous study 19 , thermal agitation or Brownian motion cannot disrupt the formation of microchains, and the thermal contribution to the viscous force is negligible. We also remark that due to the small size of the microrods and their relatively small velocity, both the inertia of the particle (overdamped regime) as well as the inertia of the fluid (with a low Reynolds number) can be disregarded (Supplementary Note 1). As suggested by experimental data, we regard the distance between the center of geometry and the center of rotation as a function of time, $l(t)$. Accordingly, the time-averaged net displacement of the geometric center of the microchain, δ , can be obtained by integrating the product of the rotational time interval, dt , and the linear velocity component in the translational direction within the rotational period, T, as

$$
\delta = \omega \int_0^T \sin(\omega t) l(t) \mathrm{d}t,\tag{1}
$$

where $\omega = 2\pi/T$ is the angular velocity of the rotational magnetic field. By expressing $l(t)$ via its Fourier expansion, we obtain

$$
l(t) = a_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left[a_i \cos(i\omega t) + b_i \sin(i\omega t) \right],
$$
 (2)

Fig. 4 | Mechanism of rolling motion along the acoustic virtual wall. a Rotation of a microchain in the absence of an acoustic field. The 1/4-cycle superimposed subfigure shows off-center rotation of the microchain, while the 2-cycle subfigure shows its final rotational profile to be like a circle. No noticeable motion is observed. b Rotation of a microchain in the presence of a one-dimensional acoustic standing wave field. The microchain exhibits off-center rotation and rolling along the acoustic pressure nodal line. The white dotted lines of (a) and (b) respectively denote the rotational centerline and the acoustic pressure nodal line. The green curved arrow denotes the rotational direction. The yellow arrow and dotted line denote the rolling direction and the net displacement, respectively. Scale bar, 50 μm. c Superimposed time-lapse images of a microchain undergoing one rotational cycle. The acoustic excitation voltage and frequency were 20 V_{PP} and 1.55 MHz, respectively. The magnetic rotational direction was counterclockwise,

where a_i and b_i are the Fourier parameters. Based on the orthogonality of trigonometric functions for integration, we obtain

$$
\delta = \omega b_1 \int_0^T \sin(\omega t) \sin(\omega t) dt = \omega b_1 \frac{T}{2} = \pi b_1,\tag{3}
$$

$$
v = \frac{\delta}{T} = \frac{b_1}{2}\omega,
$$
\n(4)

where b_1 is the first item of b_i , $b_1 = \frac{2}{T} \int_0^T l(t) \sin(\omega t) dt$, and ν is the timeaveraged translational velocity. Over multiple rotational cycles, n, the total net displacement is $n \cdot \delta$.

Within the current model, the time-varying acoustic radiation force on the microchains is accounted for by the time-dependent off-center and the magnetic rotational velocity and intensity were 12 rpm and 21mT, respectively. Blue and pink curves respectively denote the tracked trajectories of the two endpoints, P_1 and P_2 . The white dotted line denotes the acoustic pressure nodal line. The axis in the lower left corner denotes the rotational direction. The number refers to the overlapped frames that has the same time interval, 0.1587 s, from 1 to 32. Scale bar, 50 μm. d Trajectories of the microchain's two endpoints (P_1 and P_2), geometric center (C), and rotational center (A) (Source data). e Plot of the variable distance between the geometric and rotational centers of the microchain against rotational time (Source data). The period of one rotational cycle was 5 s. The off-center distance was obtained by subtracting the distance |P₁C| from |P₁A| (Supplementary Fig. 9). Fitting yielded a first-order sine function of $l(t) = 7.157$ * sin $(1.256t + 2.518)$. f Schematic of the experimentsupported theoretical model. The green rod denotes the self-assembly microchain.

distance $l(t)$. The model suggests that in the absence of a rotational magnetic field, the angular velocity, ω = 0, and no rolling occurs. Likewise, in the absence of the acoustic standing wave field, but with the rotational magnetic field on, *l*, remains constant in time and hence b_1 = 0, leading no rolling motion. Therefore, a microchain undergoes rolling motion only when both rotational magnetic and acoustic standing wave fields are applied. To verify the model, we extracted $l(t)$ from the experimental data and fitted it with $l(t) = l \sin(\tilde{\omega}t)$, as shown in Fig. 4e. Using the fitting parameters, l and $\tilde{\omega}$, (3), and (4) the time-averaged displacement δ and velocity ν of the tracked microchain (Fig. 4c) over a single rotational cycle read $\delta_{theory} = 22.49 \,\mu m$ and $v_{theory} = 4.49 \,\mu m \cdot s^{-1}$ which are consistent with the tracked experimental data of δ_{exp} = 22.1 μm and v_{exp} = 4.4 μm ⋅ s⁻¹. The model was then validated by testing multiple rolling microchains under an array of experimental conditions

Fig. 5 | Two-dimensional dynamic rolling manipulation. a In situ rotation of microchains at two-dimensional acoustic pressure nodal points. **b** Rolling of microchains along a predesigned square path. The last subfigure is a superimposed time-lapse image showing the full path. The green curved arrow, yellow arrow, and white arrow respectively denote the rotational direction, rolling direction, and

historical path. c Using reconfigurable acoustic virtual walls to write the word "ETH" with rolling microchains. The acoustic excitation frequency was 1.55 MHz and the magnetic intensity was 21 mT. Inset figures show the rolling direction. Scale bar, 100 μm.

(Supplementary Fig. 13 and Table 1). The error between model calculations and experimental data are <2.5 μ m and <1.5 μ m ⋅ s⁻¹, which are tolerable given the microchain length.

Since the sign (plus or minus) of ν is synchronized with the sign of ω , the model suggests that the translational direction of microchains can be flipped and controlled by the magnetic rotational direction. Based on our model, Fig. [2](#page-2-0)c is expected that increasing the magnetic rotational velocity causes an increase in the microswarm's angular velocity. Subsequently, a higher translational velocity is achieved, as described in [\(4](#page-4-0)). Meanwhile, experimental results demonstrate that the maximum value of $l(t)$ (which is approximately equal to b_1 while fitting) increases with the acoustic voltage and microchain length due to a higher ARF (Supplementary Fig. 14). Therefore, the increasing translational velocity, shown in Fig. [2d](#page-2-0) and Fig. [2](#page-2-0)e, are achieved because of a larger b_1 , which in the model can cause an increased ν . The model, however, has no analytic expression for the parameters characteristic of the combined field (such as acoustic voltage, acoustic frequency, and magnetic intensity), nor for the microchain length and thickness. A future work will examine the coupling effect of the combined field.

Two-dimensional dynamic manipulation

Here, we investigated the capacity of our microchains to perform 2D rolling along acoustic virtual walls. When a 2D acoustic standing wave field is developed in the open acoustic chamber, suspended microchains are aggregated into lattice-like dots and they only rotate at the acoustic pressure nodal points without translation (Fig. 5a). Accordingly, the 2D acoustic standing wave field is employed as a transfer station at each nodal point to realize smooth turns and lessen positional shifts that may occur when directly switching the orientation of the 1D virtual walls.

We then manipulated the microchains to roll along a predesigned square path in the open acoustic chamber by manual operations (Fig. 5b). First, transducer pair A was activated at 20 V_{PP} , and transducer pair B was activated at 1 V_{PP} to build the x-axial acoustic virtual wall. Thus, the microchains executed right-to-left rolling in the counterclockwise rotational magnetic field. Later, the excitation voltage of transducer pair B was gradually raised to 20 V_{PP} to develop the 2D acoustic standing wave field and stop the microchains at the nodal point. Then, the y-axial acoustic virtual wall was built and the microchains exhibited bottom-to-top rolling upon the excitation voltage of transducer pair A was gradually reduced to 1 V_{PP}. As the microchains approached the next pressure nodal point, we gradually raised the excitation voltage of transducer pair A back to 20 V_{PP} and switched the rotational direction of the magnetic field from counterclockwise to clockwise direction. Subsequently, the excitation voltage of the transducer pair B was gradually reduced to $1V_{PP}$ and the microchains exhibited left-to-right rolling. Similarly, the y-axial acoustic virtual wall was then reconstructed again and the microchains finally rolled back to their initial point from top to bottom. Notably, during switching the orientation of virtual walls, we observed that microchains were accelerated and decelerated depending on its relative position to the new pressure nodal line because of the redeveloped acoustic potential (Supplementary Fig. 15). The dexterity of our manipulation strategy is further illustrated in Fig. [5c](#page-5-0), where microchains roll along dynamically reconstructed acoustic virtual walls with trajectories that are depicted by the letters " E ", "T", and "H" (Supplementary Movie 6). It can be expected that more precisely following of the desired trajectory will be achieved by automatically tuning the acoustic voltage through a program-controlled function generator. These demonstrations confirm the multi-particle dynamic manipulation capacity of our strategy and its potential as an efficient transportation solution. It is worth mentioning that during redirection of microchains, the physical position of the experimental setup, i.e., the acoustic manipulation chamber and the magnetic manipulation system, remains constant. We only modulated the excitation voltage of the transducers and the rotational direction of the magnetic field. Since the rotational axis of the magnetic field was fixed, no precise coordination of the magnetic field is required.

Discussion

In this work, we report a strategy for manipulating chain-shaped microswarms into rolling without reliance on physical boundaries. Under the combination of an acoustic standing wave field and a rotational magnetic field, magnetic particles are trapped and selfassembled into microchains that execute rolling along acoustic pressure nodal lines, which act as virtual walls. The time-varying distance between the geometric and rotational centers of the microchain accounts for the symmetry breaking and induction of rolling. We further demonstrate dexterous rolling of microchains along arbitrary trajectories, realized by dynamically constructing the virtual walls and switching the rotational direction of the magnetic field. In terms of apparatus, we establish the rotational magnetic field using a 3D-printed frame featuring several commercial permanent magnets, which is both easy to construct and portable. Ultimately, the experiment-supported theoretical model allows us to identify key rolling parameters and provides explanations and insights regarding the rolling mechanism.

We compare the rolling velocities of our microswarms to those obtained in other microroller demonstrations. Magnetic microrollers with special features, such as Janus balls, anisotropic shapes, and rods, were found to roll at speeds ranging from $100-600 \,\mu m \cdot s^{-1}$, and selfassembling microparticle swarms at speeds of $2-50 \mu m \cdot s^{-110-13,20-22,63}$ $2-50 \mu m \cdot s^{-110-13,20-22,63}$. The rolling velocity of our microchains is on the same order of magnitude as that of self-assembling swarms reported in the literature, but is somewhat lower, which could be attributed to the virtual wall not possessing the no-slip property of a physical wall. Furthermore, the maximum microchain translational velocity in this work is restricted by the rotational rate of the robotic arm (0 to 40 rpm) as well as the acoustic excitation voltage (0 to 30 V_{PP}). A high-speed motor can be installed between the magnetic manipulation system and the robotic arm to increase the rotational rate (up to the limit of the step-out frequency). Based on our model, increasing acoustic excitation voltage

As for the step-out frequency of the swarms, we test two distinct self-assembled shapes of magnetic particles, namely chains and discs, at 21 mT with different magnetic rotational frequencies (Supplementary Fig. 16). We observe that the microparticles self-assembled into long chains and can maintain those chains while the rotational frequency is below 5 Hz. At frequencies of 5 Hz and above, the microswarms disassemble into smaller chains. Upon further increasing the frequency (~8 Hz), those smaller chains reassemble into disk-like structures. Based on our experiments and model, the rolling velocity of a longer chain is faster than that of a shorter chain. With the diskshaped clusters, we do not observe any noticeable displacement; the acoustic radiation force tends to be uniform for a circular shape, and hence the disk-shaped microswarms would only rotate in place. Given these results, we expect that the step-out frequency of the studied microswarms is around 5 Hz.

To realize more flexible modulation of the acoustic virtual walls and rolling in three-dimensional (3D) space, a transducer array $66-68$ $66-68$ can be designed to establish 3D virtual walls and incorporated with a rotational magnetic field having variable rotational axes. However, when it comes to instrumentation and attaining a higher resolution of 3D manipulation, several practical limitations are expected. (1) Since the spacing between acoustic pressure nodal lines is dictated by excitation frequency, higher excitation frequencies allow finer adjustment, i.e., navigation with higher spatial resolution. However, as the frequency goes above 200 MHz, the acoustic waves could be attenuated significantly due to viscous attenuation by the liquid, thus inhibiting robust pattern formation 69 . (2) Visualization and tracking of microswarms in 3D is another challenge that needs to be addressed. A 45-degree prism can be mounted on the imaging system to allow two cameras to simultaneously record the rolling from different perspectives⁷⁰. (3) Since the rotational axis of the magnetic field needs to be perpendicular to the acoustic pressure nodal lines, it is necessary that the rotational axis be adjustable so as to maintain that configuration when developing the acoustic standing wave field in different planes. This can be achieved by using sophisticated 3D Helmholtz coils or commercially available electromagnetic systems that can tune the rotational magnetic axis $71,72$ $71,72$.

As acoustic and magnetic fields can readily extend into the body, we envision rolling along virtual walls to have applications in medicine. Real physical surfaces are readily available in vivo, but most are uneven, plicate, and rife with intermittent tributaries 73 . Conventional rolling approaches that rely on a physical wall have to follow the boundary, and a complex surface topography may impede or halt the translational movement, e.g., by presenting a discontinuous boundary. Maintaining the driving condition across a heterogeneous surface thus requires the actuating parameters (intensities and orientations) to be adjusted in real-time. As such, wall-reliant rolling demands precision, flexibility, and robustness from the swarm structure, operation strategy, and actuating system. By contrast, rolling along an acoustic virtual wall enables following of a direct path without cumbersome finetuning of actuation to account for terrain. Thus, our approach provides a viable alternative to conventional propulsion over rugged terrain. Furthermore, the developed microswarms would be promising for in vivo applications in spaces where walls are absent, e.g., the stomach, peritoneal cavity, and bladder. In these bulk-space condi-tions, conventional wall-reliant rolling^{[10](#page-8-0)-[13,20](#page-8-0)-[22](#page-8-0)[,63](#page-9-0)} will be difficult to execute. Our method is advantageous for such circumstances due to: (1) the microswarms not requiring any contact with surfaces and (2) the acoustic virtual walls being quickly reconstructed and customizable. Our approach could therefore be an effective and exciting strategy for microswarm traversal of bulk spaces. In addition, our rolling strategy will enrich microfluidic lab-on-chip technologies for the actuation, manipulation, and assembly of micro-objects.

Methods

Acoustofluidic setup

The square chassis of the 2D open acoustic chamber with dimensions $24 \times 24 \times 20$ mm is fabricated from black photopolymer resin (Standard Black, Formlabs) by 3D printing (Form 3, Formlabs). Four piezoelectric transducers (SM111, STEMINC) are orthogonally glued to the chassis. According to the technical data sheet by the manufacturer, the transducer resonance frequency is 1.5 MHz \pm 4%. Subsequently, the acoustic chamber is glued to a thin glass substrate. Two electronic function generators (TG1006, Aim-TTi) are connected to the two transducer pairs to generate the ultrasound waves. For the confined glass capillary chamber, a capillary with the outer diameter of 1.5 mm and the inner diameter of 1mm is embedded into a rectangular PDMS (Polydimethylsiloxane) polymer substrate, which is fabricated using the mold replica technique. And two piezoelectric transducers (resonance frequency 2 MHz \pm 4%) are glued to the PDMS lateral surfaces to establish the acoustic standing wave field.

Magnetic setup

The horseshoe-shaped gripper of the magnetic manipulation system with dimensions $44 \times 42 \times 10$ mm is fabricated from black photopolymer resin by 3D printing. To build the magnetic field, permanent magnets (S-10-05-N52N, NdFeB, Supermagnete) are symmetrically mounted on both sidearms of the gripper. To rotate the magnetic field, the magnetic device is mounted to the end of a 5-axis robotic arm (Dorna 2, Dorna Robotics). In addition, the magnetic intensity can be adjusted by adding external permanent magnets to or removing them from the sidearms (Supplementary Fig. 2f).

Experimental particles

Commercially available encapsulated magnetic polymer particles having the diameter of 1.63 μm (COMPEL, Bangs Laboratories) are utilized in our experiments. Benefitting from the high susceptibility, these particles react quickly to the external magnetic field. Since, the acoustic excitation frequency of our transducer pairs is around 1.5 MHz corresponding to acoustic wavelength, λ , around 1 mm. The radius, *a*, of these particles ensures the validity of the basic assumption, $a \lt \lt \lambda$, of the Gor'kov theory.

Acoustic radiation force

When acoustic standing waves are generated in a liquid containing suspended microparticles, the acoustic radiation force which com-prised of primary and secondary radiation forces will arise^{[74](#page-9-0)}. The primary radiation force arises from the scattering of the background acoustic standing waves on the particle, whereas the secondary radiation force results from the scattered waves between adjacent particles. Here, we focus on the primary radiation force which plays an important role on aggregating microparticles. Based on the gradient of the Gor'kov potential⁷⁵, the time-averaged primary radiation force acting on a single isolated incompressible particle in a onedimensional acoustic standing wave field can be expressed as

$$
F_{AR} = 4\pi\phi(\tilde{\kappa}, \tilde{\rho})ka^3E_{ac}\sin(2kx),\tag{5}
$$

$$
\phi(\widetilde{\kappa},\widetilde{\rho}) = \frac{1}{3} \left[\frac{5\widetilde{\rho} - 2}{2\widetilde{\rho} + 1} - \widetilde{\kappa} \right],\tag{6}
$$

$$
\widetilde{\rho} = \frac{\rho_s}{\rho_0}, \widetilde{\kappa} = \frac{\kappa_s}{\kappa_0},\tag{7}
$$

where a is the radius of the microparticle which is considerably smaller than the acoustic wavelength λ ; ϕ is the acoustic contrast factor. The used magnetic particles have a positive contrast factor, which is estimated to be 0.29 (Supplementary Note 2); k is the wavenumber of the standing wave with the pressure field $P = P_a \cos(kx) \cos(\omega_a t)$, P_a denotes the pressure amplitude, x determines the position of the particle, ω_a is the propagating frequency; E_{ac} denotes the acoustic energy; ρ_0 , ρ_s denote the density of the liquid and particle, respectively; κ_0 , κ_s denote the compressibility of the liquid and particle, respectively⁷⁶.

Relationship between the acoustic radiation force and excitation voltage

The acoustic energy E_{ac} can be obtained as

$$
E_{ac} = \frac{P_a^2}{4\rho_0 c_0^2} = \frac{1}{4}\rho_0 v_0^2,
$$
 (8)

where v_0 is the induced acoustic velocity; c_0 denotes the speed of sound in water, 1500 m \cdot s⁻¹. In study⁷⁷, it is shown that the induced acoustic pressure amplitude P_a is linear to the applied peak-to-peak voltage, V_{PP} , of the piezoelectric transducer. Consequently, we obtain the following equation

$$
F_{AR} \propto E_{ac} \propto P_a^2 \propto V_{PP}^2. \tag{9}
$$

Magnetic intensity of the cylindrical permanent magnet

Based on the Biot-Savart law⁶⁵, the magnetic intensity at any points on the polar axis of the cylindrical permanent magnet can be obtained by

$$
B(x) = \frac{B_r}{2} \left[\frac{L + x}{\sqrt{R^2 + (L + x)^2}} - \frac{x}{\sqrt{R^2 + (x)^2}} \right],
$$
 (10)

where B_r is the remanence of the magnet, for the N52N magnetic material, the reference value of remanence is 1.44 T; L and R is the length and radius of the magnet, respectively; x is the distance between the test point and the magnet. In this work, we used a pair of magnets to build the magnetic field. The total distance, S, between the magnetic pair is 40 mm. Thus, the magnetic intensity in the range (−20 mm, 20 mm) can be calculated as

$$
B = B(x) + B(S - x). \tag{11}
$$

Numerical simulation

Finite element numerical simulations are conducted using the commercial COMSOL Multiphysics software (v5.6, Burlington, MA). We perform the acoustic standing wave field simulations with the "Pressure Acoustics, Frequency Domain" module. The inward "Normal Displacement" of the domain boundary is enabled to denote the oscillation from piezoelectric transducers. The simulated acoustic pressure pattern is consistent with our experimental results. For magnetic simulations, the "Magnetic Field, No Current" module is used. The material of the permanent magnet is set as N52 (Sintered NdFeB) and the magnetization model is "Relative permeability". A square airbox is employed as the calculation domain. All geometrical parameters are set as the experimental setup and the physicscontrolled mesh is utilized. The simulated magnetic intensity is consistent with our numerical calculations.

Imaging and data analysis

The acoustic chamber is mounted on an inverted microscope (Axiovert 200M, ZEISS) equipped with a CCD Monochrome Camera (Photometrics Cool SNAP EZ, Boston Microscopes) and a high-speed camera (Chronos 1.4, Kron Technologies). The angular velocity and translational velocity of microchains are analyzed by video analysis in $Image⁷⁸$.

Reporting summary

Further information on research design is available in the Nature Portfolio Reporting Summary linked to this article.

Data availability

The authors declare that data supporting the findings of this study are available within the paper and its Supplementary Information. Source data are provided with this paper.

References

- 1. Bar-Cohen, Y. Biomimetics: Nature Based Innovation. (CRC Press, 2012).
- 2. García-París, M. & Deban, S. M. A novel antipredator mechanism in salamanders: rolling escape in hydromantes platycephalus. J. Herpetol. 29, 149–151 (1995).
- 3. Armour, R. H. & Vincent, J. F. Rolling in nature and robotics: a review. J. Bionic Eng. 3, 195–208 (2006).
- 4. Hess, S., Sausen, N. & Melkonian, M. Shedding light on vampires: the phylogeny of vampyrellid amoebae revisited. PLoS ONE 7, e31165 (2012).
- 5. Ley, K., Laudanna, C., Cybulsky, M. I. & Nourshargh, S. Getting to the site of inflammation: the leukocyte adhesion cascade updated. Nat. Rev. Immunol. 7, 678–689 (2007).
- 6. Crossley, V. A. A literature review on the design of spherical rolling robots. Carnegie Mellon Univ., Pittsburgh, PA, USA, Tech. Rep., 1–6 (2006).
- 7. Ortigoza, R. S. et al. Wheeled mobile robots: a review. IEEE Lat. Am. Trans. 10, 2209–2217 (2012).
- 8. Taylor, G. I. Analysis of the swimming of microscopic organisms. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A 209, 447–461 (1951).
- 9. Purcell, E. M. Life at low Reynolds number. Am. J. Phys. 45, 3–11 (1977).
- 10. Wang, Q. & Zhang, L. External power-driven microrobotic swarm: from fundamental understanding to imaging-guided delivery. ACS Nano 15, 149–174 (2021).
- 11. Xie, H. et al. Reconfigurable magnetic microrobot swarm: Multimode transformation, locomotion, and manipulation. Sci. Robot. 4, eaav8006 (2019).
- 12. Kaiser, A., Snezhko, A. & Aranson, I. S. Flocking ferromagnetic colloids. Sci. Adv. 3, e1601469 (2017).
- 13. Ahmed, D. et al. Neutrophil-inspired propulsion in a combined acoustic and magnetic field. Nat. Commun. 8, 1–8 (2017).
- 14. Alcântara, C. C. et al. Mechanically interlocked 3d multi-material micromachines. Nat. Commun. 11, 1–8 (2020).
- 15. Dong, Y. et al. Magnetic helical micro-/nanomachines: Recent progress and perspective. Matter 5, 77–109 (2022).
- 16. Liu, L. et al. Wireless manipulation of magnetic/piezoelectric micromotors for precise neural stem-like cell stimulation. Adv. Funct. Mater. 30, 1910108 (2020).
- 17. Chamolly, A., Lauga, E. & Tottori, S. Irreversible hydrodynamic trapping by surface rollers. Soft Matter 16, 2611–2620 (2020).
- 18. Bozuyuk, U., Alapan, Y., Aghakhani, A., Yunusa, M. & Sitti, M. Shape anisotropy-governed locomotion of surface microrollers on vessellike microtopographies against physiological flows. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 118, e2022090118 (2021).
- 19. Ahmed, D. et al. Bioinspired acousto-magnetic microswarm robots with upstream motility. Nat. Mach. Intell. 3, 116–124 (2021).
- 20. Li, T. et al. Dynamic thermal trapping enables cross-species smart nanoparticle swarms. Sci. Adv. 7, eabe3184 (2021).
- 21. Tasci, T., Herson, P., Neeves, K. & Marr, D. Surface-enabled propulsion and control of colloidal microwheels. Nat. Commun. 7, 1–6 (2016).
- 22. Yang, T. et al. Microwheels on microroads: enhanced translation on topographic surfaces. Sci. Robot. 4, eaaw9525 (2019).
- 23. Baker, R. D. et al. Shape-programmed 3d printed swimming microtori for the transport of passive and active agents. Nat. Commun. **10**, 1-10 (2019).
- 24. Ressnerova, A. et al. Efficient protein transfection by swarms of chemically powered plasmonic virus-sized nanorobots. ACS Nano 15, 12899–12910 (2021).
- 25. Sánchez, S., Soler, L. & Katuri, J. Chemically powered micro-and nanomotors. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 54, 1414–1444 (2015).
- 26. Das, S. et al. Boundaries can steer active Janus spheres. Nat. Commun. 6, 1–10 (2015).
- 27. Medina-Sánchez, M., Magdanz, V., Guix, M., Fomin, V. M. & Schmidt, O. G. Swimming microrobots: soft, reconfigurable, and smart. Adv. Funct. Mater. 28, 1707228 (2018).
- 28. Park, B.-W., Zhuang, J., Yasa, O. & Sitti, M. Multifunctional bacteriadriven microswimmers for targeted active drug delivery. ACS Nano 11, 8910–8923 (2017).
- 29. Hosseinidoust, Z. et al. Bioengineered and biohybrid bacteriabased systems for drug delivery. Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev. 106, 27–44 (2016).
- 30. Lim, B. et al. Magnetophoretic circuits for digital control of single particles and cells. Nat. Commun. 5, 1–10 (2014).
- 31. Aziz, A., Holthof, J., Meyer, S., Schmidt, O. G. & Medina-Sánchez, M. Dual ultrasound and photoacoustic tracking of magnetically driven micromotors: from in vitro to in vivo. Adv. Healthc. Mater. 10, 2101077 (2021).
- 32. Yang, T. et al. Reconfigurable microbots folded from simple colloidal chains. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 117, 18186–18193 (2020).
- 33. Cao, Q. et al. Recent advances in manipulation of micro- and nanoobjects with magnetic fields at small scales. Mater. Horiz. 7, 638–666 (2020).
- 34. Lin, Z. et al. Magnetically actuated peanut colloid motors for cell manipulation and patterning. Acs Nano 12, 2539–2545 (2018).
- 35. Jeon, S. et al. Magnetically actuated microrobots as a platform for stem cell transplantation. Sci. Robot. 4, eaav4317 (2019).
- 36. Zhou, H., Mayorga-Martinez, C. C. & Pumera, M. Microplastic removal and degradation by mussel-inspired adhesive magnetic/enzymatic microrobots. Small Methods 5, 2100230 (2021).
- 37. Zhou, H., Mayorga-Martinez, C. C., Pané, S., Zhang, L. & Pumera, M. Magnetically driven micro and nanorobots. Chem. Rev. 121, 4999–5041 (2021).
- 38. Yan, J. et al. Reconfiguring active particles by electrostatic imbalance. Nat. Mater. 15, 1095–1099 (2016).
- 39. Liu, D., Yang, Z., Zhang, L., Wei, M. & Lu, Y. Cell-free biology using remote-controlled digital microfluidics for individual droplet control. RSC Adv. 10, 26972–26981 (2020).
- 40. Palacci, J., Sacanna, S., Steinberg, A. P., Pine, D. J. & Chaikin, P. M. Living crystals of light-activated colloidal surfers. Science 339, 936–940 (2013).
- 41. Palagi, S. et al. Structured light enables biomimetic swimming and versatile locomotion of photoresponsive soft microrobots. Nat. Aaterials 15, 647–653 (2016).
- 42. Urso, M., Ussia, M. & Pumera, M. Breaking polymer chains with selfpropelled light-controlled navigable hematite microrobots. Adv. Funct. Mat. 31, 2101510 (2021).
- 43. Jiang, H.-R., Yoshinaga, N. & Sano, M. Active motion of a Janus particle by self-thermophoresis in a defocused laser beam. Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 268302 (2010).
- 44. Maggi, C., Saglimbeni, F., Dipalo, M., De Angelis, F. & Di Leonardo, R. Micromotors with asymmetric shape that efficiently convert light into work by thermocapillary effects. Nat. Commun. 6, 1–5 (2015).

- 45. Ahmed, D. et al. Artificial swimmers propelled by acoustically activated flagella. Nano Lett. 16, 4968–4974 (2016).
- 46. Guo, F. et al. Three-dimensional manipulation of single cells using surface acoustic waves. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 113, 1522–1527 (2016).
- 47. Lu, X. et al. Topographical manipulation of microparticles and cells with acoustic microstreaming. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 9, 38870–38876 (2017).
- 48. Hou, Z., Zhou, Z., Liu, P. & Pei, Y. Robotic trajectories and morphology manipulation of single particle and granular materials by a vibration tweezer. Soft Robot. 8, 1–9 (2021).
- 49. Meng, L. et al. Acoustic tweezers. J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. 52, 273001 (2019).
- 50. Aghakhani, A., Yasa, O., Wrede, P. & Sitti, M. Acoustically powered surface-slipping mobile microrobots. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 117, 3469–3477 (2020).
- 51. Ahmed, D. et al. Selectively manipulable acoustic-powered microswimmers. Sci. Rep. 5, 1–8 (2015).
- 52. Wang, W., Castro, L. A., Hoyos, M. & Mallouk, T. E. Autonomous motion of metallic microrods propelled by ultrasound. ACS Nano 6, 6122–6132 (2012).
- 53. Janiak, J., Doinikov, A. & Ahmed, D. Microbubble propulsion, trainlike assembly and cargo transport in an acoustic field. Preprint at <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-1220770/v1> (2022).
- 54. Schrage, M., Medany, M. & Ahmed, D. Programmable control of ultrasound swarmbots through reinforcement learning. Preprint at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2209.15393> (2022).
- 55. Dillinger, C., Nama, N. & Ahmed, D. Ultrasound-activated ciliary bands for microrobotic systems inspired by starfish. Nat. Commun. 12, 1–11 (2021).
- 56. Durrer, J. et al. A robot-assisted acoustofluidic end effector. Nat. Commun. 13, 1–13 (2022).
- 57. Jooss, V. M., Bolten, J. S., Huwyler, J. & Ahmed, D. In vivo acoustic manipulation of microparticles in zebrafish embryos. Sci. Adv. 8, eabm2785 (2022).
- 58. Fonseca, A. D. C., Kohler, T. & Ahmed, D. Ultrasound-controlled swarmbots under physiological flow conditions. Adv. Mater. Interfaces 9, 2200877 (2022).
- 59. Go, G. et al. Human adipose-derived mesenchymal stem cell-based medical microrobot system for knee cartilage regeneration in vivo. Sci. Robot. 5, eaay6626 (2020).
- 60. Wu, Z. et al. A swarm of slippery micropropellers penetrates the vitreous body of the eye. Sci. Adv. 4, eaat4388 (2018).
- 61. Singh, A. V. et al. Multifunctional magnetic hairbot for untethered osteogenesis, ultrasound contrast imaging and drug delivery. Biomaterials 219, 119394 (2019).
- 62. Lo, W. C., Fan, C. H., Ho, Y. J., Lin, C. W. & Yeh, C. K. Tornado-inspired acoustic vortex tweezer for trapping and manipulating microbubbles. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 118, e2023188118 (2021).
- 63. Zhang, L. et al. Controlled propulsion and cargo transport of rotating nickel nanowires near a patterned solid surface. ACS Nano 4, 6228–6234 (2010).
- 64. Sun, M. et al. Swarming microdroplets to a dexterous micromanipulator. Adv. Funct. Mater. 31, 2011193 (2021).
- 65. Cavalleri, G., Spavieri, G. & Spinelli, G. The ampere and biot-savart force laws. Eur. J. Phys. 17, 205 (1996).
- 66. Marzo, A. et al. Holographic acoustic elements for manipulation of levitated objects. Nat. Commun. 6, 1–7 (2015).
- 67. Marzo, A. & Drinkwater, B. W. Holographic acoustic tweezers. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA 116, 84–89 (2019).
- 68. Zhong, C., Jia, Y., Jeong, D. C., Guo, Y. & Liu, S. Acousnet: A deep learning based approach to dynamic 3d holographic acoustic field generation from phased transducer array. IEEE Robot. Autom. Lett. 7, 666–673 (2021).
- 69. Collins, D. J. et al. Two-dimensional single-cell patterning with one cell per well driven by surface acoustic waves. Nat. Commun. 6. 1–11 (2015).
- 70. Shi, J. et al. Three-dimensional continuous particle focusing in a microfluidic channel via standing surface acoustic waves (SSAW). Lab Chip 11, 2319–2324 (2011).
- 71. Schuerle, S., Erni, S., Flink, M., Kratochvil, B. E. & Nelson, B. J. Threedimensional magnetic manipulation of micro-and nanostructures for applications in life sciences. IEEE Trans. Magn. 49, 321–330 (2012).
- 72. Nelson, B. J., Gervasoni, S., Chiu, P. W., Zhang, L. & Zemmar, A. Magnetically actuated medical robots: an in vivo perspective. In Proceedings of the IEEE (2022).
- 73. Davies, P. F., Mundel, T. & Barbee, K. A. A mechanism for heterogeneous endothelial responses to flow in vivo and in vitro. J. Biomech. 28, 1553–1560 (1995).
- 74. King, L. V. On the acoustic radiation pressure on spheres. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A 147, 212–240 (1934).
- 75. Gor'kov, L. P. On the forces acting on a small particle in an acoustical field in an ideal fluid. Sov. Phys. Dokl. 6, 773–775 (1962).
- 76. Yosioka, K. & Kawasima, Y. Acoustic radiation pressure on a compressible sphere. Acustica 5, 167–173 (1955).
- 77. Dual, J. & Möller, D. Acoustofluidics 4: piezoelectricity and application in the excitation of acoustic fields for ultrasonic particle manipulation. Lab Chip 12, 506–514 (2012).
- 78. Schneider, C. A., Rasband, W. S. & Eliceiri, K. W. NIH image to ImageJ: 25 years of image analysis. Nat. Methods 9, 671–675 (2012).

Acknowledgements

This project has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme grant agreement No. 853309 (SONOBOTS) to D.A. This work has been supported by ETH Zurich Research Grant ETH-08 20-1 to D.A. Z.Z. acknowledges financial support from the China Scholarship Council (202006210065). P.M., A.S., and J.H. acknowledge financial support from the German Research Foundation (DFG, Project-ID 416229255 - SFB 1411).

Author contributions

D.A. conceived and supervised the project. Z.Z. performed all the experiments, data analysis, and numerical simulations. P.M., Z.Z., A.S., D.A., and J.H. contributed to the theoretical understanding. Z.Z. and D.A. contributed to the experimental design, scientific presentation, discussion, and manuscript writing.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-35078-8>.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Daniel Ahmed.

Peer review information Nature Communications thanks the anonymous reviewer(s) for their contribution to the peer review of this work.

Reprints and permissions information is available at <http://www.nature.com/reprints>

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit [http://creativecommons.org/](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [licenses/by/4.0/.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

© The Author(s) 2022