

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.

- 3 Van Cutsem E, Danielewicz I, Saunders MP, et al. Trifluridine/tipiracil plus bevacizumab in patients with untreated metastatic colorectal cancer ineligible for intensive therapy: the randomized TASCO1 study. Ann Oncol 2020; **31**: 1160–68.
- 4 Seymour MT, Thompson LC, Wasan HS, et al. Chemotherapy options in elderly and frail patients with metastatic colorectal cancer (MRC FOCUS2): an open-label, randomised factorial trial. *Lancet* 2011; **377**: 1749–59.
- 5 Cunningham D, Lang I, Marcuello E, et al. Bevacizumab plus capecitabine versus capecitabine alone in elderly patients with previously untreated metastatic colorectal cancer (AVEX): an open-label, randomised phase 3 trial. *Lancet Oncol* 2013; **14**: 1077–85.
- 6 Lonardi S, Schirripa M, Buggin F, et al. First-line FOLFOX plus panitumumab versus 5FU plus panitumumab in RAS-BRAF wild-type metastatic colorectal cancer elderly patients: The PANDA study. *Proc Am Soc Clin Oncol* 2020; **38**: 4002.
- 7 Dunn C, Hong W, Gibbs P, et al. Personalizing first-line systemic therapy in metastatic colorectal cancer: is there a role for initial low-intensity therapy in 2021 and beyond? A perspective from members of the australasian gastrointestinal trials group. *Clin Colorectal Cancer* 2021; 20: 245–55.
- 8 Modest DP, Pant S, Sartore-Bianchi A. Treatment sequencing in metastatic colorectal cancer. Eur J Cancer 2019; 109: 70–83.
- 9 Rossini D, Antoniotti C, Lonardi S, et al. Upfront modified fluorouracil, leucovorin, oxaliplatin, and irinotecan plus panitumumab versus fluorouracil, leucovorin, and oxaliplatin plus panitumumab for patients with RAS/BRAF wild-type metastatic colorectal cancer: the phase III TRIPLETE study by GONO. J Clin Oncol 2022; 40: 2878–88.
- 10 Diaz LA Jr, Shiu KK, Kim TW, et al. Pembrolizumab versus chemotherapy for microsatellite instability-high or mismatch repair-deficient metastatic colorectal cancer (KEYNOTE-177): final analysis of a randomised, openlabel, phase 3 study. Lancet Oncol 2022; 23: 659–70.

Working towards a comprehensive appraisal of vaccineinduced immunity against SARS-CoV-2 in IBD



Published Online December 5, 2022

https://doi.org/10.1016/

\$2468-1253(22)00404-6

See Articles page 145

In The Lancet Gastroenterology & Hepatology, Zhigang Liu and colleagues,¹ on behalf of the CLARITY-IBD study investigators, report on the effect of different immunomodulating treatments commonly prescribed to patients with inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) on serological responses against the highly transmissible SARS-CoV-2 omicron (B.1.1.529) variants (BA.1 and BA.4 and BA.5 [hereafter BA.4/5]). In this prospective, multicentre, cohort study, functional neutralising antibody responses against SARS-CoV-2 wild-type and omicron BA.1 and BA.4/5 variants after three doses of SARS-CoV-2 vaccine were investigated in 1288 patients with IBD without previous SARS-CoV-2 infection, and who were treated with either infliximab (n=871) or vedolizumab (n=417) recruited from infusion units across the UK. The median age of patients was 46.1 years (IQR 33.6-58.2) and 612 (47.5%) of 1288 were female, 663 (51.5%) were male, 1209 (93.9%) were White, and 46 (3.6%) were Asian. The investigators found that patients treated with infliximab had significantly lower neutralising antibodies against all investigated SARS-CoV-2 variants than did those being treated with vedolizumab, irrespective of the primary vaccination schedule. Additionally, they found that breakthrough SARS-CoV-2 infections were associated with lower neutralising antibody titres against the BA.4/5 variant in patients being treated with infliximab and in those being treated with vedolizumab. These trends remained present after adjusting for potentially confounding patient characteristics (eg, age, concomitant use of immunomodulators and corticosteroids, and comorbidities) with inverse probability of treatment weighting, a statistical method used to correct for such characteristics by reducing the bias of potentially unweighted estimators.² The findings of this study have important implications for patients with IBD, supporting the prioritisation of second-generation, bivalent booster vaccinations for patients who are treated with infliximab, who generally have lower neutralising antibody titres against the SARS-CoV-2 BA.1 and BA.4/5 omicron variants than those treated with vedolizumab.

Continued assessment of the effect of immunomodulating treatment on the antiviral immune response is essential, especially when considering the ongoing spread of novel dominant SARS-CoV-2 variants caused by viral mutation drift driving global infection rates. The study of Liu and colleagues¹ highlights the consequences of infliximab and vedolizumab therapy for neutralising antibody responses against omicron BA.1 and BA.4/5 variants for patients with IBD; however, similar efforts for other immunosuppressive agents like methotrexate and JAK inhibitors are also important, because these treatments are likely to affect vaccine-induced immunity against SARS-CoV-2.3 More real-world evidence is required to inform vaccine prioritisation in the foreseeable future. Such information would aid in substantiating personalised vaccination strategies for specific subgroups of patients with IBD-eq, across different disease activity states, disease complications, and the presence of relevant comorbidities.

An important point of discussion relates to focusing on specific immunological domains when assessing the immune response after vaccination. Liu and colleagues¹ focused on the humoral immune response through examination of functional neutralising antibody responses. Although this functional neutralising capacity reflects efficient protection against SARS-CoV-2 infection, it only partially informs on immunological protection.4.5 T-cellmediated immunity against SARS-CoV-2 is at least equally important in combating the infection when neutralising antibody concentrations decay. Further study of cellular immune responses could provide important additional insights. A flow cytometry approach could already be sufficient to enumerate and phenotypically characterise variant-specific T cells (eq, using IFN-γ release assays or ELISpot assays on cryopreserved peripheral blood mononuclear cells). Previously, the CLARITY-IBD study investigators reported no significant differences in quantities of anti-spike T-cell fractions or IFN-y-producing T cells in patients with IBD treated with infliximab versus vedolizumab after one or two doses of SARS-CoV-2 vaccine.⁴ Similarly, the VIP study reported similar T-cell concentrations among patients with IBD treated with infliximab or vedolizumab,3 and some other studies have found augmented anti-SARS-CoV-2 T-cell fractions in patients with IBD treated with TNF antagonists.^{6,7} By contrast, a study investigating anti-SARS-CoV-2 serological responses after a third vaccine dose in patients with IBD treated with biologics reported reduced T-cell-mediated IFN-y concentrations in those treated with TNF antagonists compared with those not treated with such agents.8 Likewise, two other studies reported reduced IFN-Y secretion in vaccinated patients treated with TNF antagonists, whereas those not treated with TNF antagonists had T-cell responses similar to controls without IBD.^{9,10} These observations could be explained by a potential reduction in T-cell functionality or specificity in the absence of quantitative alterations in relevant T-cell subsets. As such, a comprehensive appraisal of qualitative T-cell responses, neutralising antibody responses, and the risk of breakthrough

infections deserves attention in future studies, assessing which domains are most relevant for guiding vaccination prioritisation in patients with IBD receiving immunomodulating treatment.

The efforts of Liu and colleagues in identifying patient subgroups at risk of reduced neutralising capacity against the dominating SARS-CoV-2 omicron variants are important and can only be applauded. However, more in-depth and mechanistic assessment of waning vaccine-induced immunity is warranted to secure improved vaccine immunogenicity for patients with IBD.

ATO and ARB contributed equally. ARB has received a research grant from Janssen Research & Development, unrelated to the topic of this Comment. MCV had served on the advisory board for Janssen-Cilag and received a speaker's fee from Galapagos, unrelated to the topic of this Comment. ATO declares no competing interests.

Antonius T Otten, Arno R Bourgonje, *Marijn C Visschedijk m.c.visschedijk@umcg.nl

Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, University of Groningen, University Medical Centre Groningen, 9713 GZ Groningen, Netherlands

- L Liu Z, Le K, Zhou X, et al. Neutralising antibody potency against SARS-CoV-2 wildtype and omicron BA.1 and BA.4/5 variants in patients with inflammatory bowel disease treated with infliximab and vedolizumab after three doses of COVID-19 vaccine (CLARITY IBD): an analysis of a prospective multicentre cohort study. Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol 2022; published online Dec 5. https://doi.org/ https://doi.org/10.1016/ S2468-1253(22)00389-2.
- Chesnaye NC, Stel VS, Tripepi G, et al. An introduction to inverse probability of treatment weighting in observational research. *Clin Kidney J* 2021; **15**: 14–20.
- Alexander JL, Liu Z, Muñoz Sandoval D, et al. COVID-19 vaccine-induced antibody and T-cell responses in immunosuppressed patients with inflammatory bowel disease after the third vaccine dose (VIP): a multicentre, prospective, case-control study. *Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2022; 7: 1005–15.
- 4 Lin S, Kennedy NA, Saifuddin A, et al. Antibody decay, T cell immunity and breakthrough infections following two SARS-CoV-2 vaccine doses in inflammatory bowel disease patients treated with infliximab and vedolizumab. Nat Commun 2022; 13: 1379.
- 5 Geisen UM, Rose R, Neumann F, et al. The long term vaccine-induced anti-SARS-CoV-2 immune response is impaired in quantity and quality under TNFα blockade. J Med Virol 2022; 94: 5780–89.
- 5 Caldera F, Farraye FA, Necela BM, et al. Higher cell-mediated immune responses in patients with inflammatory bowel disease on anti-TNF therapy after COVID-19 vaccination. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2022; published online Sept 14. https://doi.org/10.1093/ibd/izac193.
- ⁷ Li D, Xu A, Mengesha E, et al. The T-cell response to SARS-CoV-2 vaccination in inflammatory bowel disease is augmented with anti-TNF therapy. Inflamm Bowel Dis 2022; 28: 1130–33.
- 8 Ramos L, Hernández-Porto M, Carrillo-Palau M, Alonso-Abreu I, Reygosa C, Hernandez-Guerra M. Impact of biologic agents on the immune response induced by the additional dose of SARS-CoV-2 vaccine in inflammatory bowel disease patients. *Inflamm Bowel Dis* 2022; published online Nov 2. https://doi.org/10.1093/ibd/izac228.
- 9 Cerna K, Duricova D, Hindos M, et al. Cellular and humoral immune responses to SARS-CoV-2 vaccination in inflammatory bowel disease patients. J Crohn's Colitis 2022; 16: 1347–53.
- 10 Woelfel S, Dütschler J, König M, et al. Systemic and T cell-associated responses to SARS-CoV-2 immunisation in gut inflammation (STAR SIGN study): effects of biologics on vaccination efficacy of the third dose of mRNA vaccines against SARS-CoV-2. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2022; published online Oct 28. https://doi.org/10.1111/apt.17264.